## **RESEARCH ARTICLE Open Access**

# Oncostatin M-driven macrophage-fbroblast circuits as a drug target in autoimmune arthritis

Nam Cong-Nhat Huynh<sup>1,2†</sup>, Rui Ling<sup>1†</sup>, Masatsugu Komagamine<sup>1,3†</sup>, Tianshu Shi<sup>1</sup>, Masayuki Tsukasaki<sup>4</sup>, Kotaro Matsuda<sup>1</sup>, Kazuo Okamoto<sup>4,5</sup>, Tatsuo Asano<sup>4</sup>, Ryunosuke Muro<sup>1</sup>, Warunee Pluemsakunthai<sup>1</sup>, George Kollias<sup>6,7</sup>, Yuko Kaneko<sup>3</sup>, Tsutomu Takeuchi<sup>3,8</sup>, Sakae Tanaka<sup>9</sup>, Noriko Komatsu<sup>1,10\*</sup> and Hiroshi Takayanagi<sup>1</sup>

## **Abstract**

**Background** Recent single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis revealed the functional heterogeneity and pathogenic cell subsets in immune cells, synovial fbroblasts and bone cells in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). JAK inhibitors which ameliorate joint infammation and bone destruction in RA, suppress the activation of various types of cells in vitro. However, the key cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the potent clinical efects of JAK inhibitors on RA remain to be determined. Our aim is to identify a therapeutic target for JAK inhibitors in vivo*.*

**Methods** We performed scRNA-seq analysis of the synovium of collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) mice treated with or without a JAK inhibitor, followed by a computational analysis to identify the drug target cells and signaling pathways. We utilized integrated human RA scRNA-seq datasets and genetically modifed mice administered with the JAK inhibitor for the confrmation of our fndings.

**Results** scRNA-seq analysis revealed that oncostatin M (OSM) driven macrophage-fibroblast interaction is highly activated under arthritic conditions. OSM derived from macrophages, acts on OSM receptor (OSMR)-expressing synovial fbroblasts, activating both infammatory and tissue-destructive subsets. Infammatory synovial fbroblasts stimulate macrophages, mainly through IL-6, to exacerbate inflammation. Tissue-destructive synovial fibroblasts promote osteoclast diferentiation by producing RANKL to accelerate bone destruction. scRNA-seq analysis also revealed that OSMsignaling in synovial fibroblasts is the main signaling pathway targeted by JAK inhibitors in vivo. Mice specifically lacking OSMR in synovial fbroblasts (*Osmr*∆Fibro) displayed ameliorated infammation and joint destruction in arthritis. The JAK inhibitor was efective on the arthritis of the control mice while it had no efect on the arthritis of *Osmr*∆Fibro mice.

**Conclusions** OSM functions as one of the key cytokines mediating pathogenic macrophage-fbroblast interaction. OSM-signaling in synovial fbroblasts is one of the main signaling pathways targeted by JAK inhibitors in vivo. The criti‑ cal role of fbroblast-OSM signaling in autoimmune arthritis was shown by a combination of mice specifcally defcient for OSMR in synovial fbroblasts and administration of the JAK inhibitor. Thus, the OSM-driven synovial macrophagefbroblast circuit is proven to be a key driver of autoimmune arthritis, serving as a crucial drug target in vivo*.*

**Keywords** Rheumatoid arthritis, JAK inhibitor, Macrophage, Synovial fbroblast, Drug target

† Nam Cong-Nhat Huynh, Rui Ling, Masatsugu Komagamine contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Noriko Komatsu komatsu.ire@tmd.ac.jp; koma-im@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### **Background**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disease characterized by joint infammation and bone destruction  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . In RA, immune cells, including T cells and macrophages, and synovial fbroblasts become activated and proliferate in arthritic synovium, resulting in inflammation and bone destruction in joints  $[1-5]$  $[1-5]$ . Cytokines, interferons, and growth factors are the key mediators of the immune cell-fbroblast-bone triangle interaction that underlies the pathogenesis of RA. Janus kinases (JAKs: JAK1, JAK2, JAK3 and TYK2) are widely expressed in various cells, including immune, stromal and bone cells in joints, and are involved in a variety of cellular responses initiated by these key mediators [\[6](#page-16-3), [7\]](#page-16-4). JAKs phosphorylate STATs, induce multimerization and translocate them into the nucleus in order to regulate gene transcription. JAK inhibitors suppress joint infammation and bone destruction to an extent similar to biological DMARDs (bDMARDs) [\[6](#page-16-3), [7\]](#page-16-4). bDMARDs specifcally target the molecule the antibodies recognize. However, it is still unclear which cells and signaling pathways are the main targets of JAK inhibitors in vivo, since most immune, stromal and bone cells are infuenced by mediators that utilize the JAK-STAT pathways [[6–](#page-16-3)[8](#page-16-5)]. In vitro studies have shown that JAK inhibitors suppress the activation of T cells, dendritic cells and synovial fbroblasts [\[9](#page-16-6)[–13](#page-16-7)]. JAK inhibitors inhibit osteoclastogenesis by inhibiting RANKL expression on osteoblastic cells but not afecting osteoclast precursor cells, while a recent report showed that JAK inhibitors afect migration of osteoclast precursors [[14–](#page-16-8)[16](#page-16-9)]. JAK inhibitors are reported to promote osteoblastic bone formation in vitro, while it was shown that JAK inhibitors ameliorate joint erosion mainly by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis in vivo [[15,](#page-16-10) [17\]](#page-16-11)*.* However, the key cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the potent clinical efects of JAK inhibitors on RA remain to be determined.

In this study, we performed a scRNA-seq analysis of the synovium of collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) mice treated with or without a JAK inhibitor, upadacitinib, followed by a computational analysis to identify the drug target cells and signaling pathways [[17](#page-16-11), [18\]](#page-16-12). We found that the interplay between synovial macrophages and fbroblasts was critically inhibited by the JAK inhibitor treatment. The JAK inhibitor mainly targeted OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts. We integrated human RA scRNA-seq data sets and revealed the importance of the OSM-mediated macrophage-synovial fbroblast interaction. OSM promoted the expression of both infammatory and tissue-destructive genes from synovial fibroblasts. These results suggest that OSM is the common activator of infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts and JAK inhibitors primarily targets the activation of both types of synovial fbroblasts by inhibiting OSM-driven pathways. Infammatory and tissue-destructive fbroblasts in turn stimulate macrophages through infammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and RANKL, respectively, thus forming a vicious circle.

Importantly, mice specifcally lacking OSM receptors in synovial fbroblasts exhibited ameliorated infammation and joint destruction in arthritis in vivo. These results illustrate the importance of fbroblast-OSM signaling in the immune cell-fbroblast-bone interaction in arthritis, providing novel insights into the pathogenesis of RA and the key targets of JAK inhibitors.

#### **Results**

## **scRNA‑seq characterization of synovial fbroblasts and macrophages the most prevalent populations in arthritic synovium**

CIA mice treated with the JAK inhibitor, upadaticinib, after the onset of arthritis exhibited alleviated arthritis scores compared with control CIA mice [[17](#page-16-11)] (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)A, Fig. S1A). As we focused on the efect of the JAK inhibitor in the bone destruction phase, the JAK inhibitor was administered from day 7 to day 21 after secondary immunization. We analyzed the integrated scRNAseq data of synovial tissue from untreated mice, CIA mice, and CIA mice treated with the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [1B](#page-2-0), Fig. S1B). We identified clusters of T cells, B cells, myeloid cells, neutrophils, and synovial fbroblasts by canonical marker expression (Data fle S1) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)B). Synovial fbroblasts and myeloid cells were found to be the most abundant cell populations in arthritis, consistent with previous reports [\[19\]](#page-16-13).

The synovial fibroblast cluster was further divided into fbro\_1 (*Ty1*hi*Cd34*hi), fbro\_2 (*Ty1*low*Cdh11*hi), fibro\_3 ( $Thy1^ Cd276^{\text{hi}}$ ), and fibro\_4 ( $Thy1^ Prg4^{\text{hi}}$ ) sub-populations (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)C, Fig. S1C). In the fibroblast cluster, we detected the chondrocyte population (*Pdpn*low) characterized by the expression of the cartilage-related genes, such as *Hapln1*. Based on Thy1 expression, fibro<sub> $\perp$ </sub> (*Thy1*<sup>hi</sup>) was assigned to the sublining fibroblasts while fibro\_2 *(Thy1*<sup>low</sup>) and fibro\_3 and \_4 *(Thy1<sup>−</sup>)* were assigned to lining cells (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)C) [[4,](#page-16-14) [20](#page-16-15), [21](#page-16-16)]. We evaluated the expression of genes involved in RA pathogenesis in each fibroblast subset. The expression of inflammatory genes such as IL-6 was the highest in fbro\_1, while the expression of tissue-destructive genes such as *Tnfsf11* (encoding RANKL), *Mmp3* and *Mmp13* was the highest in fbro\_2 and \_3, suggesting that fbro\_1 represents inflammatory synovial fibroblasts and fibro\_2 and \_3 represent tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts (Fig. [1D](#page-2-0) and Fig. S1, F and G). We recently identifed Ets1 promotes RANKL expression in tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts in arthritis [\[21](#page-16-16)]. Ets1 was highly expressed not only



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Characterization of synovial fbroblasts and macrophages in CIA synovium by single-cell analysis. **A** The arthritis score of CIA mice which were administered upadacitinib, a JAK inhibitor (JAKi) from 7 to 21 days after the 2nd immunization. **B** Main clusters of the sc-RNAseq data in the untreated, CIA and CIA+JAKi group (left), and the average expression of the representative markers of each cell type (right). **C** Sub-clusters of synovial fbroblasts (left), and the average expression of the representative markers (right). **D** Expression of *Il6* and *Tnfsf11* (top), RA, infammatory and destructive scores (middle and bottom) in synovial fbroblast. **E** Sub-clusters of *Itgam* (CD11b)+ *Adgre1* (F4/80)− circulating monocytes Myel\_a (left panel), and the average expression of markers (right panel). **F** Sub-clusters of Itgam+Adgre1.+ macrophages Myel\_b and \_c (left) and the average expression of representative markers (right). **G** The expression level of *Il17a, Tnf, Il1b, Il6, Osm,* and *Tnfsf11* genes in main-clusters under the untreated and CIA conditions. **H** The expression level of *Il1b, Tnf,* and *Osm* genes in all myeloid sub-clusters under untreated and CIA conditions. All data are expressed as the mean±SD with *n*=4–7, \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01, by unpaired Student's t-test (A)

in RANKL<sup>+</sup>fbro\_2 and 3 but also in RANKL<sup>−</sup>fbro\_4. Trajectory analysis shaped the transitional model of synovial fbroblast subpopulations from fbro\_1 (sublining) via fbro\_2 to fbro\_3 and then fbro\_4 (lining) (Fig. S1, D and E). It is likely that fbro\_4 (*Ty1*<sup>−</sup>*Prg4*hi*Ets1*hi) is at the fnal stage of fbroblast transition regarded as post-tissue destructive fbroblasts.

In myeloid cells, we identifed monocytes (myel\_a) (*Itgam* (CD11b)<sup>+</sup> *Adgre1* (F4/80)<sup>−</sup>) and macrophage*s* (myel\_b and \_c) (*Itgam*<sup>+</sup>*Adgre1*<sup>+</sup>*)* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0), B). Myel\_a consists of myel a1, 2, 3, all of which are  $Il1b<sup>+</sup>$  inflammatory monocytes (Fig. [1E](#page-2-0)). Myel\_b was assigned to *Ccr2*+*Mertk<sup>−</sup>* infltrating macrophages. Myel\_c was classifed into *Mmp19*+*Mertk<sup>−</sup>* infltrating macrophages (myel\_c1), *Mertk*+*Trem2*<sup>+</sup> tissue-resident macrophage (myel\_c2, 3, 4) and  $H2$ - $Aa$ <sup>+</sup>*Itgax*<sup>+</sup> dendritic cells (myel\_ c5) (Fig. [1F](#page-2-0)) [[22,](#page-16-17) [23](#page-16-18)].

The expression of key cytokines such as  $ll17a$ ,  $Tnf$ ,  $ll1b$ , *Il6, Osm,* and *Tnfsf11* was upregulated under CIA conditions (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)G, Fig. S2). We found that certain monocytes (myel\_a1, 2) expressed a high level of TNF, while OSM was highly expressed in infltrating macrophages (myel\_b, c1) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)H). In addition, *Il17a* was predominantly expressed in T cells, whereas *Il6* was highly expressed in fbro\_1 and mural cells (Fig. [1](#page-2-0), D and G). *Tnfsf11* (RANKL) was exclusively expressed in fibro\_2 and \_3 among all the synovial cell subsets 3 weeks after the second immunization in CIA (Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) D and G).

## **Gene expression in synovial fbroblasts and macrophages is afected by the JAK inhibitor in autoimmune arthritis**

We next compared the gene expression in cells in the synovium under three conditions (untreated, CIA, CIA+the JAK inhibitor). In CIA, the expression of *Il6* [(primarily expressed by, hereafter) infammatory synovial fbroblasts, fbro\_1], as well as *Tnfsf11* and *MMPs* [tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts, fbro\_2 and \_3] were increased. The expression of these genes was almost completely abrogated by administration of the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A). In contrast, the increased expression of *Tnf* and *Il1β* [myel\_a], *Osm* [infltrating macrophages, myel\_b and myel\_c1] as well as *Il17a* [T cells] was not reduced by the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [2A](#page-4-0)).

The expression of 1545 genes was affected by the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [2B](#page-4-0), Data fle S2). Among all the cell subsets in the synovium, myel\_c and synovial fbroblasts had the highest number of genes whose expression was infuenced by JAKi (875 genes and 795 genes, respectively) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)C, Data fle S2). In particular, fbro\_2 had the highest number of JAK inhibitor-afected genes (Fig. [2D](#page-4-0)). Taken together, upon JAK inhibitor treatment, fbroblasts and macrophages were the most afected cells in synovium in terms of gene expression.

## **Administration of the JAK inhibitor inhibits the interaction between synovial fbroblasts and macrophages by targeting OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts in autoimmune arthritis**

To explore the cell–cell interaction that is targeted by the JAK inhibitor, we conducted a CellphoneDB-based analysis focusing on the expression of JAK/STAT cytokines and receptors under CIA and CIA +the JAK inhibitor conditions [\[24\]](#page-16-19). Among all the cells in the synovium, the strong JAK/STAT cytokine-receptor interaction was observed between macrophages (especially myel\_b and \_c1) and synovial fibroblasts (especially fibro\_2, 3) under CIA conditions. This interaction was impaired by the JAK inhibitor treatment (Fig. [3A](#page-5-0)). Among JAK/STAT cytokines and receptors, *Osm* and *Osm receptor* (*Osmr*) were most highly expressed by macrophages and synovial fibroblasts under CIA conditions, respectively (Fig. [3B](#page-5-0) and C). *Osm* was highly expressed in infiltrating macrophages (myel\_b and myel\_c1) while OSM receptor components (*Osmr, Il6st*) were predominantly expressed in inflammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fibroblasts (fibro\_1-3) (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)B). OSM is capable of binding to the complex of gp130 and leukemia inhibitory factor receptor (LIFR) at a lower affinity, but the OSMR expression is much higher than LIFR in synovial fibroblasts, suggesting that OSM activates synovial fibroblasts mainly through OSMR under arthritic conditions in mice [[25\]](#page-16-20).

The *Osm/Osmr* interaction was unaffected by the JAK inhibitor, indicating that JAK inhibitors mainly target OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts rather than decreasing the expression of OSM or OSMR (Fig. [3C](#page-5-0), S3). Since it is reported that OSM upregulates the expression of IL-6 and RANKL in synovial fbroblasts in vitro, we next examined *Il6/Il6r and Tnfsf11/Tnfrsf11a* (encoding RANK) interaction under CIA and CIA+the JAK inhibitor conditions [\[26,](#page-16-21) [27](#page-16-22)]. *Il6* and *Il6r* were expressed by synovial fbroblasts and macrophages under CIA conditions, respectively (Fig. [3,](#page-5-0) B). Upon the JAK inhibitor administration, the *Il6*/*Il6r* interaction was signifcantly impaired while the expression of *Il6r* was unaffected, suggesting that JAK inhibitors may suppress the *Il6*/*Il6r* interaction by impairing IL-6 production by synovial fbroblasts in vivo (Fig. [3C](#page-5-0), Fig. S3). Likewise, upon the JAK inhibitor administration, the interaction between *TNFSF11* [synovial fbroblasts] and *TNFRSF11A* [macrophages] was impaired while the expression of *TNFRSF11A* was unafected (Fig. [3D](#page-5-0), S3), suggesting that JAK inhibitors may dampen the RANKL/RANK interaction by impairing RANKL expression by synovial fbroblasts in vivo. These results suggest that JAK inhibitors inhibit the macrophage-synovial fbroblast circuit which plays a critical role in infammation and bone destruction, mainly by targeting OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts in vivo*.*

To gain further insights into the signaling pathway targeted by JAK inhibitors, we performed another unbiased analysis, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) which calculates the normalized enrichment scores (NESs) based on gene expression under CIA conditions compared with  $CIA +$ the JAK inhibitor conditions. The OSM signaling pathway in CIA synovial fbroblasts marked one of



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Afected gene expression in synovial fbroblasts and macrophages by the JAK inhibitor in arthritis. **A** Average expression of *Il17a, Il1b, Tnf, Osm, Il6, Tnfsf11, Mmp3* and *Mmp13* across all cell subtypes under untreated, CIA and CIA+JAKi conditions. **B** Average expression of genes in the whole samples (red dots are signifcantly diferent genes). **C** Number of genes whose expression was infuenced by JAKi in the main clusters under CIA conditions. **D** Number of genes whose expression was infuenced by JAKi in the myeloid and synovial fbroblast sub-clusters under CIA conditions

the highest NESs afected by the JAK inhibitor administration, suggesting that JAK inhibitors mainly target the OSM signaling pathway in synovial fbroblasts (Fig. [4A](#page-6-0) and B). The highest level of NESs was also observed in the IL-6 signaling pathway in CIA macrophages, suggesting impaired expression of IL-6 in synovial fbroblasts may inhibit IL-6-mediated activation of macrophages upon JAKi administration (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)A and B). These results are consistent with the notion that JAKi mainly targets OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts in vivo, resulting in impairment of the IL-6 production in synovial fbroblasts and inhibition of IL-6 signaling in macrophages.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Administration of the JAK inhibitor inhibits the interaction between macrophages and synovial fbroblasts. **A** Cell–cell communication analysis by CellPhoneDB focusing on the expression of JAK/STAT cytokines and receptors: heatmap of cell interactions under CIA (left) and CIA+JAKi (right) conditions. **B** Average expression of JAK/STAT cytokines and receptors in all of the mouse synovial cell subtypes. **C** Dot plots of JAK/STAT cytokines\_receptors interaction in myeloid (myel\_b and \_c1-4) and synovial fbroblast (fbro\_1-4) subtypes under CIA (upper) and CIA+JAKi (lower) conditions. **D** Dot plots of IL1B—IL1R, IL17—IL17R A/C, TNF—TNFRSF1A/B, and TNFSF11—TNFRSF11A interactions in myeloid and synovial fbroblast subtypes under CIA (upper) and CIA+JAKi (lower) conditions

To provide further evidence for the importance of synovial fbroblast OSM signaling as a target of JAK inhibitors, we evaluated the expression of genes which are regulated by each JAK/STAT pathway at the singlecell level. We selected a set of 50 genes that are upregulated by each JAK/STAT cytokine based on previously reported microarray or RNA-seq databases, and evaluated the expression of the gene set in all the cell types in the synovium under CIA and CIA+the JAK inhibitor conditions [[28](#page-16-23)[–37](#page-16-24)]. We found that the expression of the OSM-target genes in fbro\_1 and 2 was the highest among all the combinations of cytokine-target genes and cell types under CIA conditions, and was completely inhibited by the JAK inhibitor administration (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)C). We further explored the genes downregulated by the JAK inhibitor administration in synovial fbroblast subsets (Fig. S4). The expression of the OSM-target genes *Ccl2* and *Il6* in infammatory synovial fbroblasts (fbro\_1) as well as *Tnfsf11*, *Mmp3* and *Mmp13* in tissue-destructive fbroblasts (fbro \_3) was inhibited by the JAK inhibitor administration (Fig. S4).

Taken together, these results suggest that the macrophage-synovial fbroblast interaction plays a pivotal role in autoimmune arthritis. OSM from macrophages



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The JAK inhibitor targets the OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts. **A** Normalized enrichment scores analyzed by GSEA. Heatmap of all clusters in CIA vs. CIA+JAKi conditions using JAK/STAT-related cytokine signaling and RA gene sets with FDR adjusted-*p*<0.05. **B** The highest NES (GSEA) responses between CIA vs. CIA+JAKi conditions. **C** Heatmaps of the average signature scores of all the mouse synovial cell subtypes. using 15 JAK/STAT pathway cytokine target gene sets under CIA (left) and CIA+JAKI (right) conditions

interacts with the OSM receptor on synovial fbroblasts and activates both infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts. JAK inhibitors mainly target OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts and thus inhibit the activation of both types of synovial fbroblasts, which in turn inhibits the activation of macrophages, leading to an amelioration of the infammation and bone destruction in arthritis.

## **The OSM‑IL‑6 circuits underlie the pathogenic interaction between synovial fbroblasts and macrophages in RA**

To explore whether a homologous macrophage-synovial fbroblast circuit exists in human autoimmune arthritis, we integrated the previously reported scRNA-seq data on RA synovial tissues and established a novel RA scRNA-seq data set [[19,](#page-16-13) [38\]](#page-16-25). We obtained 28,158 cells and assigned the main clusters (Fig. [5A](#page-7-0) and B). Similar to the mouse CIA synovium, *IL1B* and *OSM* were mainly expressed in macrophages, while *IL6* and *TNFSF11* were mainly expressed by synovial fbroblasts (Fig. S5). *TNF* was expressed in macrophages, T cells and B cells. Sub-clustering analysis revealed that human RA Fibro\_9 was likely to be comprised of tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts based on the expression of *TNFSF11* and *MMP13* (Fig. [5C](#page-7-0)).

The calculation of module scores of mouse CIA fibroblasts markers by AddModuleScore confrmed that human RA Fibro\_9 was homologous to tissue-destructive mouse CIA fbro\_3 (Fig. [5D](#page-7-0)). RA Fibro\_2, 7 and 8 may be infammatory synovial fbroblasts based on the expression of *IL6* and *CCL2* (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)C and D)*.* Among the RA synovial myeloid cell subsets, Myel\_2 was comprised of *ITGAM*<sup>+</sup>*CD163*<sup>+</sup>*MERTK*hi tissue-resident macrophages homologous to mouse CIA myel c1-4 (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)E, S6).

We further examined the expression of JAK/STAT pathway cytokine receptors in each cell type in the RA synovium. Human OSM signals via both OSMR-gp130 and LIFR-gp130 heterodimers. *OSMR, IL6ST* and *LIFR* were primarily expressed in RA Fibro\_2, 7, 8, 9, while *IL6R* was mainly expressed in RA Myel\_2 (Fig. [5F](#page-7-0)). Cell–cell communication analysis confrmed the interaction between RA Fibro\_8, 9 and RA Myel\_2 (Fig. [5G](#page-7-0))*.* In particular, OSM [RA Myel\_2]—OSMR [RA Fibro\_2, 7, 8, 9], OSM [RA Myel\_2]—LIFR [RA Fibro\_2, 7, 8, 9]



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** The OSM-driven macrophage-synovial fbroblast circuit in RA synovial cells. **A** Main cell clusters (left) and expression of the representative markers (right) by analysis of the integrated scRNA-seq data of RA synovial cells. **B** Sub-clusters of the integrated RA synovial cells. **C** Expression of representative markers of RA synovial fbroblast sub-clusters. **D** Module scores of mouse CIA fbroblasts markers identifed 4 mouse CIA fbroblast subpopulations (upper left) as well as CIA fbro\_1 2 and 3 (upper right, lower left and lower right, respectively) in RA synovial cells. **E** Markers of RA synovial myeloid sub-clusters. **F** Average expression of *IL6, OSM, LIF, TNFSF11, TNFRSF11A, MMP13* and JAK/STAT cytokine receptor genes in the RA synovial cell clusters. **G** Heatmaps of JAK/STAT cytokine-receptor interactions in RA synovial myeloid and fbroblast subsets. **H** Dot plots of JAK/STAT cytokines-receptors and RANKL/RANK interactions in RA myel\_2 and fbro\_1-9

as well as IL-6 [RA Fibro\_2, 7, 8]—IL-6R [RA Myel\_2] and RANKL [Fibro\_9]*—*RANK [RA Myel\_2] interactions underlie the macrophage-synovial fbroblast circuit (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)H). Taken together, these results suggest that, similar to CIA in mice, the OSM-IL-6 circuit may underlie the pathogenic macrophage-synovial fbroblasts interplay in RA.

## **OSM signaling in synovial fbroblast promotes infammation and joint destruction by activation of infammatory and tissue‑destructive synovial fbroblasts**

We next examined the expression of genes afected by OSM in synovial fbroblasts. Among the gp130 family cytokines, OSM most potently induced RANKL and IL-6 expression by CIA synovial fbroblasts (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)A).

We confrmed that OSM-mediated phosphorylation of JAK1 was inhibited by the JAK inhibitor in synovial fibroblasts (Fig. S7). The JAK inhibitor completely inhibited this OSM-induced RANKL and IL-6 expression (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)A). In order to analyze comprehensively, we performed RNA-seq analysis on synovial fbroblasts treated with OSM or OSM+the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)B and C). We found that infammatory genes such as *Il6*, *Cxcl1* and *Cxcl5*, as well as tissue-destructive genes such as *Tnfsf11*, *Mmp3* and *Mmp13* were upregulated by OSM stimulation and this was abrogated by the addition of the JAK inhibitor (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)D and E). GO analysis indicated the genes related to cell migration, extracellular matrix organization and cytokine production were upregulated by OSM and downregulated by the JAK inhibitor (Fig.  $6F$ ). These results suggest that JAK inhibitors inhibit OSM-driven activation of infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts.

It was previously reported that although JAK inhibitors do not exert direct efects on osteoclast precursors, they do inhibit osteoclastogenesis when osteoclast precursors are co-cultured with osteoblasts by inhibiting osteoblast RANKL expression  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$  $[14, 15]$ . However, the effect of JAK inhibitors on synovial fbroblast-mediated osteoclastogenesis has not been well investigated. Here is shown that synovial fbroblasts stimulated with OSM induced osteoclastogenesis and that the JAK inhibitor abrogated this osteoclastogenesis by inhibiting synovial fbroblast-RANKL expression (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)G, Fig S8).

## **The critical role of fbroblast‑OSM signaling in arthritis as a key target of JAK inhibitors in vivo**

To elucidate the role of synovial fbroblast OSM signaling in arthritis, we generated *Col6a1*-Cre *Osmr*-foxed mice (hereafter *Osmr*∆Fibro mice) in which OSMR is specifcally deleted in synovial fibroblasts in joints. The femoral bone volume of femur was comparable between *Osmr*∆Fibro and the control *Osmr*<sup>flox</sup> mice, indicating that *Osmr*<sup>∆Fibro</sup> mice undergo normal bone remodeling under physiological conditions (Fig. [7](#page-10-0)A).

To elucidate the primary role of synovial fbroblast OSM signaling as a drug target in vivo*,* we induced K/ BxN serum-transfer arthritis in *Osmr*∆Fibro mice and administered the JAK inhibitor. Both joint swelling and bone erosion were impaired in *Osmr*∆Fibro mice compared to the control *Osmr*<sup>flox</sup> mice in K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis, indicating that OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts is important for both infammation and bone destruction in arthritis (Fig. [7B](#page-10-0)-D). Administration of the JAK inhibitor ameliorated both joint swelling and bone erosion in the control *Osmr*<sup>flox</sup> mice, while the JAK inhibitor had no efect on *Osmr*∆Fibro mice under arthritic conditions (Fig. [7](#page-10-0)B-D). The number of osteoclasts was decreased in *Osmr*∆Fibro mice compared to the control Osmr<sup>flox</sup> mice in arthritis. In addition, while administration of the JAK inhibitor impaired osteoclastogenesis in the control mice, it had no efect on *Osmr*∆Fibro mice (Fig.  $7D$  $7D$ , [E\)](#page-10-0). These results indicated that synovial fibroblast-OSM signaling plays a key role in arthritis as a drug target of JAK inhibitor in vivo.

Taken together, OSM signaling in synovial fbroblast plays an important role in infammation and joint destruction in arthritis in vivo by activation of infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fibroblasts. The combination of scRNA-seq analysis of mice and humans with genetic loss experiments clarifed that the OSM-driven synovial macrophage-fbroblast interaction is indispensable for both the pathogenesis and the crucial drug target in arthritis.

## **Discussion**

The triangular immune cell-synovial fibroblast-bone cell interaction underlies RA pathogenesis. Recent scRNA-seq analyses have revealed the active pathogenic cell subsets in various diseases, including RA. In particular, the pathological signifcance and the polarization mechanism of distinct synovial fbroblast subsets have attracted considerable attention. However, the cell–cell interactions which critically are important for RA pathogenesis have not been fully determined.

#### (See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** The efect of OSM and the JAK inhibitor on infammatory and tissue-destructive properties of synovial fbroblasts. **A** *Il6* and *Tnfsf11* (RANKL) mRNA expression in mouse CIA synovial fbroblasts stimulated with indicated cytokines in the presence and absence of the JAK inhibitor (*n*=3 per each condition). **B** Principal Components Analysis (PCA) plot of bulk mRNA sequencing of synovial fibroblasts in the three groups: untreated, OSM, OSM+JAKi treatments. **C** Heatmap of all signifcant gene expression. The genes which are signifcantly upregulated by OSM and then downregulated by the addition of the JAK inhibitor are marked in red. **D** Heatmap of selected infammatory and tissue-destructive gene expression across the 3 groups. **E** mRNA expression of *Il6, Cxcl1, Cxcl5, Tnfsf11, Mmp3 and Mmp13* across the 3 groups by qPCR analysis. **F** Gene Ontology (GO) Term Enrichment analysis using genes that were signifcant upregulated in OSM and downregulated by the addition of the JAK inhibitor (JAKi). Top 10 GO Terms are shown. **G** The JAK inhibitor inhibited osteoclastogenesis induced by CIA synovial fbroblasts under OSM stimulation. All data are expressed as the mean±S.D. \*\**p*<0.01, \*\*\**p*<0.001, \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001 by 1-way ANOVA with the Holm-Sidak multiple-comparison test (A, E and G), signifcant vs. all other groups (**A** and **G**)





Targeted synthetic DMARDs (tsDMARDs) such as JAK inhibitors have been introduced into the clinic and are widely used for multiple diseases, including RA. However, in vivo target cells and/or the signaling pathways of such tsDMARDs remain unidentifed, although in vitro experiments have shown that JAK inhibitors inhibit activation of various cells including T cells, B cells, dendritic cells and synovial fbroblasts [[6,](#page-16-3) [12](#page-16-26), [13,](#page-16-7) [39](#page-17-0)[–42](#page-17-1)].



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 7** The critical role of fbroblast-OSM signaling in arthritis as a key target of JAK inhibitors in vivo*.* **A** Bone volume per tissue volume (left) and representative micro-CT images of the femur of untreated Osmrfox and Osmr∆fbro mice (*n*=3, respectively, right). Scale bar: 1 mm. **B** Arthritis score of the hind paws of K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis Osmr<sup>flox</sup> (vehicle, *n*=4), Osmr<sup>∆fibro</sup> (vehicle, *n*=3), Osmr<sup>flox</sup> (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=4), Osmr<sup>∆fibro</sup> (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=3). **C** Eroded surface per bone surface of the calcaneus of Osmrfox (vehicle, *n*=4), Osmr∆fbro (vehicle, *n*=3), Osmrfox (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=4), Osmr∆fbro (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=3) in K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis. **D** Representative μCT images (upper panel) and TRAP staining (lower panel) of the calcaneus of K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis mice. The red colored area indicates cavities (upper) and TRAP+ osteoclast (lower). Scale bar: 1 mm (upper) and 50 µm (lower). **E** The number and surface of TRAP+ multinucleated cells per bone surface in the calcanueous of Osmrfox (vehicle, *n*=4), Osmr∆fbro (vehicle, *n*=3), Osmrfox (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=4), Osmr∆fbro (the JAK inhibitor, *n*=3) in K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis. All data are expressed as the mean±S.E.M. \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01, \*\*\**p*<0.001 by unpaired Student's t test (**A**), 2-way ANOVA with the Tukey's multiple-comparison test (B, C, E), N.S., not signifcant

The expression of OSM is reportedly upregulated in autoimmune diseases, including RA [[42,](#page-17-1) [43,](#page-17-2) [44](#page-17-3)]. Although it was reported that overexpression of OSM exacerbates cellular infltration in synovium, the major cellular source of OSM or OSMR was unclear and the pathogenic relevance of OSM signaling in vivo has not been elucidated [[26,](#page-16-21) [27](#page-16-22), [45\]](#page-17-4). In vitro experiments showed JAK inhibitors impaired the expression of particular cytokines such as IL-6 and MCP-1 in synovial fbroblasts stimulated with OSM, but the importance of OSM signaling in synovial fbroblasts as the major target of JAK inhibitor in vivo has never been identifed. In addition, a comprehensive analysis of genes whose expression was impaired by JAK inhibitors in OSM-stimulated synovial fbroblasts has not been reported [\[39](#page-17-0)–[42\]](#page-17-1).

Fibroblasts, originally recognized as structural cells, play an important role in tissue homeostasis and various diseases, including  $RA$ , cancer and colitis  $[46]$  $[46]$ . These fndings have attracted considerable attention and given rise to a new discipline, stromal immunology. However, there are as yet no approved therapies which were generated in order to target directly synovial fbroblasts. scRNA-seq analysis revealed the existence of distinct fbroblast populations, infammatory fbroblasts and tissue-destructive fbroblasts. Since synovial fbroblasts play a key role in RA pathogenesis, synovial fbroblasts have been considered a promising therapeutic target in RA [[4,](#page-16-14) [21,](#page-16-16) [46](#page-17-5)]. Inflammatory synovial fibroblasts reside in the sublining layer, whereas tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts reside in the lining layer of the synovial membrane. Recent studies have shown NOTCH signaling is an important driving factor of infammatory synovial fbroblasts [\[20](#page-16-15)]. We reported that ETS1 is critical for the polarization of tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts [[21\]](#page-16-16). Since endothelial cells provide NOTCH signaling and the hypoxic conditions in the lining layer of the arthritic synovium may induce ETS1 expression, it is suggested that spatial regulation may be involved in the polarization of distinct synovial fbroblast subsets. However, little is known about the shared signaling pathways which lead to the polarization of inflammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts [[46](#page-17-5), [47\]](#page-17-6).

Based on computational analysis together with biological studies, we found that OSM is the common activator of both infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fibroblasts. These activated synovial fibroblasts exacerbate infammation and bone destruction in arthritis by interacting with macrophages. We clarifed that OSM from macrophages promotes the pathogenic macrophage-synovial fbroblast circuits in arthritis in both mice and humans and that JAK inhibitors mainly target the fbroblast-OSM signaling, which is critical for arthritic infammation and bone destruction in vivo.

Thus, therapeutic manipulation of the OSM signaling pathway could be a promising approach to the treatment of RA. There is a paper reporting a failure of a clinical trial for an anti-OSM antibody in RA possibly due to the low binding affinity of the antibody to OSM  $[48]$  $[48]$ . It is necessary to evaluate the efficacy of suppression of OSM in RA using better antibodies or other methods in the future [[45\]](#page-17-4). Since anti-OSM antibodies ameliorated murine arthritis when administered before or immediately after the onset of arthritis [[49\]](#page-17-8), it is possible that OSM signaling may be important at the earlier phase of autoimmune arthritis rather than the later phase. It will be intriguing to examine whether anti-OSM antibodies are efective in early-stage patients. OSM blockage can be a promising therapeutic strategy if we select appropriate time points of drug administration and appropriate combinations with other therapies. Considering that both JAK inhibitors and IL-6 blockade are efective in RA, it is plausible that JAK inhibitors mainly target IL-6 signaling and the inhibitory efect of JAK inhibitor can be explained by the inhibition of the IL-6 signaling. However, it is reported that a JAK inhibitor induced greater improvements compared with IL-6 blockade in bDMARD-naïve patients active RA refractory to MTX [[50\]](#page-17-9). In addition, it is reported that JAK inhibitors were efective for RA patients who were refractory to multiple  $b$ /tsDMARDs including IL-6 blockade [\[51](#page-17-10)]. These reports suggest that IL-6 may be not the sole target of JAK inhibitors and imply the importance of other signaling pathways such as OSM signaling.

It is important to clarify positional relationships among OSM+ macrophages, OSMR<sup>+</sup> fbroblasts, IL-6+ fbroblasts and IL-6 $R^+$  macrophages in the inflamed joints. We confrmed expression of OSM and OSMR in arthritic synovium, however, it was technically difficult to detect all the markers simultaneously by immunohistochemistry (Fig. S9). Alternatively, we reanalyzed special tran-scriptomic data [[52](#page-17-11)]. Although only a few  $OSM^+$  cells were detected, it is suggested that  $OSM<sup>+</sup>$  macrophages and OSMR<sup>+</sup> fbroblasts may interact each other in the lining layer (Fig. S9). Technical advances in special transcriptomics will help us understand the spatiotemporal relationships among  $OSM<sup>+</sup>$  macrophages,  $OSMR<sup>+</sup>$ fibroblasts, IL-6<sup>+</sup> fibroblasts and IL-6 $R$ <sup>+</sup> macrophages in the infamed synovium during the course of arthritis in future.

Considering that the OSMR signaling is important for the polarization of both infammatory and tissue-destructive synovial fbroblasts, it may be desirable that JAK inhibitors could be administered at the early stage of RA to inhibit the polarization of pathogenic synovial fbroblasts. We have combined scRNA-seq analysis of drugtreated tissues and analysis of mice with cell-specifc

gene deletion, which strategy will further elucidate the key cell–cell interactions in various diseases.

## **Conclusion**

We often use drugs even if we do not know exactly how they work. Clarifcation of their mode of action in the body will contribute to development of therapeutic strategies and understanding disease pathogenesis. JAKs are ubiquitously expressed in various types of cells and regulate cellular functions. JAK inhibitors are efective in rheumatoid arthritis, but the in vivo targets remained unclear. Here, by scRNA-seq analysis of drug-treated tissues and analysis of genetically modifed mice with JAK inhibitor administration, we clarifed that OSM signaling in fbroblasts is one of the main targets of JAK inhibitors and plays an important role in arthritis. OSM, produced by macrophages, activates fbroblasts which exacerbate inflammation and bone destruction. Thus, the OSMdriven macrophage-fbroblast interaction is proven to govern arthritis pathogenesis.

## **Material and methods Study design**

This study was designed to identify the synovial cell interactions and signaling pathways in autoimmune arthritis by analyzing mice and human scRNA-seq datasets. We induced CIA on DBA/1J mice followed by administration of a JAK inhibitor, upadacitinib. Mouse synovial cells were prepared from the synovium of untreated, CIA or CIA+the JAK inhibitor mice for scRNA-seq analysis. The computational analyses included scRNA-seq, pseudo bulk RNA-seq, trajectory, RA scoring, cytokine target genes, cell–cell interaction and enrichment analysis. Published human RA synovial scRNA-seq datasets were integrated and analyzed for a comparison to the mouse data. RNA-seq analysis of CIA synovial fbroblasts was performed to evaluate the efect of OSM on synovial fbroblasts and the JAK inhibitor on synovial fbroblasts stimulated with OSM. In vitro osteoclastogenesis was performed to confrm the destructive activity of synovial fbroblasts stimulated with OSM and the efect of the JAK inhibitor on these cells. *Col6a1*-Cre *Osmr*-foxed and the control *Osmr*-foxed mice were induced of K/ BxN serum-transfer arthritis to evaluate the role of synovial fibroblast-OSMR in arthritis in vivo. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at The University of Tokyo. We chose the sample size based on our prior experience and the standards in the relevant felds published in studies [[18,](#page-16-12) [19](#page-16-13)] and remain in compliance with ethical guidelines to minimize the experimental animals.

#### **RA synovium**

Human synovial tissue specimens were obtained from RA subjects undergoing joint replacement surgery or synovectomy at the Tokyo University Hospital. All the subjects with RA fulflled the 2010 American College of Rheumatology European League Against Rheumatism criteria for the classifcation of RA and provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at The University of Tokyo.

## **Mice**

All animals were maintained under specifc pathogenfree conditions. The experiments were approved by the Institutional Review Board at The University of Tokyo. *Col6a1*-Cre mice were previously described [\[53](#page-17-12)]. B6;129- Osmrtm1.1Nat/J (Osmr<sup>flox</sup>/<sup>flox</sup>) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA). Fibroblast-specifc *Osmr*-defcient (*Osmr*∆Fibro) mice were generated by breeding *Osmr-*foxed mice with *Col6a1*- Cre mice.

#### **CIA and K/BxN serum‑transfer arthritis**

For CIA, 6- to 8-week-old DBA/1J sex-matched mice (Charles River Laboratories Japan) were used. An emulsion which consisted of 50 μl of chicken type II collagen (Sigma-Aldrich, 4 mg/ml) and 50 μl of adjuvant into the base of the tail at two sites. We added heat-killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra (Difco Laboratories, 4 mg/ml) in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) (Difco Laboratories). Three weeks after the primary immunization, mice were challenged with the same emulsion as the primary immunization. We judged the development of arthritis in the joint using the following criteria: 0, no joint swelling; 1, swelling of one paw joint; 2, mild swelling of the wrist or ankle; 3, severe swelling of the wrist or ankle. The scores for all of the joints of forepaws and hind paws, wrists and ankles were totaled for each mouse (with a maximum possible score of 12 for each mouse).

For K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis, mice were administered 150 μl pooled serum collected from arthritic K/ BxN mice (8 weeks) by intraperitoneal injection on day 0, day 2 and day 9 (analyzed on day 20). The hind paws of mice were monitored every day for signs of arthritis. The animal numbers used in each experiment are described in the corresponding fgure legends.

#### **Administration of a JAK inhibitor**

CIA mice were administered a JAK inhibitor, upadacitinib (Selleck, 12 mg/kg) in 0.5% methylcellulose, 0.025% Tween 20 solution [[17](#page-16-11)] or vehicle by oral gavage twice a day from d7 to d21 after the 2nd immunization. K/BxN serum-transfer arthritis mice were administered the

JAK inhibitor, upadacitinib (Selleck, 24 mg/kg) in 0.5% methylcellulose, 0.025% Tween 20 solution or vehicle by oral gavage twice a day from d0 to d20.

#### **Preparation of synovial fbroblasts**

The synovial tissue was obtained from the knee joints of CIA mice 3w after 2nd immunization. The synovium was minced and incubated with 5 mg/ml collagenase type II (CLS-2, Worthington Biochemical corporation) in serum-free DMEM (Life Technologies) for one hour at 37 ℃, fltered, washed, and cultured in DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cultured fbroblasts during the fourth to seventh passages were used for the experiments.

#### **Immunoblot analysis**

CIA synovial fbroblasts cultured under serum-free conditions were pretreated with upadacitinib (Selleck, 500 nM) for 2 h and stimulated with OSM (R&D, 495- MO, 20 ng/ml) for 20 min. Cells were washed by PBS and lysed with a lysis bufer (RIPA bufer, 16,488–34, Nacalai tesque) with gentle agitation for 20 min at 4℃. Cell lysates was subjected to 5–12% SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and then transferred to a PVDF membrane. After blocking for one hour at room temperature, membranes were incubated phospho-JAK1 antibody (3331, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-JAK1 antibody (3344, Cell Signaling Technology) or anti-β-actin antibody (Cat 3700, Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4 ℃. Membranes were subsequently incubated for 1 h with anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody (7074S, Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-mouse IgG, HRP linked antibody (7076S, Cell Signaling Technology) respectively. Immunoblot analysis was performed with ImmunoStar blotting kit (292–69,903, Wako).

#### **Immunohistochemistry**

Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated followed by antigen retrieval in HistoVT One solution (06380–05, Nacalai tesque) at 93 ℃ for 15 min. Sections were washed in Tris bufered saline (TBS) and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the sections in 3%  $H_2O_2$  (diluted in TBS) for 20 min. Sections were incubated with blocking one histo solution (06349–64, Nacalai tesque) for 60 min at room temperature and then incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4℃. Sections were subsequently incubated for 1 h with HRPconjugated Affinipure anti-goat  $IgG(H+L)$  (SA00001-4, Proteintech) at 4℃, followed by detection with DAB Chromogen/Substrate kit (ACT500-IFU, ScyTek Laboratories). Samples were counterstained with Gill's haematoxylin (Cod. 30,002, Muto Pure Chemicals Co. Ltd). Images of the stained tissues were captured using BZ-9000 (KEYENCE, JAPAN).

#### **Reanalysis of spatial transcriptomics**

Spatial transcriptomics data [[52\]](#page-17-11) [\(https://www.immport.](https://www.immport.org/shared/study/SDY2213) [org/shared/study/SDY2213](https://www.immport.org/shared/study/SDY2213)) was re-analyzed by Seurat R package (v5). RA3 section data was fltered with min cells/ gene>3 and min genes/cell>200. PCA was performed on the normalized expression of highly variable genes, with the top 30 PCs retained. SpatialFeaturePlot function was then used to visualize the expression of OSM, IL-6, OSMR, and IL-6R on the spatial transcriptomics slide.

#### **Single‑cell RNA‑seq analysis**

Single-cell RNA-seq analysis was performed by the 10×Genomics Chromium system. Synovial cells from knee joints were prepared from 9 healthy mice, 3 CIA mice, and 6 the JAK inhibitor treated mice and captured with the  $10\times$  Genomics Chromium system (3,000 cells assigned). Sequencing libraries were generated using a  $10\times$  Genomics Single-cell 3<sup>'</sup> Solution (v.3) kit and then subjected to Illumina sequencing (HiSeq 4000). Alignment and quantitation of sample count matrices were performed using the 10×Genomics Cell Ranger pipeline (v.3.0) and mouse reference sequences (version mm10) as indicated in the manufacturer's protocol.

#### **Single‑cell RNA‑seq computational methods**

Downstream analysis was performed using the Seurat R package (v.3) with a primary input of 3,000 cells/group, as in our previous studies [\[54](#page-17-13), [55\]](#page-17-14). Genes were primarily fltered out when expressed in<3 cells or from cells with<200 genes. Cells with greater than 5% mitochondrial reads and 7,500 nFeature\_RNA were also excluded. After quality control, per-cell counts were integrated, normalized and scaled using standard and SCT transform functions. The first 30 principal components were retained for UMAP projection and clustering analysis using a graph-based clustering approach and modularity optimization techniques. After primary analysis, minor cell populations were excluded (e.g. cycling, neural and blood cells) and the cells were re-analyzed as above. We also integrated human RA synovial single-cell RNA-seq datasets from the dbGaP Study, Accession phs001529. v1.p1 [\[38\]](#page-16-25), and the [www.immport.org](http://www.immport.org) Study, Accession SDY998 [[19\]](#page-16-13), using Seurat v3 and a standard CCA procedure.

The FeaturePlot was used with the minimum and maximum cutoff values set at quantile 10 and quantile 90 to visualize single cells on a UMAP plot according to their gene expression. Cluster marker fndings identifed positive and negative markers of a single cluster using a

Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, with an adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 and log2FC>0.1 by Bonferroni correction using all genes in the dataset. The average gene expression of each condition of the mouse data in each cell type was also calculated by the AverageExpression function followed by the identifcation of diferentially expressed genes across conditions with log2FC>0.25 (pseudo bulk RNA-seq analysis).

To compute the RA scores in mouse synovial cells at the single-cell level, we constructed a gene list based on the mmu05323 KEGG pathway (rheumatoid arthritis, mouse, Metacarp v3.5) and Seurat function AddModuleScore. Infammatory and destructive scores were calculated using an infammatory gene list (*Il6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Cxcl5, Cxcl10, Cxcl12, Ccl2, Ccl7*) and destructive gene list (*Tnfsf11, Mmp2, Mmp3, Mmp9, Mmp11, Mmp13, Mmp14, Mmp19*). These genes were found in the mmu05323 KEGG pathway gene list and highly expressed in fbroblast clusters. For each individual cell, the average expression of each of 85 genes was calculated. Genes were binned (nbin=24) based on the averaged expression and 100 control genes were randomly selected from each bin. Trajectory analysis was performed using clusters of cells to uncover the global structure, and this structure was converted into smooth lineages represented by one-dimensional variables by Slingshot (v1.2)  $[56, 57]$  $[56, 57]$  $[56, 57]$  $[56, 57]$ . The process included identifying the global lineage structure with a cluster-based minimum spanning tree and ftting simultaneous principal curves to describe each lineage. The single-cell data matrix, the dimensionality reduction produced by UMAP and the cluster identifed by Seurat were used to establish the cell trajectories of mouse fbroblasts and myeloid cells.

We used the database of target genes to analyze the number of JAK/STAT cytokine target genes. For each cytokine, we examined the microarray and/or RNAseq data and made a list of the signifcantly upregulated genes (Data file S3). These cytokine target gene lists were used to calculate the average expression levels (the signature score: the combined expression score of the genes in each target set) in each cluster at the single-cell level using Seurat's AddModuleScore function. In the mouse single-cell data, we used the top 50 (ranked by fold change) cytokine target genes of 15 gene sets, including IL-2, IL-4, IL-15, IL-21 [[28\]](#page-16-23) IL-7 [[29\]](#page-16-27), IL-6 [\[30](#page-16-28)], OSM [[31\]](#page-16-29), IFN-α/β/γ [\[32\]](#page-16-30), IL-10 [\[33](#page-16-31)], IL-22 [[34](#page-16-32)], GM-CSF [\[35](#page-16-33)], EPO [\[36](#page-16-34)] and GH [[37\]](#page-16-24). Cells that had the highest score across all modules and those in the 95% quantile of the highest score were assigned to the related cytokine targets. Cells of lower score cells were categorized as "other cells". Similarly, AddModuleScore was also used to identify cell populations which are resemble to mouse fbroblasts and myeloid cells under CIA conditions, in RA data using the signifcant markers found in each CIA cell cluster.

#### **Pathway and cell communication analysis**

Enrichment clustering and Multi-gene-list meta-analysis were performed based on terms across diferent ontology sources, such as GO and KEGG by using Metascape (v3.5) [\[58](#page-17-17)]. We then identifed all of the statistically enriched terms, accumulative hypergeometric p-values and enrichment factors for each gene set, which were then used for fltering. Cell–cell interaction analysis was performed using curated receptors, ligands and their interactions from the single-cell transcriptomics data. We used the Python package CellPhoneDB (v.2.1.7) [[24](#page-16-19), [59,](#page-17-18) [60\]](#page-17-19). Single-cell RNA-seq mouse and human data were processed with cluster information and user-specifc custom interactions (JAK/STAT signaling cytokines, TNF, IL17, TNFSF11, and their receptors).

The gene expression was also processed for GSEA (v.4.1) in 19 pseudo bulk RNA-seq data from 19 mouse clusters of single-cell RNA-seq data under CIA and the JAK inhibitor conditions [[61](#page-17-20), [62\]](#page-17-21). GSEA was run with 1000 permutations for each of the gene sets and selected with the FDR adjusted *P*-value < 0.05 for normalized enrichment score (NES) in the Diff<sub>of</sub>-Classes mode (which used the diference of class means to calculate fold change for log scale data). We used 14 gene sets available in MSigDB (v7.5) and the KEGG GENES Database, including Hallmark interferon alpha response (M5911), Hallmark interferon gamma response (M5913), Hallmark IL2 STAT5 signaling (M5947), Hallmark IL6 JAK STAT3 signaling (M5897), WP IL1 signaling pathway (M39346), WP oncostatin M signaling pathway (M39562), WP IL4 signaling pathway (M39720), WP IL17 signaling pathway (M39560), WP TNF-alpha signaling pathway (M39662), KEGG JAK STAT signaling pathway (M17411), WP EPO receptor signaling (M39687), WP IL10 anti infammatory signaling pathway (M39796), Reactome interleukin 7 signaling (M542).

#### **In vitro osteoclast diferentiation**

Osteoclast precursors were obtained by the culture of primary bone marrow cells purifed from 7–10-week-old DBA/1J mice in  $\alpha$ -MEM 10% FBS supplemented with 10 ng/ml M-CSF (R&D Systems) for 2 days. Osteoclast precursor cells  $(2 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$  were then co-cultured with synovial fibroblasts  $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well})$  in the presence of 10 ng/ml M-CSF, 100 ng/ml OSM, 100 ng/ml IL-6, and the JAK inhibitor, upadacitinib (50–400 nM) for one week using a 96 well flat-bottom plate. The positive control was culture of osteoclast precursors with M-CSF and 50 ng/ml RANKL, and multinucleated cells (more than three nuclei) were counted by TRAP staining.

### **Quantitative RT‑PCR analysis**

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed with a LightCycler (Roche) using SYBR Green (Toyobo). The level of mRNA expression was normalized by Gapdh expression. The following primers were used: *Gapdh*, 5 ́- TCCACCACCCTGTTGCTGTA-3 ́ and 5 ́-ACCACA GTCCATGCCATCAC-3 ́; *Tnfsf11*, 5 ́-AGCCATTTG CACACCTCAC-3 ́, and 5 ́-CGTGGTACCAAGAGG ACAGAGT-3 ́; *Il6,* 5' CCGGAGAGGAGACTTCACAG 3', and 5' CAGAATTGCCATTGCACAAC 3'.

## **Bone analysis**

For microcomputed tomography analysis, the calcaneus of the arthritic mice was subjected to three-dimensional micro-computed tomography. CT scanning was performed using a ScanXmate-A100S Scanner (Comscantechno). Three-dimensional microstructural image data were reconstructed, and structural indices were calculated using TRI/3D-BON software (RATOC). For bone morphometric analysis, the calcaneus was fxed in 70% EtOH for 1 week. TRAP staining was performed to identify osteoclasts.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed on GraphPad Prism software version 6.0 g and R studio software  $v4.1.2$ . The statistical tests, n values are *p* values all indicated in the fgures and/or legends. Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M or S.D. P values were calculated using unpaired Student's t-test, one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's multiple or comparisons test (\**p*<0.05; \*\* *p*<0.01; \*\*\**p*<0.001; N.S., not signifcant, throughout the paper.

#### **Abbreviations**



#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-024-00347-0) [org/10.1186/s41232-024-00347-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-024-00347-0).

Supplementary Material 1.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank T. Nitta, H. Takaba, K. Kusubata, S. Yin, A. Suematsu, K. Kubo, N. Takeda, I. Komuro, T. Saito, Y. Omata and A. Terashima and all the lab members for thoughtful discussion and technical assistance.

#### **Authors' contributions**

N.C.N.H. performed experiments and most of computational analyses, interpreted data, and prepared the manuscript. R.L., M.K. and T.S. performed experiments and contributed to data interpretation and manuscript preparation. M.T., K.M., K.O., T.A., R.M., W.P., Y.K. and T.T contributed to data interpretation and manuscript preparation. S.T. provided RA synovium and contributed to data interpretation and manuscript preparation. G.K. provided *Col6a1*-Cre mice and contributed to data interpretation and manuscript preparation. N.K. conceived the project, designed the experiments, interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. H.T. directed the project and wrote the manuscript.

#### **Funding**

H.T was supported by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED):JP20ek0410073, JP23ek0410108, AMED-CREST (JP19gm1210008), AMED Japan Initiative for World-leading Vaccine Research and Development Centers (JP223fa627001); Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS): Grants-in-Aid for Scientifc Research S (21H05046) and Challenging Research (21K18254). N.K was supported by AMED JP22ek0410100, JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientifc Research B (22H02844) and Challenging Research (20K21515), JST FOREST program (JPMJFR2261), the Joint Usage/Research Program of Medical Research Institute, TMDU and Medical Research Center Initiative for High Depth Omics and Nanken-Kyoten, TMDU. M.T. was supported by AMED-PRIME (JP21gm6310029) and JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientifc Research B (21H03104). K.O was supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientifc Research B (22H03195) and JST FOREST program (JPMJFR205Z). NCNH was supported by a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Overseas Researchers (18F18095).

#### **Availability of data and materials**

The scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data used in this study are available in the public Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession codes GSE192504 [[21](#page-16-16)] and GSE224221. Codes are available at: [https://github.com/](https://github.com/namhuynhnc/OSM-paper) [namhuynhnc/OSM-paper](https://github.com/namhuynhnc/OSM-paper)

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The experiments were approved by the Institutional Review Board at The University of Tokyo.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The Department of Osteoimmunology is an endowment department supported with an unrestricted grant from AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, ELECOM, JCR Pharmaceuticals, Kondo Cotton Spinning, MIKIHOUSE, MITSUI FUDOSAN, Meiji, Noevir, TAKENAKA, TENNENBUTSU IKAGAKU KENKYU ZAIDAN and Yakult.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>2</sup> Unit of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Odonto-Stomatology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. <sup>3</sup> Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan. 4 Department of Osteoimmunology, Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>5</sup> Division of Immune Environment Dynamics, Cancer Research Institute of Kanazawa University, Kakuma-Machi, Kanazawa, Japan. <sup>6</sup>Institute for Bioinnovation, Biomedical Sciences Research Center (BSRC), Alexander Fleming', Vari, Attika, Greece. <sup>7</sup> Department of Physiology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. <sup>8</sup>Saitama Medical University, Saitama, Japan. <sup>9</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>10</sup>Department of Immune Regulation, Medical Research Institute, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (TMDU), Tokyo, Japan.

Received: 23 February 2024 Accepted: 30 June 2024Published online: 31 July 2024

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Firestein GS. Evolving concepts of rheumatoid arthritis. Nature. 2003;423(6937):356–61. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01661>.
- 2. McInnes IB, Schett G. The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(23):2205–19.<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1004965>.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>3. Komatsu N, Takayanagi H. Mechanisms of joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis - immune cell-fbroblast-bone interactions. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2022;18(7):415–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-022-00793-5>.
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>4. Croft AP, Campos J, Jansen K, et al. Distinct fibroblast subsets drive inflammation and damage in arthritis. Nature. 2019;570(7760):246–51. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1263-7) [doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1263-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1263-7)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>5. Culemann S, Grüneboom A, Nicolás-Ávila JÁ, et al. Locally renewing resident synovial macrophages provide a protective barrier for the joint. Nature. 2019;572(7771):670–5.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>6. Tanaka Y, Luo Y, O'Shea JJ, et al. Janus kinase-targeting therapies in rheumatology: a mechanisms-based approach. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2022;18(3):133–45. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-021-00726-8>.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>7. McLornan DP, Pope JE, Gotlib J, et al. Current and future status of JAK inhibitors. Lancet. 2021;398(10302):803–16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00438-4) [S0140-6736\(21\)00438-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00438-4).
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>8. Traves PG, Murray B, Campigotto F, et al. JAK selectivity and the implications for clinical inhibition of pharmacodynamic cytokine signalling by flgotinib, upadacitinib, tofacitinib and baricitinib. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021;80(7):865–75. [https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219012.](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219012)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>9. Sonomoto K, Yamaoka K, Kubo S, et al. Effects of tofacitinib on lymphocytes in rheumatoid arthritis: relation to efficacy and infectious adverse events. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014;53(5):914–8. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket466) [1093/rheumatology/ket466.](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket466)
- 10. Parmentier JM, Voss J, Graff C, et al. In vitro and in vivo characterization of the JAK1 selectivity of upadacitinib (ABT-494). BMC Rheumatol. 2018;2:23. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s41927-018-0031-x.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41927-018-0031-x)
- 11. Wu H, Yan S, Chen J, et al. JAK1-STAT3 blockade by JAK inhibitor SHR0302 attenuates infammatory responses of adjuvant-induced arthritis rats and decreases Th17 and total B cells. Joint Bone Spine. 2016;83(5):525–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2015.09.002>.
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>12. Maeshima K, Yamaoka K, Kubo S, et al. The JAK inhibitor tofacitinib regulates synovitis through inhibition of interferon-gamma and interleukin-17 production by human CD4+ T cells. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(6):1790–8. [https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34329.](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34329)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>13. Kubo S, Yamaoka K, Kondo M, et al. The JAK inhibitor, tofacitinib, reduces the T cell stimulatory capacity of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73(12):2192–8. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203756) [1136/annrheumdis-2013-203756.](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203756)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>14. Murakami K, Kobayashi Y, Uehara S, et al. A Jak1/2 inhibitor, baricitinib, inhibits osteoclastogenesis by suppressing RANKL expression in osteoblasts in vitro. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(7): e0181126. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181126) [10.1371/journal.pone.0181126](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181126). [publishedOnlineFirst:2017/07/15].
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>15. Adam S, Simon N, Steffen U, et al. JAK inhibition increases bone mass in steady-state conditions and ameliorates pathological bone loss by stimulating osteoblast function. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(530) [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay4447) [doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay4447](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay4447).
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>16. Yari S, Kikuta J, Shigyo H, et al. JAK inhibition ameliorates bone destruction by simultaneously targeting mature osteoclasts and their precursors. Infamm Regen. 2023;43(1):18. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-023-00268-4) [s41232-023-00268-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-023-00268-4).
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>17. Komagamine M, Komatsu N, Ling R, et al. Effect of JAK inhibitors on the three forms of bone damage in autoimmune arthritis: joint erosion, periarticular osteopenia, and systemic bone loss. Infamm Regen. 2023;43(1):44. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-023-00293-3.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41232-023-00293-3)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>18. Burmester GR, Kremer JM, Van den Bosch F, et al. Safety and efficacy of upadacitinib in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and inadequate response to conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (SELECT-NEXT): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2018;391(10139):2503–12. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31115-2) [1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31115-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31115-2).
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>19. Zhang F, Wei K, Slowikowski K, et al. Defning infammatory cell states in rheumatoid arthritis joint synovial tissues by integrating single-cell transcriptomics and mass cytometry. Nat Immunol. 2019;20(7):928–42. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0378-1.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0378-1)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>20. Wei K, Korsunsky I, Marshall JL, et al. Notch signalling drives synovial fbroblast identity and arthritis pathology. Nature. 2020;582(7811):259– 64.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2222-z>.
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>21. Yan M, Komatsu N, Muro R, et al. ETS1 governs pathological tissueremodeling programs in disease-associated fbroblasts. Nat Immunol. 2022;23(9):1330–41. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-022-01285-0.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-022-01285-0) [published Online First: 2022/08/24].
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>22. Alivernini S, MacDonald L, Elmesmari A, et al. Distinct synovial tissue macrophage subsets regulate inflammation and remission in rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Med. 2020;26(8):1295–306. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0939-8) [s41591-020-0939-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0939-8)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>23. Kurowska-Stolarska M, Alivernini S. Synovial tissue macrophages in joint homeostasis, rheumatoid arthritis and disease remission. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2022;18(7):384–97. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-022-00790-8) [s41584-022-00790-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-022-00790-8).
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>24. Efremova M, Vento-Tormo M, Teichmann SA, et al. Cell PhoneDB: inferring cell-cell communication from combined expression of multisubunit ligand-receptor complexes. Nat Protoc. 2020;15(4):1484–506. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0292-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0292-x)
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>25. Sims NA. Infuences of the IL-6 cytokine family on bone structure and function. Cytokine. 2021;146: 155655.
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>26. Langdon C, Kerr C, Hassen M, et al. Murine oncostatin M stimulates mouse synovial fbroblasts in vitro and induces infammation and destruction in mouse joints in vivo. Am J Pathol. 2000;157(4):1187–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440\(10\)64634-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64634-2)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>27. Le Goff B, Singbrant S, Tonkin BA, et al. Oncostatin M acting via OSMR, augments the actions of IL-1 and TNF in synovial fbroblasts. Cytokine. 2014;68(2):101–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2014.04.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2014.04.001)
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>28. McNamara MJ, Kasiewicz MJ, Linch SN, et al. Common gamma chain (gammac) cytokines diferentially potentiate TNFR family signaling in antigen-activated CD8(+) T cells. J Immunother Cancer. 2014;2:28. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-014-0028-y.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-014-0028-y)
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>29. Le Saout C, Luckey MA, Villarino AV, et al. IL-7-dependent STAT1 activation limits homeostatic CD4+ T cell expansion. JCI Insight 2017;2(22) [https://](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.96228) [doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.96228](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.96228).
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>30. Sobotta S, Raue A, Huang X, et al. Model Based Targeting of IL-6-Induced Infammatory Responses in Cultured Primary Hepatocytes to Improve Application of the JAK Inhibitor Ruxolitinib. Front Physiol. 2017;8:775. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00775>.
- <span id="page-16-29"></span>31. Walker EC, Johnson RW, Hu Y, et al. Murine Oncostatin M Acts via Leukemia Inhibitory Factor Receptor to Phosphorylate Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 (STAT3) but Not STAT1, an Efect That Protects Bone Mass. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(41):21703–16. [https://doi.org/10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.748483) [jbc.M116.748483](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.748483).
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>32. Rusinova I, Forster S, Yu S, et al. Interferome v2.0: an updated database of annotated interferon-regulated genes. Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41 (Database issue):D1040–6.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1215>
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>33. Lang R, Patel D, Morris JJ, et al. Shaping gene expression in activated and resting primary macrophages by IL-10. J Immunol. 2002;169(5):2253–63. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.5.2253.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.5.2253)
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>34. Chen Y, Vandereyken M, Newton IP, et al. Loss of adenomatous polyposis coli function renders intestinal epithelial cells resistant to the cytokine IL-22. PLoS Biol. 2019;17(11):e3000540. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000540) [pbio.3000540](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000540).
- <span id="page-16-33"></span>35. Lacey DC, Achuthan A, Fleetwood AJ, et al. Defning GM-CSF- and macrophage-CSF-dependent macrophage responses by in vitro models. J Immunol. 2012;188(11):5752–65. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103426) [1103426](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103426).
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>36. Sivertsen EA, Hystad ME, Gutzkow KB, et al. PI3K/Akt-dependent Epoinduced signalling and target genes in human early erythroid progenitor cells. Br J Haematol. 2006;135(1):117–28. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2006.06252.x) [2141.2006.06252.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2006.06252.x).
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>37. Chen Y, Lin G, Huo JS, et al. Computational and functional analysis of growth hormone (GH)-regulated genes identifes the transcriptional repressor B-cell lymphoma 6 (Bc16) as a participant in GH-regulated transcription. Endocrinology. 2009;150(8):3645–54. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-0212) [1210/en.2009-0212](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-0212).
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>38. Stephenson W, Donlin LT, Butler A, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq of rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue using low-cost microfuidic instrumentation. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):791. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02659-x) [s41467-017-02659-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02659-x).
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>39. Migita K, Komori A, Torigoshi T, et al. CP690,550 inhibits oncostatin M-induced JAK/STAT signaling pathway in rheumatoid synoviocytes. Arthritis Res Ther. 2011;13(3):R72. [https://doi.org/10.1186/ar3333.](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar3333)
- 40. Diller M, Hasseli R, Hulser ML, et al. Targeting Activated Synovial Fibroblasts in Rheumatoid Arthritis by Pefcitinib. Front Immunol. 2019;10:541. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2019.00541.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00541)
- 41. McGarry T, Orr C, Wade S, et al. JAK/STAT blockade alters synovial bioenergetics, mitochondrial function, and proinfammatory mediators in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2018;70(12):1959–70. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.40569) [doi.org/10.1002/art.40569](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.40569).
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>42. Weston S, Macdonald JL, Williams LM, et al. The JAK inhibitor baricitinib inhibits oncostatin M induction of proinfammatory mediators in ex-vivo synovial derived cells. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2022;40(9):1620–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/cfsajk) [org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/cfsajk.](https://doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/cfsajk)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>43. Okamoto H, Yamamura M, Morita Y, et al. The synovial expression and serum levels of interleukin-6, interleukin-11, leukemia inhibitory factor, and oncostatin M in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 1997;40(6):1096–105.<https://doi.org/10.1002/art.1780400614>.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>44. Hui W, Bell M, Carroll G. Detection of oncostatin M in synovial fuid from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1997;56(3):184–7. [https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.56.3.184.](https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.56.3.184)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>45. West NR, Owens BMJ, Hegazy AN. The oncostatin M-stromal cell axis in health and disease. Scand J Immunol. 2018;88(3): e12694. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/sji.12694) [10.1111/sji.12694](https://doi.org/10.1111/sji.12694).
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>46. Nygaard G, Firestein GS. Restoring synovial homeostasis in rheumatoid arthritis by targeting fbroblast-like synoviocytes. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2020;16(6):316–33. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-020-0413-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-020-0413-5)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>47. Armaka M, Ospelt C, Pasparakis M, et al. The p55TNFR-IKK2-Ripk3 axis orchestrates arthritis by regulating death and infammatory pathways in synovial fbroblasts. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):618. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02935-4) [s41467-018-02935-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02935-4).
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>48. Choy EH, Bendit M, McAleer D, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of an anti- oncostatin M monoclonal antibody in rheumatoid arthritis: results from phase II randomized, placebo-controlled trials. Arthritis Res Ther. 2013;15(5):R132. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar4312) [ar4312.](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar4312)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>49. Plater-Zyberk C, Buckton J, Thompson S, et al. Amelioration of arthritis in two murine models using antibodies to oncostatin M. Arthritis Rheumatism 2001;44(11):2697-702. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131\(200111\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200111)44:11<2697::aid-art450>3.0.co;2-#) [44:11<2697::aid-art450>3.0.co;2-#](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200111)44:11<2697::aid-art450>3.0.co;2-#).
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>50. Mori S, Urata Y, Yoshitama T, et al. Tofacitinib versus tocilizumab in the treatment of biological-naïve or previous biological-failure patients with methotrexate-refractory active rheumatoid arthritis. RMD Open. 2021;7(2): e001601.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>51. Ochi S, Sonomoto K, Nakayamada S, et al. Preferable outcome of Janus kinase inhibitors for a group of difficult-to-treat rheumatoid arthritis patients: from the FIRST Registry. Arthritis Res Ther. 2022;24(1):61.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>52. Smith MH, Gao VR, Periyakoil PK, Kochen A, DiCarlo EF, Goodman SM, Norman TM, Donlin LT, Leslie CS, Rudensky AY. Drivers of heterogeneity in synovial fbroblasts in rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Immunol. 2023;24(7):1200–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-023-01527-9>.
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>53. Armaka M, Apostolaki M, Jacques P, et al. Mesenchymal cell targeting by TNF as a common pathogenic principle in chronic infammatory joint and intestinal diseases. J Exp Med. 2008;205(2):331–7. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20070906) [1084/jem.20070906.](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20070906)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>54. Tsukasaki M, Asano T, Muro R, et al. OPG Production Matters Where It Happened. Cell Rep. 2020;32(10): 108124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108124) [2020.108124.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108124)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>55. Tsukasaki M, Huynh NC, Okamoto K, et al. Stepwise cell fate decision pathways during osteoclastogenesis at single-cell resolution. Nat Metab. 2020;2(12):1382–90. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-00318-y>.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>56. Van den Berge K, Roux de Bezieux H, Street K, et al. Trajectory-based differential expression analysis for single-cell sequencing data. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):1201.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14766-3>
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>57. Street K, Risso D, Fletcher RB, et al. Slingshot: cell lineage and pseudotime inference for single-cell transcriptomics. BMC Genomics. 2018;19(1):477. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4772-0.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4772-0)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>58. Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, et al. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1523.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6>.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>59. Vento-Tormo R, Efremova M, Botting RA, et al. Single-cell reconstruction of the early maternal-fetal interface in humans. Nature. 2018;563(7731):347–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0698-6>.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>60. Garcia-Alonso L, Handfield LF, Roberts K, et al. Mapping the temporal and spatial dynamics of the human endometrium in vivo and in vitro. Nat Genet. 2021;53(12):1698–711. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00972-2) [s41588-021-00972-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00972-2).
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>61. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(43):15545–50. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102) [10.1073/pnas.0506580102.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>62. Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, et al. PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat Genet. 2003;34(3):267–73. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1180) [ng1180](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1180).

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.