REVIEW ARTICLE



Present and future of whole-body MRI in metastatic disease and myeloma: how and why you will do it

 $\label{eq:Frederic E. Lecouvet} {}^1 \cdot Caroline\ Chabot {}^1 \cdot Lokmane\ Taihi {}^1 \cdot Thomas\ Kirchgesner {}^1 \cdot Perrine\ Triqueneaux {}^1 \cdot Jacques\ Malghem {}^1$

Received: 20 May 2024 / Revised: 5 June 2024 / Accepted: 5 June 2024 / Published online: 15 July 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Metastatic disease and myeloma present unique diagnostic challenges due to their multifocal nature. Accurate detection and staging are critical for determining appropriate treatment. Bone scintigraphy, skeletal radiographs and CT have long been the mainstay for the assessment of these diseases, but have limitations, including reduced sensitivity and radiation exposure. Whole-body MRI has emerged as a highly sensitive and radiation-free alternative imaging modality. Initially developed for skeletal screening, it has extended tumor screening to all organs, providing morphological and physiological information on tumor tissue. Along with PET/CT, whole-body MRI is now accepted for staging and response assessment in many malignancies. It is the first choice in an ever increasing number of cancers (such as myeloma, lobular breast cancer, advanced prostate cancer, myxoid liposarcoma, bone sarcoma, ...). It has also been validated as the method of choice for cancer screening in patients with a predisposition to cancer and for staging cancers observed during pregnancy. The current and future challenges for WB-MRI are its availability facing this number of indications, and its acceptance by patients, radiologists and health authorities. Guidelines have been developed to optimize image acquisition and reading, assessment of lesion response to treatment, and to adapt examination designs to specific cancers. The implementation of 3D acquisition, Dixon method, and deep learning-based image optimization further improve the diagnostic performance of the technique and reduce examination durations. Whole-body MRI screening is feasible in less than 30 min. This article reviews validated indications, recent developments, growing acceptance, and future perspectives of whole-body MRI.

Keywords Cancer · Imaging · MRI · Whole-body imaging · Bone · Metastasis · Multiple myeloma

Key	/points.
• W sc • W m • T	⁷ hole-body MRI advantageously replaces radiographs, bone sintigraphy and CT for lesion screening in metastatic solid ancers and in multiple myeloma. /hole-body MRI has become a first-line imaging modality for any cancers, along with PET/CT. echnological developments allow optimization of diagnostic erformance and minimization of examination time.
• A au	cceptance of the technique by patients, radiologists and health athorities is growing.
	Frederic E. Lecouvet
	Frederic E. Lecouvet frederic.lecouvet@saintluc.uclouvain.be
1	Frederic E. Lecouvet frederic.lecouvet@saintluc.uclouvain.be Department of Medical Imaging, Institut de Recherche
1	Frederic E. Lecouvet frederic.lecouvet@saintluc.uclouvain.be Department of Medical Imaging, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique (IREC), Institut du Cancer Roi
1	Frederic E. Lecouvet frederic.lecouvet@saintluc.uclouvain.be Department of Medical Imaging, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique (IREC), Institut du Cancer Roi Albert II, Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Université

Abbreviations

ADC	Average diffusion coefficient
BS	Bone scintigraphy
CT	Computed tomography
DL	Deep learning
DWI	Diffusion-weighted imaging
FDG	Fluorodeoxyglucose
FF	Fat fraction (fat fraction calculated from a
	Dixon sequence)
FSE	Fast spin echo
FO Fat only (image of fat only from a Dixor	
	sequence)
GE	Gradient echo
IP	In-phase
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MGUS	Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined
	significance
MM	Multiple myeloma
NF	Neurofibromatosis

OP	Out-of-phase
PSMA	Prostate-specific membrane antigen
PET/CT	Positron emission tomography with computed
	tomography
STIR	Short-time inversion recovery
WB-MRI	Whole-body MRI
WO	Water only (image of water only from a Dixon
	sequence)

Introduction

Since its introduction almost 20 years ago, whole-body MRI (WB-MRI) has become the imaging modality of choice in a growing number of indications [1, 2]. This article focuses on the present and future of oncologic applications of WB-MRI in bone metastases and multiple myeloma (MM), which represent the most common secondary and primary bone tumors in adults. It does not cover the role of WB-MRI in lymphoma and pediatric cancers and the numerous non-oncologic indications such as inflammatory involvement of bones, joints, or muscles [3].

WB-MRI has established itself as a credible alternative to techniques previously used in these disorders, such as radiographs, bone scintigraphy (BS), and computed tomography (CT). It rivals or outperforms positron emission tomography with computed tomography (PET/CT) in many indications and is the first-line imaging modality in several malignancies [4, 5].

From a technical standpoint, WB-MRI combines anatomical sequences, functional diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences, and quantitative measurements [6]. Specific guidelines provide cancer specific examination designs and recommendations for reading, reporting, and response assessment [7, 8]. The implementation of 3D acquisition and of the Dixon method and the growing role of artificial intelligence and of deep learning (DL)–based image optimization largely contribute to improve the diagnostic performance of the technique and reduce acquisition times [9, 10].

The capacity of WB-MRI for tumor screening has been extended from the skeleton to all organs, leading to an everincreasing number of indications [11]. WB-MRI can now be recommended in a wide range of cancers for one-step staging, quantification of tumor burden, assessment of lesion response to treatment, and detection of residual disease.

This article reviews the basic technical principles of WB-MRI and recent developments to optimize the technique. It provides a practical approach to reading WB-MRI. It illustrates its established and emerging oncologic indications and discusses comparisons with historical and other "modern" imaging modalities. Finally, it presents our views on the challenges and promising future developments of WB-MRI.

Technique

Basic principles

WB-MRI relies on the sequential acquisition of high-resolution images of limited segments of the body, which are then merged by reconstruction software [12]. To reduce examination time, body coverage is often limited to an "eyes-to-thighs" study, which covers the skeletal areas affected by neoplastic bone marrow infiltration and most of the organs involved in systemic cancer spread [13].

Both 1.5 T and 3 T magnets currently provide very satisfactory results [14]. The simultaneous use of receiver coils specific to different regions of the body provide optimal signal-to-noise ratio and spatial resolution. This includes the use of the head and neck coils, tabletop coils for spine coverage, and torso phased array coils.

Most sequences are acquired in free-breathing mode, which is even recommended for DWI sequences, as it reduces motion artifacts and increases the signal-to-noise ratio. Some fast sequences (gradient echo 3DT1) can be acquired under apnea or with respiratory gating over the chest and abdomen.

Contrast-enhanced sequences are not performed routinely. Post-contrast sequences may be obtained on the liver or brain in certain cancers with a particular tropism for these organs or on the spine in symptomatic patients with suspected meningeal or epidural carcinomatosis. The brain, breast, and upper abdomen may be covered by specific post-contrast sequences in comprehensive evaluations of patients with syndromes predisposing to multiple cancers (see below).

A WB-MRI scan typically combines anatomical and "functional" diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences (Table 1).

Recommendations have been published to harmonize and standardize content, quality, and reporting of WB-MRI performed in prostate cancer (MET-RADS-P) and multiple myeloma (My-RADS) [7, 8]. These recommendations also provide criteria for assessing the response of bone, lymph node, and visceral lesions based on qualitative and quantitative image analysis.

Anatomical sequences

Fat-sensitive T1-weighted sequences have been the historical reference for evaluating the bone marrow and its tumoral involvement. Optimization of bone lesion detection and extension of metastatic screening to extraskeletal organs have led to the addition of fluid-sensitive "T2-like" sequences, often acquired with fat signal suppression using various methods: chemical shift selective fat saturation Table 1 Whole-body MRI protocols in oncology

TypePlaneAnatomic coverageT1 TSE or GRE T1 DIXONCoronal or axialHead- Mid-thigh*T1 TSE or GRE T1 DIXONSagittalSpineSTIRSagittalSpine3D T1 TSECoronal + reconstructionsHead- Mid-thigh*STIRCoronal + reconstructionsSpine3D T1 TSECoronal + reconstructionsSpineSTIRCoronal + reconstructionsHead- Mid-thigh*STIRCoronal + MPRHead- Mid-thigh*STIR / T2 DIXONCoronal + MPR SagittalSpineT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalSpineOrTurtusAND
T1 TSE or GRE T1 DIXON T1 TSE or GRE T1 DIXON STIRCoronal or axial Sagittal Sagittal Sagittal SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal a Gromal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* Spine3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal a Gromal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* SpineT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalSpineAND
T1 TSE or GRE T1 DIXON Sagittal Sagittal Spine STIR Sagittal Spine 3D T1 TSE STIR Coronal + reconstructions Sagittal Head- Mid-thigh* Spine 3D GRE T1 DIXON Coronal + MPR Fat fraction maps STIR / T2 DIXON Head- Mid-thigh* Spine STIR / T2 DIXON Sagittal Spine T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head- Mid-thigh* Spine
STIRSagittalSpine3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* Spine3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineSTIR / T2 DIXONSagittalSpineOrT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineSpineOrTerretioned segittalAND
Or3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineOrJD GRE T1 DIXONCoronal + MPR
3D T1 TSE STIRCoronal + reconstructions SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineOr3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead- Mid-thigh*STIR / T2 DIXONSagittalSpineOrT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineSagittalSpineOrT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalHead- Mid-thigh* SpineAND
STIRSagittalSpineOr3D GRE T1 DIXONCoronal + MPR Fat fraction maps SagittalHead-Mid-thigh*STIR / T2 DIXONSagittalSpineOrT2 DIXONCoronal SagittalHead-Mid-thigh* SpineAND
Or 3D GRE T1 DIXON Coronal + MPR Fat fraction maps Head-Mid-thigh* STIR / T2 DIXON Sagittal Spine Or T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head-Mid-thigh* Spine T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head-Mid-thigh* Spine
3D GRE T1 DIXON Coronal + MPR Fat fraction maps Head-Mid-thigh* STIR / T2 DIXON Sagittal Spine Or T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head-Mid-thigh* Sagittal Spine Spine
Fat fraction maps Spine STIR / T2 DIXON Sagittal Spine Or T2 DIXON Coronal Head-Mid-thigh* Sagittal Spine
STIR / T2 DIXON Sagittal Spine Or T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head-Mid-thigh* Spine
Or T2 DIXON Coronal Sagittal Head-Mid-thigh* Spine
T2 DIXON Coronal Head-Mid-thigh* Sagittal Spine
Sagittal Spine AND
AND
Eunstianal diffusion weighted acquerace
runctional unfusion-weighted sequences
TypePlaneAnatomic coverage
DWI Axial Head-Mid-thigh*
Minimum 2 b values MPR images at 2 b values
(50-100; 800-1000 s/mm ²) MIP high b images
Optional 4 b values Contrast scale inversion
ADC maps
Options in specific indications
Type Indications Anatomic coverage
Targeted post-contrast and DWILung, colon, ovarian cancer,Thoraco-abdomino-pelvic
sequences neuroendocrine tumors
Brain dedicated sequences Breast and lung cancer at high risk Brain
(including injection) of metastasis
Li-Fraumeni syndrome
Fat suppressed T1 sequences Melanoma (to detect Whole body or Head- Mid-thigh
(Best T1 Dixon) melanin-producing lesions) depending on location

*: Head-to-toes coverage recommended in Li-Fraumeni syndrome, multiple exostoses, enchondromatosis, neurofibromatosis.

In bold font: preferred options

Abbreviations: MPR: multiplanar reconstructions; MIP: maximal intensity projections

(CHESS), inversion recovery (STIR), or the Dixon method (see below). The STIR sequence has been by far the most widely used in WB-MRI (Figs. 1 and 2). Finally, transverse T2-weighted fast spin echo (FSE) sequences are acquired to assess visceral and lymph node metastases [7].

These anatomical sequences are most often acquired as 2D images obtained in the coronal or axial planes. Sagittal sections of the spine can be acquired to optimize the delineation of vertebral lesions and their consequences on the spinal canal [2, 7] (Fig. 1).

"Functional" sequences

DWI sequences provide information about cellularity and cell membrane integrity by assessing the diffusion properties of water molecules.

Technically, whole-body DWI consists of 2D MRI sequences acquired with high diffusion gradients and fat signal suppression, most commonly STIR. The *b*-factor, expressed in seconds per square millimeter, expresses the degree of diffusion weighting of the sequence and

Fig. 1 66-year-old man with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: WB-MRI work-up. Coronal T1 (**a**, **b**), STIR (**c**, **d**) and DWI ($b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$, inverted grayscale) DWI (e, f) images: multiple foci of bone marrow replacement are seen in the ribs, thoracolumbar spine and pelvis (arrows), indicating advanced disease requiring treatment. Sagittal T1 (g) and T2 (h) images of the spine: two pathologic vertebral compression fractures (arrows) are more clearly seen than on coronal sections



depends on the characteristics of the diffusion gradients. The use of two or more *b*-values allows a combination of anatomical information (on low b-value images), optimization of lesion detection (high *b*-value images), and calculation of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). The lowest *b*-value (50 to 100 s/mm²) provides an image equivalent to a T2-weighted sequence. The high b-value (800 and 1000 s/mm²) images optimize contrast between lesions and their environment and are particularly useful for detecting bone lesions in areas that are difficult to study with anatomic sequences (ribs, shoulder girdle) and for detecting visceral lesions, especially liver or lung metastases, adenopathies, and peritoneal nodules. These high *b*-value images are often read in inverted grey scale windows, in a "BS or PET-like" fashion. DWI sequences are typically acquired in the axial plane, where they can be directly correlated with the usual acquisition plane of the more "conventional" cross-sectional imaging techniques, CT, and visceral MRI.

ADC maps are reconstructed for lesion characterization and evaluation of response, adding a "functional" dimension to the imaging study (Fig. 3).

In tumors involving solid organs such as the liver or prostate, this diffusion is restricted, "impeded," due to the multiplication of membrane interfaces and the reduction of intracellular spaces, resulting in a decrease in ADC values (see below). In tumors involving the bone marrow, the water diffusivity is paradoxically increased, "enhanced," at least in the early phase of tumor infiltration. This results from the limited diffusion capacity of water molecules within the normal bone marrow due to its composition of large cells, limited extracellular space, and predominant hydrophobic fatty content. This property, together with fat replacement, higher T1 and

Fig. 2 46-year-old man with newly diagnosed neuroendocrine cancer: comparison of T1 and STIR sequences with a single T2 Dixon sequence, DWI and PET/CT. a, b Coronal T1 and STIR WB-MRI sections show two metastases within the L1 vertebral body (arrow) and the right sacral wing (arrowhead). c, d Fat-only (FO) (c) and water-only (WO) (d) images of the corresponding FSE T2 Dixon sequence, show exactly the same lesions (in a single sequence). e Coronal high *b*-value (b = 1000 s/ mm², inverted grayscale) DWI sequence shows the same lesions. f, g Gallium-68 dotatate PET/CT (octreo-PET) show the same lesions, slightly sclerotic on CT (f) and showing intense tracer uptake (g)



T2 relaxation times, and greater vascularity, explain the visibility of bone marrow lesions on high *b*-value DWI images.

Multiparametric and quantitative imaging

Multiparametric MRI is defined as the combination of anatomical sequences and at least two "functional" quantitative sequences. In WB-MRI, the quantitative assessment of bone lesions relies on DWI sequences-based ADC maps and Dixon method-based fat fraction (FF) maps.

ADC maps are of great interest for lesion characterization and assessment of treatment response [6, 15, 16]. ADC is automatically calculated from signal intensities on diffusion-weighted images acquired at different *b*-values (Fig. 3).

WB-MRI sequences acquired in the Dixon mode are used to generate FF maps, which allow quantification of fat in the bone marrow and in bone lesions before and after treatment to assess response and appear to be a promising biomarker of that response [16] (Fig. 3).

Optimization of WB-MRI examinations

Several approaches have been proposed to optimize the diagnostic effectiveness and minimize the duration of examinations.

Optimal sequence combination

Several studies have evaluated the diagnostic performance of individual anatomic and DWI sequences and their combinations in the detection of bone lesions [17]. These works suggest that (FSE or Dixon gradient-echo) T1 and DWI sequences are sufficient not only for the detection of bone metastases of prostate cancer, but also for the



Fig. 3 77-year-old man with multiple myeloma: WB-MRI studies at diagnosis and after treatment. **a**, **b** Coronal T1 (**a**) and high *b*-value (inverted grayscale window, $b=1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$) DWI (**b**) sequences show a large lesion within the T12 vertebral body extending into the right paraspinal soft tissues (arrow). Another lesion is seen within the left posterior iliac crest (arrowhead). **c** Axial fat fraction (FF) map; measurement within the T12 body (circle) shows the absence of residual marrow fat (FF=0%). **d** Axial ADC map; measurement

detection of lymph node and visceral involvement, with no additional benefit from a STIR sequence [18, 19].

Implementation of 3D acquisition

To avoid the repetition of anatomical sequences in 2D mode in multiple planes, 3D fast spin echo (FSE) sequences with very thin slices (1–1.5 mm isotropic voxels) have been developed to cover large fields of view [5, 9]. With their multiplanar capability, these sequences (cube, space) facilitate the detection of bone and lymph node metastases, avoid the need to acquire dedicated images of the spine, and minimize the risk of missing lesions due to partial volume effects associated with slice thickness in 2D acquisitions.

The acquisition of T1-weighted sequences using gradient echo (GE) and 3D modes further accelerates the acquisition of anatomical images and allows their acquisition under apnea. A study in patients with metastatic prostate cancer

within the T12 body (circle) shows increased ADC (750 mm²/s), indicating tumor infiltration. **e–h** Morphologic images and corresponding quantitative maps from the MRI follow-up examination performed two months later, after initiation of systemic treatment and irradiation of the lesions: regression in size and fading of the lesions on morphologic images (arrows and arrowheads in **e**, **f**). Measurements within the body of T12 show increased FF (16%) and ADC (1235 mm²/s) (circles), indicating lesion response to treatment

showed that a 3D T1 GE sequence acquired under apnea had similar performance to a 3D T1 FSE sequence in detecting bone and nodal metastases, while the acquisition time of this anatomical sequence was reduced from 14 to 1 min 20 s [20].

Use of the dixon method

The Dixon technique takes advantage of the different precession frequencies of water and fat protons to record the signal alternately over time when these protons are in phase (IP) and out of phase (OP) with each other. In addition to these IP and OP images, a mathematical calculation provides images that favor the fat only (FO) or water only (WO) signal, corresponding to fat-saturated images. Hence, the technique not only allows a reliable fat signal suppression (WO), but also provides anatomical sequences (IP), and sequences that maximize contrast between lesions and normal bone marrow (FO). It also allows the calculation of the FF maps. The importance acquiring images with the Dixon mode in MM must be emphasized. Conventional FSE T1 sequences can miss myeloma lesions due to their spontaneous relatively high signal intensity and limited contrast with the surrounding high signal intensity bone marrow. FO images, which are available for T1 and T2 Dixon sequences, show the foci of bone marrow replacement much more clearly [10].

Paralleling preliminary observations in limited spine studies, a single T2 Dixon sequence covering the whole body plane can replace T1 and STIR sequences and may be sufficient as the anatomical component of WB-MRI for metastasis or myeloma screening (Fig. 2) [10, 21, 22]. A T2 Dixon sequence indeed combines T2-weighted images with fat signal suppression (WO, fluid-sensitive sequence, equivalent to STIR) and fat images (FO, fatsensitive, providing information very similar to T1) [21]. The availability of 3D T2 Dixon sequences suggests that this approach will be sufficient as an anatomical sequence, offering not only multiplanar capability, fat- and fluidsensitive sequences, but also morphological T2 images in all planes.

Acceleration techniques

Parallel imaging has allowed the development of the simultaneous multi-slice (SMS) acquisition technique for DWI sequences in WB-MRI. A study comparing SMS-DWI with usual DWI sequences showed that this approach allows metastatic detection and reliable ADC calculation [23].

These approaches to decrease acquisition times by means of conventional acceleration techniques (e.g., parallel imaging or compressed sensing) are now largely overshadowed by DL reconstruction algorithms [24]. These DL-based solutions (Air Recon DL, Deep Resolve) reduce image noise generated within the original raw data for all type of conventional and DWI sequences and revolutionize the image quality and acquisition times of WB-MRI [25–27]. The impact of the use of these algorithms on quantitative parameters such as ADC and FF maps deserves further evaluation [28].

WB-MRI reading and lesion characterization

Practical reading method

Morphological images (fat- and fluid-sensitive sequences, low and high *b*-value DWI images) and quantitative ADC and FF maps are read on PACS workstations by "linking" and browsing them in the different planes using multiplanar registration and reformatting tools. These images are complementary and allow detection, localization, and characterization of lesions by comparing their appearance on different sequences and measuring ADC and FF. Maximum intensity projection (MIP) views of high *b*-value DWI sequences, displayed as 3D MIP images, rotating around a central craniocaudal axis using inverted grayscale window, provide global at-a-glance disease assessment [7].

Lesion detection: morphological and quantitative approaches

Bone lesions

On T1-weighted (fat-sensitive) images, the replacement of normal bone marrow by neoplastic cells causes a focal or diffuse decrease in the bone marrow signal intensity, which becomes lower than the signal of muscles and intervertebral discs [2, 29]. On T2 Dixon WO, fat-suppressed T2, or STIR (fluid-sensitive) images, the signal of bone lesions is variable, depending on the phenotype of the lesion, which may be more or less hydrated (higher signal) or sclerotic (lower signal). On DWI sequences, metastatic bone disease is characterized by focal or diffuse high signal abnormalities on high *b*-value images, with ADC values higher than those of normal bone marrow. ADC values in tumor tissue are typically greater than $1.2-1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ mm²/s. A threshold ADC value of 0.655×10^{-3} mm²/s has been suggested to differentiate normal bone marrow from bone marrow infiltration by cancer cells [30].

Some pitfalls of DWI images need to be emphasized.

Although high *b*-value DWI images have a high sensitivity for lesion detection, their specificity is limited and correlation with ADC maps and anatomical sequences is essential to avoid false-positive observations. Certain benign lesions (hemangiomas, benign fractures, periarticular degenerative edema-like lesions, juxtadiscal fibrovascular endplate changes or Modic type 1 changes, focal or drug-induced diffuse hyperplasia of hematopoietic bone marrow) may cause abnormal signal on these images [31, 32]. Demonstration of the presence of fat within these lesions, facilitated by the Dixon sequence, is key to identifying these benign conditions.

ADC measurements also allow differentiation of viable tumors (which have lower ADC values) from hemangiomas (which have higher ADC values) and from necrotic metastases or treated myeloma foci (which may have high signal on high *b*-value images due to the "T2 shine through" phenomenon, but have much higher ADC values than viable tumors) [33].

False-negative DWI results can be observed in case of hypercellular bone marrow, which reduces the contrast

between metastases and the surrounding marrow, and in cases of densely sclerotic metastases [2].

Lymph node and visceral lesions

Detection of malignant lymph nodes on WB-MRI is challenging, even on DWI sequences, because both normal lymph nodes and tumoral adenopathies have high signal on high *b*-value images due to their high cellularity. As with conventional CT, size remains the main criterion for the diagnosis of abnormal lymph nodes, which has known limitations: many tumoral lymph nodes are infracentimetric, and supracentimetric lymph nodes may not be metastatic [34].

Hepatic and pulmonary metastases present as lesions with high signal on high *b*-value DWI images. Their diagnosis is complemented by T2-weighted anatomical sequences. Studies have shown the high sensitivity of WB-MRI for detecting these visceral lesions compared with CT and FDG PET/CT [35].

Assessment of tumor response

Imaging assessment of treatment response is a major expectation in oncology. Bone metastases, long considered "non measurable" due to the limitations of BS and CT, can now be assessed with WB-MRI and PET/CT [36]. On anatomic sequences and high *b*-value DWI, response assessment is based on the observation of changes in number, size, and signal of lesions, extending to the bone marrow the approach used for visceral lesions according to the RECIST (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid tumors) recommendations [37].

Measurements of FF and ADC allow refinement and quantification of treatment response. Responding lesions show a decrease in signal on high *b*-value DWI images and an increase in ADC and FF. Progressive lesions show high signal on high *b*-value DWI sequences and decrease in ADC and FF (Fig. 3). These response criteria have been validated in both metastatic disease and MM, with correlations to histologic findings and patient survival [15, 38]. After intensive treatment, WB-MRI is used to detect "imaging minimal residual disease," which also correlates with shorter survival [39].

Thresholding techniques applied to DWI sequences allow quantification of the total tumor burden and its monitoring over time to assess response to treatment [40].

Indications, diagnostic performance, and comparisons with other techniques

WB-MRI was first used to detect bone involvement in cancers with a particular skeletal tropism in their metastatic spread, such as breast and prostate cancer, and in hematologic cancers that exclusively or frequently affect bones, such as MM [41].

Its diagnostic performance is superior to that of BS and CT for detecting bone metastases and to that of skeletal radiographs for myeloma staging. With the introduction of DWI, it has demonstrated its ability to detect extra-skeletal metastases and to provide "all-organ" staging, extending its indications to many other cancers (Table 2).

Metastatic cancers

In prostate cancer, WB-MRI is more effective than the combination of BS and thoraco-abdomino-pelvic CT for lymph node (N), bone, and visceral (M) staging, allowing this staging to be made in a single step [11]. The performance of MRI seems to be superior to that of 11C- or 18F-labeled choline PET/ CT [42]. The best technique currently available in nuclear medicine is prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) PET/CT. Few studies directly compared WB-MRI and PSMA PET/CT (Fig. 4). A recent study showed a higher sensitivity (90-96%), but a lower specificity (70-90%), of PSMA PET/ CT compared to WB-MRI (sensitivity 43–80%; specificity 96%) [43]. The choice between these techniques may depend on the stage of the disease. PSMA PET/CT is preferred at the time of biological recurrence (rising PSA) and for the diagnosis of oligometastases (see below). WB-MRI may be suggested as an alternative for the expected diagnosis of polymetastatic disease based on clinical and biological data, in the case of equivocal results not only from BS or CT but also from PSMA-PET/CT (numerous false positives and false negatives due to lack of PSMA expression by cancer cells), in advanced disease (castration-resistant cancer) prior to new therapy to assess disease response (including Lutetium 177-PSMA treatment), and in centers lacking PSMA-PET/CT [44].

In breast cancer patients at risk of metastasis spread, WB-MRI and fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT (FDG PET/CT) are currently the most effective techniques for detecting metastases [45]. The choice between these techniques must be guided by the histologic and molecular characteristics of the primary tumor and the expected tropism of the metastases. PET/CT, which performs poorly in lobular carcinoma due to lack of glucose avidity of the cancer cells, should be replaced by WB-MRI in this indication [35, 46] (Fig. 5). WB-MRI with DWI sequences is the preferred technique in patients with predominantly or exclusively bone and liver metastases, including in late disease stages, when tissue changes associated with multiple lines of therapy make it difficult to detect viable tumor tissue. WB-MRI is much earlier and more discriminating than BS and CT in detecting metastatic response or progression on treatment [47].

Concerning other cancers, WB-MRI can replace conventional multimodality staging based on CT and PET in lung

Primary cancer	WB-MRI vs other techniques	Practical recommendations	
Prostate	WB-MRI superior to bone scintigraphy and choline PET/CT	PSMA-PET/CT preferred in biological recurrence and oligometastatic disease	
	PSMA-PET/CT more sensitive but less specific than WB-MRI	WB-MRI in polymetastatic disease	
Breast	WB-MRI superior to scintigraphy and CT	WB-MRI or FDG-PET depending on availability	
	WB-MRI equivalent or trend towards superiority vs FDG-PET/CT	WB-MRI preferred in lobular cancer and for bone or liver screening	
Non-small-cell	WB-MRI almost equivalent to FDG-PET/CT	WB-MRI or FDG-PET/CT depending	
lung	(slightly less sensitive but more specific)	on availability	
		WB-MRI for brain evaluation	
Melanoma	WB-MRI vs FDG-PET/CT: MRI superior for	WB-MRI or FDG-PET/CT depending	
	brain, bone and liver; PET/CT superior for lymph nodes, lung and soft tissue	on availability and target organs	
Neuroendocrine	WB-MRI vs [68GA]DOTATOC-PET/CT: MRI	WB-MRI or FDG-PET/CT depending	
tumors	superior for bone and liver, PET/CT superior for lymph nodes and lungs	on availability and target organs	
Ovary	WB-MRI vs PET/CT: MRI superior for peritoneal involvement, equivalent for T/N staging	WB-MRI, CT or FDG-PET/CT depending on availability	
Testis	WB-MRI vs CT: non-irradiating, credible alternative (abdominal)	WB-MRI preferred if available	
Osteosarcoma,	WB-MRI: credible alternative to FDG-PET/CT	WB-MRI or FDG-PET/CT depending	
Ewing's sarcoma	for bone, visceral and soft tissue Search for skip-metastases	on availability	
Myxoid	WB-MRI clearly superior to FDG-PET/CT:	WB-MRI : technique of choice	
liposarcoma	(low/no-tracer uptake)		

Abbreviations: PSMA: prostate specific membrane antigen; FDG: fluorodeoxyglucose.

and colorectal cancers, reducing the number of examinations, time, and cost of staging [48-50].

In malignant melanoma, both PET/CT and WB-MRI have high diagnostic accuracy for detecting metastases, although their performance varies in different organs. WB-MRI performs better for liver, skeletal, and brain metastases, whereas PET/CT performs better for lymph node, lung, and soft tissue metastases [51, 52]. The value of T1-weighted sequences acquired in Dixon mode (in addition to diffusion sequences) should be emphasized, as WO (fat suppressed) images are highly sensitive to the presence of melanin [4].

For neuroendocrine tumors, WB-MRI with DWI is a reliable alternative to [68GA]DOTATOC-PET/CT. These techniques have similar performance in detecting metastases,

but their performance differs by organ, with WB-MRI being superior for liver and bone metastases and PET/CT being superior for detecting lymph node and lung lesions [53] (Fig. 2).

Myxoid liposarcoma is a soft tissue cancer that tends to metastasize to unusual sites, especially skeletal, but also visceral. FDG-PET/CT is not reliable for staging this disease and detecting metastases. Conversely, several studies have shown that WB-MRI is highly effective in this indication, making it the imaging modality of choice [54, 55] (Fig. 6).

In osteosarcoma and Ewing's sarcoma, WB-MRI provides a comprehensive assessment of the entire skeleton and soft tissues, facilitating early detection of distant metastases as well as skip metastases within the involved bone.

Fig. 4 69-year-old man with newly diagnosed prostate cancer: comparison of WB-MRI and PSMA-PET/CT findings. a-d Coronal WB-MRI T1- (a, **b**) and high *b*-value (inverted grayscale window, b = 1000 s/ mm^2) DWI-weighted (c, d) images show right iliac lymph node metastasis (arrow) and left iliac bone metastasis (arrowhead). e-h Corresponding coronal images of PSMA-PET/ CT (PET/CT fusion (e, f) and PET (g, h)) show the same lymph node (arrow) and bone (arrowhead) metastases



WB-MRI is also gaining indications in cancers that do not often metastasize to bone. In ovarian cancer, it can replace CT and PET/CT [56]. In testicular cancer, WB-MRI with DWI is a non-irradiating, highly effective alternative to CT for detecting metastases — which mainly affect the abdominal lymph nodes — and for monitoring these young patients [57, 58].

Multiple myeloma (MM)

In MM, the demonstration of lytic bone lesions, along with renal failure, anemia, and hypercalcemia, indicates advanced disease requiring aggressive treatment. For decades, extensive radiographic skeletal surveys were used to detect lytic lesions [59]. Low-dose whole-body CT has improved lesion detection and has been proposed as a first-line imaging modality [60]. However, its inability to assess lesion response to treatment limits its value. FDG-PET/CT is highly effective, based on the detection of osteolysis by CT and metabolic activity of MM foci by PET [61]. The technique is also effective in assessing response and detecting residual disease after treatment. WB-MRI allows detection of focal or diffuse bone marrow infiltration in MM, evaluation of response to treatment, and detection of macroscopic residual disease after treatment [39].

CT, WB-MRI, and PET/CT are now included in guidelines for the diagnosis and staging management of MM. WB-MRI has been introduced in the recommendations of the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG), which define advanced MM by the observation of at least one evident bone marrow lesion greater than 5 mm on MRI [62]. The UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommendations (NICE) guidelines position WB-MRI as the first-line imaging modality to be performed in suspected MM, preferable to radiographs, CT, and FDG-PET/CT for cost-effectiveness reasons [63]. MRI is also recommended for the management of patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) at risk of progression, solitary plasmacytoma, and indolent MM [64].

WB-MRI, which is sensitive to bone marrow infiltration, has shown better performance in identifying bone Fig. 5 63-year-old woman with lobular carcinoma of the breast and increased tumor marker: comparison of WB-MRI and FDG-PET/CT. a-c Coronal PET/CT images (CT, fusion, PET): absence of evident lesion. d-f Corresponding coronal WB-MRI sections: fat-only (FO) (d) and water-only (WO) (f) images of a T2-weighted Dixon sequence, and coronal high *b*-value (inverted grayscale window, $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$) DWI image (f): bone marrow foci (metastases) at the left corporeo-pedicular junction of L3 (arrow) and the right transverse process of T3 (arrowhead). In total, three metastases were identified by this examination



involvement than multidetector CT, which requires the presence of osteolysis [65].

Studies comparing the diagnostic performance of WB-MRI and FDG-PET/CT in the same population are limited [66]. MRI generally performs better in detecting small focal lesions and diffuse bone marrow infiltration, although it must be kept in mind that MRI may face limitations in diagnosing low-grade diffuse bone marrow infiltration [29, 39, 67]. On the other hand, FDG PET/CT fails in 10–20% of MM that do not metabolize FDG in the absence or underexpression of hexokinase 2 [68]. MRI is also the method of choice to detect and characterize vertebral compression fractures, which may be caused by macroscopic MM foci or by the disease-related osteoporosis, and to assess their consequences on the spinal canal [69].

Both WB-MRI and PET/CT allow the detection of extramedullary bone involvement, which has become

increasingly common with therapeutic advances and the proliferation of treatment lines and has a negative prognostic value. WB-MRI and FDG-PET/CT are also used to detect "macroscopic" imaging residual disease after treatment, which has a cardinal prognostic value [39, 70, 71].

Interestingly, WB-MRI is now preferred over CT or PET/ CT by many teams around the world. While only 9.8% of UK centers used WB-MRI as a first-line modality for MM in 2018, despite national guidelines, and while the IMWG advocates low-dose CT or PET/CT for first-line imaging, a recent nonexhaustive survey shows that a large number of centers worldwide are now performing WB-MRI as a first-line modality [62, 72] (Table 3).



Fig. 6 63-year-old man, 2-year imaging follow-up after resection of a myxoid liposarcoma of the thigh, non-metastatic at diagnosis: comparison of WB-MRI and PET/CT. **a**, **b** Coronal water-only (WO) T2-weighted (from a Dixon T2 sequence (**a**)) and high *b*-value DWI (**b**=1000 s/mm²; inverted grayscale window) (**b**) images: Unique focus of bone marrow replacement is seen on the right aspect of the L4 body, with high signal intensity on T2 (myxoid content) and DWI

(arrows). **c**, **d** Concurrent coronal PET/CT images (PET/CT fusion (**c**); PET (**d**)): no lesion is seen. **e** Radiograph performed after resection of the right portion of the L4 vertebral body: bone allograft and screw are seen within the site of partial vertebrectomy in the context of surgical resection of an "oligometastasis". Pathology of the resected specimen confirmed complete resection of a metastasis from the primary myxoid liposarcoma

Particular conditions and emerging indications

Oligometastatic cancer

With few exceptions, solid tumors that have reached a polymetastatic stage are considered incurable. The concept of "oligometastatic disease" postulates that there is an intermediate tumor state between localized cancer and polymetastatic disease [73]. This state could benefit from specific aggressive treatment (targeted radiotherapy or surgical resection) to achieve disease remission, delay complications and subsequent lines of therapy, and improve survival. Preliminary studies have shown improved survival when radical treatment of the primary tumor is combined with ablative therapy targeting metastases. This approach requires optimized detection and localization of all metastatic sites, for which the critical role of "modern" imaging such as WB-MRI with DWI sequences and PET/CT has been highlighted [45, 74, 75] (Figs. 4 and 5).

Cancer and pregnancy

The occurrence of cancer during pregnancy is not uncommon (100–150 cases/100,000 pregnancies) [76]. The most common cancers occurring during pregnancy are breast cancer (41%), lymphoma (12%), cervical cancer (10%), leukemia (8%), ovarian cancer (7%), and other cancers (gastro-intestinal, melanoma, thyroid, etc.) (22%).

Its non-irradiating nature and diagnostic performance make WB-MRI the imaging modality of choice for clinically detected malignancies during pregnancy [77]. It can be used to perform a one-step staging, and to evaluate the response to therapy, as many of these cancers can be treated during pregnancy [78].

WB-MRI is also used to detect, localize, and stage asymptomatic primary cancers in an increasing number of pregnant women whose cancer is detected by non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) [79]. This test is primarily used to detect abnormal circulating extracellular DNA in the mother's blood, which indicates fetal malformations (trisomies). However, the technique can also detect tumoral DNA originating from an occult cancer in the mother.

Predisposition to cancer

WB-MRI is recommended for the evaluation and follow-up of patients with musculoskeletal lesions at risk for malignant transformation and in patients with a genetic predisposition to cancer.

These include peripheral nerve cancers (neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2), schwannomatosis, etc.). WB-MRI is recommended in NF1 patients as a screening tool for the presence of plexiform neurofibromas,

 Table 3
 Current first-line imaging in myeloma and other plasma cell

 disorders at academic centers around the world. Results of a limited
 survey conducted in preparation for refresher courses on imaging in

multiple myeloma to be given at the International Skeletal Society Annual Meetings in 2023 (London) and 2024 (Montreal)

Institution, country (physician's initials)	High Risk MGUS	Smoldering MM	Overt MM	Plasmocytoma
Royal Marsden, UK (CM)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI
Cliniques Saint Luc, BE (FL)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI
Ghent University Hospital, BE (KV)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI or PET/CT
Hospital Girona, SP (KV)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI
Athens Univ, GR (LM)	WB-MRI	WB-CT	WB-MRI or WB-CT	WB-MRI
Marseille University, FR (TL)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI
Hôpital Cochin, FR (AF)	WB-MRI and PET/CT	WB-MRI and PET/CT	WB-MRI and PET/CT	WB-MRI and PET/CT
Bologna Univ, IT (EZ)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI or PET/CT
CHUV Lausanne, CH (PO)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI or PET/CT
Deutsche KC, GE (SD)	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT
UMC Freiburg, GE (FB)	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT
Universitätsklinikum Augsburg, GE (TG)	WB-MRI or PET/CT	WB-MRI	WB-CT	WB-CT
Johns Hopkins, USA (SA)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	WB-MRI
Massachusetts General Hospital, USA (MB)	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT	WB-CT
New York University, USA (JF) No WB cross-sectional imaging				
Roswell Park Cancer Center, USA (JH)	WB-MRI	WB-MRI	PET/CT	In bone: WB-MRI
				Extramedullary:
				PET/CT
Champalimaud Foundation, PT (CM)	WB-CT	WB-CT	PET/CT	In bone: WB-MRI
		If non conclusive : WB-		Extramedullary:
		MRI or PET/CT		PET/CT

Abbreviations: **PET/CT: fluorodeoxyglucose-PET/CT ; WB-CT: low-dose whole body-CT;** WB MDL whole body MDL MM: multiple m

WB-MRI: whole body MRI. MM: multiple myeloma. MGUS: monoclonal .

which may be a risk factor for the future development of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors [80, 81]. The subsequent role in detecting malignant transformation of lesions is discussed and compared with that of FDG PET/CT.

WB-MRI is recommended for early detection of malignant transformation in multiple exostoses and enchondromatosis. It is recommended in pediatric oncology for many childhood cancer predisposition syndromes [82].

Li-Fraumeni syndrome is an autosomal dominant cancer predisposition syndrome associated with abnormalities in the p53 tumor suppressor gene [83]. The most common cancers are of soft tissue (muscle, especially rhabdomyosarcoma), bone (osteosarcoma), breast, skin, colon, pancreas, adrenal, brain, and blood (leukemia). The risk of developing multiple cancers at the same time is also significant. Patients have a cumulative cancer risk of 90% by the age of 60. In addition, repeated exposure to irradiating diagnostic procedures further increases the risk of secondary cancers compared with the general population [6]. According to a landmark meta-analysis of 578 Li-Fraumeni patients, routine MRI detected 42 cancers in 39 individuals, a detection rate of 7% [84]. Current recommendations for patient surveillance include annual WB-MRI as part of a comprehensive evaluation that includes a physical examination, biological tests, other imaging studies, and colonoscopy. This surveillance improves survival in patients who undergo it compared to those who do not [85].

Challenges and perspectives

WB-MRI has become the standard of care according to professional society guidelines and expert recommendations, e.g., in lobular breast cancer, myxoid liposarcoma, neurofibromatosis, and other cancer predisposition syndromes. It is on the same path in many other cancers. This will inevitably lead to its implementation in radiology departments with subsequent need for local competent radiologists. Acceptance by radiology departments and hospital authorities will largely depend on the availability of reimbursement codes, which exist in the UK and are currently being discussed with health authorities in several countries. An interim solution has been proposed using a combination of billing codes for chest, abdominal, and pelvic MRI [6].

WB-MRI reading requires a wide range of reader expertise, from skeletal radiology to visceral imaging, which can be addressed through collaborative subspeciality reading. These skills are close to those of PET/CT readers and suggest the emergence of "onco-imaging" as a true medical subspecialty at the interface of radiology and nuclear medicine.

The large number of images produced in WB-MRI requires considerable reading time and implies a risk of incidental findings and of missing some lesions. Software tools have been developed to aid detection, quantify "total tumor burden," and extract quantitative information from FF and ADC maps to facilitate the management of these examinations [86].

Examination time, long considered prohibitive in radiology departments, has been significantly reduced. The combination of T2 Dixon and DWI sequences acquired with DL reconstructions allows WB-MRI examinations to be performed in less than 30 min. This facilitates patient and radiology department acceptance. The absence of radiation (particularly relevant for younger patients) and lower costs than PET/CT also increase the preference for WB-MRI [63].

Patient acceptance and even preference for WB-MRI over CT and multimodality staging have been repeatedly reported [87, 88].

Next developments will include assessment of the value of quantitative WB-MRI parameters as biomarkers, the reproducibility of WB-MRI response criteria, their ability to allow timely treatment switching, and their prognostic value in terms of patient outcomes.

WB-MRI will also benefit from the introduction of pseudo-CT MRI sequences capable of detecting osteolysis or sclerosis, important information that is missing from conventional MRI sequences and that is critical in the evaluation of multiple myeloma, and of the fracture risk and lesion response to treatment in metastatic disease.

Conclusion

By combining anatomical and functional information, WB-MRI offers an inherently hybrid approach to optimize lesion detection.

Together with PET/CT, WB-MRI has become the technique of choice for early diagnosis, single-step skeletal and multi-organ staging, and assessment of response to therapy, with diagnostic performance superior to that of radiographs, BS, and CT.

The choice between WB-MRI and PET/CT should be guided not only by their respective diagnostic performance, the availability of specific PET tracers adapted to the cancer, the cellular or molecular characteristics of the cancer, but also by radiation safety concerns and local availability.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in

the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Schmidt GP, Schoenberg SO, Reiser MF, Baur-Melnyk A. Whole-body MR imaging of bone marrow. Eur J Radiol. 2005;55(1):33–40.
- Lecouvet FE. Whole-body MR imaging: musculoskeletal applications. Radiology. 2016;279(2):345–65.
- Barakat E, Kirchgesner T, Triqueneaux P, Galant C, Stoenoiu M, Lecouvet FE. Whole-body magnetic resonance imaging in rheumatic and systemic diseases: from emerging to validated indications. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2018;26(4):581–97.
- Lecouvet FE, Van Nieuwenhove S, Jamar F, Lhommel R, Guermazi A, Pasoglou VP. Whole-body MR imaging: the novel, "intrinsically hybrid", approach to metastases, myeloma, lymphoma, in bones and beyond. PET clinics. 2018;13(4):505–22.
- Messiou C, Porta N, Sharma B, et al. Prospective evaluation of wholebody MRI versus FDG PET/CT for lesion detection in participants with myeloma. Radiology Imaging cancer. 2021;3(5):e210048.
- Ahlawat S, Debs P, Amini B, Lecouvet FE, Omoumi P, Wessell DE. Clinical applications and controversies of whole-body MRI: AJR Expert Panel Narrative Review. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2023:1–13.
- Padhani AR, Lecouvet FE, Tunariu N, et al. METastasis reporting and data system for prostate cancer: practical guidelines for acquisition, interpretation, and reporting of whole-body magnetic resonance imaging-based evaluations of multiorgan involvement in advanced prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2017;71(1):81–92.
- Messiou C, Hillengass J, Delorme S, et al. Guidelines for acquisition, interpretation, and reporting of whole-body MRI in myeloma: Myeloma Response Assessment and Diagnosis System (MY-RADS). Radiology. 2019;291(1):5–13.
- Pasoglou V, Michoux N, Peeters F, et al. Whole-body 3D T1-weighted MR imaging in patients with prostate cancer: feasibility and evaluation in screening for metastatic disease. Radiology. 2015;275(1):155–66.
- Chiabai O, Van Nieuwenhove S, Vekemans MC, et al. Wholebody MRI in oncology: can a single anatomic T2 Dixon sequence replace the combination of T1 and STIR sequences to detect skeletal metastasis and myeloma? Eur Radiol. 2023;33(1):244–57.
- Lecouvet FE, El Mouedden J, Collette L, et al. Can whole-body magnetic resonance imaging with diffusion-weighted imaging replace Tc 99m bone scanning and computed tomography for single-step detection of metastases in patients with high-risk prostate cancer? Eur Urol. 2012;62(1):68–75.
- Lauenstein TC, Freudenberg LS, Goehde SC, et al. Whole-body MRI using a rolling table platform for the detection of bone metastases. Eur Radiol. 2002;12(8):2091–9.
- Larbi A, Omoumi P, Pasoglou V, et al. Comparison of bone lesion distribution between prostate cancer and multiple myeloma with whole-body MRI. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2019;100(5):295–302.
- Koh DM, Lee JM, Bittencourt LK, Blackledge M, Collins DJ. Body diffusion-weighted MR imaging in oncology: imaging at 3 T. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2016;24(1):31–44.
- Perez-Lopez R, Nava Rodrigues D, Figueiredo I, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging of prostate cancer bone disease: correlation with bone biopsy histological and molecular features. Invest Radiol. 2018;53(2):96–102.
- 16. Donners R, Candito A, Blackledge M, et al. Repeatability of quantitative individual lesion and total disease multiparametric

whole-body MRI measurements in prostate cancer bone metastases. Br J Radiol. 2023;96(1151):20230378.

- Larbi A, Omoumi P, Pasoglou V, et al. Whole-body MRI to assess bone involvement in prostate cancer and multiple myeloma: comparison of the diagnostic accuracies of the T1, short tau inversion recovery (STIR), and high b-values diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences. Eur Radiol. 2019;29(8):4503–13.
- Ohlmann-Knafo S, Tarnoki AD, Tarnoki DL, Pickuth D. MR diagnosis of bone metastases at 1.5 T and 3 T: can STIR imaging be omitted? Rofo. 2015; 187(10):924–932.
- Singh S, Pilavachi E, Dudek A, et al. Whole body MRI in multiple myeloma: optimising image acquisition and read times. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(1):e0228424.
- Lecouvet FE, Pasoglou V, Van Nieuwenhove S, et al. Shortening the acquisition time of whole-body MRI: 3D T1 gradient echo Dixon vs fast spin echo for metastatic screening in prostate cancer. Eur Radiol. 2020;30(6):3083–93.
- Maeder Y, Dunet V, Richard R, Becce F, Omoumi P. Bone marrow metastases: T2-weighted dixon spin-echo fat images can replace T1-weighted spin-echo images. Radiology. 2018;286(3):948–59.
- Danner A, Brumpt E, Alilet M, Tio G, Omoumi P, Aubry S. Improved contrast for myeloma focal lesions with T2-weighted Dixon images compared to T1-weighted images. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2019;100(9):513–9.
- Taron J, Schraml C, Pfannenberg C, et al. Simultaneous multislice diffusion-weighted imaging in whole-body positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance imaging for multiparametric examination in oncological patients. Eur Radiol. 2018;28(8):3372–83.
- Sneag DB, Abel F, Potter HG, et al. MRI advancements in musculoskeletal clinical and research practice. Radiology. 2023;308(2):e230531.
- Lin DJ, Johnson PM, Knoll F, Lui YW. Artificial intelligence for MR image reconstruction: an overview for clinicians. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2021;53(4):1015–28.
- Sneag DB, Queler SC, Campbell G, et al. Optimized 3D brachial plexus MR neurography using deep learning reconstruction. Skeletal Radiol. 2024;53(4):779–89.
- Kim DK, Lee SY, Lee J, et al. Deep learning-based k-space-to-image reconstruction and super resolution for diffusion-weighted imaging in whole-spine MRI. Magn Reson Imaging. 2024;105:82–91.
- Chen Q, Fang S, Yuchen Y, et al. Clinical feasibility of deep learning reconstruction in liver diffusion-weighted imaging: improvement of image quality and impact on apparent diffusion coefficient value. Eur J Radiol. 2023;168:111149.
- Dutoit JC, Verstraete KL. MRI in multiple myeloma: a pictorial review of diagnostic and post-treatment findings. Insights Imaging. 2016;7(4):553–69.
- Messiou C, Collins DJ, Morgan VA, Desouza NM. Optimising diffusion weighted MRI for imaging metastatic and myeloma bone disease and assessing reproducibility. Eur Radiol. 2011;21(8):1713–8.
- Lecouvet FE, Vande Berg BC, Malghem J, Omoumi P, Simoni P. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging: adjunct or alternative to T1-weighted MR imaging for prostate carcinoma bone metastases? Radiology. 2009;252(2):624.
- Koh DM, Blackledge M, Padhani AR, et al. Whole-body diffusion-weighted MRI: tips, tricks, and pitfalls. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2012;199(2):252–62.
- Winfield JM, Poillucci G, Blackledge MD, et al. Apparent diffusion coefficient of vertebral haemangiomas allows differentiation from malignant focal deposits in whole-body diffusion-weighted MRI. Eur Radiol. 2018;28(4):1687–91.
- Thoeny HC, Froehlich JM, Triantafyllou M, et al. Metastases in normal-sized pelvic lymph nodes: detection with diffusionweighted MR imaging. Radiology. 2014;273(1):125–35.
- Jacobs MA, Macura KJ, Zaheer A, et al. Multiparametric wholebody MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging and ADC mapping

for the identification of visceral and osseous metastases from solid tumors. Acad Radiol. 2018;25(11):1405–14.

- 36. Oprea-Lager DE, Cysouw MCF, Boellaard R, et al. Bone metastases are measurable: the role of whole-body MRI and positron emission tomography. Front Oncol. 2021;11:772530.
- Tombal B, Rezazadeh A, Therasse P, Van Cangh PJ, Vande Berg B, Lecouvet FE. Magnetic resonance imaging of the axial skeleton enables objective measurement of tumor response on prostate cancer bone metastases. Prostate. 2005;65(2):178–87.
- Giles SL, Messiou C, Collins DJ, et al. Whole-body diffusionweighted MR imaging for assessment of treatment response in myeloma. Radiology. 2014;271(3):785–94.
- Lecouvet FE, Vekemans MC, Van Den Berghe T, et al. Imaging of treatment response and minimal residual disease in multiple myeloma: state of the art WB-MRI and PET/CT. Skeletal Radiol. 2022;51(1):59–80.
- Terao T, Machida Y, Narita K, et al. Total diffusion volume in MRI vs. total lesion glycolysis in PET/CT for tumor volume evaluation of multiple myeloma. Eur Radiol. 2021; 31(8):6136–6144.
- Eustace S, Tello R, DeCarvalho V, et al. A comparison of whole-body turboSTIR MR imaging and planar 99mTc-methylene diphosphonate scintigraphy in the examination of patients with suspected skeletal metastases. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1997;169(6):1655–61.
- 42. Shen G, Deng H, Hu S, Jia Z. Comparison of choline-PET/CT, MRI, SPECT, and bone scintigraphy in the diagnosis of bone metastases in patients with prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Skeletal Radiol. 2014;43(11):1503–13.
- 43. Anttinen M, Ettala O, Malaspina S, et al. A prospective comparison of (18)F-prostate-specific membrane antigen-1007 positron emission tomography computed tomography, whole-body 1.5 T magnetic resonance imaging with diffusion-weighted imaging, and single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography with traditional imaging in primary distant metastasis staging of prostate cancer (PROSTAGE). Eur Urol Oncol. 2021; 4(4):635–644.
- Van Nieuwenhove S, Van Damme J, Padhani AR, et al. Wholebody magnetic resonance imaging for prostate cancer assessment: current status and future directions. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2020.
- 45. Pasquier D, Bidaut L, Oprea-Lager DE, et al. Designing clinical trials based on modern imaging and metastasis-directed treatments in patients with oligometastatic breast cancer: a consensus recommendation from the EORTC Imaging and Breast Cancer Groups. Lancet Oncol. 2023;24(8):e331–43.
- 46. Cardoso F, Paluch-Shimon S, Schumacher-Wulf E, al. e. 6th and 7th International Consensus Guidelines for the management of advanced breast cancer (ABC guidelines 6 and 7). The breast, In Press. 2024.
- 47. Kosmin M, Padhani AR, Gogbashian A, et al. Comparison of whole-body MRI, CT, and bone scintigraphy for response evaluation of cancer therapeutics in metastatic breast cancer to bone. Radiology. 2020;297(3):622–9.
- 48. Ohno Y, Yoshikawa T, Kishida Y, et al. Diagnostic performance of different imaging modalities in the assessment of distant metastasis and local recurrence of tumor in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2017;46(6):1707–17.
- 49. Taylor SA, Mallett S, Ball S, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of whole-body MRI versus standard imaging pathways for metastatic disease in newly diagnosed non-small-cell lung cancer: the prospective Streamline L trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2019;7(6):523–32.
- Taylor SA, Mallett S, Beare S, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of whole-body MRI versus standard imaging pathways for metastatic disease in newly diagnosed colorectal cancer: the prospective Streamline C trial. The lancet Gastroenterology & hepatology. 2019;4(7):529–37.

- 51. Pfannenberg C, Aschoff P, Schanz S, et al. Prospective comparison of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography and whole-body magnetic resonance imaging in staging of advanced malignant melanoma. European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990). 2007; 43(3):557–564.
- 52. Petralia G, Padhani A, Summers P, et al. Whole-body diffusionweighted imaging: is it all we need for detecting metastases in melanoma patients? Eur Radiol. 2013;23(12):3466–76.
- Schraml C, Schwenzer NF, Sperling O, et al. Staging of neuroendocrine tumours: comparison of [⁶⁸Ga]DOTATOC multiphase PET/CT and whole-body MRI. Cancer Imaging. 2013;13(1):63–72.
- 54. Stevenson JD, Watson JJ, Cool P, et al. Whole-body magnetic resonance imaging in myxoid liposarcoma: a useful adjunct for the detection of extra-pulmonary metastatic disease. Eur J Surg Oncol : J Eur Soc Surg Oncol British Assoc Surg Oncol. 2016;42(4):574–80.
- 55. Noebauer-Huhmann I, Vanhoenacker FM, J.C. V, al. e. Soft tissue tumor imaging in adults: European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology - guidelines 2024 whole body staging in sarcoma, non-malignant entities that require special algorithms, pitfalls and special imaging aspects. Eur Radiol 2024, In Press. 2024.
- Michielsen KL, Vergote I, Dresen R, et al. Whole-body diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of recurrent ovarian cancer: a clinical feasibility study. Br J Radiol. 2016;89(1067):20160468.
- Pasoglou V, Van Nieuwenhove S, Van Damme J, et al. Whole body MRI in the detection of lymph node metastases in patients with testicular germ cell cancer. Life (Basel, Switzerland). 2022; 12(2).
- Mosavi F, Laurell A, Ahlström H. Whole body MRI, including diffusion-weighted imaging in follow-up of patients with testicular cancer. Acta Oncol. 2015;54(10):1763–9.
- 59. Lecouvet FE, Malghem J, Michaux L, et al. Skeletal survey in advanced multiple myeloma: radiographic versus MR imaging survey. Br J Haematol. 1999;106(1):35–9.
- 60. Vicentini JRT, Bredella MA. Whole body imaging in musculoskeletal oncology: when, why, and how. Skeletal Radiol. 2023;52(3):281–95.
- 61. Vicentini JRT, Bredella MA. Role of FDG PET in the staging of multiple myeloma. Skeletal Radiol. 2022;51(1):31–41.
- 62. Hillengass J, Usmani S, Rajkumar SV, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus recommendations on imaging in monoclonal plasma cell disorders. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(6):e302–12.
- 63. Pratt G, Morris TC. Review of the NICE guidelines for multiple myeloma. Int J Lab Hematol. 2017;39(1):3–13.
- Wu F, Bernard S, Fayad LM, et al. Updates and ongoing challenges in imaging of multiple myeloma: AJR Expert Panel Narrative Review. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2021;217(4):775–85.
- Baur-Melnyk A, Buhmann S, Becker C, et al. Whole-body MRI versus whole-body MDCT for staging of multiple myeloma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;190(4):1097–104.
- 66. Gariani J, Westerland O, Natas S, Verma H, Cook G, Goh V. Comparison of whole body magnetic resonance imaging (WBMRI) to whole body computed tomography (WBCT) or (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/CT ((18)F-FDG PET/CT) in patients with myeloma: systematic review of diagnostic performance. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2018;124:66–72.
- 67. Vande Berg BC, Kirchgesner T, Acid S, Malghem J, Vekemans MC, Lecouvet FE. Diffuse vertebral marrow changes at MRI: multiple myeloma or normal? Skeletal Radiol. 2022;51(1):89–99.
- 68. Rasche L, Angtuaco E, McDonald JE, et al. Low expression of hexokinase-2 is associated with false-negative FDG-positron emission tomography in multiple myeloma. Blood. 2017;130(1):30–4.

- Lecouvet FE, Vande Berg BC, Michaux L, Jamart J, Maldague BE, Malghem J. Development of vertebral fractures in patients with multiple myeloma: does MRI enable recognition of vertebrae that will collapse? J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1998;22(3):430-6.
- 70. Rasche L, Alapat D, Kumar M, et al. Combination of flow cytometry and functional imaging for monitoring of residual disease in myeloma. Leukemia. 2019;33(7):1713–22.
- Torkian P, Mansoori B, Hillengass J, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) in diagnosis, staging, and treatment response assessment of multiple myeloma: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Skeletal Radiol. 2023;52(3):565–83.
- Westerland OA, Pratt G, Kazmi M, et al. National survey of imaging practice for suspected or confirmed plasma cell malignancies. Br J Radiol. 2018;91(1092):20180462.
- Hellman S, Weichselbaum RR. Oligometastases. J Clin Oncol. 1995;13(1):8–10.
- deSouza NM, Liu Y, Chiti A, et al. Strategies and technical challenges for imaging oligometastatic disease: recommendations from the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer imaging group. European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990). 2018; 91:153–163.
- Lecouvet FE, Oprea-Lager DE, Liu Y, et al. Use of modern imaging methods to facilitate trials of metastasis-directed therapy for oligometastatic disease in prostate cancer: a consensus recommendation from the EORTC Imaging Group. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19(10):e534–45.
- Cottreau CM, Dashevsky I, Andrade SE, et al. Pregnancy-associated cancer: a U.S. population-based study. J Women's Health (2002). 2019; 28(2):250–257.
- 77. Vandecaveye V, Amant F, Lecouvet F, Van Calsteren K, Dresen RC. Imaging modalities in pregnant cancer patients. Int J Gynecol Cancer : off J Int Gynecol Cancer Soc. 2021;31(3):423–31.
- Amant F, Han SN, Gziri MM, Vandenbroucke T, Verheecke M, Van Calsteren K. Management of cancer in pregnancy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2015;29(5):741–53.
- Amant F, Verheecke M, Wlodarska I, et al. Presymptomatic identification of cancers in pregnant women during noninvasive prenatal testing. JAMA Oncol. 2015;1(6):814–9.
- Ahlawat S, Fayad LM, Khan MS, et al. Current whole-body MRI applications in the neurofibromatoses: NF1, NF2, and schwannomatosis. Neurology. 2016;87(7 Suppl 1):S31-39.
- Mautner VF, Asuagbor FA, Dombi E, et al. Assessment of benign tumor burden by whole-body MRI in patients with neurofibromatosis 1. Neuro Oncol. 2008;10(4):593–8.
- Greer MC, Voss SD, States LJ. Pediatric cancer predisposition imaging: focus on whole-body MRI. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(11):e6–13.
- Li FP, Fraumeni JF, Jr. Soft-tissue sarcomas, breast cancer, and other neoplasms. A familial syndrome? Annals of Int Medic. 1969; 71(4):747–752.
- Ballinger ML, Best A, Mai PL, et al. Baseline surveillance in Li-Fraumeni syndrome using whole-body magnetic resonance imaging: a meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 2017;3(12):1634–9.
- Villani A, Shore A, Wasserman JD, et al. Biochemical and imaging surveillance in germline TP53 mutation carriers with Li-Fraumeni syndrome: 11 year follow-up of a prospective observational study. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(9):1295–305.
- Ceranka J, Verga S, Kvasnytsia M, et al. Multi-atlas segmentation of the skeleton from whole-body MRI-Impact of iterative background masking. Magn Reson Med. 2020;83(5):1851–62.
- Adams HJ, Kwee TC, Vermoolen MA, Ludwig I, Bierings MB, Nievelstein RA. Whole-body MRI vs. CT for staging lymphoma: patient experience. Eur J Radiol. 2014; 83(1):163–166.

 Miles A, Taylor SA, Evans REC, et al. Patient preferences for whole-body MRI or conventional staging pathways in lung and colorectal cancer: a discrete choice experiment. Eur Radiol. 2019;29(7):3889–900. **Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.