



Article Detection of Salmonella Reservoirs in Birds of Prey Hosted in an Italian Wildlife Centre: Molecular and Antimicrobial Resistance Characterisation

Carlo Corradini ¹, Andrea Francesco De Bene ¹, Valeria Russini ^{1,*}, Virginia Carfora ², Patricia Alba ², Gessica Cordaro ², Matteo Senese ³, Giuliana Terracciano ³, Ilaria Fabbri ³, Alessandro Di Sirio ¹, Fabiola Di Giamberardino ¹, Pierpaolo Boria ¹, Maria Laura De Marchis ¹ and Teresa Bossù ¹

- ¹ Food Microbiology Unit, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana "M. Aleandri", 00178 Rome, Italy; carlo.corradini@izslt.it (C.C.); andrea.debene@izslt.it (A.F.D.B.); alessandro.disirio@izslt.it (A.D.S.); fabiola.digiamberardino@izslt.it (F.D.G.); pierpaolo.boria@izslt.it (P.B.); marialaura.demarchis@izslt.it (M.L.D.M.); teresa.bossu@izslt.it (T.B.)
- ² National Reference Laboratory for Antimicrobial Resistance, General Diagnostics Department, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana "M. Aleandri", 00178 Rome, Italy; virginia.carfora@izslt.it (V.C.); patricia.alba@izslt.it (P.A.); gessica.cordaro@izslt.it (G.C.)
- UOT Toscana Nord, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana "M. Aleandri",
- 56123 Pisa, Italy; matteo.senese@izslt.it (M.S.); giuliana.terracciano@izslt.it (G.T.); ilaria.fabbri@izslt.it (I.F.) Correspondence: valeria.russini@izslt.it

Abstract: In the European Union, salmonellosis is one of the most important zoonoses reported. Poultry meat and egg products are the most common food matrices associated with Salmonella presence. Moreover, wild and domestic animals could represent an important reservoir that could favour the direct and indirect transmission of pathogens to humans. Salmonella spp. can infect carnivorous or omnivorous wild birds that regularly ingest food and water exposed to faecal contamination. Birds kept in captivity can act as reservoirs of Salmonella spp. following ingestion of infected prey or feed. In this paper, we describe the isolation of different Salmonella serovars in several species of raptors hosted in aviaries in an Italian wildlife centre and in the raw chicken necks used as their feed but intended for human consumption. Characterisations of strains were carried out by integrating classical methods and whole genome sequencing analysis. The strains of S. bredeney isolated in poultry meat and birds belonged to the same cluster, with some of them being multidrug-resistant (MDR) and carrying the Col(pHAD28) plasmid-borne qnrB19 (fluoro)quinolone resistance gene, thus confirming the source of infection. Differently, the S. infantis found in feed and raptors were all MDR, carried a plasmid of emerging S. infantis (pESI)-like plasmid and belonged to different clusters, possibly suggesting a long-lasting infection or the presence of additional undetected sources. Due to the high risk of fuelling a reservoir of human pathogens, the control and treatment of feed for captive species are crucial.

Keywords: foodborne pathogens; *Salmonella*; reservoir; One Health; wildlife centre; antimicrobial resistance; multi drug resistance; NGS

1. Introduction

Wild birds, like free-living fauna in general, can serve as reservoirs for numerous pathogen species, including *Salmonella* spp. and other *Enterobacteriaceae*, and favour their direct and indirect transmission to other animal species, including humans [1,2]. *Salmonella* spp. and other enterobacteria can become pathogens for wild birds that, in most cases, are healthy carriers and can disseminate pathogens into the environment through contamination of water and feed [1,3]. Raptors are at the top of the food chain, and they are considered particularly indicative for monitoring pathogens in the environment [4]. In nature, there are more than 500 species of birds of prey, nocturnal and diurnal, found in almost every



Citation: Corradini, C.; De Bene, A.F.; Russini, V.; Carfora, V.; Alba, P.; Cordaro, G.; Senese, M.; Terracciano, G.; Fabbri, I.; Di Sirio, A.; et al. Detection of *Salmonella* Reservoirs in Birds of Prey Hosted in an Italian Wildlife Centre: Molecular and Antimicrobial Resistance Characterisation. *Microorganisms* **2024**, *12*, 1169. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms12061169

Academic Editors: Labrini V. Athanasiou and Maria Kantere

Received: 18 April 2024 Revised: 3 June 2024 Accepted: 5 June 2024 Published: 8 June 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). type of habitat; they become infected mainly through predation [4,5]. *Salmonella* spp. often infects wild birds that follow a carnivorous or omnivorous diet, particularly those that feed on the ground or regularly ingest food and water exposed to faecal contamination. For this reason, even birds of prey kept in captivity can act as temporary or permanent reservoirs of *Salmonella* spp. following ingestion of infected prey or feed [6]. Due to their zoonotic potential, these bird species kept in captivity should be monitored to preserve human health, considering humans and animals may share the same sources of exposure to *Salmonella* spp. [7]. At the communitarian and European level, there is no mandatory systematic monitoring of *Salmonella* spp. in wild species, and the data available belong almost exclusively to research contexts [8].

Salmonella genus is divided into two main species: S. enterica and S. bongori. S. enterica includes 6 subspecies and approximately 2600 known serotypes [9–11]. Members of the subspecies S. enterica subsp. enterica are primarily responsible for disease in humans (approximately 99% of all cases of salmonellosis), other mammals and birds [12–14]. Human infections caused by S. enterica subsp. enterica generally result in self-limiting gastroenteritis and do not require antibiotic therapy. Serotypes belonging to this subspecies are present in the intestinal tract of a wide variety of domestic and wild reservoir animals. They can reach human hosts through direct contact or through the consumption of contaminated foods, especially when prepared without respecting basic hygiene guidelines [15]. The non-enterica subspecies of S. enterica are more closely related to cold-blooded animals, and their pathogenicity is rather limited. In fact, most human infections from non-enterica subspecies (as S. enterica subsp. diarizonae, S. enterica subsp. arizonae, S. enterica subsp. houtenae and S. enterica subsp. salamae) concern subjects with previous pathologies, immunosuppressed subjects or children; therefore, these Salmonella spp. should be considered as opportunistic pathogens. However, non-enterica subspecies of S. enterica, including S. enterica subsp. diarizonae, have also been isolated in warm-blooded animals, both domestic and wild, such as cattle, pigs, poultry and sheep [14]. In 2022, salmonellosis was the second most reported zoonosis in the EU with 65'208 total human cases (3'302 In Italy), as well as the most frequent cause of food-borne outbreaks in the EU [16]. The serotypes of Salmonella spp. most isolated from human samples were S. enteritidis (54.6%), S. typhimurium (12.1%), monophasic S. typhimurium (1,4,[5],12:i:-) (10.4%), S. infantis (2.3%) and *S. newport* (1.1%) [16].

Fresh poultry meat and meat products made from poultry meat are the matrices with the highest *Salmonella* prevalence in EU, while eggs and egg products represent the first matrices most associated with foodborne salmonellosis [16]. This trend, confirmed over the years, could have risen by a series of factors, such as the intensive breeding and growth conditions of poultry, the contamination of carcasses during slaughter and hygiene faults in the food preparation processes [17]. In addition, the growing and persistent presence of certain *Salmonella* serovars such as *S. infantis* has been demonstrated [16]. A CTX-M-1 Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL)-producing multidrug-resistant (MDR) *S. infantis* clone carrying the plasmid of emerging *S. infantis* (pESI) has become widely spread along the broiler meat production chain in Italy and in other European countries [18,19]. In recent years, MDR was also found to be extremely/very high in other *Salmonella* serovars detected in poultry sources, such as *S. bredeney* [18].

The aim of this study was to investigate the causes of the *Salmonella* spp. infection found in birds of prey hosted in a wildlife recovery centre, which involved raw chicken meat used as feed, intended for human consumption. Moreover, molecular characterisation, AMR profiles and cluster analysis of *Salmonella* strains were reported.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection

The samplings were performed by the Italian military police Corp "*Raggruppamento Carabinieri Biodiversità Reparto di Lucca*" on dropping samples of 18 birds of prey of different species, during routine checks to monitor animal health. The animals were kept in special

aviaries at the CITES Centre (Convention of International Trade in Endangered Species) of the Natural reserve of Montefalcone, in the Municipality of Castelfranco di Sotto (PI). The CITES Centre of Montefalcone hosts wild birds confiscated and kept in judicial custody in the framework of the official activities against illegal trade of endangered animals.

Dropping samples of the first 10 birds of prey (specimens A1, A2, A3, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1) were conferred at the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana "M. Aleandri" (IZSLT), UOT (Territorial Operative Unit) Tuscany North (Pisa). The samples were collected on the 20 July 2021 inside the aviaries that housed these species separately: n = 3 Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) (A1, A2, A3), n = 1 Bateleur (Theratopius ecaudatus) (B1), n = 1 Eurasian eagle-owl (Bubo bubo) (C1), n = 1 Crested caracara (Caracara plancus) (D1), n = 2 African fish eagle (*Haliaeetus vocifer*) (E1, E2), n = 1 Black-chested buzzard-eagle (Geranoaetus melanoleucus) (F1) and n = 1 Hooded vulture (Necrosyrtes monachus) (G1). A second droppings sampling of the last 8 birds of prey (specimens D2, H1, I1, I2, I3, L1, M1, N1) and a second droppings sample of G1 was carried out two weeks later on the 5 August 2021: n = 1 Crested caracara (C. plancus) (D2), n = 1 Hooded vulture (N. monachus) (G1), n = 1 Egyptian Vulture (*Neophron percnopterus*) (H1), n = 3 Barn owl (*Tyto alba*) (I1, I2, I3), n = 1 Tawny owl (*Strix aluco*) (L1), n = 1 Black kite (*Milvus migrans*) (M1) and n = 1 Harris's hawk (Parabuteo unicinctus) (N1). On 27 December 2021, a new sampling of droppings from some of the birds of prey already tested was performed. In particular, n = 12 droppings samples were taken and composed as follows: n = 3 Bald eagle (*H. leucocephalus*) (A1, A2, A3), n = 2 African fish eagle (*H. vocifer*) (E1, E2), n = 2 Crested caracara (*C. plancus*) (D1, D2), n = 1 Egyptian vulture (N. percnopterus) (H1), n = 1 Bateleur (T. ecaudatus) (B1), n = 1Eurasian eagle-owl (B. Bubo) (C1), n = 1 Black-chested buzzard-eagle (G. melanoleucus) (F1) and n = 1 Barn owl (*T. alba*) (I1). A summary of sampling activities and results is reported in Section 3 and in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Timetable of sampling activities linked to the investigations carried out.

Following the communication of positive results to the CITES centre, it was asked to carry out microbiological tests on food stored in their warehouse, utilised to feed the birds. Packs of raw chicken necks (*Gallus gallus*) and frozen chick carcasses (*Gallus gallus*) delivered by the same farm were collected. In particular, the chicken neck meat was originally intended for human use, as reported on the labelled packages, indicating it as class A (according to Reg. CEE 1538/91) and to be consumed after cooking. Therefore, on 26 August 2021, the CITES centre staff sampled two packs of chicken necks from the

same batch (samples CN1, CN1a) and three chick carcasses (samples CC1, CC2, CC3), all stored frozen in the centre's warehouse. On 7 and 28 September 2021, the CITES centre of Monfalcone, together with the local health authority, collected an additional two packs of frozen chicken necks (CN2, CN3), from the same batch of CN1 and CN1a. The samples were sent to the IZSLT, UOT Tuscany North (Pisa) for microbiological analyses.

2.2. Microbiological Identification

Salmonella spp. identification and isolation were carried out at IZSLT laboratories of Pisa. Droppings and chicken neck samples were tested by cultural examination according to the ISO 6579-1:2017. The frozen chick carcasses were analysed as a whole, and cultural analyses were performed according to "OIE Manual for terrestrial animals 2018" (Chapter 3.9.8 par A, B, 2016; Chapter 3.3.11 A, B, 2018) [20]. The confirmations of characteristic colonies were carried out with biochemical micromethod API 20E (Biomerieux, Paris, France). All available strains were sent to the Enteropathogenic Bacteria Regional Reference Centre (CREP) laboratory at Food Microbiology Unit (IZLST) to proceed with serotyping and molecular analysis. Serotyping was performed according to ISO/TR 6579-3:2014 by seroagglutination using antiserum (Sifin Diagnostics GmbH, Berlin, Germany; SSI Diagnostica A/S, Hillerød, Denmark; Bio-Rad, CA, USA).

2.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST)

AST was performed for all the obtained *Salmonella* spp. isolates at the National Reference Laboratory for Antimicrobial Resistance (NRL-AR), Department of General Diagnostics (IZSLT), through minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination by broth microdilution, using the EU consensus 96-well microtiter plates (Trek Diagnostic Systems, Westlake, OH, USA). Dilution ranges and interpretation of MIC values were performed as reported in the EU Decision 2020/1729/EU (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32020D1729, accessed on 19 March 2024) and in the EFSA manual published in 2021 [21], also according to epidemiological cut-offs and clinical breakpoints (when available) of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST; http://www.eucast.org, accessed on 19 March 2024). The following drugs were tested: amikacin, ampicillin, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, meropenem, azithromycin, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, colistin, gentamicin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, tigecycline and trimethoprim. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a quality control strain.

2.4. Whole-Genome Sequencing and In Silico Analysis

Whole-genome sequencing analysis were performed on the available strains of *S. bre*deney and S. infantis isolated from birds of prey and their feed (frozen chicken necks). Genomic DNA was extracted with Bacterial DNA Extraction kit on the automatic extraction system, MagPurix[®] (Zinexts Life Science Corp., New Taipei City, Taiwan). Libraries were prepared using Illumina DNA Prep and pair-end (2×250 bp) run with a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Raw reads are stored in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) at the GenBank database (NCBI) under the BioProject PRJNA1098632, BioSamples from SAMN40917603 to SAMN40917615. The raw reads were processed, and strains were characterised as described in De Bene and colleagues [22]. Minimum spanning trees of cgMLST profiles were visualised using the MSTreeV2 algorithm in the GrapeTree (v 1.5.0) software [23]. The genetic basis of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the presence of plasmid replicons were determined with Staramr (v 0.9.1) [24] based on ResFinder (v 0.8.0.dev2) and PlasmidFinder (v 0.8.0.dev2) databases, respectively [25]. The presence of the specific markers of the pESI in the assemblies was determined in silico with the ABRicate tool (v 1.0.1) against a local database [19]. The coverage of the whole megaplasmid was determined by mapping the raw-reads against an Italian pESI sequence reference (NZ_OW849779.1) [26]. The similarity of the sequences was compared using blast (v 2.10.1+) [27] and represented using BRIG (v 0.95) [28].

3. Results

3.1. Microbiological Identification

Microbiological analyses of the first sampling set (specimens A1, A2, A3, B1, C1, D1, E1, E2, F1, G1) of raptor droppings revealed the positivity for *Salmonella* spp. in n = 3 Bald eagle (*H. leucocephalus*) (A1, A2, A3), n = 1 Bateleur (*T. ecaudatus*) (B1), n = 1 Eurasian eagle-owl (*B. Bubo*) (C1), n = 1 Crested caracara (*C. plancus*) (D1) and n = 2 African fish eagle (*H. vocifer*) (E1, E2) for a total of 8 positive samples. All other specimens' droppings were negative. The serotyping analysis of the strains isolated led to the following results: n = 5 *S. bredeney* (4,12,27:l,v:1,7) O:4(B) (Bald eagle A2 and A3, Bateleur B1, Eurasian eagle-owl C1, Crested caracara D1), n = 1 *S. infantis* (6,7:r:1,5) O:7 (C₁) (Bald eagle A1), n = 1 *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* IIIb (50:r:1,5) O:50 (Z) (African fish eagle E1), n = 1 *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* IIIb (35:r:2₃₅) O:35 (O) (African fish eagle E2). In the second sampling set (specimens D2, H1, I1, I2, I3, L1, M1, N1 and G1), all the birds of prey droppings resulted negative for *Salmonella* spp., which was subsequently serotyped as *S. infantis*. All the birds of prey tested, included the positive ones to *Salmonella*, showed no evident symptoms during the entire monitored period and had not undergone any medical treatments.

The analyses of food samples collected on 26 August 2021 resulted in only one sample of chicken neck (CN1) testing positive for *Salmonella* spp. and all the others negative (CN1a, CC1, CC2, CC3). The isolated colonies confirmed as *Salmonella* spp. from the positive sample were serotyped and identified as two serotypes: *S. bredeney* and *S. infantis*. The two samples collected on 7 and 28 September 2021 (respectively, CN2 and CN3) were both positive to *Salmonella* spp. The serotyping analysis on strains from several colonies identified three serotypes: *S. bredeney* and *S. infantis* in both samples (CN2, CN3), and *S. give* (3,10:1,v:1,7) O:3,10 (E₁), only in one sample CN3.

In summary, a total of n = 31 raptor droppings samples (from 18 individuals), n = 4 samples of chicken necks and n = 3 samples of chick carcasses (whole) were tested. The analyses identified n = 12 positive samples for *Salmonella* spp. (n = 9 droppings and n = 3 chicken necks). A total of n = 16 *Salmonella* spp. strains were isolated: n = 8 *S. bredeney* strains, n = 5 *S. infantis*, n = 1 *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* IIIb (50:r:1.5) O:50 (*Z*), n = 1 *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* IIIb (35:r:235) O:35 (O), n = 1 *S. give*. The sampling date and the results are reported in Table 1 for raptor specimens and Table 2 for food samples.

| Raptors Species | ID Code | 20 July 2021 | 5 August 2021 | 27 December 2021 | Serovar | NCBI Acc. No. |
|--|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) | A1 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Infantis | SAMN40917614 |
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) | A2 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917609 |
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) | A3 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917606 |
| Bateleur (Terathopius ecaudatus) | B1 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917610 |
| Eurasian eagle-owl (Bubo bubo) | C1 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917608 |
| Crested caracara (Caracara plancus) | D1 | + | / | _ | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917607 |
| Crested caracara (Caracara plancus) | D2 | / | _ | + | Salmonella Infantis | SAMN40917615 |

Table 1. Description of sampling scheme of collected droppings of birds of prey. For each sampling date, the results of *Salmonella* spp. detection are reported [(-) negative, (+) positive, (/) if not sampled], and the servor identified (n/a if not applicable).

| Raptors Species | ID Code | 20 July 2021 | 5 August 2021 | 27 December 2021 | Serovar | NCBI Acc. No. | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| African Fish Eagle (Haliaeetus vocifer) | E1 | + | / | _ | Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae IIIb (50:r:1.5) O:50 (Z) | sequencing not performed | | |
| African Fish Eagle (Haliaeetus vocifer) | E2 | + | / | _ | Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae IIIb (35:r:z35) O:35 (O) | sequencing not performed | | |
| Black-chested buzzard-eagle (Geranoaetus melanoleucus) | F1 | _ | / | _ | n/a | n/a | | |
| Hooded vulture (Necrosyrtes monachus) | G1 | _ | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |
| Egyptian Vulture (Neophron percnopterus) | H1 | / | _ | _ | n/a | n/a | | |
| Barn Owl (Tyto alba) | I1 | / | _ | _ | n/a | n/a | | |
| Barn Owl (Tyto alba) | I2 | / | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |
| Barn Owl (Tyto alba) | I3 | / | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |
| Tawny owl (Strix aluco) | L1 | / | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |
| Black kite (Milvus migrans) | M1 | / | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |
| Harris's hawk (Parabuteo unicinctus) | N1 | / | _ | / | n/a | n/a | | |

Table 1. Cont.

Table 2. Description of sampling scheme of the feed and food matrix collected (chicken necks and chicks). For each sampling date, the results of *Salmonella* spp. detection are reported [(–) negative, (+) positive, (/) if not sampled], and the servorar identified (n/a if not applicable).

| Feed Matrix | ID Code | 26 August 2021 | 7 September 2021 | 28 September 2021 | Serovar | NCBI Acc. No. |
|--|---------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | CN1 | | / | 1 | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917603 |
| | CINI | т | / | 1 | <i>Salmonella</i> Infantis | SAMN40917611 |
| | CN1a | — | / | / | n/a | n/a |
| Chicken necks (Gallus gallus) | CND | / | | 1 | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917604 |
| | CINZ | / | + | 1 | <i>Salmonella</i> Infantis | SAMN40917612 |
| | | | | | Salmonella Bredeney | SAMN40917605 |
| | CN3 | / | / | + | <i>Salmonella</i> Infantis | SAMN40917613 |
| | | | | | Salmonella Give | sequencing not performed |
| | CC1 | — | / | / | n/a | n/a |
| Carcasses of chicks (Gallus gallus) | CC2 | _ | / | / | n/a | n/a |
| | CC3 | _ | / | / | n/a | n/a |

3.2. AST

Results of AST were obtained for all the 16 *Salmonella* spp. isolates. In detail, the AMR phenotypes of *S. infantis* (n = 5) and *S. bredeney* (n = 8) are reported in Table 3. All 13 isolates were resistant to at least two antimicrobial classes (tetracyclines and sulphonamides) and most of them (9/13 isolates including four *S. bredeney* and five *S. infantis*) were also MDR, being resistant to at least three antimicrobial classes (fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines and sulphonamides). Most of the MDR *S. infantis* were microbiologically (and clinically for beta-lactams and ciprofloxacin) resistant to five (beta-lactams, fluroquinolones, trimethoprim, sulphonamides, tetracyclines, n = 2 isolates) or six (beta-lactams, phenicols, fluroquinolones, trimethoprim, sulphonamides, tetracyclines, n = 2 isolates) antimicrobial classes. Of them, two *S. infantis*, both isolated from birds of prey (A1 and D2), were also Extended Spectrum Cephalosporin Resistant (ESC-R), displaying MIC values of 4 mg/L and >4 mg/L for ceftazidime and cefotaxime, respectively. Differently, the two *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* IIIb and the one *S. give* isolates resulted fully susceptible.

3.3. Whole-Genome Sequencing and In Silico Analysis

The 13 isolates identified as *S. infantis* and *S. bredeney* were successfully sequenced (isolate ID reported in Table 3). The strains were in silico serotyped and multilocus sequence typed (MLST). The strains previously identified as *S. bredeney* found in raptors A2, A3, B1, D1, C1, and chicken necks CN1, CN2 and CN3, resulted in having in silico predicted profile *S. bredeney*, 4:1,v:1,7, and all belonging to the ST897. The strains identified as *S. infantis* found in raptors A1 and D2, and in chicken neck CN1, CN2 and CN3, resulted in having in silico predicted profile *S. infantis*, 7:r:1,5, and belonging to the ST32, the most common ST for this serovar (Enterobase). The analysis of cgMLST (Figure 2) showed that the isolates of *S. bredeney* formed a unique cluster cgST 201416 with isolates from birds of prey (A2, A3, B1, D1 and C1) and chicken necks (C1, CN2 and CN3). The pairwise allelic distances were from 0 to 4. The same analysis for the isolates identified as *S. infantis* produced three clusters: cgST 145963 (isolated from droppings of A1 and D2), the cluster cgST 93308 (CN2 and CN3) and cgST 254768 (CN1).

| | | | | | Aminoglycoside | | | | | Aminocyclitol | Beta-Lactam Phenicol | | Phenicol Folate Pathway Antagonist | | | | | | | (Fluoro)quinolone | | | Tetracycline | | Plasmid | | MR Phenotype | |
|--|-----------|----------|------|--------|----------------|-------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Sample ID | Origin | Serotype | MLST | cgMLST | aadA1 | aadA2 | aph(3')-Ia | aph(3")-Ib | aph(6)-Id | ant(3'')-Ia | bla _{CTX-M-1} | blaTEM-1B | cmlA1 | dfrA1 | dfrA12 | dfrA14 | 1 Ins | sul2 sul3 | gyrA (D87G) | gyrA (D87Y) | parC (T57S) | qnrB19 | <i>mef</i> (B) | tet(A) | <i>tet</i> (B) | Col(pHAD28) | IncFIB(pN55391) | < |
| Chicken neck CN1 | Food | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | + - | | - | + | + | - | - 1 | + | + | - | NAL-CIP-SUL-TET |
| Chicken neck CN2 | Food | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | - | _ | - 1 | + | - | _ | NAL-CIP-SUL-TET |
| Chicken neck CN3 | Food | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | _ | _ | - 1 | + | _ | _ | SUL-TET |
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) A3 | Droppings | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | + | _ | - | + | + | _ | NAL-CIP-SUL-TET |
| Crested caracara (Caracara plancus) D1 | Droppings | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | _ | - | + | + | + | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - 1 | + - | _ | _ | + | + | _ | - 1 | + | + | _ | NAL-CIP-SUL-TET |
| Eurasian eagle-owl (Bubo bubo) C1 | Droppings | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | - | _ | - 1 | + | - | _ | SUL-TET |
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) A2 | Droppings | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | _ | _ | - 1 | + | _ | _ | SUL-TET |
| Bateleur (Terathopius ecaudatus) B1 | Droppings | Bredeney | 897 | 201416 | - | - | + | + | + | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | | + - | _ | _ | + | _ | _ | - 1 | + | _ | _ | SUL-TET |
| Chicken neck CN1 | Food | Infantis | 32 | 254768 | - | - | - | _ | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | | _ | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | NAL-CIP-SUL-TET |
| Chicken neck CN2 | Food | Infantis | 32 | 93308 | + | + | + | - | - 1 | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | - + | + | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | + | AMP-CHL-NAL-CIP-SUL-TET-TMP |
| Chicken neck CN3 | Food | Infantis | 32 | 93308 | + | + | + | - | _ | _ | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | - + | + | - | + | _ | + | + | - | - | + | AMP-CHL-NAL-CIP-SUL-TET-TMP |
| Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) A1 | Droppings | Infantis | 32 | 145963 | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | + | | + | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | + | AMP-FOT-TAZ-NAL-CIP-SUL-TET-TMP |
| Crested caracara (Caracara plancus) D2 | Droppings | Infantis | 32 | 145963 | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | + | _ | - | + | - | + | + | | + | | + | _ | - | + | - [| - | + | AMP-FOT-TAZ-NAL-CIP-SUL-TET-TMP |

Table 3. Summary of mainly strains features, presence (+, highlighted in light grey) or absence (–) of resistance genes and plasmid replicons for *S. bredeney* and *S. infantis* isolates.

Abbreviations: AMP = ampicillin; CHL = chloramphenicol; CIP = ciprofloxacin; FOT = cefotaxime; NAL = nalidixic acid; SUL = sulfamethoxazole; TAZ = ceftazidime; TET = tetracycline; TMP = trimethoprim.



Figure 2. The minimum spanning tree (MST) of the cgMLST of *S. bredeney* isolates (**A**) and *S. infantis* isolates (**B**). The numbers on the branches represent the allelic distances (amount of different alleles) between isolates.

The phenotypic resistance patterns were confirmed by the presence of the corresponding AMR genes in almost all isolates (Table 3). In detail, all sulphonamide-resistant isolates harboured the corresponding sulfamethoxazole resistance genes *sul1* (*S. infantis*) or *sul2* (*S.* Bredeney), all tetracycline-resistant isolates harboured *tet*A (*S. infantis*) or *tet*B (*S. bredeney*), and the two chloramphenicol-resistant *S. infantis* harboured *cmlA1*. As for beta-lactam resistance in *S. infantis*, ampicillin-resistant isolates harboured the *bla*_{TEM-1B} gene, while the two ESC-R isolates harboured the ESBL gene *bla*_{CTX-M1}. Fluoroquinolone resistance in all *S. infantis* isolates was conferred by chromosomal point mutations in both *gyrA* (D87G or D87Y) and *parC* (T57S), while in the four fluoroquinolone-resistant *S. bredeney* presented *qnrB19* gene and *parC* mutation (T57S). One fluoroquinolone-resistant *S. bredeney* presented

only the *parC* mutation (T57S). The strains of *S. bredeney* all belonging to the same cluster, shared almost the same resistance genes pattern and the three isolates positive for *qnrB19*, co-harboured on the same contig, the Col(pHAD28) plasmid replicon. For *S. infantis*, the resistance genes pattern was shared within strains belonging to the same cgMLST, and all were positive for the IncFIB (pN55391) plasmid replicon. One of the cgST 145963 strains was also positive for the Col(pHAD28) plasmid replicon. The schematic results of resistance genes and plasmids found are reported in Table 3.

3.4. pESI-like Megaplasmid Presence in S. Infantis Isolates

The analysis in silico of the markers of pESI in *S. infantis* assemblies (Figure 3) detected the presence of the backbone of pESI, the truncated *oriV* from *IncP* (replicate origin associated a IncP plasmids; AM261769), the *qacE* Δ gene (encoding resistence to quaternary ammonium compounds) and the *fim* gene (encoding a fimbria protein) in all 5 isolates. The K88 gene (a gene included in a chaperon-usher fimbria operon) was found only in three of the isolates. After mapping the quality-trimmed reads of the WGS of the *S. infantis* isolates against CTX-M-1 (NZ_OW849779.1), the coverage of the plasmid sequence found was 99.19% (A1), 95.76% (CN1), 98.51% (CN2), 98.50% (CN3) and 99.64% (D2).



Figure 3. Graphical representation of the similarity of some contigs of the *S. infantis* assemblies isolate from bald eagle (A1, in fuchsia), chicken neck (CN1, CN2, CN3) and Crested caracara (D2; in yellow), when compared with the reference pESI plasmid (NZ_OW849779.1). Annotation of the genes is based on the sequence of NZ_OW849779.1.

The blast alignment of the assemblies against the reference pESI-CTX-M-1 (NZ_OW849779.1) (Figure 3) evinced that some contigs of the *S. infantis* genome could be identified as part of the pESI plasmid. Those contigs contained *dfrA1*, *sul1* and *tetA* in all 5 *S. infantis*, *dfrA14* in all but in CN1, *aph*(3") only in CN2 and CN3 and *bla*_{CTX-M-1} only in A1 and D2. All assemblies also carried resistance genes towards heavy metals (*merA*) and disinfectants (*qac*E Δ). Although, plasmidFinder identified IncFIB, described as the origin of replication for pESI, it was missing in the blast CN3 alignment. Together, those evidences indicated that all the 5 *S. infantis* isolates harboured pESI.

4. Discussion

Our study reports the infection of birds of prey hosted in a wildlife recovery centre with two potentially pathogenic serovars of *Salmonella* spp. (*S. bredeney* and *S. infantis*). Since 2018, the wildlife recovery centre has sent samples regarding the health monitoring of hosted animals to IZSLT. To our knowledge, the described events in the study were the first occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. detection. The infection of *S. bredeney* in the five raptors was assessed as associated and genetically linked to the raw chicken necks used to feed the individuals. The cluster analysis of the core genome confirmed the chicken necks as the source of this contamination. The *S. infantis* infection of three birds of prey was reconducted genetically to a common source. However, the source was not identified in the samples of raw chicken necks collected in the warehouse of CITES centre, since no genetic correlation was found between strains isolated from raptors and chicken necks used as feed. The serovar *S. infantis* was strictly related to broiler sources (95.6%) according to the latest EU One Health 2022 Zoonoses Report [16], leading to the hypothesis that also for these raptors, the source of contamination may likely have been raw chicken meat, probably used as feed.

In the literature, there are no studies reporting bacterial contamination specifically in chicken neck meat, while there are several ones that focus on bacteriological analyses, including the search of Salmonella spp., on chicken neck skin. This matrix is particularly exposed to great faecal contamination during the slaughter process, where the animals are positioned upside down, favouring the flow of the washing liquid towards the neck [29]. In the cited studies, the Salmonella spp. recorded wide prevalence ranges (0–70%) in the sampled slaughterhouses [15,17,30]. Regarding the analysis of serotypes, S. infantis and S. bredeney were often isolated in these studies. Moreover, in Italy, S. infantis was the most isolated serotype within broiler farms (50.6%) and was frequently found in humans, representing a serotype of public health concern [31]. Although much less frequently, S. bredeney and S. give can also cause outbreaks of human salmonellosis, mainly causing gastrointestinal symptoms [32,33]. S. enterica subsp. diarizonae, being part of the nonenterica subspecies, is usually considered an opportunistic pathogen; however, it has been associated with cases of gastroenteritis, especially in children. Beside S. enterica subsp. diarizonae, other non-enterica subspecies (S. enterica subsp. arizonae, S. enterica subsp. houtenae and S. enterica subsp. salamae) were associated with human diseases in the last 20 years [14,34]. Over the last 20 years, some studies have been carried out on birds of prey present in wildlife recovery centres located in Spain: prevalence rates of infection with Salmonella spp. ranged around 5–10%. The most identified serotypes were S. bredeney, S. enteriditis, S. typhimurium and S. havana [4,35,36]. Also, in a study carried out in Southern Italy on carcasses of wild birds of prey, a similar prevalence of infection with Salmonella spp. was observed (6.8%), in particular, S. salamae, S. napoli and S. typhimurium [37].

Captivity plays a fundamental role in influencing the oral and intestinal microbiome of hosted birds of prey and is also associated with high rates of antibiotic resistance, compared to free-living birds [38]. This change can already occur after a month of direct contact between animals and humans, and the diet, especially if based on raw food, represents the first determining causal factor [39–41]. Some studies have shown that birds of prey fed poultry meat develop a wider range of Gram-negative bacterial flora [38]; in particular, a study on falcons has shown that the diets most commonly fed to these birds increase the levels of *Salmonella* in the intestinal flora [42].

To avoid the transmission of *Salmonella* and other bacteria from hosted birds to humans, it is essential to train animal care personnel on the potential zoonotic risks of these pathogens, through appropriate adoption of adequate hygiene and personal protection measures. Increasing biosecurity is certainly the most effective method to prevent outbreaks of infectious diseases within captivity facilities [38].

Three serovars of potentially pathogenic *Salmonella* were found (*S. bredeney* and *S. infantis* in samples of chicken neks and raptor stools, and *S. give*, only in chicken necks). In the literature, phylogenetic analyses of *S. bredeney* partitioned the serovar in two ST (ST241 and ST897) [43]. In particular, the ST897 is associated also with the serovars *S. kimuenza*, an infrequent serotype found in humans, livestock and poultry [44–46]. Furthermore, the strains of *S. infantis* all belonged to ST32, considered the dominant MLST type of this serovar [47]. A recent study based on genomes deposited in a public database revealed that, in Europe, this ST represents more than 97% of strains and 99% worldwide [47].

For *S. infantis* and *S. bredeney*, it is noteworthy that most of the isolates (9/13) were MDR. In particular, 4/8 S. bredeney were MDR, showing resistance to tetracyclines, sulphonamides and fluoroquinolones. In three isolates, resistance to (fluoro)quinolones, a Highest Priority Critically Important antimicrobial (HPCIA) [48] class, was conferred by the presence of qnrB19 located in Col(pHAD28) plasmids, as previously observed for other *Salmonella* servars [49]. The same isolates showed the concomitant presence of the T57S parC mutation. Although the role of the ParC T57S substitution conferring (fluoro)quinolone resistance is still controversial [50], we detected in one fluoroquinoloneresistant isolate (CN2 with MIC values of 0,12 and 16 mg/L for ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid, respectively) only the T57S parC mutation. As for the five S. infantis isolates, all were MDR showing microbiological resistance to five or six antimicrobial classes. Two of them, A1 and D2, were also ESBL-producers (CTX-M-1 type), thus including resistance to two antimicrobial classes (3rd and 4th generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones) classified as HPCIAs [48]. The increasing prevalence of pESI(like)-positive, MDR S. infantis in Europe is of major concern. In all the S. infantis assemblies, pESI markers have been identified, and the presence of an elevated proportion of the plasmid sequence has been assessed by mapping of the raw reads. This suggests the presence of this megaplasmid in S. infantis isolated from chicken meat and in stool from birds of prey. Moreover, the results of the molecular characterisation of the isolated pointed out that the resistance genes, including *bla*_{CTX-M-1}, in the two CTX-M-producing *S. infantis*, were located in the pESI megaplasmid. The importance of this particular plasmid is that it is a mosaic plasmid of around 300 kbp with an elevated capacity to acquire AMR, virulence, fitness genes and toxin/antitoxin systems that enhance its persistence in the S. infantis host [19,26]. Indeed, as observed in the S. infantis herein described, in the CTX-M-1 producing S. infantis clone circulating in Italy and other European countries, this megaplasmid typically carries together with the ESBL gene bla_{CTX-M-1}, also tet(A), sul1, dfrA1 or dfrA14 and, in some cases, aadA1 (conferring resistance to tetracycline, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and streptomycin, respectively), as well as resistance genes towards heavy metals (*merA*) and disinfectants ($qacE\Delta$) [19].

5. Conclusions

Birds of prey can harbour different species of *Salmonella* spp., including serotypes pathogenic to humans and carriers of AMR, such as those found in the CITES-hosted raptors covered by this study. Feeding may pose an infection risk for these birds kept in captivity. In our study, the presence of *S. bredeney* strains was highlighted in the necks of chickens used for bird feeding, genetically linked to the strains identified in the droppings of the birds, confirming the source of contamination. Regarding the strains of serovar *S. infantis*, found both in raptors' droppings and chicken necks, they were not genetically related, leaving the source of this specific contamination unknown. Chicken neck meat, contaminated by three serovars of *Salmonella* and used as feed for birds in the wildlife recovery centre, was intended also for human consumption after cooking, as reported in its own label. Even if birds of prey were found to be only asymptomatic reservoirs of

Salmonella, these findings emphasise the need to maintain the same requirements even in the event of a change in the intended use of the food as feed for animals.

This study underlines the importance of screening for the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in wild bird species, even in captivity, as well as the importance of assessing the AMR and plasmid features of the strains found. It is crucial to investigate the use of controlled foods for captive species in order to avoid infections, which could also represent a risk for humans, due to the close contact between humans and animals in captivity.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, M.L.D.M., V.R. and C.C.; methodology, P.A.; formal analysis, V.R.; investigation, A.F.D.B., G.C., I.F., A.D.S., F.D.G. and P.B.; data curation, C.C., M.S. and G.T.; writing—original draft preparation, A.F.D.B., V.R. and V.C.; writing—review and editing, C.C., M.L.D.M. and P.A.; visualisation, V.R. and P.A.; supervision, T.B., M.S., G.T. and M.L.D.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially funded by Italian Ministry of Health, grant number MSMT23.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This work received the internal approval of our institution. Ethics Committee approval is not required for this work since no manipulation or experiments were performed on living animals. This study involved only birds' droppings and food.

Data Availability Statement: Raw reads are stored in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) at the GenBank database (NCBI) under the BioProject PRJNA1098632, BioSamples from SAMN40917603 to SAMN40917615.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Italian military police Corp "Raggruppamento Carabinieri Biodiversità Reparto di Lucca", Cecilia Tucci, Diego Gregori and Renato Ceccherelli for the sampling and helpfulness in reconstructing the investigation on the animals. We thank the entire staff of the Food Microbiology Unit (IZSLT) and the staff of the Territorial Units of Pisa (IZSLT) for technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Milanov, D.; Fabijan, D.; Prunić, B.; Velhner, M.; Petrović, T. Enteric bacteria in fecal samples of Eurasian griffon vultures. *Arch. Vet. Med.* **2014**, *6*, 45–53. [CrossRef]
- Konicek, C.; Vodrážka, P.; Barták, P.; Knotek, Z.; Hess, C.; Račka, K.; Hess, M.; Troxler, S. Detection of zoonotic pathogens in wild birds in the cross-border region Austria—Czech Republic. J. Wildl. Dis. 2016, 52, 850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martín-Maldonado, B.; Montoro-Dasi, L.; Pérez-Gracia, M.T.; Jordá, J.; Vega, S.; Marco-Jiménez, F.; Marin, C. Wild Bonelli's eagles (Aquila fasciata) as carrier of antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* and Campylobacter in Eastern Spain. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 67, 101372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jurado-Tarifa, E.; Torralbo, A.; Borge, C.; Cerdà-Cuéllar, M.; Ayats, T.; Carbonero, A.; García-Bocanegra, I. Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance of Campylobacter and *Salmonella* strains isolated from decoys and raptors. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 48, 14–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Khafagy, A.; Kamel, A.; Moursy, M.; Aidaroos, N.; Ahmed, D. Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of Gram negative bacteria Isolated from Birds of Prey (Raptors). *Suez Canal Vet. Med. J. SCVMJ* **2018**, 23, 31–44. [CrossRef]
- 6. Tizard, I. Salmonellosis in wild birds. Semin. Avian Exot. Pet Med. 2004, 13, 50–66. [CrossRef]
- De Luca, C.; Niero, G.; Cattarossi, D.; Bedin, M.; Piccirillo, A. Pet and captive birds as potential reservoirs of zoonotic bacteria. J. Exot. Pet Med. 2018, 27, 17–20. [CrossRef]
- 8. Botti, V.; Navillod, F.V.; Domenis, L.; Orusa, R.; Pepe, E.; Robetto, S.; Guidetti, C. *Salmonella* spp. and antibiotic-resistant strains in wild mammals and birds in north-western Italy from 2002 to 2010. *Vet. Ital.* **2013**, *49*, 195–202.
- Grimont, P.A.D.; Weill, F.-X. WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella Antigenic Formulae of the Salmonella serovars, 9th ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2007.
- Graziani, C.; Losasso, C.; Luzzi, I.; Ricci, A.; Scavia, G.; Pasquali, P. Chapter 5—Salmonella. In Foodborne Diseases, 3rd ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2017; pp. 133–169. ISBN 9780123850072.
- 11. Issenhuth-Jeanjean, S.; Roggentin, P.; Mikoleit, M.; Guibourdenche, M.; De Pinna, E.; Nair, S.; Fields, P.I.; Weill, F.X. Supplement 2008-2010 (no. 48) to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme. *Res. Microbiol.* **2014**, *165*, 526–530. [CrossRef]
- 12. Pulford, C.V.; Wenner, N.; Redway, M.L.; Rodwell, E.V.; Webster, H.J.; Escudero, R.; Kröger, C.; Canals, R.; Rowe, W.; Lopez, J.; et al. The diversity, evolution and ecology of *Salmonella* in venomous snakes. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2019**, *13*, e0007169. [CrossRef]
- Vila Nova, M.; Durimel, K.; La, K.; Felten, A.; Bessières, P.; Mistou, M.Y.; Mariadassou, M.; Radomski, N. Genetic and metabolic signatures of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* associated with animal sources at the pangenomic scale. *BMC Genom.* 2019, 20, 814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 14. Lamas, A.; Miranda, J.M.; Regal, P.; Vázquez, B.; Franco, C.M.; Cepeda, A. A comprehensive review of non-enterica subspecies of *Salmonella enterica*. *Microbiol. Res.* **2018**, 206, 60–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peruzy, M.F.; Proroga, Y.T.R.; Capuano, F.; Mancusi, A.; Montone, A.M.I.; Cristiano, D.; Balestrieri, A.; Murru, N. Occurrence and distribution of *Salmonella* serovars in carcasses and foods in southern Italy: Eleven-year monitoring (2011–2021). *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 1005035. [CrossRef]
- 16. European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (EFSA and ECDC). The European Union One Health 2022 Zoonoses Report. *EFSA J.* **2023**, *21*, e8442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Sakaridis, I.; Soultos, N.; Iossifidou, E.; Koidis, P.; Ambrosiadis, I. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serovars from chicken carcasses in Northern Greece. *J. Food Saf.* **2011**, *31*, 203–210. [CrossRef]
- 18. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA); European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). The European Union summary report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2021–2022. *EFSA J.* **2024**, *22*, e8583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alba, P.; Leekitcharoenphon, P.; Carfora, V.; Amoruso, R.; Cordaro, G.; Matteo, P.D.; Ianzano, A.; Iurescia, M.; Diaconu, E.L.; ENGAGE-EURL-AR Network Study Group; et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Salmonella* Infantis in Europe: Insights into the success of the bacterial host and its parasitic pESI-like megaplasmid. *Microb. Genom.* 2020, *6*, e000365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH) Salmonellosis. *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals;* World Organisation for Animal Health: Paris, France, 2022.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA); Amore, G.; Beloeil, P.; Fierro, R.G.; Guerra, B.; Papanikolaou, A.; Rizzi, V.; Stoicescu, A. Manual for reporting 2021 antimicrobial resistance data within the framework of Directive 2003/99/EC and Decision 2020/1729/EU. EFSA Support. Publ. 2021, 18, 6652E. [CrossRef]
- 22. De Bene, A.F.; Russini, V.; Corradini, C.; Vita, S.; Pecchi, S.; De Marchis, M.L.; Terracciano, G.; Focardi, C.; Montemaggiori, A.; Zuffi, M.A.L.; et al. An extremely rare serovar of *Salmonella enterica* (Yopougon) discovered in a Western Whip Snake (*Hierophis viridiflavus*) from Montecristo Island, Italy: Case report and review. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2024**, 206, 49. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhou, Z.; Alikhan, N.-F.; Sergeant, M.J.; Luhmann, N.; Vaz, C.; Francisco, A.P.; Carriço, J.A.; Achtman, M. GrapeTree: Visualization of core genomic relationships among 100,000 bacterial pathogens. *Genome Res.* 2018, 28, 1395–1404. [CrossRef]
- 24. Bharat, A.; Petkau, A.; Avery, B.P.; Chen, J.C.; Folster, J.P.; Carson, C.A.; Kearney, A.; Nadon, C.; Mabon, P.; Thiessen, J.; et al. Correlation between Phenotypic and In Silico Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance in *Salmonella enterica* in Canada Using Staramr. *Microorganisms* **2022**, *10*, 292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carattoli, A.; Zankari, E.; García-Fernández, A.; Voldby Larsen, M.; Lund, O.; Villa, L.; Møller Aarestrup, F.; Hasman, H. In Silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2014, *58*, 3895–3903. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alba, P.; Carfora, V.; Feltrin, F.; Diaconu, E.L.; Sorbara, L.; Dell'Aira, E.; Cerci, T.; Ianzano, A.; Donati, V.; Franco, A.; et al. Evidence of structural rearrangements in ESBL-positive pESI(like) megaplasmids of S. Infantis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2023, 370, fnad014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1990**, *215*, 403–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alikhan, N.-F.; Petty, N.K.; Ben Zakour, N.L.; Beatson, S.A. BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): Simple prokaryote genome comparisons. *BMC Genom.* 2011, 12, 402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Wu, D.; Alali, W.Q.; Harrison, M.A.; Hofacre, C.L. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in Neck Skin and Bone of Chickens. *J. Food Prot.* 2014, 77, 1193–1197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Iannetti, L.; Neri, D.; Santarelli, G.A.; Cotturone, G.; Podaliri Vulpiani, M.; Salini, R.; Antoci, S.; Di Serafino, G.; Di Giannatale, E.; Pomilio, F.; et al. Animal welfare and microbiological safety of poultry meat: Impact of different at-farm animal welfare levels on at-slaughterhouse Campylobacter and *Salmonella* contamination. *Food Control* 2020, 109, 106921. [CrossRef]
- Perilli, M.; Scattolini, S.; Telera, G.C.; Cornacchia, A.; Tucci, P.; Sacchini, F.; Sericola, M.; Romantini, R.; Marotta, F.; Di Provvido, A.; et al. Distribution of *Salmonella* spp. Serotypes Isolated from Poultry in Abruzzo and Molise Regions (Italy) during a 6-Year Period. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Moore, J. Comparison of phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of *Salmonella* Bredeney associated with a poultry-related outbreak of gastroenteritis in Northern Ireland. *J. Infect.* **2003**, *47*, 33–39. [CrossRef]
- Flórez, N.Y.; Arévalo, S.A.; Rodríguez, E.C.; Guerrero, J.; Valverde, K.P.; Díaz, P.L.; Montaño, L.A.; Gartner, D.M.; Duarte, C.; Moreno, J.E. Brote de *Salmonella* enterica subsp. enterica serovar Give asociado con enfermedad transmitida por alimentos en Vichada, Colombia, 2015. *Biomédica* 2021, 41, 41–51. [CrossRef]
- 34. Zhou, M.; Shi, Q.; Zhang, X.; Mei, L.; Ye, Y.; Fang, C.; Shang, S. *Salmonella* enterica subsp. diarizonae Harboring ST233, ST1263, and ST1845 in Children. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *11*, 727811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Reche, M.P.; Jiménez, P.A.; Alvarez, F.; García De Los Ríos, J.E.; Rojas, A.M.; De Pedro, P. Incidence of Salmonellae in Captive and Wild Free-Living Raptorial Birds in Central Spain. *J. Vet. Med. Ser. B* **2003**, *50*, 42–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Molina-Lopez, R.A.; Valverdú, N.; Martin, M.; Mateu, E.; Obon, E.; Cerdà-Cuéllar, M.; Darwich, L. Wild raptors as carriers of antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* strains. *Vet. Rec.* 2011, 168, 565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Gargiulo, A.; Fioretti, A.; Russo, T.P.; Varriale, L.; Rampa, L.; Paone, S.; De Luca Bossa, L.M.; Raia, P.; Dipineto, L. Occurrence of enteropathogenic bacteria in birds of prey in Italy. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2018**, *66*, 202–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 38. Magalhães, R.; Tavares, L.; Oliveira, M. Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence Potential of Bacterial Species from Captive Birds of Prey—Consequences of Falconry for Public Health. *Animals* **2024**, *14*, 856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Nagai, K.; Tokita, K.; Ono, H.; Uchida, K.; Sakamoto, F.; Higuchi, H. Hindgut Bacterial Flora Analysis in Oriental Honey Buzzard (Pernis ptilorhynchus). *Zoolog. Sci.* 2019, *36*, 77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Oliveira, B.C.M.; Murray, M.; Tseng, F.; Widmer, G. The fecal microbiota of wild and captive raptors. *Anim. Microbiome* **2020**, *2*, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alba, C.; Sansano-Maestre, J.; Cid Vázquez, M.D.; Martínez-Herrero, M.D.C.; Garijo-Toledo, M.M.; Azami-Conesa, I.; Moraleda Fernández, V.; Gómez-Muñoz, M.T.; Rodríguez, J.M. Captive Breeding and Trichomonas gallinae Alter the Oral Microbiome of Bonelli's Eagle Chicks. *Microb. Ecol.* 2023, *85*, 1541–1551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Ahmad, A.R.; Ridgeway, S.; Shibl, A.A.; Idaghdour, Y.; Jha, A.R. Falcon gut microbiota is shaped by diet and enriched in *Salmonella*. *PLoS ONE* **2024**, *19*, e0293895. [CrossRef]
- 43. Chattaway, M.A.; Langridge, G.C.; Wain, J. *Salmonella* nomenclature in the genomic era: A time for change. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 7494. [CrossRef]
- 44. Polat, İ.; Şen, B.; Onurdağ, F.K. *Salmonella enterica* serotypes isolated for the first time in laying hens, and their susceptibility to antibiotics. *Poult. Sci.* **2024**, *103*, 103180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, Z.; Alikhan, N.-F.; Mohamed, K.; Fan, Y.; Agama Study Group; Achtman, M. The EnteroBase user's guide, with case studies on *Salmonella transmissions*, Yersinia pestis phylogeny, and Escherichia core genomic diversity. *Genome Res.* 2020, 30, 138–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Achtman, M.; Zhou, Z.; Alikhan, N.-F.; Tyne, W.; Parkhill, J.; Cormican, M.; Chiou, C.-S.; Torpdahl, M.; Litrup, E.; Prendergast, D.M.; et al. Genomic diversity of *Salmonella enterica*-The UoWUCC 10K genomes project. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2021, *5*, 223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mattock, J.; Chattaway, M.A.; Hartman, H.; Dallman, T.J.; Smith, A.M.; Keddy, K.; Petrovska, L.; Manners, E.J.; Duze, S.T.; Smouse, S.; et al. A One Health Perspective on *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Infantis, an Emerging Human Multidrug-Resistant Pathogen. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2024, 30, 701–710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. World Health Organization. WHO List of Medically Important Antimicrobials A Risk Management Tool for Mitigating Antimicrobial Resistance Due to Non-Human Use; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2024.
- 49. Jibril, A.H.; Okeke, I.N.; Dalsgaard, A.; Menéndez, V.G.; Olsen, J.E. Genomic Analysis of Antimicrobial Resistance and Resistance Plasmids in *Salmonella* Serovars from Poultry in Nigeria. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, *99*. [CrossRef]
- Chang, M.-X.; Zhang, J.-F.; Sun, Y.-H.; Li, R.-S.; Lin, X.-L.; Yang, L.; Webber, M.A.; Jiang, H.-X. Contribution of Different Mechanisms to Ciprofloxacin Resistance in *Salmonella* spp. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, 12, 663731. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.