Check for updates

REVIEW ARTICLE **OPEN** Perinatal compromise affects development, form, and function

of the hippocampus part one; clinical studies

Tegan A. White^{1,2 M}, Suzanne L. Miller^{1,2}, Amy E. Sutherland^{1,2}, Beth J. Allison^{1,2,3} and Emily J. Camm^{1,2,3 M}

© The Author(s) 2024

The hippocampus is a neuron-rich specialised brain structure that plays a central role in the regulation of emotions, learning and memory, cognition, spatial navigation, and motivational processes. In human fetal development, hippocampal neurogenesis is principally complete by mid-gestation, with subsequent maturation comprising dendritogenesis and synaptogenesis in the third trimester of pregnancy and infancy. Dendritogenesis and synaptogenesis underpin connectivity. Hippocampal development is exquisitely sensitive to perturbations during pregnancy and at birth. Clinical investigations demonstrate that preterm birth, fetal growth restriction (FGR), and acute hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE) are common perinatal complications that alter hippocampal development. In turn, deficits in hippocampal development and structure mediate a range of neurodevelopmental disorders, including cognitive and learning problems, autism, and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). In this review, we summarise the developmental profile of the hippocampus during fetal and neonatal life and examine the hippocampal deficits observed following common human pregnancy complications.

Pediatric Research (2024) 95:1698–1708;<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-024-03105-7>

IMPACT:

- The review provides a comprehensive summary of the developmental profile of the hippocampus in normal fetal and neonatal life.
- We address a significant knowledge gap in paediatric research by providing a comprehensive summary of the relationship between pregnancy complications and subsequent hippocampal damage, shedding new light on this critical aspect of early neurodevelopment.

INTRODUCTION

The hippocampus lies deep within the medial temporal lobe of the brain and mediates critical functions related to emotional regulation, learning, memory, and cognitive functions. The primary cellular structure and hippocampal form is laid down in utero, 1 with postnatal development necessary for the full complement of cellular connections.^{[2](#page-8-0)} Both anatomically and functionally, the hippocampus is a heterogeneous structure, with distinct subfields that differentially regulate learning, memory, and emotions. Common pregnancy complications, which include preterm birth, fetal growth restriction (FGR), intrauterine inflammation, and acute hypoxic-ischaemic insult at birth, can have profound effects on brain development and disrupt the hippocampus with life-long consequences for brain function. The rapid growth of the hippocampus during the third trimester of pregnancy, combined with its high neuronal density, renders it susceptible to injury in the event of intrauterine compromise. $3,4$ Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies confirm that hippocampal structure is altered in human infants in response to perinatal compromise, with reduced hippocampal volume observed in children born preterm or growth restricted.^{[3,5](#page-8-0)–[8](#page-8-0)} A recent meta-analysis demonstrates that preterm-born individuals have smaller hippocampal volume compared to term-born

individuals, even after accounting for differences in brain size, indicating that in utero compromise adversely impacts hippo-campal growth.^{[9](#page-8-0)} Additionally, pregnancy complications can lead to alterations in the connective pathways between the hippocampus and other brain regions. Subsequent to these structural alterations, short- and long-term functional consequences have been described, including problems in cognition, memory, and motor function.

The breadth of clinical and preclinical studies to examine normal and disrupted hippocampal development has necessitated a two-part review. Part one of this review is focused on normal hippocampal structure and function and provides available evidence from human studies that common perinatal insults disrupt hippocampal development. In the second part of this review¹⁰, we introduce the preclinical literature which describes the mechanisms underlying altered hippocampal form and function, including impaired neuronal morphology and synaptic connectivity.

Overview of hippocampal structure

Hippocampus is derived from the Greek terms for horse (hippo) and sea (kampos), reflecting the resemblance of this structure to a seahorse. It lies within the medial temporal lobe and forms part of

Received: 24 October 2023 Revised: 30 January 2024 Accepted: 5 February 2024 Published online: 22 March 2024

¹The Ritchie Centre, Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ³These authors contributed equally: Beth J. Allison, Emily J. Camm. [⊠]email: tegan.white@monash.edu; emily.camm@hudson.org.au

Fig. 1 Diagram of the hippocampal formation. a Diagram depicting connectivity within the hippocampal region, and the connections to the entorhinal cortex (EC) layers (I-VI) and subcortical regions (i.e., thalamus, amygdala, hypothalamus). The distinct hippocampal subfields include the dentate gyrus (DG), Cornu ammonis (CA),1, CA2, CA3, and subiculum (S). b The hippocampus is comprised of five layers; stratum alveus (ALV) stratum oriens (SO), stratum pyramidale (SP), stratum radiatum (SR), and stratum lacunosum moleculare (SL-M). Imaged created with BioRender.com (agreement number YW266CRAY9).

the limbic system, regulating emotions, memory, cognitive function, spatial navigation, and motivational processes.[11](#page-8-0)–[13](#page-8-0) The hippocampus comprises four cornu ammonis (CA) subfields (CA1, CA2, CA3 and CA4) and, together with the dentate gyrus (DG), subiculum and entorhinal cortex, is termed the hippocampal $formation^{14–16}$ $formation^{14–16}$ $formation^{14–16}$ $formation^{14–16}$ $formation^{14–16}$ (Fig. 1). These regions of the hippocampal formation form a tightly connected circuit from the entorhinal cortex to the DG, and then into the CA subfields, with outputs from the subiculum to the thalamus, amygdala, hypothalamus, septum, and prefrontal cortex.^{[15](#page-8-0)} The hippocampus is a neuronrich, five-layer structure; a thin layer of white matter consisting of axons, the stratum alveus (ALV), a pyramidal neuronal layer, stratum pyramidale (SP) with basal dendrites extending to the stratum oriens (SO) and apical dendrites projecting into the stratum radiatum (SR), and stratum lacunosum moleculare (SL-M) layers (Fig. 1b). Supporting the pyramidal cells within the hippocampus are interneurons in the SO and SL-M layers, which are present in many different subtypes, however, all contribute to synaptic connections and cell signalling, and allow the intricacies of the hippocampal circuit to function appropriately.^{[17](#page-8-0)}

Hippocampal structure and development

Hippocampal development commences within weeks of conception and continues through the first years of life in human infants (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). By week 8−9 of human gestation, the hippocampus is distinguishable from other brain regions, marking the beginning of distinct hippocampal development.^{[2](#page-8-0)} The DG and CA begin as thin structures, and from 10 weeks' gestation growth rate and thickness increase resulting in the folding of the DG and CA between 13 and 16 weeks' gestation to form two interlocking C-shaped structures, in a process termed hippocampal inver-sion.^{[2](#page-8-0),[20,21](#page-8-0)} Histological assessments from Humphrey^{[20](#page-8-0)} formed the basis for many diagrammatic representations of hippocampal development during gestation, particularly describing this inversion, folding, and sulcation process. From the time of hippocampal inversion until approximately 20 weeks' gestation represents a period of rapid growth, and it is said that the hippocampus develops faster than most other brain regions during this time, with peak neurogenesis occurring over this period.^{[1](#page-8-0)} By 18 to 21 weeks' gestation, the cellular foundations of the *hippocampal* formation are in place with the characteristic folded structure and sulcus present, with a near-full complement of pyramidal neurons.^{[1,21](#page-8-0)} Thus, it is said that the hippocampus resembles the 'adult' form by mid-gestation in the human.^{[1,21](#page-8-0)} Histological analysis of human fetal and infant hippocampal samples demonstrates that pyramidal neurons are primarily laid down in the first half of pregnancy. $²$ </sup>

The pyramidal neurons within the hippocampus align in an organised unidirectional formation along the pyramidale layer, residing within the five-layered structure from external to internal hippocampus; ALV, SO, SP, SR, and SL-M, 23 23 23 with the pyramidal neuronal cell bodies sitting within the SP (Fig. 1). Interneurons reside within the SO and SL-M layers and support the connectivity and function of the pyramidal neurons. Formation of this fivelayered structure occurs over a prolonged period in late gestation, with the pyramidal neurons following a "climbing" technique from the ventricular zone, where they are generated, to the SP layer, where they will reside. The climbing technique seen in the hippocampus differs from the typical migration of cortical and
neocortical neurons^{[24](#page-8-0),[25](#page-8-0)} and occurs due to the highly branched processes on the migrating hippocampal cells, which make contact with the radial fibres to allow a zig-zag motion through to the crowded SP layer. 25 In the CA1 region, once the cell bodies of the pyramidal neurons reach their final destination within the SP, the neurons commence neurite outgrowth with basal dendrites extending into the ALV and SO, and apical dendrites projecting down into the SR and SL-M. The migration patterns of neurons within CA3 are thought to be similar to the CA1
neurons,^{[24,26](#page-8-0)} however, less is known about the migration patterns of the other CA regions.

Mature pyramidal neurons have a highly arborised dendritic structure, which is an important determinant in the complexity of functions mediated by the hippocampus. 27 Dendritogenesis of hippocampal neurons occurs from approximately mid-pregnancy in the human fetus and extends well into infancy. 22 Structural analysis of CA3 hippocampal neurons in the human brain demonstrated that at 18 weeks' gestation, both apical and basal dendrites were present but sparsely distributed, while at 33 weeks'

Fig. 2 Timeline of perinatal injury in humans relative to hippocampal development milestones. Dark solid colour indicates peak development, or time of insult.

gestation, dendritic arborisation had increased 3-fold and showed a highly developed structure.^{[28](#page-8-0)} Commencing days after a neuron has been generated, neurite sprouting commences, with one neurite extending in length to send the axon to the target area, while remaining neurites grow, extend and branch into the dendritic arbour for the establishment of synaptic connections.^{[29](#page-8-0)} These synaptic connections between cells occur as membranous protrusions along the dendrites called spines. Spines are diverse in shape and length resulting in subtypes (filipodia, thin, stubby, mushroom spines) classification that develop along different timelines; filipodia are long and thin protrusions that exist transiently early in postnatal life and decrease into adulthood.³ Thin, stubby and mushroom spines are more stable with longterm potentiation, and regenerate throughout all stages of development, providing strong connections between synapses for optimal hippocampal function.^{[30](#page-8-0)} Bourne and Harris³¹ describe an extensive list of molecular mediators (e.g., PSD-95, CamKII, Actin, N-cadherin) of spine development, stabilisation, and plasticity, highlighting the dynamic and adaptive nature of dendritic spines, which in the hippocampus is likely an important factor for structural and functional plasticity.

In Fig. 2, we broadly describe the developmental profile of the CA1 – CA3 regions. It is crucial, however, to appreciate the important role of the DG as the gateway of the hippocampus. Moreover, there are distinct structural and functional differences between the DG and the CA regions. For example, maturation of the DG occurs later than $CA1 - CA3$.^{[32,33](#page-8-0)} The DG granule cell layer appears from the 12th week of gestation, with a high rate of cell proliferation from this timepoint through to the 24th week of pregnancy. From the 24th week of gestation, neurogenesis slows significantly but continues to about two years of age, where it then remains lifelong although at a diminished rate.^{[2,33](#page-8-0)} One of the critical differences between the DG and the CA regions lies in the capacity of the DG for ongoing neurogenesis throughout life, with new neurons generated in the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the DG.^{[34,35](#page-8-0)} Thus, the DG is considered to be a unique brain region as it holds a pool of neural stem cells that produce new neurons, contributing to brain plasticity and tissue regeneration. 32 While knowledge gaps remain regarding the drivers and processes of adult neurogenesis, with likely some overlap between embryonic and adult neurogenesis, it is argued that lifelong neuronal

regeneration is confined to the DG. 36 The synaptic plasticity of DG hippocampal cells is regulated by activity and experiences that result in the formation of new memories and mediate DG neurogenesis.^{[29](#page-8-0)} Interestingly, the granule cells of the DG are more resistant than pyramidal neurons of the CA1 to a number of adverse conditions, $37,38$ $37,38$ $37,38$ and therefore, the majority of the clinical research effort to date investigating hippocampal deficits has focussed on the CA regions.

The vasculature within the CA regions of the hippocampus is relatively sparse given the area of the hippocampus relative to other brain regions, 39 with fewer, widely spaced microvessels, requiring oxygen to diffuse further into tissue.^{[39](#page-8-0)} The lower vascular density is matched by a relatively low basal blood flow (~50% lower basal blood flow compared to the thalamus or brainstem).^{[40](#page-8-0)} As would be expected, metabolic demand in the hippocampus matches the low vascular density and blood flow, with adjacent hippocampal pyramidal neurons not likely to be active simultaneously, reducing local energy demand compared to cortical regions[.39](#page-8-0) However, the low vascular density but neuron-rich population may explain the susceptibility of the hippocampus to perinatal compromise, as the sparse vasculature is not well suited to rapid adjustments in oxygen supply in response to a hypoxic insult.

Hippocampal connectivity

The size, anatomical structure, and extensive connectivity within and external to the hippocampus are key to its heterogeneous functionality (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). The axons that emanate from neurons in the entorhinal cortex synapse with dendrites of the granule cells of the DG, and axons from granule cells synapse with pyramidal cells in the CA3 region via hippocampal mossy fibres, an important pathway in memory formation.^{[41](#page-8-0)} The hippocampal mossy fibres connect DG granule cells to CA3 pyramidal neurons allowing information to flow in a unidirectional manner to the CA1, and then extend out of the hippocampus proper via CA1 axons.^{[15](#page-8-0)} The DG, therefore, provides a crucial gateway between the entorhinal cortex and the hippocampus proper, with DG neurons receiving the first input and passing information further along the pathway, 41 with the entorhinal cortex mediating hippocampal communications, acting as the major input and output regulator. 42 Multiple areas including the amygdaloid complex, medial septal region, and the thalamus, provide extrinsic inputs into the hippocampal circuitry, via the entorhinal cortex, as described by Papex.⁴³ Distal to the CA1 region, the subiculum of the hippocampal formation is an anatomical transition zone (subiculum means support in Latin), and a major source for hippocampal output into the cortical regions of the brain, thereby directing activity across the brain. 44

Interrogation of the CA1 pyramidal neuron structure within the hippocampal circuitry reveals the unique roles of the basal and apical dendrites. The apical dendrites receive inputs at various points along the dendrite, from the CA3 neurons via Schaffer collaterals at the proximal end to the soma, and direct glutamatergic input from the entorhinal cortex at the distal dendrites. Conversely, the basal dendrites receive direct inputs from CA2 neurons (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)).^{45–[48](#page-9-0)} This is an important distinction to consider as there are examples of perinatal compromise that impact only the apical dendrites, impairing both connectivity and functionality of the neuron in a unique manner, compared to an insult that may affect basal dendrites.^{[49](#page-9-0)}

Compared to the intra-hippocampal microcircuitry, the extrahippocampal connections are complex and not well charac-terised.^{[50](#page-9-0)} In vivo assessment of hippocampal connections under-taken by Maller et al.^{[50](#page-9-0)} revealed six predominant hippocampal pathways – the inferior longitudinal fasciculus, spinal-limbic pathway, anterior commissure, cingulate bundle, fornix and tapetum - all long-range pathways connecting limbic and subcortical structures. This connectivity reflects the wide-ranging functionality of the hippocampus and the ability of the hippocampus to moderate multiple brain processes.

The optimal function of the complex internal neuronal network of the hippocampus and the long-range extrinsic connections requires mature myelin. Myelin is the fatty insulation that surrounds the axons and aids the conduction velocity of neurons in the hippocampal pathways. 51 The developmental profile of myelin within the hippocampus, described by Abraham et al., begins at 20 weeks' gestation with the presence of mature oligodendrocytes and myelinated axons appearing in the hippocampal region between 21-35 weeks. Myelination extends well past birth until adult-like myelin density is present in adolescent tissue, $52,53$ consequent with an increase in hippocam-pal volume over this period.^{[54](#page-9-0)} It is not yet understood when myelination ceases, however, the increase in hippocampal volume that occurs in childhood is followed by stabilisation or subtle subfield decreases at adolescence, suggesting that adolescence may be the timepoint where myelination is complete. 54

Overview of hippocampal function

Functional assessments of the hippocampus have a rich and welldocumented history. Famously, the 1953 case of H.M., who lost much of his memory when his hippocampus was removed in an attempt to treat epilepsy, provided the first insight into the primary functions of the hippocampus.^{[55](#page-9-0)} Since then, research has taken great strides to elucidate the function of the hippocampus, including the differential roles of the component sub-regions. The intrinsic circuitry of the hippocampus, as well as the vast connections to cortical and subcortical brain regions, gives rise to multiple functions that span episodic memory, emotional regulation, spatial navigation, learning, and cognition. Further, the distinct structure and connections of the anterior and posterior hippocampus have been shown to underpin separate functional roles, however, this is still to be fully elucidated.^{[56](#page-9-0)} The posterior hippocampus is described as playing a more significant regulatory role in spatial memory as it receives visual and spatial information
from the anterior cingulate cortex.^{[12](#page-8-0),[56](#page-9-0),[57](#page-9-0)} In contrast, the anterior hippocampus has strong connections with the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hypothalamus, favouring emotional processing and autonomic endocrine systems.^{[12](#page-8-0),[58](#page-9-0)} To date, there is little research that separates the anterior from the posterior

Many functions of the hippocampus, including learning, memory, and spatial navigation, are facilitated by long-term potentiation (LTP),^{[59](#page-9-0)–[62](#page-9-0)} which is the persistent strengthening of synapses that fosters signal transmission between neurons. Longterm potentiation is widely recognised as the cellular mechanism of memory formation.^{[63](#page-9-0)} Within the hippocampus, LTP is shown to regulate hippocampal plasticity with glutamate receptors such as α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) or N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) integral to this role.^{[64](#page-9-0)} It is these receptors that drive synaptic plasticity, promote LTP, and allow for wide-ranging functionality of the hippocampus. Neuropeptides also play a key role in supporting the neurotransmitters within the hippocampus; somatostatin is one neuropeptide known to significantly contribute to emotion regulation signals.⁶

The consequences of perinatal insult on the hippocampus

Brain development over the perinatal period is sensitive to disruptions arising from common pregnancy complications, including preterm birth, FGR, and hypoxic-ischaemic encephalo-pathy (HIE).^{[66](#page-9-0)–[69](#page-9-0)} The strong association between perinatal compromise and structural abnormalities of the hippocampus is evident from clinical studies linking brain imaging outcomes with functional deficits. Key milestones in hippocampal development such as neuronal migration, neurite outgrowth of axons and dendrites, and synaptogenesis are highly active from about midpregnancy (20 weeks' gestation) onwards (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), therefore, preterm birth or other complications during pregnancy will significantly disrupt these developmental processes. Further, as the hippocampus is still developing at term, insults occurring around the time of birth, such as perinatal (birth) asphyxia resulting in HIE, can also cause damage.

Prematurity. Preterm birth affects approximately 11% of births worldwide and results in significant perturbations in brain development.^{[70](#page-9-0)} Preterm birth can be sub-categorised as extremely preterm (<28 weeks gestation (GA)), very preterm (28–32 weeks GA) and moderate to late preterm (32−37 weeks GA).⁷¹ There is a multitude of factors and complications that can arise during pregnancy to induce preterm birth including having had a previous premature baby, twin/multiple pregnancy, intrauterine infection, substance abuse, premature rupture of membranes, or impaired development of the baby indicating early delivery.⁷² Numerous studies show that neuropathology associated with the preterm brain is principally via two relatively common upstream insults, hypoxia-ischaemia (HI) and infection/ inflammation. $69,73$ $69,73$ $69,73$ Intrauterine inflammation, including placental and amniotic fluid infection (chorioamnionitis), is recognised as a causal factor that both predisposes to preterm birth, 74 and is also independently associated with altered brain development and brain injury.⁷⁵

Fetal growth restriction. FGR, also known as intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), is a common pregnancy complication in which the fetus fails to reach its genetic growth potential in utero.^{[66](#page-9-0)} It affects 6−10% of infants born in high-income countries, and up to six times more infants born in low-income countries.^{77,7} Placental insufficiency is the most common cause of FGR, in which suboptimal placenta structure and function results in reduced transfer of nutrients and oxygen to the developing fetus, thus adversely impacting the trajectory of fetal growth.^{[79](#page-9-0)} FGR is strongly linked to neurodevelopmental deficits across the domains of cognition and learning, motor function, and behaviour.[66,80](#page-9-0)–[83](#page-9-0) Previously, the term small for gestational age (SGA) was also used as a proxy to describe FGR, but SGA generally includes all infants who were below the 10th percentile for weight and therefore does not necessarily include evidence of

SPRINGER NATURE

intraventricular haemorrhage, HIE hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy, IQ intelligence quotient, MDI mental development index, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, NA not assessed, VLBW very low birth weight,

VPT very preterm, SGA small for gestational age,

very preterm, SGA small for gestational age, w/ with, WM white matter

w/ with, WM white matter.

placental insufficiency.^{[84](#page-9-0)} The 2016 consensus definition of true FGR includes infants with an estimated fetal weight <10th percentile for gestation and sex together with Doppler indices of disrupted uteroplacental blood flow, or estimated fetal weight \leq 3rd percentile as a sole parameter. 84 FGR can be further classified as early-onset FGR, diagnosed before 32 weeks' gestation, or late-onset, diagnosed after 32 weeks. Early-onset FGR appears to portend worse neurological outcomes than late-onset FGR^{[66](#page-9-0)}

Hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy. HIE is a condition of disrupted neurological function as a consequence of severe or prolonged hypoxia-ischaemia at the time of birth.^{[85](#page-9-0)} HIE is a devastating condition, related to the death of 1 million infants in their first month of life, while 25% of children who survive will have long-term debilitating conditions such as cerebral palsy. $86,87$ $86,87$ $86,87$ An acute hypoxic-ischaemic insult and subsequent HIE can affect infants born prematurely or at term, and therefore the consequences of HIE are broad.⁸⁸ Most commonly, HIE is linked to an acute, severe, asphyxic event at birth, which induces a welldescribed injury cascade with distinct phases of metabolic disturbance and injury that ultimately result in the degeneration of neurons,^{[89](#page-9-0)} and this progression of injury is best described in infants born at term. 73 Placental abruption, uterine rupture, or umbilical cord compression are common causes of an acute hypoxic insult that may lead to HIE.^{[85](#page-9-0)}

These three perinatal conditions have been highlighted in this review as they are prominent pregnancy complications and have profound impacts on hippocampal development, morphology, and function. The clinical studies detailed below describe the consequences of each of these conditions on the hippocampus, however, it is important to note that due to the intricate nature, size, and location of this brain region, imaging techniques are limited, thus accompanying preclinical investigations are needed to dissect mechanisms of disease^{[10](#page-8-0)}.

Impact of perinatal insults on hippocampal structure

A large number of clinical studies have investigated the impacts of preterm birth, very low birth weight (VLBW), FGR, and HIE on hippocampal morphology and function (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The studies summarised in Table [1](#page-4-0) have been selected as they examined the impact of these insults on hippocampal structure and their association with functional outcomes. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that prematurity (\pm inflammation), VLBW, FGR, and HIE, are all clearly associated with a total reduction in hippocampal volume measured using MRI imaging techniques such as T1- weighted and T2-weighted imaging, segmentation, and hippo-
campal shape analysis.^{[3](#page-8-0),[5](#page-8-0)–[8](#page-8-0)[,74,90](#page-9-0)–[112](#page-10-0)} As one example, MRI voxelbased morphology was used to detect significant volume loss in both the left and right hippocampus of adolescents with a history of prematurity.^{[94](#page-9-0)} Further to reductions in hippocampal volume, Lammertink et al.⁹⁵ utilised MRI data of preterm infants and found a reprioritisation of neurodevelopmental trajectory to the amygdala and insula in response to preterm birth (mean 26 weeks GA), resulting in a reduced volume of connections in the hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus and fusiform area measured by constructed maturational covariance networks relative to grey matter volumes.^{[95](#page-9-0)} Another study of premature-born adults assessed at 20 years of age, used functional MRI (fMRI) to investigate the impact of prematurity and found reduced fractional anisotropy tracts passing through the thalamic and hippocampal regions of the preterm group, resulting in altered activation patterns of the hippocampus during retrieval tasks.⁹ This study highlights the impact of prematurity on impaired connectivity of key structures of the learning and memory network, including the anterior cingulate and caudate body, thalamus, and hippocampus and consequently, hippocampal function.^{[96](#page-9-0)}

Relative to prematurity, less is known about the impact of FGR on hippocampal form and function. Studies that have investigated infants classified as FGR or VLBW often include co-morbidity with prematurity in their study cohort, as naturally many FGR or VLBW infants are born prematurely. Thus, it is often difficult to disentangle the effect of prematurity and growth restriction. Despite this, FGR has consistently been associated with a
reduction in hippocampal volume.^{[8](#page-8-0),[104,106](#page-9-0)–[108](#page-10-0),[113](#page-10-0)} In infants born preterm, (<32−34 weeks GA), MRI voxel-based morphometry showed that in growth restricted preterm infants, hippocampal grey matter volume^{[106](#page-9-0)} and hippocampal white matter volume were reduced.^{[107](#page-9-0)} Further, one study of SGA infants born at term provides unique insight into the impact of reduced birth weight with the absence of prematurity and found with fMRI analysis less activation in the left parahippocampal region compared to control children.¹⁰

Due to the nature of studying neurodevelopmental conditions in humans, assessing hippocampal structure beyond volumetric analysis is challenging. One study, by Gonzalez Fuentes et al. [109](#page-10-0) studied the brains of six infants who had been diagnosed with hypoxic exposure, and at autopsy found a reduction in somatostatin and neuropeptide expression in the pyramidal cell layer and stratum oriens of the CA1 region. The reduction of key neurotransmitters in this autopsy study suggests that the hippocampus would likely have impaired function.^{[109](#page-10-0)}

Collectively, these studies demonstrate that the hippocampus is particularly vulnerable to perinatal compromise, with volume deficits a common consequence of a broad spectrum of pregnancy and birth complications. The reduction in hippocampal volume may be indicative of neuronal loss or lack of synaptic arborisation, impairing its intricate connectivity, with implications for hippocampal function. To further reveal mechanisms driving hippocampal volume loss seen with prematurity, VLBW, FGR and HIE, preclinical studies are essential. However, all conditions disrupt the intricate development profile of this brain region across gestation.

Impact of perinatal insults on hippocampal function

Many studies of perinatal compromise utilise MRI to assess hippocampal volume and morphology, often pairing this structural analysis with various neurocognitive assessments to reveal any deficits in function. However, this field is currently lacking studies that have utilised objective functional assessment such as
fMRI to reveal hippocampal-specific impairments (Table [1](#page-4-0)).^{96,[105](#page-9-0)} Nonetheless, the current literature shows pervasive functional deficits associated with prematurity, VLBW, FGR and HIE, as evidenced by a number of learning, memory, and cognitive assessments.

Follow-up studies of children and adults who were born preterm have investigated the persistent functional impacts of perinatal compromise and a potential relationship to altered hippocampal development. As shown by Gimenez and colleagues, 94 where a significant correlation between deficits in left hippocampal volume and memory dysfunction in children born prematurely was found, and authors noted "the lower the volume, the lower the level of learning", emphasising the structure-function relationship.^{[94](#page-9-0)} Cole et al. ^{[92](#page-9-0)} investigated the psychiatric outcomes of adolescents born very preterm compared to adolescents born at term. Using the Peters Delusion Inventory examination, they showed that delusional ideation scores were associated with anterior surface deformation of the hippocampus, thus linking long-term neurodevelopmental consequences of
preterm birth with hippocampal structure.^{[92](#page-9-0)} Fernandez de Gamarra-Oca and colleagues^{[98](#page-9-0)} found that while adolescents born preterm (mean 28 weeks gestation) had Full Intelligence Quotient (FIQ) scores within a normal range, the FIQs were significantly lower than term-born adolescents.^{[98](#page-9-0)} Another study undertaken in adults found that those who were born preterm had a persistent reduction in hippocampal volume that correlated with reduced FIQ scores.^{[5](#page-8-0)} In school-age preterm-born children, reduced hippocampal subfield volumes were associated with impaired working memory function.^{[104](#page-9-0)} Further, ex-preterm adults presented with strikingly different patterns of activation in memory recall tasks, indicating that connections between the hippocam-pus and other brain regions were impaired.^{[96](#page-9-0)} To assess functional outcomes of preterm children, Thompson, et al. ^{[6](#page-8-0)} used the Mental Development Index (MDI) of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development to show that infants with reduced corrected hippocampal volume at 2 years of age showed reduced MDI scores, indicative of reduced cognition.

The posterior hippocampus is fundamental to memory forma-tion and retrieval, particularly spatial memory.^{[56](#page-9-0)} Memory deficits are observed in preterm and VLBW children with hippocampal volume loss.^{[3,](#page-8-0)[103](#page-9-0)} Typically, motor function is not assumed to be directly related to the hippocampus, however, a study by Strahle et al.^{[97](#page-9-0)} found that reduced hippocampal volumes in children born preterm were related to worse motor performance. Further, it has been shown that the volume of hippocampal subfields CA2 and CA3 is associated with motor sequence learning and memory.^{[114](#page-10-0)} A critical detail missing from research examining the impact of perinatal compromise on the hippocampus is that most studies do not specify whether volumetric differences were observed in the anterior hippocampus, posterior hippocampus, or both. The lack of specificity in reporting results hinders our ability to gain a comprehensive understanding of the structure-to-function relationship between these hippocampal regions, and whether differential vulnerability to injury exists.

As discussed above, a challenge exists in our understanding of the impact of FGR on hippocampal function, as most clinical studies are confounded with prematurity. For example, one study of growth-restricted preterm infants revealed both less mature scores on the Assessment of Preterm Infants' Behaviour and reduced hippocampal volume at term-equivalent age compared to control infants.¹⁰⁶ At the 2-year follow-up, neurocognitive dysfunctions persisted and were correlated with a reduction in hippocampal volume.¹⁰⁶ The study of SGA term-born infants by De Bie et al.^{[105](#page-9-0)} used fMRI, and found less activation in the left parahippocampal region in SGA children compared to controls, with SGA children demonstrating lower IQ scores and slower performance in encoding and recognition tasks.¹⁰⁵ There is a paucity of studies investigating late-onset FGR and hippocampal function/development. As such, it is not possible to understand the potential distinction between the impact of early-onset and late-onset FGR in studies on hippocampal development and subsequent function. Whilst not investigating hippocampal structure specifically, two studies by Geva et al.^{115,[116](#page-10-0)} assessed children diagnosed at birth with FGR and found lower IQ, memory impairments, and more frequent neuropsychological difficulties including executive functioning, inflexibility-creativity, and language. Future research should aim to improve our understanding of these observed functional impairments with accompanying analysis of hippocampal structure, to uncover the depth of this association, particularly in late-onset FGR.

When investigating children who had been diagnosed with moderate HIE as infants, it was shown that working memory, processing speed, and motor outcomes were significantly reduced compared to children with mild HIE. $¹¹¹$ $¹¹¹$ $¹¹¹$ Further, at 10 years of age,</sup> neurocognitive and memory problems were persistent in children born with HIE.^{[110](#page-10-0)}

Knowledge of neurodevelopmental disorders that affect language, skills, behaviour, social interactions, and attention, such as Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), is rapidly expanding. The aetiology associated with these disorders is complex, with no singular causal pathway underlying their manifestation, but rather a combination of genetic and environmental factors, including conditions of perinatal compromise. MRI studies find that both ASD and ADHD are

associated with reductions in hippocampal volume.^{[117](#page-10-0)} It is also postulated that disruptions in the connectivity between the hippocampus, amygdala, and orbitofrontal cortex may contribute to common hallmarks of ADHD, including behavioural disinhibi-tion.^{[108,118](#page-10-0)} To date, work to reveal these associations between perinatal compromise, disrupted hippocampal development, and neurodevelopmental disorders is limited, however, it has been flagged as an area that requires further investigation.¹

CONCLUSIONS

The clinical evidence presented within this review, focused on normal hippocampal development, followed by pregnancy and birth complications, clearly indicates that the hippocampus is highly susceptible to perinatal compromise. Premature birth FGR and HIE are common complications of pregnancy, and all have the potential to impact the gross structure and organisation of the hippocampus, with negative consequences for long-term function. The most frequent pathological observation in clinical studies of perinatal compromise and hippocampal development is a reduction in total hippocampal volume. Reduced hippocampal volume is seen across all perinatal complications outlined in the current review, as evidenced from the MRI undertaken from as early as term-equivalent age in infants born preterm^{[90](#page-9-0)} through to adolescence.⁵ Current literature has established an association between suboptimal hippocampal structure and deficits in learning and memory. Moreover, damage to the hippocampus has profound and lasting impacts on behaviour and motor function and is associated with a range of neurodevelopmental disorders. In Part 2 of this review¹⁰, we focus on the extensive preclinical literature on this topic which gives insight into mechanisms underlying observed hippocampal deficits, and potential therapeutic targets designed to protect the hippocampus in the presence of pregnancy complications.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ge, X. et al. Development of the human fetal hippocampal formation during early second trimester. NeuroImage 119, 33-43 (2015).
- 2. Bajic, D., Ewald, U. & Raininko, R. Hippocampal development at gestation weeks 23 to 36. An ultrasound study on preterm neonates. Neuroradiology 52, 489–494 (2010).
- 3. Beauchamp, M. H. et al. Preterm infant hippocampal volumes correlate with later working memory deficits. Brain 131, 2986-2994 (2008)
- 4. Abernethy, L. J., Palaniappan, M. & Cooke, R. W. I. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in survivors of very low birth weight. Arch. Dis. Child. 87, 279–283 (2002).
- 5. Hedderich, D. M. et al. Hippocampal subfield volumes are nonspecifically reduced in premature-born adults. Hum. Brain Mapp. 41, 5215-5227 (2020).
- 6. Thompson, D. K. et al. Neonate hippocampal volumes: prematurity, perinatal predictors, and 2-year outcome. Ann. Neurol. 63, 642–651 (2008).
- 7. Froudist-Walsh, S. et al. The effect of perinatal brain injury on dopaminergic function and hippocampal volume in adult life. eLife 6, e29088 (2017).
- 8. Isaacs, E. B. et al. Hippocampal volume and everyday memory in children of very low birth weight. Pediatr. Res. 47, 713–720 (2000).
- 9. McCall, D. M., Homayouni, R., Yu, Q., Raz, S. & Ofen, N. Meta-analysis of hippocampal volume and episodic memory in preterm and term born individuals. Neuropsychol. Rev. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-023-09583-6> (2023).
- 10. White, T. A., Miller, S. L., Sutherland, A. E., Allison, B. J. & Camm, E J. Perinatal compromise affects development, form, and function of the hippocampus part two; preclinical studies. Pediatr. Res. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-024-03144-0> (2024).
- 11. Lee, S. L., Lew, D., Wickenheisser, V. & Markus, E. J. Interdependence between Dorsal and Ventral Hippocampus During Spatial Navigation. Brain Behav. 9, e01410 (2019).
- 12. Harland, B., Contreras, M. & Fellous, J.-M. in The Hippocampus (Ales, S. ed.) Ch. 4 (IntechOpen, 2018).
- 13. Knierim, J. J. The Hippocampus. Curr. Biol. 25, R1116–R1121 (2015).
- 14. Khalaf-Nazzal, R. & Francis, F. Hippocampal development old and new findings. Neuroscience 248, 225–242 (2013).
- 15. Amaral, D. G. & Witter, M. P. The three-dimensional organization of the hippocampal formation: a review of anatomical data. Neuroscience 31, 571–591 (1989).
- 16. Ajayi, E. I. Anatomy of the hippocampus and its emerging roles in modulating emotion-dependent autonomic activities. Ital. J. Anat. Embryol. 124, 337–352 (2019).
- 17. Somogyi, P. & Klausberger, T. Defined types of cortical interneurone structure space and spike timing in the hippocampus. J. Physiol. 562, 9-26 (2005).
- 18. Ben-Ari, Y., Khalilov, I., Represa, A. & Gozlan, H. Interneurons set the tune of developing networks. Trends Neurosci. 27, 422–427 (2004).
- 19. Kullmann, D. M. Interneuron networks in the hippocampus. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 21, 709–716 (2011).
- 20. Humphrey, T. The development of the human hippocampal fissure. J. Anat. 101, 655–676 (1967).
- 21. Kier, E. L., Kim, J. H., Fulbright, R. K. & Bronen, R. A. Embryology of the human fetal hippocampus: mr imaging, anatomy, and histology. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 18, 525–532 (1997).
- 22. Seress, L., Abrahám, H., Tornóczky, T. & Kosztolányi, G. Cell formation in the human hippocampal formation from mid-gestation to the late postnatal period. Neuroscience 105, 831–843 (2001).
- 23. Hammond, C. Cellular and Molecular Neurophysiology 4th edn (London, England : Academic Press, 2015).
- 24. Hayashi, K., Kubo, K.-I., Kitazawa, A. & Nakajima, K. Cellular dynamics of neuronal migration in the hippocampus. Front. Neurosci. 9, 135–135 (2015).
- 25. Kitazawa, A. et al. Hippocampal pyramidal neurons switch from a multipolar migration mode to a novel "climbing" migration mode during development. J. Neurosci. 34, 1115–1126 (2014).
- 26. Nakahira, E. & Yuasa, S. Neuronal generation, migration, and differentiation in the mouse hippocampal primoridium as revealed by enhanced green fluorescent protein gene transfer by means of in utero electroporation. J. Comp. Neurol. 483, 329–340 (2005).
- 27. Wu, Y. K., Fujishima, K. & Kengaku, M. Differentiation of Apical and basal dendrites in pyramidal cells and granule cells in dissociated hippocampal cultures. PLoS One 10, e0118482 (2015).
- 28. Paldino, A. M. & Purpura, D. P. Quantitative analysis of the spatial distribution of axonal and dendritic terminals of hippocampal pyramidal neurons in immature human brain. Exp. Neurol. **64**, 604-619 (1979).
- 29. Kempermann, G., Song, H. & Gage, F. H. Neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 7, a018812 (2015).
- 30. Runge, K., Cardoso, C. & de Chevigny, A. Dendritic spine plasticity: function and mechanisms.Front. Synaptic Neurosci. 12, 36 (2020).
- 31. Bourne, J. N. & Harris, K. M. Balancing structure and function at hippocampal dendritic spines. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 31, 47–67 (2008).
- 32. Aniol, V., Manolova, A. & Gulyaeva, N. Early life events and maturation of the dentate gyrus: implications for neurons and glial cells. Int J. Mol. Sci. 23, 4261 (2022).
- 33. Seress, L. in Progress in Brain Research Vol. 163 (Scharfman, H. E. ed.) 23-798 (Elsevier, 2007).
- 34. Gould, E. How Widespread is adult neurogenesis in mammals? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 8, 481–488 (2007).
- 35. Ming, G.-L. & Song, H. Adult neurogenesis in the mammalian brain: significant answers and significant questions. Neuron 70, 687–702 (2011).
- 36. Urbán, N. & Guillemot, F. Neurogenesis in the embryonic and adult brain: same regulators, different roles. Front. Cell Neurosci. 8, 396 (2014).
- 37. Alkadhi, K. A. Cellular and molecular differences between area Ca1 and the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus. Mol. Neurobiol. 56, 6566–6580 (2019).
- 38. Ianov, L. et al. Hippocampal transcriptomic profiles: subfield vulnerability to age and cognitive impairment. Front. Aging Neurosci. 9, 383 (2017).
- 39. Johnson, A. C. Hippocampal vascular supply and its role in vascular cognitive impairment. Stroke 54, 673–685 (2023).
- 40. Miller, S. L., Supramaniam, V. G., Jenkin, G., Walker, D. W. & Wallace, E. M. Cardiovascular responses to maternal betamethasone administration in the intrauterine growth–restricted ovine fetus. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 201, 613.e611–613.e618 (2009).
- 41. Hainmueller, T. & Bartos, M. Dentate gyrus circuits for encoding, retrieval and discrimination of episodic memories. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 21, 153–168 (2020).
- 42. Solodkin, A., Van Hoesen, G. W. & Insausti, R. in Reference Module in Biomedical Sciences (Elsevier, 2014).
- 43. Papex, J. W. A proposed mechanism of emotion. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 38, 725–743 (1937).
- 44. Schultz, C. & Engelhardt, M. Anatomy of the hippocampal formation. Front. Neurol. Neurosci. 34, 6–17 (2014).
- 45. Avchalumov, Y. & Mandyam, C. D. Plasticity in the hippocampus, neurogenesis and drugs of abuse. Brain Sci. 11, 404 (2021).

1706

- 46. Srinivas, K. V. et al. The dendrites of Ca2 and Ca1 pyramidal neurons differentially regulate information flow in the cortico-hippocampal circuit. J. Neurosci. 37, 3276–3293 (2017).
- 47. Spruston, N. Pyramidal neurons: dendritic structure and synaptic integration. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 206–221 (2008).
- 48. Masurkar, A. V. Towards a circuit-level understanding of hippocampal Ca1 dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease across anatomical axes. J. Alzheimers Dis. Parkinsonism 8, 412 (2018).
- 49. Czéh, B. & Fuchs, E. in Stress: Concepts, Cognition, Emotion, and Behavior (Fink, G. ed.) 117-126 (Elsevier Inc, 2016).
- 50. Maller, J. J. et al. Revealing the hippocampal connectome through superresolution 1150-direction diffusion mri. Sci. Rep. 9, 2418–2418 (2019).
- 51. Poitelon, Y., Kopec, A. M. & Belin, S. Myelin fat facts: an overview of lipids and fatty acid metabolism. Cells 9, 812 (2020).
- 52. Ábrahám, H. et al. Myelination in the human hippocampal formation from midgestation to adulthood. Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. 28, 401–410 (2010).
- 53. Meier, S., Bräuer, A. U., Heimrich, B., Nitsch, R. & Savaskan, N. E. Myelination in the hippocampus during development and following lesion. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 61, 1082–1094 (2004).
- 54. Tamnes, C. K. et al. Regional hippocampal volumes and development predict learning and memory. Dev. Neurosci. 36, 161-174 (2014).
- 55. Scoville, W. B. & Milner, B. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. J. Neurol., Neurosurg., Psychiatry 20, 11-21 (1957).
- 56. Fanselow, M. S. & Dong, H.-W. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? Neuron 65, 7-19 (2010).
- 57. Geng, F., Redcay, E. & Riggins, T. The influence of age and performance on hippocampal function and the encoding of contextual information in early childhood. Neuroimage 195, 433–443 (2019).
- 58. Strange, B. A., Witter, M. P., Lein, E. S. & Moser, E. I. Functional organization of the hippocampal longitudinal axis. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 15, 655-669 (2014).
- 59. Stella, F., Cerasti, E., Si, B., Jezek, K. & Treves, A. Self-organization of multiple spatial and context memories in the hippocampus: memory formation. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 36, 1609–1625 (2012).
- 60. Toyoda, H. et al. Interplay of amygdala and cingulate plasticity in emotional fear. Neural Plast. 2011, 813749 (2011).
- 61. Koehl, M. & Abrous, D. N. A new chapter in the field of memory: adult hippocampal neurogenesis: a new chapter in the field of memory. Eur. J. Neurosci. 33, 1101–1114 (2011).
- 62. Yan, L. et al. Postnatal delayed growth impacts cognition but rescues programmed impaired pulmonary vascular development in an iugr rat model. Nutr., Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis. 29, 1418–1428 (2019).
- 63. Cooke, S. F. & Bliss, T. V. P. Plasticity in the human central nervous system. Brain 129, 1659–1673 (2006).
- 64. Effendy, M. A. et al. The role of ampa and nmda receptors in mitragynine effects on hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Behav. Brain Res. 438, 114169 (2023).
- 65. Robinson, S. L. & Thiele, T. E. A role for the neuropeptide somatostatin in the neurobiology of behaviors associated with substances abuse and affective disorders. Neuropharmacology 167, 107983 (2020).
- 66. Miller, S. L., Huppi, P. S., & Mallard, C. The consequences of fetal growth restriction on brain structure and neurodevelopmental outcome. J. Physiol. 594, 807–823 (2016).
- 67. Jacob, F. D. et al. Fetal hippocampal development: analysis by magnetic resonance imaging volumetry. Pediatr. Res. 69, 425-429 (2011).
- 68. Dean, J. M. et al. What brakes the preterm brain? An arresting story. Pediatr. Res. 75, 227–233 (2014).
- 69. Volpe, J. J. Brain injury in premature infants: a complex amalgam of destructive and developmental disturbances. Lancet Neurol. 8, 110–124 (2009).
- 70. Vogel, J. P. et al. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. Best. Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol. 52, 3–12 (2018).
- 71. Perin, J. et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–19: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the sustainable development goals. Lancet Child Adolesc. Health 6, 106-115 (2022).
- 72. Medicine, I. O. et al. Preterm Birth: Causes, Consequences, and Prevention (Washington, D.C: National Academies Press, 2007).
- 73. Bennet, L. Sex, drugs and rock and roll: tales from preterm fetal life. J. Physiol. 595, 1865–1881 (2017).
- 74. Hatfield, T. et al. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrates long-term changes in brain structure in children born preterm and exposed to chorioamnionitis. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 205, 384.e381–388 (2011).
- 75. Kuypers, E. et al. White matter injury following fetal inflammatory response syndrome induced by chorioamnionitis and fetal sepsis: lessons from experimental ovine models. Early Hum. Dev. 88, 931–936 (2012).
- 76. Galinsky, R., Polglase, G. R., Hooper, S. B., Black, M. J. & Moss, T. J. M. The consequences of chorioamnionitis: preterm birth and effects on development. J. Pregnancy 2013, 412831–412811 (2013).
- 77. Health, A. I. O. & Welfare. Australia's Mothers and Babies. (AIHW, Canberra, 2022).
- 78. Sharma, D., Shastri, S. & Sharma, P. Intrauterine growth restriction: antenatal and postnatal aspects. Clin. Med. Insights: Pediatr. 10, 67-83 (2016).
- 79. Malhotra, A. et al. Neonatal morbidities of fetal growth restriction: pathophysiology and impact. Front. Endocrinol. 10, 55 (2019).
- 80. Scherjon, S., Briët, J., Oosting, H. & Kok, J. The discrepancy between maturation of visual-evoked potentials and cognitive outcome at five years in very preterm infants with and without hemodynamic signs of fetal brain-sparing. Pediatrics 105, 385–391 (2000).
- 81. Rock, C. R. et al. Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular implications of growth restriction: mechanisms and potential treatments. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 7555 (2021).
- 82. Wladimiroff, J. W., Tonge, H. M. & Stewart, P. A. Doppler ultrasound assessment of cerebral blood flow in the human fetus. Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. 93, 471–475 (1986).
- 83. Salavati, N. et al. The possible role of placental morphometry in the detection of fetal growth restriction. Front. Physiol. 9, 1884 (2019).
- 84. Gordijn, S. J. et al. Consensus definition of fetal growth restriction: a delphi procedure: consensus definition of fgr. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 48, 333–339 (2016).
- 85. Fox, A., Doyle, E., Geary, M. & Hayes, B. Placental pathology and neonatal encephalopathy. Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet. 160, 22-27 (2023).
- 86. Turner, J. M., Mitchell, M. D. & Kumar, S. S. The physiology of intrapartum fetal compromise at term. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 222, 17–26 (2020).
- 87. McIntyre, S. et al. A systematic review of risk factors for cerebral palsy in children born at term in developed countries. Dev. Med. Child Neurol. 55, 499–508 (2013)
- 88. Gopagondanahalli, K. R. et al. Preterm hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Front. Pediatr. 4, 114–114 (2016).
- 89. Bennet, L., Booth, L. & Gunn, A. J. Potential biomarkers for hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy. Semin. Fetal Neonatal Med. 15, 253–260 (2010).
- 90. Ball, G. et al. The effect of preterm birth on thalamic and cortical development. Cereb. Cortex 22, 1016–1024 (2012).
- 91. Cheong, J. L. Y. et al. Contribution of brain size to iq and educational underperformance in extremely preterm adolescents. PLoS One 8, e77475 (2013).
- 92. Cole, J. H. et al. Subregional hippocampal morphology and psychiatric outcome in adolescents who were born very preterm and at term. PLoS One 10, e0130094 (2015).
- 93. Ge, X. et al. Hippocampal asymmetry of regional development and structural covariance in preterm neonates. Cereb. Cortex 32, 4271–4283 (2021).
- 94. Giménez, M. et al. Hippocampal gray matter reduction associates with memory deficits in adolescents with history of prematurity. Neurolmage 23, 869-877 (2004).
- 95. Lammertink, F. et al. Early-life stress exposure and large-scale covariance brain networks in extremely preterm-born infants. Transl. Psychiatry 12, 256 (2022).
- 96. Salvan, P. et al. Road work on memory lane—functional and structural alterations to the learning and memory circuit in adults born very preterm. Neuro-Image 102, 152–161 (2014).
- 97. Strahle, J. M. et al. Impaired hippocampal development and outcomes in very preterm infants with perinatal brain injury. Neurolmage Clin. 22, 101787 (2019).
- 98. Fernandez de Gamarra-Oca, L. et al. Reduced hippocampal subfield volumes and memory performance in preterm children with and without germinal matrix-intraventricular hemorrhage. Sci. Rep. 11, 2420 (2021).
- 99. Gilchrist, C. P. et al. Hippocampal neurogenesis and memory in adolescence following intrauterine growth restriction. Hippocampus 31, 321–334 (2021).
- 100. Omizzolo, C. et al. Hippocampal volume and memory and learning outcomes at 7 years in children born very preterm. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 19, 1065–1075 (2013).
- 101. Rogers, C. E. M. D. et al. Regional cerebral development at term relates to school-age social–emotional development in very preterm children. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 51, 181–191 (2012).
- 102. Thompson, D. K. et al. Longitudinal growth and morphology of the hippocampus through childhood: impact of prematurity and implications for memory and learning. Hum. Brain Mapp. 35, 4129–4139 (2014).
- 103. Aanes, S., Bjuland, K. J., Skranes, J. & Løhaugen, G. C. C. Memory function and hippocampal volumes in preterm born very-low-birth-weight (Vlbw) young adults. Neurolmage 105, 76-83 (2015).
- 104. Aanes, S. et al. Reduced hippocampal subfield volumes and memory function in school-aged children born preterm with very low birthweight (Vlbw). Neuroimage Clin. 23, 101857 (2019).
- 105. de Bie, H. M. A. et al. Using fmri to investigate memory in young children born small for gestational age. PLoS One 10, e0129721 (2015).
- 106. Lodygensky, G. A. et al. Intrauterine growth restriction affects the preterm infant's hippocampus. Pediatr. Res. 63, 438–443 (2008).
- 107. Padilla, N. et al. Differential effects of intrauterine growth restriction on brain structure and development in preterm infants: a magnetic resonance imaging study. Brain Res. 1382, 98–108 (2011).
- 108. Sacchi, C. et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes following intrauterine growth restriction and very preterm birth. J. Pediatr. 238, 135–144.e110 (2021).
	- 109. González Fuentes, J. et al. Neuropeptides in the developing human hippocampus under hypoxic–ischemic conditions. J. Anat. 239, 856–868 (2021).
	- 110. Annink, K. V. et al. Mammillary body atrophy and other mri correlates of schoolage outcome following neonatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Sci. Rep. 11, 5017–5017 (2021).
	- 111. Annink, K. V. et al. The long-term effect of perinatal asphyxia on hippocampal volumes. Pediatr. Res. 85, 43–49 (2019).
	- 112. Pfister, K. M. et al. Reduced hippocampal volumes in children with history of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy after therapeutic hypothermia. Children 10, 1005 (2023).
	- 113. Duncan, J. R. et al. White matter injury after repeated endotoxin exposure in the preterm ovine fetus. Pediatr. Res. 52, 941–949 (2002).
	- 114. Long, J., Feng, Y., Liao, H., Zhou, Q. & Urbin, M. A. motor sequence learning is associated with hippocampal subfield volume in humans with medial temporal lobe epilepsy.Front. Hum. Neurosci. 12, 367 (2018).
	- 115. Geva, R., Eshel, R., Leitner, Y., Valevski, A. F. & Harel, S. Neuropsychological outcome of children with intrauterine growth restriction: a 9-year prospective study. Pediatrics 118, 91–100 (2006).
	- 116. Geva, R., Eshel, R., Leitner, Y., Fattal-Valevski, A. & Harel, S. Memory functions of children born with asymmetric intrauterine growth restriction. Brain Res. 1117, 186–194 (2006).
	- 117. Dager, S. R. et al. Shape mapping of the hippocampus in young children with autism spectrum disorder. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 28, 672–677 (2007).
	- 118. Plessen, K. J. et al. Hippocampus and amygdala morphology in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 63, 795-807 (2006).
	- 119. Ure, A. M. et al. Neonatal brain abnormalities associated with autism spectrum disorder in children born very preterm. Autism Res. 9, 543–552 (2016).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualisation: T.A.W, S.L.M, B.J.A and E.J.C, Writing – Original Draft Preparation: T.A.W, Writing – Review & Editing: T.A.W, S.L.M, A.E.S, B.J.A, and E.J.C.

FUNDING

Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Tegan A. White or Emily J. Camm.

Reprints and permission information is available at [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/reprints) [reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s) 2024

1708