ARTICLE **OPEN** FGF signaling modulates mechanotransduction/WNT signaling in progenitors during tooth root development

Fei Pei1,2, Tingwei Guo1 , Mingyi Zhang¹ , Li Ma¹ , Junjun Jing1 , Jifan Feng¹ , Thach-Vu Ho¹ , Quan Wen¹ and Yang Chai [1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2477-7247)✉

Stem/progenitor cells differentiate into different cell lineages during organ development and morphogenesis. Signaling pathway networks and mechanotransduction are important factors to guide the lineage commitment of stem/progenitor cells during craniofacial tissue morphogenesis. Here, we used tooth root development as a model to explore the roles of FGF signaling and mechanotransduction as well as their interaction in regulating the progenitor cell fate decision. We show that Fgfr1 is expressed in the mesenchymal progenitor cells and their progeny during tooth root development. Loss of Fgfr1 in Gli1⁺ progenitors leads to hyperproliferation and differentiation, which causes narrowed periodontal ligament (PDL) space with abnormal cementum/bone formation leading to ankylosis. We further show that aberrant activation of WNT signaling and mechanosensitive channel Piezo2 occurs after loss of FGF signaling in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice. Overexpression of Piezo2 leads to increased osteoblastic differentiation and decreased Piezo2 leads to downregulation of WNT signaling. Mechanistically, an FGF/PIEZO2/WNT signaling cascade plays a crucial role in modulating the fate of progenitors during root morphogenesis. Downregulation of WNT signaling rescues tooth ankylosis in Fgfr1 mutant mice. Collectively, our findings uncover the mechanism by which FGF signaling regulates the fate decisions of stem/progenitor cells, and the interactions among signaling pathways and mechanotransduction during tooth root development, providing insights for future tooth root regeneration.

Bone Research (2024) 12:37 ; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41413-024-00345-5

INTRODUCTION

Stem/progenitor cells give rise to different cell lineages that contribute to organ development and morphogenesis.^{[1,2](#page-11-0)} This process is guided by the physical microenvironment in which the stem/progenitor cells reside, including factors in the extracellular matrix, mechanical force, and more.^{[3,4](#page-11-0)} These factors activate different signaling pathways, forming a signaling network to coordinate the migration, proliferation, and differentiation of stem/progenitor cells in tissue morphogenesis.

Tooth root development has been used as a model to explore the regulatory mechanism of the fate decisions of cranial neural crest (CNC)-derived progenitor cells. Progenitors in the dental papilla will form the dentin-pulp complex, and progenitors in the dental follicle are crucial for periodontal tissue.^{[5](#page-11-0)} Abnormal cellular changes or behaviors of progenitor cells can lead to tooth root defects, such as shortened roots, dental dysplasia, and tooth ankylosis. Various signaling pathways and transcription factors are involved in regulating tooth root development and coordinating its morphogenesis, including BMP/TGFβ, WNT, SHH, and IGF signaling.^{5–[8](#page-11-0)} FGF signaling is indispensable for craniofacial tissue development and morphogenesis. During embryonic tooth development (i.e., crown development), FGF signaling can be detected in the dental epithelium and CNC-derived mesenchyme, and plays a crucial role in tooth patterning and position determination.^{[9](#page-11-0)} Lack of FGF signaling leads to arrested tooth germ development at the bud stage.^{[10](#page-11-0)} Although the important

roles of FGF signaling have been explored in different craniofacial organs, whether it participates in regulating tooth root development is still unknown. Furthermore, how FGF signaling achieves signaling specificity in regulating the organogenesis of different tissues also requires further investigation.

Stem/progenitor cells can sense their mechanical environment through cell-cell interactions, primary cilia, and mechanosensitive ion channels.^{4,[11](#page-11-0)} During organogenesis, mechanical force modulates the fate of stem/progenitor cells; for example, it can activate TWIST expression and promote differentiation of the stomodeum and midgut tissue.^{[4](#page-11-0),[12](#page-11-0)} Mechanical cues participate in many aspects of normal physiology and disease, so understanding the interplay between mechanical force and different signaling pathways in stem/progenitor cells is crucial for improving our understanding of organ morphogenesis, and could also shed light on the development of diseases.

In this study, we used the murine molar tooth roots as a model to study the role of FGF signaling and how it modulates mechanical force and other signaling to regulate progenitors' fate decisions during organ morphogenesis. We discovered that Fgfr1 is expressed in progenitor cells at the initiation of root development and gradually expands to the progeny of Gli1⁺ cells. Loss of *Fgfr1* in Gli1⁺ progenitor cells led to tooth ankylosis phenomena including a reduction in PDL space with hyperplastic cementum and abnormal bone-like tissue formation in \overline{G} ii1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fI/fl} mice. These phenotypes were caused by hyperproliferation and differentiation of $Gli1^+$

Correspondence: Yang Chai (ychai@usc.edu)

These authors contributed equally: Fei Pei, Tingwei Guo

Received: 29 September 2023 Revised: 24 May 2024 Accepted: 28 May 2024

¹Center for Craniofacial Molecular Biology, University of Southern California, 2250 Alcazar Street, CSA 103, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA and ²State Key Laboratory of Oral & Maxillofacial Reconstruction and Regeneration, Key Laboratory of Oral Biomedicine Ministry of Education, Hubei Key Laboratory of Stomatology, School & Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, China

Fig. 1 Fgfr1 is expressed in Gli1⁺ progenitor cells and their progeny during tooth root development. **a-h** Expression of Fgfr1 in mandibular first molars from wild-type mice at PN3.5, PN7.5, PN14 and PN28. White arrows point to the expression of Fgfr1 in apical papilla and odontoblasts; yellow arrows point to the expression of Fgfr1 in follicle cells. i–I Expression of Fgfr1 and tdTomato at PN18 in Gli1-Cre^H;tdT mouse model. White arrows point to the expression of Fgfr1 in periodontal ligament; white arrowheads point to the expression of Fgfr1 in odontoblasts. PDL, periodontal ligament; OD, odontoblasts; DPC, dental pulp cells. White dashed lines outline Hertwig's epithelial root sheath (HERS). Scale bars, 100 μm

progenitor cells. We further showed that the mechanosensitive channel Piezo2 is aberrantly activated to modulate WNT signaling to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of progenitors. By downregulating WNT signaling in *Fgfr1* mutant mice (Gli1-
Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{ft/f1};β-catenin^{f1/+}), we were able to rescue the narrowed PDL space and abnormal cementum/bone formation seen in Gli1- Cre^{ER} ; Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice. Our study illustrates how signaling pathways and mechanotransduction coordinate organ morphogenesis. Specifically, the FGF-PIEZO2-WNT signaling cascade regulates progenitor cell fate to control tooth root morphogenesis.

RESULTS

Fgfr1 is expressed in Gli1⁺ progenitor cells and their progeny during tooth root development

Progenitor cells can give rise to different cell lineages and play important roles in organ development and morphogenesis. To investigate the role of *Fgfr1* in regulating $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells, we analyzed its expression pattern during tooth root development. We found that it is widely expressed in the dental papilla at postnatal day (PN)3.5 and PN7.5, and can also be detected in the dental follicle and epithelial cells (Fig. 1a–d). Later, during tooth root development, the expression of Fgfr1 was observed in odontoblasts, some dental pulp cells, and periodontium at PN14 and PN18 (Fig. 1e, f, i). At PN28, a more restricted pattern of Fgfr1 was apparent in odontoblasts, which were differentiated and mature cells at this stage (Fig. 1g, h). We also found that, when we studied the progeny of $Gli1^+$ cells labeled with tdTomato at PN18, colocalization between Fgfr1 and tdTomato could be observed in the PDL, odontoblasts and a portion of the pulp cells (Fig. 1i–l). These results suggested that FGF signaling may play an important role in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells and their cell fate commitment during tooth root development.

Loss of Fgfr1 in Gli1⁺ progenitor cells leads to tooth ankylosis To test the function of FGFR1 in regulating tooth root development, we deleted *Fgfr1* from the $Gli1^+$ progenitors by generating Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice and injected them intraperitoneally at PN3.5 (the stage when tooth root development is initiated) with tamoxifen, through which we confirmed that Fgfr1 expression was efficiently reduced (Fig. S1a–e). There was no obvious difference between control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14 based on histological analysis (Fig. S1f, g). We detected odontoblast and PDL differentiation with Dspp and periostin staining, respectively, which also showed no significant changes (Fig. S1h–k). After tooth root development, we found that the PDL space and dental pulp cavity were narrowed in Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN30 (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a, b, g, j), and this phenomenon was more severe by PN60 and postnatal 9 months (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)c–f, h, i, k, l). MicroCT analysis indicated that the apical root connected to the alveolar bone with narrowed PDL space and pulp cavity in *Fgfr1* mutant mice, which is similar to tooth ankylosis (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)d, f). Since tooth ankylosis leads to compromised physiological tooth movement, we further investigated the eruption of the first molar. We superimposed images of the mandibles of control and Fqfr1 mutant mice according to a previous study, 13 using the mandibular border as a reference structure. The results showed that tooth eruption was affected in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)m, n), while the size of the mandible was not significantly changed. The length of the tooth eruption relative to the mandibular border was compromised in
Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fI/f1} mice (Fig. [2m](#page-2-0)–t). The tooth root length was also found to be shorter in Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice when the teeth were superimposed at the crown region (Fig. [2p](#page-2-0)–r). These results suggested that the tooth roots were ankylosed to the mandible in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice, which led to the impediment of eruption.

Histological analysis showed cellular/acellular cementum and well-arranged PDL tissue in the molar roots of control mice at PN30 (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)a, b), while disordered mineralized tissue in the PDL was observed in the $Fgfr1$ mutants (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)c, d). Interestingly, the main changes were detected in the acellular cementum. (Fig. [3c](#page-3-0), d). By PN60, in the *Fgfr1* mutant mice the dental pulp cavity of the tooth root had narrowed significantly and the PDL in the apical root had almost disappeared, instead being filled with bone-like tissue connecting the root surface to the alveolar bone (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)k, l), which

Fig. 2 Loss of Fgfr1 in Gli1⁺ progenitor cells leads to tooth ankylosis. a–f MicroCT analysis of the first mandibular molars in Fgfr1^{fl/fl} and Gli1- Cre^{ER} ;Fgfr1^{ft/fl} mice at PN30, PN60, and postnatal 9 months (PN9M). White arrowheads point to the periodontal ligament space; white arrows point to the narrowed periodontal ligament space; white asterisk points to the narrowed root pulp cavity. g-i Relative periodontal ligament space in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN30, PN60, and postnatal 9 months (PN9M). $P < 0.0001$, unpaired Student's t-test, $n = 3$ and each point represents one animal. j-I Relative tooth root pulp cavity area in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN30, PN60, and postnatal 9 months (PN9M). j $P = 0.017$ 5, k, I $P < 0.000$ 1, unpaired Student's t-test, $n = 3$ and each point represents one animal. m–n MicroCT overlays: superimposition of control (white) and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} (blue) mouse mandibles. **o**, **q** Overlay of the mandibular first molars of control (white) superimposition of control (white) and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} (blue) using the mandibular border as reference. **p**, **r** Overlay of the mandibular first molars of control (white) and Gli1-
and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} (blue) using the mandibular border a Cre^{ER};Fgfr^{1fl/fl} (blue) using the crown as reference. **s, t** Relative tooth eruption in control and *Fgfr1* mutant mice at PN60 and postnatal 9 months (PN9M). s $P = 0.000$ 9, t $\tilde{P} = 0.000$ 2. $n = 3$ and each point represents one animal, with an unpaired Student's t-test performed. Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.000 1, Scale bars, 1 mm

is a phenotype known as tooth ankylosis. These results suggested that the differentiation of progenitor cells may be affected in these mice. There was no obvious change in odontoblast differentiation revealed by Dspp staining at PN30 (Fig. [3e](#page-3-0)-h). To assess the character of the abnormal bone-like structure, we performed staining for the bone marker Sp7, which was detected in odontoblasts, cementoblasts, and alveolar bone in control mice, but not in the PDL (Fig. S2a, b). In the Fgfr1 mutant mice, Sp7 labeled cementoblasts were detected along the surface of the tooth root, and Sp7 was strongly expressed in the disordered mineralized tissue in the PDL (Fig. S2c, d). This suggested that hyperplastic cementum and abnormal bone-like tissue were formed in Gli1- Cre^{ER} ;Fqfr1^{fl/fl} mice. By PN60, the PDL in these mice had barely detectable periostin staining (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)m–p). All these results demonstrated that Fgfr1 in progenitor cells plays an important role in rootalveolar complex formation during tooth root development.

Since Fgfr1 was also detected in the epithelium, we further tested whether loss of *Fqfr1* in epithelial progenitor cells may cause tooth ankylosis by generating $K14rtT A$;teto-Cre;Fqfr1^{fI/fl} mice. These mice showed normal cementum formation with well-arranged PDL tissue (Fig. S3a–d). Odontoblasts, PDL differentiation, and periodontal space were likewise unaffected in K14rtTA;tetO-Cre;Fqfr1^{fi/fl} mice (Fig. S3e–h). This suggested that the abnormal cementum and bone formation in Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice was not caused by the loss of Fgfr1 in the dental epithelium. These results illustrated that Fgfr1 in the dental mesenchymal progenitors plays an important role in regulating the root-alveolar complex formation during root development, the loss of which leads to abnormal cementum and bone formation with narrowed periodontal space.

Loss of Fgfr1 leads to hyperproliferation and differentiation of $Gli1$ ⁺ progenitor cells

To investigate the underlying cellular mechanisms, we examined progenitor cell fate during tooth root development. Proliferation, assessed by Ki67 staining, was significantly increased in the apical and follicle cells surrounding Hertwig's epithelial root sheath (HERS)

4

Fig. 3 Narrowed PDL space with ankylosed tooth root in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{11/11} mice. **a–d** Histological analysis of Fgfr1^{1/11} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{1/11} mice
at PN30. Black arrows point to abnormal cementum. Black i–l Histological analysis of *Fgfr1^{fl/fl}* and *Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl}* mice at PN60. The black asterisk points to periodontal ligament space; the black $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and *Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl}* mice at arrowhead points to narrowed periodontal ligament space in furcation; the black arrow points to the absence of periodontal ligament space where the tooth root connects to alveolar bone; Line with arrows indicates root pulp cavity. $m-p$ Periostin expression in Fafr1^{fl/fl} and Gli1- Cre^{ER} ;Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN60. The white asterisk points to the periodontal ligament; the white arrow points to the absence of periostin expression. The Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. Scale bars, b, d, f, h, j, l, n, p, 100 μ m; others, 500 μ m

in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN7.5 (Fig. [4a](#page-4-0)-e). Since the loss of Fgfr1 in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells led to hyperproliferation, we generated $\tilde{Gli1}$ - Cre^{ER} ;Fgfr1^{fl/fl};Gli1-LacZ mice to examine the number of $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells. There was no obvious difference in the numbers of Gli1⁺ cells stained with β-Gal in control and *Fgfr1* mutant mice (Fig. S4a–e), which suggested that the self-renewal of $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells was not affected. However, the proliferation decreased in *Fqfr1* mutant mice at PN14 (Fig. [4f](#page-4-0)-j). To determine the fate of these cells, we detected apoptosis with cleaved-caspase3 staining. There was no obvious change in apoptosis between control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at either PN7.5 or PN14 (Fig. S5a–h), which suggested that these cells might instead be differentiating. We further detected cementoblasts with marker Pthlh and found its expression increased along the surface of the root in Fgfr1 mutants (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)k–o). Upon analyzing the expression of osteoblast/cementoblast markers *Ibsp* and Sp7, we found that *Ibsp* was increased in cementoblasts on the root surface whereas Sp7 was increased in cementoblasts and cells in the PDL in Fgfr1 mutants (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)p-u). These results indicated that loss of *Fgfr1* in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells causes the hyperproliferation of progenitors, which then differentiate and form abnormal cementum and bone-like structures in the PDL.

Loss of FGF signaling in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells upregulates WNT signaling

To investigate how the FGF signaling regulates progenitor cell fate during development, we performed RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis using tissue from the apical region of control and Gli1 Cre^{ER} ;Fqfr1^{fl/fl} mouse first molars at PN7.5. Well-separated gene expression profiles distinguished the $Fgfr1$ mutants from the controls (Fig. [5](#page-5-0)a). A total of 1 352 differentially expressed genes were found $(>1.5$ -fold, $P < 0.05$), of which 1 043 were upregulated and 309 were downregulated in the Fgfr1 mutants relative to the controls (Fig. [5b](#page-5-0)). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis showed that WNT signaling and FGF signaling were involved (Fig. [5c](#page-5-0)), which suggested that WNT signaling might be affected in $Gli1-Cre^{ER}$;Fqfr1^{fI/fl} mice during tooth root development. We first investigated Axin2 and found that WNT signaling was increased in the apical papilla and
follicle cells in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f(/f)} mice at PN7.[5](#page-5-0) (Fig. 5d–h). It was also upregulated in the apical papilla, periodontal tissue, and furcation region in Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14 (Fig. [5h](#page-5-0)-n). We further found that Frizzled Class Receptor 6 (FZD6), a receptor for WNT signaling proteins, was expressed in the apical papilla in control mice, but it was increased in apical and follicle cells in Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Fqfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN7.5 (Fig. S6a–d). We treated the mesenchymal cells from the apical region of the first molar of Fgfr1 mutant mice with Fzd6 siRNA (Fig. S6e, f), and found decreased Ctnnb1 and impaired proliferation (Fig. S6g–j). This suggested that loss of Fgfr1 in progenitor cells regulates proliferation via Fzd6/β-catenin, and that WNT signaling is upregulated after loss of FGF signaling in root progenitor cells.

FGF signaling modulates mechanotransduction gene Piezo2 to regulate WNT signaling and differentiation of progenitors Mechanotransduction plays indispensable roles in bone home-ostasis and remodeling,^{[14](#page-11-0)} which led us to hypothesize that

5

Fig. 4 Loss of Fgfr1 leads to hyperproliferation and differentiation of Gli1⁺ progenitor cells. **a–d, f–i** Proliferating cells stained with Ki67 in
Fgfr1^{f1/f1} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f1/f1} mice at PN7.5 and PN14. The Ki67⁺ cells in control and *Fgfr1* mutant mice at PN7.5 and PN14. e $P = 0.007$ 3, j $P = 0.001$ 5, $n = 3$, and each point represents one animal, with unpaired Student's t-test performed. k-n Expression of Pthlh in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14. The white arrow points to cementoblasts expressing Pthlh along the tooth root surface. o Quantification of Pthlh⁺ cells in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14. $P = 0.0008$, $n = 3$, and each point represents one animal, with unpaired Student's t-test performed. **p-s** Expression of *lbsp* and Sp7 in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14. The white arrowhead points to cementoblasts expressing lbsp and Sp7 along the tooth root surface; the white arrow points to increased Ibsp and Sp7 in cementoblasts and periodontium. t, u Quantification of Ibsp⁺ and Sp7⁺ cells in control and Fgfr1 mutant mice at PN14. t $P = 0.000$ 4, (u) $P = 0.000$ 5, $n = 3$, and each point represents one animal, with unpaired Student's t-test performed. The Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, Scale bars, 100 µm

Fig. 5 Loss of FGF signaling in tooth root mesenchymal progenitors leads to increased WNT signaling. **a** Hierarchical clustering showing the
gene expression profiles of control and *Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{11/11}* mice. Z-sco plot showing 1 043 upregulated genes and 309 downregulated genes in *Fgfr1* mutant relative to control. **c** GO analysis showing the signaling
pathways involved. **d–g** Expression of *Axin2* in *Fgfr1^{n/n}* and Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Axin2 in the dental papilla surrounding HERS and furcation region; white and yellow arrowheads point to increased Axin2 in the dental papilla, follicle, and furcation region. Orange boxes in d and f indicate furcation region and are shown enlarged in orange dashed insets in e and g. White boxes in (d) and (f) are shown enlarged as (e) and (g), respectively. White dashed lines outline HERS. h Relative fluorescent intensity of Axin2 at PN7.5 and PN14. (PN7.5) P = 0.001 7, (PN14) P < 0.000 1, n = 3, and each point represents one animal, with unpaired Student's t-test
performed. **i–n** Expression of Axin2 and Col1a1 in Fgfr1^{f1/f1} and Gli1-Cre^{ER} apical papilla and periodontium; white arrowheads point to the increased expression of Axin2. The white dashed line indicates the interface between the papilla and follicle. The Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.000 1. Scale bars, 100 μm

Fig. 6 FGF signaling modulates mechanotransduction genes Piezo2 to regulate differentiation of progenitors and WNT signaling. a –f Expression of Piezo2 in Fgfr1^{fl/fl} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN7.5. White arrowheads point to the expression of Piezo2 in the apical papilla and follicle; white arrows point to increased Piezo2 in the apical, middle, and coronal papilla and apical follicle. White dashed lines outline HERS. The Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. g Relative expression of Piezo2 in pCMV6 and pPiezo2-treated group with qPRC in vitro. $P = 0.000$ 3, unpaired Student's t-test, $n = 3$ and each point represents one biological replicate. **h**–**k** Expression of Piezo2 and Ibsp in pCMV6- and pPiezo2-treated apical mesenchymal cells from control mice. l–q Mineralized nodules with Alizarin red staining in control, pCMV6- and pPiezo2-treated apical mesenchymal cells from control mice. r Quantification of calcium deposition in the three groups. P<0.000 1, one-way ANOVA, n = 3 biologically independent samples. **s, t** Knockdown of *Piezo2* in apical mesenchymal cells from Gli1-
Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f1/f1} mice with Piezo2 siRNA treatment. **u, v** Expression of Ctnnb1 after 100 μm

mechanotransduction could be involved in the abnormal cementum/bone-like tissue formation in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice. We thus investigated the expression of Piezo1 and Piezo2, two genes known to be involved in the relationship between signaling and mechanotransduction, in control and Gli1- Cre^{ER} ;Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice. Piezo1 showed no obvious change between controls and Fgfr1 mutants in our RNA-seq results, whereas Piezo2 was significantly upregulated in $Gli1-Cre^{ER}$; Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. S7a, b). We verified their expression in vivo and found that Peizo1 was widely expressed in the dental papilla and follicle cells in control mice with no obvious differences in the Fgfr1 mutant mice (Fig. S7c–f). The expression of Piezo2 was restricted to the apical papilla and follicle cells in control mice (Fig. 6a–c), with a similar expression pattern to that of the WNT signaling readout Axin2. However, Piezo2 was increased in the apical papilla and follicle cells and showed ectopic expression in the middle and
coronal papilla in *Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/f|}* mice (Fig. 6d–f). This suggested that FGF signaling may regulate genes associated with mechanotransduction to modulate WNT signaling, thereby affecting cementum/bone formation during tooth root development. To verify the role of Piezo2 in this signaling cascade, we overexpressed it in apical mesenchymal cells using Piezo2 plasmid and confirmed efficient overexpression of Piezo2 with qPCR analysis (Fig. 6g). Upon overexpression of Piezo2 (Fig. 6h, i), we found that the osteogenic differentiation marker lbsp was upregulated (Fig. 6j, k), and the number of mineralized nodules increased in Piezo2 plasmid-transfected cells cultured in mineralization medium, as visualized by Alizarin Red S staining (Fig. 6l–r). We further downregulated Piezo2 with siRNA in mesenchymal cells from the apical region of Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice to examine the WNT signaling pathway, and found the expression of Ctnnb1 was decreased in Fgfr1 mutant cells after Piezo2 siRNA treatment (Fig. 6s–v). These results suggested that FGF signaling modulates Piezo2 to regulate WNT signaling, thereby participating in the differentiation of $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells. Taken together, our findings indicated that FGF signaling in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells modulates WNT signaling to regulate their proliferation and differentiation. Loss of FGF signaling in progenitor cells leads to

FGF regulates mechanical force & Wnt to control progenitors F Pei et al.

 $\overline{8}$

Fig. 7 FGF/ETV5 signaling regulates Piezo2 expression. a Prediction of ETV5 binding with Piezo2 promoter region. b ChIP-qPCR showed that ETV5 can bind to the genomic locus of Piezo2. $P = 0.023$ 1, unpaired Student's t-test, $n = 3$, and each point represents one biological replicate. c–f Expression of Etv5 in Fgfr1^{fl/fl} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice at PN7.5. White arrows point to the expression of Etv5. White dashed lines outline HERS. g Relative expression of Etv5 in Negative control (NC) and Etv5 siRNA-treated apical mesenchymal cells with qPRC in vitro. P < 0.000 1, unpaired Student's t-test, $n = 3$ and each point represents one biological replicate. h, i Expression of Etv5 in NC and Etv5 siRNA-treated group. j, k Expression of Piezo2 in NC and Etv5 siRNA-treated group. $*P < 0.05$, $***P < 0.000$ 1. Scale bars, 100 um

increased expression of the mechanotransduction gene Piezo2 and in turn, increases WNT signaling, which enhances abnormal bone formation during tooth root development.

To investigate how FGF signaling regulates Piezo2 expression, we analyzed the promoter region of Piezo2 and predicted in silico that ETV5::FOXI1 could bind to this region (Fig. 7a). ChIP-qPCR using apical mesenchymal tissue confirmed that ETV5 can bind to the promoter region of Piezo2 (Fig. 7b). Etv5, a downstream transcription factor of FGF signaling, was expressed in the apical mesenchyme adjacent to the dental epithelium and the follicle cells, and its expression decreased in $Gli1-Cre^{ER}$; Fgfr1 n/d mice (Fig. 7c–f). This suggested that ETV5 might bind to the promoter region of Piezo2 to suppress its expression. To test this, we downregulated Etv5 with siRNA in mesenchymal cells from the apical region of control mice. The expression of Piezo2 was upregulated after Etv5 was efficiently decreased in apical mesenchymal cells with siRNA treatment (Fig. 7g–k). These results suggested that ETV5, as a downstream transcription factor of FGF signaling, binds to the promoter region of Piezo2 to suppress its expression.

Downregulation of WNT signaling rescues tooth ankylosis in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f/fl} mice

To test whether increased WNT signaling is responsible for abnormal cementum/bone formation in Gli1-CreER;Fqfr1^{fl/fl} mice, we downregulated WNT signaling in progenitor cells by

generating a rescue model, Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl};β-catenin^{fl/+} mice. To test the efficient decrease of WNT signaling, we examined Axin2 and $β$ -catenin expression in our rescue mouse model and found that the levels of Axin2 and $β$ -catenin were lower in the Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl};β-catenin^{fl/+} mice than in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. S8a–g). Our analysis showed that the narrowed root PDL
space seen in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f(/f)} mice were indeed rescued in Gli1- Cre^{ER} ;Fgfr1^{fl/fl}, β -catenin^{fl/+} mice, as revealed by microCT analysis at PN50 (Fig. [8](#page-8-0)a–c). Histology showed that the abnormal cementum/ bone in the apical root disappeared in the rescue model, and these mice exhibited normal cellular cementum with well-arranged PDL tissue (Fig. [8](#page-8-0)d, e, g, h, j, k). The narrowed PDL in the furcation region was also restored in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fqfr1^{fl/fl}; ; β -catenin^{fl/+} mice (Fig. [8](#page-8-0)f, i, I). Moreover, PDL differentiation α defects were partially rescued in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl};β-catenin^{fl/+} mice (Fig. [8m](#page-8-0)-r). We further examined cellular changes after WNT signaling was downregulated in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl};β-catenin^{fl/+} mice, which showed that proliferation was restored in these mice at PN14 (Fig. [8s](#page-8-0)-y). These results suggested that the FGF-WNT signaling cascade plays an important role in tooth root development.

DISCUSSION

Tooth root development is a dynamic process that involves cell-cell interactions to regulate the fate of progenitor cells.^{[5](#page-11-0)} Multiple

Fig. 8 Downregulation of WNT signaling rescues tooth ankylosis in Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f(/f)} mice. **a–c** MicroCT analysis of the first mandibular molars
in Fgfr1^{f(/f)}, Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f(/f)} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f(} arrowheads point to the narrowed periodontal ligament space. **d**–I Histological analysis of *Fgfr1⁰⁷¹*, Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1⁰⁷¹, and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1⁰⁷¹, and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1⁰⁷¹, and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1⁰⁷¹, and Lines (n, p, and r) indicates periodontal ligament space. S-x Proliferation stained with Ki67 in *Fgfr1⁰⁰¹*, Gli1-Cre^{ER}; Fgfr1⁰⁷¹, and r) indicates periodontal ligament space. S-x Proliferation stained with Ki67 in performed. The Schematic at the bottom indicates the induction protocol. $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$. Scale bars, a-c, 1 mm; d, g, j, m, o, q, 500 μm; others, 100 μm

signaling molecules, transcription factors, and epigenetic regulators are known to play important roles in regulating tooth root development.^{[6,15](#page-11-0),[16](#page-11-0)} Among the pathways involved, FGF signaling is particularly crucial. Moreover, mechanotransduction is an important factor in tissue development and morphogenesis.^{[4](#page-11-0)} However, the roles of FGF signaling and mechanotransduction in tooth root morphogenesis are still unclear, as is the process by which they coordinate to achieve their functional specificity in regulating the fate of progenitors during organogenesis. Here, we investigated how FGF signaling regulates progenitor cell fate commitment and differentiation during postnatal tooth root development. In this study, we found that FGF signaling plays a crucial role in tooth root morphogenesis by modulating the proliferation and differentiation of $Gli1⁺$ progenitor cells. Loss of FGF signaling in $Gli1^+$ progenitor cells led to tooth ankylosis in the Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mouse model. Moreover, the mechanosensitive channel Piezo2 was upregulated, which led to increased WNT signaling after the loss of FGF signaling (Fig. [9](#page-9-0)). We illustrated the crosstalk between signaling pathways and mechanotransduction during tooth root morphogenesis and further showed that an FGF/PIEZO2/WNT signaling cascade modulates progenitor cell fate to achieve FGF signaling specificity in regulating tooth root development.

FGF signaling has broad significance in the development and morphogenesis of multiple craniofacial tissues, including the craniofacial bones,^{[17,18](#page-11-0)} palate^{[19](#page-11-0),20}, and tooth crown.^{9,21} Although FGF signaling has been studied in tooth crown development, the landscapes of FGF ligand and receptor expression are different in embryonic and postnatal tooth development. For example, Fgf10 is no longer present after the initiation of root development.²⁷ This suggests that FGF signaling may have different roles and mechanisms during tooth root development. We found in the present study that FGF signaling is crucial for the formation of cementum and alveolar bone as well as for maintaining the fibrous PDL during tooth root development. Loss of FGF signaling in $Gli1^+$ progenitors leads to tooth ankylosis. This suggests that FGF signaling is crucial for the osteogenic differentiation of stem/ progenitor cells. Meanwhile, the mutation of FGF receptors can

FGF regulates mechanical force & Wnt to control progenitors F Pei et al.

Fig. 9 Schematic of FGF signaling in progenitor cells regulating mechanotransduction and WNT signaling to modulate proliferation and
differentiation during tooth root development. Loss of Fgfr1 in Gli1⁺ progenitor cell activates WNT signaling through Fzd6/β-catenin and Piezo2/β-catenin. Schematic was created with BioRender

cause the fusion of cranial sutures associated with several types of craniosynostosis, such as Pfeiffer, Apert, and Crouzon syndromes.[18](#page-11-0),[24](#page-11-0) A recent study showed that nerve-derived FGF signaling is indispensable for stem cell maintenance in the mouse incisor.^{[25](#page-11-0)} Loss of FGF ligand in nerves or FGFR1 in MSCs leads to abnormal dentin formation in the mouse incisor. These converging lines of evidence suggest that FGF signaling is crucial for the lineage commitment and fate decisions of stem and progenitor cells in the regulation of organogenesis in a variety of craniofacial tissues.

Signaling networks are crucial for organ morphogenesis. WNT, IGF and BMP signals have been found to regulate stem cells in spatially and temporally specific ways to modulate tooth root development. We have shown that loss of FGF signaling in progenitors upregulates WNT signaling and causes hyperproliferation and differentiation, which indicates the signaling pathways coordinate the tooth root morphogenesis. It is known that elevation of WNT/β-catenin signaling leads to abnormal miner-alization of the PDL.^{[26](#page-11-0)} Moreover, activation of β-catenin in osteoblasts and periodontium leads to increased bone formation and aberrant dento-alveolar complex formation.^{[27](#page-11-0)} These results are consistent with our study's findings. In our study, we found ectopic expression of Fzd6 in the dental papilla, which affected cell proliferation. A previous study also showed that ectopic FZD6 expression can induce cell proliferation and sphere formation in proneural glioblastoma. 28 Fzd6 regulates both canonical and non-canonical WNT pathways,^{[28,29](#page-11-0)} and here we found it can affect β-catenin during tooth root development, but whether this is a direct or indirect effect still remains to be explored in the future. While our work focused on what happens when loss of FGF signaling leads to upregulation of WNT signaling, previous studies have shown that disrupting WNT signaling also causes tooth root defects and disease. Disturbing WNT signaling in mesenchymal cells during tooth root development causes shortened roots with proliferation and differentia-tion defects.^{[30](#page-11-0)–[33](#page-12-0)} Taken together, these findings demonstrate that the level of WNT signaling must be tightly controlled for

tooth root development to proceed normally. We further established the role of the FGF-WNT signaling cascade in establishing the correct tooth root pattern by modulating the fate commitment of progenitors.

During organ morphogenesis, cells receive and respond to mechanical forces from their external and internal environments.^{[34](#page-12-0)} Mechanotransduction can play different roles depending on the type of cells involved and their context. In this study, we showed that the mechanosensitive channel Piezo2 was downregulated by the FGF/ETV5 signaling pathway, while Piezo1 was apparently unaffected. Previous study shows that both Piezo1 and Piezo2 are expressed in bone, and Piezo1 shows a higher mRNA level than Piezo2 in osteoblasts and osteocytes.¹⁴ We show that Piezo1 is widely expressed in the dental papilla, while Piezo2 is restricted to progenitor cells and involved in cementoblastic/osteogenic differentiation. This suggests that Piezo1 and Piezo2 may have different roles in tooth root development, which needs further study. Piezo1/ 2 mediates mechanotransduction to regulate bone formation through β-catenin, and loss of Piezo1 and Piezo2 in osteoblast progenitor cells leads to decreased osteoblast differentiation.^{[35](#page-12-0)} This is consistent with our study, and taken together, these findings suggest that the mechanical force/β-catenin signaling cascade is crucial for progenitor differentiation in different organs.

In summary, our study reveals that Fgfr1 mutation can be responsible for tooth ankylosis, and further shows that FGF signaling regulates progenitor cell fate during tooth root morphogenesis via the FGF-PIEZO2-WNT signaling axis. This finding improves our understanding of the signaling pathway that governs CNC-derived progenitor cell lineage commitment during tooth root development and offers crucial information on how to control progenitor cells in tissue regeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Gli1-Cre^{ER} (JAX# 007913),^{[36](#page-12-0)} tdTomato (JAK# 007905), Fgfr1^{fl/fl} (from Dr. Philippe Soriano), 37 K14rtTA (JAX# 007678), 38 Teto-Cre (JAX#

006234),^{[39](#page-12-0)} and *β-catenin^{fl/fl}* (JAX# 004152)^{[40](#page-12-0)} mouse lines were used in this study. The primers for genotyping were designed according to protocols from Jackson Labs using Integrated DNA Technologies products. All mice were housed in pathogen-free conditions. All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of Southern California (USC).

Tamoxifen and doxycycline administration

Tamoxifen (Sigma, T5648) was dissolved in corn oil (Sigma, C8267) at 20 mg/mL. Fgfr1^{fl/fl}, Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} ; β -catenin^{fl/+} mice were injected once intraperitoneally at a dosage of 1.5 mg/10 g body weight at PN3.5. Doxycycline rodent diet was fed to dams of $K14$ rtTA;tetO-Cre;Fqfr1^{fl/fl} mice (Envigo, TD.08541) every day beginning when the suckling pups were at PN3.5. A dosage of 50 mg/mL doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich; D9891) was injected into the pups intraperitoneally at PN3.5 and PN5.5.

MicroCT analysis

We collected mandibles from mice 30 days, 50 days, 60 days, and 9 months of age and then fixed them with 4% paraformaldehyde, and mandibles from more than three mice were collected for each group. MicroCT analysis was performed using a Skyscan 1174v1.2 (Bruker Corporation, USA) at 50 kVp, 800 μA and a resolution of 16.7 mm, followed by visualization and three-dimensional reconstruction performed using Avizo/Amira 9.5.0 (Visualization Sciences Group, France).

Histological analysis

Mouse mandibles were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight after dissection. After 10% EDTA treatment for 2–4 weeks, the samples were decalcified and then dehydrated in an ethanol and xylene series. The samples were embedded in paraffin and cut into 6-7 μm sections using a microtome (Leica). H&E staining was performed according to standard protocols. We performed serial sectioning to make sure different levels were captured and checked every section of both control, *Fgfr1* mutant,
and *Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{ft/f1};β-catenin^{ft/+} rescue samples. All staining* protocols in this study used this method.

In situ hybridization

For cryosections, sections were stained according to the manufacturer's instructions using an RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent v2 kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 323100). For cells, staining was performed after fixation with 10% Neutral Buffered Formalin (NBF) for 30 min. All probes used in this study were synthesized by Advanced Cell Diagnostics: Probe-Mm-Fgfr1 (454941), Probe-Mm-Dspp (448301), Probe-Mm-Fzd6 (404921), Probe-Mm-Piezo2 (400191), Probe-Mm-Piezo1 (500511), Probe-Mm-Axin2 (400331), Probe-Mm-Ibsp (415501), Probe-Mm-Pthlh (456521), and Probe-Mm-Ctnnb1 (311741).

Immunofluorescence

The decalcified samples were dehydrated in serial sucrose solutions and then embedded in an optimal cutting temperature compound (Tissue-Tek). The samples were cut into 8 μm cryosections using a cryostat (Leica CM1850). The cryosections were treated with a blocking solution (PerkinElmer) for 1 h. The primary antibodies used were the following: Sp7 (Abcam; ab209484, 1:100), Periostin (1:100, Abcam, ab14041), Ki67 (1:100, Abcam, ab15580), Col1a1 (1:100, CST, 72026), and Cleaved Caspase3 (9661, Cell signaling, 1:200 with TSA). After being incubated with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight, signals were detected with Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody (1:200, Invitrogen), and nuclei were stained with DAPI (Invitrogen, 62248). Images were captured with a Keyence microscope (Carl Zeiss).

RNA sequencing

First mandibular molars from the control and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fafr1^{fl/fl} mice were dissected at PN7.5 after tamoxifen induction. The apical region of the molar was collected following RNA extraction with an RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, 74004). For RNA-sequencing analysis, cDNA library preparation and sequencing were performed on NextSeq500 High Output equipment for three pairs at the Technology Center for Genomics & Bioinformatics at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). Raw reads were trimmed, aligned with the mm10 genome, and normalized in Partek Flow. Differential analysis was performed by selecting transcripts with a significance of $P < 0.05$.

Plasmid transfection and qPCR

Plasmids were from OriGene, including pCMV6-AC-GMP (PS100010) and Piezo2 (NM_001039485 Mouse Tagged ORF Clone, MR226955). Plasmid transfection was performed following the manufacturers' protocols (QIAGEN, 301704, and OriGene, TF81001). Briefly, with $1 \mu g / \mu L$ stock solution, the plasmid was transfected into cells in 24-well plates for 2 days followed by realtime qPCR.

The total RNA was isolated using RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (QIAGEN, 74034) after cells were collected. cDNA transcription was performed using iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-495 Rad, 1708891). qPCR quantification was performed using SsoFast™ EvaGreen® Supermix (Bio-Rad, 1725202) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System. The primer sequences used in this study were as follows: Gapdh (forward primer 5′-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG-3′, reverse primer 5′-TGTAGACCATG-TAGTTGAGGTCA-3′), Piezo2 (forward primer 5′- TCAACTGCTCCTTGCC-CAAT-3′, reverse primer 5′-ATGGCGGTAAACGGTGACTT-3′), Etv5 (forward primer 5′- TCAGTCTGATAACTTGGTGCTTC-3′, reverse primer 5′-GGCTTCCTATCGTAGGCACAA-3′).

Cell culture and osteogenic differentiation

The apical mesenchymal tissues of the first mandibular molars from control and Fgfr1 mutant mice were collected at PN3.5, then cut into small pieces and cultured in α-MEM (Thermo Fisher, 12571071) with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher, 12662029) at 37 °C in a 5% $CO₂$ incubator.

StemPro osteogenesis differentiation kit (Thermo Fisher, A1007201) was used for the osteogenic differentiation following the manufacturer's protocol.

Alizarin Red S staining and quantification

Cells were washed twice with PBS after removing the medium, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30–40 min. After washing with PBS twice, cells were stained with 0.2% Alizarin Red S solution for 15 min and then washed with PBS to remove unspecific staining. Calcified nodules stained with red were photographed with a microscope. To quantify the calcium deposition, the stain was solubilized with 10% cetylpyridinium chloride monohydrate (CPC, Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 mol/L PBS (pH 7.0) for 15 min. The absorbance was read at 570 nm.

siRNA transfection

Cells were passaged for siRNA transfection when they reached sub-confluence. AllStars Negative Control siRNA (QIA-GEN,1027280), Etv5 siRNA (QIAGEN, 1027416, Mm_Etv5_1 FlexiTube siRNA SI00996744; Mm_Etv5_2 FlexiTube siRNA SI00996737; Mm_Etv5_3 FlexiTube siRNA SI00996730; Mm_Etv5_4 FlexiTube siRNA SI00996723), Piezo2 siRNA (QIAGEN, 1027416, Mm_Piezo2_1 FlexiTube siRNA SI04723404; Mm_Piezo2_2 FlexiTube siRNA SI04723397; Mm_Piezo2_3 FlexiTube siRNA SI04723390; Mm_Piezo2_4 FlexiTube siRNA SI04723383), Fzd6 siRNA (QIAGEN, 1027416, Mm_Fzd6_1 FlexiTube siRNA SI02708510; Mm_Fzd6_2 FlexiTube siRNA SI02686684; Mm_Fzd6_3 FlexiTube siRNA SI02666979; Mm_Fzd6_4 FlexiTube siRNA SI00171451), Opti-MEM I Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo

 $\overline{12}$

Fisher, 31985062) and lipofectamine™ RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher, 13778075) were used in this study. SiRNA was transfected into cells at a final concentration of 10 nmol/L.

ChIP-qPCR

The mandibular first molars were dissected from wild-type mice at PN3.5. 60–80 mg tissue from multiple animals was combined for each replicate. Samples were prepared for ChIP following the manufacturer's protocol (Chromatrap, 500191). Briefly, tissue was cut into small pieces, fixed with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 15 min, and incubated with 0.65 mol/L glycine solution. After washing with PBS twice, the tissue was resuspended in Hypotonic Buffer and incubated at 4°C for 10 min to obtain nuclei. The pellet was resuspended using Digestion Buffer. Chromatin was sheared into 100–500 bp fragments with Shearing Cocktail. 10 µg chromatin with ETV5 antibody (Proteintech, 13011- 1-AP) or immunoglobulin G-negative control was added to the Column Conditioning Buffer. Immunoprecipitation (IP) slurry was mixed thoroughly and incubated on a rotor for 1 h at 4 °C. An equivalent amount of chromatin was set as an input. A chromatrap spin column was used to purify the IP slurry at room temperature, and chromatin was eluted using the ChIP-seq elution buffer. The chromatin sample and input were further incubated at 65°C overnight to reverse cross-linking. DNA was treated with proteinase, then purified with the Chromatrap DNA purification column. Primers were designed using the promoter region of Piezo2. Input, negative control, and ChIP eluates were assayed using real-time qPCR. Primers were designed using the promoter region of Piezo2. Forward: 5'- CGCTCCCAGGAAATGTTCTCTG-3'; Reverse: 5'-GCTATGTCTCCACGTAGGCATCT-3'.

Western blot

First mandibular molars from Fgfr1^{fl/fl} control, Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{fl/fl} mutant and Gli1-Cre^{ER};Fgfr1^{f/fl};β-catenin^{fl/+} rescue mice were dissected at PN8.5 after tamoxifen induction. The apical region of the molar was collected and incubated in RIPA buffer (Cell Signaling, 9806) for 30 min, then centrifuged at 14 000 \times g at 4 °C. Total protein was loaded in 4%–15% precast polyacrylamide gel and transferred to PVDF membranes. After blocking for 1 h, samples were incubated with primary antibody against beta Catenin (1:100, Abcam, ab6302) at 4 °C overnight, and detected with secondary antibodies on an Azure 300 (Azure Biosystems).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism. All statistical data are presented as individual points and mean \pm SD. Unpaired Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA analysis was used for comparisons, with $P < 0.05$ considered statistically significant. $n \ge 3$ for all experiments.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Bulk RNA-seq datasets are available through the GEO database under accession code GSE233576 (token: azqvquymndkvnwh).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Dr. Bridget Samuels for critical editing of the manuscript, USC Libraries Bioinformatics Service for assisting with data analysis, and the USC Office of Research and the USC Libraries for supporting our access to bioinformatics software and computing resources. This study was supported by funding from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health (R01 DE022503 and R01 DE012711 to Yang Chai).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

F.P. and Y.C. designed the study. F.P., L.M., T.G., M.Z., J.J., J.F., T-V.H. and Q.W. carried out most of the experiments and data analyses. F.P. and Y.C. co-wrote the paper. Y.C. supervised the research.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41413-024-00345-5>.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- 1. Scadden, D. T. The stem-cell niche as an entity of action. Nature 441, 1075–1079 (2006).
- 2. Yuan, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal cellular movement and fate decisions during first pharyngeal arch morphogenesis. Sci. Adv. 6, eabb0119 (2020).
- 3. van der Kooy, D. & Weiss, S. Why stem cells? Science 287, 1439–1441 (2000).
- 4. Vining, K. H. & Mooney, D. J. Mechanical forces direct stem cell behaviour in development and regeneration. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 728–742 (2017).
- 5. Jing, J. J. et al. Spatiotemporal single-cell regulatory atlas reveals neural crest lineage diversification and cellular function during tooth morphogenesis. Nat. Commun. 13, 4803 (2022).
- 6. Li, J. Y., Parada, C. & Chai, Y. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of tooth root development. Development 144, 374–384 (2017).
- 7. Nakatomi, M., Morita, I., Eto, K. & Ota, M. S. Sonic hedgehog signaling is important in tooth root development. J. Dent. Res. 85, 427–431 (2006).
- 8. Lohi, M., Tucker, A. S. & Sharpe, P. T. Expression of Axin2 indicates a role for canonical Wnt signaling in development of the crown and root during pre- and postnatal tooth development. Dev. Dyn. 239, 160–167 (2010).
- 9. Du, W., Du, W. & Yu, H. Y. The role of fibroblast growth factors in tooth development and incisor renewal. Stem Cells Int. 2018, 7549160 (2018).
- 10. De Moerlooze, L. et al. An important role for the IIIb isoform of fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2) in mesenchymal-epithelial signalling during mouse organogenesis. Development 127, 483–492 (2000).
- 11. Pathak, M. M. et al. Stretch-activated ion channel Piezo1 directs lineage choice in human neural stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 16148-16153 (2014).
- 12. Desprat, N., Supatto, W., Pouille, P. A., Beaurepaire, E. & Farge, E. Tissue deformation modulates twist expression to determine anterior midgut differentiation in Drosophila embryos. Dev. Cell 15, 470–477 (2008).
- 13. Takahashi, A. et al. Autocrine regulation of mesenchymal progenitor cell fates orchestrates tooth eruption. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 116, 575–580 (2019).
- 14. Qin, L. et al. Roles of mechanosensitive channel Piezo1/2 proteins in skeleton and other tissues. Bone Res. 9, 44 (2021).
- 15. Wen, Q. et al. Runx2 regulates mouse tooth root development via activation of WNT inhibitor NOTUM. J. Bone Min. Res. 35, 2252–2264 (2020).
- 16. Jing, J. et al. Antagonistic interaction between Ezh2 and Arid1a coordinates root patterning and development via Cdkn2a in mouse molars. eLife 8, e46426 (2019).
- 17. Su, N., Jin, M. & Chen, L. Role of FGF/FGFR signaling in skeletal development and homeostasis: learning from mouse models. Bone Res. 2, 14003 (2014).
- 18. Rice, D. P. et al. Integration of FGF and TWIST in calvarial bone and suture development. Development 127, 1845–1855 (2000).
- 19. Nie, X. G., Luukko, K. & Kettunen, P. FGF signalling in craniofacial development and developmental disorders. Oral. Dis. 12, 102–111 (2006).
- 20. Rice, R. et al. Disruption of Fgf10/Fgfr2b-coordinated epithelial-mesenchymal interactions causes cleft palate. J. Clin. Investig. 113, 1692–1700 (2004).
- 21. Jernvall, J. & Thesleff, I. Reiterative signaling and patterning during mammalian tooth morphogenesis. Mech. Dev. 92, 19–29 (2000).
- 22. Tummers, M. & Thesleff, I. Root or crown: a developmental choice orchestrated by the differential regulation of the epithelial stem cell niche in the tooth of two rodent species. Development 130, 1049–1057 (2003).
- 23. Yokohama-Tamaki, T. et al. Cessation of Fgf10 signaling, resulting in a defective dental epithelial stem cell compartment, leads to the transition from crown to root formation. Development 133, 1359–1366 (2006).
- 24. Wilkie, A. O. Craniosynostosis: genes and mechanisms. Hum. Mol. Genet. 6, 1647–1656 (1997).
- 25. Pei, F. et al. Sensory nerve niche regulates mesenchymal stem cell homeostasis via FGF/mTOR/autophagy axis. Nat. Commun. 14, 344 (2023).
- 26. Wu, Y. et al. Aberrantly elevated Wnt signaling is responsible for cementum overgrowth and dental ankylosis. Bone 122, 176–183 (2019).
- 27. Kim, T. H. et al. Col1a1-cre mediated activation of beta-catenin leads to aberrant dento-alveolar complex formation. Anat. Cell Biol. 45, 193–202 (2012).
- 28. Corda, G. & Sala, A. Non-canonical WNT/PCP signalling in cancer: Fzd6 takes centre stage. Oncogenesis 6, e364 (2017).
- 29. Golan, T., Yaniv, A., Bafico, A., Liu, G. & Gazit, A. The human Frizzled 6 (HFz6) acts as a negative regulator of the canonical Wnt. beta-catenin signaling cascade. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 14879–14888 (2004).
- 30. Bae, C. H. et al. Excessive Wnt/beta-catenin signaling disturbs tooth-root formation. J. Periodontal Res. 48, 405–410 (2013).
- 31. Bae, C. H. et al. Wntless regulates dentin apposition and root elongation in the mandibular molar. J. Dent. Res. 94, 439–445 (2015).
- 32. Tokavanich, N., Wein, M. N., English, J. D., Ono, N. & Ono, W. The role of Wnt signaling in postnatal tooth root development. Front. Dent. Med. 2, 769134 (2021)
- 33. Zhang, R. et al. Disruption of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in odontoblasts and cementoblasts arrests tooth root development in postnatal mouse teeth. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 9, 228–236 (2013).
- 34. Kefauver, J. M., Ward, A. B. & Patapoutian, A. Discoveries in structure and physiology of mechanically activated ion channels. Nature 587, 567–576 (2020).
- 35. Zhou, T. et al. Piezo1/2 mediate mechanotransduction essential for bone formation through concerted activation of NFAT-YAP1-ss-catenin. eLife 9, e52779 (2020).
- 36. Ahn, S. & Joyner, A. L. Dynamic changes in the response of cells to positive hedgehog signaling during mouse limb patterning. Cell 118, 505–516 (2004).
- 37. Molotkov, A., Mazot, P., Brewer, J. R., Cinalli, R. M. & Soriano, P. Distinct requirements for FGFR1 and FGFR2 in primitive endoderm development and exit from pluripotency. Dev. Cell 41, 511–526.e514 (2017).
- 38. Xie, W., Chow, L. T., Paterson, A. J., Chin, E. & Kudlow, J. E. Conditional expression of the ErbB2 oncogene elicits reversible hyperplasia in stratified epithelia and upregulation of TGFalpha expression in transgenic mice. Oncogene 18, 3593–3607 (1999).
- 39. Perl, A. K., Wert, S. E., Nagy, A., Lobe, C. G. & Whitsett, J. A. Early restriction of peripheral and proximal cell lineages during formation of the lung. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 10482–10487 (2002).
- 40. Brault, V. et al. Inactivation of the beta-catenin gene by Wnt1-Cre-mediated deletion results in dramatic brain malformation and failure of craniofacial development. Development 128, 1253–1264 (2001).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons \odot $|$ (cc) Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2024