

Role of LMO7 in cancer (Review)

QUN ZENG^{1,2*}, TINGTING JIANG^{1,3*} and JING WANG¹

¹Hunan Key Laboratory of The Research and Development of Novel Pharmaceutical Preparations,

The Hunan Provincial University Key Laboratory of The Fundamental and Clinical Research on Functional Nucleic Acid, Changsha Medical University, Changsha, Hunan 410000, P.R. China; ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, Hengyang, Hunan 421000, P.R. China; ³Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Affiliated Nanhua Hospital, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, Hengyang, Hunan 421000, P.R. China

Received May 20, 2024; Accepted June 17, 2024

DOI: 10.3892/or.2024.8776

Abstract. Cancer constitutes a multifaceted ailment characterized by the dysregulation of numerous genes and pathways. Among these, LIM domain only 7 (LMO7) has emerged as a significant player in various cancer types, garnering substantial attention for its involvement in tumorigenesis and cancer progression. This review endeavors to furnish a comprehensive discourse on the functional intricacies and mechanisms of LMO7 in cancer, with a particular emphasis on its potential as both a therapeutic target and prognostic indicator. It delves into the molecular attributes of LMO7, its implications in cancer etiology and the underlying mechanisms propelling its oncogenic properties. Furthermore, it underscores the extant challenges and forthcoming prospects in targeting LMO7 for combating cancer.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Molecular characteristics and biological functions of LMO7
- 3. LMO7 gene in cancer development
- 4. Mechanisms underlying the oncogenic effects of LMO7
- 5. Prognostic relevance of LMO7 in cancer
- 6. Genomic alteration types
- 7. Challenges and future perspectives

Correspondence to: Dr Jing Wang, Hunan Key Laboratory of The Research and Development of Novel Pharmaceutical Preparations, The Hunan Provincial University Key Laboratory of The Fundamental and Clinical Research on Functional Nucleic Acid, Changsha Medical University, 1501 Leifeng Avenue, Wangcheng, Changsha, Hunan 410000, P.R. China E‑mail: 805598382@qq.com

* Contributed equally

Key words: LIM domain only 7, cancer, tumorigenesis

1. Introduction

Cancer is a complex group of diseases characterized by the uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells in the body. With the capacity to afflict any anatomical site and propagate to adjacent tissues or distant organs, cancer poses a formidable global health challenge (1,2). The World Health Organization estimates that cancer accounted for nearly 10 million deaths worldwide in 2020. Notably, the incidence of several cancer types continues to increase, imposing an onerous burden on healthcare systems and affected individuals (3). Research efforts have been instrumental in advancing our understanding of cancer biology, thereby facilitating the development of targeted therapeutic modalities and innovative treatment paradigms. Breakthroughs in precision medicine, immunotherapy and genetic manipulation have shown promising results in improving patient outcomes and prolonging survival rates.

Early detection and screening programs play a crucial role in cancer management by enabling the timely identification of cancerous cells through regular screenings, such as mammograms, colonoscopies and pap smears, ultimately leading to better treatment outcomes (3).

Given the increasing prevalence of cancer and its impact on individuals, families and societies, the importance of current cancer research and treatment cannot be overstated. Continued investments in scientific advancements and access to quality care are essential to drive further progress and improve the lives of cancer patients worldwide. Overall, the importance of cancer lies in its severe impact on physical, emotional and socioeconomic aspects of individuals and society, emphasizing the need for sustained research, prevention efforts and improved access to quality care (4).

Oncogenes, tumor suppressor gene and DNA repair genes play pivotal roles in tumorigenesis, which is the process wherein normal cells undergo malignant transformation (5-7). Oncogenes, when activated or mutated, harbor the potential to instigate cancer by fostering uncontrolled cellular proliferation and aberrant signaling cascades (5). Conversely, tumor suppressor genes act as guardians of cellular homeostasis, curtailing aberrant growth and facilitating DNA repair mechanisms (6). DNA repair genes, as the name suggests, are responsible for repairing DNA damage that occurs naturally or due to external factors such as exposure to radiation or harmful chemicals. The intricate interplay among these genes and their functions is contingent upon the specific cancer type and implicated genetic aberrations (7). Understanding these mechanisms is indispensable for identifying potential therapeutic targets and devising efficacious cancer interventions (7,8).

The present review centers on elucidating the pivotal role of LIM domain only 7 (LMO7) in tumorigenesis, encompassing its molecular attributes, oncogenic functions, clinical relevance and therapeutic implications. Of note, LMO7, a multifunctional protein encoded by the LMO7 gene, has garnered attention for its involvement in diverse physiological processes, including neuronal development, cardiovascular health (9,10), and notably, cancer pathogenesis (11,12). Dysregulation of LMO7 has been implicated in various malignancies, underscoring its potential as a prognostic marker and therapeutic target. This review delineates the intricate molecular characteristics and biological functions of LMO7, elucidates its implications in cancer development across different organ systems, and elaborates on the mechanistic underpinnings of its oncogenic effects. Furthermore, it delineates the challenges inherent in targeting LMO7 for therapeutic intervention and suggests avenues for future research aimed at unraveling the complexities of LMO7‑mediated carcinogenesis.

2. Molecular characteristics and biological functions of LMO7

The LMO7 gene encodes a protein called LMO7, which is found in humans and is located on chromosome 13q14.11. The LMO7 protein is predominantly expressed in cardiac and skeletal muscle tissues (13). LMO7 protein encompasses multiple LIM domains, serving as protein‑protein interaction motifs implicated in cell growth, differentiation and cytoskeletal organization (14‑16). In addition, LMO7 contains PDZ domains, facilitating interaction modules that typically bind to specific protein sequences, and which are involved in protein localization, signal transduction and the assembly of protein complexes within cells (17,18). LMO7 protein also contains CH domains, an actin-binding motif, which may exist as a single copy or in tandem repeats, either functioning autonomously or serving a regulatory role. CH domains are found in cytoskeletal and signal transduction proteins, including actin-binding proteins like spectrin, α -actinin, dystrophin, utrophin and fimbrin, as well as proteins essential for the regulation of cell shape (cortexillins) and signaling proteins (Vav), and are crucial for regulating cell shape and signaling (19,20). It has one CH domain at its N‑terminus, a PDZ domain in the middle region and two DUF4757 domains of unknown function (Fig. 1A). The human LMO7 protein shares a high degree of homology with LMO7 proteins in other species, particularly in the CH, PDZ and LIM domains (Fig. 1B).

It has been observed that LMO7 gathers at cell‑cell adhesion sites subsequent to the assembly of nectin‑afadin and E-cadherin-catenin complexes, thereby influencing cell migration, intercellular communication and tissue organization (21). In *Drosophila*, the vertebrate homolog of LMO7, Smash, interacts with Bazooka/Par‑3, canoe/afadin, and the tyrosine kinase Src42A, localizing to the zonula adherens in a plane‑polarized manner. Deletion of smash leads to severe defects in the morphogenesis of embryonic epithelial tissues and organs (22). In muscle cells, LMO7 functions as a transcription factor, regulating the expression of various muscle genes, including paired box gene 3 (Pax3), Pax7, myogenic differentiation antigen (MyoD) and myogenic factor 5, thereby controlling myogenesis (11‑13). LMO7‑null mice exhibit growth retardation, reduced fiber size, and impaired skeletal muscle and cardiac function (11). Knockdown of chicken LMO7 diminishes the number and width of myotubes and the number of MyoD‑positive myoblasts, a phenotype rescued by Wnt/β-catenin activation, suggesting a role for LMO7 in the initial events of chick skeletal muscle formation, particularly in myoblast survival (12).

As a critical adapter and scaffolding protein, LMO7 interacts directly or indirectly with ~20 proteins through its three domains, thereby having vital biological functions (Fig. 1C). Using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes and Proteins (STRING) database (string‑db.org) to predict protein interactions of LMO7 revealed its association with various proteins, such as enamel matrix derivatives, Afadin (AFDN), actinin α 1/4 and COMM domain-containing protein 6/9, among others, to exert its functions in different tumors (Table I). With these predicted interactions, future investigations could explore the specific proteins with which LMO7 interacts to regulate tumorigenesis in various tumor tissues.

3. LMO7 gene in cancer development

LMO7 is a protein that has a role in cell adhesion, cytoskeletal organization and cellular signaling pathways (23‑25). While extensive research is still needed to fully understand the precise role of LMO7 in cancer, LMO7 has been implicated as an oncogene in several types of cancer (11,12).

In breast cancer, elevated LMO7 levels correlate with aggressive phenotypes characterized by enhanced cell migration and invasiveness and are positively regulated by CUGBP Elav-like family member 1 (26,27). Higher levels of LMO7 expression have been associated with more aggressive breast cancer phenotypes, including increased cell migration and invasion (26,28). Serum response factor (SRF) regulates specific functions such as muscle development and breast cancer metastasis. LMO7 orchestrates the myocardin‑related transcription factors (MRTFs) as coactivators promoting cytoskeletal rearrangements conducive to cancer cell motility (26,29). LMO7 is a specific regulator of MRTFs and plays a vital role in breast cancer cell migration (26,30). LMO7 activates MRTFs by relieving actin‑mediated inhibition in cooperation with Rho GTPase. Disruption of actin‑RPEL interactions eliminates Rho dependency, allowing strong Rho‑independent activation of LMO7 (30,31). LMO7 reduces the G‑actin/F‑actin ratio by colocalizing with F‑actin. Knockdown of LMO7 compromises MRTF activities and impairs breast cancer cell migration (26,31). LMO7 is upregulated in invasive breast carcinoma and correlates with increased expression of SRF target genes regulating muscle and actin cytoskeleton functions (23,32), suggesting a cell‑specific mechanism regulating Rho‑MRTF‑SRF signaling and breast cancer cell migration (26).

A novel fusion gene, LMO7‑BRAF, was identified in thyroid cancer (33). Enhanced expression of the LMO7‑BRAF fusion protein stimulates endogenous ERK1/2 phosphorylation

Figure 1. Bioinformatics analysis of *H. sapiens LOM7*. (A) LMO7 contains LIM domain at its C-terminus, one CH domain at its N-terminus, a PDZ domain in the middle region and two DUF4757 domains of unknown function. (B) Homology tree: The amino acid sequence of *H. sapiens* LOM7 was compared with known LMO7 proteins of other species. (C) Protein-protein interaction network of LMO7. LMO7 (LIM domain only 7). NECTIN1/2, nectin cell adhesion molecule 1/2; AFDN, afadin; VCL, vinculin; ACTIN1/4, cytoplasmic 1/4; EMD, enamel matrix derivatives; EDNRB, endothelin receptor type B; UCHL1/3, ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase isozyme L1/3; COMMD6/9, COMM domain-containing protein 6/9; FBXL15, F-box/LRR-repeat protein 15; FBXW11, F‑box and WD‑40 domain protein 11; SKP1/2, S‑phase kinase associated protein 1/2; CDK2, cyclin‑dependent kinase 2; FBXO4, F‑box protein 4; NFKBIA, nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B‑cells inhibitor, alpha; RBX1, ring box protein 1.

and promotes anchorage‑independent cell growth. The LMO7‑BRAF fusion is a recurrent somatic alteration that occurred in 2% of thyroid cancer and presented as an oncogenic alteration (33).

Transcription factor krüppel-like factor 4 binds to methylated CpGs at the enhancer regions of LMO7 and activates LMO7 expression via 3D chromatin loop formation with its promoter regions, influencing cellular functions in human glioblastoma cells (34).

LMO7 has been suggested to act as a tumor suppressor for murine lung adenocarcinoma. LMO7‑deficient mice develop irregular and prominent epithelial lesions in terminal and respiratory bronchioles at a young age, whereas these mice tend to develop lung adenocarcinoma at an old age (28,35). Leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains proteins 3 (LRIG3) interacts with LMO7 and LIM and calponin homology domains 1 (LIMCH1), with co-localization and co-immunoprecipitation observed between LRIG1/3 and LMO7/LIMCH1 (36). LMO7 and LIMCH1 are highly expressed in normal lung tissue but reduced in malignant tissue. LMO7 immunoreactivity predicts poor prognosis in LRIG1-positive tumors (36). MicroRNA (miR)-96, as a serum biomarker for lung cancer, inhibits the expression of LMO7 by binding to its 3'-UTR, ultimately regulating lung carcinogenesis through the miR‑96‑LMO7 axis (37).

LMO7 has been found to be overexpressed in pancreatic cancer (PC), with recent studies identifying LMO7 as a

potential prognostic marker for PC (38,39). Its overexpression is associated with tumor progression and poor patient survival. Studies have shown that knockdown or knockout of LMO7 in mouse PC cells leads to PC cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, significantly inhibiting PC cell proliferation, anchorage-free colony formation, migration *in vitro*, and slowing down the growth and metastasis of pancreatic carcinoma *in vivo* (28,40).

In inflammatory hepatocellular adenomas (IHCA), among the five IHCA cases with fyn related Src family tyrosine kinase (FRK) gene rearrangements, LMO7 was identified to be fused to the exon 3‑8 region of FRK (39). In tumor cells, human genes use alternative transcription start sites (TSS) to control mRNA levels and expand transcriptional output, thereby promoting carcinogenesis (41,42). A study analyzing 108 colorectal cancer samples using exome arrays identified multiple genes, including *LMO7*, relative to normal mucosa, showing tumor-specific alternative TSS use in both adenoma and cancer samples (28,43).

LMO7 is upregulated in human malignancies, including colorectal cancer, with no transcriptional upregulation of the LMO7 observed in adenomas compared with normal mucosa (28,44,45). However, upregulation of LMO7 transcription was observed in cancer. LMO7 expression in primary tumors with p53 mutation was significantly higher than that in tumors without p53 mutation (45,46).

While LMO7 is not commonly discussed as a well-established oncogene, emerging evidence suggests its potential oncogenic

role in certain cancers; LMO7 was upregulated in most tumors, but downregulated in certain other tumors (Fig. 2) (28); data were from GEPIA 2 (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/). It is important to note that more research is needed to fully understand the molecular mechanisms by which LMO7 functions as an oncogene in cancer. This will help determine its potential as a therapeutic target and develop strategies to inhibit its activity for the treatment of specific cancers.

4. Mechanisms underlying the oncogenic effects of LMO7

LMO7 has been found to play a role in promoting cell proliferation (37). Studies have elucidated LMO7's role in promoting cell proliferation by fostering dysregulated cell cycle progression and mitigating apoptotic signaling pathways (11,26-31). Furthermore, LMO7 facilitates cancer cell migration and invasion by modulating the dynamics of actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesion complexes, thereby augmenting cellular motility and tissue invasiveness (34‑39) (Table I). LMO7 may affect the dynamics of actin filaments and focal adhesions, thereby enhancing cell motility and invasion into surrounding tissues (34‑39).

LMO7 has been implicated in promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (47), potentially regulating EMT‑related genes and signaling pathways, leading to the loss of cell-cell adhesion and the acquisition of invasive properties by cancer cells (Fig. 3). LMO7 may modulate the activity of these signaling pathways, consequently promoting oncogenic

Figure 2. Gene expression profile across all T and N samples. Each dot represents the expression in a sample. The red font indicates that the TPM of LMO7 is significantly upregulated in this tumor type, the green font indicates that the TPM of LMO7 is significantly downregulated in this tumor type and the black font indicates that there is no significant difference between T and N samples. The data were obtained from GEPIA 2. LMO7, LIM domain only 7; T, tumor; N, paired normal tissues; ACC, adrenocortical carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma; CESC, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; CHOL, cholangiocarcinoma; COAD, colon adenocarcinoma; DLBC, lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B‑cell lymphoma; ESCA, esophageal cancer; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; LAML, acute myeloid leukemia; LGG, brain lower grade glioma; LIHC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; MESO, mesothelioma; OV, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; PCPG, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; READ, rectum adenocarcinoma; SARC, sarcoma; SKCM, skin cutaneous melanoma; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; TGCT, testicular germ cell tumors; THCA, thyroid carcinoma; THYM, thymoma; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; UCS, uterine carcinosarcoma; UVM, uveal melanoma.

effects such as enhanced cell survival, proliferation and metastasis (37). Specifically, LMO7 has been shown to promote EMT by regulating the activity of transcription factors such as snail, slug and ZEB1, which have pivotal roles in EMT (Fig. 3) (48). Through activation of these transcription factors, LMO7 facilitates the reprogramming of target genes involved in the EMT process, leading to the loss of epithelial characteristics and the acquisition of mesenchymal traits (47,48), facilitating cell invasion and migration, crucial for processes such as tissue repair and wound healing.

LMO7 interacts with AKT, a downstream effector of PI3K, potentially contributing to the activation of AKT signaling (49). This interaction suggests that LMO7 may promote cell survival and growth by enhancing the activity of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway (49). Furthermore, LMO7 may modulate Rho‑GTPase activity, impacting cellular processes involved in cancer progression, such as cell migration and invasion (Fig. 3) (50). In addition, LMO7 has been shown to bind to and modulate the activity of MEK1, a kinase upstream of ERK, potentially influencing cell proliferation and survival through the regulation of the ERK/MAPK pathway (Fig. 3) (49). Further research is necessary to fully understand the precise mechanisms and consequences of LMO7's interactions with these pathways in cancer development and progression.

Epigenetic modifications play a critical role in regulating gene expression and can contribute to cancer development and progression (51). Evidence suggests that epigenetic alterations may influence LMO7 expression in different cancer types (29). For instance, in breast cancer, LMO7 has been found to undergo hypermethylation, resulting in reduced gene expression (47). Hypermethylation of the LMO7 promoter region has been associated with tumor progression and poor patient prognosis (52). Further investigation into LMO7‑associated epigenetic alterations may offer insight into potential diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic strategies for cancer patients.

Furthermore, LMO7 has been identified as a TGF‑β1 target gene in hepatoma cells, functioning in vascular physiology and fibrosis (53). It is triggered by injury and TGF- β in vascular smooth muscle cells *in vitro* (53). Loss of LMO7 enhanced TGF‑β signaling by upregulating TGF‑β1 mRNA, TGF‑β protein, integrin‑αvβ3, latent TGF‑β activation, downstream effectors Smad3 phosphorylation and connective tissue growth

Figure 3. Molecular pathways regulated by LMO7 in tumorigenesis. LMO7 mediates signal transduction pathways and controls the expression of target genes by interacting with different proteins. LMO7 interacts with AKT to enhance the activity of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and Rho-GTPase. LMO7 interacts with c‑Fos and c‑Jun, promoting their degradation and interrupting activator protein 1‑dependent TGF‑β autoinduction. LMO7 and TGF‑β form a negative feedback loop to regulate cell proliferation, invasion and migration, leading to tumorigenesis. LMO7, LIM domain only 7; EMT, epithelial to mesenchymal transition; PI3K, phosphoinositide3-kinase; AKT, serine/threonine-protein kinase; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; Rho-GTPase, rhodopsin-guanosine triphosphatase; MEK1/2, MAP kinase kinase 1/2; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; c-Fos, Fos proto-oncogene; c-Jun, Jun proto-oncogene; Snail, snail family transcriptional repressor 1; ZEB1, zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1; Slug, snail family transcriptional repressor 2; CTCF, CCCTC‑binding factor; Smad3, SMAD family member 3; TGF‑β, transforming growth factor β; TβR‑I/II, transforming growth factor beta receptor I/II; integrin-ανβ3, integrin subunit αVβ3; AP-1, activator protein 1.

factor (53). Mechanistically, LMO7's LIM domain interacts with activator protein 1 transcription factors c-Fos and c-Jun, promoting their degradation and interrupting activator protein 1‑dependent TGF‑β autoinduction (53). A study has shown that the increase in LMO7 mRNA expression synchronizes with TGF‑β1‑induced invasion, with higher LMO7 expression in high metastatic cells compared to low metastatic cells (36). Induced by TGF‑β, LMO7 may limit vascular fibrosis by negative feedback regulation of the TGF‑β pathway (Fig. 3), suggesting implications for intimal hyperplasia, wound healing and fibrotic diseases and potentially impacting tumor angiogenesis.

Researchers have found that the curcumin analogue CA‑5f {(3E,5E)‑3‑(3,4‑dimethoxybenzylidene)‑5‑[(1H‑indo l‑3‑yl)methylene]‑1‑methylpiperidin‑4‑one}, as an anticancer therapeutic agent (54), reduced LMO7 protein levels, which induced the accumulation of microtubule‑associated protein 1 light chain 3β and sequestosome 1, increased mitochondrial reactive oxygen species levels and then inhibited autophagosome‑lysosome fusion and induced cell death (55). It may thus be suggested that LMO7 may be the target of CA‑5f, and related antisense RNA can be designed from LMO7 to interfere with the expression of LMO7 and inhibit the growth of tumor cells.

LMO7 also has a role in inflammation. In the mouse model of autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), injection of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) upregulated the levels of LMO7 and downregulated the levels of AP-1 and TGF- β , while the expression of AP-1 and TGF- β was upregulated in the LMO7 interference group. BMSCs can significantly reduce liver injury in the mouse AIH model by regulating the LMO7/AP-1/TGF- β signaling pathway to alleviate liver fibrosis of autoimmune hepatitis (56). The expression of the LMO7 gene was found to be upregulated in gastric epithelial AGS cells (a gastric cancer cell line) infected with *Helicobacter pylori*, which is involved in the adhesion, invasion and possibly proliferation of gastric epithelial cells (57). The expression of LMO7 in *H. pylori* may be involved in the carcinogenesis or differentiation of gastric epithelial cells through direct interactions with other proteins. The proteins that bind to LMO7 and the functional role of protein interactions in cell adhesion should be investigated in gastric epithelial cells stimulated by *H. pylori*. AFDN, vinculin and other proteins that were mentioned in Table I play a role in cell‑cell adherens junctions (Table I).

Figure 4. Overall survival analysis based on LMO7 expression. Correlation between high LMO7 TPM and decreased overall survival in BRCA, ACC, BLCA, HNSC, LGG, LUSC, PAAD, PCPG, GBM, UCEC, OV and UVM. Correlation between low LMO7 (TPM) and decreased overall survival in COAD, CESC and CHOL. Data were obtained from GEPIA 2. LMO7, LIM domain only 7; TPM, transcripts per million; HR, hazard ratio; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma; ACC, adrenocortical carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; LGG, brain lower grade glioma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; PCPG, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; OV, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma; UVM, uveal melanoma; COAD, colon adenocarcinoma; CESC, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; CHOL, cholangiocarcinoma.

Figure 5. The genomic alterations of LMO7 in different tumors were divided into four categories: Mutation, amplification, deep deletion and structural variant. Among them, mutation and amplification were the most frequent alterations. Data were obtained from the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics.

5. Prognostic relevance of LMO7 in cancer

The clinical relevance of LMO7 in cancer extends beyond its prognostic value to encompass its potential as a therapeutic target for precision medicine approaches. Of note, elevated LMO7 expression correlates with adverse clinical outcomes and poor patient prognosis across various cancer types, thereby underscoring its utility as a prognostic biomarker (37,47). However, it is important to note that the prognostic relevance of LMO7 may vary across different cancer types and stages (Fig. 4) (28); data were from GEPIA 2.

Compared with human papillomavirus (HPV)‑dependent disease, patients with HPV‑independent vulvar squamous cell carcinoma (VSCC) have a survival deficit and data suggest that leucine rich repeats and immunoglobulin like domains 2 (LRIG2) and LMO7 are positive prognostic factors in HPV‑independent cases; LMO7 is a positive prognostic factor in the most advanced tumors. Therefore, these markers may provide tools for individual treatment strategy selection in patients with VSCC. However, more studies are needed to further elucidate the functional and prognostic value of the molecular markers studied in VSCC (58). High expression of LMO7 antisense RNA 1 was associated with poor survival in kidney cancer (59).

LMO7, along with aminopeptidase like 1, von Willebrand factor, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 family member, NUAK family kinase 1 and tumor protein, translationally-controlled 1, named the castration‑resistant PC‑derived prognosis signature, is considered an important molecular signature for predicting progression‑free survival (PFS) of patients with castration‑resistant PC for therapeutic decision‑making (60).

In breast cancer, elevated LMO7 expression correlates with an unfavorable patient prognosis (46,47). Similarly, in colorectal cancer, LMO7 expression serves as a potential prognostic marker, with higher levels associated with adverse patient outcomes, including reduced overall survival and disease-free survival (46,47,50). In addition, increased LMO7 expression correlates with advanced tumor stages, lymph node metastasis and poor tumor differentiation in colorectal cancer cases (44,45). In lung cancer, multiple studies consistently link increased LMO7 expression with unfavorable patient outcomes, including diminished overall survival and disease-free survival rates (Fig. 4) (28,34-36). However, contradictory evidence suggests that elevated LMO7 levels may paradoxically be associated with improved overall survival (Fig. 4) (28). The association between LMO7 expression and prognosis in other cancer types remains to be elucidated. Further research, considering additional factors, is essential to establish LMO7 as a reliable and independent prognostic biomarker in specific cancer contexts.

6. Genomic alteration types

LMO7 mutations have been identified within tumor tissues, implicating their role in cancer development and progression.

These mutations encompass various types, broadly categorized as mutation, structural variant, amplification, deep deletion and multiple alterations (Fig. 5) (61,62); data were from cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org). An analysis in cBioPortal revealed the presence of two missense mutations (P928Q and S1259R) in LMO7 among cancer patients. In addition, LMO7 demonstrated high-level amplification in lung adenocarcinoma, leading to LMO7‑ITGBL1 fusion and a Q1334* nonsense mutation (61,62). Deep deletion of LMO7 has been observed in prostate adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma genomes, resulting in TPTE2P5‑LMO7 fusion and an I774V missense mutation, respectively (62). It is important to note that the specific consequences of LMO7 mutations in tumor tissues can vary based on the mutation and cancer context. Further research is imperative to comprehensively understand the impact of LMO7 mutations on tumorigenesis and potentially identify novel therapeutic targets.

7. Challenges and future perspectives

Although the expression pattern of LMO7 has been explored across various cancer types, its precise molecular functions in tumorigenesis and cancer progression remain largely elusive. Further research is imperative to identify the specific mechanisms through which LMO7 promotes cancer development and metastasis. Numerous studies investigating LMO7 and cancer have relied on relatively small sample sizes, potentially constraining the generalizability and statistical power of their findings (61,62). Robust larger-scale studies are necessary to corroborate the significance of LMO7 across different cancer types (63‑65). The heterogeneity observed within cancer samples, including variations in tumor stage, grade and molecular subtypes, complicates the interpretation of the role of LMO7. Investigating LMO7 expression and its correlation with patient outcomes across large, well-characterized cohorts is necessary to better understand its clinical relevance. Standardized methodologies for studying LMO7 in cancer research are lacking, with studies employing diverse experimental approaches like immunohistochemistry, gene expression profiling and functional assays, posing challenges for comparison and integration of findings across studies. The functional characterization of LMO7 in cancer has mainly relied on *in vitro* cell culture experiments and xenograft models (28‑30). The development of more sophis‑ ticated preclinical models is crucial for validating its role in cancer progression, as these models fail to fully replicate the complex tumor microenvironment and organismal interactions.

Targeting LMO7 for cancer treatment shows promise due to its involvement in various cellular processes contributing to tumorigenesis (26‑31,66,67). However, several challenges need to be addressed before LMO7‑based therapies can be effectively developed. These include the complexity of LMO7 function, its context-dependent roles in different cancer types and the absence of specific inhibitors or modulators targeting LMO7. The diverse functions of LMO7 in cell adhesion, cytoskeletal organization, signal transduction and gene regulation make it difficult to selectively target its activity without interfering with important cellular processes (23‑25). Interfering with LMO7 function may lead to unintended consequences, undesirable side effects or the limitation of therapeutic efficacy. The role and expression levels of LMO7 may vary among different cancer types and even among subtypes of the same cancer, posing challenges for targeted therapies. Further studies are needed to understand the precise mechanism by which LMO7 is involved in the genesis of different cancer types and to identify reliable biomarkers for patient stratification. Currently, there is a lack of specific inhibitors or modulators selectively targeting LMO7. High-throughput screening and rational drug design methods can be utilized to identify small molecules or peptides interfering with LMO7 interactions or functional domains.

To overcome the challenges in understanding the role of LMO7 in various cancer types, continued research is essential. This includes conducting comprehensive studies to investigate the molecular mechanisms by which LMO7 promotes tumor progression and metastasis. Additional experiments using cellular and animal models can provide valuable insight into its specific contributions to cancer development.

In PC, prediction of PFS and castration resistance molecular characteristics is crucial for making treatment decisions, but current methods lack reliability. Researchers have applied the Robust Rank Aggregation method to analyze the transcriptome profile of PC to identify 287 differentially expressed genes, including LMO7 (68,69). However, this work has yet to be validated and clinically applied.

Further research is needed to elucidate the role of LMO7 as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. If LMO7 is confirmed as an oncogene, strategies for therapeutic targeting can be explored, such as developing small-molecule inhibitors specific to LMO7 or gene therapy approaches to suppress its expression. In-depth molecular characterization of LMO7 and its associated signaling pathways can help identify potential drug targets and combination therapies to overcome challenges such as drug resistance and metastasis. Analyzing LMO7 expression levels in patient samples through techniques such as immunohistochemistry can establish correlations between LMO7 expression and clinical outcomes, including patient survival and response to therapy. Longitudinal studies can also provide information about dynamic changes in LMO7 expression during disease progression and its potential as a predictive biomarker or therapeutic target. In addition, the development of reliable biomarkers associated with LMO7 may aid in monitoring treatment response and disease progression.

The present review delved into the intricate role of LMO7 in cancer development, elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying its oncogenic effects. With its involvement across various cancer types and potential as a prognostic marker and therapeutic target, understanding the function of LMO7 offers valuable insight into cancer biology and paves the way for precision medicine. However, further research is imperative to overcome current limitations and fully harness the potential of targeting LMO7 in cancer therapy. By unraveling the mysteries surrounding LMO7, we can advance towards personalized and effective cancer treatments.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (grant no. 2024JJ5325) and the Excellent Youth Project of Hunan Provincial Education Department (grant no. 22B0411).

Availability of data and materials

The experimental data and the simulation results that support the findings of this review are available from the STRING database (string‑db.org), GEPIA 2 (http://gepia2.cancer‑pku.cn/) and cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org).

Authors' contributions

QZ and TJ: Substantial contributions to conception and design of the work, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data for the study; drafting the manuscript or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content. JW: Conception and design, revising the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published, agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Data authentication is not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. de Visser KE and Joyce JA: The evolving tumor microenvironment: From cancer initiation to metastatic outgrowth. Cancer Cell 41: 374‑403, 2023.
- 2. Feinberg AP and Levchenko A: Epigenetics as a mediator of plasticity in cancer. Science 379: eaaw3835, 2023.
- 3. Wang X, Chen G, Zhang Y, Ghareeb WM, Yu Q, Zhu H, Lu X, Huang Y, Huang S, Hou D and Chi P: The impact of circumferential tumour location on the clinical outcome of rectal cancer patients managed with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by total mesorectal excision. Eur J Surg Oncol 46: 1118-1123, 2020.
- 4. Diori Karidio I and Sanlier SH: Reviewing cancer's biology: An eclectic approach. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst 33: 32, 2021.
- 5. Zeng Q and Jiang T: The role of FHL1 in tumors. Gene 11: 148347, 2024.
- 6. Dietlein F, Wang AB, Fagre C, Tang A, Besselink NJM, Cuppen E, Li C, Sunyaev SR, Neal JT and Van Allen EM: Genome‑wide analysis of somatic noncoding mutation patterns in cancer. Science 376: eabg5601, 2022.
- 7. Lou Z, Gong YQ, Zhou \overline{X} and Hu GH: Low expression of miR‑199 in hepatocellular carcinoma contributes to tumor cell hyper‑proliferation by negatively suppressing XBP1. Oncol Lett 16: 6531-6539, 2018.
- 8. Li L, Wang S and Zhou W: Balance cell apoptosis and pyroptosis of caspase‑3‑activating chemotherapy for better antitumor therapy. Cancers (Basel) 15: 26, 2022.
- 9. Du TT, Dewey JB, Wagner EL, Cui R, Heo J, Park JJ, Francis SP, Perez‑Reyes E, Guillot SJ, Sherman NE, *et al*: LMO7 deficiency reveals the significance of the cuticular plate for hearing func‑ tion. Nat Commun 10: 1117, 2019.
- 10. Huang W, Xu Q, Su J, Tang L, Hao ZZ, Xu C, Liu R, Shen Y, Sang X, Xu N, *et al*: Linking transcriptomes with morphological and functional phenotypes in mammalian retinal ganglion cells. Cell Rep 40: 111322, 2022.
- 11. Mull A, Kim G and Holaska JM: LMO7‑null mice exhibit phenotypes consistent with emery‑dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Muscle Nerve 51: 222-228, 2015.
- 12. Possidonio AC, Soares CP, Fontenele M, Morris ER, Mouly V, Costa ML and Mermelstein C: Knockdown of Lmo7 inhibits chick myogenesis. FEBS Lett 590: 317‑329, 2016.
- 13. Gomes G, do Amaral MJ, Bagri KM, Vasconcellos LM, Almeida MDS, Alvares LE and Mermelstein C: New findings on LMO7 transcripts, proteins and regulatory regions in human and vertebrate model organisms and the intracellular distribution in skeletal muscle cells. Int J Mol Sci 22: 12885, 2021.
- 14. She M, Tang M, Jiang T and Zeng Q: The roles of the LIM domain proteins in *Drosophila* cardiac and hematopoietic morphogenesis. Front Cardiovasc Med 8: 616851, 2021.
- 15. She M, Zhang J, Jiang T, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Tang M and Zeng Q: The function of Lmpt in *Drosophila* heart tissue. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 612: 15‑21, 2022.
- 16. Zhang J, She M, Dai Y, Nie X, Tang M and Zeng Q: Lmpt regulates the function of *Drosophila* muscle by acting as a repressor of Wnt signaling. Gene 876: 147514, 2023.
- 17. Sánta A, Czajlik A, Batta G, Péterfia B and Gáspári Z: Resonance assignment of the Shank1 PDZ domain. Biomol NMR Assign 16: 121‑127, 2022.
- 18. Mieszczanek J, Strutt H, Rutherford TJ, Strutt D, Bienz M and Gammons MV: Selective function of the PDZ domain of Dishevelled in noncanonical Wnt signalling. J Cell Sci 135: jcs259547, 2022.
- 19. Palani S, Ghosh S, Ivorra‑Molla E, Clarke S, Suchenko A, Balasubramanian MK and Köster DV: Calponin‑homology domain mediated bending of membrane‑associated actin filaments. Elife 10: e61078, 2021.
- 20. Mei L, Reynolds MJ, Garbett D, Gong R, Meyer T and Alushin GM: Structural mechanism for bidirectional actin cross‑linking by T‑plastin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 119: e2205370119, 2022.
- 21. Ecke M, Prassler J and Gerisch G: Expanding ring-shaped cleavage furrows in multinucleate cells. Mol Biol Cell 34: ar27, 2023.
- 22. Cuadrado M and Robles‑Valero J: VAV proteins as double agents in cancer: Oncogenes with tumor suppressor roles. Biology (Basel) 10: 888, 2021.
- 23. Guérin A, Roy NH, Kugler EM, Berry L, Burkhardt JK, Shin JB and Striepen B: Cryptosporidium rhoptry effector protein ROP1 injected during invasion targets the host cytoskeletal modulator LMO7. Cell Host Microbe 29: 1407‑1420.e5, 2021.
- 24. Li M, An Z, Tang Q, Ma Y, Yan J, Chen S and Wang Y: Mixed responses to first-line alectinib in non-small cell lung cancer patients with rare ALK gene fusions: A case series and literature review. J Cell Mol Med 25: 9476‑9481, 2021.
- 25. Hariyanto NI, Yo EC and Wanandi SI: Regulation and signaling of TGF‑β autoinduction. Int J Mol Cell Med 10: 234‑247, 2021.
- 26. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Akslen LA, *et al*: Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 406: 747‑752, 2000.
- 27. Xia H, Chen D, Wu Q, Wu G, Zhou Y, Zhang Y and Zhang L: CELF1 preferentially binds to exon-intron boundary and regulates alternative splicing in HeLa cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech 1860: 911‑921, 2017.
- 28. Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, Gao G, Li C and Zhang Z: GEPIA: A web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. Nucleic Acids Res 45 (W1): W98‑W102, 2017.
- 29. Miano JM, Long X and Fujiwara K: Serum response factor: Master regulator of the actin cytoskeleton and contractile apparatus. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 292: C70-C81, 2007.
- 30. Medjkane S, Perez‑Sanchez C, Gaggioli C, Sahai E and Treisman R: Myocardin‑related transcription factors and SRF are required for cytoskeletal dynamics and experimental metas-
tasis. Nat Cell Biol 11: 257-268, 2009.
- 31. Pomiès P, Pashmforoush M, Vegezzi C, Chien KR, Auffray C and Beckerle MC: The cytoskeleton‑associated PDZ‑LIM protein, ALP, acts on serum response factor activity to regulate muscle differentiation. Mol Biol Cell 18: 1723‑1733, 2007.

- 32. Kim D, Jung SH and Chung YJ: Development of an RNA sequencing panel to detect gene fusions in thyroid cancer. Genomics Inform 19: e41, 2021.
- 33. He H, Li W, Yan P, Bundschuh R, Killian JA, Labanowska J, Brock P, ShenR, Heerema NA and de la Chapelle A: Identification of a recurrent LMO7-BRAF fusion in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Thyroid 28: 748‑754, 2018.
- 34. Oyinlade O, Wei S, Kammers K, Liu S, Wang S, Ma D, Huang ZY, Qian J, Zhu H, Wan J and Xia S: Analysis of KLF4 regulated genes in cancer cells reveals a role of DNA methylation in promoter-enhancer interactions. Epigenetics 13: 751-768, 2018.
- 35. Tanaka‑Okamoto M, Hori K, Ishizaki H, Hosoi A, Itoh Y, Wei M, Wanibuchi H, Mizoguchi A, Nakamura H and Miyoshi J: Increased susceptibility to spontaneous lung cancer in mice lacking LIM‑domain only 7. Cancer Sci 100: 608‑616, 2009.
- 36. Nakamura H, Hori K, Tanaka‑Okamoto M, Higashiyama M, Itoh Y, Inoue M, Morinaka S and Miyoshi J: Decreased expression of LMO7 and its clinicopathological significance in human lung adenocarcinoma. Exp Ther Med 2: 1053‑1057, 2011.
- 37. Karlsson T, Kvarnbrink S, Holmlund C, Botling J, Micke P, Henriksson R, Johansson M and Hedman H: LMO7 and LIMCH1 interact with LRIG proteins in lung cancer, with prognostic implications for early‑stage disease. Lung Cancer 125: 174‑184, 2018.
- 38. Wu H, Zhou J, Mei S, Wu D, Mu Z, Chen B, Xie Y, Ye Y and Liu J: Circulating exosomal microRNA-96 promotes cell proliferation, migration and drug resistance by targeting LMO7. J Cell Mol Med 21: 1228-1236, 2017.
- 39. Ren B, Cui M, Yang G, Wang H, Feng M, You L and Zhao Y: Tumor microenvironment participates in metastasis of pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer 17: 108, 2018.
- 40. Bayard Q, Caruso S, Couchy G, Rebouissou S, Bioulac Sage P, Balabaud C, Paradis V, Sturm N, de Muret A, Guettier C, *et al*: Recurrent chromosomal rearrangements of ROS1, FRK and IL6 activating JAK/STAT pathway in inflammatory hepatocellular adenomas. Gut 69: 1667‑1676, 2020.
- 41. Liu X, Yuan H, Zhou J, Wang Q, Qi X, Bernal C, Avella D, Kaifi JT, Kimchi ET, Timothy P, *et al*: LMO7 as an unrecognized factor promoting pancreatic cancer progression and metastasis. Front Cell Dev Biol 9: 647387, 2021.
- 42. Davuluri RV, Suzuki Y, Sugano S, Plass C and Huang TH: The functional consequences of alternative promoter use in mammalian genomes. Trends Genet 24: 167‑177, 2008.
- 43. Inchingolo MA, Diman A, Adamczewski M, Humphreys T, Jaquier-Gubler P and Curran JA: TP53BP1, a dual-coding gene, uses promoter switching and translational reinitiation to express a smORF protein. iScience 26: 106757, 2023.
- 44. Thorsen \hat{K} , Schepeler T, Øster B, Rasmussen MH, Vang S, Wang K, Hansen KQ, Lamy P, Pedersen JS, Eller A, *et al*: Tumor‑specific usage of alternative transcription start sites in colorectal cancer identified by genome‑wide exon array analysis. BMC Genomics 12: 505, 2011.
- 45. Furuya M, Tsuji N, Endoh T, Moriai R, Kobayashi D, Yagihashi A and Watanabe N: A novel gene containing PDZ and LIM domains, PCD1, is overexpressed in human colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 22: 4183‑4186, 2002.
- 46. Kang S, Xu H, Duan X, Liu JJ, He Z, Yu F, Zhou S, Meng XQ, Cao M and Kennedy GC: PCD1, a novel gene containing PDZ and LIM domains, is overexpressed in several human cancers. Cancer Res 60: 5296‑5302, 2000.
- 47. Jiang ZR, Yang LH, Jin LZ, Yi LM, Bing PP, Zhou J and Yang JS: Identification of novel cuproptosis‑related lncRNA signatures to predict the prognosis and immune microenvironment of breast cancer patients. Front Oncol 12: 988680, 2022.
- 48. Bao Z, Zeng W, Zhang D, Wang L, Deng X, Lai J, Li J, Gong J and Xiang G: SNAIL induces EMT and lung metastasis of tumours secreting CXCL2 to promote the invasion of M2‑type immunosuppressed macrophages in colorectal cancer. Int J Biol Sci 18: 2867‑2881, 2022.
- 49. Chen J, Chen L, Hua J and Song W: Long‑term dynamic compression enhancement TGF‑β3‑induced chondrogenesis in bovine stem cells: A gene expression analysis. BMC Genom Data 22: 13, 2021.
- 50. Hu Q, Guo C, Li Y, Aronow BJ and Zhang J: LMO7 mediates cell‑specific activation of the Rho‑myocardin‑related transcription factor‑serum response factor pathway and plays an important role in breast cancer cell migration. Mol Cell Biol 31: 3223‑3240, 2011.
- 51. Sun L, Zhang H and Gao P: Metabolic reprogramming and epigenetic modifications on the path to cancer. Protein Cell 13: 877‑919, 2022.
- 52. He B, Dai C, Lang J, Bing P, Tian G, Wang B and Yang J: A machine learning framework to trace tumor tissue‑of‑origin of 13 types of cancer based on DNA somatic mutation. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 1866: 165916, 2020.
- 53. Xie Y, Ostriker AC, Jin Y, Hu H, Sizer AJ, Peng G, Morris AH, Ryu C, Herzog EL, Kyriakides T, *et al*: LMO7 is a negative feedback regulator of transforming growth factor β signaling and fibrosis. Circulation 139: 679‑693, 2019.
- 54. Kim M and Moon A: A curcumin analog CA-5f inhibits urokinase‑type plasminogen activator and invasive phenotype of triple-negative breast cancer cells. Toxicol Res 38: 19-26, 2021.
- 55. Zhang L, Qiang P, Yu J, Miao Y, Chen Z, Qu J, Zhao Q, Chen Z, Liu Y, Yao X, *et al*: Identification of compound CA‑5f as a novel late-stage autophagy inhibitor with potent anti-tumor effect against non‑small cell lung cancer. Autophagy 15: 391‑406, 2019.
- 56. Chen ZK, Chen DZ, Cai C, Jin LL, Xu J, Tu YL, Huang XZ, Xu JL, Chen MZ, Xue FB, *et al*: BMSCs attenuate hepatic fibrosis in autoimmune hepatitis through regulation of LMO7-AP1-TGF β signaling pathway. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 25: 1600-1611, 2021.
- 57. Lim JW, Kim H and Kim KH: Cell adhesion-related gene expression by *Helicobacter pylori* in gastric epithelial AGS cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 35: 1284‑1296, 2003.
- 58. Zhang Y, Liu Q, Cui M, Wang M, Hua S, Gao J and Liao Q: Comprehensive analysis of expression, prognostic value, and immune infiltration for ubiquitination-related FBXOs in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Front Immunol 12: 774435, 2022.
- 59. Stefansson K, Oda H, Öfverman C, Lundin E, Hedman H and Lindquist D: LRIG1-2 and LMO7 immunoreactivity in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma: Association with prognosis in relation to HPV-DNA and p16INK4a status. Oncol Rep $\overline{42}$: 142-150, 2019.
- 60. Zheng H, Li BH, Liu C, Jia L and Liu FT: Comprehensive analysis of lncRNA‑mediated ceRNA crosstalk and identification of prognostic biomarkers in Wilms' tumor. Biomed Res Int 2020: 4951692, 2020.
- 61. A J, Zhang B, Zhang Z, Hu H and Dong JT: Novel gene signatures predictive of patient recurrence‑free survival and castration resistance in prostate cancer. Cancers (Basel) 13: 917, 2021.
- 62. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, Sun Y, Jacobsen A, Sinha R, Larsson E, *et al*: Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBio‑ Portal. Sci Signal 6: pl1, 2013.
- 63. Mao X, Chen Y, Lu X, Jin S, Jiang P, Deng Z, Zhu X, Cai Q, Wu C and Kang S: Tissue resident memory T cells are enriched and dysfunctional in effusion of patients with malignant tumor. J Cancer 14: 1223‑1231, 2023.
- 64. Huang A and Zhou W: Mn‑based cGAS‑STING activation for tumor therapy. Chin J Cancer Res 35: 19‑43, 2023.
- 65. Feng S, Song G, Liu L, Liu W, Liang G and Song Z: Allergen‑specific immunotherapy induces monocyte‑derived dendritic cells but attenuates their maturation and cytokine production in the lesional skin of an atopic dermatitis mouse model. J Dermatol 49: 1310‑1319, 2022.
- 66. Guo Z, Wang YJ, He BS and Zhou J: Linc00312 single nucleo‑ tide polymorphism as biomarker for chemoradiotherapy induced hematotoxicity in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. Dis Markers 2022: 6707821, 2022.
- 67. Wang X, Yang T, Shi S, Xu C, Wang F, Dai D, Guan G, Zhang Y, Wang S, Wang J, *et al*: Heterogeneity‑induced NGF‑NGFR communication inefficiency promotes mitotic spindle disorgani‑ zation in exhausted T cells through PREX1 suppression to impair the anti-tumor immunotherapy with PD-1 mAb in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Med 13: e6736, 2024.
- 68. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, *et al*: The cBio cancer genomics portal: An open platform for exploring multi-
dimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov 2: 401-404, 2012.
- 69. Fu S, Duan L, Zhong Y and Zeng Y: Comparison of surgical excision followed by adjuvant radiotherapy and laser combined with steroids for the treatment of keloids: A systematic review and meta‑analysis. Int Wound J 21: e14449, 2023.
- 70. Lee B, Lee S, Lee Y, Park Y and Shim J: Emerin represses STAT3 signaling through nuclear membrane‑based spatial control. Int J Mol Sci 22: 6669, 2021.
- 71. Wu KY, Xie H, Zhang ZL, Li ZX, Shi L, Zhou W, Zeng J, Tian Z, Zhang Y, Ding YB and Shen WG: Emerin knockdown induces the migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by up‑regulating the cytoplasmic p21. Neoplasma 69: 59‑70, 2022.
- 72. Awotoye W, Mossey PA, Hetmanski JB, Gowans LJJ, Eshete MA, Adeyemo WL, Alade A, Zeng E, Adamson O, James O, *et al*: Damaging mutations in AFDN contribute to risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 61: 697‑705, 2024.
- 73. Berg HE, Greipp PT, Baughn LB, Falcon CP, Jackson CC and Peterson JF: Detection of a cryptic KMT2A/AFDN gene fusion $[ins(6;11)(q27;q23q23)]$ in a pediatric patient with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. Lab Med 53: e95‑e99, 2022.
- 74. Bill M, Mrózek K, Kohlschmidt J, Eisfeld AK, Walker CJ, Nicolet D, Papaioannou D, Blachly JS, Orwick S, Carroll AJ, *et al*: Mutational landscape and clinical outcome of patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia and rearrangements involving 11q23/KMT2A. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117: 26340‑26346, 2020.
- 75. Chen Q, Zhou XW, Zhang AJ and He K: ACTN1 supports tumor growth by inhibiting Hippo signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 40: 23, 2021.
- 76. Wang R, Gao Y and Zhang H: ACTN1 interacts with ITGA5 to promote cell proliferation, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transformation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Iran J Basic Med Sci 26: 200‑207, 2023.
- 77. Chen Q, Wang H, Li Z, Li F, Liang L, Zou Y, Shen H, Li J, Xia Y, Cheng Z, *et al*: Circular RNA ACTN4 promotes intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma progression by recruiting YBX1 to initiate FZD7 transcription. J Hepatol 76: 135‑147, 2022.
- 78. Tentler D, Lomert E, Novitskaya K and Barlev NA: Role of ACTN4 in tumorigenesis, metastasis, and EMT. Cells 8: 1427, 2019.
- 79. Singla A, Chen Q, Suzuki K, Song J, Fedoseienko A, Wijers M, Lopez A, Billadeau DD, van de Sluis B and Burstein E: Regulation of murine copper homeostasis by members of the COMMD protein family. Dis Model Mech 14: dmm045963, 2021.
- 80. Iwuchukwu I, Nguyen D, Beavers M, Tran V, Sulaiman W, Fannin E, Lasseigne L, Ramsay E, Wilson J and Bazan NG: MicroRNA regulatory network as biomarkers of late seizure in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. Mol Neurobiol 57: 2346‑2357, 2020.
- 81. Neveu B, Richer C, Cassart P, Caron M, Jimenez-Cortes C, St-Onge P, Fuchs C, Garnier N, Gobeil S and Sinnett D: Identification of new ETV6 modulators through a high-throughput functional screening. iScience 25: 103858, 2022.
- 82. da Silva AN, Ibelli AMG, Savoldi IR, Cantão ME, Zanella EL, Marques MG, da Silva MVGB, de Peixoto JO, Ledur MC, Lopes JS, et al: Whole-exome sequencing indicated new candidate genes associated with unilateral cryptorchidism in pigs. Sex Dev 17: 56-66, 2023.
- 83. Barcelo J and Sanz-Moreno V: NECTIN1 is a melanoma metastasis suppressor gene. Nat Genet 54: 1776‑1777, 2022.
- 84. Ablain J, Al Mahi A, Rothschild H, Prasad M, Aires S, Yang S, Dokukin ME, Xu S, Dang M, Sokolov I, *et al*: Loss of NECTIN1 triggers melanoma dissemination upon local IGF1 depletion. Nat Genet 54: 1839-1852, 2022.
- 85. Ho DW, Tsui YM, Chan LK, Sze KM, Zhang X, Cheu JW, Chiu YT, Lee JM, Chan AC, Cheung ET, *et al*: Single‑cell RNA sequencing shows the immunosuppressive landscape and tumor heterogeneity of HBV‑associated hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Commun 12: 3684, 2021.
- 86. Zhang S, Jiang C, Su Y, Gui J, Yue Z, Jian B, He S and Ma X: Nectin2 influences cell apoptosis by regulating ANXA2 expression in neuroblastoma. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 55: 356‑366, 2023.
- 87. Bhave S, Guyer RA, Picard N, Omer M, Hotta R and Goldstein AM: Ednrb¹ mice with hirschsprung disease are missing Gad2‑expressing enteric neurons in the ganglionated small intestine. Front Cell Dev Biol 10: 917243, 2022.
- 88. Zheng Z, Gao M, Tang C, Huang L, Gong Y, Liu Y and Wang J: *E. coli* JM83 damages the mucosal barrier in Ednrb knockout mice to promote the development of Hirschsprung‑associated enterocolitis via activation of TLR4/p‑p38/NF‑κB signaling. Mol Med Rep 25: 168, 2022.
- 89. Geng B, Wang X, Park KH, Lee KE, Kim J, Chen P, Zhou X, Tan T, Yang C, Zou X, *et al*: UCHL1 protects against ischemic heart injury via activating HIF‑1α signal pathway. Redox Biol 52: 102295, 2022.
- 90. Mondal M, Conole D, Nautiyal J and Tate EW: UCHL1 as a novel target in breast cancer: Emerging insights from cell and chemical biology. Br J Cancer 126: 24‑33, 2022.
- 91. Tang J, Yang Q, Mao C, Xiao D, Liu S, Xiao L, Zhou L, Wu G and Tao Y: The deubiquitinating enzyme UCHL3 promotes anaplastic thyroid cancer progression and metastasis through Hippo signaling pathway. Cell Death Differ 30: 1247‑1259, 2023.
- 92.He R, Zhou Y, Liu J, Zhang X, Zhao X, An L, Li Z and Cheng F: UCHL3 plays an important role in the occurrence and development of melanoma. Oncol Lett 22: 756, 2021.
- 93. Thompson LL, Rutherford KA, Lepage CC and McManus KJ: Aberrant SKP1 expression: Diverse mechanisms impacting genome and chromosome stability. Front Cell Dev Biol 10: 859582, 2022.
- 94.Biryukov M, Dmitrieva A, Vavilova V, Ustyantsev K, Bazarova E, Sukhikh I, Berezikov E and Blinov A: Mlig-SKP1 gene is required for spermatogenesis in the flatworm macrostomum lignano. Int J Mol Sci 23: 15110, 2022.
- 95. Engeland K: Cell cycle regulation: p53‑p21‑RB signaling. Cell Death Differ 29: 946‑960, 2022.
- 96. Salaroglio IC, Belisario DC, Bironzo P, Ananthanarayanan P, Ricci L, Digiovanni S, Fontana S, Napoli F, Sandri A, Facolmatà C, *et al*: SKP2 drives the sensitivity to neddylation inhibitors and cisplatin in malignant pleural mesothelioma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 41: 75, 2022.
- 97. Surka C, Jin L, Mbong N, Lu CC, Jang IS, Rychak E, Mendy D, Clayton T, Tindall E, Hsu C, *et al*: CC‑90009, a novel cereblon E3 ligase modulator, targets acute myeloid leukemia blasts and leukemia stem cells. Blood 137: 661‑677, 2021.
- 98. Jia L and Sun Y: RBX1/ROC1-SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase is required for mouse embryogenesis and cancer cell survival. Cell $Div 4: 16, 2009$
- 99. Bays JL and DeMali KA: Vinculin in cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesions. Cell Mol Life Sci 74: 2999‑3009, 2017.
- 100. Shih YT, Wei SY, Chen JH, Wang WL, Wu HY, Wang MC, Lin CY, Lee PL, Lin CY, Chiang HC, et al: Vinculin phosphorylation impairs vascular endothelial junctions promoting atherosclerosis. Eur Heart J 44: 304‑318, 2023.
- 101. Yang W, Li J, Zhang M, Yu H, Zhuang Y, Zhao L, Ren L, Gong J, Bi H, Zeng L, *et al*: Elevated expression of the rhythm gene NFIL3 promotes the progression of TNBC by activating NF‑κB signaling through suppression of NFKBIA transcription. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 41: 67, 2022.
- 102. Sarkozy C, Hung SS, Chavez EA, Duns G, Takata K, Chong LC, Aoki T, Jiang A, Miyata‑Takata T, Telenius A, *et al*: Mutational landscape of gray zone lymphoma. Blood 137: 1765‑1776, 2021.
- 103. Qie S: The E3 ubiquitin ligase fbxo4 functions as a tumor suppressor: Its biological importance and therapeutic perspectives. Cancers (Basel) 14: 2133, 2022.
- 104. Mucha B, Qie S, Bajpai S, Tarallo V, Diehl JN, Tedeschi F, Zhou G, Gao Z, Flashner S, Klein‑Szanto AJ, *et al*: Tumor suppressor mediated ubiquitylation of hnRNPK is a barrier to oncogenic translation. Nat Commun 13: 6614, 2022.
- 105. Wang L, Piao Y, Zhang D, Feng W, Wang C, Cui X, Ren Q, Zhu \bar{X} and Zheng G: Fbxw11 impairs the repopulation capacity of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. Stem Cell Res Ther 13: 245, 2022.
- 106. Chen C, Zhou H, Zhang X, Liu Z and Ma X: Association of FBXW11 levels with tumor development and prognosis in chondrosarcoma. Cancer Biomark 35: 429‑437, 2022.
- 107. Zou C, Chen Y, Smith RM, Snavely C, Li J, Coon TA, Chen BB, Zhao Y and Mallampalli RK: SCF(Fbxw15) mediates histone acetyltransferase binding to origin recognition complex (HBO1) ubiquitin‑proteasomal degradation to regulate cell proliferation. J Biol Chem 288: 6306‑6316, 2013.
- 108. De La Chesnaye E, Méndez JP, López‑Romero R, De Los Angeles Romero‑Tlalolini M, Vergara MD, Salcedo M and Ojeda SR: FBXW12, a novel F box protein‑encoding gene, is deleted or methylated in some cases of epithelial ovarian cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 8: 10192‑10203, 2015.
- 109. Zhang J, Gan Y, Li H, Yin J, He X, Lin L, Xu S, Fang Z, Kim BW, Gao L, *et al*: Inhibition of the CDK2 and cyclin A complex leads to autophagic degradation of CDK2 in cancer cells. Nat Commun 13: 2835, 2022.
- 110. Arora M, Moser J, Hoffman TE, Watts LP, Min M, Musteanu M, Rong Y, Ill CR, Nangia V, Schneider J, *et al*: Rapid adaptation to CDK2 inhibition exposes intrinsic cell‑cycle plasticity. Cell 186: 2628‑2643.e21, 2023.

Copyright © 2024 Zeng et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.