Digital Technology Enablers of Tele-Neurorehabilitation in Pre- and Post-COVID-19 Pandemic Era – A Scoping Review

Mohy Uddin, MD¹, Krishnan Ganapathy, MD, PhD², Shabbir Syed-Abdul, MD, MSc, PhD³

¹ Research Quality Management Section, King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

² Distinguished Visiting Professor IIT Kanpur & Director Apollo Telemedicine Networking Foundation, India

³ Graduate Institute of Biomedical Informatics, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract

Neurorehabilitation (NR), a major component of neurosciences, is the process of restoring a patient's damaged/disorganized neurological function, through training, therapy, and education, while focusing on patient's independence and well-being. Since the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic, various applications of telecare and telehealth services surged drastically and became an integral part of current clinical practices. Tele-Neurorehabilitation (TNR) is one of such applications. When rehabilitation services were disrupted globally due to lockdown and travel restrictions, the importance of TNR was recognized, especially in developed, low, and middle-income countries. With exponential deployment of telehealth interventions in neurosciences, TNR has become a distinct stand-alone sub-specialty of neurosciences and telehealth. Digital technologies, such as wearables, robotics, and Virtual Reality (VR) have enabled TNR to improve the quality of patients' lives. Providing NR remotely using digital technologies and customized digital devices is now a reality, and likely to be the new norm soon. This article provides an overview of the needs, utilization, and deployment of TNR, and focuses on digital technology enablers of TNR in pre- and post- COVID-19 pandemic era.

Keywords: COVID-19, Neurorehabilitation, Telehealth, Telemedicine, Tele-Neurorehabilitation

Neurological disorders affect almost one billion people globally. The prevalence of disability due to neurological disorders

is rising worldwide, creating a significant disability burden that will require comprehensive management, including rehabilitation (Feigin et al., 2020). According to a global estimate, one in three people worldwide will need rehabilitation services at some time, and an estimated 2.4 billion individuals have health conditions that may benefit from some form of rehabilitation (Cieza et al., 2020).

Neurorehabilitation (NR) is an inter-disciplinary and cross-sectional health service for people with disease or injury to the neurological system. NR aims to optimize health, promote well-being, and enhance persons' abilities to have a good quality of life (Cummins et al., 2022). NR is critical in enhancing functional recovery in neurological disorders, and helps maintain, restore, and improve skills lost or impaired due to neurological conditions. The conventional NR approach is based on the physical and occupational therapy sessions done mainly in one-to-one meetings with therapists during either inpatient or outpatient hospital settings, is quite resource demanding and faces many challenges, such as increasing number of patients, shortage of expert staff, and economic pressure of cost minimization (Lambercy et al., 2021).

With the resurgence of COVID-19 pandemic, lockdown, quarantine, and travel restrictions, the accessibility to hospitals, emergency care, and rehabilitation services was drastically reduced. The pandemic brought further constraints to the traditional NR approach, because in-person NR could increase risk of possible exposure to COVID-19 (Caso & Federico, 2020). The importance and need to utilize modern digital technology enabled telemedicine/telehealth interventions became very clear in that scenario. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, utilization of digital technologies to deliver NR was not a question of when, but rather a question of how and now! The advancements brought by the digital revolution addressed the limitations of

the current healthcare model faced during the pandemic by providing high quality rehabilitation in patients' homes along a continuum of care (Galea, 2019).

Telerehabilitation (TR), an emerging and advanced approach to providing remote healthcare, is the delivery of rehabilitation services using telecommunication/digital technologies (Alexander, 2022). TR overcomes the barriers of distance and time by providing patients with disabilities access to accurate diagnosis and treatment. User-friendly digital technologies that are flexible, simple, intuitive, with a tolerance for error, requiring low physical effort, and controlled remotely, can do wonders. In TR, remotely located patient-centric devices are integrated with communication and software systems. These need to work seamlessly with the patients having impairments of fine/gross motor skills, cognition, speech, language, vision and hearing (Brennan et al., 2010). The examples of TR systems include: Virtual Reality (VR), video games, web-based interventions, mobile apps, and online/telephonic telecoach programs (Matamala-Gomez et al., 2020). These systems play a key role in facilitating the relationship between clinicians and patients, and enable the continuity of the rehabilitation process for patients in their homes. TR, which is delivered virtually, ensures the access to high-quality, cost-effective care despite isolation, reduces travel and waiting time, and improves quality of life and patients' outcomes.

Tele-Neurorehabilitation (TNR) is an innovative approach that allows neurologically afflicted patients, who might not otherwise have access to healthcare facilities due to distance or economic issues, to continue cost effective rehabilitation at home (Khanna et al., 2018). Numerous published studies (Brennan et al., 2021; Cerfoglio et al., 2023; Cox et al., 2021; Iodice et al., 2021; Maresca et al., 2020; Matamala-Gomez et al., 2021; Srivastava et al., 2021) demonstrated the efficacy of providing remote, home-based telerehabilitation solutions to patients with neurological diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Modern digital technologies facilitate e-visits for remote patient assessment, monitoring, and care. Various methods and components of TNR using technological support have been described in the literature for variety of diseases (Ganapathy, 2021; Garg & Dhamija, 2020; Golomb et al., 2010; Klaic & Galea, 2020; Matamala-Gomez et al., 2020; Montana et al., 2020; Peretti et al., 2017; Rogante et al., 2010; Stasolla et al., 2023; Tressoldi et al., 2012). In a recent systematic review (Matamala-Gomez et al., 2020) that assessed the role and effectiveness of different engagement strategies in TNR for patients with neurological disorders, out of 18 analyzed studies, 12 studies reported positive results, five studies reported neutral effects, and only one study reported negative results. Examples of TNR services include: physical motor therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language therapy, audiology, VR, telerobotic therapy, and gamification. Virtual physical therapy includes assessment, intervention, monitoring, education, and training. Two-way real-time virtual visits are enabled using audio-visual tools and equipments. Examples include: video calls, video conferencing, asynchronous e-visits, virtual check-ins, and remote evaluations of recorded images and videos. Similarly, machines interfaced with smart devices like robotic arms, robotic legs, data gloves, and smart glasses in a 3D environment allow a greater sense of immersion in the virtual environment. Technology plays a major role in TNR. Deployment of technology should always be contextual, user friendly, flexible, simple, intuitive, and cost effective. Complex technology (i.e., multiple components, settings and/or connections) may be associated with higher cost and a steeper learning curve, leading to eventual non-use of the system. TNR enabled devices help a therapist monitor a patient remotely using applications installed on a tablet, smartphone, or customised smart gloves and splints. Patients can practice exercises independently even without a therapist being online. Fuzzy Inference Systems (FIS) have remote monitoring tools that assist therapists to supervise patients' exercises remotely.

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

A scoping review was performed to search the scientific and academic literature for related studies in the field of TNR focusing on the digital technology aspects and covering the time period of the pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic era. For the search, we used the PubMed database and the Google Scholar search engine. The rationale for using both was that they are the most widely used, verified, and freely accessible resources for searching high quality scientific and academic publications online. For the search terms, we used Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms via the NIH MeSH Browser. The search was performed using various combinations of terms using Boolean operator 'AND.' The search terms included: 'Neurorehabilitation,' 'Neurological Rehabilitation,' 'Digital Technology,' 'Telemedicine,' 'Telehealth,' 'Neurology,' and 'COVID-19'.

For the selection of studies, the following criteria were followed:

- Studies in the field of TNR
- Studies that focused on the Digital Technology aspects

Ĥ.

- Studies covering the timespan of pre- and post-COVID pandemic era
- Studies written in the English language

For the exclusion of studies, the following criteria was followed:

- Non-peer reviewed literature
- Study types categorized as viewpoints, letters, and guidelines

All selected studies matching the inclusion and exclusion criteria were reviewed in detail. The data were extracted, summarized, and discussed for the main digital technologies involved in TNR in the pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic era.

Key Digital Technology Enablers of Tele-Neurorehabilitation

Digital technologies enable TNR to improve quality of life for patients particularly during pandemics, lockdowns, quarantines, and social distancing preventing scenarios. Digital technologies provide various platforms that can enable remote patient monitoring asynchronously. These asynchronous platforms include: wikis, blogs, forums, or e-mail. Communication can occur synchronously through instant messaging, videoconferencing, and chat servers (Mosca et al., 2020). Multimedia technologies enable interaction and sensory feedback through motivating multidimensional virtual environments. Video game consoles assist in motor rehabilitation (Gutiérrez et al., 2013).

Smart chatbots (e.g. Siri) and future-generation machine learning ensure intelligent engagement (Dobkin, 2016). USBbased wrist blood pressure cuffs, mats with contact sensitive switches, gaming driving wheels with special grippers, and joysticks are used for stroke patients. Socially assistive humanoid robots, which are safe, portable and user-friendly, deliver emotional support (Lambercy et al., 2021). There is a variety of technological approaches proposed as well as implemented for TNR, from simple mobile phone applications to advanced AI-based applications supported by passive instrumented tools (Nijenhuis et al., 2017). We examined some of the key digital technology enablers that played a pivotal role in providing quality TNR with focus on the pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic era.

Wearables

Wearable or wearable devices are the electronic devices that can be worn on the body or incorporated into clothing or body-worn accessories by individuals, and are used for tracking, measuring, and analysing different activities, parameters and indictors (Smuck et al., 2021). One of the primary uses of wearable devices is in the field of healthcare. They occur in various domains, such as biomedical research, clinical care, and personalized medicine (Canali et al., 2022). Wearable sensors are important for objective and continuous measurement of motor activities, such as lying, sitting, standing, and walking; and these devices are valuable in home care as well as in clinical research (Adams et al., 2017). Smart wearable mechatronic systems, that measure and display body signals, provide haptic, vibro, and electro feedback stimulation (Atashzar et al., 2021). A wearable device with multiple small accelerometers and gyroscope sensors captures and wirelessly transmits data to assess the health of the patients affected by movement disorders. These wearable accelerometers have been successfully used in various studies for the assessment of gait performance and long-term measurements of mobility in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) in clinical settings, especially for those with mild to moderate disability in the past two decades (Motl et al., 2013; Neven et al., 2016; Ng & Kent-Braun, 1997; Pau et al., 2016; Pearson et al., 2004; Sosnoff et al., 2012). Unobtrusive wearable inertial measurement units (IMUs) have been used for the objective evaluation and long-term monitoring of motor symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) (Suppa et al., 2017; Weiss et al., 2015). Due to these features, the clinical demand for use of wearables to objectively collect and quantitatively analyse the data has been on rise (Jeon et al., 2017; Maetzler et al., 2013). Linear and axial speeds and falls, gross and fine motor movements, gait, balance, bradykinesia, tremor, rigidity, and non-motor symptoms can be measured with these devices (Asakawa et al., 2019). Instrumented insoles are connected wirelessly to a 4G / 5G ready tablet, PC, a server, and a web-interface for experts. Movement parameters are delivered to a health platform (Jagos et al., 2015). Wearable wireless inertial sensors on ankles communicate with smartphones. Type, quantity and quality of domiciliary activities are collated using signal processing algorithms that can be reviewed on a weekly basis. Accelerometer based motion sensing technologies have been used. Xbox 360® and Kinect console have been used in management of MS patients. Remote step count monitoring using a consumer-friendly accelerometer (Fitbit Flex) enhances MS disability assessment. Lower average daily step count is associated with greater MS disability (Block et al., 2017). Custom-built Inertia Measurement sensors with video cameras estimating posture improve

patients' coverage (Paloschi et al., 2021). Cloud database exergames on smart phones are deployed in TNR (Burgos et al., 2020). Tele-assessment systems acquire kinematic data of forward reaching movements in stroke patients. Correlation coefficients of reaching displacement, velocity and acceleration measurements are obtained (Rau et al., 2013). Wearable sensors allow quantitative monitoring of a patient's performance. Remote signal processing from wearables assists in the prediction of long-term dynamics of patient recovery. Brain computer interfaces (BCIs) have been extensively used for patients with a variety of neuromuscular disorders and their effectiveness in the recovery of neural functions has been confirmed, especially for stroke patients in different studies (Mansour et al., 2022; Padfield et al., 2022; Prasad et al., 2010). Similarly, several studies have demonstrated benefits of motor-imagery-based systems for patients having a variety of neurological diseases (Lee & Hwang, 2019; López-Larraz et al., 2015). Being safe and economical for home based therapy, motor imagery based BCIs provide a novel rehabilitation paradigm by substituting or supplementing current therapy protocols with the support of several training sessions (Arpaia et al., 2023).

Robotics

In the recent past, robots have contributed substantially by providing novel tools to the clinician for patient treatment. AI enabled tele, autonomous, collaborative, hand-held, social and exoskeleton robots facilitate interaction between an in-home patient and in-clinic therapies. There are two general configurations of TR robotic systems: the first one is unilateral, and used most commonly, in which only the patient can interface with the robots; the second is bilateral wherein both patients and therapists can use the robots and interact with each other using a shared virtual environment. TNR assisted by robotics provides innovative, interactive, and reproducible therapies for a longer time and can be implemented site-to-site (Carignan & Krebs, 2006). Socially assistive robots provide psychological support and benefit elderly patients with autism, mild cognitive impairments, and dementia (Tulsulkar et al., 2021). Conventional robotic rehabilitation enables vibrotactile and haptic feedback. The wearable robotics (i.e., exoskeletons) have been used for various VR applications, and provide a natural haptic interface for patient interaction and a surround feel environment for the training (Carignan & Krebs, 2006).

Existing robotic rehabilitation systems are expensive, bulky, and have safety concerns (Atashzar et al., 2021). However, various studies have shown the efficacy of therapy robots in neurological rehabilitation thus proving the usefulness of these robots as an alternative system or an integrative one with classical methods (Hidler & Sainburg, 2011; Huang & Krakauer, 2009; Iandolo et al., 2019; Kuo et al., 2021; Ona et al., 2018; Semprini et al., 2018). These robots can be easily controlled from remote locations via different telecommunication systems that makes them suitable for home therapy and a prolonged rehabilitation process (Mobini et al., 2013). The transfer of the new technology from the rehabilitation hospital to a patient's home is a major challenge of current technological and clinical research (Johnson & Schmidt, 2009).

The use of robotics and other similar interactive devices has great opportunities to widen treatment options and improve outcomes of patients with neurological disabilities and impairments, and their advantages are proven through the clinical trials (Fazekas & Tavaszi, 2019). These advantages signify that the potential usefulness of robots can be further improved by upcoming technical developments within targeted rehabilitation settings. Long-term evaluation of social robotic platforms in TNR could confirm beneficial effects. Because these robots might pose hazards for patients, therefore safety considerations and precautions must be considered before their deployment.

Virtual Reality

VR is another novel intervention of digital technology that can recreate realistic environments in which patients may bodily operate and improve balance. Depending on the intensity and quality of emotions elicited in the computer generated world (Mancuso et al., 2023), different types of VR can be differentiated including: Non-Immersive VR (Ventura et al., 2019), Fully Immersive VR (Bohil et al., 2011; Matthews, 2018; Sanchez-Vives & Slater, 2005), Augmented Reality (Porter & Heppelmann, 2017), Mixed Reality (Park et al., 2019), and Extended Reality (Georgiev et al., 2021). Incorporation of VR is one of the widely used methods for TR to make the treatment as safe as a conventional rehabilitation method. Some of the key applications of VR in NR include: motor rehabilitation, cognitive rehabilitation, emotional rehabilitation, and sensory rehabilitation (Georgiev et al., 2021). VR environments coupled with robotic systems, visual, haptic, and auditory cues can be fused with kinesthetic cues enabling multimodal goal-oriented sensorimotor tasks. Multisensory stimulation in a realistic environment motivates and ensures adherence and better clinical results (Burgos et al., 2020). The literature has numerous studies in which VR is effective in training balance and gait in patients with stroke, PD, MS, cerebral palsy and neurological or vestibular diseases (Feintuch et al., 2014; Garg & Dhamija, 2020; Goffredo et al., 2023; Maldonado-Díaz et al., 2021; Nieto-Escamez et al., 2023;

Ĥ.

Saladino et al., 2023; Truijen et al., 2022). Due to augmented feedback for the performance with various inputs, VR balance training gives an edge by stimulating both motor and cognitive processes as compared to traditional balance training (Li et al., 2016; Phu et al., 2019). VR therapy satisfies the criteria of an effective treatment method by providing cognitive motor training, motivational activities, and empowerment techniques consistent with evidence-based neuroscience principles. VR and robotic systems provide motivation by making exercises comfortable, safe, engaging and entertaining, hence boosting the selfconfidence, self-management, self-efficacy, self-reliance, and improved quality of life for the patients (Maldonado-Díaz et al., 2021; Mumford et al., 2012; Perez-Marcos et al., 2018; Ustinova et al., 2014). Recent meta-analysis, bibliometric analysis, and review reports of VR in NR highlight the benefits of VR in neurological disorder management and improvements in motor function, fine motor skills, mobility, balance, and upper limb function, hence bringing improvements to the quality of life of patients (Georgiev et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2022; Massetti et al., 2018; Montana et al., 2020; Truijen et al., 2022; Voinescu et al., 2021). Clinicians can now immerse their patients in different virtual worlds to reduce pain and anxiety and encourage them to move by playing games. However, when developing VR systems that provide effective and safe interventions that improve patients' outcomes, there must be an understanding of the patients' characteristics and the clinical criteria of physiotherapy (Glegg et al., 2013; Landis & Koch, 1977). VR based TNR is an excellent approach for the continuity of patient treatment that can be used widely due to its support for clinical decision making in the rehabilitation process (Maldonado-Díaz et al., 2021). Acceptance of VR implies familiarity and confidence in the technology.

Summary of Key Digital Technology Enablers of Tele-Neurorehabilitation

Table 1 below provides a brief summary of selected studies and shows their characteristics, i.e., type of devices that enable TNR, reasons for their use, and study population.

Table 1

Summary of Key Digital Technology Enablers of Tele-Neurorehabilitation

 \prod

 \prod

ŤН

Challenges in Implementing Tele-Neurorehabilitation

Aside from the above strengths and benefits, TNR has various social and technical challenges along with the limitations (Ganapathy, 2021). Many TNR needs cannot be met in low resource settings. Access to smart phones and computers may not be universal. There could be difficulty in using devices and softwares effectively. Power, network, bandwidth issues, shortage of multimedia devices, licensing, liability, malpractice, affordability, reduced motivation, considering virtual consults as 'impersonal', and lack of physical space at home are some of the practical challenges in implementing TNR. Usually, persons who are elderly require repeated instructions for TNR. Poor lighting, hearing impairments, call drop, difficulty in remembering procedures, lags during conversation, and difficulty in troubleshooting are additional concerns. The therapist often needs to see the whole person on the screen, which may not be possible due to the limitations of tele-services. On the other hand, inperson services have several advantages, including socialization, building self-esteem, learning instrumental activities, mentorship, and hands-on training. Overall, there are multiple barriers associated with TNR practices, in which privacy, confidentiality, and safety are the main concerns (Khanna et al., 2018). Similarly, when dealing with advanced technological procedures, the acceptance of technology and the patients' confidence in technology, especially in persons who are elderly, is another important factor that needs attention. Preliminary training of both patients and care providers is required to implement advanced tele-rehabilitative procedures, and continuous and supervised monitoring is required during the exercises for the

ŤI

critically ill patients or patients with other comorbidities (Nuara et al., 2022). Not much research is done for the policy and license issues along with the cross-cultural acceptance of TNR services (McCue et al., 2010). Proper governance to strengthen the health care systems thorough surveillance, logistics, and service delivery is required. Strong IT infrastructure and compatible technologies are pivotal for sustainable and effective TR services. Ultimately, incorporating telemedicine infrastructures like TNR in the patient care domain ensures a pre-emptive, viable and robust approach that can withstand future global pandemics, if needed (Calabro, 2021).

Conclusions

The results from this article show that, with the latest trends and advancements in digital technology, TNR is becoming a part of the new norm for the long-term telecare practices. In the future, TNR will eventually be integrated with Smart Homes in Smart Cities. Functional monitoring with bed sensors, activity, motion sensors, and gait monitors will be possible. Creating a connected home with pressure-sensing floors, smart furniture, medical sensors, and integrating smart appliances needs to be contextual. Assistive robots, smart wheelchairs, prosthetic limb controls, home automation systems, and an AI Chatbot companion at home will add value, providing 'smarter care'. The latter would include medication reminders, contacting caregiver/children in emergencies, encouraging activities, and making appointments. Eventually, staying at home or aging in place will likely lead to better health outcomes.

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in an exponential growth of TNR. Studies utilizing TNR for persons with stroke, PD, neurotrauma, and neurodegenerative disorders have been reported in the above-mentioned literature. Use of modern digital technologies that enable TNR will become common. Identifying specific use cases will help in achieving customized, well defined, and changing goals. Ultimately, what really matters is the patient's goals; TNR is only a service to help achieve them. One needs to 'get into the mind' of the end user. 'Customer delight' is not a cliché used as a marketing ploy. TNR should not be a solution in search of a problem. In the evolving patient-centric approach, the patients' role is changing. The 'digitally engaged' patient is now at the centre of self-care. Technology acceptance, behavioural modification, increasing digital infrastructure, and patients' requirements are the key components that will trigger the paradigm shift in the delivery of TNR services in the future. Beyond the COVID-19 pandemic, due to the social distance constraints experienced by immunocompromised patients and distancing limits for infectious disease patients, there is a clear need to rethink current NR routines. The optimum solution seems to be a hybrid model of delivering with both in-person sessions along with TNR.

Achieving technical success is different from demonstrating a clinical difference in health care outcomes. Evidence-based, thorough, and systematic evaluations are required for TNR. Like other disruptive technologies, the potential of TNR to cause a profound impact should not be underestimated. Technology deployment for clinical NR presupposes a thorough understanding of the technology - who will use it, when, where, why, and how it will help achieve pre-set goals.

Declaration

Data availability statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Consent for publication: Not applicable. This article does not contain any individual person's data.

Conflict of interest: The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable. This article does not contain any studies related to human or animal subjects.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Ms. Lakshmi for providing secretarial assistance.

Corresponding Author

Shabbir Syed-Abdul

drshabbir@tmu.edu.tw

References

- Adams, J. L., Dinesh, K., Xiong, M., Tarolli, C. G., Sharma, S., Sheth, N., Aranyosi, A. J., Zhu, W., Goldenthal, S., Biglan, K. M., Dorsey, E. R., & Sharma, G. (2017). Multiple wearable sensors in Parkinson and Huntington disease individuals: A pilot study in clinic and at home. *Digital Biomarkers*, *1*(1), 52-63.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000479018>
- Alexander, M. (2022). Chapter 1 Introduction. In M. Alexander (Ed.), *Telerehabilitation* (pp. 1-3). Elsevier[. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-82486-6.00001-0) [323-82486-6.00001-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-82486-6.00001-0)
- Arpaia, P., Coyle, D., Esposito, A., Natalizio, A., Parvis, M., Pesola, M., & Vallefuoco, E. (2023). Paving the way for motor imagery-based telerehabilitation through a fully wearable BCI system. *Sensors*, *23*(13), 5836[. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23135836](https://doi.org/10.3390/s23135836)
- Asakawa, T., Sugiyama, K., Nozaki, T., Sameshima, T., Kobayashi, S., Wang, L., Hong, Z., Chen, S., Li, C., & Namba, H. (2019). Can the latest computerized technologies revolutionize conventional assessment tools and therapies for a neurological disease? The example of Parkinson's disease. *Neurologia Medico-Chirurgica*, *59*(3), 69-78[. https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2018-0045](https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2018-0045)
- Atashzar, S. F., Carriere, J., & Tavakoli, M. (2021). How can intelligent robots and smart mechatronic modules facilitate remote assessment, assistance, and rehabilitation for isolated adults with neuro-musculoskeletal conditions? *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, *8*(48). <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2021.610529>
- Block, V. J., Lizée, A., Crabtree-Hartman, E., Bevan, C. J., Graves, J. S., Bove, R., Green, A. J., Nourbakhsh, B., Tremblay, M., Gourraud, P. A., Ng, M. Y., Pletcher, M. J., Olgin, J. E., Marcus, G. M., Allen, D. D., Cree, B. A., & Gelfand, J. M. (2017). Continuous daily assessment of multiple sclerosis disability using remote step count monitoring. *Journal of Neurology*, *264*(2), 316-326. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8334-6) [016-8334-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8334-6)
- Bohil, C. J., Alicea, B., & Biocca, F. A. (2011). Virtual reality in neuroscience research and therapy. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *12*(12), 752-762.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3122>
- Brennan, D., Tindall, L., Theodoros, D., Brown, J., Campbell, M., Christiana, D., Smith, D., Cason, J., & Lee, A. (2010). A blueprint for telerehabilitation guidelines. *International Journal of Telerehabilitation*, *2*(2), 31-34[. https://doi.org/10.5195/ijt.2010.6063](https://doi.org/10.5195/ijt.2010.6063)
- Brennan, K., Curran, J., Barlow, A., & Jayaraman, A. (2021). Telerehabilitation in neurorehabilitation: Has it passed the COVID test? *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, *21*(8), 833-836.<https://doi.org/10.1080/14737175.2021.1958676>
- Burgos, P. I., Lara, O., Lavado, A., Rojas-Sepúlveda, I., Delgado, C., Bravo, E., Kamisato, C., Torres, J., Castañeda, V., & Cerda, M. (2020). Exergames and telerehabilitation on smartphones to improve balance in stroke patients. *Brain Sciences*, *10*(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10110773>
- Calabro, R. S. (2021). Teleneurorehabilitation in the COVID-19 era: What are we doing now and what will we do next? *Medical Sciences*, *9*(1), 15[. https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9010015](https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9010015)
- Canali, S., Schiaffonati, V., & Aliverti, A. (2022). Challenges and recommendations for wearable devices in digital health: Data quality, interoperability, health equity, fairness. *PLOS Digital Health*, *1*(10), e0000104[. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000104](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000104)
- Carignan, C. R., & Krebs, H. I. (2006). Telerehabilitation robotics: Bdright lights, big future? *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, *43*(5), 695-710[. https://doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2005.05.0085](https://doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2005.05.0085)
- Caso, V., & Federico, A. (2020). No lockdown for neurological diseases during COVID-19 pandemic infection. *Neurological Sciences*, *41*(5), 999-1001.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04389-3>
- Cerfoglio, S., Capodaglio, P., Rossi, P., Verme, F., Boldini, G., Cvetkova, V., Ruggeri, G., Galli, M., & Cimolin, V. (2023). Tele-rehabilitation interventions for motor symptoms in COVID-19 patients: A narrative review. *Bioengineering*, *10*(6), 650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10060650>
- Cieza, A., Causey, K., Kamenov, K., Hanson, S. W., Chatterji, S., & Vos, T. (2020). Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the global burden of disease study 2019: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *The Lancet*, *396*(10267), 2006-2017[. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0)
- Cox, N. S., Scrivener, K., Holland, A. E., Jolliffe, L., Wighton, A., Nelson, S., McCredie, L., & Lannin, N. A. (2021). A brief intervention to support implementation of telerehabilitation by community rehabilitation services during COVID-19: A feasibility study. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *102*(4), 789-795[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.12.007)

ŤН

- Cummins, C., Payne, D., & Kayes, N. M. (2022). Governing neurorehabilitation. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *44*(17), 4921-4928. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1918771>
- Dobkin, B. H. (2016). Behavioral self-management strategies for practice and exercise should be included in neurologic rehabilitation trials and care. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, *29*(6), 693-699.<https://doi.org/10.1097/wco.0000000000000380>
- Fazekas, G., & Tavaszi, I. (2019). The future role of robots in neuro-rehabilitation. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, *19*(6), 471-473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737175.2019.1617700>
- Feigin, V. L., Vos, T., Nichols, E., Owolabi, M. O., Carroll, W. M., Dichgans, M., Deuschl, G., Parmar, P., Brainin, M., & Murray, C. (2020). The global burden of neurological disorders: Translating evidence into policy. *The Lancet Neurology*, *19*(3), 255-265. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422\(19\)30411-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(19)30411-9)
- Feintuch, U., Katz, N., Kizony, R., Rand, D., & Weiss, P. L. (2014). Virtual reality applications in neurorehabilitation. In S. Clarke, L. G. Cohen, G. Kwakkel, R. H. Miller, & M. E. Selzer (Eds.), *Textbook of Neural Repair and Rehabilitation: Volume 2: Medical Neurorehabilitation* (2 ed., Vol. 2, pp. 198-218). Cambridge University Press.<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511995590.021>
- Galea, M. D. (2019). Telemedicine in rehabilitation. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics*, *30*(2), 473-483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2018.12.002>
- Ganapathy, K. (2021). Tele-rehabilitation The time has come. *Asian Hospital & Healthcare Management*, (53). <https://www.asianhhm.com/information-technology/tele-rehabilitation>
- Garg, D., & Dhamija, R. K. (2020). Teleneurorehabilitation for Parkinson's disease: A panacea for the times to come? *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology*, *23*(5), 592-597[. https://doi.org/10.4103/aian.AIAN_566_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/aian.AIAN_566_20)
- Georgiev, D. D., Georgieva, I., Gong, Z., Nanjappan, V., & Georgiev, G. V. (2021). Virtual reality for neurorehabilitation and cognitive enhancement. *Brain Sciences*, *11*(2).<https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11020221>
- Glegg, S. M., Holsti, L., Velikonja, D., Ansley, B., Brum, C., & Sartor, D. (2013). Factors influencing therapists' adoption of virtual reality for brain injury rehabilitation. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, *16*(5), 385-401[. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2013.1506](https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2013.1506)
- Goffredo, M., Pagliari, C., Turolla, A., Tassorelli, C., Di Tella, S., Federico, S., Pournajaf, S., Jonsdottir, J., De Icco, R., Pellicciari, L., Calabrò, R. S., Baglio, F., & Franceschini, M. (2023). Non-immersive virtual reality telerehabilitation system improves postural balance in people with chronic neurological diseases. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *12*(9), 3178.<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12093178>
- Golomb, M. R., McDonald, B. C., Warden, S. J., Yonkman, J., Saykin, A. J., Shirley, B., Huber, M., Rabin, B., AbdelBaky, M., & Nwosu, M. E. (2010). In-home virtual reality videogame telerehabilitation in adolescents with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *91*(1), 1-8[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2009.08.153](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2009.08.153)
- Guo, Q.-F., He, L., Su, W., Tan, H.-X., Han, L.-Y., Gui, C.-F., Chen, Y., Jiang, H.-H., & Gao, Q. (2022). Virtual reality for neurorehabilitation: A bibliometric analysis of knowledge structure and theme trends. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1042618](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1042618)
- Gutiérrez, R. O., Galán Del Río, F., Cano de la Cuerda, R., Alguacil Diego, I. M., González, R. A., & Page, J. C. (2013). A telerehabilitation program by virtual reality-video games improves balance and postural control in multiple sclerosis patients. *NeuroRehabilitation*, *33*(4), 545-554.<https://doi.org/10.3233/nre-130995>
- Hidler, J., & Sainburg, R. (2011). Role of robotics in neurorehabilitation. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation*, *17*(1), 42-49. <https://doi.org/10.1310/sci1701-42>
- Huang, V. S., & Krakauer, J. W. (2009). Robotic neurorehabilitation: A computational motor learning perspective. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *6*(1), 5.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-6-5>
- Iandolo, R., Marini, F., Semprini, M., Laffranchi, M., Mugnosso, M., Cherif, A., De Michieli, L., Chiappalone, M., & Zenzeri, J. (2019). Perspectives and challenges in robotic neurorehabilitation. *Applied Sciences*, *9*(15), 3183[. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9153183](https://doi.org/10.3390/app9153183)
- Iodice, F., Romoli, M., Giometto, B., Clerico, M., Tedeschi, G., Bonavita, S., Leocani, L., Lavorgna, L., Digital Technologies, W., & Social Media Study Group of the Italian Society of, N. (2021). Stroke and digital technology: A wake-up call from COVID-19 pandemic. *Neurological Sciences*, *42*(3), 805-809[. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04993-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04993-3)
- Jagos, H., David, V., Haller, M., Kotzian, S., Hofmann, M., Schlossarek, S., Eichholzer, K., Winkler, M., Frohner, M., Reichel, M., Mayr, W., & Rafolt, D. (2015). A framework for (tele-) monitoring of the rehabilitation progress in stroke patients: Ehealth 2015 special issue. *Applied Clinical Informatics Journal*, *6*(4), 757-768[. https://doi.org/10.4338/aci-2015-03-ra-0034](https://doi.org/10.4338/aci-2015-03-ra-0034)
- Jeon, H., Lee, W., Park, H., Lee, H. J., Kim, S. K., Kim, H. B., Jeon, B., & Park, K. S. (2017). Automatic classification of tremor severity in Parkinson's disease using a wearable device. *Sensors*, *17*(9)[. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092067](https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092067)
- Johnson, M. J., & Schmidt, H. (2009). Robot assisted neurological rehabilitation at home: Motivational aspects and concepts for telerehabilitation. *Public Health Forum*, *17*(4), 8[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phf.2009.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phf.2009.09.005)
- Khanna, M., Gowda, G. S., Bagevadi, V. I., Gupta, A., Kulkarni, K., RP, S. S., Basavaraju, V., Ramesh, M. B., Sashidhara, H. N., Manjunatha, N., Channaveerachari, N. K., & Math, S. B. (2018). Feasibility and utility of tele-neurorehabilitation service in India: Experience from a quaternary center. *Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice*, *9*(4), 541-544[. https://doi.org/10.4103/jnrp.jnrp_104_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/jnrp.jnrp_104_18)
- Klaic, M., & Galea, M. P. (2020). Using the technology acceptance model to identify factors that predict likelihood to adopt teleneurorehabilitation. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *11*.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.580832>

- Kuo, C.-Y., Liu, C.-W., Lai, C.-H., Kang, J.-H., Tseng, S.-H., & Su, E. C.-Y. (2021). Prediction of robotic neurorehabilitation functional ambulatory outcome in patients with neurological disorders. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *18*(1), 174. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00965-6>
- Lambercy, O., Lehner, R., Chua, K., Wee, S. K., Rajeswaran, D. K., Kuah, C. W. K., Ang, W. T., Liang, P., Campolo, D., Hussain, A., Aguirre-Ollinger, G., Guan, C., Kanzler, C. M., Wenderoth, N., & Gassert, R. (2021). Neurorehabilitation from a distance: Can intelligent technology support decentralized access to quality therapy? *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, *8*(126). <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2021.612415>
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, *33*(1), 159-174. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310>
- Lee, D., & Hwang, S. (2019). Motor imagery on upper extremity function for persons with stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Physical Therapy Rehabilitation Science*, *8*(1), 52-59.<https://doi.org/10.14474/ptrs.2019.8.1.52>
- Li, Z., Han, X.-G., Sheng, J., & Ma, S.-J. (2016). Virtual reality for improving balance in patients after stroke: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *30*(5), 432-440.<https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215515593611>
- López-Larraz, E., Montesano, L., Gil-Agudo, Á., Minguez, J., & Oliviero, A. (2015). Evolution of EEG motor rhythms after spinal cord injury: A longitudinal study. *PLOS One*, *10*(7), e0131759[. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131759](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131759)
- Maetzler, W., Domingos, J., Srulijes, K., Ferreira, J. J., & Bloem, B. R. (2013). Quantitative wearable sensors for objective assessment of Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, *28*(12), 1628-1637.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25628>
- Maldonado-Díaz, M., Vargas, P., Vasquez, R., Gonzalez-Seguel, F., Rivero, B., Hidalgo-Cabalín, V., & Gutierrez-Panchana, T. (2021). Teleneurorehabilitation program (virtual reality) for patients with balance disorders: Descriptive study. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *13*(1), 83[. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-021-00314-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-021-00314-z)
- Mancuso, V., Bruni, F., Stramba-Badiale, C., Riva, G., Cipresso, P., & Pedroli, E. (2023). How do emotions elicited in virtual reality affect our memory? A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *146*, 107812[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107812](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107812)
- Mansour, S., Ang, K. K., Nair, K. P., Phua, K. S., & Arvaneh, M. (2022). Efficacy of brain–computer interface and the impact of its design characteristics on poststroke upper-limb rehabilitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clinical EEG and Neuroscience*, *53*(1), 79-90.<https://doi.org/10.1177/15500594211009065>
- Maresca, G., Maggio, M. G., De Luca, R., Manuli, A., Tonin, P., Pignolo, L., & Calabrò, R. S. (2020). Tele-neuro-rehabilitation in Italy: State of the art and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *11*, 563375[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.563375](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.563375)
- Massetti, T., da Silva, T. D., Crocetta, T. B., Guarnieri, R., de Freitas, B. L., Bianchi Lopes, P., Watson, S., Tonks, J., & de Mello Monteiro, C. B. (2018). The clinical utility of virtual reality in neurorehabilitation: A systematic review. *Journal of Central Nervous System Disease*, *10*, 1179573518813541[. https://doi.org/10.1177/1179573518813541](https://doi.org/10.1177/1179573518813541)
- Matamala-Gomez, M., Bottiroli, S., Realdon, O., Riva, G., Galvagni, L., Platz, T., Sandrini, G., De Icco, R., & Tassorelli, C. (2021). Telemedicine and virtual reality at time of COVID-19 pandemic: An overview for future perspectives in neurorehabilitation. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*, 646902[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.646902](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.646902)
- Matamala-Gomez, M., Maisto, M., Montana, J. I., Mavrodiev, P. A., Baglio, F., Rossetto, F., Mantovani, F., Riva, G., & Realdon, O. (2020). The role of engagement in teleneurorehabilitation: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *11*, 354. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.00354>
- Matthews, D. (2018). Virtual-reality applications give science a new dimension. *Nature*, *557*(7703), 127-128. [https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-04997-2) [018-04997-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-04997-2)
- McCue, M., Fairman, A., & Pramuka, M. (2010). Enhancing quality of life through telerehabilitation. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America*, *21*(1), 195-205.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2009.07.005>
- Mobini, A., Behzadipour, S., & Foumani, M. S. (2013). Robotics and tele-rehabilitation: Recent advancements, future trends. *International Journal of Reliable and Quality E-Healthcare*, *2*(4), 1-13[. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijrqeh.2013100101](https://doi.org/10.4018/ijrqeh.2013100101)
- Montana, J. I., Matamala-Gomez, M., Maisto, M., Mavrodiev, P. A., Cavalera, C. M., Diana, B., Mantovani, F., & Realdon, O. (2020). The benefits of emotion regulation interventions in virtual reality for the improvement of wellbeing in adults and older adults: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *9*(2), E500[. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020500](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020500)
- Mosca, I. E., Salvadori, E., Gerli, F., Fabbri, L., Pancani, S., Lucidi, G., Lombardi, G., Bocchi, L., Pazzi, S., Baglio, F., Vannetti, F., Sorbi, S., & Macchi, C. (2020). Analysis of feasibility, adherence, and appreciation of a newly developed tele-rehabilitation program for people with MCI and VCI. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *11*, 583368-583368[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.583368](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.583368)
- Motl, R., Pilutti, L., Sandroff, B., Dlugonski, D., Sosnoff, J., & Pula, J. (2013). Accelerometry as a measure of walking behavior in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, *127*(6), 384-390.<https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12036>
- Mumford, N., Duckworth, J., Thomas, P. R., Shum, D., Williams, G., & Wilson, P. H. (2012). Upper-limb virtual rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury: A preliminary within-group evaluation of the elements system. *Brain Injury*, *26*(2), 166-176. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2011.648706>

Ĥ.

- Neven, A., Vanderstraeten, A., Janssens, D., Wets, G., & Feys, P. (2016). Understanding walking activity in multiple sclerosis: Step count, walking intensity and uninterrupted walking activity duration related to degree of disability. *Neurological Sciences*, *37*, 1483-1490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-016-2609-7>
- Ng, A. V., & Kent-Braun, J. A. (1997). Quantitation of lower physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *29*(4), 517-523[. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-199704000-00014](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-199704000-00014)
- Nieto-Escamez, F., Cortés-Pérez, I., Obrero-Gaitán, E., & Fusco, A. (2023). Virtual reality applications in neurorehabilitation: Current panorama and challenges. *Brain Sciences*, *13*(5)[. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13050819](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13050819)
- Nijenhuis, S. M., Prange-Lasonder, G. B., Stienen, A. H., Rietman, J. S., & Buurke, J. H. (2017). Effects of training with a passive hand orthosis and games at home in chronic stroke: A pilot randomised controlled trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *31*(2), 207-216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215516629722>
- Nuara, A., Fabbri-Destro, M., Scalona, E., Lenzi, S. E., Rizzolatti, G., & Avanzini, P. (2022). Telerehabilitation in response to constrained physical distance: An opportunity to rethink neurorehabilitative routines. *Journal of Neurology*, *269*(2), 627-638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10397-w>
- Ona, E. D., Cano-de la Cuerda, R., Sanchez-Herrera, P., Balaguer, C., & Jardon, A. (2018). A review of robotics in neurorehabilitation: Towards an automated process for upper limb. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, *2018*, 9758939.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9758939>
- Padfield, N., Camilleri, K., Camilleri, T., Fabri, S., & Bugeja, M. (2022). A comprehensive review of endogenous EEG-based BCIs for dynamic device control. *Sensors*, *22*(15), 5802[. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22155802](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22155802)
- Paloschi, D., Bravi, M., Schena, E., Miccinilli, S., Morrone, M., Sterzi, S., Saccomandi, P., & Massaroni, C. (2021). Validation and assessment of a posture measurement system with magneto-inertial measurement units. *Sensors*, *21*(19), 6610[. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21196610](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21196610)
- Park, E., Yun, B. J., Min, Y. S., Lee, Y. S., Moon, S. J., Huh, J. W., Cha, H., Chang, Y., & Jung, T. D. (2019). Effects of a mixed reality-based cognitive training system compared to a conventional computer-assisted cognitive training system on mild cognitive impairment: A pilot study. *Cognitive and Behavioral Neurology*, *32*(3), 172-178[. https://doi.org/10.1097/wnn.0000000000000197](https://doi.org/10.1097/wnn.0000000000000197)
- Pau, M., Caggiari, S., Mura, A., Corona, F., Leban, B., Coghe, G., Lorefice, L., Marrosu, M. G., & Cocco, E. (2016). Clinical assessment of gait in individuals with multiple sclerosis using wearable inertial sensors: Comparison with patient-based measure. *Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders*, *10*, 187-191[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2016.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2016.10.007)
- Pearson, O. R., Busse, M., Van Deursen, R. W. M., & Wiles, C. M. (2004). Quantification of walking mobility in neurological disorders. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine*, *97*(8), 463-475.<https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hch084>
- Peretti, A., Amenta, F., Tayebati, S. K., Nittari, G., & Mahdi, S. S. (2017). Telerehabilitation: Review of the state-of-the-art and areas of application. *JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies*, *4*(2), e7[. https://doi.org/10.2196/rehab.7511](https://doi.org/10.2196/rehab.7511)
- Perez-Marcos, D., Bieler-Aeschlimann, M., & Serino, A. (2018). Virtual reality as a vehicle to empower motor-cognitive neurorehabilitation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02120](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02120)
- Phu, S., Vogrin, S., Al Saedi, A., & Duque, G. (2019). Balance training using virtual reality improves balance and physical performance in older adults at high risk of falls. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, *14*, 1567-1577[. https://doi.org/10.2147/cia.s220890](https://doi.org/10.2147/cia.s220890)
- Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2017). Why every organization needs an augmented reality strategy. *Harvard Business Review - HBR's 10 Must*, *85*(November-December 2017).<https://hbr.org/2017/11/why-every-organization-needs-an-augmented-reality-strategy>
- Prasad, G., Herman, P., Coyle, D., McDonough, S., & Crosbie, J. (2010). Applying a brain-computer interface to support motor imagery practice in people with stroke for upper limb recovery: A feasibility study. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *7*(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-7-60>
- Rau, C.-L., Chen, Y.-P., Lai, J.-S., Chen, S.-C., Kuo, T.-S., Jaw, F.-S., & Luh, J.-J. (2013). Low-cost tele-assessment system for home-based evaluation of reaching ability following stroke. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, *19*(12), 973-978[. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2012.0300](https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2012.0300)
- Rogante, M., Grigioni, M., Cordella, D., & Giacomozzi, C. (2010). Ten years of telerehabilitation: A literature overview of technologies and clinical applications. *NeuroRehabilitation*, *27*(4), 287-304[. https://doi.org/10.3233/NRE-2010-0612](https://doi.org/10.3233/NRE-2010-0612)
- Saladino, M. L., Gualtieri, C., Scaffa, M., Lopatin, M. F., Kohler, E., Bruna, P., Blaya, P., Testa, C., López, G., Reyna, M., Piedrabuena, R., Mercante, S., Barboza, A., & Cáceres, F. J. (2023). Neuro rehabilitation effectiveness based on virtual reality and tele rehabilitation in people with multiple sclerosis in Argentina: Reavitelem study. *Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders*, *70*, 104499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2023.104499>
- Sanchez-Vives, M. V., & Slater, M. (2005). From presence to consciousness through virtual reality. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *6*(4), 332- 339.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1651>
- Semprini, M., Laffranchi, M., Sanguineti, V., Avanzino, L., De Icco, R., De Michieli, L., & Chiappalone, M. (2018). Technological approaches for neurorehabilitation: From robotic devices to brain stimulation and beyond. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *9*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00212>
- Smuck, M., Odonkor, C. A., Wilt, J. K., Schmidt, N., & Swiernik, M. A. (2021). The emerging clinical role of wearables: Factors for successful implementation in healthcare. *npj Digital Medicine*, *4*(1), 45[. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00418-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00418-3)
- Sosnoff, J., Sandroff, B., Pula, J., Morrison, S., & Motl, R. (2012). Falls and physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis International*, *2012*[. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/315620](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/315620)
- Srivastava, A., Swaminathan, A., Chockalingam, M., Srinivasan, M. K., Surya, N., Ray, P., Hegde, P. S., Akkunje, P. S., Kamble, S., Chitnis, S., Kamalakannan, S., Ganvir, S., & Shah, U. (2021). Tele-neurorehabilitation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for practice in low- and middle-income countries. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*, 667925[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.667925](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.667925)
- Stasolla, F., Lopez, A., Akbar, K., Vinci, L. A., & Cusano, M. (2023). Matching assistive technology, telerehabilitation, and virtual reality to promote cognitive rehabilitation and communication skills in neurological populations: A perspective proposal. *Technologies*, *11*(2), 43. <https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies11020043>
- Suppa, A., Kita, A., Leodori, G., Zampogna, A., Nicolini, E., Lorenzi, P., Rao, R., & Irrera, F. (2017). L-dopa and freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease: Objective assessment through a wearable wireless system. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *8*, 406. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00406>
- Tressoldi, P. E., Brembati, F., Donini, R., Iozzino, R., & Vio, C. (2012). Treatment of dyslexia in a regular orthography: Efficacy and efficiency (cost-effectiveness) comparison between home vs clinic-based treatments. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, *8*(3), 375-390. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v8i3.442>
- Truijen, S., Abdullahi, A., Bijsterbosch, D., van Zoest, E., Conijn, M., Wang, Y., Struyf, N., & Saeys, W. (2022). Effect of home-based virtual reality training and telerehabilitation on balance in individuals with Parkinson disease, multiple sclerosis, and stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurological Sciences*, *43*(5), 2995-3006.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-021-05855-2>
- Tulsulkar, G., Mishra, N., Thalmann, N. M., Lim, H. E., Lee, M. P., & Cheng, S. K. (2021). Can a humanoid social robot stimulate the interactivity of cognitively impaired elderly? A thorough study based on computer vision methods. *The Visual Computer*, *37*(12), 3019- 3038[. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00371-021-02242-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00371-021-02242-y)
- Ustinova, K. I., Perkins, J., Leonard, W. A., & Hausbeck, C. J. (2014). Virtual reality game-based therapy for treatment of postural and coordination abnormalities secondary to TBI: A pilot study. *Brain Injury*, *28*(4), 486-495.<https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2014.888593>
- Ventura, S., Brivio, E., Riva, G., & Baños, R. M. (2019). Immersive versus non-immersive experience: Exploring the feasibility of memory assessment through 360° technology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 2509[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02509](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02509)
- Voinescu, A., Sui, J., & Stanton Fraser, D. (2021). Virtual reality in neurorehabilitation: An umbrella review of meta-analyses. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *10*(7), 1478.<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10071478>
- Weiss, A., Herman, T., Giladi, N., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2015). New evidence for gait abnormalities among parkinson's disease patients who suffer from freezing of gait: Insights using a body-fixed sensor worn for 3 days. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, *122*(3), 403-410. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-014-1279-y>

This work is published b[y Pitt Open Library Publishing](https://www.library.pitt.edu/e-journals) and is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International License.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

III