



# Systematic Review The Economic Burden of Non-Typhoidal Salmonella and Invasive Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Infection: A Systematic Literature Review

Sol Kim<sup>1</sup>, Hyolim Kang<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Louis Excler<sup>1</sup>, Jerome H. Kim<sup>1,2</sup> and Jung-Seok Lee<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> International Vaccine Institute, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea; sorukeem@gmail.com (S.K.); hyolim93@gmail.com (H.K.); jeanlouis.excler@ivi.int (J.-L.E.); jerome.kim@ivi.int (J.H.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea
- \* Correspondence: jungseoklee@gmail.com

Abstract: Non-typhoidal Salmonella (NTS) infection and invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella (iNTS) infection cause a significant global health and economic burden. This systematic review aims to investigate the reported economic burden of NTS and iNTS infection, identify research gaps, and suggest future research directions. Data from PubMed and Embase databases up to April 2022 were reviewed, and articles were screened based on predefined criteria. Cost data were extracted, categorized into direct medical costs (DMCs), direct non-medical costs (DNMCs), and indirect costs (ICs), and converted into US dollars (year 2022). Data primarily originated from high-income countries (37 out of 38), with limited representation from Africa and resource-limited settings. For inpatients, DMCs were the primary cost driver for both NTS and iNTS illnesses, with estimates ranging from USD 545.9 (Taiwan, a region of China) to USD 21,179.8 (Türkiye) for NTS and from USD 1973.1 (Taiwan, a region of China) to USD 32,507.5 (United States of America) for iNTS per case. DNMCs and ICs varied widely across studies. Although study quality improved over time, methodological differences persisted. This review underscores the lack of economic data on NTS and iNTS in resource-limited settings. It also highlights the need for economic burden data in resource-limited settings and a standardized approach to generate global datasets, which is critical for informing policy decisions, especially regarding future vaccines.

Keywords: non-typhoidal *Salmonella*; invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella*; economic burden; cost of illness

# 1. Introduction

Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) infection, including invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (invasive NTS, abbreviated iNTS), causes significant global morbidity and mortality, with a large economic burden impacting society [1,2]. While NTS infections mostly result in self-limited diarrheal enterocolitis with low case fatality, risk factors such as malnutrition, extremes of age (<5 years and  $\geq$ 70 years), HIV, malaria, and sickle-cell disease increase susceptibility to iNTS infection [2,3]. iNTS disease occurs when the NTS organisms invade normally sterile sites, leading to sepsis, meningitis, pneumonia, arthritis, and osteomyelitis [2,4,5]. Studies have shown that approximately 6% of diarrheal NTS cases progress to bloodstream infections [6–9]. With a higher fatality rate than non-invasive infection, iNTS infection is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, especially in sub-Saharan Africa [2,10,11]. Majowicz et al. estimated 93.8 million cases of NTS gastroenteritis (95% UI 61.8–131.6), accounting for 155,000 (39,000–303,000) deaths worldwide in 2006 [12]. In 2010, the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study estimated that NTS caused 4.84 million (3.82–5.94) DALYs [13] and 81,300 (61,800–101,700) deaths [14]. WHO estimates from the Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group (FERG, 2007–2015) showed that



Citation: Kim, S.; Kang, H.; Excler, J.-L.; Kim, J.H.; Lee, J.-S. The Economic Burden of Non-Typhoidal Salmonella and Invasive Non-Typhoidal *Salmonella* Infection: A Systematic Literature Review. *Vaccines* 2024, *12*, 758. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/vaccines12070758

Academic Editor: Ralph A. Tripp

Received: 2 June 2024 Revised: 25 June 2024 Accepted: 28 June 2024 Published: 9 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). non-typhoidal *Salmonella* enterica and invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* enterica were responsible for 4.38 million (3.24–7.18) and 3.9 million (2.4–5.8) DALYs, respectively [15]. Furthermore, foodborne DALYs caused by NTS and iNTS were the largest (4.07 million) among the 22 foodborne enteric diseases globally [15]. More recently, the GBD 2019 estimates report 594,000 (486,000–718,000) cases of iNTS disease, resulting in 79,000 (43,000–124,000) deaths and 6.11 million (3.32–9.71) DALYs globally [16]. In children under 5, NTS (36% deaths) and iNTS (45% deaths) had a greater impact than typhoid fever (17% deaths) and paratyphoid fever (2% deaths) [16]. Most iNTS cases occur in Sub-Saharan Africa, with incidence rates exceeding 100 cases per 100,000 person-year in population at risk [17]. The 2017 GBD report estimated a case-fatality rate of 14.5% (9.2–21.1), with higher rates in children, the elderly, and people living with HIV infection [2]. Other studies report even higher case fatality rates of 20% in Mali, Bangladesh, and Vietnam [17].

Various prevention strategies have been implemented to control NTS disease, targeting animal production, food industries, consumers, and national surveillance [18,19]. Despite these efforts, significant under-reporting persists due to barriers to healthcare access and insufficient attention from public health authorities at national and global levels [1,2,20–22]. Additionally, the lack of point-of-care biomarkers and rapid diagnostic tools, such as serological or PCR-based tests, make the clinical diagnosis of NTS and iNTS infection challenging, particularly in low-resource settings such as Africa, where blood culture facilities are limited [11,23]. The absence of an available vaccine against NTS infections exacerbates these challenges, contributing to a significant public health burden.

No systematic attempt has been made to estimate the per-case cost incurred due to NTS and iNTS diseases [24,25]. This review aims to examine the existing studies on the economic burden of NTS and iNTS infections to date, identify gaps, and suggest future research needs.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

A systematic literature review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 guidelines and its checklist (Supplementary Materials; Table S1) [26]. PubMed and Embase were searched using search strategies constructed with free-texts, MeSH-Terms, and Emtree terms, adapted for each database. The search included publications from inception to April 2022, with the last search conducted on 29 April 2022. Two categories of search terms were used: (1) disease category, including terms related to non-typhoidal Salmonella and invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella, and (2) economic burden category, including terms related to the cost of illness. All search terms within each of the two categories were combined using the "OR" operator, and the two categories were combined using the "AND" operator. No filters or limits were applied, and there were no language restrictions. A detailed list of search strategies is presented in Table 1. Duplicate articles were identified and removed using EndNote software. The initial screening of titles and abstracts was conducted independently by two reviewers (SK, HLK). Studies indicating the cost of NTS or iNTS were selected for a second screening. After the initial screening, the list of studies for full-text review was shared, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion. The two reviewers independently carried out the full-text review of 77 articles using pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 2). The reference lists of the selected studies were further reviewed by the two reviewers. Any inconsistencies between the reviewers were resolved with the assistance of a third researcher (JSL).

The quality of the selected articles was assessed using a quality assessment tool (Supplementary Materials; Table S2) adapted from the cost-of-illness evaluation checklist proposed by Larg and Moss (2011) [27]. The results of the quality assessment (high, medium, and low) are presented in Table S3.

Table 1. Search strategies.

	PubMed	Embase
Disease category	invasive non typhoidal salmonell * ints nontyph * invasive AND non? typhoid invasive AND nts non? typhoid non? typhoid * non AND typhoid fever typhimurium enteritidis choleraesuis salmonella AND heidelberg salmonella AND heidelberg salmonella AND newport salmonella AND newport salmonella AND virchow salmonella AND vinchow salmonella AND brancaster salmonella AND infantis salmonella AND isangi salmonella AND freetown	salmonella enterica serovar heidelberg salmonella enterica serovar dublin salmonella enterica serovar dublin salmonella enterica serovar choleraesuis salmonella enterica serovar virchow salmonella enterica serovar infantis salmonella enterica serovar enteritis salmonella enterica serovar enteritis salmonella food poisoning non AND typhoid* AND salmonella invasive nontyphoidal salmonella disease ints invasive AND nts nontyph * non?typh * no * AND typh * nts non AND typhoid * typhimurium enteritidis choleraesuis salmonella AND newport salmonella AND brancaster salmonella AND freetown
Economic burden category	costs and cost analysis cost of illness cost-benefit analysis cost allocation healthcare costs health expenditures hospital costs hospital charges cost cost * cost minimi * cost effective * economic burden economic burden *	cost cost benefit analysis cost effectiveness analysis hospital cost cost minimi * cost of illness cost* cost effective* economic burden

Table 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Include if	<ul> <li>The study includes the direct medical costs OR direct non-medical costs OR indirect costs of NTS-related treatment/healthcare source utilization.</li> <li>The study includes costs incurred due to NTS disease from primary data or secondary data.</li> <li>The study estimates the costs incurred due to NTS disease by modelling.</li> </ul>
Exclude if	<ul> <li>The costs are related to animal disease or food control.</li> <li>The study includes costs incurred due to NTS with other diseases combined such as other foodborne diseases, typhoid, and paratyphoid (costs not specific to NTS).</li> <li>Full text is written in languages other than English.</li> </ul>

Relevant data were extracted from each included study using a standardized template in a Microsoft Excel workbook. Data extraction was initially performed by SK and HLK and cross-checked for accuracy. The extracted variables included the title of the article, authors' names, publication year, cost year (study period), study location, disease indication, serovars, currency of the cost, cost item and description, cost type, cost perspective, cost source, duration of illness (number of visits), and other cost-related information identified in the articles. Cost types were categorized into direct medical costs (DMCs), direct nonmedical costs (DNMCs), and indirect costs (ICs). DMC refers to costs incurred by patient management such as hospital stays, physician consultations, laboratory tests, and medications. DMC was further classified into DMC inpatient (DMC IP) and DMC outpatient (DMC OP). When DMCs were not specific to costs by inpatient or outpatient healthcare services, they were categorized as DMC non-specific (DMC NS). DNMC includes costs not directly related to healthcare services, such as transportation, food, and accommodation. IC encompasses expenses borne by patients or their families due to work absenteeism related to the illness. Data costs related to outbreak control and the value of lost lives were extracted but not included in the review results. All cost components were calculated as per-case costs whenever possible, by dividing aggregated costs for a group of patients by the number of patients. All costs were converted to US dollars (USD) and adjusted to 2022 values using the official exchange rate and the GDP Deflator provided by the World Bank [28].

### 3. Results

The literature search yielded 2839 articles after removing duplicates, of which 77 were identified for full-text review based on their titles and abstracts. Among these 77 articles, 34 met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Additionally, three articles [29–31] and one database [32] were identified through bibliography searches and included in the final review (Figure 1).

Among the 38 studies, 4 articles contained data related to the economic burden of iNTS [30,33–35]. Evidence for NTS disease came from 11 countries: Australia, Canada, Hong Kong SAR, China (hereinafter Hong Kong), the Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, a region of China (hereinafter Taiwan), Türkiye, the United Kingdom (UK), and the United States of America (US). Evidence for iNTS was found in three countries: Spain, Taiwan, and the US. The income level of each country, classified by the World Bank (2021), was compared to assess the distribution of data [36]. Only one study [37] was conducted in an upper middle-income country (Türkiye) in 2009, while all other studies were from high-income countries. There were no studies from lower middle-income and low-income countries for both NTS and iNTS diseases. Geographically, no studies were conducted in Africa, and only two studies were conducted in Asia (Taiwan [5,35] and Hong Kong [38]).

Publication years ranged from 1978 to 2021. All studies used economic evaluation methods from various perspectives, such as societal, the healthcare system, and the patient. More than half (n = 25, 66%) of the studies used a societal perspective, encompassing DMC, DNMC, and IC. Eight [5,35,37,39–43] out of thirty-eight studies used the healthcare system perspective, focusing on government and healthcare facility costs. Five studies used the patient perspective, which focused on the medical expenses incurred by the patient and their families, excluding indirect costs [29,33,34,38,44].

Among the 38 studies reviewed, 13 aimed to estimate the economic burden of NTS outbreaks [20,22,37,39–41,45–51], of which five were in hospital settings [37,39–41,47]. Todd et al. estimated the economic burden of multiple *Salmonella* community outbreaks in the UK, US, Canada, Sweden, and Australia across different years [20], and Scharff et al. did so in the US from 1994 to 2009, using national surveillance data [51]. None of the outbreak studies included iNTS cases. Economic evidence related to iNTS was derived from either hospital databases (US and Spain) or national claims databases (US and Taiwan) [30,33–35]. A summary of the extracted components from the included studies is provided in Table S3 in Supplementary Materials.



Figure 1. Study selection.

The reported DMC IP was the primary cost driver for the economic burden of NTS and iNTS illnesses, ranging from USD 545.9 (Taiwan) to USD 21,179.8 (Türkiye) for NTS illnesses and from USD 1973.1 (Taiwan) to USD 32,507.5 (US) for iNTS illnesses per case. Considering the significant inflation surge in Türkiye in 2022, around 72% [36], the second highest DMC IP for NTS illness was USD 19,788.7 from the US. The DMC OP reported was lower than the DMC IP, ranging from USD 17.4 (UK) to USD 739.8 (US) for NTS illnesses, with only one study from the US [30] estimating DMC OP for iNTS as USD 469.4 per case. The IC ranged from USD 188.9 in Australia to USD 3028.8 in the UK per case for NTS illnesses. The IC for iNTS illnesses, as reported by Adhikari et al. (2001) from the US, was USD 1768.8 [30]. DNMCs were assessed in 14 studies, ranging from USD 4.3 in the Netherlands to USD 18,261.2 in Türkiye [20,31,37,40,41,45-47,49,51-55]. Five studies (13%) did not report costs by components but aggregated total costs [19,39,50,56,57]. Costs reported from each study are presented in Table 3 (NTS illnesses) and Table 4 (iNTS illnesses). When costs could not be categorized into DMC, DNMC, and IC, total costs were presented as total costs (TC) in the tables (all costs are expressed in US dollars at 2022 value).

No.	Author	Country	Cost Year	Original Currency	Cost Type	Cost in USD (2022)	Unit	% of GDP Per Capita <sup>§</sup>
1		US	1976	USD				
					DMC <sup>r</sup>	1785.2	per case	2.3
	Cohen et al.				DMC IP	5514.7	per case	7.2
	[45]				DMC OP	262.6	per case	0.3
					DNMC	408.0	per case	0.5
					IC	1143.3	per case	1.5
2		Canada		CAD				
			1982		DMC <sup>c</sup>	753.8	per case	1.4
	Curtin				DMC IP	3256.1	per case	5.9
	et al. [ <mark>31</mark> ]				DMC OP	160.0	per case	0.3
			1978		DNMC	59.2	per case	0.1
			1978		IC	1842.3	per case	3.3
3		Multiple countries	1983	USD				
	-	UK			DMC <sup>c</sup>	228.8	per case	0.5
					DMC IP	4035.8	per case	8.7
					DMC OP	17.4	per case	0
					DNMC	574.2	per case	1.2
					IC	258.5	per case	0.6
	-	US			DMC <sup>c</sup>	3691.0	per case	4.8
					DMC IP	5965.7	per case	7.8
					DMC OP	285.2	per case	0.4
					IC	1231.8	per case	1.6
	-	Canada			DMC <sup>c</sup>	261.6	per case	0.5
		Cunudu			DMC IP	2653.9	per case	4.8
	Todd				DMC OP	40.8	per case	0.1
	et al. * [20]				IC	1588.9	per case	2.9
	-	Canada			DMC <sup>c</sup>	899.3	per case	1.6
					DMC IP	8721.6	per case	15.7
					DMC OP	59.8	per case	0.1
					DMC NS	147.5	per case	0.3
					DNMC	373.8	per case	0.7
					IC	2691.2	per case	4.8
	-	Sweden			TC	1881.4	per case	3.3
	-	US and					*	
		Canada			DMC IP	4459.2	per case	8.0 ‡
		UK			DMC IP	2052.1	per case	4.4
		Australia			DMC IP	8721.6	per case	13.4
4		UK	1987	GBP				
	Barnass et al. [39]				TC	3908.0	per case to the hospital	8.5
5	Chai	US	1987	USD				
	$c_{101}$				DMC IP	2174.5	per case to the	2.8
	et al. [40]				DNMC	301.1	nursing home	0.4
6		UK	1989	GBP				
					DMC <sup>c</sup>	435.7	per case	0.9
	Hayes				DMC IP	3061.0	per case	6.6
	et al. [46]				DMC OP	196.7	per case	0.4
					DNMC	335.5	per case	0.7
					IC	1864.5	per case	4.0

Table 3. Healthcare costs by cost type related to non-typhoidal *Salmonella* diseases (USD in 2022).

No.	Author	Country	Cost Year	Original Currency	Cost Type	Cost in USD (2022)	Unit	% of GDP Per Capita <sup>§</sup>
7		UK	1989	GBP				
					DMC <sup>c</sup>	2192.5	per case	4.8
	Sockett and				DMC IP	2523.5	per case	5.5
	Roberts [52]				DMC OP	257.0	per case	0.6
					DNMC	382.2	per case	0.8
					IC	1265.6	per case	2.7
0		Swadan	1007	SEV				
0	Enguall	Sweden	1992	JER		6743 7	por caso	12.0
	ot al [20]				DMC IP	6280.6	per case	12.0
	et al. [29]				DMC OP	463 1	per case	0.8
					Divic OI	403.1	per case	0.0
9	Dryden	UK	1993	GBP			_	
	et al [41]				DMC IP	2058.3	per case to the	4.5
					DNMC	484.5	hospital	1.1
10	Roberts	UK	1992	GBP				
	and				TC	5379.9	per case	11.7
	Sockett [56]							
11	Comez	US	1997	USD				
11	et al [19]	05	1772	050	ТС	2551.1	per case	33
					10	2001.1	per cuse	0.0
12		Australia	1996	AUD				
	Spearing				DMC IP	1774.2	per case to the	2.7
	et al. [47]				DNMC	998.8	hospital	1.5
					IC	403.6	noopnui	0.6
13		Canada	2001	CAD				
					DMC <sup>r</sup>	1571.4	per case	2.8
					IC	1715.6	per case	3.1
		LIK		CBP			-	
	Duff	UK		GDI	DMC <sup>r</sup>	2353 3	per case	51
	et al. * [58]				IC	3028.8	per case	66
					ie	0020.0	per cuse	0.0
		US		USD				
					DMC <sup>1</sup>	1945.6	per case	2.5
					IC	1947.2	per case	2.6
14	Roberts	UK	1995	USD				
	et al. [48]				DMC OP	106.8	per case	0.2
15	Treveio	US	1999	USD				
10	et al [33]	05	1777	COD	DMC IP	13 706 7	per case	18.0
	et ul. [00]				Diffe fi	10,7 00.7	per cuse	10.0
16		US	2001	USD		2205.2		2.0
	Adhikari				DMC	2305.2	per case	3.0
	et al. [33]				DMCIP	9530.6	per case	12.5
					DMC OP	476.5	per case	0.6
					IC	962.5	per case	1.3
17	Martin	Canada	2000	CAD				
	et al. [59]				DMC IP	2481.7	per case	4.5
10	van den	Notherland-	2002	ELID				
18	Brandhof	ivetneriands	2002	EUK				
	et al. [57]				_			_
					TC	322.0	per case	0.6

Table 3. Cont.

No.	Author	Country	Cost Year	Original Currency	Cost Type	Cost in USD (2022)	Unit	% of GDP Per Capita <sup>§</sup>
19	Anil	Türkiye	2005	USD <sup>†</sup>				
	et al. [37]				DMC IP	21,179.8	per case	198.4
					DNMC	18,261.2	per case	171.1
20	Gil Prieto	Spain	2006	EUR		2702.2		0.1
	et al. [34]				DMC IP	2702.3	per case	9.1
21	Broughton	Hong Kong	2008	USD <sup>+</sup>				
	et al. [38]	SAR, China			DMC IP	2825.3	per case	5.8
22		IIK	2008	CBP			I	
		UK	2000	GDI	DMC <sup>c</sup>	624.6	per case	1.4
	Santos				DMC IP	1098.1	per case	2.4
	et al. [53]				DMC OP	151.2	per case	0.3
					DNMC	106.2	per case	0.2
					IC	527.9	per case	1.1
23		US	1993	USD				
	Herrick				DMC <sup>c</sup>	1368.8	per case	1.8
	et al. [22]				DMC IP	2652.9	per case	3.5
					DMC OP	84.6	per case	0.1
24		US	2009	USD				
	Hoffmann				DMC <sup>c</sup>	8450.6	per case	11.1
	et al. [54]				DMC IP	16,161.4	per case	21.2
					DMC OP	739.8	per case	1.0
		Taiwan						
25	Chen	(Region of	2011	USD <sup>+</sup>				
	et al. [35]	China)				<b>E</b> 4 <b>E</b> 0		4.0.++
					DMC IP	545.9	per case	4.3
26		US	2008	USD				
	Ailes				DMC <sup>e</sup>	874.4	per case	1.1
	et al. [49]				DMC IP	1526.2	per case	2.0
					DMC OP	174.0	per case	0.2
					IC .	1551.6	per case	1.7
					DMC	2266 1	<b>DOT</b> 2260	4.1
27	Sundström	Guadan	2000	FLID	DMC IP	4306 0	per case	4.1
27	et al. [55]	Sweden	2009	EUK	DMC OP	270.8	per case	0.5
					DNMC	8.2	per case	0.0
20	Cumminas	LIC	2011	LICD			1	
20	et al [42]	05	2011	05D	DMC IP	10 808 5	per case	14 2
20		LIC	2011	LICD	Diffe II	10,000.0	per cube	11.4
29	Scharff	05	2011	USD	ТС	2322 1	per case	3.0
	et al. [50]	NT (1 1 7	2012	FUE	10	2022.1	Per case	5.0
30		Netherlands	2012	EUR	DMC	1257 F	DON 2222	C 4
	Sujikorbuik					1337.3 2612 A	per case	2. <del>4</del> 1.6
	ot al [51]				DMC IF	2013.4	per case	4.0 0.2
					DNMC	4.3	per case	0
					IC	714.1	per case	1.3
							г	

## Table 3. Cont.

No.	Author	Country	Cost Year	Original Currency	Cost Type	Cost in USD (2022)	Unit	% of GDP Per Capita <sup>§</sup>
31		Australia	2013	AUD				
	Stephen				DMC <sup>c</sup>	2862.8	per case	4.4
	Barnott [60]				DMC IP	5690.1	per case	8.7
	Damett [00]				DMC OP	35.5	per case	0.1
32		US	2018	USD				
	Hoffmann et al.				DMC <sup>c</sup>	3501.0	per case	4.6
	(Economic Research				DMC IP	6812.2	per case	8.9
	Service, Database) [32]				DMC OP	189.8	per case	0.2
					IC	313.0	per case	0.4
33		Poland	2018	EUR				
	Dmochowska				DMC <sup>c</sup>	780.6	per case	4.2
	et al. [ <mark>61</mark> ]				DMC IP	759.5	per case	4.1
					DMC OP	21.1	per case	0.1
34	Ford	Australia	2015	AUD				
	ot al [62]				DMC <sup>r</sup>	205.3	per case	0.3
	et al. [02]				IC	188.9	per case	0.3
35	Garrido-	Spain	2015	EUR				
	Estepa et al. [44]				DMC IP	4759.4	per case	16.0
		Taiwan						
36	Lai et al. [5]	(Region of China)	2015	TWD				
		,			DMC <sup>r</sup>	318.2	per case	2.5 ++
37	Collier	US	2014	USD				
	et al. [43]				DMC IP	19,788.7	per use	25.9
38	Dhaliwal	US	2015	USD				
	et al. [63]				DMC IP	9079.1	per case	11.9

Table 3. Cont.

DMC<sup>r</sup> = a reported value retrieved from the literature as the mean of direct medical costs per case, which has been adjusted to USD in the year 2022 value. DMC<sup>c</sup> = a calculated value as the mean of DMC per case (the total amount of DMC incurred divided by the total number of cases). DMCs IP = direct medical costs incurred from inpatient healthcare. DMCs OP = direct medical costs incurred from outpatient healthcare. DMCs NS (not specified) = costs are direct medical costs that are not categorized into direct medical costs for inpatient or outpatient healthcare services. DNMCs = direct non-medical costs. ICs = indirect costs. TCs = total costs. \* Studies including cost data from more than two countries. <sup>†</sup> Costs using a different currency from one of the original countries (i.e., USD, 2022) from the World Bank [28]. <sup>‡</sup> Percentage of Canada's GDP per capita (current USD) in 2022. <sup>++</sup> Percentage of China's GDP per capita (current USD) in 2022.

To better understand the economic burden relative to each country's economic context, we calculated the healthcare costs as a percentage of GDP per capita ranged from 0.3% (UK) to 198.4% (Türkiye) (Table 3). This significant variation indicates the differing economic impacts on patients in different countries, with Türkiye experiencing a disproportionately high economic burden due to its lower GDP per capita and exceptionally high inflation rate in 2022 [36]. For iNTS illnesses, the DMCs IP as a percentage of GDP per capita ranged from 0.6% (US) to 42.6% (US) (Table 4). The high percentages for iNTS reflect the severe financial impact of invasive infections, particularly in high-income countries like the US, where healthcare costs are substantial. Countries with national healthcare systems, such as Taiwan and the UK, generally report lower out-of-pocket expenses for patients compared to those without such systems, impacting the overall economic burden experienced by individuals.

No.	Author	Country	Cost Year	Original Currency	Cost type	Cost in USD (2022)	Unit	% of GDP Per Capita <sup>§</sup>
15	Trevejo	US	1999	USD				
	et al. [33]				DMC IP	32,507.5	per case	42.6
16		US	2001	USD				
	A 11.1				DMC <sup>c</sup>	7003.8	per case	9.2
	Adnikari				DMC IP	25,838.4	per case	33.9
	et al. $[30]$				DMC OP	469.4	per case	0.6
					IC	1768.8	per case	2.3
20	Gil Prieto	Spain	2006	EUR				
	et al. [34]	Ĩ			DMC IP	5980.7	per case	20.2
		Taiwan						
25	Chen	(Region of	2011	USD <sup>+</sup>				
	et al. [35]	China)						
					DMC IP	1973.1	per case	15.5 ++

**Table 4.** Healthcare costs by cost type related to invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* diseases (USD in 2022).

 $DMC^{c}$  = a calculated value as the mean of DMC per case (the total amount of DMC incurred divided by the total number of cases). DMCs IP = direct medical costs incurred from inpatient healthcare. DMCs OP = direct medical costs incurred from outpatient healthcare. ICs = indirect costs. <sup>†</sup> Costs using a different currency from one of the original countries (i.e., USD reported from Taiwan) were inflated using the original countries' GDP deflator. <sup>§</sup> GDP per capita (current USD, 2022) from the World Bank [28]. <sup>++</sup> Percentage of China's GDP per capita (current USD) in 2022.

Regarding the study quality of the 37 articles, 20 studies were rated as high quality [5,22,30,35,37,38,42–44,49,50,53–55,57,58,60–63], 12 as medium quality [29,31,33, 34,41,46–48,51,52,56,59], and 5 as low quality [19,20,39,40,45]. Study quality was assessed using a set of questions regarding the analytical framework, methodology and data, and analysis and reporting [27]. The quality assessment tool was not applicable to the one database established by the Economic Research Service (ERS) at the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) due to its structure [32]. Detailed information is available in Supplementary Materials (Table S2). It is noteworthy that the quality of cost-of-illness studies has improved during a span of 44 years (1978–2021), particularly in analysis and reporting, despite methods still being varied among the recent studies.

Data on the duration of illness were also collected, as shown in Table 5. Hayes et al. reported the number of outpatient visits for NTS illness management to be 1.6 per case [46]. No other study clearly indicated the number of outpatient visits related to NTS illness management, and none did so for iNTS illness. Hospital bed-days or length of hospitalization was reported to be 7.5 days (95% Confidence Interval 6.0–8.9) in 16 studies for NTS illness per case and 12.6 days (95% CI 8.6–16.5) in three studies for iNTS illness per case. Roberts and Sockett, Herrick et al., and Ailes et al. presented the duration of NTS illness to be 12, 2, and 4 days (median) per case, respectively, without specifying the type of healthcare resource (inpatient or outpatient) [22,49,56].

Indication	No.	Author	Number of Outpatient Visits	Hospital Bed-Days (Mean)	Country	Study Year
	2	Curtin et al. [31]	-	10.6	Canada	1978
-	3	Todd et al. [20]	-	12.3	UK	1983
-	3	Todd et al. [20]	-	5.3	Canada	1977
-	3	Todd et al. [20]	-	14	Canada	1978
NTS	3	Todd et al. [20]	-	14	Australia	1974
-	6	Hayes et al. [46]	1.6	11.1	UK	1989
-	7	Sockett and Roberts [52]	-	6.4	UK	1988–1989
-	8	Engvall et al. [29]	-	9.5	Sweden	1992
-	10	Roberts and Sockett [56]	12 (median dur	ration of illness)	UK	1988–1989
	16	Adhikari et al. [30]	-	4.2	US	1993–2001
-	17	Martin et al. [59]	-	4.1	Canada	1999–2000
-	19	Anil et al. [37]	-	8.2	Türkiye	2005
-	20	Gil Prieto et al. [34]	-	6.8	Spain	1997–2006
-	23	Herrick et al. [22]	2 (median dura	ation of illness)	US	2012
-	25	Chen et al. [35]	-	5.7	Taiwan (Region of China)	2006–2008
-	26	Ailes et al. [49]	4 (median duration of illness)		US	2008
-	27	Sundström et al. [55]	-	5.1	Sweden	2010
Invasive NTS	28	Cummings et al. [42]	-	5	US	2011
-	30	Suijkerbuik et al. [51]	-	4	Netherlands	2012–2013
-	31	Stephen and Barnett [60]	-	4.8	Australia	2013
-	35	Garrido-Estepa et al. [44]	-	5	Spain	2010–2015
-	38	Dhaliwal et al. [63]	-	5.6	US	2012–2015
-	16	Adhikari et al. [30]	-	8.9	US	1993–2001
-	20	Gil Prieto et al. [34]	-	17.3	Spain	1997–2006
	25	Chen et al. [35]	-	11.5	Taiwan (Region of China)	2006–2008

Table 5. Number of outpatient visits and hospital bed-days by indication.

## 4. Discussion

At the global level, the economic burden of NTS and iNTS is predominantly informed by data from a limited number of high-income countries, mainly the US and the UK. Among the 37 articles and 1 database reviewed, 15 included data from the US and 10 from the UK. Notably, no economic evaluation was conducted in the African region, despite the high burden of NTS disease in sub-Saharan Africa [2,11,17,23]. Additionally, there was no evidence from any lower middle-income or low-income countries, highlighting the scarcity of cost data for NTS and iNTS diseases in resource-limited settings, as previously reported [13,14,23]. Direct comparisons of costs across studies are challenging due to the varied approaches and definitions of the costs used. Furthermore, several studies did not separately describe cost categories (DMC, DNMC, IC) and reported combined total costs instead [19,39,50,56,57].

This study has limitations. Although we employed a systematic approach to identifying literature relevant to the economic burden of NTS and iNTS diseases by searching multiple databases with different search terms, there remains a possibility of missing some literature. Costs associated with iNTS diseases may not have been separately estimated and reported if the study did not specifically aim to do so. Additionally, the diverse methods and outcome measures of cost-of-illness studies made it infeasible to conduct a meta-analysis.

To understand the global economic burden of NTS and iNTS, a consensus on standardized methods of economic evaluation across nations is needed to generate reliable data. Efforts to improve diagnostics, understand disease sources, and study routes of transmission should be combined with high-quality primary data to assess the economic burden of NTS and iNTS. A comprehensive understanding of the economic burden is essential for making evidence-based policy decisions at national and global levels.

#### 5. Conclusions

The findings of this review highlight the significant lack of economic evidence for NTS and iNTS in the regions most in need, particularly Africa. This disparity underscores the disproportionate attention and resources concentrated in high-income countries, especially within a limited number of nations. Currently, there are a few *Salmonella* combination vaccine candidates in early clinical trial phases, including bivalent NTS vaccines (GSK Vaccines Institute for Global Health (GVGH), Boston Children's Hospital) and trivalent NTS and typhoid vaccines (University of Maryland and Bharat Biotechnology, GVGH, SK Bioscience and International Vaccine Institute) [64]. McLennan et al. emphasized that a vaccine-related strategy with multivalent *Salmonella* vaccines for control should be applicable in LMIC settings, considering the lack of diagnostic capacity and adequate treatment options [64]. To support the development of NTS and iNTS vaccines by informing investments and policy decisions, a better understanding of the economic burden of NTS and iNTS diseases, especially in endemic regions, is essential. Public health communities must make concerted efforts to identify and address the substantial burden of NTS and iNTS diseases globally.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/vaccines12070758/s1, Table S1: PRISMA 2020 checklist; Table S2: Quality assessment; Table S3: Summary of the included articles.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.K. and J.-S.L.; methodology, S.K. and J.-S.L.; software, S.K. and H.K.; validation, S.K., H.K. and J.-S.L.; formal analysis, S.K.; investigation, S.K. and H.K.; resources, S.K. and J.-S.L.; data curation, S.K.; writing—original draft preparation, S.K.; writing—review and editing, J.-S.L. and J.-L.E.; visualization, S.K.; supervision, J.-S.L., J.-L.E. and J.H.K.; project administration, S.K. funding acquisition, J.-S.L., J.-L.E. and J.H.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust, grant number 222044/Z/20/Z. The APC was funded by the Wellcome Trust.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** All crude cost data are extracted from published articles and are publicly accessible. Access to the database searched and included in this review is available at https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/cost-estimates-of-foodborne-illnesses/ (accessed on 2 May 2024) (Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., United States of America).

**Acknowledgments:** We express our sincere gratitude to the dedicated members of the Full Value of Vaccine Assessment of invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* Vaccines (iNOVVEL) consortium for their invaluable expertise and contributions to the work achieved for this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

### References

- Balasubramanian, R.; Im, J.; Lee, J.S.; Jeon, H.J.; Mogeni, O.D.; Kim, J.H.; Rakotozandrindrainy, R.; Baker, S.; Marks, F. The global burden and epidemiology of invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* 2019, *15*, 1421–1426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stanaway, J.D.; Parisi, A.; Sarkar, K.; Blacker, B.F.; Reiner, R.C.; Hay, S.I.; Nixon, M.R.; Dolecek, C.; James, S.L.; Mokdad, A.H. The global burden of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* invasive disease: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2019, 19, 1312–1324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Lin, F.-J.; Sheen, J.-M.; Yang, Y.-H.; Kuo, K.-C. Increased non-typhoidal *Salmonella* hospitalizations in transfusion-naïve thalassemia children: A nationwide population-based cohort study. *Pediatr. Res.* **2021**, *91*, 1858–1863. [CrossRef]
- Phu Huong Lan, N.; Le Thi Phuong, T.; Nguyen Huu, H.; Thuy, L.; Mather, A.E.; Park, S.E.; Marks, F.; Thwaites, G.E.; Van Vinh Chau, N.; Thompson, C.N.; et al. Invasive Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* Infections in Asia: Clinical Observations, Disease Outcome and Dominant Serovars from an Infectious Disease Hospital in Vietnam. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2016, 10, e0004857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lai, Y.H.; Chung, Y.A.; Wu, Y.C.; Fang, C.T.; Chen, P.J. Disease burden from foodborne illnesses in Taiwan, 2012–2015. J. Formos. Med. Assoc. 2020, 119, 1372–1381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kennedy, M.; Villar, R.; Vugia, D.J.; Rabatsky-Ehr, T.; Farley, M.M.; Pass, M.; Smith, K.; Smith, P.; Cieslak, P.R.; Imhoff, B.; et al. Hospitalizations and deaths due to *Salmonella* infections, FoodNet, 1996–1999. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2004, 38 (Suppl. 3), S142–S148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Varma, J.K.; Molbak, K.; Barrett, T.J.; Beebe, J.L.; Jones, T.F.; Rabatsky-Ehr, T.; Smith, K.E.; Vugia, D.J.; Chang, H.G.; Angulo, F.J. Antimicrobial-resistant nontyphoidal *Salmonella* is associated with excess bloodstream infections and hospitalizations. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2005, 191, 554–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parry, C.M.; Thomas, S.; Aspinall, E.J.; Cooke, R.P.; Rogerson, S.J.; Harries, A.D.; Beeching, N.J. A retrospective study of secondary bacteraemia in hospitalised adults with community acquired non-typhoidal *Salmonella* gastroenteritis. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2013, 13, 107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Crump, J.A.; Sjölund-Karlsson, M.; Gordon, M.A.; Parry, C.M. Epidemiology, Clinical Presentation, Laboratory Diagnosis, Antimicrobial Resistance, and Antimicrobial Management of Invasive Salmonella Infections. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2015, 28, 901–937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Uche, I.V.; MacLennan, C.A.; Saul, A. A Systematic Review of the Incidence, Risk Factors and Case Fatality Rates of Invasive Nontyphoidal *Salmonella* (iNTS) Disease in Africa (1966 to 2014). *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2017**, *11*, e0005118. [CrossRef]
- 11. Gilchrist, J.J.; MacLennan, C.A. Invasive Nontyphoidal *Salmonella* Disease in Africa. *EcoSal Plus* **2019**, *8*, 10–1128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Majowicz, S.E.; Musto, J.; Scallan, E.; Angulo, F.J.; Kirk, M.; O'Brien, S.J.; Jones, T.F.; Fazil, A.; Hoekstra, R.M. The global burden of nontyphoidal *Salmonella gastroenteritis*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *50*, 882–889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Murray, C.J.; Vos, T.; Lozano, R.; Naghavi, M.; Flaxman, A.D.; Michaud, C.; Ezzati, M.; Shibuya, K.; Salomon, J.A.; Abdalla, S.; et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012, 380, 2197–2223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lozano, R.P.; Naghavi, M.P.; Lim, S.P.; Aboyans, V.P.; Abraham, J.M.P.H.; Adair, T.P.; Ahn, S.Y.M.P.H.; AlMazroa, M.A.M.D.; Anderson, H.R.P.; Anderson, L.M.P.; et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012, *380*, 2095–2128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. World Health Organization. WHO Estimates of the Global Burden of Foodborne Diseases: Foodborne Disease Burden Epidemiology Reference Group 2007–2015; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.
- 16. Word Bank. *Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Cause, REI, and Location Hierarchies;* Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME): Seattle, WA, USA, 2020. [CrossRef]
- Marchello, C.S.; Birkhold, M.; Crump, J.A.; Martin, L.B.; Ansah, M.O.; Breghi, G.; Canals, R.; Fiorino, F.; Gordon, M.A.; Kim, J.-H.; et al. Complications and mortality of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* invasive disease: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2022, 22, 692–705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- World Health Organization; Food Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Interventions for the Control of Non-Typhoidal Salmonella spp. in Beef and Pork: Meeting Report and Systematic Review; Microbiological risk assessment series, 30; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- 19. Gomez, T.M.; Motarjemi, Y.; Miyagawa, S.; Käferstein, F.K.; Stöhr, K. Foodborne salmonellosis. *World Health Stat. Q.* **1997**, *50*, 81–89. [PubMed]
- 20. Todd, E.C.D. Economic Loss from Foodborne Disease and Non-Illness Related Recalls Because of Mishandling by Food Processors. *J. Food Prot.* **1985**, *48*, 621–633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Todd, E. Epidemiology of foodborne illness: North America. Lancet 1990, 336, 788–790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Herrick, R.L.; Buchberger, S.G.; Clark, R.M.; Kupferle, M.; Murray, R.; Succop, P. A markov model to estimate *Salmonella* morbidity, mortality, illness duration, and cost. *Health Econ.* **2012**, *21*, 1169–1182. [CrossRef]
- 23. Crump, J.A.; Heyderman, R.S. A Perspective on Invasive *Salmonella* Disease in Africa. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *61* (Suppl. 4), S235–S240. [CrossRef]

- 24. McLinden, T.; Sargeant, J.M.; Thomas, M.K.; Papadopoulos, A.; Fazil, A. Association between component costs, study methodologies, and foodborne illness-related factors with the cost of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* illness. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2014**, *11*, 718–726. [CrossRef]
- McLinden, T.; Sargeant, J.M.; Thomas, M.K.; Papadopoulos, A.; Fazil, A. Component costs of foodborne illness: A scoping review. BMC Public Health 2014, 14, 509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021, 372, n71. [CrossRef]
- 27. Larg, A.; Moss, J. Cost-of-Illness Studies: A Guide to Critical Evaluation. *Pharmacoeconomics* **2011**, *29*, 653–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- GDP Deflator (Base Year Varies by Country); World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2023. Available online: https://data.worldbank. org/indicator/NY.GDP.DEFL.ZS (accessed on 2 May 2024).
- 29. Engvall, A.A.; Cerenius, F. Control of Foodborne Diseases in Humans and Animals: Strategies and Approaches at the Animal Production Leve: The Swedish Salmonella Control Programme; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 1994.
- Adhikari, B.; Angulo, F.; Meltzer, M. Economic Burden of *Salmonella* Infections in the United States. In Proceedings of the American Agricultural Economics Association (New Name 2008: Agricultural and Applied Economics Association), 2004 Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, USA, 1–4 August 2004.
- 31. Curtin, L. *Economic Study of Salmonella Poisoning and Control Measures in Canada;* Food Markets Analysis Division, Marketing and Economics Branch, Agriculture Canada: Halifax, NS, Canada, 1984.
- 32. Hoffmann, S.; Maculloch, B.; Batz, M. Cost Estimates of Foodborne Illnesses; Economic Research Service (ERS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA): Washington, DC, USA, 2021.
- Trevejo, R.T.; Courtney, J.G.; Starr, M.; Vugia, D.J. Epidemiology of salmonellosis in California, 1990–1999: Morbidity, mortality, and hospitalization costs. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2003, 157, 48–57. [CrossRef]
- Gil Prieto, R.; Alejandre, C.G.; Meca, A.Á.; Barrera, V.H.; de Miguel, Á.G. Epidemiology of hospital-treated Salmonella infection Data from a national cohort over a ten-year period. J. Infect. 2009, 58, 175–181. [CrossRef]
- Chen, P.L.; Li, C.Y.; Hsieh, T.H.; Chang, C.M.; Lee, H.C.; Lee, N.Y.; Wu, C.J.; Lee, C.C.; Shih, H.I.; Ko, W.C. Epidemiology, disease spectrum and economic burden of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections in Taiwan, 2006–2008. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2012, 140, 2256–2263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. World Bank Country and Lending Groups; World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2021.
- Anil, M.; Helvaci, M.; Ozkalay, N.; Toprak, E.; Anil, A.B.; Dilek, M.; Agus, N. Salmonella typhimurium outbreak in a neonatal unit in Turkey. Indian J. Pediatr. 2009, 76, 629–633. [CrossRef]
- Broughton, E.I.; Ip, M.; Coles, C.L.; Walker, D.G. Higher hospital costs and lengths of stay associated with quinolone-resistant Salmonella enterica infections in Hong Kong. J. Public Health 2010, 32, 165–172. [CrossRef]
- Barnass, S.; O'Mahony, M.; Sockett, P.N.; Garner, J.; Franklin, J.; Tabaqchali, S. The tangible cost implications of a hospital outbreak of multiply-resistant *Salmonella*. *Epidemiol*. *Infect*. **1989**, 103, 227–234. [CrossRef]
- 40. Choi, M.; Yoshikawa, T.T.; Bridge, J.; Schlaifer, A.; Osterweil, D.; Reid, D.; Norman, D.C. *Salmonella* outbreak in a nursing home. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **1990**, *38*, 531–534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dryden, M.S.; Keyworth, N.; Gabb, R.; Stein, K. Asymptomatic foodhandlers as the source of nosocomial salmonellosis. J. Hosp. Infect. 1994, 28, 195–208. [CrossRef]
- Cummings, P.L.; Kuo, T.; Javanbakht, M.; Shafir, S.; Wang, M.; Sorvillo, F. Salmonellosis Hospitalizations in the United States: Associated Chronic Conditions, Costs, and Hospital Outcomes, 2011, Trends 2000–2011. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 2016, 13, 40–48.
   [CrossRef]
- Collier, S.A.; Deng, L.; Adam, E.A.; Benedict, K.M.; Beshearse, E.M.; Blackstock, A.J.; Bruce, B.B.; Derado, G.; Edens, C.; Fullerton, K.E.; et al. Estimate of burden and direct healthcare cost of infectious waterborne disease in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 27, 140–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Garrido-Estepa, M.; Latasa, P.; Ordóñez-León, G.Y.; Martínez-Avilés, M.; de la Torre, A.; García-Comas, L. Non-Typhi, non-Paratyphi Salmonella-related hospitalisations in Spain: Trends, clinical aspects, risk factors for worse prognosis and hospital costs. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2019, 38, 337–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Cohen, M.L.; Fontaine, R.E.; Pollard, R.A.; VonAllmen, S.D.; Vernon, T.M.; Gangarosa, E.J. An assessment of patient-related economic costs in an outbreak of salmonellosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1978**, *299*, 459–460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Hayes, C.; Lyons, R.A.; Warde, C. A large outbreak of salmonellosis and its economic cost. Ir. Med. J. 1991, 84, 65–66. [PubMed]
- 47. Spearing, N.M.; Jensen, A.; McCall, B.J.; Neill, A.S.; McCormack, J.G. Direct costs associated with a nosocomial outbreak of *Salmonella* infection: An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 2000, *28*, 54–57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Roberts, J.A.; Cumberland, P.; Sockett, P.N.; Wheeler, J.; Rodrigues, L.C.; Sethi, D.; Roderick, P.J. The study of infectious intestinal disease in England: Socio-economic impact. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2003**, *130*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Ailes, E.; Budge, P.; Shankar, M.; Collier, S.; Brinton, W.; Cronquist, A.; Chen, M.; Thornton, A.; Beach, M.J.; Brunkard, J.M. Economic and Health Impacts Associated with a *Salmonella* Typhimurium Drinking Water Outbreak-Alamosa, CO, 2008. *PLoS* ONE 2013, 8, e57439. [CrossRef]

- 50. Scharff, R.L.; Besser, J.; Sharp, D.J.; Jones, T.F.; Peter, G.S.; Hedberg, C.W. An Economic Evaluation of PulseNet: A Network for Foodborne Disease Surveillance. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2016**, *50*, S66–S73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Suijkerbuijk, A.W.M.; Bouwknegt, M.; Mangen, M.J.; de Wit, G.A.; van Pelt, W.; Bijkerk, P.; Friesema, I.H.M. The economic burden of a *Salmonella* Thompson outbreak caused by smoked salmon in the Netherlands, 2012–2013. *Eur. J. Public Health* **2017**, 27, 325–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Sockett, P.N.; Roberts, J.A. The social and economic impact of salmonellosis. A report of a national survey in England and Wales of laboratory-confirmed *Salmonella* infections. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **1991**, *107*, 335–347. [CrossRef]
- 53. Santos, A.C.; Roberts, J.A.; Cook, A.J.C.; Simons, R.; Sheehan, R.; Lane, C.; Adak, G.K.; Clifton-Hadley, F.A.; Rodrigues, L.C. *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Enteritidis in England: Costs to patients, their families, and primary and community health services of the NHS. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2010, 139, 742–753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffmann, S.; Batz, M.B.; Morris, J.G., Jr. Annual cost of illness and quality-adjusted life year losses in the United States due to 14 foodborne pathogens. J. Food Prot. 2012, 75, 1292–1302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sundström, K.; Wahlström, H.; Ivarsson, S.; Sternberg Lewerin, S. Economic effects of introducing alternative Salmonella control strategies in Sweden. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e96446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Roberts, J.A.; Sockett, P.N. The socio-economic impact of human *Salmonella* enteritidis infection. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **1994**, 21, 117–129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. van den Brandhof, W.E.; De Wit, G.A.; de Wit, M.A.; van Duynhoven, Y.T. Costs of gastroenteritis in The Netherlands. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2004**, *132*, 211–221. [CrossRef]
- 58. Duff, S.B.; Scott, E.A.; Mafilios, M.S.; Todd, E.C.; Krilov, L.R.; Geddes, A.M.; Ackerman, S.J. Cost-effectiveness of a targeted disinfection program in household kitchens to prevent foodborne illnesses in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. *J. Food Prot.* **2003**, *66*, 2103–2115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martin, L.J.; Fyfe, M.; Doré, K.; Buxton, J.A.; Pollari, F.; Henry, B.; Middleton, D.; Ahmed, R.; Jamieson, F.; Ciebin, B.; et al. Increased Burden of Illness Associated with Antimicrobial-Resistant *Salmonella enterica* Serotype Typhimurium Infections. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2004, 189, 377–384. [CrossRef]
- 60. Stephen, D.M.; Barnett, A.G. Using Microsimulation to Estimate the Future Health and Economic Costs of Salmonellosis under Climate Change in Central Queensland, Australia. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2017, 125, 127001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dmochowska, P.; Spyczak von Brzezinski, M.; Żelazowski, J.; Wojtkiewicz, J.; Jung, S.; Harazny, J.M. Epidemiological Survey and Retrospective Analysis of *Salmonella* Infections between 2000 and 2017 in Warmia and Masuria Voivodship in Poland. *Medicina* 2019, 55, 74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ford, L.; Haywood, P.; Kirk, M.D.; Lancsar, E.; Williamson, D.A.; Glass, K. Cost of Salmonella Infections in Australia, 2015. J. Food Prot. 2019, 82, 1607–1614. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Dhaliwal, S.; Hoffmann, S.; White, A.; Ahn, J.W.; McQueen, R.B.; Scallan Walter, E. Cost of hospitalizations for leading foodborne pathogens in the united states: Identification by international classification of disease coding and variation by pathogen. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2021**, *18*, 812–821. [CrossRef]
- 64. MacLennan, C.A.; Stanaway, J.; Grow, S.; Vannice, K.; Steele, A.D. *Salmonella* Combination Vaccines: Moving Beyond Typhoid. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2023**, 10, S58–S66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.