



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

BMJ Sex Reprod Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 July 14.

Published in final edited form as:

BMJ Sex Reprod Health. ; 50(3): 212–225. doi:10.1136/bmj.srh-2024-202274.

Association between COVID-19 Vaccination and Menstruation: A State of the Science Review

Laura A. Payne, PhD^{1,2}, Lauren A. Wise, ScD³, Amelia K. Wesselink, PhD³, Siwen Wang, MD⁴, Stacey A. Missmer, ScD^{2,5,6}, Alison Edelman, MD, MPH⁷

¹McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, 02478

²Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115

³Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, 02118.

⁴Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, 02115

⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, 02115

⁶Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, MI, 49503

⁷Department of OB/GYN, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon 97239

Abstract

Introduction: Menstrual health is a key patient-reported outcome beyond its importance as a general indicator of health and fertility. However, menstrual function was not measured in the clinical trials of COVID-19 vaccines. The purpose of this review is to synthesize the existing literature on the relationship between COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual health outcomes.

Methods: A PubMed search through October 31, 2023 identified a total of 53 publications: 11 prospective cohort studies; 11 retrospective cohort studies or registry-based cohort studies; and 31 cross-sectional or retrospective case-control studies.

Results: Identified studies were generally at moderate-to-high risk of bias due to retrospective design, interviewer bias, and failure to include a non-vaccinated control group. Nonetheless, the bulk of the literature demonstrates that COVID-19 vaccine is associated with temporary changes in menstrual characteristics (cycle length and flow) and menstrual pain. Follicular phase (at the time of vaccination) is associated with greater increases in cycle length. Evidence suggests temporary

Correspondence to Dr Laura A Payne, McLean, Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts, USA; LPayne@mclean.harvard.edu.

Disclaimer. The views expressed in this submitted article are those of the authors and not an official position of the institution or funder.

Disclosure of relationships and activities. LAP has served a consultant for Bayer Healthcare and Mahana Therapeutics and received speaking fees from Brightside Health. LAW receives in-kind donations from Swiss Precision Diagnostics (home pregnancy tests) and fertility-tracking apps (Kindara) for primary data collection in PRESTO. LAW also receives consulting fees from the Gates Foundation and Abbvie, Inc. SAM has received grants to the institution from Abbvie, Inc. NIH, Department of Defense, and the Marriott Family Foundation and receive honoraria from University of British Columbia, WERF, Huilin Shanghai, and University of Kansas Medical Center. SAM has also received travel support from Society for Reproductive Investigation, ESHRE 2022, FWGBD 2022, University of Michigan, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ASRM 2022, LIDEA registry, Taiwan Endometriosis Society, SEUD, and Japan Endometriosis Society. SAM has participated on advisory boards for Abbvie, Roche, Frontiers in Reproductive Health, Abbott, Human Reproduction, and LIDEA registry. ABE received royalties from Up To Date.

post-vaccine menstrual changes in adolescents, abnormal vaginal bleeding in post-menopausal individuals, and a potential protective effect of using hormonal contraception.

Discussion: In this review, we found evidence supporting an association between the COVID-19 vaccine and menstrual health outcomes. Given the importance of menstrual function to overall health, we recommend that all future vaccine trials include menstruation as a study outcome. Future vaccine studies should include rigorous assessment of the menstrual cycle as an outcome variable to limit sources of bias, identify biological mechanisms, and elucidate the impact of stress are much needed.

Summary

Menstrual health data were not collected as part of the clinical trials for the COVID-19 vaccines, and anecdotal reports of menstrual cycle changes after vaccination encouraged independent research to evaluate these outcomes. This review provides a summary of the data published through October 31, 2023 on the relationship between COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual cycle changes, including cycle length, cycle regularity/intermenstrual bleeding, menstrual flow, and menstrual pain. Additionally, the impact of menstrual cycle phase at the time of vaccination and menstrual changes in adolescents, bleeding in post-menopausal individuals, and the possible protective effect of hormonal contraceptives are included. This paper suggests the importance of measuring and monitoring the menstrual cycle as a key outcome in future vaccine clinical trials.

Keywords

Menstrual cycle; COVID-19 vaccine; menstruation

Introduction

Half the population will experience menstruation at some point in their lives. Among individuals with a uterus, menstruation occurs for approximately 5–7 days each month for 40 years. Menstrual health, whether characterized in terms of cycle length, days of flow, volume/intensity of flow, regularity, or associated symptoms, is a key patient-reported outcome beyond its importance as a general indicator of health and fertility. Menstrual health outcomes are not routinely included in clinical trials and have not been a consideration for vaccine trials.(1–4) Numerous reports of menstrual disturbances following COVID-19 vaccination, the complete absence of evidence, and the lack of attention on this sex-specific issue contributes to vaccine hesitancy, causes public mistrust, and directly impacts preventable morbidity and mortality (see Supplement 1).(5–10) The purpose of this review was to summarize the existing evidence on the relationship between COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual health.

Methods

To identify potentially relevant publications, we used the following search terms on PubMed to identify articles published on or before October 31, 2023: “COVID-19”, “vaccination”, “vaccine”, “menstruation”, “menses”, and “menstrual changes.” After excluding case series or case reports and publications based solely on postmenopausal individuals, we identified

a total of 53 publications: 11 prospective cohort studies, 11 retrospective cohort studies or registry-based cohort studies, and 31 cross-sectional or retrospective case-control studies. These papers were then rated by the co-authors and a research staff member for risk of bias using the ROBINS-E tool, which provides a structured method for evaluating risk of bias in non-randomized epidemiological studies.⁽¹¹⁾ Authors of any of the included papers were not involved in the risk assessment of these papers. In the results, we have highlighted key studies while summarizing the evidence. Additionally, we provide study details for the identified prospective and retrospective cohort studies or registry-based cohort studies in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Study details for cross-sectional studies or retrospective case-control studies are presented in Supplement Table 1.

Results

Cycle length

Cycle length is a distinct measurement defined as the time period from the first day of the last menstrual period until the day before the next menses starts. The bulk of research on COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual health has been focused on cycle length as it is a well-defined and, often, routinely-tracked outcome. Formal passive reporting systems and public reports described *both* longer and shorter cycle lengths in the cycle during which COVID-19 vaccination occurs.^(12,13) The first prospective study to identify an association between COVID-19 vaccination and temporary alterations to menstrual cycle length was a retrospective cohort study of prospectively tracked menstrual cycles in approximately 4,000 US-based individuals.⁽¹⁴⁾ The study utilized de-identified period tracking data from the FDA-cleared menstrual tracking application Natural Cycles to compare cycle length differences between vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals. The population analyzed had to be not recently pregnant, naturally cycling (no use of hormones), and demonstrate normal menstrual cycle length pre-vaccination. As compared with the unvaccinated control group, vaccinated individuals experienced a slightly longer cycle of less than 1 day after vaccination. A subsequent study broadening the population to include individuals outside the US confirmed the findings with data from nearly 20,000 individuals and additionally found that for most individuals, the increase in cycle length resolved in the cycle following vaccination.⁽¹⁵⁾

Although the average cycle length change observed in these population analyses was small, there were individuals in both the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups who experienced a greater magnitude of change. Of the total, 1,342 participants experienced a change in cycle length of eight or more days, comprising 6.2% of vaccinated individuals and 5.0% of unvaccinated individuals. Individuals who were younger and who had a longer cycle length before vaccination were more likely to experience the increase. This study also found no difference in the type of COVID vaccine (e.g. mRNA, attenuated virus, etc.) and no change in menstrual cycle length (e.g., days of bleeding).

With regard to menstrual cycle phase at the time of vaccination, one retrospective cohort study of individuals using a menstrual tracking application demonstrated that individuals who received vaccine in the follicular phase were more likely to experience a cycle length disturbance than those who received vaccine in the luteal phase.⁽¹⁶⁾ This study was not

designed to determine causation, as it did not include a control group or use a validated ovulation date.

Much less is known about the extent to which adolescent girls experience menstrual cycle changes following COVID-19 vaccination – likely given the greater difficulties in studying this vulnerable population. One study included 39 adolescent girls (ages 12–16) and assessed menstrual regularity following vaccination. Although this study did not include a control group, the data showed that 8 girls (of the 23 with pre-vaccine regular cycles) reported some kind of menstrual irregularity 3 months post-vaccination.(17) A separate study in Norway asked mothers of both vaccinated and unvaccinated adolescent girls to retrospectively report any menstrual cycle disturbances in their daughters.(18) The data showed mothers reported more menstrual disturbances (shorter and longer cycles, increased pain, and increased heavy bleeding) in the girls who had been vaccinated, compared to those who were not, although the authors mentioned that menstrual disturbances were common in both groups.

The published literature has continued to demonstrate that COVID-19 vaccination is associated with a slightly longer average cycle length among reproductive-aged individuals who prior to vaccination, had regular cycles.(16,19–22) We now know the COVID-19 vaccine is associated with changes in cycle length, at least in adult populations, and although a small change in menstrual cycle length may not be meaningful to health care professionals and researchers as it does not signify the need for a clinical work up or intervention, the significance of this body of research is that unanticipated, even small disturbances for a key patient outcome like menstrual health can trigger an exponential rise in concerns, as has been the case with the COVID-19 vaccine.

Cycle irregularity/missed periods/Intermenstrual bleeding

Studies investigating altered menstrual patterns (i.e., missed periods, intermenstrual bleeding, and cycle irregularity) remain sparse. These outcomes, specifically missed periods and cycle irregularity, overlap somewhat with one another and with cycle length outcomes and may be viewed and defined differently by patients and the scientific community. Intermenstrual bleeding is more straightforward to define, any bleeding that occurs outside of menses, but the data has not been available prospectively or inconsistently tracked by patients. Just three prospective cohort studies in the US and UK have reported on pre- and post-vaccination menstrual cycle characteristics; all concluded that COVID-19 vaccination was not associated with a change in menstrual regularity.(21–23) Additionally, one Swedish national register-based cohort study found that COVID-19 vaccinations were not associated with incident menstrual cycle irregularity that was ‘at least of sufficient concern to warrant seeking medical care’ among pre-menopausal women.(24)

The evidence is less clear regarding any impact of COVID-19 vaccination on other bleeding disorders. Evidence from any longitudinal studies is absent. We found seven cross-sectional surveys of individuals reporting missed periods and intermenstrual bleeding after receiving COVID-19 vaccination (especially in subsequent and booster doses compared to the 1st dose).(25–31) However, none of the studies was able to ascertain the extent to which these

findings were attributable to a natural menstrual variation, selection bias, or causally affected by the vaccines given the cross-sectional study design.

At this time, the current evidence is insufficient to determine if COVID-19 vaccination is associated with cycle irregularity or other altered menstrual patterns.

Flow effects/bleeding intensity, quantity, and duration

Menstrual flow is truly a patient-oriented outcome where the patient determines what is heavy or light; thus any reported change from an individual's baseline is the outcome of interest.(32) Reported menstrual flow effects around COVID-19 vaccination has been mainly retrospectively collected but duration of menses or number of bleeding days has been more available prospectively as it is tracked routinely by individuals and is a main data point captured by menstrual tracking applications.

A large-scale investigation of 9555 menstruating individuals (7401 vaccinated and 2154 unvaccinated) who tracked menstrual cycles using an app found no differences in number of heavy bleeding days, although vaccinated individuals did report greater total bleeding quantity in the cycle when the vaccine was received.(33) Other studies have found no significant changes in self-reported menstrual flow in a large prospective and retrospective sample of women (19) and no differences in relative risk of reporting “heavier” or “lighter” periods in those vaccinated compared with those who were not.(34) Similar self-report studies have found no differences in menstrual flow following vaccination.(35) However, contradictory findings have also been published suggesting there are changes to menstrual flow following vaccination, with many participants reporting “heavier” menstrual flow.(12,36,37) These findings also have support from research demonstrating changes to menstrual flow following vaccination,(31,38) although there have also been a mix of reported changes including “heavier” and “lighter” flow.(39) Despite these mixed findings, the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency determined that “heavy flow” be included as a potential vaccine side effect, given the strength of the evidence supporting this outcome and Pfizer and Moderna Product information has now added this as a possible side effect.(40)

Menstrual pain and endometriosis

Menstrual pain is a self-reported outcome measure, defined as pain and discomfort in and around the pelvic region that begins with the onset menstruation. Data on menstrual pain and COVID-19 vaccination are limited, although existing studies do suggest increased menstrual pain after COVID-19 vaccination, affecting around 20–40% of menstruating people after vaccination; estimated prevalence was similar after both the first and second vaccination dose.(28,41–43) Heterogeneity by type of vaccination in relation to post-vaccination menstrual pain experience remains inconclusive.(39,41) However, a longitudinal study that included pre-pandemic and pre-vaccination follow-up data did not observe that menstrual cycle pain complaints varied appreciably according to vaccination status.(22) Importantly, post-vaccination change in menstrual pain could also be attributed to background variability in menstrual pain driven by, for example, between-cycle fluctuations, age-related menstrual changes, or pandemic-related stress.(44) Unlike menstrual length and regularity, menstrual

pain and other menstruation-related symptoms can be perceived differently when reported in real time versus recalled and influenced by the format of questions, increasing the risk of misclassification or recall bias.

One of the most common medical conditions associated with menstrual pain is endometriosis. Endometriosis is a disease where the lining of the uterus (the “endometrium”) grows outside of the uterus. Endometriosis is associated with other painful conditions, including dyspareunia, dysuria, and dyschezia, and can be a source of chronic pelvic pain. It is associated with inflammatory processes, and thus, individuals with endometriosis may be particularly susceptible to effects from the COVID-19 vaccine. Emerging research suggests that people with endometriosis immunized with SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines perceived worsening menstrual cycle abnormalities – namely, fatigue, pain, and regularity disorders, compared to those without a history of endometriosis.(43,45) Among people with endometriosis, those taking hormonal treatment reported less change in menstrual-associated symptoms,(43) suggesting a possible protective or stabilizing effect of estrogen or progesterone. Notably, in these studies, endometriosis was confirmed by either transvaginal sonography or by hospital record, so it is not clear if participants received surgical confirmation of endometriosis at any point, which is the gold standard for diagnosis.

Post-menopausal individuals

The diagnosis of menopause is quite clear, diagnosed 12 months after an individual’s last period. Although one small study of 64 post-menopausal Lebanese women found no evidence of vaginal bleeding following receipt of the COVID-19 vaccine,(46) many larger studies examining population data have found evidence of a slightly increased risk of bleeding in post-menopausal women. In an examination of clinical records of 485,644 post-menopausal women, there was a small but statistically significant increase in the likelihood of receiving an abnormal bleeding diagnostic code in the 16 weeks following COVID-19 vaccination.(47) However, the authors note that this temporary increase was so small that it translated to fewer than 1 in 1000 women experiencing this change. Another study of over 1.5 million Swedish post-menopausal women also reported a small but significantly increased risk of bleeding, particularly after receiving a third dose of the vaccine.(24) An evaluation of reports of COVID-19 vaccine side effects to “v-safe” – an independent and voluntary vaccine monitoring system for individuals in the United States – found that approximately 4% of the 84,943 responses of menstrual disturbances reported post-menopausal bleeding.(48) Additionally, a supplementary analysis of 14,577 Danish self-reported postmenopausal women showed 2% (n=347) reported some “menstrual changes” following vaccination.(49) Post-menopausal women, by definition, are not menstruating, although the authors did not clarify the specific “menstrual” changes reported by post-menopausal women. Taken together, it does appear that many post-menopausal individuals experienced some abnormal vaginal bleeding following COVID-19 vaccination and this information is critically important information for this population to know when considering the potential side effects of the COVID-19 vaccination.

Hormonal contraception users

Hormonal contraception encompasses a wide range of methods, method delivery systems and dosing, and can contain only progestin or estrogen combined with a progestin. The few studies that have specifically evaluated the impact of hormonal contraception on menstrual cycle changes following COVID-19 vaccination have suggested that hormonal use has a protective effect against changes to the menstrual cycle but not all studies are able to differentiate between different methods.

One large study including prospective and retrospectively collected self-report data found a delay in the menstrual period following vaccination of 0.37 days, which was smaller delay than those not using hormonal contraception. There were no significant changes to menstrual flow following vaccination in those using hormonal contraception generally, although when type of hormone was analyzed separately, those using progesterone-only hormones reported heavier flow following vaccination.(19) A separate study also reported heavier menstrual flow post-vaccine among those using hormonal contraception, although type of hormonal contraceptive was not analyzed separately. Those using hormonal treatments also reported more breakthrough bleeding.(12) However, one additional study reported *fewer* menstrual cycle changes and less bleeding changes following each the first and second dose vaccinations in those using hormonal contraceptives compared to those who were not.(43)

Stress

The term “stress” is a broad and wide-ranging term that encompasses a number of areas related to psychological distress, worry, or concern.(50) Many different measures can reflect different aspects of stress – including depression, anxiety, perceived stress, and COVID-19 pandemic-related stress. There have been mixed results with regard to the impact of psychological stress on menstrual disturbances following receipt of the COVID-19 vaccine. Wang and colleagues reported that adult vaccinated women had a higher risk of increased cycle length compared with unvaccinated women, and this finding persisted after accounting for pandemic-related stress, which was assessed as depression, anxiety, posttraumatic stress, perceived stress, and worry about COVID-19.(21) Similarly, another study found no relationship between perceived stress and vaccination status or menstrual cycle characteristics.(22) However, another study found that changes in menstrual cycle characteristics were correlated with symptoms of depression, suggesting that the menstrual cycle effects of the vaccine may be related to changes in mood.(51) However, these inconsistent findings may result from different assessments of stress and how those measures related to changes in the menstrual cycle.

Long/short pre-vaccination cycles

Almost all of the research published thus far is focused on individuals with regular pre-vaccination menstrual cycles.(32) The restriction of analyses to this ‘normal’ cycle population was by design, otherwise it would have been impossible to determine if an actual signal existed due to the vaccine. Individuals with baseline irregular cycles did report menstrual disturbances through official passive reporting systems (VAERS) and social

media-based surveys. However, due to the inherent increased variability with irregular cycles, it will be a challenge to determine what changes are due to the vaccine.

Areas of Future Research

Optimization of study design and risk of bias

Cross-sectional and retrospective case-control studies, which select participants after both vaccination and menstrual changes have already occurred, are more prone to selection bias than prospective studies because selection is more likely to be dependent on both exposure and outcome. Another common concern about many studies is that participants were asked to report data on changes in menstruation in relation to vaccination, or participants were asked to provide data on vaccination and menstrual changes on the same questionnaire, both of which are likely to increase potential for spurious positive associations. The studies at lowest risk of bias are those that: 1) did not select participants in a way that depended on outcome status, 2) collected data prospectively in time (i.e., vaccination data were collected before data on the occurrence of menstrual changes), 3) included a comparison group of non-vaccinated participants, and 4) followed participants for at least two menstrual cycles to assess the extent to which menstrual changes persisted over time. Ideally, a study would use vaccine data on brand, dose, and dates from a population vaccine registry (gold standard), but only a small subset of studies had access to vaccination records. Nevertheless, studies that collected data proximal in time to the occurrence of vaccination are likely to have reasonably valid exposure classification. Prospective cohort studies in which participants were asked to report any changes in menstruation attributed to vaccination should still be considered at relatively higher risk of bias. Overall, based on these criteria, there is a moderate-to-high risk of bias in the vast majority of published studies on this topic.

Biological mechanisms

While we still do not know the mechanism for vaccine-induced menstrual changes, in retrospect it is not surprising that temporary changes to the menstrual cycle could occur with vaccination. Prior evidence exists demonstrating that the reproductive and immune systems ‘cross-talk,’ and a large body of literature has demonstrated the role of estrogen receptors and their impact on immune function,(52,53) although the extent to which the reverse is true (i.e., influence of immune responses on estrogen) is not well-documented. The menstrual cycle is orchestrated through the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian (HPO) axis with a series of well-timed hormonal events.(54) The follicular phase of the menstrual cycle or the first half of the menstrual cycle prior to ovulation is the portion of the cycle that is the most variable in its duration while the luteal phase is a consistent duration (typically 14 days).(55) It is quite plausible that both stress and inflammation would impact the balance of ovarian hormones that determine menstrual cyclicity.(56) Future research exploring these potential mechanisms is warranted.

Discussion

This review summarized the existing literature on the relationship between COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual cycle changes. Overall, data from published studies indicate

small transient changes in menstrual cycle length (i.e., longer cycle length) following vaccination. Additionally, there is some evidence that other menstrual characteristics such as menstrual pain, menstrual flow, and intermenstrual bleeding also occur following vaccination. Less is known about how these effects impact unique populations, including post-menopausal individuals and adolescents, although the limited data available suggest that “breakthrough” bleeding or menstrual cycle changes, respectively, may occur. Data from several studies suggest estrogen-containing hormonal contraception may protect against changes, which may be due to combined hormonal contraception’s inherent, dominant effect on the endometrium or perhaps, a unique estrogen-inflammatory interaction. Additionally, preliminary evidence exists suggesting that menstrual cycle phase at the time of vaccination impacts the degree of menstrual changes, although much more research is needed in this area. The role of stress and long/short pre-vaccination cycles is much less clear due to the very limited data available.

Despite the range of studies included in this review, outcome measures varied from study to study and likely reflecting the lack of established measures for assessing menstrual characteristics or use of whatever data was available in attempts to try to answer the question. Although efforts have been made to provide guidelines for menstrual cycle outcome measures,⁽⁵⁷⁾ this remains a significant gap in menstrual-related research. Additionally, lack of standardized measures creates further obstacles for future clinical trials to evaluate and assess the impact of interventions on the menstrual cycle. The menstrual cycle is a significant indicator of women’s health outside of fertility and pregnancy, and the lack of attention to this critical health indicator suggests much work is still needed to address women’s health disparities.

We now have a solid evidence base from data over the past 3 years demonstrating the impact of the COVID-19 vaccine on the menstrual cycle. However, it should be noted that the majority of these papers are published in obstetrics/gynecology or low impact health journals. The lack of publication of vaccine/menstrual cycle papers in general medical journals suggests that much of academic medicine does not see this information as important for public health. Unfortunately, many providers and members of the public may not learn about these results because of decreased visibility or availability of the published articles. General medical journals may want to reconsider publication priorities in light of the impact of women’s health outcomes on public health; given the paucity of evidence in the field, even a small or negative finding is important for both patients and providers. Going forward, we encourage the measurement and monitoring of menstrual health as a key outcome in future clinical trials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Julia Nolan for her assistance with ratings of bias and Areej Haroon and Will Shipman of Deloitte for programmatic assistance and coordination.

Source(s) of support.

This research was supported by grants from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health R01HD093680-S1 (PI: Payne), R01HD086742-S1 (PI: Wise); R01HD94842-04S1 and R01HD96033-03S1 (PI: Missmer); R01HD089957-S1 and R01HD105866-02S1 (PI: Edelman).

References

1. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020 Dec 31;383(27):2603–15. [PubMed: 33301246]
2. Oliver SE. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' Interim Recommendation for Use of Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine — United States, December 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jun 12];69. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm695152e1.htm>
3. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, Cárdenas V, Shukarev G, Grinsztejn B, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021 Jun 10;384(23):2187–201. [PubMed: 33882225]
4. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021 Feb 4;384(5):403–16. [PubMed: 33378609]
5. Male V. Menstruation and covid-19 vaccination. *BMJ*. 2022 Jan 26;o142.
6. COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual cycle changes: A United Kingdom (UK) retrospective case-control study | medRxiv [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 22]. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.23.21266709v3>
7. Townsel C, Moniz MH, Wagner AL, Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Hawley S, Jiang L, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among reproductive-aged female tier 1A healthcare workers in a United States Medical Center. *J Perinatol*. 2021 Oct;41(10):2549–51. [PubMed: 34497336]
8. Characterizing menstrual bleeding changes occurring after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination | medRxiv [Internet]. [cited 2021 Nov 12]. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264863v1>
9. Stoeklé HC, Sekkate S, Angellier E, Hervé C, Beuzebec P. Refusal of anti-coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination in cancer patients: Is there a difference between the sexes? *European Journal of Cancer*. 2021 Sep 1;155:54–5. [PubMed: 34352570]
10. Simms KT, Hanley SJB, Smith MA, Keane A, Canfell K. Impact of HPV vaccine hesitancy on cervical cancer in Japan: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020 Apr;5(4):e223–34. [PubMed: 32057317]
11. Higgins JPT, Morgan RL, Rooney AA, Taylor KW, Thayer KA, Silva RA, et al. A tool to assess risk of bias in non-randomized follow-up studies of exposure effects (ROBINS-E). *Environ Int*. 2024 Mar 24;186:108602. [PubMed: 38555664]
12. Lee KMN, Junkins EJ, Luo C, Fatima UA, Cox ML, Clancy KBH. Investigating trends in those who experience menstrual bleeding changes after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. *Science Advances*. 2022 Jul 15;8(28):eabm7201. [PubMed: 35857495]
13. Zhang B, Yu X, Liu J, Liu J, Liu P. COVID-19 vaccine and menstrual conditions in female: data analysis of the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). *BMC Womens Health*. 2022 Oct 5;22(1):403. [PubMed: 36195902]
14. Edelman A, Boniface ER, Benhar E, Han L, Matteson KA, Favaro C, et al. Association Between Menstrual Cycle Length and Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Vaccination: A U.S. Cohort. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2022 Jan 5;
15. Edelman A, Boniface ER, Male V, Cameron ST, Benhar E, Han L, et al. Association between menstrual cycle length and covid-19 vaccination: global, retrospective cohort study of prospectively collected data. *BMJ Medicine* [Internet]. 2022 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Oct 28];1(1). Available from: <https://bmjmedicine.bmj.com/content/1/1/e000297>

16. Gibson EA, Li H, Fruh V, Gabra M, Asokan G, Jukic AMZ, et al. Covid-19 vaccination and menstrual cycle length in the Apple Women's Health Study. *npj Digit Med*. 2022 Nov 2;5(1):1–8. [PubMed: 35013539]
17. Mohr-Sasson A, Haas J, Sivan M, Zehori Y, Hemi R, Orvieto R, et al. The effects of Covid-19 mRNA vaccine on adolescence gynecological well-being. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2023 May;307(5):1625–31. [PubMed: 36871101]
18. Caspersen IH, Juvet LK, Feiring B, Laake I, Robertson AH, Mjaaland S, et al. Menstrual disturbances in 12- to 15-year-old girls after one dose of COVID-19 Comirnaty vaccine: Population-based cohort study in Norway. *Vaccine*. 2023 Jan 9;41(2):614–20. [PubMed: 36517325]
19. Alvergne A, Woon EV, Male V. Effect of COVID-19 vaccination on the timing and flow of menstrual periods in two cohorts. *Frontiers in Reproductive Health [Internet]*. 2022 [cited 2023 Jan 23];4. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frph.2022.952976>
20. Chiang MR, Shih LC, Lu CC, Fang SH. The COVID-19 vaccine did not affect the basal immune response and menstruation in female athletes. *Physiol Rep*. 2023 Feb;11(3):e15556. [PubMed: 36750121]
21. Wang S, Mortazavi J, Hart JE, Hankins JA, Katuska LM, Farland LV, et al. A prospective study of the association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination with changes in usual menstrual cycle characteristics. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2022 Jul 13;227(5):739.e1–739.e11.
22. Wesselink AK, Lovett SM, Weinberg J, Geller RJ, Wang TR, Regan AK, et al. COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual cycle characteristics: A prospective cohort study. *Vaccine [Internet]*. 2023 Jun 5 [cited 2023 Jun 16]; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10239900/>
23. Rogers A, Rooke E, Morant S, Guthrie G, Doney A, Duncan A, et al. Adverse events and overall health and well-being after COVID-19 vaccination: interim results from the VAC4COVID cohort safety study. *BMJ Open*. 2022 Jun 1;12(6):e060583.
24. Ljung R, Xu Y, Sundström A, Leach S, Hallberg E, Bygdell M, et al. Association between SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and healthcare contacts for menstrual disturbance and bleeding in women before and after menopause: nationwide, register based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2023 May 3;381:e074778. [PubMed: 37137493]
25. Namiki T, Komine-Aizawa S, Takada K, Takano C, Trinh QD, Hayakawa S. The association of three doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine with abnormal bleeding and an irregular menstrual cycle among premenopausal females: A single institute observation study. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2022 Nov;48(11):2903–10. [PubMed: 36319205]
26. Rodríguez Quejada L, Toro Wills MF, Martínez-Ávila MC, Patiño-Aldana AF. Menstrual cycle disturbances after COVID-19 vaccination. *Womens Health (Lond)*. 2022 Jul 7;18:17455057221109375. [PubMed: 35796571]
27. Muhaidat N, Alshrouf MA, Azzam MI, Karam AM, Al-Nazer M, Al-Ani A. Menstrual Symptoms After COVID-19 Vaccine: A Cross-Sectional Investigation in the MENA Region. *IJWH*. 2022 Mar;Volume 14:395–404.
28. Farland LV, Khan SM, Shilen A, Heslin KM, Ishimwe P, Allen AM, et al. COVID-19 vaccination and changes in the menstrual cycle among vaccinated persons. *Fertil Steril*. 2022 Dec 17;S0015–0282(22)02110–0.
29. Al-Furaydi A, Alrobaish SA, Al-Sowayan N. The COVID-19 vaccines and menstrual disorders. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2023 Feb;27(3):1185–91. [PubMed: 36808367]
30. Ta kaldıran I, Vuralo lu E, Bozku Y, Turhan yidir Ö, Nar A, Ba çıl Tütüncü N. Menstrual Changes after COVID-19 Infection and COVID-19 Vaccination. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2022;2022:3199758. [PubMed: 36349056]
31. M M Al-Mehaisen L, A Mahfouz I, Khamaiseh K, N Al-Beitawe S, Al-Kuran OAH. Short Term Effect of Corona Virus Diseases Vaccine on the Menstrual Cycles. *Int J Womens Health*. 2022;14:1385–94. [PubMed: 36164386]
32. Munro MG, Critchley HOD, Fraser IS, FIGO Menstrual Disorders Committee. The two FIGO systems for normal and abnormal uterine bleeding symptoms and classification of causes of

- abnormal uterine bleeding in the reproductive years: 2018 revisions. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2018 Dec;143(3):393–408. [PubMed: 30198563]
33. Darney BG, Boniface ER, Van Lamsweerde A, Han L, Matteson KA, Cameron S, et al. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination on menstrual bleeding quantity: An observational cohort study. *BJOG.* 2023 Jun;130(7):803–12.
 34. Alvergne A, Kountourides G, Argentieri MA, Agyen L, Rogers N, Knight D, et al. A retrospective case-control study on menstrual cycle changes following COVID-19 vaccination and disease. *iScience.* 2023 Apr 21;26(4):106401. [PubMed: 36987520]
 35. Saleh Alzahrani H, Ali Algashami S, Abdulaziz Alharkan A, Sultan Alotaibi N, Waseem Algahs N. The effect of COVID-19 vaccination on the menstrual cycle in female in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Pharm J.* 2023 May;31(5):746–51. [PubMed: 37128295]
 36. Qashqari FSI, Dahlawi M, Assaggaf HM, Alsafi R, Gari A, Abudawood A, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 Vaccine on the Menstrual Cycle among Females in Saudi Arabia. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2022 Nov;32(6):1083–92. [PubMed: 36475264]
 37. Sualeh M, Uddin MR, Junaid N, Khan M, Pario A, Ain Q. Impact of COVID-19 Vaccination on Menstrual Cycle: A Cross-Sectional Study From Karachi, Pakistan. *Cureus.* 2022 Aug;14(8):e28630. [PubMed: 36196308]
 38. Matar SG, Nourelden AZ, Assar A, Bahbah EI, Alfryjat AM, Hasabo EA, et al. Effect of COVID-19 vaccine on menstrual experience among females in six Arab countries: A cross sectional study. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses.* 2023 Jan;17(1):e13088. [PubMed: 36578138]
 39. Qazi TB, Dkhar SA, Quansar R, Khan SMS. Impact of COVID-19 vaccination on menstrual cycle in women of reproductive age. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2023 Sep;162(3):1086–90. [PubMed: 37132582]
 40. GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 6]. Coronavirus vaccine - summary of Yellow Card reporting. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-vaccine-adverse-reactions/coronavirus-vaccine-summary-of-yellow-card-reporting>
 41. Alahmadi AM, Aljohani AH, Fadhoun RA, Almohammadi AS, Alharbi DF, Alrefai LS. The Effect of the COVID-19 Vaccine on the Menstrual Cycle Among Reproductive-Aged Females in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus.* 2022 Dec;14(12):e32473. [PubMed: 36523858]
 42. Baena-García L, Aparicio VA, Molina-López A, Aranda P, Cámara-Roca L, Ocón-Hernández O. Premenstrual and menstrual changes reported after COVID-19 vaccination: The EVA project. *Womens Health (Lond).* 2022;18:17455057221112237. [PubMed: 35833668]
 43. Martínez-Zamora MÁ, Feixas G, Gracia M, Rius M, Quintas L, de Guirior C, et al. Evaluation of menstrual symptoms after Coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination in women with endometriosis. *Womens Health (Lond).* 2023;19:17455057231176751. [PubMed: 37282979]
 44. Sharp G The COVID-19 pandemic and the menstrual cycle: research gaps and opportunities. *Int J Epi.* 2022;51(3):691–700.
 45. Gilan A, Laster-Haim S, Rottenstreich A, Porat S, Lessans N, Saar TD, et al. The effect of SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccine on the symptoms of women with endometriosis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2023 Jan;307(1):121–7. [PubMed: 36098831]
 46. Farah S, Hijazi M, Aoun E, Boueri M, Nasr E, Chlala W, et al. Effect of COVID-19 vaccinations on menstrual cycle and postmenopausal bleeding among health care workers: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2023 Aug;162(2):532–40. [PubMed: 37132636]
 47. Suh-Burgmann EJ, Tierney C, Hung YY, Schmittiel JA. Association between vaccination against COVID-19 and postmenopausal bleeding. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2022 Dec;227(6):907–8. [PubMed: 35835262]
 48. Wong KK, Heilig CM, Hause A, Myers TR, Olson CK, Gee J, et al. Menstrual irregularities and vaginal bleeding after COVID-19 vaccination reported to v-safe active surveillance, USA in December, 2020–January, 2022: an observational cohort study. *The Lancet Digital Health.* 2022 Sep 1;4(9):e667–75. [PubMed: 35961858]
 49. Bisgaard Jensen C, Bech BH, Hansen SN, Rask CU, Fink P, Nielsen H, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for self-reported menstrual changes following COVID-19 vaccination: a Danish cohort study. *Hum Reprod.* 2023 Sep 5;38(9):1825–34. [PubMed: 37437904]

50. Stress [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 8]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/stress>
51. Barabás K, Makkai B, Farkas N, Horváth HR, Nagy Z, Váradi K, et al. Influence of COVID-19 pandemic and vaccination on the menstrual cycle: A retrospective study in Hungary. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2022;13:974788. [PubMed: 36387878]
52. Kovats S Estrogen receptors regulate innate immune cells and signaling pathways. *Cell Immunol*. 2015 Apr;294(2):63–9. [PubMed: 25682174]
53. Straub RH. The complex role of estrogens in inflammation. *Endocr Rev*. 2007 Aug;28(5):521–74. [PubMed: 17640948]
54. Valsamakis G, Chrousos G, Mastorakos G. Stress, female reproduction and pregnancy. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2019 Feb 1;100:48–57. [PubMed: 30291988]
55. Reed BG, Carr BR. The Normal Menstrual Cycle and the Control of Ovulation. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Blackman MR, Boyce A, Chrousos G, Corpas E, et al., editors. *Endotext* [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000 [cited 2023 Jul 10]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK279054/>
56. Rahimi Mansour F, Keyvanfar A, Najafiarab H, Rajaei Firouzabadi S, Sefidgar S, Hooshmand Chayijan S, et al. Menstrual disturbances following COVID-19 vaccination: A probable puzzle about the role of endocrine and immune pathways. *J Reprod Immunol*. 2023 Aug;158:103952. [PubMed: 37201456]
57. Schmalenberger KM, Tauseef HA, Barone JC, Owens SA, Lieberman L, Jarczok MN, et al. How to study the menstrual cycle: Practical tools and recommendations. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2021 Jan;123:104895. [PubMed: 33113391]
58. Bouchard TP, Schneider M, Schmidt M, Manhart M, Fehring RJ. Menstrual Cycle Parameters Are Not Significantly Different After COVID-19 Vaccination. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2022 Aug;31(8):1097–102. [PubMed: 35723654]
59. Duijster JW, Schoep ME, Nieboer TE, Jajou R, Kant A, van Hunsel F. Menstrual abnormalities after COVID-19 vaccination in the Netherlands: A description of spontaneous and longitudinal patient-reported data. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2023 Oct;89(10):3126–38. [PubMed: 37222170]
60. Wang S, Mortazavi J, Hart JE, Hankins JA, Katuska LM, Farland LV, et al. A prospective study of the association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination with changes in usual menstrual cycle characteristics. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2022 Nov 1;227(5):739.e1–739.e11.
61. Dellino M, Lamanna B, Vinciguerra M, Tafuri S, Stefanizzi P, Malvasi A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines and Adverse Effects in Gynecology and Obstetrics: The First Italian Retrospective Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Oct 13;19(20):13167. [PubMed: 36293746]
62. Hallberg E, Sundström A, Larsson M, Arthurson V, Ljung R. Association Between Menstrual Cycle Length and Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Vaccination: A U.S. Cohort. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2022 May 1;139(5):940–1. [PubMed: 35576361]
63. Hasdemir PS, Senol Akar S, Goker A, Kosova F, Ucar D, Ozalp Ates FS, et al. The effect of COVID-19 vaccinations on menstrual cycle and serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels in reproductive age women. *Hum Fertil (Camb)*. 2023 Feb;26(1):153–61. [PubMed: 36919413]
64. Trogstad L, Laake I, Robertson AH, Mjaaland S, Caspersen IH, Juvet LK, et al. Heavy bleeding and other menstrual disturbances in young women after COVID-19 vaccination. *Vaccine*. 2023 Aug 14;41(36):5271–82. [PubMed: 37451876]

Table 1.

Prospective cohort studies of pre-menopausal individuals

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Alvergne (19)	2022	Two cohorts: retrospective + prospective	UK	prospective: 79 retrospective: 1,273	18 years	Retrospective: 7/2021–10/2021 Prospective: Not provided	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Janssen	Timing and flow of menses	None	- post-vaccine menses; 2.3 days late on average (prospective cohort) - no meaningful change in flow by brand - no association with those on progestin-only contraception: more likely to report heavy flow	High
Bouchard (58)	2022	Prospective cohort	North America	76	18–42 years	Not provided	Pfizer or Moderna mRNA vaccines	Prospectively-collected daily diary data on: cycle length, days of flow, volume, luteal phase length, signs of ovulation. Secondary outcomes: Perceived menstrual changes attributed to vaccination	None (time invariant variables controlled as part of pre-post design)	75 women provided 588 cycles for analysis (227 pre-vaccine cycles, 145 vaccine cycles, 216 post-vaccine cycles). 22% perceived changes in their menstrual cycle post-vaccination but there were no significant differences in menstrual cycle length or days of flow comparing pre-vaccine, vaccine, and post-vaccine cycles.	Moderate
Chiang (20)	2023	Prospective cohort study	Taiwan	20	20 years	Not provided	Moderna, Pfizer, AstraZeneca	Cycle length, bleed length	None (pre-post design)	Bleed length: 6.08 (pre) vs. 6.45 (first dose) vs. 6.00 days (second dose) Cycle length: 29.42 (pre) vs. 30.84 (first dose) days vs. 30.30	High

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Duijster (59)	2023	Prospective cohort event monitoring study + spontaneous reports	Netherlands	13,567	16–55 years	2/1/2021–3/29/2022	AstraZeneca, Janssen, Moderna, or Pfizer vaccine	Amenorrhoea/ oligome norrhoea, dysmenorrhoea, heavy menstrual bleeding, intermenstrual bleeding, irregular bleeding, reduced blood loss, and withdrawal blood loss abnormal.	Age	Increased odds of any menstrual abnormality for Janssen (OR 1.83; 95% CI 1.33–2.49), Moderna (OR 2.44; 95% CI 1.86–3.20) and Pfizer (OR 3.04; 95% CI 2.36–3.93) versus AstraZeneca. Most menstrual abnormalities occurred after second dose of vaccination vs. first dose (36.2%). Overall, median time to resolve of abnormalities was 7 days (IQR 4–14 days).	Moderate
EdeIman (15)	2022	Prospective cohort study	Global	19,622	18–45 years	10/2020–11/2021	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Janssen	Cycle length, menses length	Age, BMI, education, parity, relationship status, global region	Adjusted difference (β) in change in cycle length between vaccinated and unvaccinated: 1st dose: 0.71 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.96); 2nd dose: 0.56 (95% CI: 0.28, 0.84); After 2nd dose: -0.11 (95% CI: -0.33, 0.10). Adjusted difference in change in menses length for vaccinated vs. unvaccinated: 1st dose: 0.07 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.13); 2nd dose: 0.13	Low

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Edelman (14)	2022	Prospective cohort study	US	3,959	18–45 years	10/2020–9/2021	Pfizer, Moderna, JANSSEN	Cycle length, menses length	Age, race/ethnicity, BMI, parity, relationship status, education	95% CI: 0.06, 0.20) Cycle length: 1st dose: $\beta=0.64$ (0.27, 1.01); 2nd dose: $\beta=0.79$ (0.40, 1.18); Days of flow: 1st dose: $\beta=0.08$ (–0.04, 0.19); 2nd dose: $\beta=0.08$ (–0.04, 0.20)	Low
Gibson (16)	2022	Prospective cohort study	US	9,652	18 years	11/2019–1/2022	Moderna, Pfizer, Janssen	Cycle length	Age, BMI, seasonality	All vaccinations: 1st dose of mRNA vaccine (0.50 days, 95% CI: 0.22, 0.78); 2nd dose of mRNA vaccine (0.39 days, 95% CI: 0.11, 0.67); Janssen vaccine: 1.26 days longer (95% CI: 0.45, 2.07) than pre-vaccination cycles. Follicular phase vaccination: 1st dose of mRNA vaccine (0.97 days, 95% CI: 0.53, 1.42); 2nd dose of mRNA vaccine (1.43 days, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.80); Janssen dose (2.27 days, 95% CI: 1.04, 3.50)	Low
Mohr-Sasson (17)	2023	Prospective cohort	Israel	35	12–16 years	6/2021–7/2021	Pfizer	Change in: cycle regularity, cycle length, cycle intensity, AMH during 3 month period	Age, BMI, side effects	31.8% of regularly-menstruating girls had irregular periods after vaccination, 50% of premenarcheal girls reported menarche on 3 month follow-up;	High

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Rogers (23)	2022	Prospective cohort	UK	11,475	18–59 years	2/2021–10/2021	AstraZeneca, Pfizer, SpikeVax	Cycle changes	None	Reported HR for first dose of AstraZeneca (reference) with second dose of AstraZeneca, first dose of Pfizer, and second dose of Pfizer. All null	Moderate
Wang (60)	2022	Prospective cohort study	US and Canada	3,858	21–56 years (all premenopausal)	4/2020–11/2021	Messenger RNA & adenovirus-vector	Cycle length	Sociodemographic and behavioral factors +/- pandemic stressors	Vaccination was associated with longer cycles after vaccination (0–6 months: OR=1.67 [95% CI: 1.05–2.64]; 7–9 months: OR=1.43 [95% CI: 0.96–2.14]; >9 months: OR=1.41 [95% CI: 0.91–2.18]) and among those whose cycles were short, long, or irregular before vaccination (OR=2.82 [95% CI: 1.51–5.27]; OR=1.10 [95% CI: 0.68–1.77] for those with normal length, regular cycles before vaccination). MRNA and adenovirus-vectored vaccines were both associated with this change.	Low
Wesselink (22)	2023	Prospective cohort study	US and Canada	1,137	21–45 years	6/2021–8/2022	Moderna, Pfizer, Janssen	Cycle regularity, cycle length, bleed length, heaviness of	Sociodemographic, lifestyle, medical and reproductive factors	Participants had 1.1 day longer cycles after the 1st dose of vaccine (95% CI: 0.4, 1.9) and 1.3	Low

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
								bleed, and menstrual pain		day longer cycles after the 2nd dose (95 % CI: 0.2, 2.5). Associations were attenuated at the 2nd cycle post-vaccination. There was little association with cycle regularity, days of flow, menstrual volume, or pain.	

Abbreviations: AMH = anti-mullerian hormone, β = mean difference, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, UK = United Kingdom, US = United States

Table 2. Retrospective cohort studies or registry-based cohort studies of pre-menopausal individuals

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location of Study	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study Period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Alahmadi (41)	2022	Retrospective cohort study	Saudi Arabia	673	18–45 years	1/2021–1/2022	Moderna, AstraZeneca, Pfizer-Biotech	Menstrual length, days of flow, volume, pain regarding pre-and post-COVID-19 vaccination.	None (pre-post comparison)	Changes in menstruation after both vaccine doses were observed for 47%; 23% = more pain after 1st dose and 21% after 2 nd dose. Moderna vaccine was associated with greatest changes (65.4%), AstraZeneca was associated with fewest changes (44.9%). Duration of changes in cycles after vaccination (one dose or both) was <1 month for 42%, and 3 months for 27.1%. vaccination was associated with minor and transient increase in menstrual pain.	High
Barabás (51)	2022	Retrospective cohort study	Hungary	1,563	18–65 years	Recruited: 9/2021–12/2021 Data collected: 2019–2022	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Sputnik, Janssen, Sinopharm	Cycle length, menses length, cycle regularity	None	40.4% reported menstrual changes: 29.9% shorter cycles; 22.2% longer cycles; 13.9% missed period; 7.8% prolonged bleeding; 12.2% irregular bleeding; 4.3% heavier bleeding; 2.8% strong menstrual cramps; 2.0% period reappearance	High
Bisgaard Jensen (49)	2023	Registrybased cohort study	Denmark	13,648	16–65 years	5/2021–12/2021		Longer menstrual cycle, shorter	Vaccine symptoms, prior	30% women reported any menstrual change	High

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location of Study	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study Period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Caspersen (18)	2023	Population-based cohort study	Norway	7,565	12–15 years	8/2021–10/2021	Cominaty vaccine	menstrual cycle, heavier menstrual bleeding, lighter menstrual bleeding, more regular menstrual cycle, more irregular menstrual cycle, menstrual absence, prolonged bleeding, shortened bleeding, menstrual pain, intermenstrual bleeding, two monthly menstrual bleedings, duration of any menstrual changes	COVID-19 infection, concerned about vaccine, stress, age, smoking, health, use of hormonal contraceptive n; alcohol use, physical activity, weight, pre-vaccination menstrual regularity, vaccine type	(95%CI: 29.31–30.86). Less than 10% of women reported either longer or shorter cycles, heavier or lighter bleeding, more regular or irregular cycles, or other menstrual changes.	High
								Mother's report of daughter's periods before vs. after vaccination: 1) heavier bleeding than usual, 2) prolonged menses, 3) shorter interval between menses than usual, 4) longer interval between menses than usual, 5) spotting between menses, and 6) greater menstrual pain		RR for heavier bleeding=1.60 (95% CI: 1.43, 1.80); RR for prolonged bleeding=1.39 (95% CI: 1.22, 1.59) RR for shorter interval=1.19 (95% CI: 1.07, 1.32); RR for longer interval=1.15 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.27); RR for spot bleeding=1.06 (95% CI: 0.92, 1.23); RR for stronger period pain=1.14 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.26); RR for period pains without bleeding=1.00 (95% CI: 0.90, 1.11); RR for other pelvic	

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location of Study	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study Period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Darney (33)	2023	Retrospective cohort study	Five global	9555	18–44 years	10/2020–5/2022	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Covishield and Sputnik, Covaxin, Sinopharm and Sinovac	The mean number of heavy bleeding days and changes in bleeding quantity at three time points (first dose, second dose and postexposure menses).	Age, race, ethnicity, parity, BMI, education, relationship status, region.	symptoms=0.97 (95% CI: 0.76, 1.25) About 66% reported no change in heavy bleeding days, regardless of vaccination status. Little difference in heavy bleeding days by vaccination status. A larger proportion of vaccinated individuals experienced increases in total bleeding quantity (34.5% unvaccinated; 38.4% vaccinated; $\beta=4.0%$, 99.2% CI 0.7–7.2%).	Low
Dellino (61)	2022	Retrospective cohort study	Italy	100	18–45 years	4/2021–4/2022	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca	Late period, abnormal uterine bleeding	None	23% had menstrual delay, 77% had AUB	High
Hallberg (62)	2022	Registrybased cohort study	Sweden	1.6 million	15–49 years	12/2020–1/2022	Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca	N91 (absent, scanty, rare menstruation), N92 (excessive, frequent, irregular menstruation), N93 (other abnormal uterine/vaginal bleeding)	None	Standardized incidence ratios (comparing with unvaccinated patients in 2019): N91: 0.93 (0.86, 1.00); N92: 1.04 (1.01, 1.07); N93: 1.23 (1.17, 1.28)	High
Hasdemir (63)	2023	Retrospective cohort study	Turkey	258	“reproductive-aged women”	Not provided	CoronaVac, Pfizer	“Menstrual dysregulation”	None	The prevalence of new-onset menstrual dysregulation following vaccination was 20.6% and it differed compared with baseline. Menstrual pattern returned to normal in 59.6% of vaccinated women.	High

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location of Study	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study Period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Ljung (24)	2023	Registrybased cohort study	Sweden	2 946 448	12–74 years	12/2020– 2/2022	BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, AZD1222	Healthcare contact (admission to hospital or visit) for menstrual disturbance or bleeding before or after menopause (ICD-10 codes N91, N92, N93, N95)	Age, country of birth, employed in healthcare, marital status, education, health seeking behaviors, comorbidity, and treatments	Postmenopausal: highest risks observed after third dose, in 1–7-day risk window (HR=1.28, 95%CI: 1.011–1.62) and 8–90-day risk window (HR=1.25, 95%CI: 1.04–1.50). There was a 23–33% increased risk after 8–90 days with BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 after third dose, but association with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 was unclear. Premenopausal: no association with menstrual disturbances or bleeding.	Moderate
Trogstad (64)	2023	Retrospective cohort study (Norwegian Young Adult Cohort)	Norway	3972	18–30 years	5/2021– 10/2021	Data from National Immunization Registry (SYSAK), Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca (through 3/2021 only)	Menstrual disturbances (heavier bleeding than usual, prolonged bleeding, shorter interval between menstruations, longer interval between menstruations, spot bleedings, stronger pain during menstruation, period pain without bleeding) before and after the first and second dose of COVID-19 vaccine.	Selfcontrolled case-series design	In the first cycle after vaccination: increased occurrence of unusually heavy and prolonged bleeding, spot bleeding, interval changes, and increased pain during periods vs. last cycle prior to vaccination. The association was strongest for heavy menstrual bleeding increasing from 8% before vaccination to 14–15% after vaccination, corresponding to RR of 1.90 (95% CI: 1.69–2.13) after 1st vaccine dose; RR=1.84, 95% CI 1.66–2.03 after 2nd dose). The association	Moderate

First Author (Reference #)	Publication Year	Study Design	Location of Study	Sample Size	Age of Participants	Study Period	Vaccine Types	Outcome(s)	Control variables	Main Results	Overall Risk of Bias Rating
Wong (48)	2022	Retrospective cohort study (vaccine surveillance)	US	62,679	18 years	12/2020/2022	Pfizer, Moderna, Janssen	Menstrual irregularities or vaginal bleeding	None	between vaccination and menstrual disturbances did not differ by vaccine brand, use of hormones, or history of gynecological conditions. 63,815 respondents reported on menstrual irregularities or vaginal bleeding, which included 62,679 female respondents (1.0% of 5 975 363 female respondents aged 18 years). Common themes identified included timing of menstruation (70 981 [83.6%] responses) and severity of menstrual symptoms (56 890 [67.0%] responses). Other themes included menopausal bleeding (3439 [4.0%] responses) and resumption of menses (2378 [2.8%] responses).	Moderate

Abbreviations: AUB = abnormal uterine bleeding, β = mean difference, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, OR = odds ratio, RR = relative risk, US = United States.