Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

5²CelPress

Factors associated with postpartum depression among Myanmar women in Yangon: A cross-sectional study

Kaung Myat Wyunn^{a,b}, Zin Zin Than Wai^c, Khin Ei Ei Swe^d, Souphalak Inthaphatha^{a,e}, Kimihiro Nishino^a, Eiko Yamamoto^{a,*}

^a Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

^b Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar

^c Yangon General Hospital, Yangon, Myanmar

^d North Okkalapa General and Teaching Hospital, Yangon, Myanmar

^e Asian Satellite Campuses Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Edinburgh postnatal depression scale Myanmar Postpartum depression

ABSTRACT

Background: Postpartum depression (PPD) is non-psychotic depressive illness after childbirth, and PPD affects the health and social status of mothers and their children. This study aims to identify the prevalence of suspected PPD and associated factors among women in Yangon, Myanmar. Methods: This is a cross-sectional study of 552 women at 6-8 weeks postpartum who visited at North Okkalapa General and Teaching Hospital for postnatal care from September to October 2022. Socio-demographic factors, obstetric and infant factors, family support, and medical history were collected using a structured questionnaire. Myanmar version of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used for screening PPD, and all women were divided into suspected PPD (EPDS ≥10) and non-suspected PPD (EPDS <10). Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the characteristics of women between suspected PPD and non-suspected PPD. Logistic regression analysis was preformed to identify the factors associated with suspected PPD. Results: The mean age of the 552 women was 27.9 years and 176 women (31.9 %) were categorized into suspected PPD. Factors associated with suspected PPD were living in a nuclear family (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 2.04, 95 % confidence interval (CI) 1.10-3.77), feeling insufficient income (AOR = 2.15, 95 % CI 1.09-4.25), unplanned pregnancy (AOR = 2.76, 95 % CI 1.47–5.16), less than eight ANC visits (AOR = 3.29, 95 % CI 1.74–6.23), low birth weight of the last baby (AOR = 5.69, 95 % CI 1.17–27.71), insufficient support from partners (AOR = 11.28, 95 % CI 5.62–22.63), parents (AOR = 3.83, 95 % CI 2.08–7.04), and parents-in-law (AOR = 2.00, 95 % CI 1.06–3.76), and depressive symptoms during the last pregnancy (AOR = 3.08, 95 % CI 1.52-6.26).

Conclusion: The prevalence of suspected PPD was 31.9 % among 6-8-week postpartum women in Yangon. Strengthening maternal health programs and providing education about postpartum psychiatric problems is essential to improve maternal psychological well-being.

E-mail address: yamaeiko@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp (E. Yamamoto).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33425

Received 12 April 2024; Received in revised form 20 June 2024; Accepted 21 June 2024

Available online 25 June 2024

Abbreviations: PPD, postpartum depression; ANC, antenatal care; PNC, postnatal care; COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019; EPI, expanded immunization program; CI, confidence interval; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; LBW, low birth weight; SD, standard deviation; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, 466-8550, Japan.

^{2405-8440/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Postpartum depression (PPD) is a non-psychotic depressive illness after childbirth [1]. Symptoms of PPD gradually appear around six weeks after delivery and can last for a year [2]. PPD should be detected in the early stage because it negatively affects the health and social conditions of mothers as well as their children [2,3]. Compared to mothers without PPD, mothers with PPD are more likely to have children with psychiatric and developmental disorders and infectious diseases [3,4]. According to a systematic review including 565 studies in 80 different countries and areas, the prevalence of PPD was estimated to be 17.2 % worldwide in 2021 [5]. Previous studies have suggested that the prevalence of PPD may be higher in developing countries than in developed countries [2,4]. PPD is a treatable disorder; however, in most developing countries, women with PPD do not receive appropriate care or treatment since mental health care services are not included in maternal and child health programs [6].

Factors associated with PPD are reported to be socio-demographic factors (low education, being a single mother, having financial problems), maternal and child factors (unplanned pregnancy, preterm delivery, no or fewer visits for antenatal care (ANC) and postnatal care (PNC), living far from health facilities, baby's complication, and unwanted sex of a baby), interpersonal relationships and poor support from partners, parents, parents-in-law, and friends, and a history of depression [3,7–13]. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic negatively influenced the mental status of postpartum women because the prevalence of PPD was higher during the pandemic compared to that in 2019 or before [14–16].

Myanmar is a lower middle-income country in Southeast Asia with a population of over 51 million [17]. Over the decades, the health service system has been developed to meet the needs of the people [18]. However, the mental health service is insufficient. There are only two psychiatric hospitals in the country, and only public hospitals with 200 beds or more have mental health departments [19]. There have been only a few studies on PPD among Myanmar women and most studies included Myanmar women who lived in Thailand as refugees or migrants [8,11,20]. Only one study was conducted in a rural area of Myanmar and the prevalence of PPD was 31.8 % among 220 postpartum women within six months [11]. Unplanned pregnancy, preterm delivery, less than four ANC visits, and residence far from health facilities were associated with PPD [11]. However, to our knowledge, no paper has been published on PPD in urban areas of Myanmar. Many policies and strategies have been established to improve maternal and child health, but there has been no policy on PPD due to a lack of information on maternal mental health in Myanmar [21]. Therefore, it is necessary to recognize the current mental disorders among postpartum women, especially in urban areas of Myanmar. This study aimed to identify the prevalence and factors associated with PPD among women who visited a tertiary hospital in Yangon.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted at North Okkalapa General and Teaching Hospital in Yangon, Myanmar, a tertiary hospital with 800 sanctioned beds and affiliated with the University of Medicine 2, Yangon. It has 35 departments, including obstetrics, gynecology, pediatrics, and mental health. According to the statistical data at the hospital, approximately 100 women visited the PNC and expanded immunization program (EPI) clinics every week in July 2022. All women who had childbirth 6–8 weeks previously and visited the hospital to attend the PNC and EPI clinics from September to October 2022 were included in this study. Women younger than 18 years old, who had multiple births, who did not answer all questions on the survey form, who could not understand the Myanmar language, or whose last child died were excluded. The sample size was calculated based on the predicted prevalence of PPD (31.8 %) in Myanmar with a reliability coefficient of 1.96 at the 95 % confidence interval (CI) and a margin of error of 0.04 [11]. The sample size was 573 after adding the non-response rate (10 %) to the minimum required sample size. In this study, a total of 580 women participated, but 28 were excluded due to incomplete survey forms (n = 6), twin deliveries (n = 2), and death of the last child (n = 20). Finally, this study comprises the data of 552 women.

2.2. Data collection

A structured questionnaire was developed to collect the socio-demographic characteristics of postpartum women and their partners, obstetric and infant factors, support from family and friends, and the medical history of postpartum women (Supplementary Table 1). The questionnaire was revised after a pretest was conducted with 20 postpartum women at the hospital on September 1, 2022. Seven healthcare workers of the hospital including a deputy director, a junior obstetrician, two head nurses, and three senior nurses, interviewed 580 postpartum women using the questionnaire, while the women completed the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) by themselves unless they had a problem with reading. The seven healthcare workers were trained by the principal investigator (Dr. Kaung Myat Wyunn) about data collection using the questionnaire and not forcing participants to respond. Private rooms were used for the interview to protect the participants' privacy. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before the interview. In addition to the interviews, ANC logbooks, and child weight monitoring records were used to collect information about the last pregnancy of postpartum women and their last babies.

2.3. Socio-demographic factors

Socio-demographic characteristics of postpartum women included age, residence, ethnicity, religion, marital status, occupation,

education, partner's occupation and education, type of family, monthly household income, and feeling about household income. According to the mean age of the participants (27.9 years), age was divided into two categories (\leq 28 years and >28 years). Their residences were divided into Yangon Region and others (states and regions other than Yangon Region). The ethnic groups were categorized according to the ethnicity classification of the Myanmar government and divided into Myanmar and others (Kachin, Kayah, Karen, Chin, Mon, Rakhine, and Shan) [22]. The participants' religions were divided into two groups, namely Buddhism and others (Islam, Christianity, Hinduism, and atheism). The marital status was divided into married and others (single, living together but not married, divorced, and widowed). The educational status was determined by the highest grade they received and was divided into two categories (high school or less and diploma/bachelor degree or more). The women chose either living independently in a nuclear family or living with their parents or parents-in-law in an extended family. Monthly household income was the total monthly income of all members of the women's household, and divided into two categories (\leq 700,000 Myanmar Kyat (MMK) and >700,000 MMK) based on the mean (695,000 MMK). The women were also asked if they felt that the household income was enough to cover the family's living expenses, and responses were "yes" or "no".

2.4. Obstetrics and infant factors

Obstetrics and infant factors included parity, miscarriage history, and factors related to the last pregnancy and childbirth of the women, such as planned pregnancy, ANC visits, complications during the pregnancy, mode and place of delivery, birth assistant, gestational age, birth weight, sex, complications of the last baby, and the breastfeeding status. Parity was divided into one and two or more. The women were asked whether their last pregnancy was planned or not. ANC visits during the last pregnancy were categorized into two groups (<8 visits and \geq 8 visits) according to World Health Organization's recommendation [23]. The gestational age of the last childbirth was divided into two categories (\leq 36 weeks and \geq 37 weeks) based on the definitions of preterm and term delivery. Based on the definition of low birth weight (LBW), the birth weight of the last baby was categorized into <2,500g (LBW) and \geq 2,500g [24]. Interviews, ANC logbooks, and child weight monitoring records were used to collect information on complications during the last pregnancy, mode, and place of delivery, the birth assistant, birth weight, and complications of the last baby. The participants were asked about the sex of their last baby and if the sex was the same as they desired before the delivery.

2.5. Support from family and friends

The women were asked if they had support from their partners, parents, parents-in-law, and friends during the last pregnancy and after the last childbirth. The support was assessed using a five-point Likert scale; very good, good, neutral, bad, and very bad. The responses were then categorized into yes (very good and good) and no (neutral, bad, and very bad). Women who were single, widowed, or divorced and whose parents or parents-in-law had died were categorized into "no".

2.6. Medical history

In terms of medical history, the women were asked if they had ever had depressive symptoms that lasted more than two weeks before and during the last pregnancy. Depressive symptoms included feeling sadness, hopelessness, crying, noticeable loss of interest, having a trouble with eating or sleeping, feeling tired, feeling worthless almost all the time, and having frequent thoughts of death.

2.7. EPDS

Cox et al. developed the EPDS as a tool to screen PPD, and it has been used in community-based and hospital-based studies in many countries in the world [25–27]. EPDS includes 10 questions that ask women to rate how they have felt in the past seven days, and each item has four points on the Likert scale (0–3 points), resulting in a total scale of 0–30. Myanmar version of EPDS, which was validated and utilized in previous studies, was used in this study after confirming its comprehensibility for Myanmar women in the pretest [11, 20,27]. In accordance with a previous study on validation of the Myanmar version of EPDS, a cut-off score of 10 or higher was used to define women with suspected PPD in this study [20]. All women were divided into suspected PPD (EPDS \geq 10) and non-suspected PPD (EPDS <10). Women were encouraged to answer all questions completely and requested not to share their answers with others [25].

2.8. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyzes were performed using SPSS, version 25 (IBM SPSS Inc, New York, USA). Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were performed to compare the characteristics of women between suspected PPD and non-suspected PPD. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors associated with suspected PPD using variables that had statistically significant differences by the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. The CI was set at 95 %, and a P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.9. Ethical issues

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Myanmar Ministry of Health (approval number: NOGTH/2/2022). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. Participants were not requested to answer their names in this study, and all information was kept confidential.

3. Results

3.1. Socio-demographic factors of the participants

A total of 552 women who visited PNC and EPI clinics of the North Okkalapa General and Teaching Hospital in Yangon were included in the study. The mean age of the 552 women was 27.9 years (standard deviation (SD), 4.8) and the age ranged from 18 to 43 years. Most women (n = 534, 96.7 %) were from the Yangon region, belonged to Myanmar ethnic group (n = 405, 73.4 %), and believed in Buddhism (n = 418, 75.7 %) (Table 1). Most women were married (n = 525, 95.1 %), housewives (n = 229, 41.5 %), and had education at high school level or lower (n = 327, 59.2 %). Concerning their partners' characteristics, most partners were company and government employees (n = 277, 50.2 %) and had education at high school level or lower (n = 342, 2%). Regarding monthly household income, 72.6 % of the women (n = 401) had 700,000 MMK (approximately 332 USD) or less, and 29.3 % (n = 162) reported that household income was not enough to cover their living costs.

 Table 1

 Socio-demographic characteristics of 552 postpartum women.

	Total (N = 552)	EPDS <10 (N = 376)	EPDS ≥ 10 (N = 176)	P-value ^g
Variables	N (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Age group (years)				0.009
≤ 28	314 (56.9)	228 (60.6)	86 (48.9)	
>28	238 (43.1)	148 (39.4)	90 (51.1)	
Residence				0.704
Yangon region	534 (96.7)	363 (96.5)	171 (97.2)	
Others	18 (3.3)	13 (3.5)	5 (2.8)	
Ethnic group				0.314
Myanmar	405 (73.4)	271 (72.1)	134 (76.1)	
Others ^a	147 (26.6)	105 (27.9)	42 (23.9)	
Religion				0.877
Buddhism	418 (75.7)	284 (75.5)	134 (76.1)	
Others ^b	134 (24.3)	92 (24.5)	42 (23.9)	
Marital status				< 0.001
Married	525 (95.1)	368 (97.9)	157 (89.2)	
Others ^c	27 (4.9)	8 (2.1)	19 (10.8)	
Occupation				0.285
Housewife	229 (41.5)	157 (41.8)	72 (40.9)	
Manual labor	126 (22.8)	79 (21.0)	47 (26.7)	
Others ^d	197 (35.7)	140 (37.2)	57 (32.4)	
Education				0.046
High school or lower	327 (59.2)	212 (56.4)	115 (65.3)	
Diploma/bachelor or higher	225 (40.8)	164 (43.6)	61 (34.7)	
Partner's occupation				0.680
Company/government employees	277 (50.2)	193 (51.3)	84 (47.7)	
Manual labor	139 (25.2)	91 (24.2)	48 (27.3)	
Others ^e	136 (24.6)	92 (24.5)	44 (25.0)	
Partner's education ^f				0.998
High school or lower	307 (56.5)	212 (56.5)	95 (56.5)	
Diploma/bachelor or higher	236 (43.5)	163 (43.5)	73 (43.5)	
Type of family				0.047
Nuclear family	244 (44.2)	177 (47.1)	67 (38.1)	
Extended family	308 (55.8)	199 (52.9)	109 (61.9)	
Monthly household income (MMK)				0.004
≤700,000	401 (72.6)	259 (68.9)	142 (80.7)	
>700,000	151 (27.4)	117 (31.1)	34 (19.3)	
Income for household living				< 0.001
Enough	390 (70.7)	298 (79.3)	92 (52.3)	
Not enough	162 (29.3)	78 (20.7)	84 (47.7)	

EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; MMK, Myanmar Kyats (1 USD = 2100.08 MMK, as of February 20, 2022).

^a Others are Kachin, Kayah, Karen, Chin, Mon, Rakhine, and Shan.
 ^b Others include Islam, Christianity, Hinduism, and atheism.

^c Others include single, living together but not married, divorced, and widowed.

^d Others include student, company/government employees, own business, and job outside Myanmar.

^e Others include student, no job, unknown, and no partner.

 $^{\rm f}$ 543 women were included because nine women did not answer the question.

^g Chi-square test was used.

K.M. Wyunn et al.

3.2. Obstetrics and infant factors of the participants

The proportion of women who had only one child was 31.5 % (n = 174), and a history of miscarriage was found in 21.2 % of the women (n = 117) (Table 2). Most women planned their last pregnancy (n = 332, 60.1 %), and had fewer than eight ANC visits (n = 286, 51.8 %). Of all the women, 30.1 % (n = 166) experienced complications during the last pregnancy. Most women had vaginal deliveries, but 23.7 % of women (n = 131) underwent a cesarean section. Of all the 552 women, 535 women (96.9 %) had the last childbirth at hospitals or health centers, and 538 women (97.5 %) received assistance from doctors, nurses, and midwives for the last childbirth. Most women gave a full-term birth, but 78 women (14.1 %) and 69 women (12.5 %) had pre-term deliveries and LBW babies (<2,500g), respectively. Regarding the last baby's sex, 279 women (50.5 %) responded that it was the same as they desired. The percentage of women whose babies were hospitalized after birth was 32.4 % (n = 179). Regarding the feeding method, 471 women (87.9 %) gave only breast milk, 21 women (3.9 %) gave only formula, and 44 women (8.2 %) used both breast milk and formula.

3.3. Support from family and friends and medical history of the participants

During the last pregnancy and delivery, 72.6 % of the women (n = 401) received support from their partners, 60.7 % (n = 335) from their parents, 47.5 % (n = 262) from their parents-in-law, and 53.8 % (n = 297) from their friends (Table 3). Of the 552 women, 77 women (13.9 %) had depressive symptoms for more than two weeks before the last pregnancy, while 109 women (19.7 %) had the symptoms during the last pregnancy (Table 3).

Table 2

Obstetrics and infant factors of 552 postpartum women

	Total (N = 552)	EPDS <10 (n = 376)	EPDS ≥ 10 (n = 176)	P-value ^a
Variables	N (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Parity				0.282
1	174 (31.5)	124 (33.0)	50 (28.4)	
>2	378 (68.5)	252 (67.0)	126 (71.6)	
History of miscarriage				0.336
No	435 (78.8)	292 (77.7)	143 (81.2)	
Yes	117 (21.2)	84 (22.3)	33 (18.8)	
Planned pregnancy				< 0.001
Yes	332 (60.1)	274 (72.9)	58 (33.0)	
No	220 (39.9)	102 (27.1)	118 (67.0)	
ANC visits during the last pregnancy				< 0.001
<8 visits	286 (51.8)	152 (40.4)	134 (23.9)	
>8 visits	266 (48.2)	224 (59.6)	42 (76.1)	
Complications during the last pregnancy				< 0.001
No	386 (69.9)	286 (76.1)	100 (56.8)	
Yes	166 (30.1)	90 (23.9)	76 (43.2)	
Mode of delivery				0.047
Vaginal delivery	421 (76.3)	296 (78.7)	125 (71.0)	
Caesarean section	131 (23.7)	80 (21.3)	51 (29.0)	
Place of delivery				0.173
Hospital/health center	535 (96.9)	367 (97.6)	168 (95.5)	
Home	17 (3.1)	9 (2.4)	8 (4.5)	
Birth assistant				0.001 ^b
Doctor/nurse/midwife	538 (97.5)	372 (98.9)	166 (94.3)	
Traditional birth attendant	14 (2.5)	4 (1.1)	10 (5.7)	
Gestational age				< 0.001
\leq 36 weeks	78 (14.1)	32 (8.5)	46 (26.1)	
\geq 37 weeks	474 (85.9)	344 (91.5)	130 (73.9)	
Birth weight of the last baby				< 0.001
<2,500g	69 (12.5)	22 (5.9)	47 (26.7)	
≥2,500g	483 (87.5)	354 (94.1)	129 (73.3)	
Sex of the last baby				< 0.001
Same as desire	279 (50.5)	218 (58.0)	61 (34.7)	
Different from desired	273 (49.5)	158 (42.0)	115 (65.3)	
Complications of the last baby				< 0.001
No	373 (67.9)	289 (76.9)	84 (47.7)	
Yes	179 (32.4)	87 (23.1)	92 (52.3)	
Breastfeeding status				0.055
Breast milk only	471 (87.9)	335 (90.1)	136 (82.9)	
Baby formula only	21 (3.9)	13 (3.5)	8 (4.9)	
Breast milk and baby formula	44 (8.2)	24 (6.5)	20 (12.2)	

EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; ANC, antenatal care.

^a Chi-square test was used except in the variable where Fisher's exact test was applied.

^b Fisher's exact test was used.

3.4. Factors associated with suspected PPD

The total score of EPDS of the 552 women ranged from 0 to 21, with a mean of 6.4 (SD, 5.2). There were 176 women (31.9%) whose EPDS was 10 or higher, and they were defined as suspected PPD in this study (Fig. 1). The results of chi-square test and Fisher's exact test showed that the distribution of age, marital status, educational level, type of family, monthly household income, feeling about household income, unplanned pregnancy, ANC visits, complications during the last pregnancy, mode of delivery, birth assistants, gestational age, birth weight of the last baby, sex of the last baby, complication of the last baby, support from the partners, parents, parents-in-law, and friends during the antenatal and postnatal periods, history of depressive symptoms for more than two weeks before and during the last pregnancy were significantly different between women with suspected PPD and those with non-suspected PPD (Tables 1–3).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis on suspected PPD was performed, including 21 variables that showed significant differences in the prevalence of suspected PPD by chi-square or Fisher's exact tests (Table 4). Of the socio-demographic factors, living in a nuclear family (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 2.04, 95 % CI 1.10–3.77, P = 0.023) compared to living in an extended family and feeling not enough income for household living (AOR = 2.15, 95 % CI 1.09–4.25, P = 0.028) were associated with suspected PPD. Of the obstetrics and infant factors, having an unplanned pregnancy (AOR = 2.76, 95 % CI 1.47–5.16, P = 0.002), having less than eight ANC visits during the last pregnancy (AOR = 3.29, 95 % CI 1.74–6.23, P < 0.001), and having a baby with LBW (AOR = 5.69, 95 % CI 1.17–27.71, P = 0.031) were associated with suspected PPD. Women who had no support from their partners (AOR = 11.28, 95 % CI 1.06–3.76, P = 0.032), and women who had depressive symptoms for more than two weeks during the last pregnancy (AOR = 3.08, 95 % CI 1.20–7.04, P < 0.001), and their parents-in-law (AOR = 2.00, 95 % CI 1.06–3.76, P = 0.032), and women who had depressive symptoms for more than two weeks during the last pregnancy (AOR = 3.08, 95 % CI 1.52–6.26, P = 0.002) were also associated with suspected PPD.

4. Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of suspected PPD was 31.9 % among 6–8 weeks postpartum women in Yangon. A previous study conducted in rural areas of Yangon in 2020 reported that the prevalence of PPD was 31.8 %, but the cut-off score of EPDS for PPD was 13 or above [11]. When the same cut-off score (\geq 13) is used, the prevalence of suspected PPD is 13.6 % in the present study. These results suggest that the prevalence in the previous study might be higher than the current study, and it may be because it was conducted during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Myanmar. The prevalence of suspected PPD during this study was the same as that in the neighboring countries, such as Lao PDR (31.8 % using EPDS \geq 10) and Bangladesh (34.0 % using EPDS \geq 10) [9,28]. The prevalence was still higher than that of other Asian countries: 7.8 % in Sri Lanka's provinces using EPDS \geq 10, 17.4 % in a hospital-based study in Thailand using EPDS \geq 11, and 11.4 % at maternity hospitals in Shanghai, China using EPDS \geq 10 [29–31]. It has been said that programs for maternal and child health in Myanmar must increase services to support early diagnosis and treatment of postnatal mental illnesses.

In this study, women who felt their family income was insufficient, had unplanned pregnancies, and had LBW babies were associated with suspected PPD. These results were consistent with the results of many previous studies and suggest that pregnant and postpartum women may be at risk of developing PPD due to negative feelings and high emotional stress [9,11,32–35]. Healthcare providers should be aware of psychological discomfort experienced by mothers and refer them to psychological counseling to prevent

Table 3	Та	Ы	le	3
---------	----	---	----	---

Support i	from	family	and	friends	and	medical	history	' of 552	postpartum	women
									1 1	

	Total (N = 552)	EPDS <10 (n = 376)	EPDS ≥ 10 (n = 176)	P-value ^a
Variables	N (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Support from partner				< 0.001
Yes	401 (72.6)	343 (91.2)	58 (33.0)	
No	151 (27.4)	33 (8.8)	118 (67.0)	
Support from parents				< 0.001
Yes	335 (60.7)	279 (74.2)	56 (31.8)	
No	217 (39.3)	97 (25.8)	120 (68.2)	
Support from parents-in-la	w			< 0.001
Yes	262 (47.5)	229 (60.9)	33 (18.7)	
No	290 (52.5)	147 (39.1)	143 (81.3)	
Support from friends				< 0.001
Yes	297 (53.8)	235 (62.5)	62 (35.2)	
No	255 (46.2)	141 (37.5)	114 (64.8)	
Depressive symptoms before	re the last pregnancy			< 0.001
No	475 (86.1)	348 (92.6)	127 (72.2)	
Yes	77 (13.9)	28 (7.4)	49 (27.8)	
Depressive symptoms during	ng the last pregnancy			< 0.001
No	443 (80.3)	337 (89.6)	106 (60.2)	
Yes	109 (19.7)	39 (10.4)	70 (39.8)	

EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale.

^a Chi-square test was used.



Fig. 1. Distribution of total score of Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale among 552 women at 6–8 weeks postpartum in Myanmar. PPD, postpartum depression.

PPD [33,35]. Family planning can prevent unintended pregnancies; women and their partners should make a precise family plan, along with a financial plan, before getting pregnant [36]. In Myanmar, public health facilities provide free family planning services [37]; however, the strategy needs to be enhanced to reduce unplanned pregnancies.

This study revealed that suspected PPD was associated with women who had less than eight ANC visits during the last pregnancy, which was similar to the results of previous studies in Myanmar and other countries [11,38]. It may be because pregnant women who did not have at least eight ANC visits may not have received adequate prenatal counseling on pregnancy-related problems [23]. When pregnant women visit ANC frequently, they have continuous counseling and support from healthcare providers, which can reduce antenatal anxiety [39]. Therefore, it is important for ANC providers to understand PPD in order to provide education about PPD and identify women who need psychosocial support.

In this study, women who lived in a nuclear family were more likely to have suspected PPD than those who lived with their parents or parents-in-law as an extended family. Insufficient support by partners, parents, and parents-in-law was also associated with suspected PPD in this study. These findings were similar to those of previous studies conducted in Myanmar and other countries [7,11,31]. Support from partners and family members are important for mothers during the postpartum period due to their close proximity. These results suggest that healthcare providers should provide education about PPD to partners and family members while taking familial settings into account.

In this study, women who had depressive symptoms during the last pregnancy were significantly more likely to have suspected PPD than those who did not. This result is in line with the results of previous studies that found PPD was considerably more prevalent among women who experienced antenatal depression [2,9,34,39]. If depression is not detected or treated in the first or second trimester, and may persist until the third trimester, depression in the third trimester is strongly associated with PPD [4]. Pre-delivery factors on pain other than psychological statuses also may contribute to developing PPD, such as labor pain experience, pain catastrophizing, and anxiety. PPD is not commonly recognized in Myanmar; therefore, many women do not visit health facilities for treatment [17]. Women must be aware of the symptoms of depression that affect them, their children, and their families. Healthcare providers should provide information about PPD to pregnant and postpartum women to help them to understand about PPD. Healthcare providers should also identify pregnant and postpartum women at high risk of PPD and refer them to psychiatric specialists.

This study has some limitations. First, the study was conducted in a tertiary hospital in Myanmar, which has a higher prevalence of skilled birth attendance and hospital-based delivery than that in other urban areas. As a consequence, the results of this study may not represent the data on women in urban areas nationwide. Second, this study is a cross-sectional study; therefore, a cause-and-effect relationship between PPD and variables cannot be examined. Third, suspected PPD defined by the EPDS was used in this study, but not depression diagnosed by psychiatric specialists based on the Diagnostics and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) [25]. However, the Myanmar version of EPDS was validated using a clinical diagnosis of depression [20].

5. Conclusion

The prevalence of suspected PPD was 31.9 % among 6–8 weeks postpartum women in a tertiary hospital in Yangon, Myanmar. Factors associated with suspected PPD were having a nuclear family, a feeling of insufficient income, having an unplanned pregnancy, less than eight ANC visits, LBW babies, no support by partners, parents, and parents-in-law, and depressive symptoms during the last pregnancy. Strengthening maternal health programs, particularly family planning, antenatal care, and postpartum care, is crucial. It is essential to provide education about postpartum psychiatric problems not only to pregnant women but also to their families and health

Table 4

Binary and multivariate logistic regressions on suspected postpartum depression.

<table-container>Index of the series of the</table-container>	Variables	Unadjusted		Adjusted ^a		
Ape group (years) Reference Reference Reference >28 1.61 (1.12-2.31) 0.000 1.03 (0.56-1.90) 0.938 Marinel status Reference Setterace 0.000 1.34 (0.37-4.87) 0.669 Education 1.46 (1.01-2.11) 0.046 1.46 (0.37-4.87) 0.650 Prove of family 1.46 (1.01-2.01) 0.046 1.46 (0.37-4.87) 0.023 Worker family 1.45 (1.00-2.90) 0.046 2.04 (1.03-3.77) 0.023 Retreace family Reference Reference 0.700,000 1.39 (1.22-2.91) 0.044 1.33 (0.61-2.87) 0.471 >7000,00 Reference Reference Reference 0.028 0.028 Not studing the last pregnancy 49 (2.37-5.17) <0.001 2.76 (1.47-5.16) 0.022 Visit during the last pregnancy A9 (2.37-5.17) <0.001 2.39 (1.47-6.23) <0.001 Visit during the last pregnancy Reference Reference Reference 0.002 ANC visits during the last pregnancy Reference Reference <		OR (95%CI)	P-value	AOR (95%CI)	P-value	
28ReferanceReferanceReferance2821.01.2-2.31)0.0900.030 (0.50-190)0.938MariedReferanceReferanceReferance0.060Chansi or nigher1.46 (0.72-2.81)0.0401.44 (0.37-4.87)0.660Education1.46 (0.72-2.81)0.0461.46 (0.72-2.81)0.033Synce of naminy1.45 (1.00-2.97)0.0482.04 (1.10-3.77)0.033Referance1.33 (0.61-2.87)0.034Referance0.045Standard family1.45 (1.00-2.07)0.0461.33 (0.61-2.87)0.033Synce of naminy1.45 (1.00-2.97)0.0461.33 (0.61-2.87)0.033Standard familyReferance1.33 (0.61-2.87)0.033Synce of naminy1.45 (1.00-2.97)0.0461.33 (0.61-2.87)0.023Synce of naminyReferance1.33 (0.61-2.87)0.023Synce of naminy1.45 (1.00-2.97)0.0041.33 (0.61-2.87)0.023Synce of naminyReferance1.33 (0.61-2.87)0.023 <t< td=""><td>Age group (years)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Age group (years)					
<table-container>>280.0090.0390.039.050.039.050.039.05Mariai statuReferance</table-container>	≤28	Reference		Reference		
<table-container>Marine Married Ma</table-container>	>28	1.61 (1.12-2.31)	0.009	1.03 (0.56–1.90)	0.938	
MarriedReferenceReferenceReferenceElucationI1.44 (0.37-4.87)0.690ElucationI.44 (0.37-4.87)0.230Eligi school or lowerI.46 (0.1-2.11)0.0461.43 (0.37-4.87)0.23Eligi school or lowerReferenceReference0.0410.0410.0370.03Extended familyI.60 (0.0-2.97)0.0411.33 (0.61-2.87)0.030.041 </td <td>Marital status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Marital status					
Others'5.58 (2,39-1.298)<0.0011.48 (0.77-2.87)0.666Education1.46 (0.77-2.81)0.23High school or lower1.46 (0.77-2.81)0.23Dipomo Jackbero or higherReferenceReferenceType or family1.65 (1.00-2.97)0.048204 (1.10-3.77)0.023Nameder loweryReferenceReferenceMonthy baoschool income (MMK)ReferenceReferenceSouthood of loweryReferenceReferenceFanned FergencyReferenceReferenceParticle Southood income (MMK)ReferenceReferenceSouth erosciphReferenceReferenceFanned FergenaryReferenceReferenceVesReferenceReferenceReferenceNot erosciphReferenceReferenceSo dialing the last pregnaryReferenceReferenceSo dialing the last pregnaryRef	Married	Reference		Reference		
HurstnionHuffer Starb (1)0.0641.48 (0.77-2.81)0.239Diplom Jubuledro rulgerReferenceReferenceReferenceTorp of Intu1.60 (0.0-2.01)0.0442.04 (1.10-3.71)0.023Multer familyReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceStrong family1.89 (1.22.2.91)0.0043.33 (0.1-2.87)0.012Strong familyReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceBarder family8.19 (1.2.2.91)0.0013.25 (1.0.9-4.25)0.023Strong familyReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceDamade granger0.0102.76 (1.47-5.16)0.020Strist during the last pregnameC.0012.76 (1.47-5.16)0.001Strist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceStrist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceStrist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceComplexiting the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceStrist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceComplexiting the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceStrist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceStrist during the last pregnameReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferen	Others	5.58 (2.39–12.98)	< 0.001	1.34 (0.37–4.87)	0.660	
Index control function phonow backbody or higherInter (1.01-2.17)0.0259Defonow backbody or higherReferenceReference0.0482.04 (1.10-3.77)0.023Nucher imaly1.46 (1.07-0.77)0.023ReferenceNo0.0492.05 (1.10-3.77)0.023Romow backbod income (MMK)ReferenceReferenceReferenceNo0.0722700.000ReferenceReferenceReference0.0043.33 (0.51-2.87)0.0282700.000ReferenceReferenceReferenceReference0.0012.29 (1.47-5.10)0.002Planned pregnancyReferenceReferenceReferenceReference0.0012.29 (1.47-5.10)0.00128 visits647 (3.71-8.06)0.0013.29 (1.47-5.10)0.0020.0012.29 (1.47-5.10)0.00129 visitsReference<	Education	1 46 (1 01 0 11)	0.046	1 49 (0 77 0 91)	0.000	
Type of analyNoteanNoteanStructer family1.6 (1.00-2.07)0.0482.04 (1.10-3.77)0.023Number four structureNoteanNotean0.0482.09 (1.0-3.77)0.023Structure family1.89 (1.2.2.2.91)0.0042.33 (0.1-2.67)0.71Structure familyNotean2.35 (0.1-2.67)0.0012.35 (0.1-2.67)0.002Structure familyNoteanNotean0.0012.76 (1.47-5.10)0.002Structure familyNoteanNotean0.0012.76 (1.47-5.10)0.002Structure familyNoteanNotean0.0012.76 (1.47-5.10)0.001Structure familyNoteanNoteanNotean0.0012.76 (1.47-5.10)0.001Structure familyNoteanNot	Diploma /bachelor or higher	1.40 (1.01–2.11) Reference	0.046	1.48 (0.77–2.81) Reference	0.239	
<table-container>Number Extended family145 (1.00.2.09)0.0480.1(1.0.3.77)0.03Extended familyReference<t< td=""><td>Type of family</td><td>helefelice</td><td></td><td>Reference</td><td></td></t<></table-container>	Type of family	helefelice		Reference		
<table-container>Network form born born born born born bornRefere born<br <="" td=""/><td>Nuclear family</td><td>1.45 (1.00-2.09)</td><td>0.048</td><td>2.04 (1.10-3.77)</td><td>0.023</td></br></table-container>	Nuclear family	1.45 (1.00-2.09)	0.048	2.04 (1.10-3.77)	0.023	
<table-container>Nonscience (MNA)Sel (122-20)0.041.3 (0.01-2.8, 0.4, -1.4)<700,000</table-container>	Extended family	Reference		Reference		
<table-container>$\neg 00,000$$189$ (1.2.2.2.0.)0.004$1.00-2.57$, 0, 0.4.70.4.7.1$\neg 00,000$$\neg 00$$\neg 00$$\neg 00$$\neg 00$$\neg 00$$\Box 000$$\neg 00$$\neg 00$<td>Monthly household income (MMK)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></table-container>	Monthly household income (MMK)					
>700,000ReferenceReferenceHerenceHonome froussehold livingReferenceAl9 (2.37.5.17)0.0211.15 (1.09-4.25)0.023PlannerJas (1.09-4.25)0.023PlannerSetting and	≤700,000	1.89 (1.22–2.91)	0.004	1.33 (0.61–2.87)	0.471	
Reference	>700,000	Reference		Reference		
Labage body cancel Not enough Not enough 	Income for household living	Deferrere		Deferre		
NumberNumberNumberNumberNumberNumberPlanned pregnancyReferenceYesSAY (3.71-8.66)<.0001	Enough	Reference $3.49(2.37, 5.17)$	<0.001	Reference $2.15(1.09, 4.25)$	0.028	
Yes Reference Reference Condition Condition <thcondition< th=""> <thcondit< td=""><td>Planned pregnancy</td><td>3.49 (2.37-3.17)</td><td><0.001</td><td>2.13 (1.09-4.23)</td><td>0.028</td></thcondit<></thcondition<>	Planned pregnancy	3.49 (2.37-3.17)	<0.001	2.13 (1.09-4.23)	0.028	
No5.47(3.7) - 8.06)< 0.0012.76 (1.47-5.16)0.002ANC visits during the last pregnancy0.0013.29 (1.74-5.16)0.001≥8 visitsReferenceReferenceSoReferenceReferenceReferenceNo2.42 (1.65-3.54)0.0011.24 (0.73-2.67)0.001Maginal deliveryReferenceReferenceReferenceYes2.42 (1.65-3.54)0.0011.24 (0.73-2.67)0.367Modor deliveryReferenceReferenceReferenceCasaram section1.51 (1.0-2.27)0.0481.39 (0.68-2.83)0.367Birth assistantReferenceReferenceCotor/macs/midwifeReferenceReferenceSo weeks3.80 (0.32-6.24)0.0012.50 (0.20-31.27)0.242So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0012.60 (1.72-7.71)0.012So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0015.69 (1.17-27.71)0.012So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0015.69 (1.17-27.71)0.012So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0015.69 (1.17-27.71)0.013So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0011.24 (0.59-1.20)0.012So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0011.24 (0.90-2.90)0.012So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0011.24 (0.90-2.91)0.013So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)0.0011.24 (0.90-2.91)0.013So weeks3.80	Yes	Reference		Reference		
<table-container>Avectoring the last pregname<!--</td--><td>No</td><td>5.47 (3.71–8.06)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>2.76 (1.47–5.16)</td><td>0.002</td></table-container>	No	5.47 (3.71–8.06)	< 0.001	2.76 (1.47–5.16)	0.002	
<table-container>e3 visits20, 02, 14-7, 04)c-0,00132, 92, 17, 4-6, 23)<0,00128 visitsReferenceReferenceReferenceNoNo242, 16, 5-3, 54)<0,001</table-container>	ANC visits during the last pregnancy					
2 NotisitsReferenceReferenceNonReferenceReferenceReferenceYes242 (1.65-3.54)<0.01	<8 visits	4.70 (3.14–7.04)	< 0.001	3.29 (1.74–6.23)	< 0.001	
<table-container>Conjection during the last pregnameNoReferenceReferenceReferenceYes2.42 (1.65-3.54)<.0.01</table-container>	≥ 8 visits	Reference		Reference		
NoReferenceReferenceReference0.300Vaginal deliveryReferenceReferenc	Complications during the last pregnancy					
Tes2.42 (1.5s~3.54)<.0.0017.6.001, 7.2.(7.0)0.300Mode of deliveryReferenceReferenceVaginal deliveryReference1.51 (1.00-2.27)0.0481.39 (0.68-2.83)0.367Birth assistantReferenceReferenceTraditional birth attendant5.60 (1.73-18.12)0.0040.50 (0.20-31.27)0.478Gestational ageReferenceReference250 weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)<.0.001	No	Reference	-0.001	Reference	0.000	
Jone of univeryReferenceReferenceCaesaren section1.51 (1.00-2.27)0.4980.4900.507Caesaren section1.51 (1.00-2.27)0.4980.4980.507Birth assistantReferenceReferenceNot 2.50 (0.20-31.27)0.478Traditional birth attendant5.60 (1.73-18.12)0.0042.50 (0.20-31.27)0.478So weeks3.80 (2.32-6.24)4.0012.470 (0.54-11.29)0.478237 weeksReferenceReferenceReference1.00124,500 (1.001)S.69 (1.17-27.71)0.0310.3122,500 (2.503)ReferenceReference1.00122,500 (2.504)ReferenceReference1.00122,500 (3.604)ReferenceReference1.00122,500 (3.604)ReferenceReference1.001237 weeksReferenceReference1.00124,500 (3.604)ReferenceReference1.00124,500 (3.604)ReferenceReference1.00124,500 (3.604)S.60 (1.79-3.77)<.001	res Modo of dolivory	2.42 (1.65–3.54)	<0.001	1.42 (0.73–2.76)	0.300	
Descent of the set of the s	Vaginal delivery	Beference		Beference		
Birth assistantDoctor/nurse/midwifeReferenceReferenceTraditional birth attendant5.00 (1.73-18.12)0.0042.50 (2.03-12.77)0.478Gestational age<	Caesarean section	1.51 (1.00–2.27)	0.048	1.39 (0.68–2.83)	0.367	
Doctr/mse/midvifeReferenceReferenceTraditional brite0.0400.50 (0.20.31.27)0.478Gestational age0.0100.70 (0.54-11.29)0.742Soft welcks0.80 (0.3.2.6.24)<.001	Birth assistant					
Traditional birth atendamin5.60 (1.73–18.12)0.0042.50 (0.20–31.27)0.478Gestational age	Doctor/nurse/midwife	Reference		Reference		
<table-container>Generational ageS36 weeksS40 (232-624)<td< td=""><td>Traditional birth attendant</td><td>5.60 (1.73-18.12)</td><td>0.004</td><td>2.50 (0.20-31.27)</td><td>0.478</td></td<></table-container>	Traditional birth attendant	5.60 (1.73-18.12)	0.004	2.50 (0.20-31.27)	0.478	
≤ 350 weeks 3.60 (2.32- $\pm 6.2.4$) < 0.001 2.47 (0.54-11.29) 0.242 ≥ 37 weeks Reference Reference ≥ 37 weeks Reference Reference $< 2.500g$ 5.66 (3.40-10.11) < 0.001 5.69 (1.7- ± 7.71) < 0.031 $< 2.500g$ Reference Reference Reference < 0.031 $Saco f the last baby$ Reference Reference $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.091^{-10}$ $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.010^{-10}$ Different from desired 2.60 (1.79-3.77) $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.632^{-10}$ $< 0.110^{-10}$ Complications of the last baby Reference Reference $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.010^{-10}$ Complications of the last baby Reference Reference $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.010^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ Support from parents Reference Reference Reference $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ Support from parents-in-law Reference Reference $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.001^{-10}$ $< 0.$	Gestational age					
2-37 weeks Reference Reference 64,500g 5.86 (3.40–10.11) <0.001	\leq 36 weeks	3.80 (2.32–6.24)	< 0.001	2.47 (0.54–11.29)	0.242	
Notice that be build <2,500Set (3,40–10,11) < 0.001 $5.69(1,17–27,71)$ 0.031 $\geq 2,500g$ ReferenceReferenceSet of the last baby $< Set of the last babySet of the last baby< Set of (1,79–3,77)< 0.001< Set of (1,09–2.99)< 0.110Complications of the last baby< Set of (1,09–2.99)< 0.010< Set of (1,09–2.99)< Set of (1,09–3.18)< Set of (1,09–3.18)$	\geq 37 weeks Birth weight of the last beha	Reference		Reference		
basing basin (1) b	$< 2500\sigma$	5 86 (3 40-10 11)	< 0.001	5 69 (1 17-27 71)	0.031	
Sex of the last baby Reference Reference Same as desire Reference Reference Different from desired 2.00 (1.79–3.77) <0.001	<2,500g	Reference	<0.001	Reference	0.051	
Same as desireReferenceReferenceReferenceDifferent from desired $2.60 (1.79-3.77)$ <0.001 $1.60 (0.90-2.99)$ 0.110 Complications of the last baby $<$ $<$ $<$ We $Sefference$ ReferenceReference $<$ Yes $3.64 (2.49-5.32)$ <0.001 $2.12 (1.09-4.14)$ 0.635 Support from partner $<$ Reference $<$ Yes $Reference$ Reference $<$ No $9.90 (5.45-17.97)$ <0.001 $11.28 (5.62-22.63)$ <0.001 Support from parents $<$ $<$ $<$ YesReferenceReference $<$ No $0.90 (5.45-17.97)$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Support from parents $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ YesReferenceReference $<$ $<$ No $6.16 (4.16-9.13)$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Support from parents-in-law $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ YesReferenceReference $<$ $<$ $<$ No $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ No $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ YesReferenceReferenceReference $<$ $<$ $<$ No $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ No $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ No $<$ $<$ $<$	Sex of the last baby					
Different from desired 2.60 (1.79-3.77) <0.001 1.64 (0.90-2.99) 0.110 Complications of the last baby No Reference Reference Yes 3.64 (2.49-5.32) <0.001 2.12 (1.09-4.14) 0.635 Support from partner Reference Reference Yes Reference Reference Reference Support from parents Reference Reference Yes Reference Reference Reference Reference	Same as desire	Reference		Reference		
Complications of the last babyNoReferenceReferenceYes 3.64 (2.49 - 5.32) 0.001 2.12 (1.09 - 4.14) 0.635 Support from partnerReferenceReference Nc Yes 8.05 (2.5-17.97) <0.001 1.28 (5.62-22.63) <0.001 Support from parents $Support from parents$ Reference Nc <0.001 Support from parents Sig (2.62-23.63) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Support from parents Sig (2.62-23.63) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Support from parents Sig (2.62-23.63) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Support from parents Sig (2.62-23.63) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 $<0.$	Different from desired	2.60 (1.79–3.77)	< 0.001	1.64 (0.90-2.99)	0.110	
No Reference Reference Yes 3.64 (2.49– 5.32) <0.001 2.12 (1.09– 4.14) 0.635 Support from partner $<<0.001$ 2.12 (1.09– 4.14) 0.635 Support from partner Reference Reference $<$	Complications of the last baby					
Yes $3.64 (2.49-5.32)$ <0.001 $2.12 (1.09-4.14)$ 0.635 Support from partner V V V V V V V V Yes Reference Reference Reference V	No	Reference		Reference		
Support from partner Reference Reference Yes 9.00 (5.45–17.97) <0.001	Yes	3.64 (2.49–5.32)	< 0.001	2.12 (1.09–4.14)	0.635	
No 9.00 (5.45–17.97) <0.001 11.28 (5.62–22.63) <0.001 Support from parents <0.001 11.28 (5.62–22.63) <0.001 Support from parents <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	Support from partner	Reference		Reference		
Support from parents Reference Reference Output from parents Reference Refe	No	9 90 (5 45–17 97)	< 0.001	11.28 (5.62–22.63)	< 0.001	
Yes Reference Reference No $6.16 (4.16-9.13)$ <0.001 $3.83 (2.08-7.04)$ <0.001 Support from parents-in-law Reference Reference Yes Reference Reference Reference No $6.75 (4.39-10.29)$ <0.001 $2.00 (1.06-3.76)$ 0.032 Support from friends Reference Reference Reference Yes Reference Reference 0.001 No $3.07 (2.11-4.45)$ <0.001 $1.74 (0.95-3.18)$ 0.073 Depressive symptoms before the last pregname/ Reference Reference Reference No Reference Reference 0.001 $0.320 (3.039-3.18)$ 0.073 Depressive symptoms before the last pregname/ Reference R	Support from parents	5150 (0110 17157)	(01001	11120 (0102 22100)	(01001	
No $6.16 (4.16-9.13)$ <0.001 $3.83 (2.08-7.04)$ <0.001 Support from parents-in-law Kerence Kerenc Kerenc Kerence Ker	Yes	Reference		Reference		
Support from parents-in-law Reference Reference Yes Reference Reference 0.032 No 0.75 (4.39–10.29) <0.001	No	6.16 (4.16–9.13)	< 0.001	3.83 (2.08–7.04)	< 0.001	
Yes Reference Reference No 6.75 (4.39–10.29) <0.001	Support from parents-in-law					
No 6.75 (4.39–10.29) <0.001 2.00 (1.06–3.76) 0.032 Support from friends 0.032 Yes Reference Reference Reference 0.073 Depressive symptoms before the last pregnarcy 0.073 0.073 Depressive symptoms before the last pregnarcy 0.073 No Reference Reference Reference 3.39 No Reference Reference Reference <	Yes	Reference		Reference		
Support from triends Reference Reference Yes 3.07 (2.11–4.45) <0.001	No	6.75 (4.39–10.29)	< 0.001	2.00 (1.06–3.76)	0.032	
No Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference Solution 0.073 0.073 Depressive symptoms before the last pregnave/ 4.80 (2.89–7.96) <0.001	Support from friends	Peference		Pafarance		
No Reference Reference Yes 4.80 (2.89–7.96) <0.001	ies No	3.07(2.11-4.45)	< 0.001	1.74(0.95-3.18)	0.073	
No Reference Reference Yes 4.80 (2.89–7.96) <0.001	Depressive symptoms before the last pregna	ncv	\U.UU1	1.77 (0.23-3.16)	0.073	
Yes 4.80 (2.89–7.96) <0.001 1.53 (0.64–3.65) 0.339 Depressive symptoms during the last pregnancy 0.339 No Reference Reference 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 <td>No</td> <td>Reference</td> <td></td> <td>Reference</td> <td></td>	No	Reference		Reference		
Depressive symptoms during the last pregnancy Reference Reference No Reference Reference 0.002 Yes 5.71 (3.65–8.94) <0.001	Yes	4.80 (2.89–7.96)	< 0.001	1.53 (0.64–3.65)	0.339	
No Reference Reference Yes 5.71 (3.65–8.94) <0.001	Depressive symptoms during the last pregna	incy				
Yes 5.71 (3.65–8.94) <0.001 3.08 (1.52–6.26) 0.002	No	Reference		Reference		
	Yes	5.71 (3.65-8.94)	< 0.001	3.08 (1.52–6.26)	0.002	

OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ANC, antenatal care. ^a Adjusted for all variables listed in the table. ^b Others include single, living together but not married, divorced, and widowed.

K.M. Wyunn et al.

professionals to identify women who need psychosocial support. In order to improve maternal psychological well-being and mental health, the development and implementation of a comprehensive strategy that involves PPD screening and treatment in postpartum care is needed.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Myanmar Ministry of Health (approval number: NOGTH/2/2022). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Data availability statement

Data will be made available on request.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Kaung Myat Wyunn: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Software, Project administration, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Zin Zin Than Wai: Writing – review & editing, Resources, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. Khin Ei Ei Swe: Writing – review & editing, Resources, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. Souphalak Inthaphatha: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis. Kimihiro Nishino: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis. Eiko Yamamoto: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate all staff of the North Okkalapa General and Teaching Hospital for their support for data collection and all women for participating in this study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33425.

References

- A. Xayyabouapha, et al., Prevalence and risk factors associated with postpartum depressive symptoms among women in Vientiane Capital, Lao PDR, Front. Public Health 10 (2022) 791385.
- [2] J. Fisher, et al., Prevalence and determinants of common perinatal mental disorders in women in low- and lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review, Bull. World Health Organ. 90 (2) (2012) 139G–149G.
- [3] A. Stein, et al., Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child, Lancet 384 (9956) (2014) 1800-1819.
- [4] B. Gelaye, et al., Epidemiology of maternal depression, risk factors, and child outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries, Lancet Psychiatr. 3 (10) (2016) 973–982.
- [5] Z. Wang, et al., Mapping global prevalence of depression among postpartum women, Transl. Psychiatry 11 (1) (2021) 543.
- [6] A. Rahman, et al., The neglected 'm' in MCH programmes-why mental health of mothers is important for child nutrition, Trop. Med. Int. Health 13 (4) (2008) 579–583.
- [7] T. Van Vo, T.K.D. Hoa, T.D. Hoang, Postpartum depressive symptoms and associated factors in married women: a cross-sectional study in Danang city, Vietnam, Front. Public Health 5 (2017) 93.
- [8] G. Fellmeth, et al., Prevalence and determinants of perinatal depression among labour migrant and refugee women on the Thai-Myanmar border: a cohort study, BMC Psychiatr. 20 (1) (2020) 168.
- [9] S. Inthaphatha, et al., Factors associated with postpartum depression among women in Vientiane Capital, Lao People's Democratic Republic: a cross-sectional study, PLoS One 15 (12) (2020) e0243463.
- [10] F.T. Burgut, et al., A study of postpartum depression and maternal risk factors in Qatar, J. Psychosom. Obstet. Gynaecol. 34 (2) (2013) 90–97.
- [11] T. Myo, et al., Prevalence and factors associated with postpartum depression in primary healthcare centres in Yangon, Myanmar, Malays. J. Med. Sci. 28 (4) (2021) 71–86.
- [12] S. Molgora, M. Accordini, Motherhood in the time of coronavirus: the impact of the pandemic emergency on expectant and postpartum women's psychological well-being, Front. Psychol. 11 (2020) 567155.
- [13] I. Guechhorng, et al., Determinants of postpartum depression among women in Kampong Chhnang Province, Cambodia, Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs. 50 (2024) 60–66.
- [14] C. Lebel, et al., Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic, J. Affect. Disord. 277 (2020) 5–13.

- [15] M. Safi-Keykaleh, et al., Prevalence of postpartum depression in women amid the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. 157 (2) (2022) 240–247.
- [16] S.S. Premji, et al., Psychological and situational factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine intention among postpartum women in Pakistan: a cross-sectional study, BMJ Open 12 (9) (2022) e063469.
- [17] Ministry of Health and Sports, International Coach Federation, Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey 2015–16, Ministry of Health and Sports, Nay Pyi Taw, 2017.
- [18] N.N. Latt, et al., Healthcare in Myanmar, Nagoya J. Med. Sci. 78 (2) (2016) 123-134.
- [19] E. Brennan, Mental illness: Myanmar's hidden epidemic. https://isdp.eu/publication/myanmar-healthcare/, 2018. (Accessed 4 November 2023).
- [20] H. Ing, et al., Validation of the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) on the Thai-Myanmar border, Trop. Doct. 47 (4) (2017) 339-347.
- [21] Ministry of Health, Myanmar, Five-Year Strategic Plan for Reproductive Health (2014–2018), Ministry of Health, Myanmar, Yangon, 2014.
- [22] M. Naing, National Ethnic Groups of Myanmar, Swiftwinds Books, Yangon, 2000.
- [23] B.K. Sarker, et al., Status of the WHO recommended timing and frequency of antenatal care visits in Northern Bangladesh, PLoS One 15 (11) (2020) e0241185.
- [24] World Health Organization. Low birth weight, https://www.who.int/data/nutrition/nlis/info/low-birth-weight (accessed Nov 4, 2023).
 [25] J.L. Cox, J.M. Holden, R. Sagovsky, Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh postnatal depression scale, Br. J. Psychiatry 150 (1987) 782–786.
- [26] J. Gibson, et al., A systematic review of studies validating the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale in antepartum and postpartum women, Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 119 (5) (2009) 350–364.
- [27] Department of Health, Government of Western Australia, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) Translated Versions, State Perinatal Mental Health Reference Group, Purse, Western Australia, 2006.
- [28] F. Tasnim, S. Abedin, M.M. Rahman, Mediating role of perceived stress on the association between domestic violence and postpartum depression: cross-sectional study in Bangladesh, BJPsych Open 9 (1) (2023) e16.
- [29] T. Roysted-Solas, et al., Mothers at risk of postpartum depression in Sri Lanka: a population-based study using a validated screening tool, PLoS One 17 (5) (2022) e0268748.
- [30] R. Sornmayura, A. Tankul, J. Luengmettakul, Prevalence and factors associated with high postpartum depression score using Thai Edinburgh postnatal depression scale in Charoenkrung Pracharak hospital, Thai, J Obstet Gynaecol 32 (2024) 225–233.
- [31] Y. Gan, et al., The effect of perceived social support during early pregnancy on depressive symptoms at 6 weeks postpartum: a prospective study, BMC Psychiatr. 19 (1) (2019) 232.
- [32] S. Oztora, et al., Postpartum depression and affecting factors in primary care, Niger. J. Clin. Pract. 22 (1) (2019) 85-91.
- [33] A. Fiala, et al., Sociodemographic and delivery risk factors for developing postpartum depression in a sample of 3233 mothers from the Czech ELSPAC study, BMC Psychiatr. 17 (1) (2017) 104.
- [34] A. Fantahun, A. Cherie, L. Deribe, Prevalence and factors associated with postpartum depression among mothers attending public health centers of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2016, Clin. Pract. Epidemiol. Ment. Health 14 (2018) 196–206.
- [35] N. Helle, et al., Very low birth-weight as a risk factor for postpartum depression four to six weeks postbirth in mothers and fathers: cross-sectional results from a controlled multicentre cohort study, J. Affect. Disord. 180 (2015) 154–161.
- [36] K. Cleland, et al., Family planning as a cost-saving preventive health service, N. Engl. J. Med. 364 (18) (2011) e37.
- [37] K.K. Tun, et al., Causes of death, three delays, and factors associated with Delay 1 among maternal deaths in Myanmar: the maternal death surveillance in 2019, Midwifery 121 (2023) 103657.
- [38] D.R. Singh, et al., Determining factors for the prevalence of depressive symptoms among postpartum mothers in lowland region in southern Nepal, PLoS One 16 (1) (2021) e0245199.
- [39] B. Edwards, et al., Does antenatal screening for psychosocial risk factors predict postnatal depression? A follow-up study of 154 women in Adelaide, South Australia, Aust N Z J Psychiatry 42 (1) (2008) 51–55.