

Review



# *Culex*-Transmitted Diseases: Mechanisms, Impact, and Future Control Strategies using *Wolbachia*

Mukund Madhav<sup>1</sup>, Kim R. Blasdell<sup>1</sup>, Brendan Trewin<sup>2</sup>, Prasad N. Paradkar<sup>1</sup> and Adam J. López-Denman<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, CSIRO Health and Biosecurity, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> CSIRO Health and Biosecurity, Dutton Park, Brisbane, QLD 4102, Australia

\* Correspondence: adam.lopez-denman@csiro.au

**Abstract:** Mosquitoes of the *Culex* genus are responsible for a large burden of zoonotic virus transmission globally. Collectively, they play a significant role in the transmission of medically significant diseases such as Japanese encephalitis virus and West Nile virus. Climate change, global trade, habitat transformation and increased urbanisation are leading to the establishment of *Culex* mosquitoes in new geographical regions. These novel mosquito incursions are intensifying concerns about the emergence of *Culex*-transmitted diseases and outbreaks in previously unaffected areas. New mosquito control methods are currently being developed and deployed globally. Understanding the complex interaction between pathogens and mosquitoes is essential for developing new control strategies for *Culex* species mosquitoes. This article reviews the role of *Culex* mosquitos as vectors of zoonotic disease, discussing the transmission of viruses across different species, and the potential use of *Wolbachia* technologies to control disease spread. By leveraging the insights gained from recent successful field trials of *Wolbachia* against *Aedes*-borne diseases, we comprehensively discuss the feasibility of using this technique to control *Culex* mosquitoes and the potential for the development of next generational *Wolbachia*-based control methods.

Keywords: Culex; Wolbachia; arboviruses; mosquito control



Citation: Madhav, M.; Blasdell, K.R.; Trewin, B.; Paradkar, P.N.; López-Denman, A.J. *Culex*-Transmitted Diseases: Mechanisms, Impact, and Future Control Strategies using *Wolbachia*. *Viruses* **2024**, *16*, 1134. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v16071134

Academic Editor: Tadahisa Teramoto

Received: 12 June 2024 Revised: 8 July 2024 Accepted: 11 July 2024 Published: 15 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

With their potential for spillover into human populations, zoonotic vector-borne diseases pose significant public health challenges [1]. Over the past two decades, numerous vector-borne pathogens have expanded into new geographic regions, while endemic diseases have surged in prevalence [2]. Mosquitoes from the genus *Culex* hold substantial epidemiological importance among the vectors involved in transmitting such diseases. *Culex* mosquitoes spread medically relevant pathogens like filarial parasites and arthropodborne viruses (arboviruses), resulting in morbidity and mortality in tropical and subtropical areas. To date, various control strategies have been used to prevent the prevalence of *Culex*borne diseases, including behavioural, chemical, and biological interventions. However, existing control strategies have a number of challenges and varying degrees of success. The inefficiency of the existing conventional method, combined with climate change and urbanisation, is leading to increased interactions between *Culex* and humans, resulting in global outbreaks of *Culex*-borne diseases in new areas.

*Wolbachia* has emerged as a potential method to combat mosquito-borne disease in the last decade. *Wolbachia* is an intracellular endosymbiont bacterium of arthropods and nematodes, which often manipulates host reproduction and/or blocks transmission of arboviruses such as dengue, Zika and chikungunya. The most common reproductive manipulation induced by *Wolbachia* is cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). CI occurs when the viability of embryos is reduced due to the mating of *Wolbachia*-infected males with uninfected females. In some hosts, other *Wolbachia*-induced reproductive manipulation may include parthenogenesis (development of offspring from unfertilised eggs), feminisation

(conversion of genetic males into females), or male killing (death of male embryos) [3]. Wolbachia is a maternally transmitted endosymbiont, and all these reproductive manipulations ensure that a higher proportion of females are infected to maintain Wolbachia infection within the host population [4]. Field trials of *Wolbachia* involve either suppressing the mosquito population by releasing Wolbachia-infected males or replacing the targeted mosquito population with Wolbachia-infected males and females. In population replacement, the newly introduced Wolbachia-infected mosquito in the population will have a reduced ability to transmit dengue viruses (see Sections 7 and 9). In order to suppress the transmission of dengue virus in the community, mosquitoes infected with Wolbachia are being released in various countries, including the USA [5,6], Brazil [7,8], Italy [6], Australia [9], Vietnam [10], Indonesia [11,12], Singapore [10], China [13] and Malaysia [14]. Wolbachia trials have demonstrated significant reductions in dengue incidence following the release of mosquitoes infected with Wolbachia, with virus suppression rates ranging from 40% in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia [14], to over 70% in Yogyakarta, Indonesia [15] and 96% in northern Queensland, Australia [7]. Encouraging findings from Wolbachia trials highlight the potential of Wolbachia-based strategies as a sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to control mosquito-borne diseases on a global scale.

This review attempts to give a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of *Culex* mosquitoes as vectors of viral zoonotic diseases in humans and insight into their molecular interaction with viruses. It also summarises what has been achieved so far in the field regarding the use of *Wolbachia* to control *Culex* mosquitoes and how this approach can be further fine-tuned for future use.

# 2. The Culex Mosquito Lifecycle and Its Role in Pathogen Transmission

The *Culex* genus of mosquitoes encompasses numerous medically significant mosquito species responsible for spreading various human and animal pathogens globally [16]. The mosquito life cycle consists of four distinct stages: egg, larvae, pupae and adult. While the cycle duration can vary slightly between species, it typically spans 2–4 weeks and is influenced by environmental conditions such as temperature [17,18]. The larval and pupal life stages are exclusively aquatic, with adult mosquitoes emerging from the water to initiate a new reproductive cycle. Unlike *Aedes* spp., female *Culex* mosquitoes deposit their eggs in specialised rafts, grouping them together to float on the surface of both fresh and stagnant water. *Culex* mosquitoes oviposit significantly more eggs compared to *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes, and as such, local populations can rapidly expand under optimal conditions. Oviposition sites range from natural habitats to diverse locations such as puddles, drains, ditches, or even tin cans, with larvae emerging within 24–48 h post-laying [19].

Similar to other hematophagous mosquito species, female *Culex* mosquitoes are compelled to seek out a blood meal to facilitate egg production, presenting a potential avenue for pathogen transmission. The choice of hosts plays a major role in pathogen spread and differs between *Culex* species [20–24]. Factors such as time of year, host availability, and transmission dynamics are closely intertwined with local ecology and climate variation throughout the year, influencing mosquito blood-feeding habits [20,25–27]. Additionally, intricate interactions among viruses, mosquito vectors, and hosts can further determine the severity and significance of disease outbreaks.

Among the most significant species include *Culex pipiens* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*, given their ability to transmit multiple viruses and their opportunistic feeding on both human and animal hosts [28–30]. This dual-feeding behaviour amplifies the public health risk, contributing to the potential spread of zoonotic diseases and outbreaks of mosquito-borne pathogens [16,31]. However, focusing solely on these mosquitoes presents a challenge, as the distribution of other *Culex* species poses region-specific challenges in pathogen transmission (Table 1).

Mosquito	Pathogen(s) They Transmit	
Cx. annulirostris	JEV [32], Murray Valley encephalitis virus (MVEV) [33], Ross River virus (RRV) [34], Barmah Forest Virus [35]	
Cx. australicus	MVEV [34], WNV [36]	
Cx. erraticus	EEEV [37], WNV [38]	
Cx. gelidus	RRV, JEV, WNV, MVEV [39]	
Cx. modestus	WNV, Usutu [40]	
Cx. pipiens	WEEV, WNV, JEV [41–43], Avian Plasmodium [26]	
Cx. quinquefaciatus	SLEV [44], WNV [45] Avian Plasmodium [46]	
Cx. restuans	WNV [47]	
Cx. tarsalis	WNV [48], Cache valley virus [49], Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) [50], WEEV, SLEV [51]	
Cx. territans	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis [52]	
Cx. theileri	Dirofilariasis [53], WNV [54], RVFV [55], Avian plasmodium [56]	
Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	JEV [57], CQV [58], WNV [59]	

Table 1. Culex mosquito species and the pathogens they transmit.

*Culex* mosquitoes can transmit multiple medically significant arboviruses, including Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) [43], West Nile virus (WNV) [42], Usutu virus (USUV), St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) [44], Western and Eastern equine encephalitis viruses (WEEV/EEEV) [33,34] and Cat Que virus (CQV) [37,41]. Additionally, *Culex* mosquitoes can vector parasites such as nematodes responsible for lymphatic filariasis and protists responsible for avian malaria [60–62]. The transmission dynamics of these pathogens are highly dependent on the local distribution of specific *Culex* species, leading to distinct transmission risk factors dependent on geographical location [63].

While mosquitoes primarily transmit pathogens by ingesting an infected blood meal, incubating these pathogens internally and then transmitting the disease-causing agents to a vertebrate host, *Culex* spp. mosquitoes have also been implicated in the mechanical transmission of pathogens [52,64]. Mechanical transmission involves transferring an infectious agent to a new host through direct contact with the mosquitos' mouthparts, legs, or body. Although understanding mechanical transmission is crucial for understanding virus transmission dynamics, its significance in *Culex* mosquitoes remains poorly understood and requires further assessment.

There are two main concepts that are important in disease transmission by mosquito vectors: "Vector competence" and "vectorial capacity". Vector competence describes a mosquito's ability to acquire, maintain, and subsequently transmit a specific pathogen. "Vectorial capacity" is a measure of the transmission potential of a pathogen within a population and includes external factors such as mosquito behaviour, survival, and pathogen biology. The capability to transmit a pathogen is contingent on various factors, including species and environmental conditions. These factors are critically important in understanding vector biology and the epidemiology of viruses, and the impact they can have in disease maintenance and transmission. It is important to note that not all mosquitoes exhibit the same competence as vectors, and analysing vector competence of individual species can inform on the local distribution of pathogens and the potential for exotic pathogens to spread. Understanding individual vector competence is critical for discerning the necessary response to novel pathogen incursion and designing mosquito control programs. Moreover, understanding individual species vector competence plays a key role in informing effective public health responses to potential future epidemics based on mosquito species' presence in an area.

### 3. Molecular and Cellular Interactions between Culex Mosquitoes and Viruses

Molecular and cellular virus-mosquito interactions during infection and transmission involve a series of complex processes within various mosquito tissues. Upon the uptake of a viraemic blood meal, the virus initially resides within the mosquito midgut. The first barrier viruses must overcome is infecting the cells of the midgut, achieved through receptor-mediated endocytosis, and is crucial for subsequent viral dissemination [65–68]. At this stage, a delicate balance exists between viral replication and local immunity, where viral replication may be overcome by the first stages of the mosquito immune response [69,70]. If successful in overcoming this barrier, viruses encounter the second barrier, escaping the midgut through the basal lamina, and disseminate to secondary tissues, such as the salivary gland, brain, and extremities, via the haemolymph [45]. Infection of the salivary gland is crucial for further viral dissemination, as the release of virions into the saliva guaranteed, and the mosquito immune system is multifaceted and capable of mounting an effective antiviral response.

As viruses navigate through each defensive barrier within the mosquito, they encounter a robust array of immune responses mounted by the mosquito itself. Unlike mammals, mosquitoes lack an adaptive immune response and depend on innate immunity to defend against viruses. On sensing a virus, innate immune pathways are activated, either in a cellular or humoral manner. The humoral response activates downstream signalling pathways culminating in the production and secretion of effector molecules, such as antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and phenoloxidases, into the haemolymph [72,73]. These responses can be initiated by various signalling cascades, including the JAK/STAT, Toll, and immune deficiency (Imd) pathways [69,74–76]. While these pathways are important in modulating immune defence, the most significant antiviral response in mosquitoes is the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway [77,78]. Recognition of viral RNA occurs through an interplay between pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) interacting with pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). This leads to the generation of small RNAs produced by the RNAi pathway to target viruses for degradation directly [65,78,79].

The cellular immune response is primarily mediated by a group of cells known as haemocytes that are present within the mosquito haemolymph. Operating similarly to mammalian macrophages, haemocytes can mount a multimodal immune response and are capable of encapsulating and phagocytosing pathogens [72,80,81]. Haemocytes can also contribute to the production of AMPs and phenoloxidases to further target infectious agents within the mosquito. In addition to these immune pathways, the cellular process of autophagy has been shown to be a significant mechanism that provides a kind of antiviral immunity by tagging infected sub-cellular components for degradation [81–83]. This pathway works by the creation of autophagosomes that can encapsulate damaged organelles or misfolded proteins, facilitating their degradation with the lysosome. This process aids by targeting active virus replication and eliminating the compromised cellular components.

Whilst mosquitoes are vectors for a significant number of pathogens, they exhibit a comprehensive array of antiviral responses to combat infection. By unravelling the intricacies of these mechanisms, there is the potential to devise methods for manipulating the mosquito immune system to develop control strategies within these insects. It is important to note that variation exists among mosquito species and to fully understand how these processes work in *Culex* mosquitoes, more thorough investigations are required.

# 4. Impact of Climate Change, Habitat Alterations, and Urbanisation on *Culex*-Transmitted Diseases

Major human-driven processes affecting the world today, climate change, urbanisation and globalisation, are influencing the distribution of *Culex* spp. and the pathogens they carry. Several *Culex* spp. can be found in urban environments, including *Cx. annulirostris*, *Cx. pipiens*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus and Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* [84–86]. *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, in particular, appears to thrive in urban areas, showing rapid colonisation of newly urbanised zones as seen after the catastrophic 2010 earthquake in Haiti [87]. While some forms of *Cx. pipiens* demonstrate population declines in urban areas [88], in temperate climates, the *Cx. molestus* form has become specialised to particular urban environments, showing a preference for subterranean habitats, including sewers, basements and underground rail lines [26,89]. Rising levels of urbanisation are, therefore, likely to increase the availability of suitable habitats for at least some *Culex* species and, in turn, the distribution of their pathogens.

Climate change is likely to expand the distribution and activity of many major vector species. Modelling studies have predicted range expansions *for Cx. pipiens, Cx. quinque-fasciatus* and *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* in a warming world [90–92]. Experiments assessing development and survival have found that warmer temperatures sped up *Culex* larval development; however, larval and adult survival decreased above certain temperatures [18,93]. This suggests that although a warming climate may be beneficial for pathogen transmission in some areas, through the facilitation of longer transmission seasons, transmission may actually be inhibited in other areas of greater temperature rise.

Both climate change and globalisation have likely facilitated the geographic expansion exhibited by several significant *Culex*-borne viruses in recent years. The most dramatic global expansion has been observed for WNV. This virus spread rapidly throughout North America following an initial incursion in 1999 and has also caused regular outbreaks in Europe over the last two decades [94]. Originally detected in Uganda in 1937, WNV now occurs commonly on every continent except Antarctica, with its global prevalence significantly increasing in recent years [94–101]. The range of JEV has also recently increased, with evidence of genotype replacement observed in some areas [102]. Expansion into Europe was confirmed in 2010 through molecular detections of JEV in Cx. pipiens mosquitoes and passerine birds from Italy [103,104], whilst a human case of JEV in Angola in 2016 represented the first autochthonous evidence of JEV in Africa [105]. In Australia, occasional small-scale outbreaks of JEV have been detected since 1995 [106], but the first widespread and most southern-latitude outbreak occurred in 2022. This outbreak affected multiple states, causing 46 human cases and 7 deaths, with evidence of infection detected in 80 piggeries, sentinel chickens and mosquitoes [32,107,108]. This virus is now considered likely endemic in parts of Australia, although very little is known about this endemic cycle. Other *Culex*-associated pathogens with evidence of geographic range expansion include the continued northward spread of EEEV in North America [109], Usutu virus, which is now considered endemic in parts of Europe [110], and avian malaria, which is expected to continue spreading in both Europe and North America while threatening native birds with extinction across the Pacific [111,112].

The likelihood of virus transmission requires susceptible vertebrate hosts along with a competent mosquito vector. Globalisation has resulted in the widespread introduction of several livestock and pest species, presenting opportunities for the establishment of geographically expanding pathogens that utilise these species. For example, pigs (*Sus scrofa*) are found on all continents except mainland Antarctica [113] and are also a significant amplifying host for JEV. In Australia, the first detection of JEV during the large 2022 outbreak was in commercial piggeries, but infections were also detected in feral pigs [32]. Australia plays host to an estimated population of several million largely unregulated feral pigs, representing a substantial potential host pool for this virus [114].

Alongside the utilisation of traditional host species, pathogen spread to new areas provides opportunities for infection of and adaptation to new hosts. When WNV entered new ecosystems in North America, it rapidly infected a range of previously unexposed avian species, resulting in significant mortality events in some species, such as the American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) [115,116]. This was subsequently linked to a single amino acid change in the NS3 protein, causing increased viral replication and transmissibility [117]. Usutu virus has also been linked to mortality events in blackbirds (*Turdus merula*) during the pathogen's spread through Europe [118]. Interestingly, adaptation to new avian hosts

has led to speciation events in avian malarial parasites [119]. Finally, the density of suitable hosts and vectors also plays a role in the establishment of an emerging pathogen and its subsequent prevalence and distribution. For example, WNV transmission in North America is greater in agricultural and urban areas, primarily because of the increased abundance of suitable hosts and vectors in these human-modified habitats [42,120]. Given the important role of mosquitoes in maintaining an active viral reservoir within an ecosystem, surveillance of mosquitoes for viral presence is paramount for understanding the local epidemiology of specific viruses. This understanding not only sheds light on pathogenicity and viral evolution but also provides essential data for developing targeted intervention and control strategies. Ultimately, the examination of virus-positive mosquito samples contributes to a holistic understanding of pathogen transmission, enabling the development of effective control strategies. It also allows for focused investigations into viral diversity within a population, further informing directed control measures and the development of vaccines and therapeutics. These crucial data not only enhance our comprehension of virus dynamics but also inform public health initiatives to combat and manage mosquito-borne diseases effectively.

### 5. Current Control Strategies for Culex Mosquitoes

Current methods of controlling *Culex*-borne diseases depend on reducing mosquito populations by targeting the adult and larval stages of mosquitoes. Adult control commonly utilises chemical insecticides like pyrethrin [121,122] and pyrethroids [123,124], which are known for their safety in terms of the absence of toxicity to vertebrates but pose risks to non-target invertebrates and aquatic organisms. Other commonly used insecticides include neonicotinoids, organophosphates and carbamates which have slightly higher toxicity, affecting the central nervous system of insects. In regions with stringent regulations, such as those governed by the European Parliament resolution 2002/2277, ground-level spray is preferred over aerial dispersal of insecticides, which is strictly forbidden. However, authorities do grant emergency exceptions to conduct aerial treatments during large-scale epidemics. For example, during the WNV outbreaks, experimental aerial applications in Greece using helicopters equipped with ultra-low volume nozzles were undertaken recently to spray pyrethroids like deltamethrin and d-phenothrin [124,125]. Larval control methods are mainly based on different formulations of microbial larvicides containing Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti) and chemical ingredients such as s-methoprene, diflubenzuron, pyriproxyfen, triflumuron, and Spinosad [125-130]. Microbial larvicides are employed to treat breeding sites, such as stagnant water bodies and containers, in an effort to limit the emergence of adult mosquitoes. Current vector control methods have been found to be effective in lowering mosquito populations in an endemic area. However, the challenges related to developing chemical resistance in mosquitoes and environmental safety concerns require ongoing innovative research to improve the effectiveness and sustainability of these interventions [131–136].

## 6. Wolbachia-Based Innovative Approach for Culex Mosquito Control

Novel *Wolbachia*-based control holds great potential as a long-term, sustainable, and environmentally friendly method for reducing vector-borne diseases. It has been effectively utilised in the field for a decade to prevent the spread of dengue in the communities by releasing *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* mosquitoes. A similar approach could be developed to tackle *Culex*-borne diseases. *Wolbachia* was initially identified within the gonads of *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes and belongs to the order *Rickettsiales* [137]. *Wolbachia* lineages are taxonomically organised into genetically distinct monophyletic clades termed "supergroups", A-S [138,139].

*Culex pipiens* mosquito complexes naturally harbour a number of *Wolbachia* (wPip) strains (see Table 2). Within the *Wolbachia* B supergroup, *w*Pip strains are categorised into five genetically distinct groups, designated as *w*Pip-I to V [138,140–142]. *w*Pip-I *Wolbachia* strains are distributed across sub-Saharan Africa, South America, Turkey, and Southeast

Asia. On the other hand, *w*Pip-II groups are primarily found in Western Europe and Turkey, and *w*Pip-III strains are mostly located in North America. The occurrence of *w*Pip-IV group strains is sporadic, encompassing territories in Turkey, Europe, North Africa, and Asia, whereas *w*Pip-V strains are prevalent in Asia [142–144].

More than 40% of terrestrial arthropods are naturally infected with the *Wolbachia* [145]. The relationship between *Wolbachia* and its arthropod host ranges from mutualistic to parasitic depending on the host and Wolbachia strain combination (see [4]). In a parasitic association with the host, Wolbachia alters host reproductive processes to promote its own vertical transmission within host populations. One notable mechanism through which reproductive alteration is exhibited is the CI phenotype. CI phenotype can be classified into unidirectional CI and bidirectional CI. Unidirectional CI occurs when Wolbachia-infected males mate with uninfected females, resulting in reduced embryonic viability due to incompatibility between the modified sperm and the uninfected egg. However, viable embryos are produced if infected females mate with uninfected males. In Bidirectional CI, mating between individuals infected with different incompatible Wolbachia strains leads to failed embryonic development [146]. The level of CI can vary from weak to strong depending on the combination of the host and Wolbachia strains. For example, the wMel Wolbachia strain causes weak CI in its native host, Drosophila melanogaster [147], but it leads to strong CI when introduced into a new host such as Drosophila simulans or Ae. aegypti via embryonic microinjection [148]. wRi Wolbachia strain induces strong CI in the host D. simulans compared to the wNo and wHa Wolbachia strains, demonstrating the expression of variable CI levels in the same host due to different Wolbachia strains [149]. Other factors contributing to CI strength variability include *Wolbachia* density in male reproductive tissues [150], male age [151,152], and temperature [153,154]. In addition to the CI phenotype, Wolbachia has also been found to prevent its host from acquiring human pathogenic viral infections transmitted by arthropods (see [155]). Wolbachia-induced CI and virus-blocking phenotypes have formed the basis for current population suppression and replacement frameworks targeting Aedes-borne diseases [5–8,10,11,13,14,156–158]. There has been a higher degree of public acceptance toward the implementation of Wolbachia in field applications compared to alternative mosquito release methods, such as genetic modification or sterile insect techniques (SIT) employing mosquito irradiation. This heightened receptivity could be due to the natural occurrence of *Wolbachia* in insects [159].

	Species	Geographical Location	Wolbachia Status	Reference
1.	Cx. quinquefasciatus	USA, Cambodia, France, Thailand, Mexico, Republic of Cape Verde, Brazil, Italy, Cuba, Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, Argentina, West Indies, French West Indies, Philippines, Turkey, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, India, French Polynesia, Martinique, Taiwan, Russia, Colombia, Iran, South Africa, Benin, Australia, Africa, Madagascar, Mauritius, Comoros, China, Guyana, Venezuela, Costa Rica, Puerto Rico, Haiti	+	[140–142,144,160–199]

Table 2. Natural Wolbachia infection in Culex species.

	Species	Geographical Location	Wolbachia Status	Reference
2.	Cx. molestus	France, Sweden, UK, Australia, Tunisia, Taiwan, Russia, China, Germany, Lebanon, Belgium, Netherlands, Spain	+	[142,144,166,173,183,187,188, 190,191,200–202]
3.	Cx. pipiens	Cape Verde, Leuven, Sweden, UK, Tunisia, Iran, UK, China, USA, Turkey, Algeria, Brazil, Morocco, Russia, La Reunion Island, Cyprus, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Canada, Algeria, France, South Africa, Israel, Spain, Greece, Netherlands	+	[88,141–143,160,166,177,178, 183,187,190,197,200,203–225]
4.	Cx. tigripes	Cape Verde	+	[160]
5.	Cx. thalassius	Cape Verde	_	[160]
6.	Cx. gelidus	China, Thailand, India	+	[161,184,185,198,199]
7	Cx. gelidus	Sri Lanka	_	[181]
8	Cx. tritaeniorhynchus	China, Sri Lanka, Thailand	_	[161,181,198,199]
9	Cx. modestus	Belgium	_	[200]
10	Cx. torrentium	Belgium, Russia	_	[190,200]
11	Cx. conservator	Brazil	_	[164]
12	Cx. spp	Thailand, Egypt	+	[226,227]
13	Cx. theileri	Iran, Portugal	+	[206,219]
14	Cx. theileri	Iran	_	[192]
15	Cx. restuans	USA	+	[218]
16	Cx. vishnui	Malaysia, Singapore, India, Thailand, China	+	[167,169,184,185,198,228]
17	Cx. pseudovishnui	Malaysia, Singapore	+	[167,169]
18	Cx. pseudovishnui	India, Thailand	_	[184,185]
19	Cx. sinensis	Malaysia	+	[167]
20	Cx. sinensis	Thailand	_	[199]
21	Cx. triataeniorhynchus	China, Singapore, Thailand	+	[169,198,209]
22	Cx. triataeniorhynchus	Madagascar, Taiwan, Thailand, India	_	[184,185,188,229]
23	Cx. sitiens	Singapore, Thailand, India	+	[169,184,185,199]
24	Cx. stigmatosoma	USA	+	[210]
25	Cx. stigmatosoma	USA	_	[203]
26	Cx. torrentium	Germany	_	[211]
27	Cx. perexigus	Turkey	_	[178]
28	Cx. tarsalis	USA, Canada	_	[203,221,230,231]
29	Cx. bitaeniorhynchus	Singapore, Madagascar, Thailand, India	_	[169,184,185,199,229]
30	Cx. bitaeniorhynchus	Thailand	_	[198]

# Table 2. Cont.

31 32

Species Cx. brevipalpis

Cx. brevipalpis

Table 2. Cont.					
Geographical Location	Wolbachia Status	Reference			
Singapore	_	[169]			
Thailand	+	[185,199]			
Singapore, Thailand	_	[169,185,199]			
Madagascar	+	[229]			
Madagascar	+	[229]			
Madagascar	+	[229]			
Madagascar	_	[229]			
Madagascar	_	[229]			
Sri Lanka	_	[181]			

### 33 Cx. nigropunctatus 34 Cx. antennatus 35 Cx. decens Cx. duttoni 36 37 Cx. giganteus 38 *Cx. poicilipes* 39 Cx. annulirostris Sri Lanka, Thailand 40 Cx. mimulus [181,185,199] 41 Cx. murrelli Taiwan [188] + Cx. mimeticus Thailand 42 [185,199] 43 Cx. mimeticus Singapore + [188]44 Cx. whitmorei Thailand [185] Thailand 45 Cx. whitmorei [199] + Thailand, Taiwan 46 Cx. fuscancs [185,188,199] Thailand 47 Cx. pallidothorax [185]Taiwan, Thailand 48 Cx. pallidothorax [185,199] + 49 *Cx. fuscocephole* Thailand, China [185,198,199] + 50 Cx. eomimulus Taiwan [188]+ 51 Cx biocortus Taiwan [188]+ Taiwan 52 Cx. halifaxia [188]Cx. okinawae Taiwan 53 [188] \_ 54 Cx. foliatus Taiwan [188] 55 Cx. erythrothorax USA [214]Cx. pallens Japan, China [190,232,233] 56 +57 USA Cx. nigripalpus + [233] 58 Cx. salinarius Canada + [221]

## 7. Opportunities and Strategies for *Culex* Population Suppression Using *Wolbachia*

Population suppression framework utilising Wolbachia is also commonly known as the incompatible insect technique (IIT). IIT aims to provide a species-specific sustainable solution for population suppression by mass release of Wolbachia-infected male mosquitoes. This strategy is based on disrupting the chances of successful mating, leading to nonviable embryo production. In IIT field trials, both the unidirectional and bidirectional CI modes are utilised depending on the presence or absence of Wolbachia infection in the targeted population. In the case of Ae. aegypti, wild populations are Wolbachia-free, and population suppression is achieved typically through the release of males transinfected with single Wolbachia strains (wAlbB) from the laboratory, which shows a unidirectional CI pattern [6,157]. However, in the case of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, both single (wPip) [5,8] and triple (wPipwAlbAwAlbB) infections [13] of Wolbachia have been trialled in the field as mosquitoes are naturally infected with two strains of Wolbachia: wAlbA and wAlbB. Single-strain infected Ae. albopictus males were created by removing the native infection of

*Wolbachia* using an antibiotic and introducing the new incompatible strain *w*Pip obtained from *Cx. pipiens* [234]. A bidirectional crossing pattern is observed when these mosquitoes are mated with the wild population harbouring natural infection. In the case of triple-*Wolbachia* infected *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes, a new *w*Pip *Wolbachia* strain, were directly added to naturally infected mosquitoes via embryonic microinjection [13]. The crossing pattern in this triple-*Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes with wild mosquitoes is similar to that seen with unidirectional CI.

Like Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, Culex mosquitoes are naturally infected with wPip Wolbachia strains. Historically, the diversity of wPip within naturally infected Culex mosquito populations has been effectively utilised for IIT field trials. The first IIT trial was undertaken in 1966, using naturally wPip-infected Cx. p. fatigans males to successfully control filariasis in Myanmar [235]. Naturally wPip-infected Cx. quinquefasciatus males were similarly utilised in Delhi, India, in 1973 for mosquito population control [236]. Other instances of tested incompatibilities in semi-field conditions include the use of naturally Wolbachia-infected males of Cx. pallens species in China [232], Cx. quinquefasciatus in La Réunion Island [196,237], and a wPip-IV strain from Istanbul against wPip-I-infected female *Cx. quinquefasciatus* mosquitoes [196]. Apart from utilising natural wPip infections, the use of embryonic microinjection has led to the successful introduction of several novel, artificial Wolbachia infections. An artificial infection, originally sourced from the Aedes albopictus mosquito into Cx. quinquefasciatus established a wAlbB single infection [238] and a wPipwAlbA superinfection [238]. Both wAlbB and wPipwAlbA superinfections induced CI, thus highlighting their potential for use in population suppression and expanding on the existing arsenal of *Wolbachia* strains that could be utilised in the field [238,239].

The genotypic diversity of Wolbachia strains (see Section 6) induces complex CI phenotype on the host *Cx. pipiens* complex. For example, intra-group interactions typically result in host compatibility, with occasional exceptions, while inter-group interactions often lead to embryonic mortality [143,186,191,216,217,223]. Systemic screening of Culex species for the presence of Wolbachia and characterisation of the CI phenotype effect on the host would help identify novel Wolbachia strains. Variable levels of CI phenotype from weak to strong have been observed depending on the Wolbachia strain and host combination. For instance, the wAu Wolbachia strain induces strong pathogen-blocking in Aedes mosquitoes but has an absence/non-detectable level of CI in both host Ae. aegypti and D. simulans [240]. Understanding the CI phenotype exhibited by these newly identified *Wolbachia* strains in their native and new *Culex* hosts (infection achieved through transinfection) would be crucial for designing IIT or population replacement trials based on these Wolbachia strains similar to Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus. Furthermore, investigation of CI effects of extensively characterised Wolbachia strains like wRi and wMel through transinfection of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and other *Culex* vectors (see Table 1) would help elucidate the broader applicability of these strains in the IIT trial of *Culex* mosquitoes. During the IIT approach, releasing Wolbachia-infected Culex males would present several advantages compared to other existing methods. Since males do not engage in biting behaviour, any intervention is unlikely to cause an increase in biting rates post-release, thus enhancing its acceptability within communities. Further, unlike SIT methods, this approach does not introduce fitness costs that can reduce male mating competitiveness, potentially improving the efficacy of population suppression efforts [159].

Similar to the SIT approach, the IIT trial of *Culex* mosquitoes would require factoryscale production of mosquitoes and may face a few challenges that can slow down progress. A robust protocol for laboratory colonisation, mass-rearing, and continuous egg production of a targeted *Culex* species would be required. Further, a reliable sex-sorting system would be crucial as the inadvertent release of females could result in the propagation of *Wolbachia* within the population, resembling a replacement strategy rather than achieving population suppression. A hybrid approach combining IIT and SIT has been used previously to address the challenges associated with female escapees during sex sorting to avoid the accidental release of *Wolbachia*-infected females in field trials [13]. Exposure of *Wolbachia*-

11 of 24

infected mosquitoes with low-level irradiation sterilises females while leaving males mostly unaffected. In some of the recent IIT trials, automatic machine learning-based sex sorters have been used to separate males efficiently [157,158,241]. The development of a similar sex-sorting approach for *Culex* mosquitoes would be beneficial.

### 8. Wolbachia-Derived CI Gene Editing for Population Control of Culex Mosquitoes

The genetic mechanism underlying Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) stems from a pair of closely linked and co-evolving genes, commonly referred to as cifA and cifB [242–245]. Within wPip Wolbachia strains, multiple pairs of cif gene variants coexist, resulting in complex patterns of mating incompatibility between strains [212,246– 248]. These *cif* genes exhibit significant sequence divergence, with homologs classified into five phylogenetic clusters (Types I–V) [246]. Experimental recapitulations of CI have been accomplished through transgenic expression of these cif genes in flies [13,236,237,241,249]. cifA expression in the ovaries of flies has been shown to rescue CI. Conversely, simultaneous expression of both *cifA* and *cifB* in the male testes appears to be necessary for inducing this phenotype. Recently, successful simulation of CI has been achieved by expressing cif genes from the wPip Wolbachia strain in Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes [250] and the wAlbB Wolbachia strain in Ae. aegypti [251]. In both mosquito species, co-expression of cifA and *cifB* in testes triggered CI-like sterility, which was countered by maternal *cifA* expression, thus reproducing the pattern of Wolbachia-induced CI [157,158]. This development suggests the applicability of such CI gene-based methods for suppressing the population of *Culex* mosquitoes in the field.

# 9. Replacement of Wild *Culex* Population with *Wolbachia*-Infected Mosquitoes to Block Pathogen Transmission

*Wolbachia* infection has previously been shown to confer protection against pathogenic viruses [155,252], malarial parasites [252,253] and filarial nematodes [254]. CI characteristics, together with virus protection, have formed the basis for a promising *Wolbachia*-based population replacement framework targeting *Aedes*-borne diseases. In this framework, the strategy involves releasing *Wolbachia*-infected males and females into the intended wild population. Due to unidirectional CI, female mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* have a reproductive advantage over their wild-type counterparts, thus allowing for the natural spread of the *Wolbachia* throughout the target population, resulting in high infection frequency [255,256]. Furthermore, female mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* have a significantly lower capacity for transmitting viruses to humans, which subsequently leads to the reduction or possibly even elimination of diseases from endemic regions [256].

Most of the studies investigating *Wolbachia*'s ability to inhibit pathogens show a reduction in pathogen infection or transmission facilitated by *Wolbachia*, such as with WNV in *Cx. quinquefasciatus* [171,257]; however, there are exceptions. Seven days after infection, *Cx. tarsalis* infected with the transient *w*AlbB *Wolbachia* strain had a notably higher WNV infection rate compared to controls without *Wolbachia*. However, no significant differences were observed in infection, dissemination or transmission rates between the *Wolbachia*-positive and negative control at 14 days post-infection [231]. Another study in the *Cx. tarsalis* by the same laboratory found that RVFV titres had a weak negative correlation with *w*AlbB *Wolbachia* density, implying a slight suppression of RVFV replication [230]. A more recent study assessing the effect of natural and stable transfection of *w*Pip and *w*AlbB *Wolbachia* strains into *Cx. quinquefasciatus* on the transmission of avian malaria found no obvious impact on *Wolbachia* infection [239].

Multiple parameters, including virus serotype, virus titer, *Wolbachia* strain and mosquito genetic background, influence *Wolbachia*'s blocking of viruses in mosquitoes [258]. Higher *Wolbachia* density and the infection of mosquitoes' somatic tissues have also been associated with virus-blocking [259]. Further, the novelty of the *Wolbachia*-host association also plays a role, with differential blocking levels observed between newly transferred and native *Wolbachia* variants in various mosquito species [260,261]. Future studies are warranted to

investigate the potential of *Wolbachia* in blocking *Culex*-transmitted viruses such as JEV, WNV, Ross River Virus and MVEV in newly transinfected and naturally *Wolbachia*-infected *Culex* mosquitoes. This research would help design a *Wolbachia*-based population replacement approach, similar to the one employed for *Aedes* mosquitoes, aimed at the effective control of these diseases.

To effectively implement a replacement trial for *Culex* mosquitoes, it would be essential to first assess the prevalence of Wolbachia infection in the targeted population. This information will guide the selection of appropriate *Wolbachia* strains and the strategy for using mosquitoes infected with single or multiple strains of Wolbachia. The direction and strength of incompatibility influence the success of population replacement [262,263]. Unidirectional CI mode could be more effective in replacing the population than bidirectional CI mode as Wolbachia does not have to compete with other strains to spread into the population. Ideally, the selected Wolbachia strain for replacement trial should induce strong CI and block viruses, as not all strains can achieve both effects. In scenarios where *Culex* mosquitoes, such as *Cx*. annulirostris or Cx. tarsalis are not naturally infected with Wolbachia; introducing a novel strain is relatively straightforward, similar to strategies used for *Ae. aegypti*. However, most Culex mosquitoes are naturally infected with Wolbachia, complicating the replacement strategy. In such cases, a new Wolbachia strain needs to be added to create a superinfection, as done in Ae. albopictus or Cx. Quinquefasciatus, may be necessary. The main challenge of creating superinfection is that the existing native strain may outcompete the introduced strain, or the introduced strain may not establish itself effectively. Other factors need to be considered when carrying out replacement trials. The impact of mosquito release on the transmission of pathogens, particularly those for which the pathogen is blocked, is not tested before the release of *Culex* mosquitoes. Additionally, the stability of the *Wolbachia* strain under varying environmental conditions, such as temperature fluctuations, is important. For example, while infections with wMel and wMelPop-CLA in Ae. aegypti are temperature-sensitive, wAlbB, which naturally colonises *Ae. albopictus* is more stable [264].

# 10. Modification of *Wolbachia* to Drive Desirable Novel Traits for Control of *Culex*-Borne Diseases

Field studies have revealed that after release, Wolbachia infection can easily become fixed within a population [156,265]. These results emphasize the possibility of using Wol*bachia* as a vector to introduce favourable phenotypes into mosquito populations for the control of diseases. However, difficulties associated with the inability to cultivate Wolbachia outside host cells have hindered genetic modification efforts. Recently, a 9228 bp extrachromosomal circular element, known as the pWCP plasmid, was identified in the wPip Wolbachia strain from Cx. pipiens mosquitoes [173]. Computational analysis of pWCP plasmids in wPip Wolbachia strains obtained from Cx. quenquifasciatus, Cx. pipiens and Cx. *molestus* mosquitoes inhabiting diverse geographical locations found that these plasmids are highly conserved [173]. Additionally, two other plasmids (pWALBA1 and pWALBA2) have been identified in the wAlbA Wolbachia strain obtained from Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, suggesting that plasmids may be more common in Wolbachia than previously thought [266]. Further, these plasmids might have a contribution towards Wolbachia's ecology and evolution, which needs investigation in future. Plasmids found inside Wolbachia can serve as useful tools for reverse genetics to study Wolbachia's gene function and manipulate Wolbachia, akin to approaches employed in the closely related *Rickettsia* genus [267]. Modified Wolbachia strains can subsequently be introduced into Culex mosquitoes via embryonic microinjection to drive desired traits aiming at controlling Culex-borne diseases.

## 11. Conclusions and Future Directions

*Wolbachia* is revolutionising the management and prevention of vector-borne disease. As part of an integrated approach, *Wolbachia* holds great promise and the potential to save millions of lives, particularly at a time when traditional tools are failing. Since the first field trials over 13 years ago, *Wolbachia* has demonstrated high phenotypic stability, achieved effective population suppression and maintained effectiveness in blocking transmission or in large *Aedes* populations. As such, *Wolbachia* has proven to be a safe, effective biotechnology that remains one of the lowest-risk biological control agents, with high public trust maintained in over 13 countries. There remains considerable upside to investing in and adapting the technology to prevent the spread of human pathogens in *Culex* populations. However, there are several challenges as *Culex* vector and virus ecological interactions are complex, requiring a multidisciplinary and integrated pest management approach for effective, long-term and sustainable control. Although early *Culex* studies provide examples of where Wolbachia can modify phenotypes in unpredictable ways, they also demonstrate the rigorous science required to adopt these technologies for safe deployment and realise the public health benefits. Opportunities exist for exploring the great potential of modifying large populations of *Culex* vectors to prevent the spread of pathogens such as JEV or WNV in human populations or with avian malaria for conservation purposes. A greater understanding of the underlying genetic mechanisms of Wolbachia CI and virusblocking phenotypes holds the potential for more targeted genetic approaches that confer the benefits of Wolbachia without the difficulty associated with establishing Wolbachia infections. In a world where vector-borne risk is increasing rapidly, the adoption of Wolbachia as an effective tool in the public health toolbox will be essential.

Author Contributions: M.M., K.R.B., B.T., P.N.P. and A.J.L.-D. contributed to writing the initial manuscript and draft. M.M. and A.J.L.-D. jointly developed the manuscript to its final form. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1. Plowright, R.K.; Parrish, C.R.; McCallum, H.; Hudson, P.J.; Ko, A.I.; Graham, A.L.; Lloyd-Smith, J.O. Pathways to Zoonotic Spillover. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2017**, *15*, 502–510. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Chala, B.; Hamde, F. Emerging and Re-Emerging Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases and the Challenges for Control: A Review. *Front. Public Health* **2021**, *9*, 715759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Werren, J.H.; Baldo, L.; Clark, M.E. Wolbachia: Master Manipulators of Invertebrate Biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2008, 6, 741–751. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zug, R.; Hammerstein, P. Bad Guys Turned Nice? A Critical Assessment of *Wolbachia* Mutualisms in Arthropod Hosts. *Biol. Rev.* 2015, 90, 89–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mains, J.W.; Brelsfoard, C.L.; Rose, R.I.; Dobson, S.L. Female Adult *Aedes albopictus* Suppression by *Wolbachia*-Infected Male Mosquitoes. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, srep33846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mains, J.W.; Kelly, P.H.; Dobson, K.L.; Petrie, W.D.; Dobson, S.L. Localized Control of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Miami, FL, via Inundative Releases of *Wolbachia*-Infected Male Mosquitoes. J. Med. Entomol. 2019, 56, 1296–1303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Garcia, G.D.A.; Sylvestre, G.; Aguiar, R.; da Costa, G.B.; Martins, A.J.; Lima, J.B.P.; Petersen, M.T.; Lourenço-de-Oliveira, R.; Shadbolt, M.F.; Rašić, G.; et al. Matching the Genetics of Released and Local *Aedes aegypti* Populations Is Critical to Assure *Wolbachia* Invasion. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2019, *13*, e0007023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Caputo, B.; Moretti, R.; Manica, M.; Serini, P.; Lampazzi, E.; Bonanni, M.; Fabbri, G.; Pichler, V.; della Torre, A.; Calvitti, M. A Bacterium against the Tiger: Preliminary Evidence of Fertility Reduction after Release of *Aedes Albopictus* Males with Manipulated *Wolbachia* Infection in an Italian Urban Area. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 2020, 76, 1324–1332. [CrossRef]
- Ryan, P.A.; Turley, A.P.; Wilson, G.; Hurst, T.P.; Retzki, K.; Brown-Kenyon, J.; Hodgson, L.; Kenny, N.; Cook, H.; Montgomery, B.L.; et al. Establishment of WMel *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti* Mosquitoes and Reduction of Local Dengue Transmission in Cairns and Surrounding Locations in Northern Queensland, Australia. *Gates Open Res.* 2020, *3*, 1547. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, T.H.; Le Nguyen, H.; Nguyen, T.Y.; Vu, S.N.; Tran, N.D.; Le, T.N.; Vien, Q.M.; Bui, T.C.; Le, H.T.; Kutcher, S.; et al. Field Evaluation of the Establishment Potential of Wmelpop *Wolbachia* in Australia and Vietnam for Dengue Control. *Parasites Vectors* 2015, *8*, 563. [CrossRef]
- Tantowijoyo, W.; Andari, B.; Arguni, E.; Budiwati, N.; Nurhayati, I.; Fitriana, I.; Ernesia, I.; Daniwijaya, E.W.; Supriyati, E.; Yusdiana, D.H.; et al. Stable Establishment of WMel *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti* Populations in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2020, 14, e0008157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ching, N.L. The Project Wolbachia—Singapore Consortium Wolbachia-Mediated Sterility Suppresses Aedes aegypti Populations in the Urban Tropics. medRxiv 2021. [CrossRef]

- 13. Zheng, X.; Zhang, D.; Li, Y.; Yang, C.; Wu, Y.; Liang, X.; Liang, Y.; Pan, X.; Hu, L.; Sun, Q.; et al. Incompatible and Sterile Insect Techniques Combined Eliminate Mosquitoes. *Nature* **2019**, *572*, *56*–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffmann, A.A.; Ahmad, N.W.; Keong, W.M.; Ling, C.Y.; Ahmad, N.A.; Golding, N.; Tierney, N.; Jelip, J.; Putit, P.W.; Mokhtar, N.; et al. Introduction of *Aedes aegypti* Mosquitoes Carrying WAlbB *Wolbachia* Sharply Decreases Dengue Incidence in Disease Hotspots. *iScience* 2024, 27, 108942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Anders, K.L.; Indriani, C.; Tantowijoyo, W.; Rancès, E.; Andari, B.; Prabowo, E.; Yusdi, D.; Ansari, M.R.; Wardana, D.S.; Supriyati, E.; et al. Reduced Dengue Incidence Following Deployments of *Wolbachia*-Infected *Aedes aegypti* in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: A Quasi-Experimental Trial Using Controlled Interrupted Time Series Analysis. *Gates Open Res.* 2020, *4*, 50. [CrossRef]
- 16. Brugman, V.A.; Hernández-Triana, L.M.; Medlock, J.M.; Fooks, A.R.; Carpenter, S.; Johnson, N. The Role of *Culex pipiens* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) in Virus Transmission in Europe. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2018**, *15*, 389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Manimegalai, K.; Manimegalai, K.; Sukanya, S. Biology of the Filarial Vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera:Culicidae). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2014, *3*, 718–724.
- Moser, S.K.; Barnard, M.; Frantz, R.M.; Spencer, J.A.; Rodarte, K.A.; Crooker, I.K.; Bartlow, A.W.; Romero-Severson, E.; Manore, C.A. Scoping Review of *Culex* Mosquito Life History Trait Heterogeneity in Response to Temperature. *Parasites Vectors* 2023, 16, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 19. Beament, J.; Corbet, S.A. Surface Properties of *Culex pipiens Pipiens* Eggs and the Behaviour of the Female during Egg-raft Assembly. *Physiol. Entomol.* **1981**, *6*, 135–148. [CrossRef]
- Cardo, M.V.; Carbajo, A.E.; Mozzoni, C.; Kliger, M.; Vezzani, D. Blood Feeding Patterns of the *Culex pipiens* Complex in Equestrian Land Uses and Their Implications for Arboviral Encephalitis Risk in Temperate Argentina. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2023, 70, 256–268. [CrossRef]
- Faizah, A.N.; Kobayashi, D.; Matsumura, R.; Watanabe, M.; Higa, Y.; Sawabe, K.; Isawa, H. Blood Meal Source Identification and RNA Virome Determination in Japanese Encephalitis Virus Vectors Collected in Ishikawa Prefecture, Japan, Show Distinct Avian/Mammalian Host Preference. J. Med. Entomol. 2023, 60, 620–628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamer, G.L.; Kitron, U.D.; Goldberg, T.L.; Brawn, J.D.; Loss, S.R.; Ruiz, M.O.; Hayes, D.B.; Walker, E.D. Host Selection by *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes and West. Nile Virus Amplification. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2009, *80*, 268–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Lura, T.; Cummings, R.; Velten, R.; De Collibus, K.; Morgan, T.; Nguyen, K.; Gerry, A. Host (Avian) Biting Preference of Southern California *Culex Mosquitoes* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* **2012**, *49*, 687–696. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Williams, C.R.; Kokkinn, M.J.; Smith, B.P. Intraspecific Variation in Odor-Mediated Host Preference of the Mosquito *Culex annulirostris. J. Chem. Ecol.* **2002**, 29.
- Burkett-Cadena, N.D.; Graham, S.P.; Hassan, H.K.; Guyer, C.; Eubanks, M.D.; Katholi, C.R.; Unnasch, T.R. Blood Feeding Patterns of Potential. Arbovirus Vectors of the Genus Culex Targeting Ectothermic Hosts. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2008, 79, 809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Martínez-De La Puente, J.; Ferraguti, M.; Ruiz, S.; Roiz, D.; Soriguer, R.C.; Figuerola, J. *Culex pipiens* Forms and Urbanization: Effects on Blood Feeding Sources and Transmission of Avian Plasmodium. *Malar. J.* **2016**, *15*, 1–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Molaei, G.; Andreadis, T.G.; Armstrong, P.M.; Anderson, J.F.; Vossbrinck, C.R. Host Feeding Patterns of *Culex* Mosquitoes and West Nile Virus Transmission, Northeastern United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *12*, 468–474. [CrossRef]
- 28. Bhattacharya, S.; Basu, P.; Sajal Bhattacharya, C. The Southern House Mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus*: Profile of a Smart Vector. J. *Entomol. Zool. Stud.* **2016**, *4*, 73–81.
- 29. Farajollahi, A.; Fonseca, D.M.; Kramer, L.D.; Marm Kilpatrick, A. "Bird Biting" Mosquitoes and Human Disease: A Review of the Role of *Culex pipiens* Complex Mosquitoes in Epidemiology. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2011**, *11*, 1577–1585. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gil, P.; Exbrayat, A.; Loire, E.; Rakotoarivony, I.; Charriat, F.; Morel, C.; Baldet, T.; Boisseau, M.; Marie, A.; Frances, B.; et al. Spatial Scale Influences the Distribution of Viral Diversity in the Eukaryotic Virome of the Mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Virus Evol.* **2023**, *9*, vead054. [CrossRef]
- Chatterjee, S.; Sarkar, B.; Bag, S.; Biswal, D.; Mandal, A.; Bandyopadhyay, R.; Sarkar (Paria), D.; Chatterjee, A.; Saha, N.C. Mitigating the Public Health Issues Caused by the Filarial Vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) through Phytocontrol and Larval Source Marker Management. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 2023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Mackenzie, J.S.; Williams, D.T.; van den Hurk, A.F.; Smith, D.W.; Currie, B.J. Japanese Encephalitis Virus: The Emergence of Genotype IV in Australia and Its Potential Endemicity. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 2480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kay, B.H.; Edman, J.D.; Fanning, I.D.; Mottram, P. Larval Diet and the Vector Competence of *Culex Annulirostris* (Diptera: Culicidae) for Murray Valley Encephalitis Virus. *J. Med. Entomol.* **1989**, *26*, 487–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marshall, I.D.; Woodroofe, G.M.; Hirsch, S. Viruses Recovered from Mosquitoes and Wildlife Serum Collected in the Murray Valley of South-Eastern Australia, February 1974, during an Epidemic of Encephalitis. *Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci.* 1982, 60, 457–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Boyd, A.M.; Kay, B.H. Vector Competence of *Aedes aegypti, Culex sitiens, Culex annulirostris,* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) for Barmah Forest Virus. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2000**, *37*, 660–663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jansen, C.; Ritchie, S.; Van den Hurk, A. The Role of Australian Mosquito Species in the Transmission of Endemic and Exotic West Nile Virus Strains. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2013, 10, 3735–3752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Bingham, A.M.; Burkett-Cadena, N.D.; Hassan, H.K.; Unnasch, T.R. Vector Competence and Capacity of *Culex erraticus* (Diptera: Culicidae) for Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus in the Southeastern United States. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2015, 53, 473–476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cupp, E.W.; Hassan, H.K.; Yue, X.; Oldland, W.K.; Lilley, B.M.; Unnasch, T.R. West Nile Virus Infection in Mosquitoes in the Mid-South USA, 2002–2005. J. Med. Entomol. 2007, 44, 117–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Johnson, P.H.; Hall-Mendelin, S.; Whelan, P.I.; Frances, S.P.; Jansen, C.C.; Mackenzie, D.O.; Northill, J.A.; Van Den Hurk, A.F. Vector Competence of Australian *Culex gelidus* Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae) for Endemic and Exotic Arboviruses. *Aust. J. Entomol.* 2009, 48, 234–240. [CrossRef]
- 40. Soto, A.; Delang, L. Culex Modestus: The Overlooked Mosquito Vector. Parasites Vectors 2023, 16, 373. [CrossRef]
- 41. Wang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Li, C.; Zhang, Y.; Xin, D.; Zhao, T. Dissemination of Western Equine Encephalomyelitis Virus in the Potential Vector, Culex pipiens Pallens. *J. Vector Ecol.* **2010**, *35*, 313–317. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kilpatrick, A.M.; Meola, M.A.; Moudy, R.M.; Kramer, L.D. Temperature, Viral Genetics, and the Transmission of West Nile Virus by Culex pipiens Mosquitoes. *PLoS Pathog.* 2008, *4*, e1000092. [CrossRef]
- 43. De Wispelaere, M.; Desprès, P.; Rie Choumet, V. European Aedes Albopictus and Culex pipiens Are Competent Vectors for Japanese Encephalitis Virus. *PLOS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2017**, *11*, e0005294. [CrossRef]
- Adriá, N.; Diaz, L.; Sebastiá, N.; Flores, F.; Beranek, M.; Rivarola, M.E.; Almiró, W.R.; Contigiani, M.S. Transmission of Endemic St Louis Encephalitis Virus Strains by Local Culex quinquefasciatus Populations in Có Rdoba, Argentina. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2013, 107, 332–334. [CrossRef]
- Richards, S.L.; Anderson, S.L.; Lord, C.C.; Smartt, C.T.; Tabachnick, W.J. Relationships Between Infection, Dissemination, and Transmission of West Nile Virus RNA in *Culex pipiens Quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 2012, 49, 132–142. [CrossRef]
- 46. LaPointe, D.A.; Atkinson, C.T.; Samuel, M.D. Ecology and Conservation Biology of Avian Malaria. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2012, 1249, 211–226. [CrossRef]
- 47. Ebel, G.D.; Rochlin, I.; Longacker, J.; Kramer, L.D. *Culex restuans* (Diptera: Culicidae) Relative Abundance and Vector Competence for West Nile Virus. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2005, 42, 838–843. [CrossRef]
- Dunphy, B.M.; Kovach, K.B.; Gehrke, E.J.; Field, E.N.; Rowley, W.A.; Bartholomay, L.C.; Smith, R.C. Long-Term Surveillance Defines Spatial and Temporal Patterns Implicating Culex Tarsalis as the Primary Vector of West Nile Virus. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 6637. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ayers, V.B.; Huang, Y.-J.S.; Lyons, A.C.; Park, S.L.; Higgs, S.; Dunlop, J.I.; Kohl, A.; Alto, B.W.; Unlu, I.; Blitvich, B.J.; et al. Culex Tarsalis Is a Competent Vector Species for Cache Valley Virus. *Parasites Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 519. [CrossRef]
- 50. Bergren, N.A.; Borland, E.M.; Hartman, D.A.; Kading, R.C. Laboratory Demonstration of the Vertical Transmission of Rift Valley Fever Virus by Culex Tarsalis Mosquitoes. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2021**, *15*, e0009273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Reisen, W.K.; Meyer, R.P.; Presser, S.B.; Hardy, J.L. Effect of Temperature on the Transmission of Western Equine Encephalomyelitis and St. Louis Encephalitis Viruses by Culex Tarsalis (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. **1993**, 30, 151–160. [CrossRef]
- 52. Reinhold, J.M.; Halbert, E.; Roark, M.; Smith, S.N.; Stroh, K.M.; Siler, C.D.; McLeod, D.S.; Lahondère, C. The Role of Culex Territans Mosquitoes in the Transmission of Batrachochytrium Dendrobatidis to Amphibian Hosts. *Parasites Vectors* **2023**, *16*, 424. [CrossRef]
- 53. Santa-Ana, M.; Khadem, M.; Capela, R. Natural Infection of *Culex Theileri* (Diptera: Culicidae) with *Dirofilaria Immitis* (Nematoda: Filarioidea) on Madeira Island, Portugal. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2006**, *43*, 104–106. [CrossRef]
- 54. Shahhosseini, N.; Moosa-Kazemi, S.H.; Sedaghat, M.M.; Wong, G.; Chinikar, S.; Hajivand, Z.; Mokhayeri, H.; Nowotny, N.; Kayedi, M.H. Autochthonous Transmission of West Nile Virus by a New Vector in Iran, Vector-Host Interaction Modeling and Virulence Gene Determinants. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 1449. [CrossRef]
- Tantely, L.M.; Boyer, S.; Fontenille, D. A Review of Mosquitoes Associated with Rift Valley Fever Virus in Madagascar. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2015, 92, 722–729. [CrossRef]
- 56. Ventim, R.; Ramos, J.A.; Osório, H.; Lopes, R.J.; Pérez-Tris, J.; Mendes, L. Avian Malaria Infections in Western European Mosquitoes. *Parasitol. Res.* 2012, 111, 637–645. [CrossRef]
- Chu, H.; Wu, Z.; Chen, H.; Li, C.; Guo, X.; Liu, R.; Wang, G.; Zhou, M.; Zhao, T. Japanese Encephalitis Virus Infection Rate and Detection of Genotype I From *Culex Tritaeniorhynchus* Collected From Jiangsu, China. *Vector-Borne Zoonot. Dis.* 2017, 17, 503–509. [CrossRef]
- 58. Shete, A.; Yadav, P.D.; Gokhale, M.; Jain, R.; Pardeshi, P.; Majumdar, T.; Mourya, D.T. Proactive Preparedness for Cat Que Virus: An Orthobunyavirus Existing in India. *Indian. J. Med. Res.* **2020**, *151*, 571–577. [CrossRef]
- Hayes, C.G.; Basit, A.; Bagar, S.; Akhter, R. Vector Competence of *Culex Tritaeniorhynchus* (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile Virus1. J. Med. Entomol. 1980, 17, 172–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Ferraguti, M.; Heesterbeek, H.; Martínez-de la Puente, J.; Jiménez-Clavero, M.Á.; Vázquez, A.; Ruiz, S.; Llorente, F.; Roiz, D.; Vernooij, H.; Soriguer, R.; et al. The Role of Different *Culex* Mosquito Species in the Transmission of West Nile Virus and Avian Malaria Parasites in Mediterranean Areas. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* 2021, 68, 920–930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Nchoutpouen, E.; Talipouo, A.; Djiappi-Tchamen, B.; Djamouko-Djonkam, L.; Kopya, E.; Ngadjeu, C.S.; Doumbe-Belisse, P.; Awono-Ambene, P.; Kekeunou, S.; Wondji, C.S.; et al. Culex Species Diversity, Susceptibility to Insecticides and Role as Potential Vector of Lymphatic Filariasis in the City of Yaoundé, Cameroon. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2019**, *13*, e0007229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 62. Samy, A.M.; Elaagip, A.H.; Kenawy, M.A.; Ayres, C.F.J.; Peterson, A.T.; Soliman, D.E. Climate Change Influences on the Global Potential Distribution of the Mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*, Vector of West Nile Virus and Lymphatic Filariasis. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0163863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Tolsá-García, M.J.; Wehmeyer, M.L.; Lühken, R.; Roiz, D. Worldwide Transmission and Infection Risk of Mosquito Vectors of West Nile, St. Louis Encephalitis, Usutu and Japanese Encephalitis Viruses: A Systematic Review. *Sci. Rep.* **2023**, *13*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 64. Paslaru, A.I.; Maurer, L.M.; Vögtlin, A.; Hoffmann, B.; Torgerson, P.R.; Mathis, A.; Veronesi, E. Putative Roles of Mosquitoes (Culicidae) and Biting Midges (*Culicoides* Spp.) as Mechanical or Biological Vectors of Lumpy Skin Disease Virus. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **2022**, *36*, 381–389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Liu, M.; Zhao, T.; Dong, Y.; Lu, B. Different Binding Characteristics of Dengue-2 Virus to Midgut of *Aedes Albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* **2008**, *43*, 49–55. [CrossRef]
- Neelakanta, G.; Sultana, H. Viral Receptors of the Gut: Vector-Borne Viruses of Medical Importance. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2016, 16, 44–50. [CrossRef]
- Smartt, C.T.; Richards, S.L.; Anderson, S.L.; Erickson, J.S. West Nile Virus Infection Alters Midgut Gene Expression in *Culex pipiens Quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2009, *81*, 258–263. [CrossRef]
- 68. Yun, S.I.; Lee, Y.M. Early Events in Japanese Encephalitis Virus Infection: Viral Entry. Pathogens 2018, 7, 68. [CrossRef]
- 69. Lee, W.S.; Webster, J.A.; Madzokere, E.T.; Stephenson, E.B.; Herrero, L.J. Mosquito Antiviral Defense Mechanisms: A Delicate Balance between Innate Immunity and Persistent Viral Infection. *Parasites Vectors* **2019**, *12*, 165. [CrossRef]
- 70. Medigeshi, G.R. Mosquito-Borne Flaviviruses: Overview of Viral Life-Cycle and Host–Virus Interactions. *Future Virol.* **2011**, *6*, 1075–1089. [CrossRef]
- 71. Franz, A.W.E.; Kantor, A.M.; Passarelli, A.L.; Clem, R.J. Tissue Barriers to Arbovirus Infection in Mosquitoes. *Viruses* 2015, 7, 3741–3767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eleftherianos, I.; Heryanto, C.; Bassal, T.; Zhang, W.; Tettamanti, G.; Mohamed, A. Haemocyte-Mediated Immunity in Insects: Cells, Processes and Associated Components in the Fight against Pathogens and Parasites. *Immunology* 2021, 164, 401–432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Han, Y.S.; Chun, J.; Schwartz, A.; Nelson, S.; Paskewitz, S.M. Induction of Mosquito Hemolymph Proteins in Response to Immune Challenge and Wounding. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **1999**, *23*, 553–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. García-Longoria, L.; Ahrén, D.; Berthomieu, A.; Kalbskopf, V.; Rivero, A.; Hellgren, O. Immune Gene Expression in the Mosquito Vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* during an Avian Malaria Infection. *Mol. Ecol.* **2023**, *32*, 904–919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Núñez, A.I.; Esteve-Codina, A.; Gómez-Garrido, J.; Brustolin, M.; Talavera, S.; Berdugo, M.; Dabad, M.; Alioto, T.; Bensaid, A.; Busquets, N. Alteration in the Culex pipiens Transcriptome Reveals Diverse Mechanisms of the Mosquito Immune System Implicated upon Rift Valley Fever Phlebovirus Exposure. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2020, 14, e0008870. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Paradkar, P.N.; Trinidad, L.; Voysey, R.; Duchemin, J.-B.; Walker, P.J. Secreted Vago Restricts West Nile Virus Infection in *Culex* Mosquito Cells by Activating the Jak-STAT Pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 18915–18920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Blair, C.D. Mosquito RNAi Is the Major Innate Immune Pathway Controlling Arbovirus Infection and Transmission. *Future Microbiol.* 2011, 6, 265–277. [CrossRef]
- 78. Blair, C.; Olson, K. The Role of RNA Interference (RNAi) in Arbovirus-Vector Interactions. Viruses 2015, 7, 820–843. [CrossRef]
- 79. Tikhe, C.V.; Dimopoulos, G. Mosquito Antiviral Immune Pathways. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 2021, 116, 103964. [CrossRef]
- 80. Cardoso-Jaime, V.; Tikhe, C.V.; Dong, S.; Dimopoulos, G. The Role of Mosquito Hemocytes in Viral Infections. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 2088. [CrossRef]
- 81. Brackney, D.E. Implications of Autophagy on Arbovirus Infection of Mosquitoes. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2017, 22, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Brackney, D.E.; Correa, M.A.; Cozens, D.W. The Impact of Autophagy on Arbovirus Infection of Mosquito Cells. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2020**, *14*, e0007754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Sun, P.; Nie, K.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wu, P.; Liu, Z.; Du, S.; Fan, H.; Chen, C.-H.; Zhang, R.; et al. A Mosquito Salivary Protein Promotes Flavivirus Transmission by Activation of Autophagy. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Sallam, M.F.; Al Ahmed, A.M.; Abdel-Dayem, M.S.; Abdullah, M.A.R. Ecological Niche Modeling and Land Cover Risk Areas for Rift Valley Fever Vector, *Culex Tritaeniorhynchus* Giles in Jazan, Saudi Arabia. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e65786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Steiger, D.M.; Johnson, P.; Hilbert, D.W.; Ritchie, S.; Jones, D.; Laurance, S.G.W. Effects of Landscape Disturbance on Mosquito Community Composition in Tropical Australia. *J. Vector Ecol.* **2012**, *37*, 69–76. [CrossRef]
- Wilke, A.B.B.; Vasquez, C.; Carvajal, A.; Moreno, M.; Fuller, D.O.; Cardenas, G.; Petrie, W.D.; Beier, J.C. Urbanization Favors the Proliferation of *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* in Urban Areas of Miami-Dade County, Florida. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Samson, D.M.; Archer, R.S.; Alimi, T.O.; Arheart, K.L.; Impoinvil, D.E.; Oscar, R.; Fuller, D.O.; Qualls, W.A. New Baseline Environmental Assessment of Mosquito Ecology in Northern Haiti during Increased Urbanization. J. Vector Ecol. 2015, 40, 46–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Field, E.N.; Tokarz, R.E.; Smith, R.C. Satellite Imaging and Long-Term Mosquito Surveillance Implicate the Influence of Rapid Urbanization on *Culex* Vector Populations. *Insects* **2019**, *10*, 269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Byrne, K.; Nichols, R.A. *Culex pipiens* in London Underground Tunnels: Differentiation between Surface and Subterranean Populations. *Heredity* **1999**, *82*, 7–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 90. Hongoh, V.; Berrang-Ford, L.; Scott, M.E.; Lindsay, L.R. Expanding Geographical Distribution of the Mosquito, *Culex pipiens*, in Canada under Climate Change. *Appl. Geogr.* **2012**, *33*, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- Liu, B.; Gao, X.; Zheng, K.; Ma, J.; Jiao, Z.; Xiao, J.; Wang, H. The Potential Distribution and Dynamics of Important Vectors Culex pipiens Pallens and Culex pipiens Quinquefasciatus in China under Climate Change Scenarios: An Ecological Niche Modelling Approach. Pest. Manag. Sci. 2020, 76, 3096–3107. [CrossRef]
- 92. Tong, Y.; Jiang, H.; Xu, N.; Wang, Z.; Xiong, Y.; Yin, J.; Huang, J.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, Q.; Zhou, Y. Global Distribution of *Culex Tritaeniorhynchus* and Impact Factors. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, 20, 701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Ruybal, J.E.; Kramer, L.D.; Kilpatrick, A.M. Geographic Variation in the Response of *Culex pipiens* Life History Traits to Temperature. *Parasites Vectors* 2016, 9, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Artsob, H.; Gubler, D.J.; Enria, D.A.; Morales, M.A.; Pupo, M.; Bunning, M.L.; Dudley, J.P. West Nile Virus in the New World: Trends in the Spread and Proliferation of West Nile Virus in the Western Hemisphere. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2009, 56, 357–369. [CrossRef]
- 95. Mencattelli, G.; Ndione, M.H.D.; Rosà, R.; Marini, G.; Diagne, C.T.; Diagne, M.M.; Fall, G.; Faye, O.; Diallo, M.; Faye, O.; et al. Epidemiology of West Nile Virus in Africa: An Underestimated Threat. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2022, 16, e0010075. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Kramer, L.D.; Ciota, A.T.; Kilpatrick, A.M. Introduction, Spread, and Establishment of West Nile Virus in the Americas. J. Med. Entomol. 2019, 56, 1448–1455. [CrossRef]
- 97. Carnes, A.; Ogneva-Himmelberger, Y. Temporal Variations in the Distribution of West Nile Virus within the United States; 2000–2008. *Appl. Spat. Anal. Policy* **2012**, *5*, 211–229. [CrossRef]
- 98. Frost, M.J.; Zhang, J.; Edmonds, J.H.; Prow, N.A.; Gu, X.; Davis, R.; Hornitzky, C.; Arzey, K.E.; Finlaison, D.; Hick, P.; et al. Characterization of Virulent West Nile Virus Kunjin Strain, Australia, 2011. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *18*, 792–800. [CrossRef]
- 99. Murgue, B.; Murri, S.; Triki, H.; Deubel, V.; Zeller, H.G. West Nile in the Mediterranean Basin: 1950–2000. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2001**, 951, 117–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chowdhury, P.; Khan, S. Global Emergence of West Nile Virus: Threat & Preparedness in Special Perspective to India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 2021, 154, 36. [CrossRef]
- 101. Watts, M.J.; Sarto i Monteys, V.; Mortyn, P.G.; Kotsila, P. The Rise of West Nile Virus in Southern and Southeastern Europe: A Spatial–Temporal Analysis Investigating the Combined Effects of Climate, Land Use and Economic Changes. One Health 2021, 13, 100315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Gao, X.; Liu, H.; Li, X.; Fu, S.; Cao, L.; Shao, N.; Zhang, W.; Wang, Q.; Lu, Z.; Lei, W.; et al. Changing Geographic Distribution of Japanese Encephalitis Virus Genotypes, 1935–2017. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2019, 19, 35–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Ravanini, P.; Huhtamo, E.; Ilaria, V.; Crobu, M.G.; Nicosia, A.M.; Servino, L.; Rivasi, F.; Allegrini, S.; Miglio, U.; Magri, A.; et al. Japanese Encephalitis Virus RNA Detected in Culex pipiens Mosquitoes in Italy. *Eurosurveillance* 2012, 17, 20221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Platonov, A.E.; Rossi, G.; Karan, L.S.; Mironov, K.O.; Busani, L.; Rezza, G. Does the Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV) Represent a Threat for Human Health in Europe? Detection of JEV RNA Sequences in Birds Collected in Italy. *Eurosurveillance* 2012, 17, 20241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Simon-Loriere, E.; Faye, O.; Prot, M.; Casademont, I.; Fall, G.; Fernandez-Garcia, M.D.; Diagne, M.M.; Kipela, J.-M.; Fall, I.S.; Holmes, E.C.; et al. Autochthonous Japanese Encephalitis with Yellow Fever Coinfection in Africa. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017, 376, 1483–1485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Hanna, J.N.; Ritchie, S.A.; Phillips, D.A.; Shield, J.; Bailey, M.C.; Mackenzie, J.S.; Poidinger, M.; McCall, B.J.; Mills, P.J. An Outbreak of Japanese Encephalitis in the Torres Strait, Australia, 1995. *Med. J. Aust.* **1996**, *165*, 256–260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Furlong, M.; Adamu, A.M.; Hoskins, A.; Russell, T.L.; Gummow, B.; Golchin, M.; Hickson, R.I.; Horwood, P.F. Japanese Encephalitis Enzootic and Epidemic Risks across Australia. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McGuinness, S.L.; Muhi, S.; Britton, P.N.; Leder, K. Japanese Encephalitis: Emergence in Australia. Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 2023, 25, 111–122. [CrossRef]
- 109. Oliver, J.A.; Tan, Y.; Haight, J.D.; Tober, K.J.; Gall, W.K.; Zink, S.D.; Kramer, L.D.; Campbell, S.R.; Howard, J.J.; Das, S.R.; et al. Spatial and Temporal Expansions of Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus and Phylogenetic Groups Isolated from Mosquitoes and Mammalian Cases in New York State from 2013 to 2019. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2020, *9*, 1638–1650. [CrossRef]
- Benzarti, E.; Sarlet, M.; Franssen, M.; Cadar, D.; Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Rivas, J.F.; Linden, A.; Desmecht, D.; Garigliany, M. Usutu Virus Epizootic in Belgium in 2017 and 2018: Evidence of Virus Endemization and Ongoing Introduction Events. *Vector-Borne Zoonot. Dis.* 2020, 20, 43–50. [CrossRef]
- 111. Theodosopoulos, A.N.; Grabenstein, K.C.; Bensch, S.; Taylor, S.A. A Highly Invasive Malaria Parasite Has Expanded Its Range to Non-Migratory Birds in North America. *Biol. Lett.* **2021**, *17*, 20210271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Loiseau, C.; Harrigan, R.J.; Bichet, C.; Julliard, R.; Garnier, S.; Lendvai, Á.Z.; Chastel, O.; Sorci, G. Predictions of Avian Plasmodium Expansion under Climate Change. *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *3*, srep01126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Lewis, J.S.; Farnsworth, M.L.; Burdett, C.L.; Theobald, D.M.; Gray, M.; Miller, R.S. Biotic and Abiotic Factors Predicting the Global Distribution and Population Density of an Invasive Large Mammal. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, srep44152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Hone, J. How Many Feral Pigs in Australia? An Update. Aust. J. Zool. 2020, 67, 215–220. [CrossRef]
- 115. Mclean, R.G. West Nile Virus in North American Birds. Ornithol. Monogr. 2006, 60, 44-64. [CrossRef]

- 116. Di Giallonardo, F.; Geoghegan, J.L.; Docherty, D.E.; McLean, R.G.; Zody, M.C.; Qu, J.; Yang, X.; Birren, B.W.; Malboeuf, C.M.; Newman, R.M.; et al. Fluid Spatial Dynamics of West Nile Virus in the United States: Rapid Spread in a Permissive Host Environment. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 862–872. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Brault, A.C.; Huang, C.Y.H.; Langevin, S.A.; Kinney, R.M.; Bowen, R.A.; Ramey, W.N.; Panella, N.A.; Holmes, E.C.; Powers, A.M.; Miller, B.R. A Single Positively Selected West Nile Viral Mutation Confers Increased Virogenesis in American Crows. *Nat. Genet.* 2007, 39, 1162–1166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Musto, C.; Tamba, M.; Calzolari, M.; Torri, D.; Marzani, K.; Cerri, J.; Bonilauri, P.; Delogu, M. Usutu Virus in Blackbirds (Turdus Merula) with Clinical Signs, a Case Study from Northern Italy. *Eur. J. Wildl. Res.* **2022**, *68*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 119. Ricklefs, R.E.; Outlaw, D.C.; Svensson-Coelho, M.; Medeiros, M.C.I.; Ellis, V.A.; Latta, S. Species Formation by Host Shifting in Avian Malaria Parasites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, *111*, 14816–14821. [CrossRef]
- 120. Savage, H.M.; Anderson, M.; Gordon, E.; Mcmillen, L.; Colton, L.; Charnetzky, D.; Delorey, M.; Aspen, S.; Burkhalter, K.; Biggerstaff, B.J.; et al. Oviposition Activity Patterns and West Nile Virus Infection Rates for Members of the Culex pipiens Complex at Different Habitat Types within the Hybrid Zone, Shelby County, TN, 2002 (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 2006, 43, 1227–1238. [CrossRef]
- 121. Elnaiem, D.-E.A.; Kelley, K.; Wright, S.; Laffey, R.; Yoshimura, G.; Reed, M.; Goodman, G.; Thiemann, T.; Reimer, L.; Reisen, W.K.; et al. Impact of Aerial Spraying of Pyrethrin Insecticide on *Culex pipiens* and *Culex tarsalis* (Diptera: Culicidae) Abundance and West Nile Virus Infection Rates in an Urban/Suburban Area of Sacramento County, California. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2008, 45, 751–757. [CrossRef]
- 122. MacEdo, P.A.; Schleier, J.J.; Reed, M.; Kelley, K.; Goodman, G.W.; Brown, D.A.; Peterson, R.K.D. Evaluation of Efficacy and Human Health Risk of Aerial Ultra-Low Volume Applications of Pyrethrins and Piperonyl Butoxide for Adult Mosquito Management in Response to West Nile Virus Activity in Sacramento County, California. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 2010, 26, 57–66. [CrossRef]
- Trout, R.T.; Brown, G.C.; Potter, M.F.; Hubbard, J.L. Efficacy of Two Pyrethroid Insecticides Applied as Barrier Treatments for Managing Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) Populations in Suburban Residential Properties. J. Med. Entomol. 2007, 44, 470–477. [CrossRef]
- 124. Chaskopoulou, A.; Latham, M.D.; Pereira, R.M.; Connelly, R.; Bonds, J.A.S.; Koehler, P.G. Efficacy of Aerial Ultra-Low Volume Applications of Two Novel Water-Based Formulations of Unsynergized Pyrethroids against Riceland Mosquitoes in Greece. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 2011, 27, 414–422. [CrossRef]
- 125. Bellini, R.; Zeller, H.; Van Bortel, W. A Review of the Vector Management Methods to Prevent and Control Outbreaks of West Nile Virus Infection and the Challenge for Europe. *Parasites Vectors* **2014**, *7*, 323. [CrossRef]
- 126. Su, T.; Yu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Qian, X.; Su, H. Comparative Bioactivity of S-Methoprene and Novel S-Methobutene against Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* **2023**, *60*, 1357–1363. [CrossRef]
- 127. Seccacini, E.; Lucia, A.; Harburguer, L.; Zerba, E.; Licastro, S.; Masuh, H. Effectiveness of Pyriproxyfen and Diflubenzuron Formulations as Larvicides against *Aedes aegypti. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* **2008**, *24*, 398–403. [CrossRef]
- 128. Belinato, T.A.; Martins, A.J.; Lima, J.B.P.; Valle, D. Effect of Triflumuron, a Chitin Synthesis Inhibitor, on *Aedes aegypti, Aedes Albopictus and Culex quinquefasciatus* under Laboratory Conditions. *Parasites Vectors* **2013**, *6*, 83. [CrossRef]
- 129. Rubio, A.; Cardo, M.V.; Junges, M.T.; Carbajo, A.E.; Vezzani, D. Field Efficacy of Triflumuron against *Aedes* and *Culex* Mosquitoes in Temperate Argentina. *J. Asia Pac. Entomol.* **2018**, *21*, 150–155. [CrossRef]
- Mwangangi, J.M.; Kahindi, S.C.; Kibe, L.W.; Nzovu, J.G.; Luethy, P.; Githure, J.I.; Mbogo, C.M. Wide-Scale Application of Bti/Bs Biolarvicide in Different Aquatic Habitat Types in Urban and Peri-Urban Malindi, Kenya. *Parasitol. Res.* 2011, 108, 1355–1363. [CrossRef]
- Arich, S.; Assaid, N.; Weill, M.; Tmimi, F.-Z.; Taki, H.; Sarih, M.; Labbé, P. Human Activities and Densities Shape Insecticide Resistance Distribution and Dynamics in the Virus-Vector *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes from Morocco. *Parasites Vectors* 2024, 17, 72. [CrossRef]
- 132. Lopes, R.P.; Lima, J.B.P.; Martins, A.J. Insecticide Resistance in *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say, 1823 in Brazil: A Review. *Parasit Vectors* **2019**, *12*, 591. [CrossRef]
- 133. Scott, J.G.; Yoshimizu, M.H.; Kasai, S. Pyrethroid Resistance in *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **2015**, 120, 68–76. [CrossRef]
- 134. Xu, Q.; Liu, H.; Zhang, L.; Liu, N. Resistance in the Mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus*, and Possible Mechanisms for Resistance. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 2005, *61*, 1096–1102. [CrossRef]
- 135. Tabbabi, A.; Laamari, A.; Ben Cheikh, R.; Jha, I.B.; Daaboub, J.; Cheikh, H.B. Resistance Development and Insecticide Susceptibility in *Culex pipiens Pipiens*, an Important Vector of Human Diseases, against Selection Pressure of Temephos and Its Relationship to Cross-Resistance towards Organophosphates and Pyrethroids Insecticides. *Afr. Health Sci.* 2018, *18*, 1175. [CrossRef]
- 136. Hafez, A.M.; Abbas, N. Insecticide Resistance to Insect Growth Regulators, Avermectins, Spinosyns and Diamides in *Culex quinquefasciatus* in Saudi Arabia. *Parasites Vectors* **2021**, *14*, 558. [CrossRef]
- 137. Hertig, M.; Wolbach, S.B. Studies on Rickettsia-Like Micro-Organisms in Insects. J. Med. Res. 1924, 44, 329-374.
- Baldo, L.; Hotopp, J.C.D.; Jolley, K.A.; Bordenstein, S.R.; Biber, S.A.; Choudhury, R.R.; Hayashi, C.; Maiden, M.C.J.; Tettelin, H.; Werren, J.H. Multilocus Sequence Typing System for the Endosymbiont *Wolbachia Pipientis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2006, 72, 7098–7110. [CrossRef]

- 139. Gerth, M. Classification of *Wolbachia (Alphaproteobacteria, Rickettsiales)*: No Evidence for a Distinct Supergroup in Cave Spiders. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2016**, 43, 378–380. [CrossRef]
- 140. Guillemaud, T.; Pasteur, N.; Rousset, F. Contrasting Levels of Variability between Cytoplasmic Genomes and Incompatibility Types in the Mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **1997**, *264*, 245–251. [CrossRef]
- 141. Atyame, C.M.; Delsuc, F.; Pasteur, N.; Weill, M.; Duron, O. Diversification of *Wolbachia* Endosymbiont in the *Culex pipiens* Mosquito. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2011**, *28*, 2761–2772. [CrossRef]
- 142. Dumas, E.; Atyame, C.M.; Milesi, P.; Fonseca, D.M.; Shaikevich, E.V.; Unal, S.; Makoundou, P.; Weill, M.; Duron, O. Population Structure of *Wolbachia* and Cytoplasmic Introgression in a Complex of Mosquito Species. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2013, 13, 181. [CrossRef]
- 143. Altinli, M.; Gunay, F.; Alten, B.; Weill, M.; Sicard, M. *Wolbachia* Diversity and Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Patterns in *Culex pipiens* Populations in Turkey. *Parasites Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 198. [CrossRef]
- 144. Duron, O.; Lagnel, J.; Raymond, M.; Bourtzis, K.; Fort, P.; Weill, M. Transposable Element Polymorphism of *Wolbachia* in the Mosquito *Culex pipiens* : Evidence of Genetic Diversity, Superinfection and Recombination. *Mol. Ecol.* 2005, 14, 1561–1573. [CrossRef]
- 145. Zug, R.; Hammerstein, P. Still a Host of Hosts for *Wolbachia*: Analysis of Recent Data Suggests That 40% of Terrestrial Arthropod Species Are Infected. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e38544. [CrossRef]
- Hochstrasser, M. Molecular Biology of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Caused by Wolbachia Endosymbionts. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2023, 77, 299–316. [CrossRef]
- 147. Hoffmann, A.A. Partial Cytoplasmic Incompatibility between Two Australian Populations of *Drosophila Melanogaster*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **1988**, *48*, 61–67. [CrossRef]
- 148. Walker, T.; Johnson, P.H.; Moreira, L.A.; Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I.; Frentiu, F.D.; McMeniman, C.J.; Leong, Y.S.; Dong, Y.; Axford, J.; Kriesner, P.; et al. The WMel Wolbachia Strain Blocks Dengue and Invades Caged Aedes aegypti Populations. Nature 2011, 476, 450–453. [CrossRef]
- Merçot, H.; Charlat, S. Wolbachia Infections in *Drosophila Melanogaster* and *D. Simulans*: Polymorphism and Levels of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. In *Drosophila Melanogaster*, *Drosophila Simulans: So Similar*, *So Different*; Springer: Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 2004; pp. 51–59.
- 150. Clark, M.E.; Veneti, Z.; Bourtzis, K.; Karr, T.L. *Wolbachia* Distribution and Cytoplasmic Incompatibility during Sperm Development: The Cyst as the Basic Cellular Unit of CI Expression. *Mech. Dev.* **2003**, *120*, 185–198. [CrossRef]
- Cooper, B.S.; Ginsberg, P.S.; Turelli, M.; Matute, D.R. Wolbachia in the Drosophila Yakuba Complex: Pervasive Frequency Variation and Weak Cytoplasmic Incompatibility, but No Apparent Effect on Reproductive Isolation. Genetics 2017, 205, 333–351. [CrossRef]
- 152. Reynolds, K.T.; Hoffman, A.A. Male Age, Host Effects and the Weak Expression or Non-Expression of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in *Drosophila* Strains Infected by Maternally Transmitted *Wolbachia. Genet. Res.* 2002, *80*, 79–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Trpis, M.; Perrone, J.B.; Reissig, M.; Parker, K.L. Control of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in the *Aedes Scutellaris* Complex. J. Hered. 1981, 72, 313–317. [CrossRef]
- 154. Ross, P.A.; Axford, J.K.; Yang, Q.; Staunton, K.M.; Ritchie, S.A.; Richardson, K.M.; Hoffmann, A.A. Heatwaves Cause Fluctuations in WMel *Wolbachia* Densities and Frequencies in *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2020**, *14*, e0007958. [CrossRef]
- 155. Ant, T.H.; Mancini, M.V.; McNamara, C.J.; Rainey, S.M.; Sinkins, S.P. *Wolbachia*-Virus Interactions and Arbovirus Control through Population Replacement in Mosquitoes. *Pathog. Glob. Health* **2023**, *117*, 245–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Hoffmann, A.A.; Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I.; Callahan, A.G.; Phillips, B.L.; Billington, K.; Axford, J.K.; Montgomery, B.; Turley, A.P.; O'Neill, S.L. Stability of the WMel *Wolbachia* Infection Following Invasion into *Aedes aegypti* Populations. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2014, 8, e3115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Beebe, N.W.; Pagendam, D.; Trewin, B.J.; Boomer, A.; Bradford, M.; Ford, A.; Liddington, C.; Bondarenco, A.; De Barro, P.J.; Gilchrist, J.; et al. Releasing Incompatible Males Drives Strong Suppression across Populations of Wild and *Wolbachia*-Carrying *Aedes aegypti* in Australia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2021, 118, e2106828118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Crawford, J.E.; Clarke, D.W.; Criswell, V.; Desnoyer, M.; Cornel, D.; Deegan, B.; Gong, K.; Hopkins, K.C.; Howell, P.; Hyde, J.S.; et al. Efficient Production of Male *Wolbachia*-Infected *Aedes aegypti* Mosquitoes Enables Large-Scale Suppression of Wild Populations. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2020, *38*, 482–492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Flores, H.A.; O'Neill, S.L. Controlling Vector-Borne Diseases by Releasing Modified Mosquitoes. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2018, 16, 508–518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 160. da Moura, A.J.F.; Valadas, V.; Da Veiga Leal, S.; Montalvo Sabino, E.; Sousa, C.A.; Pinto, J. Screening of Natural *Wolbachia* Infection in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) from the Cape Verde Islands. *Parasites Vectors* **2023**, *16*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 161. Li, Y.; Sun, Y.; Zou, J.; Zhong, D.; Liu, R.; Zhu, C.; Li, W.; Zhou, Y.; Cui, L.; Zhou, G.; et al. Characterizing the *Wolbachia* Infection in Field-Collected Culicidae Mosquitoes from Hainan Province, China. *Parasites Vectors* **2023**, *16*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 162. Shi, C.; Beller, L.; Wang, L.; Rosas, A.R.; De Coninck, L.; Héry, L.; Mousson, L.; Pagès, N.; Raes, J.; Delang, L.; et al. Bidirectional Interactions between Arboviruses and the Bacterial and Viral Microbiota in *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *mBio* 2022, 13, e01021–e01022. [PubMed]
- 163. Schrieke, H.; Maignien, L.; Constancias, F.; Trigodet, F.; Chakloute, S.; Rakotoarivony, I.; Marie, A.; L'Ambert, G.; Makoundou, P.; Pages, N.; et al. The Mosquito Microbiome Includes Habitat-Specific but Rare Symbionts. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 2022, 20, 410–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 164. Chaves, E.B.; Nascimento-Pereira, A.C.; Pinto, J.L.M.; Rodrigues, B.L.; De Andrade, M.S.; Rêbelo, J.M.M. Detection of *Wolbachia* in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in the State of Maranhão, Brazil. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2022**, *59*, 1831–1836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Tokash-Peters, A.G.; Jabon, J.D.; Fung, M.E.; Peters, J.A.; Lopez, S.G.; Woodhams, D.C. Trans-Generational Symbiont Transmission Reduced at High Temperatures in a West Nile Virus Vector Mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Front. Trop. Dis. 2022, 3, 762132. [CrossRef]
- 166. Bertilsson, F.; Lilja, T. Using the Eminent Toolkit of Wolbachia to Study Culex pipiens Populations and Their Relations in Europe; 2022. (Dissertation). Available online: https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-475716 (accessed on 10 July 2024).
- Wong, M.L.; Liew, J.W.K.; Wong, W.K.; Pramasivan, S.; Mohamed Hassan, N.; Wan Sulaiman, W.Y.; Jeyaprakasam, N.K.; Leong, C.S.; Low, V.L.; Vythilingam, I. Natural *Wolbachia* Infection in Field-Collected *Anopheles* and Other Mosquito Species from Malaysia. *Parasites Vectors* 2020, 13, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 168. Shih, C.-M.; Ophine, L.; Chao, L.-L. Molecular Detection and Genetic Identification of *Wolbachia* Endosymbiont in Wild-Caught *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) Mosquitoes from Sumatera Utara, Indonesia. *Invertebr. Microbiol.* 2021, *81*, 1064–1074. [CrossRef]
- Ding, H.; Yeo, H.; Puniamoorthy, N. Wolbachia Infection in Wild Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): Implications for Transmission Modes and Host-Endosymbiont Associations in Singapore. Parasites Vectors 2020, 13, 1–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. Gil, M.F.; Fassolari, M.; Battaglia, M.E.; Berón, C.M. *Culex quinquefasciatus* Larvae Development Arrested When Fed on Neochloris Aquatica. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2021**, *15*, e0009988. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 171. Alomar, A.A.; Pérez-Ramos, D.W.; Kim, D.; Kendziorski, N.L.; Eastmond, B.H.; Alto, B.W.; Caragata, E.P. Native *Wolbachia* Infection and Larval Competition Stress Shape Fitness and West Nile Virus Infection in *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquitoes. *Front. Microbiol.* **2023**, *14*, 1138476. [CrossRef]
- 172. Horard, B.; Terretaz, K.; Gosselin-Grenet, A.S.; Sobry, H.; Sicard, M.; Landmann, F.; Loppin, B. Paternal Transmission of the *Wolbachia CidB* Toxin Underlies Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. *Curr. Biol.* **2022**, *32*, 1319–1331. [CrossRef]
- 173. Ghousein, A.; Tutagata, J.; Schrieke, H.; Etienne, M.; Chaumeau, V.; Boyer, S.; Pages, N.; Roiz, D.; Eren, A.M.; Cambray, G.; et al. PWCP Is a Widely Distributed and Highly Conserved *Wolbachia* Plasmid in *Culex pipiens* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquitoes Worldwide. *ISME Commun.* 2023, 3, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 174. Ramos-Nino, M.E.; Fitzpatrick, D.M.; Eckstrom, K.M.; Tighe, S.; Hattaway, L.M.; Hsueh, A.N.; Stone, D.M.; Dragon, J.A.; Cheetham, S. Metagenomic Analysis of *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquitoes from Grenada, West Indies. *PLoS* ONE 2020, 15, e231047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Goindin, D.; Cannet, A.; Delannay, C.; Ramdini, C.; Gustave, J.; Atyame, C.; Vega-Rúa, A. Screening of Natural Wolbachia Infection in Aedes aegypti, Aedes Taeniorhynchus and Culex quinquefasciatus from Guadeloupe (French West Indies). Acta Trop. 2018, 185, 314–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Carvajal, T.; Capistrano, J.D.; Hashimoto, K.; Go, K.J.; Cruz, M.A.I.; Martinez, M.J.L.; Tiopianco, V.S.; Amalin, D.; Watanabe, K. Detection and Distribution of *Wolbachia* Endobacteria in *Culex quinquefasciatus* Populations (Diptera : Culicidae) from Metropolitan Manila, Philippines. *J. Vector Borne Dis.* 2018, 55, 265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Reveillaud, J.; Bordenstein, S.R.; Cruaud, C.; Shaiber, A.; Esen, Ö.C.; Weill, M.; Makoundou, P.; Lolans, K.; Watson, A.R.; Rakotoarivony, I.; et al. The *Wolbachia* Mobilome in *Culex pipiens* Includes a Putative Plasmid. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 178. Morçiçek, B.; Taskin, B.G.; Doğaç, E.; Doğaroğlu, T.; Taskin, V. Evidence of Natural Wolbachia Infections and Molecular Identification of Field Populations of *Culex pipiens* Complex (Diptera: Culicidae) Mosquitoes in Western Turkey. *J. Vector Ecol.* 2018, 43, 44–51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Almeida, F.; Suesdek, L. Effects of Wolbachia on Ovarian Apoptosis in Culex quinquefasciatus (Say, 1823) during the Previtellogenic and Vitellogenic Periods. Parasit Vectors 2017, 10, 398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sarwar, M.S.; Shahbaz, F.; Jahan, N. Molecular Detection and Characterization of Wolbachia Pipientis from Culex quinquefasciatus Collected from Lahore, Pakistan. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2018, 98, 154–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nugapola, N.W.N.P.; De Silva, W.A.P.P.; Karunaratne, S.H.P.P. Distribution and Phylogeny of Wolbachia Strains in Wild Mosquito Populations in Sri Lanka. Parasites Vectors 2017, 10, 230. [CrossRef]
- 182. Hegde, S.; Khanipov, K.; Albayrak, L.; Golovko, G.; Pimenova, M.; Saldaña, M.A.; Rojas, M.M.; Hornett, E.A.; Motl, G.C.; Fredregill, C.L.; et al. Microbiome Interaction Networks and Community Structure From Laboratory-Reared and Field-Collected *Aedes aegypti, Aedes Albopictus*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquito Vectors. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, *9*, 2160. [CrossRef]
- 183. Shaikevich, E.V.; Vinogradova, E.B.; Bouattour, A.; Gouveia de Almeida, A.P. Genetic Diversity of *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes in Distinct Populations from Europe: Contribution of *Cx. Quinquefasciatus* in Mediterranean Populations. *Parasites Vectors* 2016, 9, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 184. Ravikumar, H.; Ramachandraswamy, N.; Sampathkumar, S.; Prakash, B.M.; Huchesh, H.C.; Uday, J.; Puttaraju, H.P. A Preliminary Survey for *Wolbachia* and Bacteriophage WO Infections in Indian Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Trop. Biomed.* 2010, 27, 384–393. [PubMed]
- 185. Kittayapong, P.; Baisley, K.J.; Baimai, V.; O'Neill, S.L. Distribution and Diversity of *Wolbachia* Infections in Southeast Asian Mosquitoes (Diptera: *Culicidae*). J. Med. Entomol. 2000, 37, 340–345. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Sinkins, S.P.; Walker, T.; Lynd, A.R.; Steven, A.R.; Makepeace, B.L.; Godfray, H.C.J.; Parkhill, J. Wolbachia Variability and Host Effects on Crossing Type in *Culex* Mosquitoes. *Nature* 2005, 436, 257–260. [CrossRef]

- 187. Duron, O.; Fort, P.; Weill, M. Hypervariable Prophage WO Sequences Describe an Unexpected High Number of *Wolbachia* Variants in the Mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2006, 273, 495–502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsai, K.-H.; Lien, J.-C.; Huang, C.-G.; Wu, W.-J.; Chen, W.-J. Molecular (Sub)Grouping of Endosymbiont Wolbachia Infection among Mosquitoes of Taiwan. J. Med. Entomol. 2004, 41, 677–683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, W.; Rousset, F.; O'Neill, S. Phylogeny and PCR–Based Classification of *Wolbachia* Strains Using Wsp Gene Sequences. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 1998, 265, 509–515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 190. Shaikevich, E.V.; Zakharov, I.A. Polymorphism of Mitochondrial COI and Nuclear Ribosomal ITS2 in the *Culex pipiens* Complex and in *Culex Torrentium* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Comp. Cytogenet.* **2010**, *4*, 161–174. [CrossRef]
- 191. Walker, T.; Song, S.; Sinkins, S.P. *Wolbachia* in the *Culex pipiens* Group Mosquitoes: Introgression and Superinfection. *J. Hered.* 2009, 100, 192–196. [CrossRef]
- 192. Behbahani, A. Wolbachia Infection and Mitochondrial DNA Comparisons among *Culex* Mosquitoes in South West Iran. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 2011, *15*, 54–57. [CrossRef]
- Sunish, I.P.; Rajendran, R.; Paramasivan, R.; Dhananjeyan, K.J.; Tyagi, B.K. Wolbachia Endobacteria in a Natural Population of *Culex quinquefasciatus* from Filariasis Endemic Villages of South India and Its Phylogenetic Implication. *Trop. Biomed.* 2011, 28, 569–576.
- 194. de Almeida, F.; Moura, A.S.; Cardoso, A.F.; Winter, C.E.; Bijovsky, A.T.; Suesdek, L. Effects of *Wolbachia* on Fitness of *Culex* quinquefasciatus (Diptera; Culicidae). Infect. Genet. Evol. 2011, 11, 2138–2143. [CrossRef]
- 195. Morais, S.A.; de Almeida, F.; Suesdek, L.; Marrelli, M.T. Low Genetic Diversity in *Wolbachia* Infected *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Brazil and Argentina. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo* **2012**, *54*, 325–329. [CrossRef]
- Atyame, C.M.; Cattel, J.; Lebon, C.; Flores, O.; Dehecq, J.-S.; Weill, M.; Gouagna, L.C.; Tortosa, P. Wolbachia-Based Population Control Strategy Targeting *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquitoes Proves Efficient under Semi-Field Conditions. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0119288. [CrossRef]
- 197. Micieli, M.V.; Glaser, R.L. Somatic *Wolbachia*(Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) Levels in *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) and Resistance to West Nile Virus Infection. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2014**, *51*, 189–199. [CrossRef]
- 198. Wiwatanaratanabutr, I.; Zhang, C. *Wolbachia* Infections in Mosquitoes and Their Predators Inhabiting Rice Field Communities in Thailand and China. *Acta Trop.* **2016**, 159, 153–160. [CrossRef]
- 199. Wiwatanaratanabutr, I. Geographic Distribution of *Wolbachial* Infections in Mosquitoes from Thailand. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 2013, 114, 337–340. [CrossRef]
- 200. Soto, A.; De Coninck, L.; Devlies, A.-S.; Van De Wiele, C.; Rosales Rosas, A.L.; Wang, L.; Matthijnssens, J.; Delang, L. Belgian *Culex pipiens Pipiens* Are Competent Vectors for West Nile Virus While *Culex Modestus* Are Competent Vectors for Usutu Virus. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2023, 17, e0011649. [CrossRef]
- 201. Vinogradova, E.B.; Shaikevich, E.V.; Ivanitsky, A.V. A Study of the Distribution of the *Culex pipiens* Complex(Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae) Mosquitoes in the European of Russia by Molecular Methods of Identification. *Comp. Cytogenet.* **2007**, *1*, 129–138.
- 202. Talavera, S.; Birnberg, L.; Nuñez, A.I.; Muñoz-Muñoz, F.; Vázquez, A.; Busquets, N. Culex Flavivirus Infection in a *Culex pipiens Mosquito* Colony and Its Effects on Vector Competence for Rift Valley Fever Phlebovirus. *Parasites Vectors* 2018, 11, 310. [CrossRef]
- Rasgon, J.L.; Scott, T.W. An Initial Survey for Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) Infections in Selected California Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): Table 1. J. Med. Entomol. 2004, 41, 255–257. [CrossRef]
- Schrieke, H.; Duron, O.; Trouche, B.; Eren, A.M.; Reveillaud, J. Multiple Wolbachia Subpopulations Co-Occur in Single Culex pipiens Mosquito Organs. *Res. Sq.* 2023. preprint. [CrossRef]
- 205. Altinli, M.; Lequime, S.; Atyame, C.; Justy, F.; Weill, M.; Sicard, M. *Wolbachia* Modulates Prevalence and Viral Load of *Culex pipiens* Densoviruses in Natural Populations. *Mol. Ecol.* **2020**, *29*, 4000–4013. [CrossRef]
- Bozorg-Omid, F.; Oshaghi, M.A.; Vahedi, M.; Karimian, F.; Seyyed-Zadeh, S.J.; Chavshin, A.R. Wolbachia Infection in West Nile Virus Vectors of Northwest Iran. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 2020, 55, 105–113. [CrossRef]
- 207. Ramirez, J.L.; Schumacher, M.K.; Ower, G.; Palmquist, D.E.; Juliano, S.A. Impacts of Fungal Entomopathogens on Survival and Immune Responses of *Aedes Albopictus* and *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes in the Context of Native *Wolbachia* Infections. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2021, 15, e0009984. [CrossRef]
- 208. Bell-Sakyi, L.; Beliavskaia, A.; Hartley, C.S.; Jones, L.; Luu, L.; Haines, L.R.; Hamilton, J.G.C.; Darby, A.C.; Makepeace, B.L. Isolation in Natural Host Cell Lines of *Wolbachia* Strains Wpip from the Mosquito *Culex pipiens* and Wpap from the Sand Fly Phlebotomus Papatasi. *Insects* 2021, *12*, 871. [CrossRef]
- 209. Yang, Y.; He, Y.; Zhu, G.; Zhang, J.; Gong, Z.; Huang, S.; Lu, G.; Peng, Y.; Meng, Y.; Hao, X.; et al. Prevalence and Molecular Characterization of *Wolbachia* in Field-Collected *Aedes Albopictus, Anopheles Sinensis, Armigeres Subalbatus, Culex pipiens and Cx. Tritaeniorhynchus* in China. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2021, 15, e0009911. [CrossRef]
- Torres, R.; Hernandez, E.; Flores, V.; Ramirez, J.L.; Joyce, A.L. Wolbachia in Mosquitoes from the Central Valley of California, USA. Parasites Vectors 2020, 13, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 211. Leggewie, M.; Krumkamp, R.; Badusche, M.; Heitmann, A.; Jansen, S.; Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Tannich, E.; Becker, S.C. *Culex Torrentium* Mosquitoes from Germany Are Negative for *Wolbachia*. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **2018**, *32*, 115–120. [CrossRef]
- Bonneau, M.; Atyame, C.; Beji, M.; Justy, F.; Cohen-Gonsaud, M.; Sicard, M.; Weill, M. Culex pipiens Crossing Type Diversity Is Governed by an Amplified and Polymorphic Operon of Wolbachia. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 319. [CrossRef]

- Tmimi, F.Z.; Bkhache, M.; Mounaji, K.; Failloux, A.B. Sarih First Report of the Endobacteria Wolbachia in Natural Populations of Culex pipiens in Morocco. Source J. Vector Ecol. 2017, 42, 349–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Rasgon, J.L.; Scott, T.W. *Wolbachia* and Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in the California *Culex pipiens* Mosquito Species Complex: Parameter Estimates and Infection Dynamics in Natural Populations. *Genetics* **2003**, *165*, 2029–2038. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Atyame, C.M.; Duron, O.; Tortosa, P.; Pasteur, N.; Fort, P.; Weill, M. Multiple Wolbachia Determinants Control the Evolution of Cytoplasmic Incompatibilities in *Culex pipiens* Mosquito Populations. *Mol. Ecol.* 2011, 20, 286–298. [CrossRef]
- Duron, O.; Raymond, M.; Weill, M. Many Compatible Wolbachia Strains Coexist within Natural Populations of Culex pipiens Mosquito. Heredity 2011, 106, 986–993. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Duron, O.; Weill, M. Wolbachia Infection Influences the Development of *Culex pipiens* Embryo in Incompatible Crosses. *Heredity* 2006, 96, 493–500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muturi, E.J.; Kim, C.-H.; Bara, J.; Bach, E.M.; Siddappaji, M.H. Culex pipiens and Culex restuans Mosquitoes Harbor Distinct Microbiota Dominated by Few Bacterial Taxa. Parasites Vectors 2016, 9, 18. [CrossRef]
- De Pinho Mixao, V.; Mendes, A.M.; Mauricio, I.L.; Calado, M.M.; Novo, M.T.; Belo, S.; Almeida, A.P.G. Molecular Detection of Wolbachia Pipientis in Natural Populations of Mosquito Vectors of Dirofilaria Immitis from Continental Portugal: First Detection in Culex Theileri. Med. Vet. Entomol. 2016, 30, 301–309. [CrossRef]
- 220. Karami, M.; Moosa-Kazemi, S.H.; Oshaghi, M.A.; Vatandoost, H.; Sedaghat, M.M.; Rajabnia, R.; Hosseini, M.; Maleki-Ravasan, N.; Yahyapour, Y.; Ferdosi-Shahandashti, E. *Wolbachia* Endobacteria in Natural Populations of *Culex pipiens* of Iran and Its Phylogenetic Congruence. J. Arthropod Borne Dis. 2016, 10, 347–363. [PubMed]
- 221. Novakova, E.; Woodhams, D.C.; Rodríguez-Ruano, S.M.; Brucker, R.M.; Leff, J.W.; Maharaj, A.; Amir, A.; Knight, R.; Scott, J. Mosquito Microbiome Dynamics, a Background for Prevalence and Seasonality of West Nile Virus. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 526. [CrossRef]
- 222. Zink, S.; Van Slyke, G.; Palumbo, M.; Kramer, L.; Ciota, A. Exposure to West Nile Virus Increases Bacterial Diversity and Immune Gene Expression in *Culex pipiens*. *Viruses* 2015, 7, 5619–5631. [CrossRef]
- Atyame, C.M.; Labbé, P.; Dumas, E.; Milesi, P.; Charlat, S.; Fort, P.; Weill, M. Wolbachia Divergence and the Evolution of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in *Culex pipiens*. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e87336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 224. Zélé, F.; Vézilier, J.; L'Ambert, G.; Nicot, A.; Gandon, S.; Rivero, A.; Duron, O. Dynamics of Prevalence and Diversity of Avian Malaria Infections in Wild *Culex pipiens* Mosquitoes: The Effects of *Wolbachia*, Filarial Nematodes and Insecticide Resistance. *Parasites Vectors* 2014, 7, 437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 225. Beckmann, J.F.; Fallon, A.M. Decapitation Improves Detection of Wolbachia Pipientis(Rickettsiales: Anaplasmataceae) in Culex pipiens; (Diptera: Culicidae) Mosquitoes by the Polymerase Chain Reaction. J. Med. Entomol. 2012, 49, 1103–1108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Surasiang, T.; Chumkiew, S.; Martviset, P.; Chantree, P.; Jamklang, M. Mosquito Larva Distribution and Natural *Wolbachia* Infection in Campus Areas of Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* **2022**, *15*, 314–321. [CrossRef]
- Dyab, A.K.; Galal, L.A.; Mahmoud, A.E.; Mokhtar, Y. Finding Wolbachia in Filarial Larvae and Culicidae Mosquitoes in Upper Egypt Governorate. Korean J. Parasitol. 2016, 54, 265–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 228. Soni, M.; Bhattacharya, C.; Sharma, J.; Khan, S.A.; Dutta, P. Molecular Typing and Phylogeny of Wolbachia: A Study from Assam, North-Eastern Part of India. Acta Trop. 2017, 176, 421–426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jeffries, C.L.; Tantely, L.M.; Raharimalala, F.N.; Hurn, E.; Boyer, S.; Walker, T. Diverse Novel Resident Wolbachia Strains in Culicine Mosquitoes from Madagascar. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 17456. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dodson, B.L.; Andrews, E.S.; Turell, M.J.; Rasgon, J.L. Wolbachia Effects on Rift Valley Fever Virus Infection in Culex Tarsalis Mosquitoes. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2017, 11, e0006050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 231. Dodson, B.L.; Hughes, G.L.; Paul, O.; Matacchiero, A.C.; Kramer, L.D.; Rasgon, J.L. Wolbachia Enhances West Nile Virus (WNV) Infection in the Mosquito *Culex Tarsalis*. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2014**, *8*, e2965. [CrossRef]
- 232. Chen, L.; Zhu, C.; Zhang, D. Naturally Occurring Incompatibilities between Different *Culex pipiens Pallens* Populations as the Basis of Potential Mosquito Control Measures. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2013**, *7*, e2030. [CrossRef]
- 233. Duguma, D.; Hall, M.W.; Smartt, C.T.; Neufeld, J.D. Effects of Organic Amendments on Microbiota Associated with the *Culex Nigripalpus* Mosquito Vector of the Saint Louis Encephalitis and West Nile Viruses. *mSphere* 2017, 2, e00387-16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 234. Calvitti, M.; Moretti, R.; Lampazzi, E.; Bellini, R.; Dobson, S.L. Characterization of a New Aedes Albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae)-Wolbachia Pipientis (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) Symbiotic Association Generated by Artificial Transfer of the w Pip Strain From Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 2010, 47, 179–187. [CrossRef]
- 235. Laven, H. Eradication of Culex pipiens Fatigans through Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. Nature 1967, 216, 383–384. [CrossRef]
- 236. Curtis, C.F.; Brooks, G.D.; Ansari, M.A.; Grover, K.K.; Krishnamurthy, B.S.; Rajagopalan, P.K.; Sharma, L.S.; Sharma, V.P.; Singh, D.; Singh, K.R.P.; et al. A Field Trial on Control of *Culex quinquefasciatus* by Release of Males of a Strain Integrating Cytoplasmic Incompatibility and Translocation. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **1982**, *31*, 181–190. [CrossRef]
- 237. Atyame, C.M.; Pasteur, N.; Dumas, E.; Tortosa, P.; Tantely, M.L.; Pocquet, N.; Licciardi, S.; Bheecarry, A.; Zumbo, B.; Weill, M.; et al. Cytoplasmic Incompatibility as a Means of Controlling *Culex pipiens Quinquefasciatus* Mosquito in the Islands of the South-Western Indian Ocean. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2011, *5*, e1440. [CrossRef]

- Ant, T.H.; Herd, C.; Louis, F.; Failloux, A.B.; Sinkins, S.P. Wolbachia Transinfections in Culex quinquefasciatus Generate Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. Insect Mol. Biol. 2020, 29, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 239. Kilpatric, A.; Seidl, C.; Ipsaro, I.; Garrison, C.; Fabbri, G.; Howell, P.; McGowan, A.; White, B.; Mitchell, S. Transinfection of *Wolbachia WAlbB* into *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquitoes Does Not Alter Vector Competence for Hawaiian Avian Malaria (Plasmodium Relictum GRW4). *bioRxiv* 2024. [CrossRef]
- 240. Kriesner, P.; Hoffmann, A.A.; Lee, S.F.; Turelli, M.; Weeks, A.R. Rapid Sequential Spread of Two Wolbachia Variants in Drosophila Simulans. PLoS Pathog. 2013, 9, e1003607. [CrossRef]
- 241. Zeng, Q.; She, L.; Yuan, H.; Luo, Y.; Wang, R.; Mao, W.; Wang, W.; She, Y.; Wang, C.; Shi, M.; et al. A Standalone Incompatible Insect Technique Enables Mosquito Suppression in the Urban Subtropics. *Commun. Biol.* **2022**, *5*, 1419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 242. Martinez, J.; Klasson, L.; Welch, J.J.; Jiggins, F.M. Life and Death of Selfish Genes: Comparative Genomics Reveals the Dynamic Evolution of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2021**, *38*, 2–15. [CrossRef]
- LePage, D.P.; Metcalf, J.A.; Bordenstein, S.R.; On, J.; Perlmutter, J.I.; Shropshire, J.D.; Layton, E.M.; Funkhouser-Jones, L.J.; Beckmann, J.F.; Bordenstein, S.R. Prophage WO Genes Recapitulate and Enhance *Wolbachia*-Induced Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. *Nature* 2017, 543, 243–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beckmann, J.F.; Ronau, J.A.; Hochstrasser, M. A Wolbachia Deubiquitylating Enzyme Induces Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. Nat. Microbiol. 2017, 2, 17007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 245. Lindsey, A.R.I.; Rice, D.W.; Bordenstein, S.R.; Brooks, A.W.; Bordenstein, S.R.; Newton, I.L.G. Evolutionary Genetics of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Genes *CifA* and *CifB* in Prophage WO of *Wolbachia*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 2018, 10, 434–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 246. Sicard, M.; Namias, A.; Perriat-Sanguinet, M.; Carron, E.; Unal, S.; Altinli, M.; Landmann, F.; Weill, M. Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Variations in Relation with *Wolbachia Cif* Genes Divergence in *Culex pipiens*. ASM J. 2021, 12, 10–1128.
- 247. Bonneau, M.; Caputo, B.; Ligier, A.; Caparros, R.; Unal, S.; Perriat-Sanguinet, M.; Arnoldi, D.; Sicard, M.; Weill, M. Variation in Wolbachia CidB Gene, but Not CidA, Is Associated with Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Mod Phenotype Diversity in Culex pipiens. Mol. Ecol. 2019, 28, 4725–4736. [CrossRef]
- 248. Shropshire, J.D.; Rosenberg, R.; Bordenstein, S.R. The Impacts of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility Factor (*CifA* and *CifB*) Genetic Variation on Phenotypes. *Genetics* 2021, 217, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 249. Shropshire, J.D.; Bordenstein, S.R. Two-by-One Model of Cytoplasmic Incompatibility: Synthetic Recapitulation by Transgenic Expression of *CifA* and *CifB* in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet*. **2019**, *15*, e1008221. [CrossRef]
- 250. Adams, K.L.; Abernathy, D.G.; Willett, B.C.; Selland, E.K.; Itoe, M.A.; Catteruccia, F. *Wolbachia CifB* Induces Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in the Malaria Mosquito Vector. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2021**, *6*, 1575–1582. [CrossRef]
- McNamara, C.J.; Ant, T.H.; Harvey-Samuel, T.; White-Cooper, H.; Martinez, J.; Alphey, L.; Sinkins, S.P. Transgenic Expression of *Cif* Genes from *Wolbachia* Strain WAlbB Recapitulates Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in *Aedes aegypti. Nat. Commun.* 2024, 15, 869. [CrossRef]
- Moreira, L.A.; Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I.; Jeffery, J.A.; Lu, G.; Pyke, A.T.; Hedges, L.M.; Rocha, B.C.; Hall-Mendelin, S.; Day, A.; Riegler, M.; et al. A Wolbachia Symbiont in Aedes aegypti Limits Infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell 2009, 139, 1268–1278. [CrossRef]
- 253. Kambris, Z.; Blagborough, A.M.; Pinto, S.B.; Blagrove, M.S.C.; Godfray, H.C.J.; Sinden, R.E.; Sinkins, S.P. Wolbachia Stimulates Immune Gene Expression and Inhibits Plasmodium Development in *Anopheles Gambiae*. PLoS Pathog. 2010, 6, e1001143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 254. Kambris, Z.; Cook, P.E.; Phuc, H.K.; Sinkins, S.P. Immune Activation by Life-Shortening *Wolbachia* and Reduced Filarial Competence in Mosquitoes. *Science* 2009, *326*, 134–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dorigatti, I.; McCormack, C.; Nedjati-Gilani, G.; Ferguson, N.M. Using Wolbachia for Dengue Control: Insights from Modelling. Trends Parasitol. 2018, 34, 102–113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 256. Ferguson, N.M.; Hue Kien, D.T.; Clapham, H.; Aguas, R.; Trung, V.T.; Bich Chau, T.N.; Popovici, J.; Ryan, P.A.; O'Neill, S.L.; McGraw, E.A.; et al. Modeling the Impact on Virus Transmission of *Wolbachia* -Mediated Blocking of Dengue Virus Infection of *Aedes aegypti. Sci. Transl. Med.* 2015, 7, 279ra37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 257. Glaser, R.L.; Meola, M.A. The Native Wolbachia Endosymbionts of *Drosophila Melanogaster* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Increase Host Resistance to West Nile Virus Infection. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e0011977. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilson, A.J.; Harrup, L.E. Reproducibility and Relevance in Insect-Arbovirus Infection Studies. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2018, 28, 105–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martinez, J.; Longdon, B.; Bauer, S.; Chan, Y.-S.; Miller, W.J.; Bourtzis, K.; Teixeira, L.; Jiggins, F.M. Symbionts Commonly Provide Broad Spectrum Resistance to Viruses in Insects: A Comparative Analysis of *Wolbachia* Strains. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014, 10, e1004369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Terradas, G.; McGraw, E.A. Wolbachia-Mediated Virus Blocking in the Mosquito Vector Aedes aegypti. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 2017, 22, 37–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lindsey, A.; Bhattacharya, T.; Newton, I.; Hardy, R. Conflict in the Intracellular Lives of Endosymbionts and Viruses: A Mechanistic Look at *Wolbachia*-Mediated Pathogen-Blocking. *Viruses* 2018, 10, 141. [CrossRef]
- Ross, P.A.; Turelli, M.; Hoffmann, A.A. Evolutionary Ecology of Wolbachia Releases for Disease Control. Annu. Rev. Genet. 2019, 53, 93–116. [CrossRef]

- Hoffmann, A.A.; Michael, T. Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in Insects. In *Influential Passengers*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1997; pp. 42–80.
- 264. Ross, P.A.; Wiwatanaratanabutr, I.; Axford, J.K.; White, V.L.; Endersby-Harshman, N.M.; Hoffmann, A.A. *Wolbachia* Infections in *Aedes aegypti* Differ Markedly in Their Response to Cyclical Heat Stress. *PLoS Pathog.* **2017**, *13*, e1006006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 265. Ross, P.A.; Robinson, K.L.; Yang, Q.; Callahan, A.G.; Schmidt, T.L.; Axford, J.K.; Coquilleau, M.P.; Staunton, K.M.; Townsend, M.; Ritchie, S.A.; et al. A Decade of Stability for WMel *Wolbachia* in Natural *Aedes aegypti* Populations. *PLoS Pathog.* 2022, 18, e1010256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 266. Martinez, J.; Ant, T.H.; Murdochy, S.M.; Tong, L.; Da Silva Filipe, A.; Sinkins, S.P. Genome Sequencing and Comparative Analysis of *Wolbachia* Strain WAlbA Reveals *Wolbachia*-Associated Plasmids Are Common. *PLoS Genet.* 2022, 18, e1010406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 267. Wood, D.O.; Hines, A.; Tucker, A.M.; Woodard, A.; Driskell, L.O.; Burkhardt, N.Y.; Kurtti, T.J.; Baldridge, G.D.; Munderloh, U.G. Establishment of a Replicating Plasmid in *Rickettsia Prowazekii*. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e34715. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.