Published in partnership with the Systems Biology Institute

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41540-024-00408-7

Bifurcations in coupled amyloid-β aggregation-inflammation systems

Check for updates

Kalyan S. Chakrabarti 1, Davood Bakhtiari 2² & Nasrollah Rezaei-Ghaleh 3^{3,4}

A complex interplay between various processes underlies the neuropathology of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and its progressive course. Several lines of evidence point to the coupling between AB aggregation and neuroinflammation and its role in maintaining brain homeostasis during the long prodromal phase of AD. Little is however known about how this protective mechanism fails and as a result, an irreversible and progressive transition to clinical AD occurs. Here, we introduce a minimal model of a coupled system of Aß aggregation and inflammation, numerically simulate its dynamical behavior, and analyze its bifurcation properties. The introduced model represents the following events: generation of Aß monomers, aggregation of Aß monomers into oligomers and fibrils, induction of inflammation by A β aggregates, and clearance of various A β species. Crucially, the rates of A β generation and clearance are modulated by inflammation level following a Hill-type response function. Despite its relative simplicity, the model exhibits enormously rich dynamics ranging from overdamped kinetics to sustained oscillations. We then specify the region of inflammation- and coupling-related parameters space where a transition to oscillatory dynamics occurs and demonstrate how changes in Aβ aggregation parameters could shift this oscillatory region in parameter space. Our results reveal the propensity of coupled Aβ aggregation-inflammation systems to oscillatory dynamics and propose prolonged sustained oscillations and their consequent immune system exhaustion as a potential mechanism underlying the transition to a more progressive phase of amyloid pathology in AD. The implications of our results in regard to early diagnosis of AD and anti-AD drug development are discussed.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia, characterized by a progressive and irreversible loss of memory, cognitive functions, and language skills¹. Two neuropathological hallmarks of AD are the extracellular deposition of amyloid- β (A β) peptide as senile plaques and intracellular deposition of tau protein as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs)². More recently, neuroinflammation has been suggested as another pathological hallmark of AD³. Several lines of evidence support the amyloid cascade hypothesis, according to which A β aggregation is the key initial event in the pathogenesis of AD, triggering a cascade of pathological events including tau protein hyperphosphorylation and aggregation into NFTs, inflammation, reactive oxygen species generation, synaptic dysfunction, and neuronal death⁴.

A β peptides are 39–43 residue-long peptides produced through two consecutive proteolytic cleavages of a transmembrane protein called amyloid precursor protein (APP) by membrane-bound proteases β - and γ - secretases⁵. A β is degraded via A β -degrading proteases (A β DPs) such as neprilysin, insulin-degrading enzyme, and endothelin-converting enzyme⁶, or cleared from the brain through transport to blood via blood-brain-barrier or secretion to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)⁷. The A β concentration in the brain is determined by a subtle balance between its production and degradation or clearance rates. A β has a concentration-dependent propensity to form oligomeric and fibrillar aggregates, which, especially in the case of oligomeric aggregates, exhibit neurotoxic properties⁸. Familial forms of AD are often caused by mutations that increase the rate of total A β generation or the fraction of more aggregation-prone A β variants such as A β 42, or lead to a change in A β sequence and alter its aggregation properties⁹. The molecular mechanisms underlying the sporadic forms of AD are much less known. However, the potential role of aberrations in the clearance mechanisms of A β and tau protein and mechanisms associated with neuroinflammation have been proposed^{10,11}.

¹Department of Biological Science and Chemistry, Krea University, Sri City, India. ²Stadtapotheke Calw Pharmacy, Calw, Germany. ³Heinrich Heine University (HHU) Düsseldorf, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institute of Physical Biology, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁴Institute of Biological Information Processing, IBI-7: Structural Biochemistry, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, Jülich, Germany. ^Me-mail: <u>Nasrollah.Rezaie.Ghaleh@hhu.de</u>

In addition to aggregation-related proteopathy, the AD brain exhibits the hallmarks of pathological inflammation¹². As in other systems, the dynamics of the inflammatory response to an injury involves temporally coordinated transformation of pro-inflammatory to anti-inflammatory cells and, consequently, a concerted shift from pro-inflammatory to antiinflammatory cytokines, hence the self-control of inflammatory response¹³. Several lines of evidence indicate the existence of feedback and feedforward mechanisms between A β (and tau protein) aggregation and inflammation, as implied in the amyloid cascade hypothesis. For example, A β aggregates activate astrocytes and trigger an inflammatory response through secretion of MCP-1, attraction of monocytes from blood, differentiation of monocytes to pro- and then anti-inflammatory macrophages, and coordinated activation of pro- and anti-inflammatory microglia¹⁴⁻¹⁶. On the other hand, the activated astrocytes produce $A\beta^{17}$, and the activated macrophages and microglia promote A β clearance mechanisms¹⁸. Clearly, the coupling between AB aggregation and inflammation generates mechanisms compensating for the adverse effects of AB aggregates and maintaining brain homeostasis during the long prodromal phase of AD. Only when these compensatory mechanisms become inefficient, irreversible, and progressive transition to pre-clinical and clinical phases of AD occur¹⁹. Despite its crucial importance, the nature of this transition and its potential underlying molecular factors have remained elusive.

It is widely believed that amyloid aggregation of protein follows a nucleation-dependent polymerization model (NPM), in which the slow formation of growth nuclei from protein monomers precedes rapid elongation of the formed nuclei to amyloid fibrils. The actual mechanism of protein amyloid aggregation is, however, often more complex than the classical NPM²⁰. For example, the formation of nuclei of aggregation may occur through alternative routes depending on whether only monomers (primary nucleation), only fibrils (fragmentation), or both monomers and



Fig. 1 | A schematic representation of the minimal model of coupled Aβ aggregation and inflammation used in this study. The following events (and related parameters) are shown: (i) generation of AB monomers by neurons and astrocytes (rate, k_{\perp}), (ii) clearance (degradation) of A β (rate constant for A β monomers, k_{\perp} , scaled down by factors γ_o for oligomers and γ_f for fibrils), (iii) primary nucleation (forward rate constant, j_1^{n1} , with the superscript n1 representing the molecular order with respect to A β monomers; reverse rate constant, j_{-1}^1 , with superscript representing the molecular order of 1 with respect to Aβ oligomers), (iv) oligomer conversion (rate constant, $j_2^{nconv,1}$, with the superscripts *nconv* and 1 representing molecular orders with respect to Aβ monomers and oligomers, respectively), (v) elongation (rate constant, $j_3^{1,1}$, with the superscripts 1 and 1 representing molecular orders with respect to AB monomers and fibrils, respectively), (vi) secondary nucleation (rate constant, $j_4^{n2,1}$, with the superscripts n2 and 1 representing molecular orders with respect to AB monomers and fibrils, respectively), (vii) generation of inflammation by A β oligomers and fibrils (governed by the weight factors δ_{ρ} and δ_{f} , respectively), (viii) modulation of rates of Aß generation and clearance by inflammation, and (ix) self-inhibitory mechanisms of inflammation (represented by k_{infl}).

fibrils (secondary nucleation) are involved. In addition, the formation of growth-competent nuclei may occur through one or more steps. The elongation step may also occur through monomer addition and end-to-end association of fibrils. In the case of A β , several kinetic models have been proposed to describe its in vitro aggregation, including models by Lomakin et al.²¹, Pallitto and Murphy²², Morris et al.²³, and several models by Lomakin et al.²¹, Pallitto and Knowles et al.^{24,25}, among others. These models had proven remarkably successful in reproducing dynamics of A β aggregation in closed in vitro systems, especially when they were constructed on the basis of highly reproducible experimental data²⁵. Little, however, is known about how the coupling with inflammation may affect the dynamics of A β aggregation, as well as generation and degradation, are modulated by the existing inflammation.

Here, we present a minimal mathematical model of a coupled open system of AB aggregation and inflammation and analyze its dynamics by searching its parameter space. Our results demonstrate that the model can show a range of behavior-a pattern observed in nonlinear dynamical systems. The in vitro version of the model-closed and uncoupled with the immune system-reproduces the kinetic behavior observed in biochemical experiments. The open system-with reported monomeric Aß generation and degradation rates-reproduces the physiological concentration of monomer, oligomer, and fibril. The in vivo version of the model is both open and coupled to the immune system; that is, the kinetic parameters are modulated by inflammation induced by $A\beta$ oligomers and fibrils. This model shows the range of behaviors encompassing the previous two scenarios, but in addition, complex oscillatory behavior depends upon kinetic and couplingrelated parameters. The steady oscillations over prolonged periods might lead to immune system reprogramming, exhaustion, and eventual failure²⁶, which may have detrimental consequences regarding compensatory mechanisms and contribute to a transition to a more progressive phase of amyloid pathology in AD. Besides, the different roles played by the set of kinetic and coupling-related parameters in displaying oscillations might provide new candidates as potential targets for therapeutic interventions.

Results

The minimal model used in this study is illustrated in Fig. 1. It contains processes of AB monomer generation, aggregation of AB monomers into oligomers and fibrils, clearance or degradation of AB monomers and aggregates, induction of inflammation by Aß aggregates, and modulatory effect of inflammation on these processes. Aß aggregation involves four steps: primary nucleation, conversion (of oligomers into elongationcompetent fibrillar particles), elongation (by addition of monomers into growing ends of fibrils), and secondary nucleation (by the surface of fibrils), as described and validated in literature²⁵. To represent the modulatory effect of inflammation, the rates of the above-mentioned processes were assumed to be in principle inflammation-dependent and vary from the intrinsic value in the absence of inflammation to a final value at an infinite level of inflammation according to a Hill function. The model is represented by a system of coupled ordinary differential equations (ODE). The parameters and values of the model, henceforth called standard parameter values, are listed in Table 1 (further details in "Methods"). Below, we describe the model in detail, including its constituting steps and the corresponding ODEs.

Equation for $A\beta$ monomer

The A β monomers (a_m) are generated and secreted at the rate k_+ into the extracellular space of the brain and cleared with a first-order rate constant k_- with respect to A β monomer concentration (a_m) . The aggregation-related consumption of monomeric A β occurs through four distinct molecular mechanisms: (a) primary nucleation governed by the rate constant j_1 , during which A β monomers form oligomers capable of progressing towards fibrillar aggregation. The kinetic order of oligomerization reaction with respect to a_m is n1. The A β oligomers (a_o) dissociate with a first-order rate constant j_{-1} , (b) the irreversible conversion of A β oligomers to elongation-competent fibrillar particles. This process is governed by the rate constant j_2 with the reaction order *nconv* with respect to a_m and one to a_o ,

Table 1 | The list of parameters and their standard values used in our simulations (unless the use of other values is explicitly stated)*

Parameter	Description	Value
<i>k</i> ₊	Rate of Aß monomer generation:	
k ₊₀	At zero inflammation level	$10^{-12} (7.34 \times 10^{-12})^{**}$
$k_{+\infty}$	At infinite inflammation	0
k_	Rate constant of Aß monomer clearance:	
<i>k</i> _0	At zero inflammation level	$2.78 \times 10^{-5} (2.78 \times 10^{-4})^{**}$
$k_{-\infty}$	At infinite inflammation	$1.39 \times 10^{-4} (1.39 \times 10^{-3})^{**}$
γ _o	Attenuation factor for AB clearance due to oligomer formation	0.05
Υf	Attenuation factor for AB clearance due to fibril formation	0.01
<i>j</i> ₁	Primary nucleation: association rate constant for Aβ oligomer formation	6.7 × 10 ⁻⁸
<i>j</i> _1	Primary nucleation: dissociation rate constant	9.7 × 10 ⁻⁵
<i>n</i> 1	Primary nucleation: kinetic order with respect to $A\beta$ monomers	0.8
<i>j</i> ₂	Oligomer conversion: forward rate constant	1.9 × 10 ⁺⁹
j_2	Oligomer conversion: backward rate constant	0
nconv	Oligomer conversion: kinetic order with respect mmatory potentials of the oligom to A β monomers	2.7
j ₃	Fibril elongation: forward rate constant	6.0 × 10 ⁺⁶
j3	Fibril elongation: backward rate constant	0
<i>j</i> ₄	Secondary nucleation: association rate constant for Aß oligomer formation	2
<i>j</i> _4	Secondary nucleation: dissociation rate constant	0
n2	Secondary nucleation: kinetic order with respect to Aß monomers	0.9
δ_o	Inflammation induction factor by $A\beta$ oligomers	800,000
δ_f	Inflammation induction factor by $A\beta$ fibrils	200,000
k _{infl}	Auto-regulation constant for inflammation	0.01
infl_ref	Reference inflammation level corresponding to a response function of 0.5	0.1
steep	Steepness of the response function	50

*Source: the values of Aβ aggregation-related parameters were mainly taken from model 3 in reference²⁵.

**To shorten the time required to reach the steady state, the parameter values in parentheses were used in calculations in the open coupled state (shown in Figs. 3 and 4). The use of these values did not lead to a significant change in the steady state calculated for the open uncoupled state (as shown in Fig. 2f).

(c) The irreversible elongation process is governed by the rate constant j_3 , during which A β monomers are added to the growing ends of fibrillar particles (a_{fp}), and (d) the irreversible secondary nucleation occurring on the surface of A β fibrils (a_f), leading to the formation of new A β oligomers. This process is governed by the rate constant j_4 with the reaction order n2 with respect to a_m and one to a_f . Equation 1 below describes the time-dependent changes in A β monomer concentration (a_m) according to the abovementioned generation, degradation, and aggregation process:

$$\dot{a_m} = k_+ - k_- a_m - j_1 a_m^{n1} + j_{-1} a_o - \frac{nconv}{(1+nconv)} j_2 a_m^{nconv} a_o - j_3 a_m a_{fp} - j_4 a_m^{n2} a_f$$
(1)

Equation for Aβ oligomer

The A β oligomers (a_o) are generated through reversible primary and irreversible secondary nucleation processes governed by the rate constants j_1 , j_{-1} , and j_4 , as described in processes (a) and (d) above. The A β oligomers are consumed through the irreversible aggregation-related conversion process governed by rate constant j_2 , as in process (b) described above, and cleared following the rate constant k_- scaled by an oligomer-specific factor (γ_o). Equation 2 below describes the time-dependent changes in A β oligomer concentration (\dot{a}_o) according to the processes:

$$\dot{a_o} = j_1 a_m^{n1} - j_{-1} a_o - \frac{1}{(1 + nconv)} j_2 a_m^{nconv} a_o + j_4 a_m^{n2} a_f - \gamma_o k_- a_o \quad (2)$$

Equation for A_β fibril and fibril particle

Time-dependent changes in concentration of A β fibrils are described through two variables, a_{fp} and a_f , respectively, representing the number and mass concentration of A β fibrils. In our model, A β fibril particles, a_{fp} , are generated from A β oligomers and monomers through the irreversible conversion process, as described in process (b) above, according to:

$$\dot{a_{fp}} = j_2 a_m^{nconv} a_o \tag{3}$$

The irreversible elongation process (process c above) does not change the number concentration of fibril particles; however, it increases the mass concentration of A β fibrils (a_f). In addition, we assume a clearance process for A β fibrils which reduces a_f (but not a_{fp}), following the rate constant k_- , scaled by a fibril-specific factor (γ_f).

$$\dot{a}_f = j_2 a_m^{nconv} a_o + j_3 a_m a_{fp} - \gamma_f k_- a_f \tag{4}$$

Equation for inflammation level

In our minimal model, the level of inflammation is simply represented by a single variable *infl*. It is assumed that inflammation is induced in a concentration-dependent manner by A β oligomers (a_o) and fibril (a_f), each weighted by a factor representing their inflammation-inducing propensity (δ_o and δ_f , respectively). The complex self-control of inflammation is simply modeled by a linear control mechanism involving only a propor-

tional term with the proportionality constant k_{infl} .

$$infl = \delta_o a_o + \delta_f a_f - k_{infl} infl \tag{5}$$

Coupling between inflammation and kinetic parameters

To model the effect of inflammation on various kinetic parameters, we introduce a Hill-type response function (*resp*),

$$resp = \frac{infl^{steep}}{infl_ref^{steep} + infl^{steep}}$$
(6)

which varies between 0 (at infl = 0) and 1 (as $infl \to \infty$). The parameter $infl_ref$ determines a reference inflammation level at which the response function is half-maximum (i.e., resp = 0.5), and the parameter *steep* determines the steepness of *resp* variation around this reference inflammation level. The inflammation dependence of the kinetic parameters *c* (*c*: k_+ , k_- , j_1etc_-) is in principle controlled in our model through the following relations:

$$c = c_0 + (c_\infty - c_0) resp \tag{7}$$

in which c_0 represents the intrinsic value of the kinetic parameter in the absence of inflammation and c_{∞} , its value when $infl \rightarrow \infty$. While our model is capable of containing the modulatory effect of inflammation on all the interested kinetic parameters, for the sake of simplicity, we focus here on its effects on generation and degradation rate constants, k_+ and k_- . Except for a few small differences introduced because of mass conservation requirements in the closed system, the minimal model is reduced to the kinetic model introduced in the literature²⁵ for in vitro aggregation of A β , i.e., when $k_+ = k_- = 0$ (the system is closed) and no inflammation is present (infl = 0). Below, we describe the kinetic behavior of the minimal model in different systems.

The closed uncoupled system

First, we investigated the behavior of the model when it is closed $(k_{+} = k_{-} = 0)$ and in the absence of inflammation (*infl* = 0), as shown in Fig. 2. Aß aggregation under this condition resembles the in vitro aggregation of AB, which has been extensively studied through various experimental approaches²¹⁻²⁵. Here, we have chosen parameter values reported in the literature²⁵, where the choice of A β aggregation model and related parameter values was based on rigorous mass quantification of in vitro Aß aggregation through ³H labeling and natural abundance mass spectrometry measurements (Table 1). The time-dependent variation in the relative amount of Aβ monomers (Fig. 2a), oligomers (Fig. 2b), and fibrils (Fig. 2c) was determined based on a range of initial monomer concentration varying from $0.01 \,\mu\text{M}$ up to $100 \,\mu\text{M}$. The A β fibril formation is an irreversible process, so all the monomer is eventually converted to fibril in the course of the simulation. However, during the simulation period, Aβ oligomers were transiently formed and consumed, either dissociating back into monomers or aggregating into fibrils. The relative population and lifetime of oligomers are maximum at the lowest initial monomer concentration of 0.01 µM. At this starting concentration, the relative oligomer population reaches 2.5% from 10⁵ s to beyond 10⁷ s. On the other hand, at the highest initial monomer concentration of 100 µM, the relative population of oligomers reached only 0.5% over a much shorter period between 10² s and 10⁴ s. At 1 μ M, 2 μ M, and 5 μ M of A β monomer concentrations, the relative population of oligomers peaked at about 2% and fell rapidly afterward. The concentration-dependent change in the relative population and lifetime of A β oligomers reflect the changing relative contribution of the aggregation pathways, that is, dominated by primary nucleation at lower concentration and secondary nucleation at higher concentration. Accordingly, a transition in the calculated size of the individual fibrils was evident (Fig. 2d). The fibrils were the largest but took the longest time to reach the length of 4×10^5 molecules as the monomer concentration dropped to 0.05 µM. On the other

hand, at concentrations above 1 μ M, the fibrils did not grow beyond 50,000 monomers in length. At concentrations lower than 0.05 μ M, the fibrils did not reach their full length within the simulation time of 10^7 s.

The slopes in the Double-Logarithmic plot were then used to determine the molecular order for aggregation rate and lag time (Fig. 2e). The molecular order (exponent) was 1.59 for the aggregation rate and -1.39 for the lag time, in agreement with previous reports^{24,25}. Overall, our model captures the kinetics of in vitro A β aggregation when the system is closed and in the absence of inflammation.

The open uncoupled system

Next, we opened the system by switching on the generation/degradation events $(k_{\perp} \text{ and } k_{\perp}, \text{ respectively})$ and simulated the kinetic behavior of the model in the absence of inflammation or coupling with it. For k_{\perp} , a value of 10^{-12} M.s⁻¹ was used, which considering the total neuron number of ca. 10^{11} in human brains and a brain volume of ca. 1.5 L, corresponds roughly to 10 Aβ molecules per neuron per second, close to experimental reports^{27,28}. For k_{-} , we assumed a fractional clearance rate of 10% h^{-1} , close to the values of 6-9% h^{-1} previously reported^{10,29}, which corresponds to k_{-} of $2.78 \times 10^{-5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$. For the clearance of A β oligomers and fibrils, we used scaling factors γ_{o} of 0.05 and γ_{f} of 0.01, respectively, representing the expectedly higher resistance of Aß oligomers and especially fibrils to degradation and clearance mechanisms, when compared to $A\beta$ monomers³⁰. With the used values of k_{\perp} and k_{\perp} , a quasi-steady-state value of about 35 nM for monomeric A β was reached within 10⁵ s when the initial monomeric A β varied in the range $0-10 \,\mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 2f, the initial concentration for oligomeric and fibrillar Aß concentration was zero). The steady-state level of oligomeric Aß was 3-4 orders of magnitude smaller than that of monomeric Aß, and only a small fraction of monomeric A β (about 1% when starting from 1 μ M monomer) was converted to fibrils during the course of the simulation. These values are in reasonable qualitative agreement with the level of various AB species in young non-AD brains³¹. The steady-state concentration of A β lies close but below the range of 50-500 nM in which the synaptic- (but not neuro-) toxic effects of A β starts,^{28,32} therefore it can represent the boundary between the health state and the earliest stages of the long prodromal phase of AD. Notably, the calculated final concentration of AB monomers, oligomers, and especially fibrils showed relatively high sensitivity to parameters k_{\perp} and k_{-} , when compared with the other model parameters (Table 1).

The open-coupled system

Having established the intrinsic values of kinetic parameters for Aß generation, aggregation, and degradation, the open Aß aggregation system was subsequently coupled to the inflammation system. The oligomers and, to a smaller extent, fibrils of A β were allowed to induce inflammation ($\delta_o > \delta_f > 0$, see Eq. 5 above), which, in turn, can modulate the kinetic parameters of the generation and degradation of A^β through a Hill-type response function (see Eqs. 6 and 7 above). The higher propensity of small Aβ oligomers than fibrils to induce in vivo inflammation^{33,34} and the inflammation-induced increase in A β clearance rates^{11,13,18} are in line with previous reports. The simulated kinetic of the open coupled system using standard values of system parameters (see Table 1) showed convergence to a homeostatic state (Fig. 2g). Notably, as more clearly seen in their phase space behavior, the Aβ monomer and oligomer concentrations showed overdamping features; despite starting from different initial states and some initial spikes during the transient phase, they converged to a fixed steady-state point (Fig. 2h). When compared with the open uncoupled system, the calculated final concentration of AB monomers and oligomers showed much lower sensitivity to parameters k_{+} and k_{-} (Supplementary Table 2), reflecting the homeostatic nature of the coupled system.

Next, we studied the open-coupled system with respect to three parameters related to inflammation response, namely, *steep*, *infl_ref* and k_{infl} . Depending upon the values of these three coupling-related parameters, the system showed more complex behavior than previously observed in closed or open uncoupled systems above. While the coupled system reproduced the overdamped and damped

Fig. 2 | Simulated kinetics of Aβ aggregation. a-d Time-dependent changes in concentration of A β monomers (**a**), oligomers (**b**), and fibrils (**c**) in the closed model, i.e., with no generation and no clearance of AB and no inflammation or coupling with it, shown for initial AB monomer concentration varying from 0.01 µM to 100 µM. In d, the timedependent changes in the average fibrillar length are demonstrated. e The log-log plots of aggregation rate and lag time vs initial Aß monomer concentration yield apparent molecular orders (exponents) in close agreement with previous reports. f Time-dependent changes in concentration of AB monomers, oligomers, and fibrils in the open model, i.e., with generation and clearance of Aß switched on but no inflammation or coupling with it allowed. The system approaches physiologically reasonable steady-state levels for AB monomers and oligomers, while gradual slight accumulation of AB fibrils is observed. With the two sets of generation (k_{\perp}) and clearance (k_) rates used, no significant change was observed in the steady-state concentration of Aß monomers and oligomers. g Time-dependent changes in concentration of Aß monomers, oligomers, and fibrils (top panel) and inflammation level (bottom panel) in the open coupled model, i.e., an open model in which induction of inflammation and coupling between Aß generation/clearance and inflammation are allowed. The example shown here is calculated for the initial AB monomer concentration of 0.6 uM. h Two-dimensional phase planes showing Aß fibril (top panel) or oligomer (bottom panel) vs A\beta monomer concentration changes in the open coupled model with initial Aß monomer concentrations of 0-1.2 µM. Despite different initial states, the trajectories converge to a fixed steady-state point.



oscillations observed in the uncoupled systems, the steady oscillations were also observed at specific values of the inflammatory parameters. Therefore, we investigated the transition from overdamped and damped oscillation to steady-oscillation (i.e., bifurcation) with respect to these coupling-related parameters as well as parameters related to A β aggregation system, as follows.

Oscillation related to the inflammation parameters

To investigate the effect of coupling-related parameters on the kinetic of systems, we varied the three parameters *steep*, *infl_ref* and *k_{infl}* over a reasonably broad range, and simulated the system kinetics. A rich diversity in the kinetic behavior of the system was observed over the studied region of this three-parameter space, including monotonic kinetics, overdamped, damped,

and steady oscillations. Parts of our results are shown in Fig. 3a as a contour map corresponding to $A\beta$ monomer concentration (*z*-axis, represented as colors) as a function of time (the inner *x*-axis) with respect to a range of parameter values (*steep* and *infl_ref*, respectively, as the outer and inner *y*-axis and k_{infl} , as the outer *x*-axis). In the contour map, the flat colors signify monotonic behavior, the few ridges ending in flat colors imply overdamped or damped oscillation, while consecutive ridges signify steady oscillation. In general, the most pronounced steady oscillations were observed when the steep was 20 or higher, the k_{infl} was 0.001, and the *infl_ref* varied over 0.002–0.2 (the four top-left subfigures). For example, the top left subfigure with *steep* value of 50 shows a curving of the ridges forming a ripple pattern, indicating that the period of oscillation is sensitive to the *infl_ref* parameter varying along the *y*-axis. Furthermore, the period of oscillation appears not to be constant along the same trajectory but reduces as time progresses.

To better characterize the effect of these coupling-related parameters on the properties of concentration oscillations, we focused on the later part of trajectories and monitored the effect of these three parameters separately, as follows:

The k_{infl} parameter

The k_{infl} was varied over the range $0.1 - 6 \times 10^{-3}$ while maintaining fixed values for *steep* and *infl_ref* at 50 and 0.005, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3a, b, the period of concentration-oscillations reduced from about 7000 s at k_{infl} of 0.1×10^{-3} to about 2000 s at k_{infl} of 3×10^{-3} , before losing the regular oscillatory behavior at higher k_{infl} values. Interestingly, the normalized amplitude of oscillations in concentrations (normalized concerning average concentration) showed a similar behavior decreasing in an inverse sigmoidal manner from the maximum possible value of 2 at k_{infl} of 0.1×10^{-3} to negligibly small values at k_{infl} of 3×10^{-3} . Overall, a gradual shift from large-amplitude fast oscillations were observed when k_{infl} varied between 0.1×10^{-3} and 3×10^{-3} . The regular oscillatory behavior was lost at k_{infl} above 3×10^{-3} .

The steep parameter

The oscillatory potential of *steep* parameter was investigated by keeping the values of *infl_ref* and k_{infl} fixed at 0.005 and 3×10^{-3} , respectively. The *steep* parameter showed oscillatory behavior above the value of 20 and reached the maximum amplitude by the value of 30 and above. The regular period exhibited a slight dependence on *steep* value, increasing from about 4500 s at *steep* of 20 to the saturating value of around 5500 s at *steep* of 100 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Overall, a shift from smaller-amplitude faster oscillations to larger-amplitude slower oscillation was observed over the *steep* values of 20 - 30.

The infl_ref parameter

The same analysis was carried out for *infl_ref* by keeping the values of *steep* and k_{infl} fixed at 50 and 1×10^{-3} , respectively. The regular period of oscillation reduced from around 8000 s to about 4000 s as the *infl_ref* varied from 0.001 to 0.1. The steady amplitude dropped steeply from maximum values of 2 to zero as *infl_ref* approached 0.1 (Supplementary Fig. 2). The system entered a non-oscillatory regime at *infl_ref* above 0.1. Overall, a shift from large-amplitude slow oscillations to small-amplitude fast oscillations was observed when the *infl_ref* parameter increased from 0.001 to 0.1. This effect is similar to that of k_{infl} but opposite to that of *steep* parameter.

Oscillations related to generation and degradation parameters

The above analysis showed the propensity of system to exhibit steady oscillation within specific regions of the coupling-related parameter subspace. To examine the effect of parameters related to generation and clearance, namely k_+ and k_- on the kinetic behavior of system, we fixed the coupling-related parameters *steep*, *infl_ref* and k_{infl} at 50, 0.01, and 0.003, respectively, where the system does not show an oscillatory kinetics with the so-called standard values of k_+ and k_- (Table 1). Then evaluated the effect of variation of these two parameters, as follows:

The k_{+} parameter

This parameter represents the rate of A β monomer generation, supposedly decreasing from an intrinsic value k_{+0} at zero inflammation to a value of $k_{+\infty}$ at infinite inflammation. Several familial AD-related mutations are known to increase the rate of A β monomer generation, e.g., by favoring the amyloidogenic pathway in the proteolytic cleavage of APP⁹. It is therefore interesting to evaluate its effect on the kinetics of coupled aggregation-inflammation system. To this end, we varied k_{+0} in the range 10^{-12} to 10^{-8} (the standard value of k_{+0} was 10^{-9}) while keeping $k_{+\infty} = 0$. Within the range of 2×10^{-10} till 6×10^{-9} , the system showed steady oscillations with period of ~ 2500 s and maximum normalized amplitude of up to 1.5. Interestingly, however, the system entered non-oscillatory regime at 6×10^{-9} (Fig. 4a, b).

The k_- parameter

This parameter represents the clearance or degradation rate of A β monomers directly (and A β oligomers and fibrils indirectly after the application of a scaling factor), which we suppose it increases from k_{-0} at zero inflammation to $k_{-\infty}$ at infinite inflammation. Several lines of evidence point to the altered rate of protein degradation in neurodegenerative diseases, e.g., as a consequence of disease-related mutations or posttranslational modifications^{35,36}. To evaluate the effect of variation in this parameter, we fixed the above k_{+0} and $k_{+\infty}$ values, respectively at 7×10^{-12} and 0, where the system was outside but not far from the oscillatory regime, then varied k_{-0} in the range from 10^{-6} to 5×10^{-4} (the standard value of k_{-0} was 2.78×10^{-4} , and kept $k_{-\infty} = 5k_{-0}$. Despite this large variation, the system did not exhibit steady oscillations (Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, the effect of A β monomer degradation rate on the bifurcation of the system is in clear contrast with the effect of its generation rate (see below for the effect of degradation rate for A β oligomers and fibrils).

Oscillations related to oligomerization and reverseoligomerization processes

Subsequently, we investigated the effect of changes in aggregation-related parameters on the kinetics of the system. We started with the parameters j_1 and j_{-1} , which control the oligomerization and reverse-oligomerization process during primary nucleation. Several AD-related mutations and posttranslational modifications of AB are known to enhance AB oligomerization^{37,38} and alter the thermodynamic and kinetic stability of its aggregates^{39,40}. In addition, anti-AD antibodies and drug candidates are often targeted at the oligomerization process and modulate these rates⁴¹. To simulate the effect of changes in these parameters, we fixed the values of coupling-related parameters at the level described in the "The k_{-} parameter" section and allowed generation and degradation rates to follow their assumed inflammation dependence. We then varied j_1 in steps from 10^{-8} to 10^{-6} (the standard value of j_1 was 6.7×10^{-8}), assuming that this parameter itself was inflammation-independent, i.e., $j_{10} = j_{1\infty} = j_1$. The A β aggregation system started showing oscillatory behavior from the j_1 value of 10^{-7} and reached a steady oscillation of about 2500 s period and 1.5 normalized amplitude (Fig. 4c, d). The system retained its oscillatory kinetics till $j_1 = 10^{-6}$.

A similar analysis was performed for the reverse-oligomerization rate j_{-1} , by varying j_{-1} from 10^{-5} to 2×10^{-3} in steps, while keeping $j_1 = 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$. The system showed relatively weak oscillatory behavior between j_{-1} of 10^{-3} and 3×10^{-3} , having a maximum normalized amplitude of 0.8 and showing a decrease in the period from 2000 s to 1000 s (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Oscillations related to fibrillation and elongation processes

In our model, the fibrils grow by primary or secondary nucleation processes, followed by elongation. The effects of the kinetic parameters related to fibrillation were studied after fixing the coupling-related parameters as described above and setting $j_1 = 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$ and $j_{-1} = 9.7 \times 10^{-5}$. With these starting parameters the system shows mild oscillation with a period of about 1940 s and a normalized amplitude of 0.342. We varied the rate

Fig. 3 | Bifurcation analysis for the coupled Aβ aggregation-inflammation with respect to inflammation- and coupling-related parameters. a Contour maps representing temporal changes in Aß monomer concentration in dependence on three parameters: k_{infl} (outer x-axis), steep (outer y-axis), and *infl_ref* (inner *y*-axis). Changes in these three parameters lead to distinct kinetic behaviors. As an example, a slice of contour maps at steep = 50 and $infl_ref = 0.005$, demonstrates how changes in the kinfl parameter affects oscillatory changes in Aβ monomer concentration (bottom of the panel). b Changes in the steady-state (relative) amplitude (primary axis, blue) and period (secondary axis, orange) of oscillations caused by variation in kinfl parameter (at *steep* = 50 and *infl_ref* = 0.005). When k_{infl} increases, a transition from largeamplitude slow oscillation to small-amplitude fast oscillation is observed. Oscillations with very small amplitudes represent damped oscillations, in which the calculated spacing between peaks (period) can frequently become irregular and unreliable.



constants j_2 (related to oligomer conversion) from 10^{-9} to 10^{-11} , j_3 (related to fibril elongation) from 10^5 to 10^7 , and j_4 (related to secondary nucleation) from 2 to 200 in steps. In our model, all these steps are irreversible, that is, $j_{-2} = j_{-3} = j_{-4} = 0$. No considerable change in the mild oscillatory behavior of the system was observed in this rather broad range of values for fibrillation-related parameters (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Oscillations related to the clearance of A $\!\beta$ aggregates

A β oligomers and fibrils are potentially cleared by the recruited macrophages and to a lower extent, activated microglia via degradation mechanism over and above proteasomal degradation pathways⁴². Mutations and modifications in the A β sequence may alter the stability of its aggregates against proteolytic pathways³⁵. Besides, new therapeutic



Fig. 4 | Bifurcation analysis for the coupled A β aggregation-inflammation system with respect to A β generation, aggregation, and clearance parameters. **a**-f Contour map representing temporal changes in A β monomer concentration in dependence of A β monomer generation rate constant k_+ (**a**), rate constant for A β oligomer formation during primary nucleation (**c**), and attenuation factor, γ_o for clearance rate constant of A β oligomers relative to monomers (**e**). The corresponding changes in the steady-state (relative) amplitude (primary axis, blue) and

period (secondary axis, orange) of oscillations caused by variation in these three parameters are shown in (**b**, **d**, and **f**). Pronounced changes in the oscillatory dynamics of the system are observed as a consequence of variations in these parameters (in these simulations, the values of inflammation-related parameters were: *steep* = 50, *infl_ref* = 0.01 and k_{infl} = 0.003). In panels **b**, **d**, and **f**, oscillations with very small amplitudes represent damped oscillations, in which the calculated spacing between peaks (period) can frequently become irregular and unreliable.

interventions, including monoclonal antibodies, modify the kinetics of clearance of the A β aggregates^{43,44}. In our model, the clearance kinetics of oligomers and fibrils is described by the degradation rate k_{-} , scaled down by constant factors γ_o for oligomers and γ_f for fibrils. To investigate the effect of oligomer clearance rate on the system kinetics, we varied the parameter γ_o from 0.01 (corresponding to highly stable oligomers against proteolytic degradation, k_{-} is attenuated to 1%) to 1.0 (corresponding to highly susceptible oligomers to proteolytic degradation, or when clearing is enhanced by therapy) in steps. Interestingly, the system shows weak but steady oscillation between the values of $\gamma_o = 0.01-0.2$, with the maximum

normalized amplitude of 0.6 and period reducing from about 2000 s to about 1000 s with increasing value of γ_o (Fig. 4e, f). The system becomes non-oscillatory above $\gamma_o = 0.2$ and remains so till the value of 1.0.

Subsequently, we examined the effect of fibrillar clearance rate by setting $\gamma_o = 0.05$, such that the system shows mild oscillation with a period of about 1940 s and normalized amplitude of 0.342 (as in the "Oscillations related to fibrillation and elongation processes" section). The attenuation factor of fibril clearance, γ_f was then varied between 0.01 (corresponding to highly stable fibrils against proteolysis) to 1 (corresponding to highly susceptible fibrils to proteolysis) in steps. Remarkably, this variation had no

considerable effect on the oscillatory behavior of the system (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Discussion

Here, we have presented a minimal model of a coupled aggregationinflammation system for A β peptide, in which A β monomers proceed toward aggregation through primary and secondary nucleation and elongation processes, the generated A β oligomers and fibrils induce inflammation, and the inflammation in turn enhances A β clearance and reduces its generation (Fig. 1). Despite its simplicity, the model exhibits remarkably rich dynamics, especially a large propensity for oscillatory kinetics depending on the parameters controlling the coupling between aggregation and inflammation (Fig. 3). Several A β aggregation-related parameters, esp. rates of generation and clearance of A β monomers (k_+ and k_-), rate of A β oligomerization during primary nucleation (j_1), and the parameter governing the stability of A β oligomers against proteolytic degradation (γ_o), are shown to modulate the oscillatory regime of system dynamics (Fig. 4).

Biochemical oscillations are rather common and occur in a broad range of cellular biological contexts underlying circadian rhythms, DNA synthesis and mitosis, development and so on⁴⁵. The main requirements for (bio) chemical oscillators are negative feedback loops accompanied by implicit or explicit time delays and a high level of nonlinearity in system equations (often involving interaction between chemical species), which are not uncommon to be fulfilled in biochemical systems⁴⁵. The oscillatory response of the immune system to antigenic stimulation has been reported decades ago⁴⁶ and a growing body of evidence during past decades has demonstrated that many aspects of the immune response follow oscillatory kinetics⁴⁷. It is well known that AB aggregates especially oligomers can trigger a cascade of inflammatory response by activating microglia and astrocytes, involving recruitment of peripheral macrophages to the sites of AB deposition and promoting removal of AB aggregates by recruited macrophages and, to a lower extent, activated microglia¹³. In addition, the temporally regulated emergence of pro- and anti-inflammatory microglia and macrophages and the corresponding cytokines control the temporal evolution of the immune response, leading to the end of the immune response after re-establishing the homeostatic state without A β aggregates^{13,19}. Unlike several published models of the immune system of AD^{47–50}, the minimal model presented here does not attempt to capture the complex internal dynamics of the immune response to AB aggregates, instead, represents the generated inflammation simply as a time-dependent state variable, *infl*, capable of modulating the production and clearance rates of A β , respectively k_{\perp} and k_{\perp} , according to a Hill-type response function (resp). In coupling between inflammation and Aß system, the model is based on two simple premises, that inflammation promotes clearance of AB, as supported by a vast body of experimental data^{11,13,18}, and that inflammation suppresses A β generation. The latter premise admittedly goes against reports suggesting the presence of vicious cycles of Aβ generation enhancement by inflammation^{17,51}, but is supposed here to represent a physiological state of the system more robust against progression to a pathological state. Despite the very simple structure of our model, it exhibits a rich dynamical behavior depending on the couplingrelated parameters, most notable a pronounced propensity for steady oscillations. The oscillatory potential of the system can be attributed to the presence of a general oscillatory motif, the negative feedback with time delay introduced by a series of intermediate steps between AB monomer and inflammation⁴⁵. As expected, the emergence of significant oscillations depended on appropriate time constants underlying the production and consumption of various Aß species and inflammation. Interestingly, the periodicity and relative amplitude of oscillations depended on the couplingrelated parameters, e.g., within the oscillatory basin of parameter space, an increase in the parameter k_{infl} representing higher tendency of inflammation response to control itself led to a gradual shift from large-amplitude slow oscillations to small-amplitude fast oscillations. A similar trend was observed for the parameter *infl_ref* representing the general sensitivity of the coupled system to inflammation levels, while the parameter steep representing the steepness of the system response to inflammation had an opposite effect.

In relation with the above-mentioned coupling-related parameters, many molecular factors are naturally involved in how the AB clearance pathways (e.g., in macrophages, microglia, or in relation to transport to blood or CSF) and AB generation (by neurons and astrocytes) respond to inflammation and how the inflammation response governs its self-limiting kinetics (e.g., by a variety of pro- and anti-inflammatory macrophages and microglia and the corresponding cytokines)^{11,13,15,16}. Consequently, alterations in these molecular factors have the potential to push the system away from its homeostatic state towards oscillatory kinetics. Besides, our data shows that the AD-related mutations and posttranslational modifications which affect the intrinsic rates of A β generation (through parameter k_{+}), A β oligomerization (through parameter i_1), and A β clearance (through a parameter k_{-}), esp. clearance of A β oligomers (through a parameter γ_{0}), shift the oscillatory regime and induce oscillatory kinetics at normally nonoscillatory region of coupling-related parameter space. Therefore, a complex interplay of molecular factors related to Aß aggregation, inflammation and coupling between them seem to underlie the rich dynamics of the system, including its pronounced propensity for steady oscillations. Notably, rich dynamics of protein aggregation systems have previously been modeled based on the coupling between A β and tau protein aggregation in AD⁵², or based on the coexistence of kinetically distinct aggregates in prion systems⁵³. Based on our data, we argue that such steady oscillations could lead to immune system exhaustion over prolonged periods and eventually lead to the failure of compensatory mechanisms, hence contributing to a transition to a more progressive phase of amyloid pathology in pre-clinical AD. Accordingly, we propose that detection of such oscillations in levels of AB species or neuroinflammation could be considered as potential biomarkers for the early diagnosis of AD in normal, mild cognitive impairment or preclinical AD cases, especially considering the relatively long periodicities (in the order of tens of minutes to few hours) and potentially large amplitude of oscillations shown here.

The complex interplay between cancer and the immune system has been the subject of extensive studies in the past decades and oscillations in cancer pathology (remission-recurrence) or inflammation have been predicted and experimentally detected^{54,55}. In AD, the altered level and kinetic of systemic and neuroinflammation are shown by numerous reports based on blood (plasma) and CSF markers of inflammation and neuroimaging methods⁵⁶⁻⁶¹, however, to our best knowledge, there is no report of experimental detection of oscillatory dynamics in different stages of AD. Our data indicate the potential presence of oscillations in amyloid pathology or inflammation in AD and propose that with vigilant monitoring of related biomarkers at proper time intervals such oscillations could be detected. Notably, several neuro-imaging techniques (including PET, MRS, and fMRI) are available to monitor neuroinflammation and amyloid pathology at sufficiently short time intervals⁵⁹⁻⁶², and some neuroimaging observations already point to an oscillating amyloid burden in a mouse model of AD⁶³. Furthermore, oscillations in the coupled Aß aggregation and inflammation system may be related to perturbations in circadian rhythms and sleep disturbances frequently associated with pre-clinical and early stages of AD⁶⁴. We, therefore, propose that the search for oscillatory dynamics in AD be included in epidemiological studies that search for early biomarkers of AD.

There is currently no cure for AD, but palliative treatment. An active approach in searching for efficient anti-AD treatment is to develop agents targeting A β aggregation at different steps, e.g., reducing its generation, inhibiting its aggregation, or promoting its clearance⁴⁴. The results presented here based on a minimal model of coupled aggregation-inflammation show the potential of such interventions in profoundly altering the kinetics of the system, e.g., inducing oscillations, in the in vivo context. It is not clear what the effect of such oscillatory kinetics would be on the outcome of therapeutic interventions, but we suggest that this hitherto largely neglected aspect should be taken into consideration in clinical trials of potential anti-AD drug candidates. The presence and characteristic features of oscillations in treated and non-treated groups could then provide additional information on the efficacy of anti-AD agents and facilitate the interpretation of trial results. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the mutual interplay between a

pathological process and the immune system is a rather generic phenomenon and complex dynamical patterns could emerge in a broad range of pathophysiological systems. The modeling approach presented here is therefore potentially applicable to many such systems, including non-AD neurodegenerative and other diseases.

To summarize, we introduce a minimal model of AD-related AB aggregation coupled to inflammation, based on a well-validated model of Aß aggregation in vitro. The simulated behavior of this simple model in dependence of various parameters related to Aß aggregation and the coupling between inflammation and A β aggregation demonstrates the rich dynamics of this system, including a pronounced propensity to steady oscillations. We search for regions of coupling-related parameter space in which a drastic shift in system dynamics towards oscillatory dynamics, i.e., bifurcation, occurs and demonstrate how changes in Aß aggregation-related parameters can shift such bifurcations. Our data provides a simple mechanism for potential steady oscillations in AB and inflammation levels and suggests that such steady oscillations could lead to eventual exhaustion of the immune system and failure of compensatory mechanisms over prolonged periods and contribute to the transition of AD into a more progressive amyloid pathology phase. Furthermore, we propose that the presence and features of such oscillations should be taken into account in the search for early biomarkers of AD and potential drugs in anti-AD clinical trials.

Methods

The system was modeled by monitoring the species concentrations using a system of coupled ODEs. Our model describes the early phase of AD when there is no significant build-up of plaques. Therefore, in our model, the brain is considered a homogeneous compartment of fixed volume with respect to the concentrations of A β aggregation species. In our simulations the A β monomer concentration is 0 at t = 0, however, we have checked the validity of the results starting from initial A β monomer concentrations at the physiologically relevant levels (Supplementary Fig. 7).

We translated the equations into *Mathematica* notebooks (version 12.3.1.0)^{65,66} and used the numerical differential equation solver (*NDSolve*) to generate an interpolating function for the system that approximates the behavior within the boundaries of $0-10^7$ s (115 days, 17 h, and 47 min). We have used the automatic option of the *NDSolve* for the adaptive procedure to determine the step size and number of steps to satisfy the default *AccuracyGoal* and *PrecisionGoal* parameters. In standard workstations (AMD Ryzen 7 2700, eight-core 3.2 GHz processor, 64 GB RAM) the generation and plotting of the time-course in the *Mathematica* notebook required about 10 min with marginal improvement upon parallelization of *NDSolve*.

To calculate the aggregation rates and lag times for systems with various initial monomer concentrations (as shown in Fig. 2e), we used a logistic function for the fitting process (Least Square Method, SciPy). The growth constant in the logistic function corresponds to the aggregation rate and the *t*-axis intercept with the tangent at the inflection point gives us the lag time.

Time course simulations were performed till 10^7 s at 1 s time intervals using the deterministic numerical method of lines algorithm. The early behavior of the model was established from the knowledge of the steady-state concentrations of the A β species and the reported kinetics of the aggregation process. The *FindPeaks* function within *Mathematica* was utilized to measure the peak-to-peak distance as period (Supplementary Fig. 8). The baseline in the latter part of the steady oscillation or the damped oscillation at 50,000 s was determined in a way that the mid-point between the valleys and troughs was one (Supplementary Fig. 9a). The amplitudes were normalized with respect to the baseline (Supplementary Fig. 9b). The three-dimensional surfaces of the contour maps were plotted with the *ArrayPlot* function in *Mathematica* with the default density gradient color function.

The system contained five state variables, a_m , a_o , a_{fp} , and a_f , respectively, representing concentrations of the monomer, oligomer, fibril particles, and fibrils of A β , and *infl*, representing the inflammation level. The dynamics of the system were modeled using a system of coupled ODE (Eqs. 1–5). The inflammation level *infl* was calculated using a differential equation

that depends on the concentrations and the inflammatory potentials of different aggregation states of A β (Eq. 5). We have used a numerical differential equation solver (*NDSolve*) within *Mathematica* for the time course of 10⁷ s with *MaxSteps* = 10⁸. The value of *MaxSteps* was a balance between the accuracy and compilation time of the *Mathematica* notebook. We have generated the time course for each situation with differing values of the parameter under investigation using *ParallelTable*, which improves the compilation time.

The closed uncoupled system

To simulate the closed uncoupled system, the rate of generation of Aβ monomers and the rate of degradation of Aβ monomers were fixed at 0, $k_+ = k_- = 0$. The initial Aβ monomer concentration was varied from 0.01 µM, 0.02 µM, 0.05 µM, 0.1 µM, 0.2 µM, 0.5 µM, 1.0 µM, 2.0 µM, 5.0 µM, 10.0 µM, 20.0 µM, 50 µM, and 100 µM, while the initial Aβ oligomer (a_o) , fibril particle (a_{fp}) and fibril (a_f) concentrations were set to 0. The initial level of inflammation was set to *infl* = 0, and the inflammatory potential of the aggregation species was set to $\delta_o = \delta_f = 0$. All other parameters are as described in Table 1.

The open uncoupled system

In the open uncoupled system, the rate of generation of A β monomers was set to $k_{+} = 10^{-12}$, and the rate of A β clearance was set to $k_{-} = 2.78 \times 10^{-5}$ (Table 1 and Fig. 2f). The choice of these parameter values was supported by previous reports and validated based on the steady-state concentration of different A β species. The simulation was started with the concentration of A β monomer, oligomer, fibril particle and fibrils set to 0. The initial level of inflammation was set to infl = 0, and the inflammatory potential of the aggregation species was set to $\delta_o = \delta_f = 0$. The use of a second set of values for generation and clearance rates ($k_{+} = 7.34 \times 10^{-12}$ and $k_{-} = 2.78 \times 10^{-4}$) did not lead to a significant difference in the steady state (Fig. 2f).

The open-coupled system

The system was coupled with the inflammation by setting the inflammatory potentials of the oligomer to $\delta_o = 800,000$ and of the fibril to $\delta_f = 200,000$, reflecting the higher potential of A β oligomers than fibrils to induce inflammation. In response to generated inflammation, the rates of A β monomer generation (k_+) and degradation (k_-) were varied between their intrinsic values $(k_{+0} \text{ and } k_{-0})$ and post-inflammation values $(k_{+\infty} \text{ and } k_{-\infty})$ according to a Hill-type response function (see Eqs. 6 and 7). The response function (*resp*) varied between 0 and 1. The simulation was started with the concentration of A β monomer, oligomer, fibril particle, and fibrils set to 0. The initial level of inflammation was set to *infl* = 0. All other parameters are as shown in Table 1. All subsequent calculations were performed in the opencoupled system.

Test for convergence of the open-coupled system

We have checked the convergence properties of the equations by fixing the initial concentrations of the $A\beta$ monomers to $a_m = 0 \ \mu M$, 0.3 μM , 0.6 μM , 0.9 μM , and 1.2 μM . Supplementary Fig. 7 shows the phase space of the A β oligomer, a_o vs A β monomer, a_m , converging to an overlapped limit cycle—showing convergence to steady oscillation. The convergence was achieved within 5×10^4 s, within 0.5% of the time course. The phase space was drawn using *ParametricPlot* in *Mathematica* with *MaxRecursion* = 5. The *MaxRecursion* is a balance between the accuracy and compilation time of the *Mathematica* notebooks.

Exploring the parameter space

The role of the parameters was explored individually by keeping all the other parameters fixed. The parameter under investigation was varied in a linear array consisting of 200 or more values. The range of the parameter was within one order of magnitude, that is, 10-fold less to 10-fold more than the base value reported in Table 1. We have checked the parameters *steep*, *infl_ref*, k_{infl} , k_{+0} (with $k_{+\infty} = 0$), k_{-0} (with $k_{-\infty} = 5k_{-0}$), j_1 , j_{-1} , j_2 , j_3 , j_4 ,

 γ_o and γ_f (see Eqs. 1–6 and Table 1 for the definition of parameters). We investigated each parameter within individual notebooks, which usually took approximately 10 min to compile in standard workstations (AMD Ryzen 7 2700, eight-core 3.2 GHz processor, 64 GB RAM).

We inspected the time courses of concentrations of A β aggregation species by plotting the time course of 10⁵ s for regular intervals of each parameter under investigation. For example, we inspected 25 uniformly distributed plots where we changed the parameter under investigation in 100 equal steps. The most visible dynamical profiles could be seen in the case of A β monomer concentration a_m , while other A β species and inflammation levels showed similar albeit less clear profiles. The results have therefore been shown mainly on the A β monomer concentrations.

The contour maps were created using *ArrayPlot* by keeping the time along the *x*-axis, and the parameter under investigation along the *y*-axis, both in linear scale. The *z*-axis of the plots showed the concentration of the $A\beta$ monomer. The contour maps were drawn after the initial spike in concentration to identify the finer features of the stabilized oscillation after 5000 s or more, using the *ColorFunction "M10DefaultDensityGradient"* in *Mathematica*.

We plotted the normalized amplitude of steady oscillation with the parameter under investigation and the wave period of steady oscillation with the same parameter. These pairs of plots show the bifurcation properties of the individual parameters. The trends of the bifurcation properties were compatible between the pairs of plots.

Effect of the inflammation-related parameters. The *steep* parameter was varied from 0.5 to 100 in 200 steps. The k_{infl} parameter was varied from 0.00005 to 0.01 in 200 steps. The *infl_ref* parameter was varied from 0.001 to 0.5 in 500 steps.

Effect of the rate of A β monomer generation. The k_{+0} parameter was varied from 10^{-13} to 10^{-11} in 100 steps and 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} in 100 steps, while $k_{+\infty} = 0$.

Effect of the rate of A β monomer degradation. The k_{-0} parameter was varied from 10^{-6} to 5×10^{-4} in 500 steps. The $k_{-\infty}$ parameter was varied linearly with k_{-0} , with $k_{-\infty} = 5 \times k_{-0}$.

Effect of the rate of Aβ oligomer formation during primary nucleation. The j_1 parameter was varied from 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} in 100 steps and 10^{-8} to 10^{-6} in 100 steps. Effect of the rate of Aβ disaggregation, oligomer dissociation to monomer: The j_{-1} parameter was varied from 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} in 100 steps and 10^{-8} to 10^{-6} in 100 steps.

Effect of the rate of irreversible A β oligomer conversion. The j_2 parameter was varied from 10^7 to 10^9 in 100 steps and 10^9 to 10^{11} in 100 steps.

Effect the rate of irreversible A β fibril elongation. The j_3 parameter was varied from 10⁵ to 10⁷ in 100 steps and 10⁷ to 10⁹ in 100 steps.

Effect of the rate of irreversible A β oligomer formation during secondary nucleation. The j_4 parameter was varied from 10^{-2} to 1 in 100 steps and 2 to 200 in 100 steps.

Effect of the rate of clearance of A β oligomers. The γ_o parameter was varied from 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} in 100 steps and 10^{-2} to 1 in 100 steps.

Effect of the rate of clearance of A β fibrils: The γ_f parameter was varied from 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} in 100 steps and 10^{-2} to 1 in 100 steps.

In all cases, other parameters were fixed at the level shown in Table 1. We performed the calculations in a modular manner using the notebook feature of *Mathematica*. Additional calculations were performed using COPASI⁶⁷, as described below.

Article

Measuring period of oscillation

The period of the oscillations changed during the time course to asymptotic values, as seen in Supplementary Fig. 8. We have considered the period after the stabilization period of 50,000 s when the period reaches the asymptotic value for steady oscillations. The period was measured as the peak-to-peak distance in time using the function FindPeak within Mathematica. The period (and amplitude) were measured as the mean value of the last 5 peaks, which allowed us to reliably determine the period (and amplitude) of fluctuations in sustained oscillations. We have used the algorithm with maximum sensitivity, that is, with minimal Gaussian blurring for peak detection. The algorithm used the default Gaussian blurring of $\sigma = \left(\frac{Log(n)}{Log(100)}\right)^2$, where *n* is the number of data points, which translates to 6.25 s for the 10⁵ data points distributed over 10⁵ s. This sensitive algorithm also detects peaks in damped oscillations. In such cases, the algorithm detects progressively smaller peaks, and consequently, the calculated average amplitude could become negligibly small, and the spacing between peaks (periods) could become irregular.

Measuring the amplitude of oscillation and normalization

We have fixed the A β monomer to 0 at t = 0. The amplitude of the initial oscillations reduced from a large fluctuation to asymptotic values, as seen in Supplementary Fig. 9a. We have determined a baseline (= 1 after normalization) as the midpoint between the peaks and troughs of steady oscillation after the stabilization period. The peak could be found using the *FindPeak* command as described in the measurement of periods. We measured the troughs by finding the peaks after reflecting the oscillations in concentration around the time axis. After normalization, the maximum possible amplitude of fluctuations can be 2. The normalized oscillations are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9b. All simulations, calculations, and analyses were performed using Mathematica (version 12.3.1.0)⁶⁶.

Sensitivity analysis

The software COPASI (Complex Pathway Simulator, version 4.39, Build 272)⁶⁷ was used to calculate the system sensitivities in non-oscillatory steadystates. First, we reproduced all the time series results to ensure compatibility between numerical solutions of the system of coupled differential equations solved using Mathematica and COPASI. The COPASI results were calculated using the basal values of the parameters as tabulated in Table 1 in the main text. The scaled sensitivities of the non-constant concentrations of species due to all parameters were calculated in the time series mode after achieving nonoscillatory steady-states by 10⁶ s. The scaled sensitivity of the state variable *y* with respect to parameter *x* was calculated as $\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \times \frac{x}{y}\right)$. In Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, we have compared the values of all the rate constants related to aggregation and inflammation but not the exponents (orders of reactions).

Data availability

Source data, COPASI models, and Python scripts are provided in this paper. Mathematica notebooks are available from the first author and corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 27 March 2024; Accepted: 23 July 2024; Published online: 30 July 2024

References

- 1. D. S. A. s. D. I. Alzheimer's Disease International, ADI https://www. alzint.org/about/dementia-facts-figures/dementia-statistics/ (2024).
- DeTure, M. A. & Dickson, D. W. The neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 14, 32 (2019).
- Heneka, M. T., Kummer, M. P. & Latz, E. Innate immune activation in neurodegenerative disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 463–477 (2014).
- 4. Selkoe, D. J. & Hardy, J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. *EMBO Mol. Med.* **8**, 595–608 (2016).

- 5. Haass, C. Take five–BACE and the gamma-secretase quartet conduct Alzheimer's amyloid beta-peptide generation. *EMBO J.* **23**, 483–488 (2004).
- Zukowska, J., Moss, S. J., Subramanian, V. & Acharya, K. R. Molecular basis of selective amyloid-beta degrading enzymes in Alzheimer's disease. *FEBS J.* https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.16939 (2023).
- 7. Iliff, J. J. et al. A paravascular pathway facilitates CSF flow through the brain parenchyma and the clearance of interstitial solutes, including amyloid beta. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **4**, 147ra111 (2012).
- Haass, C. & Selkoe, D. J. Soluble protein oligomers in neurodegeneration: lessons from the Alzheimer's amyloid betapeptide. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 8, 101–112 (2007).
- 9. Weggen, S. & Beher, D. Molecular consequences of amyloid precursor protein and presenilin mutations causing autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* **4**, 9 (2012).
- Mawuenyega, K. G. et al. Decreased clearance of CNS beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. *Science* **330**, 1774 (2010).
- Webers, A., Heneka, M. T. & Gleeson, P. A. The role of innate immune responses and neuroinflammation in amyloid accumulation and progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 98, 28–41 (2020).
- Hensley, K. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: mechanisms, pathologic consequences, and potential for therapeutic manipulation. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 21, 1–14 (2010).
- Leng, F. & Edison, P. Neuroinflammation and microglial activation in Alzheimer disease: Where do we go from here? *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 17, 157–172 (2021).
- Porcellini, E., Ianni, M., Carbone, I., Franceschi, M. & Licastro, F. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 promoter polymorphism and plasma levels in alzheimer's disease. *Immun. Ageing* **10**, 6 (2013).
- Wang, W. Y., Tan, M. S., Yu, J. T. & Tan, L. Role of pro-inflammatory cytokines released from microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 3, 136 (2015).
- 16. de Oliveira, J. et al. Inflammatory cascade in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis: a review of experimental findings. *Cells* **10**, 2581 (2021).
- Zhao, J., O'Connor, T. & Vassar, R. The contribution of activated astrocytes to Abeta production: implications for Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *J. Neuroinflammation* 8, 150 (2011).
- Lai, A. Y. & McLaurin, J. Clearance of amyloid-beta peptides by microglia and macrophages: the issue of what, when and where. *Future Neurol.* 7, 165–176 (2012).
- Cariddi, L. P., Mauri, M., Cosentino, M., Versino, M. & Marino, F. Alzheimer's disease: from immune homeostasis to neuroinflammatory condition. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 13008 (2022).
- Shen, J. L., Tsai, M. Y., Schafer, N. P. & Wolynes, P. G. Modeling protein aggregation kinetics: the method of second stochasticization. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **125**, 1118–1133 (2021).
- Lomakin, A., Chung, D. S., Benedek, G. B., Kirschner, D. A. & Teplow, D. B. On the nucleation and growth of amyloid beta-protein fibrils: detection of nuclei and quantitation of rate constants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 93, 1125–1129 (1996).
- Pallitto, M. M. & Murphy, R. M. A mathematical model of the kinetics of beta-amyloid fibril growth from the denatured state. *Biophys. J.* 81, 1805–1822 (2001).
- Morris, A. M., Watzky, M. A., Agar, J. N. & Finke, R. G. Fitting neurological protein aggregation kinetic data via a 2-step, minimal/ "Ockham's razor" model: the Finke–Watzky mechanism of nucleation followed by autocatalytic surface growth. *Biochemistry* 47, 2413–2427 (2008).
- Cohen, S. I. et al. Proliferation of amyloid-beta42 aggregates occurs through a secondary nucleation mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 110, 9758–9763 (2013).
- Michaels, T. C. T. et al. Dynamics of oligomer populations formed during the aggregation of Alzheimer's Abeta42 peptide. *Nat. Chem.* 12, 445–451 (2020).

- 26. Dominguez-Andres, J. & Netea, M. G. Long-term reprogramming of the innate immune system. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **105**, 329–338 (2019).
- Moghekar, A. et al. Large quantities of Abeta peptide are constitutively released during amyloid precursor protein metabolism in vivo and in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 15989–15997 (2011).
- 28. Raskatov, J. A. What Is the "Relevant" Amyloid beta42 Concentration? *Chembiochem* **20**, 1725–1726 (2019).
- 29. Bateman, R. J. et al. Human amyloid-beta synthesis and clearance rates as measured in cerebrospinal fluid in vivo. *Nat. Med.* **12**, 856–861 (2006).
- Schonfelder, J. et al. Protease resistance of ex vivo amyloid fibrils implies the proteolytic selection of disease-associated fibril morphologies. *Amyloid* 28, 243–251 (2021).
- Bibl, M. et al. CSF amyloid-beta-peptides in Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *Brain* 129, 1177–1187 (2006).
- Puzzo, D. et al. Picomolar amyloid-beta positively modulates synaptic plasticity and memory in hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 14537–14545 (2008).
- He, Y. et al. Soluble oligomers and fibrillar species of amyloid betapeptide differentially affect cognitive functions and hippocampal inflammatory response. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 429, 125–130 (2012).
- Sengupta, U., Nilson, A. N. & Kayed, R. The role of amyloid-beta oligomers in toxicity, propagation, and immunotherapy. *EBioMedicine* 6, 42–49 (2016).
- Kumar, S. et al. Phosphorylation of amyloid-beta peptide at serine 8 attenuates its clearance via insulin-degrading and angiotensinconverting enzymes. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 8641–8651 (2012).
- Mahul-Mellier, A. L. et al. c-Abl phosphorylates alpha-synuclein and regulates its degradation: implication for alpha-synuclein clearance and contribution to the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 2858–2879 (2014).
- Hatami, A., Monjazeb, S., Milton, S. & Glabe, C. G. Familial Alzheimer's disease mutations within the amyloid precursor protein alter the aggregation and conformation of the amyloid-beta peptide. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 3172–3185 (2017).
- Kumar, S. et al. Extracellular phosphorylation of the amyloid betapeptide promotes formation of toxic aggregates during the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO J.* 30, 2255–2265 (2011).
- Rezaei-Ghaleh, N., Amininasab, M., Giller, K. & Becker, S. Familial Alzheimer's disease-related mutations differentially alter stability of amyloid-beta aggregates. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 14, 1427–1435 (2023).
- Rezaei-Ghaleh, N., Amininasab, M., Kumar, S., Walter, J. & Zweckstetter, M. Phosphorylation modifies the molecular stability of beta-amyloid deposits. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11359 (2016).
- Aprile, F. A. et al. Selective targeting of primary and secondary nucleation pathways in Abeta42 aggregation using a rational antibody scanning method. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1700488 (2017).
- 42. Ries, M. & Sastre, M. Mechanisms of abeta clearance and degradation by glial cells. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **8**, 160 (2016).
- Shukla, A. K. & Misra, S. Evidences and therapeutic advantages of donanemab in the treatment of early Alzheimer's disease. *J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **35**, 25–29 (2023).
- Zhang, Y., Chen, H., Li, R., Sterling, K. & Song, W. Amyloid beta-based therapy for Alzheimer's disease: challenges, successes and future. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 8, 248 (2023).
- 45. Novak, B. & Tyson, J. J. Design principles of biochemical oscillators. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **9**, 981–991 (2008).
- Dwyer, J. M. & Mackay, I. R. Antigen-binding lymphocytes in human blood. *Lancet* 1, 164–167 (1970).
- 47. Stark, J., Chan, C. & George, A. J. Oscillations in the immune system. *Immunol. Rev.* **216**, 213–231 (2007).
- Deboer, R. J., Perelson, A. S. & Kevrekidis, I. G. Immune network behavior.1. From stationary states to limit-cycle oscillations. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 55, 745–780 (1993).

Article

- Deboer, R. J., Perelson, A. S. & Kevrekidis, I. G. Immune network behavior.2. From oscillations to chaos and stationary states. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 55, 781–816 (1993).
- 50. Hao, W. R. & Friedman, A. Mathematical model on Alzheimer's disease. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **10**, 108 (2016).
- Chami, L. & Checler, F. BACE1 is at the crossroad of a toxic vicious cycle involving cellular stress and beta-amyloid production in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 7, 52 (2012).
- Rezaei-Ghaleh, N., Bakhtiari, D. & Rashidi, A. Reverse allostasis in biological systems: minimal conditions and implications. *J. Theor. Biol.* 426, 134–139 (2017).
- Doumic, M., Fellner, K., Mezache, M. & Rezaei, H. A bi-monomeric, nonlinear Becker–Doring-type system to capture oscillatory aggregation kinetics in prion dynamics. *J. Theor. Biol.* 480, 241–261 (2019).
- D'Onofrio, A. Metamodeling tumor–immune system interaction, tumor evasion and immunotherapy. *Math. Comput. Modell.* 47, 614–637 (2008).
- Coventry, B. J. et al. CRP identifies homeostatic immune oscillations in cancer patients: A potential treatment targeting tool? *J. Transl. Med.* 7, 102 (2009).
- Shen, X. N. et al. Inflammatory markers in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis and systematic review of 170 studies. *Alzheimers Dement.* 16, e041476 (2020).
- 57. Brosseron, F. et al. Characterization and clinical use of inflammatory cerebrospinal fluid protein markers in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* **10**, 25 (2018).
- Prins, S., de Kam, M. L., Teunissen, C. E. & Groeneveld, G. J. Inflammatory plasma biomarkers in subjects with preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 14, 106 (2022).
- Zimmer, E. R. et al. Tracking neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: the role of positron emission tomography imaging. *J. Neuroinflammation* **11**, 120 (2014).
- Passamonti, L. et al. Neuroinflammation and functional connectivity in Alzheimer's disease: interactive influences on cognitive performance. *J. Neurosci.* 39, 7218–7226 (2019).
- Chaney, A., Williams, S. R. & Boutin, H. In vivo molecular imaging of neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurochem.* 149, 438–451 (2019).
- 62. Masdeu, J. C., Pascual, B. & Fujita, M. Imaging neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative disorders. *J. Nucl. Med.* **63**, 45S–52S (2022).
- Meyer-Luehmann, M. et al. Rapid appearance and local toxicity of amyloid-beta plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 451, 720–724 (2008).
- Hoyt, K. R. & Obrietan, K. Circadian clocks, cognition, and Alzheimer's disease: synaptic mechanisms, signaling effectors, and chronotherapeutics. *Mol. Neurodegener.* **17**, 35 (2022).
- 65. Goktas, U. & Kapadia, D. Methods in Mathematica for solving ordinary differential equations. *Math. Comput. Appl.* **16**, 784–796 (2011).
- Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.3 Champaign, IL (2023).

 Hoops, S. et al. COPASI: a COmplex pathway simulator. Bioinformatics 22, 3067–3074 (2006).

Acknowledgements

N.R.-G. acknowledges the German Research Foundation (DFG) for research grants RE 3655/2-1 and RE 3655/2-3. K.S.C. acknowledges Krea University Intramural Fellowship, Lipi Savitha for additional calculations, Abuthahir Abdulrahman for discussion towards mathematical formulation, and Kathan Pandya, Meghna Rao, Yazhini Perumal, and Gauri Valloor for discussions.

Author contributions

N.R.-G. conceived and designed the project, developed the models, and contributed to analyses. K.S.C. performed the computations and analyses and contributed to figure preparation. N.R.-G. and K.S.C. wrote the manuscript. D.B. prepared the figures and contributed to analyses and manuscript editing.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41540-024-00408-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Nasrollah Rezaei-Ghaleh.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024