

Article Association of IL-17A and IL-10 Polymorphisms with Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis in Finnish Children

Milja Möttönen ^{1,†}, Johanna Teräsjärvi ^{2,†}, Heidi Rahikkala ^{1,3}, Sonja Kvist ², Jussi Mertsola ¹ and Qiushui He ^{2,4,*}

- ¹ Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Turku University Hospital, University of Turku, 20520 Turku, Finland; milja.mottonen@varha.fi (M.M.); heidi.rahikkala@varha.fi (H.R.); jusmer@utu.fi (J.M.)
- ² Institute of Biomedicine, Research Centre for Infections and Immunity, University of Turku,
- 20520 Turku, Finland; johter@utu.fi (J.T.); sonja.m.kvist@utu.fi (S.K.)
 ³ Research Unit of Clinical Medicine, University of Oulu, 90014 Oulu, Finland
- ⁴ InFLAMES Research Flagship Centre, University of Turku, 20520 Turku, Finland

* Correspondence: qiuhe@utu.fi; Tel.: +358-50-472-2255

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: To analyze the role of interleukin IL-17A and IL-10 polymorphisms in susceptibility to juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), 98 Finnish children and adolescents with JIA were studied. Data from the 1000 Genomes Project, consisting of 99 healthy Finns, served as the controls. The patients were analyzed for four IL-17A and three IL-10 gene-promoter polymorphisms, and the serum IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-10, and IL-6 levels were determined. The IL-17A rs8193036 variant genotypes (CT/CC) were more common among the patients than controls, especially in those with polyarthritis (OR 1.93, 95% CI 1.11–3.36; *p* = 0.020). *IL-17A* rs2275913 minor allele A was more common in patients (OR 1.45, 95% Cl 1.08–1.94; p = 0.014) and especially among patients with oligoarthritis and polyarthritis than the controls (OR 1.61, 95%CI 1.06–2.43; p = 0.024). Carriers of the *IL*-17A rs4711998 variant genotype (AG/AA) had higher serum IL-17A levels than those with genotype GG. However, carriers of the variant genotypes of IL-17A rs9395767 and rs4711998 appeared to have higher IL-17F levels than those carrying wildtype. IL-10 rs1800896 variant genotypes (TC/CC) were more abundant in patients than in the controls (OR 1.97, 95%CI 1.06–3.70; *p* = 0.042). Carriers of the *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotypes had lower serum levels of IL-17F than those with wildtype. These data provide preliminary evidence of the roles of IL-17 and IL-10 in the pathogenesis of JIA and its subtypes in the Finnish population. However, the results should be interpreted with caution, as the number of subjects included in this study was limited.

Keywords: juvenile idiopathic arthritis; JIA; SNP; IL-17A; IL-10; cytokine; treatment

1. Introduction

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is a pediatric rheumatic disease defined by the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) as arthritis that has persisted for at least 6 weeks in one or more joints of a child or an adolescent under 16 years of age [1]. The ILAR classification further divides the disease into seven subtypes, including JIA with systemic onset, oligoarthritis (divided into persistent oligoarthritis and extended oligoarthritis that develops into polyarthritis after 6 months from onset), rheumatoid factor (RF)-positive polyarthritis, RF-negative polyarthritis, psoriatic arthritis, enthesis-related arthritis (ERA), and undifferentiated arthritis (not falling into any of previous categories or could be classified as more than one of the previous).

It is evident that JIA is a heterogenous group of immunological disturbances; its subtypes differ in clinical characteristics, disease activity, prognosis, and the most profitable treatment options [2]. Genes previously associated with JIA appear to be linked to a general susceptibility to autoimmunity rather than being specific to JIA [2,3]. It is likely that subtypes of JIA can arise from various genetic backgrounds which may differ between



Citation: Möttönen, M.; Teräsjärvi, J.; Rahikkala, H.; Kvist, S.; Mertsola, J.; He, Q. Association of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* Polymorphisms with Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis in Finnish Children. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 8323. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms25158323

Academic Editors: Anna Cieślińska and Ewa Fiedorowicz

Received: 16 May 2024 Revised: 17 July 2024 Accepted: 19 July 2024 Published: 30 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). ethnic populations. Cytokines like interleukin (IL)-6, Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)- α , IL-1, IL-18, IL-17, and IL-10 play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of JIA, contributing to the inflammatory process and tissue damage [4].

Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in cytokine genes can lead to an altered expression of cytokines that appears to influence the risk of autoimmune diseases [5], including arthritis [6], their severity, and may also shape the responses to treatment. The assembly of polymorphisms of hallmark immune regulators may set up a context where an individual develops JIA, and these polymorphisms and their haplotypes may have a considerable influence on disease progression and response to treatment.

IL-17A and IL-17F produced by Th17 cells have been linked to the development and chronicity of synovial inflammation in rheumatic diseases, including JIA [7]. It has been suggested that these cytokines may be linked to certain subtypes of JIA and possibly disease activity in JIA [8,9]. Higher serum levels of IL-17A, among other inflammatory cytokines at JIA onset, have been shown to associate with ongoing disease activity after 1 year [10]. Also, the higher number of peripheral blood Th17 cells has been associated with a more prolonged time to reach an inactive disease state [11] and is associated with a prolonged need for treatment in polyarthritis [12]. Higher numbers of Th17 cells and lower numbers of Tregs are found in the joints of patients with extended oligoarthritis than in the joints of patients with persistent oligoarthritis, representing a more limited type of oligoarthritis [13,14]. Th17 cells appear to play an especially important role in ERA, where increased levels of Th17 cells and IL-17A levels have been found in synovial fluid (SF), correlating with disease activity [15]. Fischer et al. found the highest numbers of Th17 cells in the synovial fluid of patients with ERA and the lowest numbers in the joints of patients with antinuclear antibody (ANA)+ oligo- or polyarthritis [10]. In line with this, anti-IL-17A blocker secukinumab has been approved for the treatment of two subtypes of JIA: enthesitis-related arthritis and juvenile psoriatic arthritis.

IL-10 is a major anti-inflammatory cytokine that inhibits the activation and effector functions of T cells, the antigen-presenting cell function, and the proliferation of monocytes and macrophages [16]. It downregulates the production of TNF- α , IL-6, and other inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [17]. On the other hand, IL-10 induces B-cell proliferation, differentiation, and antibody isotype switching [11]. In animal models of arthritis, IL-10 deficiency has been demonstrated to result in an elevated production of Th17 and Th1 pro-inflammatory cytokines [18,19]. Genes associated with IL-10 signaling have been shown to be upregulated in the peripheral blood of patients with persistent oligoarthritis and seronegative polyarthritis, as well as in systemic JIA [14]. The plasma level of IL-10 as well as the level of IL-17 at diagnosis, have been listed among the predictive biomarkers of disease outcome in non-systemic JIA [20]. Some studies have found low levels of IL-10 in the joints or peripheral blood of patients with non-systemic JIA [21,22], and it has been suggested that intrinsic low IL-10 production insufficient to control inflammation may set up a risk of JIA with worse outcomes [23].

Several single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *IL-10* are identified across its coding and regulatory regions, which have been strongly implicated in the pathogenesis of various autoimmune diseases. In particular, three -1082 G>A (rs1800896), -819 C>T (rs1800871), and -592 C>A (rs1800872) promoter area mutations have been found to affect gene activity [24] and the secretion of IL-10 in the in vitro studies [25,26]. Previous studies have also shown that the *IL-10* ATA haplotype (minor alleles of rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872) was associated with the reduced production of IL-10 in human whole blood cultures of patients with juvenile arthritis [23,27]. SNPs in the promoter region of *IL-17A* influence its expression and, potentially, JIA pathogenesis and outcome [28]. The most studied SNP in the *IL-17A* promoter region is rs2275913 (-197 G>A), which plays a pivotal role in the regulation of IL-17A transcription and the secretion of IL-17A [29]. In many studies, the A-allele is associated with elevated levels of IL-17A, however, findings are contradictory and, in some conditions, also reduced levels of IL-17A are reported.

In the era of biologicals, the clinical outcome of JIA has improved considerably [8,9], but a share of patients do not respond to treatment and develop complications. It remains necessary to advance our understanding of the disease mechanisms in JIA to better recognize the specific disease subtypes profiting from individual treatment approaches. In order to promote a more precise classification and targeted treatment of JIA, our approach has been to study whether the polymorphisms in *IL-17A* and *IL-10* genes are associated with susceptibility to JIA or its subtypes in a Finnish population and whether these polymorphisms could serve as biomarkers for more specific clinical entities of JIA. To our knowledge, comprehensive analyses of multiple SNPs in the promoter regions of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* genes have not been performed in JIA and its subtypes. In addition, contradictory results have been previously reported on the role of these polymorphisms with susceptibility to JIA from various genetic backgrounds. We chose to exclude the patients with sJIA and RF-positive polyarthritis from this study because of the rarity of these patients and the fact that these subtypes appear to differ markedly in the genetic background from the other subtypes of JIA [30].

2. Results

2.1. Characteristics of the Patient Population

Of the 98 patients with JIA included in the study, 71 (72.4%) were females, and 27 (27.6%) were males. All were of Caucasian origin, and all but one were of Finnish origin. At the time of blood sampling for the study, the median age of the patients was 11.5 (range 2.2–16.9) years, and the median disease duration was 2.9 (range 0–13.6) years. The median age at diagnosis was 5.4 years (range 1.0–14.9). Fifty-one (52.0%) patients were diagnosed as having oligoarthritis, thirty-four (34.7%) with polyarthritis, ten (10.2%) with enthesis-related arthritis (ERA), and three (3.0%) had other types of JIA. Twenty-nine patients (29.9%) were human lymphocyte antigen B27 (HLA-B27) positive and sixty-five (67.7%) were ANA-positive. A total of 2 patients (20.4%) had uveitis at some point in the disease course, and 26 (26.5%) patients had temporomandibular joint (TMJ) synovitis at some point in the disease course. Detailed characteristics of the JIA patients are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of the JIA patients.

	Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) Subtypes					
Parameter	All Patients, <i>n</i> = 98 (%)	Oligo Arthritis ¹ , n = 51 (%)	Polyarthritis, n = 34 (%)	ERA, n = 10 (%)	Other ² , <i>n</i> = 3 (%)	
Sex						
Female	71 (72.4)	38 (74.5)	29 (85.3)	1 (10.0)	3 (100.0)	
Male	27 (27.6)	13 (25.5)	5 (14.7)	9 (90.0)	0 (0.0)	
Age of Diagnose	5.4	5.3	3.9	11.4	5.9	
(median, min – max, years)	(1.0-14.9)	(1.4–13.7)	(1.0-14.9)	(6.8 - 14.6)	(3.7–12.6)	
Disease duration at time of sampling	2.9	3.4	3.9	1.4	1.0	
(min–max, years)	(0-13.6)	(0.0–13.6)	(0.0 - 12.5)	(0.2 - 4.2)	(0.0 - 1.8)	
Medication ³						
DMAR only	34 (34.7)	18 (15.7)	10 (29.4)	4 (40.0)	2 (66.7)	
Biologics only	18 (18.4)	9 (17.6)	9 (26.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
DMARD and biologics	34 (34.7)	16 (31.4)	14 (41.2)	4 (40.0)	0 (0.0)	
No medication	12 (12.2)	8 (15.7)	1 (2.9)	2 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	
Disease activity ^{4,5}						
Inactive (A)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	-	
Low activity (A)	1 (1.7)	1 (3.0)	0 (0.0)	-	-	
Moderate activity(A)	13 (22.4)	3 (9.1)	10 (40.0)	-	-	
High activity (A)	44 (75.9)	29 (87.9)	15 (60.0)	-	-	
Missing data	40 (40.8)	18	9			
Inactive (B)	24 (32.4)	14 (31.8)	10 (33.3)	-	-	
Low activity (B)	20 (27.0)	9 (20.5)	11 (36.7)	-	-	
Moderate activity (B)	21 (28.4)	13 (29.5)	8 (26.7)	-	-	
High activity (B)	9 (12.2)	8 (18.2)	1 (3.3)	-	-	
Missing data	24 (24.5)	7	4			
Inactive (C)	42 (53.2)	24 (53.3)	18 (52.9)	-	-	
Low activity (C)	7 (8.9)	4 (8.9)	3 (8.8)	-	-	
Moderate activity (C)	14 (17.7)	7 (15.6)	7 (20.6)	-	-	
High activity (C)	16 (20.3)	10 (22.2)	6 (17.6)	-	-	
Missing data	19 (19.4)	6	0			

	Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) Subtypes						
Parameter	All Patients, m = 08.(9/)	Oligo Arthritis ¹ ,	Polyarthritis, n = 24 (%)	ERA,	Other 2 ,		
	$n = 98(7_0)$	$n = 51(7_0)$	$n = 34(7_0)$	$n = 10(\frac{1}{6})$	$n = 3(\frac{1}{6})$		
HLA-B27							
Positive	29 (29.9)	9 (17.6)	11 (33.3)	9 (90.0)	0 (0.0)		
Negative	68 (70.1)	42 (82.4)	22 (66.7)	1 (10.0)	3 (100)		
Missing data	1 (1.1)	-	1 (3.1)	-			
ANA				- ()			
Positive	65 (67.7)	35 (70.0)	26 (76.5)	2 (22.2)	2 (66.7)		
Negative	31 (32.3)	15 (30.0)	8 (23.5)	7 (77.8)	1 (33.3)		
Missing data	2 (2.2)	1 (2.0)	-	1 (10.0)			
Uveitis	20 (20 4)	11 (01 ()	$\mathbf{F}(00, 0)$	2	0 (0 0)		
Yes	20 (20.4)	11 (21.6)	7 (20.6)	2 (20.0)	0(0.0)		
	78 (79.6)	40 (78.4)	27 (79.4)	8 (80.0)	3 (100.0)		
Non-	26 (26 E)	14 (OZ E)	11 (22 4)	1 (10 0)	0 (0 0)		
ies	20 (20.3) 72 (72 E)	14(27.3)	11(52.4)	1(10.0)	0(0.0)		
INO ECD (mars (1-th) Madian (IOD) 5	72 (73.5)	37 (72.5)	23 (67.6)	9 (90.0)	3 (100.0)		
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	12 0 (E 0 21 0)	0.0(5.0,20.0)	220(00222)	E 0 (2 0 8 0)	120(00)		
A R	12.0(3.0-31.0)	9.0(3.0-30.0)	25.0(0.0-35.5)	3.0(2.0-6.0)	13.0(9.0-)		
D C	5.0(2.0-8.0)	5.0(2.0-9.0)	5.0(2.0-7.0)	2.0(2.0-3.0)	9.0 (3.0–)		
D	5.0(2.0-7.0)	5.0(2.0-5.0) 5.0(2.0,7.3)	5.0(2.0-8.0)	2.0(2.0-14.0)	-70(70)		
CPP(mg/L) Modian (IOP) ⁵	5.0 (2.0-7.0)	5.0 (2.0-7.5)	5.0 (2.0-0.0)	4.0 (2.0-0.0)	7.0 (7.0–)		
Δ	10(10-78)	10(10-54)	4 0 (1 0-23 3)	10(10-40)	10(10)		
B	1.0(1.0-7.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-3.4) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	10(10-20.0)	1.0(1.0-4.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-) 1.0(1.0-1.0)		
C	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-3.5)	-		
D	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.0) 1.0(1.0-1.0)	1.0(1.0-1.5)	10(10)		
Ioint count (Median min-max) ⁶	1.0 (1.0 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 1.0)	1.0 (1.0)		
A	30(0-44)	2 (1-4)	5 5 (1-44)	1 (1-3)	10(0-2)		
B	0.0(0-7)	0(0-7)	0(0-7)	0(1-3)	0.0(0)		
C	0.0(0-4)	0(0-4)	0(0-3)	0(0-2)	-		
D	0.0(0-11)	0(0-4)	0(0-11)	0(0-2)	0.0(0-2)		
- IADAS 10-CH (Median, IOR) ⁶	()	• (• -)	• (•)	e (e _)	010 (0 _)		
A	8.3 (6.2–13.3)	7.5 (6.2–9.8)	13.9 (8.3-22.1)	4.8 (4.1-5.9)	3.9 (2.0–)		
В	2.1 (0.6–4.2)	2.0 (0.7–3.9)	2.4 (0.1–4.2)	3.3 (0.8–6.0)	3.5 (0.0–)		
С	0.5 (0.0–1.9)	0.5 (0.0-2.1)	1.0 (0.0-1.7)	0.1 (0.0-5.1)	-		
D	0.9 (0.0–5.4)	0.3 (0.0–3.9)	0.8 (0.0–5.8)	2.9 (0.4-8.3)	2.3 (0.0-)		
PGA ⁶		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · ·		
А	3 (1.0-4.0)	2 (1.0-3.0)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	2.0 (1.0-)		
В	0(0.0-1.0)	0.5 (0.0-1.0)	0.0(0.0-1.4)	0.5 (0.0-1.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)		
С	0 (0.0–1.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.5)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.3)	-		
D	0 (0.0–1.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.5 (0.0-1.3)	0.0 (0.0–)		
CHAQ ⁶							
А	0.5 (0.1-1.1)	0.4 (0.1–0.8)	0.8 (0.1–1.4)	0.3 (0.1-0.5)	0.1 (0.1-0.1)		
В	0.1 (0.0-0.6)	0.1 (0.0-0.5)	0.3 (0.0-1.1)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	0.6 (0.0–)		
С	0.0 (0.0-0.3)	0.0 (0.0-0.3)	0.1 (0.0-0.4)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	-		
D	0.0 (0.0-0.4)	0.0 (0.0-0.4)	0.0 (0.0–0.5)	0.2 (0.0-0.4)	0.1 (0.0–)		

Table 1. Cont.

The data are presented as numbers (*n*) of children and valid percentages (%). The missing data are presented as numbers and percentages from the total number of patients. ¹ Persistent Oligoarthritis, *n* = 44 (44.9%) and extended Oligoarthritis, *n* = 7 (7.1%). ² Includes diagnoses of psoriasis-related juvenile idiopathic arthritis (ICD-10: M09.0*, L40.5), *n* = 1 and juvenile arthritis, unspecified (ICD-10: M08.9), *n* = 2. ³ Medication at time of sampling. ⁴ Disease activity was determined as described earlier and presented here at the time of diagnosis, 1 year after, and time of sampling, respectively. ⁵ Laboratory values. ⁶ Disease activity parameters were recorded at three time points: A = at the time of diagnosis, B = one year after diagnosis, C = three years after diagnosis, and D = at the time of sampling. Abbreviations: HLA = human leukocyte antigen, ANA = antinuclear antibody, TMJ = temporomandibular joint, ESR = an erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP = c-reactive protein, JADAS = juvenile arthritis disease activity score, PGA = physician global assessment of disease activity, and CHAQ = child health assessment questionnaire (CHAQ).

Next, we compared the prevalence of *IL-17* and *IL-10* polymorphisms between the control population and patients with JIA. In both groups, no significant sex-based differences were observed concerning the prevalence of SNPs ($p \ge 0.05$).

2.2. IL-17A Polymorphisms

The distribution of *IL-17A* polymorphisms rs9395767, rs2275913, rs4711998, and rs8193036 were analyzed in JIA patients and the control group (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant genotypes CC/CT were more common than the wildtype

genotype TT in patients than the controls (71.4% vs. 59.6%, p = 0.038, OR 1.92; 95% Cl 1.06–3.47). Although, the minor allele A of *IL-17A* rs2275913 was more frequent in the JIA patients than the controls (OR 1.45, 95% Cl 1.08–1.94; p = 0.014).

Table 2. The distribution of IL-17A and IL-10 genotypes in the JIA patients and controls.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SNP	Controls ¹ , <i>n</i> = 99 (%)	Controls ^{1,2} <i>n</i> = 994 (%)	JIA Patients ³ , n = 98 (%)	OR (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -Value
Not set in the set of the set	IL-17A					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs9395767					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AA	31 (32.3)		27 (27.6)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AT	43 (43.4)		42 (42.9)		0.749
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT	25 (25.3)		29 (29.6)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AT + TT ⁴	67 (67.7)		71 (72.4)	1.26 (0.68–2.32)	0.534
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A-allele	105 (53.0)		96 (49.0)	1.18 (0.79-1.75)	0.394
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I-allele	93 (47.0)		100 (51.0)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ro4711008	0.211		0.136		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GG	47 (47 5)		41 (41.8)		
AA 7 (7.1) 11 (112) 0.011 AG + AA ⁴ 52 (52.2) 57 (58.2) 126 (0.72-2.21) 0.475 G-allele 139 (70.2) 128 (65.3) 125 (0.82-1.91) 0.299 A-allele 59 (29.8) 66 (34.7) 125 (0.82-1.91) 0.299 rs8193036 T 43 (43.0) 28 (28.6) 0.089 CT 40 (40.4) 48 (49.0) 0.038 CC 19 (19.2) 22 (22.4) 0.038 C-allele 78 (56.4) 104 (53.1) 1.43 (0.96-2.13) 0.079 HWE (p-value) 0.087 0.869 7227913 0.246 GA 446 (46.7) 47 (48.5) 0.246 0.049 * GA 464 (46.7) 47 (48.5) 0.246 0.049 * GA 464 (46.7) 27 (55.5) 1.61 (0.99-2.60) 0.049 * G-allele 1146 (57.6) 95 (48.5) 1.45 (1.08-2.60) 0.014 * HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.692 0.76 0.76 0.76 CC 20 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 0.76 0.76 0.76 0.161	AG	45 (45 5)		46 (46 9)		0 521
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} AG + AA ^4 & 52 (52.2) & 57 (58.2) & 126 (0.72-2.21) & 0.475 \\ G-allele & 139 (70.2) & 128 (65.3) & 1.25 (0.82-1.91) & 0.299 \\ A-allele & 59 (29.8) & 68 (34.7) & 1.25 (0.82-1.91) & 0.299 \\ HWE (p-value) & 0.390 & 0.723 & & & & & \\ TT & 43 (43.0) & 28 (28.6) & & & & \\ TT & 40 (40.4) & 48 (49.0) & 0.089 \\ CC & 19 (19.2) & 22 (22.4) & & & \\ CT + CC ^4 & 59 (59.6) & 77 (71.4) & 1.92 (1.06-3.47) & 0.038 \\ T-allele & 126 (63.6) & 104 (53.1) & 1.43 (0.96-2.13) & 0.079 \\ HWE (p-value) & 0.087 & & & & \\ RS275913 & & & & & \\ GA & 464 (46.7) & 47 (48.5) & & & & & \\ GA & 464 (46.7) & 47 (48.5) & & & & & \\ AAA & 189 (19.0) & 27 (27.6) & & & \\ GA & 464 (46.7) & 47 (48.5) & & & & & \\ AAA & 189 (19.0) & 27 (27.6) & & & & \\ GA + AA^4 & 653 (65.7) & 74 (75.5) & 1.61 (0.99-2.60) & 0.049 * \\ G-allele & 1146 (57.6) & 95 (48.5) & 1.45 (1.08-2.60) & 0.014 * \\ HVE (p-value) & 0.165 & 0.692 & & & \\ \hline IT & 318 (32.5) & 22 (22.4) & & & \\ TT & 318 (32.5) & 22 (22.4) & & & \\ TT & 318 (32.5) & 22 (22.4) & & & \\ HVE (p-value) & 0.165 & 0.692 & & & \\ \hline II - 0 & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & & \\ II - 0 & & & & & & & & & & \\ $	AA	7 (7.1)		11 (11.2)		0.021
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$AG + AA^4$	52 (52.2)		57 (58.2)	1.26 (0.72-2.21)	0.475
Aallele 59 (29.8) 68 (4.7) 1.25 ($0.82-1.91$) 0.299 HWE (p-value) 0.390 0.723 50 ($0.82-1.91$) 0.299 HWE (p-value) 0.390 0.723 50 ($0.82-1.91$) 0.089 CT 40 (40.4) 48 (49.0) 0.089 0.089 CC 19 (19.2) 22 (22.4) 0.038 Tallele 126 (63.6) 104 (53.1) 1.43 ($0.96-2.13$) 0.079 HWE (p-value) 0.087 0.869 0.869 0.246 GG 341 (34.3) 24 (24.5) 0.246 0.44 Aallele 189 (19.0) 27 (27.6) 0.049 * 0.049 * G-allele 1146 (57.6) 95 (48.5) 1.45 ($10.99-2.60$) 0.044 * HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.692 0.076 0.076 CC 200 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 0.76 0.76 CC 200 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 0.76 0.76 CC 200 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 0.76 0.76 CC 200 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 0.76	G-allele	139 (70.2)		128 (65.3)	1.05 (0.00, 1.01)	a a aa
HWE (p -value) 0.390 0.723 rs8193036 T 43 (43.0) 28 (28.6) CT 40 (40.4) 48 (49.0) 0.089 CC 19 (19.2) 22 (22.4) 0.038 CT + CC 4 59 (59.6) 70 (71.4) 1.92 (1.06-3.47) 0.038 Callele 126 (63.6) 104 (53.1) 1.43 (0.96-2.13) 0.079 HWE (p -value) 0.087 0.869 1.43 (0.96-2.13) 0.079 HWE (p -value) 0.087 0.869 1.43 (0.96-2.13) 0.079 GG 341 (34.3) 24 (24.5) 0.246 0.44 GA 464 (46.7) 47 (48.5) 0.246 AA 189 (19.0) 27 (27.6) 0.049 * G-allele 1146 (57.6) 95 (48.5) 1.45 (1.08-2.60) 0.014 * HWE (p -value) 0.165 0.692 0.076 0.076 TC 26 (16.47.1) 57 (58.2) 0.076 0.076 CC 200 (20.4) 19 (20.9) 1.20 (0.89-1.61) 0.228 HWE (p -value) 0.165 1014 (15.5) 1.20 (0.89-1.61)	A-allele	59 (29.8)		68 (34.7)	1.25 (0.82–1.91)	0.299
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HWE (p-value)	0.390		0.723		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs8193036					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT	43 (43.0)		28 (28.6)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CT	40 (40.4)		48 (49.0)		0.089
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CC	19 (19.2)		22 (22.4)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$CT + CC^{4}$	59 (59.6)		70 (71.4)	1.92 (1.06–3.47)	0.038
Callele $76 (36.4)$ $92 (48.7)$ HWE (p-value) 0.087 0.869 rs2275913 GG $341 (34.3)$ $24 (24.5)$ GA $464 (46.7)$ $47 (48.5)$ 0.246 AA 189 (19.0) $27 (27.6)$ $0.049 *$ G-allele 1146 (57.6) $95 (48.5)$ $1.45 (1.08-2.60)$ $0.014 *$ HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.692 0.076 0.076 TC $20 (20.4)$ $19 (20.9)$ 0.076 0.076 CC 200 (20.4) $19 (20.9)$ 0.076 0.076 Callele 1097 (56.0) $101 (51.5)$ $1.20 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 $66 (0.59-1.61)$ 0.228 GG 594 (60.4) 58 (59.2) $0.368 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.1161 <t< td=""><td>1-allele</td><td>126 (63.6)</td><td></td><td>104(53.1)</td><td>1.43 (0.96-2.13)</td><td>0.079</td></t<>	1-allele	126 (63.6)		104(53.1)	1.43 (0.96-2.13)	0.079
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C-allele	78 (36.4)		92 (46.9)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ro2275012	0.067		0.009		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	152275915 CC		341 (34 3)	24 (24 5)		
AA189 (19.0)27 (27.6)0.216GA + AA 4 653 (65.7)74 (75.5)1.61 (0.99-2.60)0.049 *G-allele1146 (57.6)95 (48.5)1.45 (1.08-2.60)0.014 *A-allele842 (42.4)101 (51.5)1.45 (1.08-2.60)0.014 *HWE (p-value)0.1650.6920.014 *TT318 (32.5)22 (22.4)0.076CC200 (20.4)19 (20.9)0.076CC200 (20.4)19 (20.9)0.0076CC200 (20.4)19 (20.9)0.042 *T-allele1097 (56.0)101 (51.5)0.042 *C-allele861 (44.0)95 (48.5)1.20 (0.89-1.61)0.228HWE (p-value)0.1650.104110.0GG594 (60.4)58 (59.2)0.076GA A329 (33.5)39 (39.8)0.076AA60 (6.1)1 (1.0)GA + AA ³ 40 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)Ga + AA ³ 40 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810Ga = 1201517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.04555 (60.4)GGT329 (33.5)35 (38.5)0.0760.76TT60 (6.1)1 (1.1)0.810G-1.61)0.810G-allele149 (23.7)37 (26.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810G-allele149 (23.7)37 (26.0)0.86	GA		464 (46 7)	47 (48.5)		0 246
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AA		189 (19.0)	27 (27.6)		0.210
G-allele1146 (57.6)95 (48.5)1.45 (1.08-2.60)0.014 *A-allele842 (42.4)101 (51.5)1.45 (1.08-2.60)0.014 *HWE (p-value)0.1650.6920.692II-10rs1800896TT318 (32.5)22 (22.4)TC461 (47.1)57 (58.2)0.076CC200 (20.4)19 (20.9)0.042 *T-allele1097 (56.0)101 (51.5)1.20 (0.89-1.61)0.228HWE (p-value)0.1650.1041100rs1800871GG594 (60.4)58 (59.2)0.076GA329 (33.5)39 (39.8)0.076AA60 (6.1)1 (1.0)0.86 (0.59-1.61)0.810G-allele449 (23.7)37 (26.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.15 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.14 (0.450.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0451.145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424 <td>$GA + AA^4$</td> <td></td> <td>653 (65.7)</td> <td>74 (75.5)</td> <td>1.61 (0.99-2.60)</td> <td>0.049 *</td>	$GA + AA^4$		653 (65.7)	74 (75.5)	1.61 (0.99-2.60)	0.049 *
A-allele $842 (42.4)$ $101 (51.5)$ $1.45 (1.08-2.60)$ $0.014 *$ HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.692 $0.014 *$ III-10 IIII 0.692 $0.014 *$ rs1800896 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	G-allele		1146 (57.6)	95 (48.5)	1 45 (1 00 0 (0)	
HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.692 IL-10 TT $318 (32.5)$ $22 (22.4)$ TC $461 (47.1)$ $57 (58.2)$ 0.076 CC $200 (20.4)$ $19 (20.9)$ $0.042 *$ T-allele $1097 (56.0)$ $101 (51.5)$ $1.20 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 $19 (20.9)$ 0.076 C-allele $861 (44.0)$ $95 (48.5)$ $1.20 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 $19 (20.9)$ 0.076 GG $594 (60.4)$ $58 (59.2)$ $0.66 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 GA A $329 (33.5)$ $39 (39.8)$ 0.076 AA $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.0)$ $64 (0.44)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.076 0.76 GG $594 (60.4)$ $55 (60.4)$ 0	A-allele		842 (42.4)	101 (51.5)	1.45 (1.08–2.60)	0.014 *
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	HWE (p-value)		0.165	0.692		
rs1800896TT $318 (32.5)$ $22 (22.4)$ TC $461 (47.1)$ $57 (58.2)$ 0.076 CC $200 (20.4)$ $19 (20.9)$ $TC + CC^4$ $661 (67.5)$ $76 (76.9)$ $1.66 (1.02-2.72)$ 0.042^* T-allele $1097 (56.0)$ $101 (51.5)$ $1.20 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 $Ts1800871$ $Ts1800871$ GG $594 (60.4)$ $58 (59.2)$ $S6 (59.2)$ GA $329 (33.5)$ $39 (39.8)$ 0.076 AA $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.0)$ $S6 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 TT $GG (594 (60.4)$ $55 (60.4)$ TT G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 TT 0.076 HWE (p-value) 0.0114 0.045 TT 0.026 HWE (p-value) 0.0114 0.045 TT 0.026	IL-10					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs1800896					
TC $461 (47.1)$ $57 (58.2)$ 0.076 CC $200 (20.4)$ $19 (20.9)$ $7C + CC^4$ $661 (67.5)$ $76 (76.9)$ $1.66 (1.02-2.72)$ 0.042^* T-allele $1097 (56.0)$ $101 (51.5)$ $1.20 (0.89-1.61)$ 0.228 HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 0.076 rs1800871 GG $594 (60.4)$ $58 (59.2)$ 0.076 GA $329 (33.5)$ $39 (39.8)$ 0.076 AA $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.0)$ 0.424 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.61)$ A-allele $449 (23.7)$ $37 (26.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.076 TT $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ 0.076 GG $594 (60.4)$ $55 (60.4)$ $0.76 (0.69-1.61)$ GG $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 rs1800872 $0.60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ GT T 329 (33.5) $35 (38.5)$ 0.076 TT $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ GT+TT ³ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045	TT		318 (32.5)	22 (22.4)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TC		461 (47.1)	57 (58.2)		0.076
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} TC + CC^{4} & 661 (67.5) & 76 (76.9) & 1.66 (1.02-2.72) & 0.042^{*} \\ \hline T-allele & 1097 (56.0) & 101 (51.5) & 1.20 (0.89-1.61) & 0.228 \\ \hline C-allele & 861 (44.0) & 95 (48.5) & 1.20 (0.89-1.61) & 0.228 \\ \hline HWE (p-value) & 0.165 & 0.104 \\ \hline rs1800871 & & & & & & & \\ GG & 594 (60.4) & 58 (59.2) & & & & & \\ GA & 329 (33.5) & 39 (39.8) & 0.076 \\ \hline AA & 60 (6.1) & 1 (1.0) & & & & \\ GA + AA^{3} & 40 (40.4) & 36 (39.6) & 1.06 (0.69-1.61) & 0.810 \\ \hline G-allele & 1517 (76.3) & 145 (74.0) & & & & \\ A-allele & 449 (23.7) & 37 (26.0) & & & & & \\ HWE (p-value) & 0.114 & 0.045 \\ \hline rs1800872 & & & & & \\ GG & 594 (60.4) & 55 (60.4) & & & \\ GT & 329 (33.5) & 35 (38.5) & & 0.076 \\ TT & 60 (6.1) & 1 (1.1) & & & \\ GT + TT^{3} & 40 (40.4) & 36 (39.6) & 1.06 (0.69-1.61) & 0.810 \\ \hline G-allele & 1517 (76.3) & 145 (74.0) & & & & \\ HWE (p-value) & 0.114 & 0.045 \\ \hline HWE (p-value) & 0.114 & 0.045$	CC		200 (20.4)	19 (20.9)		
I-allele1097 (95.0)101 (91.5)1.20 (0.89-1.61)0.228C-allele861 (44.0)95 (48.5)1.20 (0.89-1.61)0.228HWE (p-value)0.1650.1041rs1800871 GG 594 (60.4)58 (59.2)GA329 (33.5)39 (39.8)0.076AA60 (6.1)1 (1.0)G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)A-allele449 (23.7)37 (26.0)HWE (p-value)0.1140.045rs1800872 GT 329 (33.5)35 (38.5)GG594 (60.4)55 (60.4)GT329 (33.5)35 (38.5)0.076TT60 (6.1)1 (1.1)GT + TT ³ 40 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.810HWE (p-value)0.1140.0450.106 (0.69-1.61)0.810HWE (p-value)0.1140.0450.106 (0.69-1.61)0.810GT + TT 340 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0450.1140.045	$TC + CC^{4}$		661 (67.5)	76 (76.9)	1.66 (1.02–2.72)	0.042 *
C-allele $861(44.0)$ $95(48.5)$ HWE (p-value) 0.165 0.104 rs1800871 GG $594(60.4)$ $58(59.2)$ GA $329(33.5)$ $39(39.8)$ 0.076 AA $60(6.1)$ $1(1.0)$ G-allele $40(40.4)$ $36(39.6)$ $1.06(0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $419(23.7)$ $37(26.0)$ $0.86(0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.076 TT $329(33.5)$ $35(38.5)$ 0.076 GG $594(60.4)$ $55(60.4)$ 0.076 TT $60(6.1)$ $1(1.1)$ 0.076 GT $329(33.5)$ $35(38.5)$ 0.076 TT $60(6.1)$ $1(1.1)$ 0.076 GT + TT ³ $40(40.4)$ $36(39.6)$ $1.06(0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517(76.3)$ $145(74.0)$ $0.86(0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.424	I-allele		1097 (56.0)	101 (51.5)	1.20 (0.89-1.61)	0.228
INVE (p-value) 0.103 0.104 rs1800871 GG 594 (60.4) 58 (59.2) GA 329 (33.5) 39 (39.8) 0.076 AA 60 (6.1) 1 (1.0) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.076 0.76 TT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 GT T 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 GT T 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 GT T 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 GT T 329 (33.7) 37 (26.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.026 (0.59-1.26) 0.424	UWE (n value)		0 165	95 (48.5)		
GG 594 (60.4) 58 (59.2) GA 329 (33.5) 39 (39.8) 0.076 AA 60 (6.1) 1 (1.0) 64 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.076 0.76 GG 594 (60.4) 55 (60.4) 55 0.076 GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 0.76 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 0.076 GT T 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 0.76 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 0.076 GT T 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.045 0.810 0.076 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 <td< td=""><td>re1800871</td><td></td><td>0.105</td><td>0.104</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	re1800871		0.105	0.104		
GA $329 (33.5)$ $39 (39.8)$ 0.076 AA $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.0)$ $GA + AA^3$ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.76 0.76 GG $594 (60.4)$ $55 (60.4)$ 0.76 0.76 GT $329 (33.5)$ $35 (38.5)$ 0.076 TT $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ 0.045 GT + TT ³ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.424	GG		594 (60.4)	58 (59 2)		
AA60 (6.1)1 (1.0)0.870GA + AA 3 40 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)0.810G-allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)0.424HWE (p-value)0.1140.0450.045GG594 (60.4)55 (60.4)55 (60.4)GT329 (33.5)35 (38.5)0.076TT60 (6.1)1 (1.1)GT + TT 3 40 (40.4)36 (39.6)1.06 (0.69-1.61)G - allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.810G - allele1517 (76.3)145 (74.0)0.86 (0.59-1.26)HWE (p-value)0.1140.045	GA		329 (33.5)	39 (39.8)		0.076
GA + AA 3 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 A-allele 449 (23.7) 37 (26.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.045 GG 594 (60.4) 55 (60.4) 55 GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.45 GT + TT 3 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424	AA		60 (6.1)	1 (1.0)		0.070
G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 A-allele $449 (23.7)$ $37 (26.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 rs1800872 GG $594 (60.4)$ $55 (60.4)$ GT $329 (33.5)$ $35 (38.5)$ 0.076 TT $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ GT + TT ³ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ Uter (p-value) 0.114 0.045	$GA + AA^{3}$		40 (40.4)	36 (39.6)	1.06 (0.69-1.61)	0.810
A-allele 449 (23.7) 37 (26.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 rs1800872 GG 594 (60.4) 55 (60.4) GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 0.45 GT + TT ³ 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69–1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.424	G-allele		1517 (76.3)	145 (74.0)		0.424
HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 rs1800872 329 (33.5) 55 (60.4) GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) GT + TT ³ 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69–1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045	A-allele		449 (23.7)	37 (26.0)	0.86 (0.59–1.26)	0.424
rs1800872 GG 594 (60.4) 55 (60.4) GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) $GT + TT^3$ 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69-1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045 0.86 (0.59-1.26) 0.424	HWE (p-value)		0.114	0.045		
GG 594 (60.4) 55 (60.4) GT 329 (33.5) 35 (38.5) 0.076 TT 60 (6.1) 1 (1.1) 1 GT + TT ³ 40 (40.4) 36 (39.6) 1.06 (0.69–1.61) 0.810 G-allele 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 HWE (n-value) 0.114 0.045 0.45 0.424	rs1800872					
GT $329 (33.5)$ $35 (38.5)$ 0.076 TT $60 (6.1)$ $1 (1.1)$ GT + TT ³ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (<i>p</i> -value) 0.114 0.045 0.45	GG		594 (60.4)	55 (60.4)		
T1 $60 (6.1)$ 1 (1.1) GT + TT ³ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045	GT		329 (33.5)	35 (38.5)		0.076
$GT + TT^{-5}$ $40 (40.4)$ $36 (39.6)$ $1.06 (0.69-1.61)$ 0.810 G-allele $1517 (76.3)$ $145 (74.0)$ $0.86 (0.59-1.26)$ 0.424 HWE (p-value) 0.114 0.045			60 (6.1)	1 (1.1)	1.0((0.(0.1.(1))	0.010
G-anete 1517 (76.3) 145 (74.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 T-allele 449 (23.7) 37 (26.0) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 0.424 HWE (<i>p</i> -value) 0.114 0.045	$GT + TT^3$		40 (40.4)	36 (39.6)	1.06 (0.69–1.61)	0.810
rannee $447 (25.7)$ $57 (26.0)$ HWE (n-value) 0.114 0.045	G-allele		1517 (76.3)	145 (74.0)	0.86 (0.59-1.26)	0.424
	HWE (<i>n</i> -value)		0 114	0.045		

The data are presented as numbers (*n*) of children and valid percentages (%). Two control groups are included: ¹ Genome 1000 FIN population [31] and ² Finnish STEPS study cohort [32]. Of the latter, data of *IL-17A* rs2275913 and *IL-10* rs1800896 and rs1800872 are available.³ Includes diagnoses of juvenile arthritis subtypes: oligoarthritis, polyarthritis, juvenile enthesitis-related arthritis, juvenile arthritis psoriasis, and juvenile arthritis unspecified. Fisher's exact tests were used to analyze *p*-values. The odds ratio (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. ⁴ Dominant model where wildtype was compared with heterozygous and homozygote variants. *p*-values < 0.05 were considered significant (*).

Subsequently, the patients with oligoarthritis and polyarthritis were analyzed as a group (n = 85) against the controls (Table 3). What stood out was that both the *IL-17A*

rs2275913 variant genotype GA/AA and *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant genotype CT/CC were significantly more common in the patient group than in the controls (p = 0.043 odds ratio (OR) 2.0; 95% confidence interval (Cl) 1.02–3.93 and p = 0.007, OR 2.11; 95% Cl 1.2–3.6, respectively). In this combined group of oligoarthritis and polyarthritis, *IL-17A* rs2275913 minor allele A was more common than in the control group (p = 0.023 (OR 1.59; 95% Cl 1.07–2.37). Interestingly, *IL-17A* rs8193036 C-allele was more frequent in the polyarthritis group than in the controls (p = 0.020, OR 1.93; 95% Cl 1.11–3.36).

Table 3. The distribution of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* genotypes in JIA patients by three main JIA subtypes.

SNPs	Controls ¹ , <i>n</i> = 99 (%)	Controls ^{1,2} <i>n</i> = 994 (%)	Oligoarthritis, n = 51 (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>p</i> -Value	Polyarthritis, n = 34 (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>p</i> -Value	ERA, <i>n</i> = 10 (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>p</i> -Value
<i>IL-17A</i> rs9395767								
AA AT TT	31 (31.3) 43 (43.4) 25 (25.2)		18 (35.3) 20 (39.2) 13 (25.5)	0.858	7 (20.6) 16 (47.1) 11 (32.4)	0.457	1 (10.0) 5 (50.0) 4 (40.0)	0.327
AT + TT 3	68 (68.7)		33 (64.7)	0.88 (0.43–1.79), 0.718	27 (79.4)	1.84 (0.73–4.68), 0.275	9 (85.7)	4.30 (0.52–35.40), 0.277
A-allele	105 (53.0)		56 (54.9)	0.93 (0.57-1.50),	30 (44.1)	1.43 (0.82-2.50),	7 (0.35)	2.10 (0.80-5.48),
T-allele rs4711998	93 (47.0)		46 (45.1)	0.758	38 (55.9)	0.206	13 (0.65)	0.131
GG AG AA	47 (47.5) 45 (45.5) 7 (7.1)		22 (43.1) 23 (45.1) 6 (11.8)	0.608	13 (38.2) 18 (52.9) 3 (8.8)	0.643	4 (40.0) 4 (40.0) 2 (20.0)	0.367
$AG + AA^3$	52 (52.5)		28 (56.0)	1.19 (0.603–2.35), 0.730	21 (61.8)	1.46 (0.66–3.24), 0.426	6 (60.0)	1.36 (0.36–5.10), 0.748
G-allele A-allele rs8193036	139 (70.2) 59 (29.8)		67 (65.7) 35 (34.3)	1.23 (0.74–2.05), 0.798	44 (64.7) 24 (35.3)	1.29 (0.72–2.30), 0.843	12 (60.0) 8 (40.0)	1.57 (0.61–4.04), 0.349
TT CT CC	40 (40.4) 40 (40.4) 19 (16.2)		15 (29.4) 24 (47.1) 12 (23.5)	0.219	10 (29.4) 17 (50.0) 7 (20.6)	0.354	3 (30.0) 6 (60.0) 1 (10.0)	0.514
CT + CC ³	59 (59.6)		34 (68.0)	1.84 (0.90–3.79), 0.113 ⁴	24 (70.6)	1.84 (0.80–4.26), 0.162 ²	7 (70.0)	1.79 (0.44–7.34), 0.514
T-allele C-allele rs2275913	126 (63.6) 78 (36.4)		54 (52.9) 48 (47.1)	1.44 (0.89–2.32), 0.140	37 (54.4) 31 (45.6)	1.93 (1.11–3.36), 0.020 *	12 (60.0) 8 (40.0)	1.08 (0.42–2.75), 0.155
GG GA AA		341 (34.3) 464 (46.7) 189 (19.0)	9 (17.6) 29 (56.9) 13 (25.5)	0.046 ⁴	8 (23.5) 14 (41.2) 12 (35.3)	0.055	5 (50.0) 3 (30.0) 2 (20.0)	0.521
$GA + AA^3$		653 (65.7)	42 (82.4)	2.44 (1.17–5.07), 0.014	26 (76.5)	1.70 (0.66–3.98), 0.192	5 (50.0)	0.52 (0.15–1.09), 0.299
G-allele A-allele		1146 (57.6) 842 (42.4)	47 (46.1) 55 (53.9)	1.59 (1.07–2.37), 0.022 ⁵	30 (44.1) 38 (55.9)	1.72 (1.06–2.81), 0.028 ³	13 (65.0) 7 (35.0)	0.73 (0.29–1.87), 0.509
IL-10								
rs1800896 TT TC CC		318 (32.5) 461 (47.1) 200 (20.4)	11 (21.6) 30 (58.5) 10 (19.6)	0.200	8 (23.5) 20 (58.8) 6 (17.6)	0.387	1 (10.0) 7 (70.0) 2 (20.0)	0.266
TC + CC ³		661 (67.5)	40 (78.4)	1.75 (0.89–3.46), 0.103	26 (76.5)	1.56 (0.70–3.49), 0.289	(90.0)	4.33 (0.55–34.32), 0.130
T-allele C-allele rs1800871		1097 (56.0) 861 (44.0)	52 (51.0) 50 (49.0)	1.23 (0.82–1.82), 0.318	36 (52.9) 32 (47.1)	1.20 (0.74–2.33), 0.471	9 (45.0) 11 (55.0)	1.64 (0.68 –3.98), 0.272
GG GA AA		594 (60.4) 329 (33.5) 60 (6.1)	30 (58.8) 21 (41.2) 0 (0.0)	0.136	19 (55.9) 14 (41.2) 1 (2.9)	0.538	8 (80.0) 2 (20.0) 2 (20.0)	0.412
$GA + AA^3$		40 (40.4)	21 (41.2)	1.03 (0.60–1.90), 0.819	15 (44.1)	1.20 (0.61–2.40), 0.894	1 (14.4)	0.38 (0.08–1.81), 0.208
G-allele A-allele		1517 (76.3) 449 (23.7)	81 (79.4) 21 (20.6)	0.88 (0.54–1.43), 0.597	52 (76.5) 16 (23.5)	0.99 (0.52–1.89), 0.972	18 (90.0) 6 (10.0)	1.13 (0.44–2.85), 0.802

Data are presented as numbers (*n*) of children and valid percentages (%). Fisher's exact tests or Chi-square were used to analyze *p*-values. The odds ratio (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. Two control groups are included: ¹ Genome 1000 FIN population [31] and ² Finnish STEPS study cohort [32]. Of the latter, data of *IL-17A* rs2275913 and *IL-10* rs1800896 and rs1800872 are available. ³ Dominant model where wildtype was compared with heterozygous and homozygote variants. *p*-values < 0.05 were considered significant (*). ⁴ When oligo- and polyarthritis groups are combined (*n* = 85), the *p*-value for rs2275913 is 0.007 (OR 211; 95% CI 1.2–3.60) and for rs8193036, it is 0.049 (OR 1.84; 95% CI 1.84–1.00). In the combined group, *p*-values and ORs at allelic level analyses were for ⁵ *IL-17A* rs2275913 A-allele 0.0020 OR 1.64; 95% CI 1.20–2.25.

In a further analysis where the patients with extended oligoarthritis (n = 7) were excluded, and the patients with persistent oligoarthritis (n = 44) and polyarthritis (n = 34)

were compared, no statistical differences in the distribution of *IL-17A* polymorphisms were found. However, when the patients with persistent oligoarthritis were compared to the patients with extended oligoarthritis, we found that all the patients with the extended type of oligoarthritis had the variant types of both *IL-17A* rs2275913 and rs8193036 genotypes, whereas 20.5% of the patients with persistent oligoarthritis had the wildtype (GG) of *IL-17A* rs2275913 and 34% had the wildtype (CC) of *IL-17A* rs8193036. However, the number of patients with extended oligoarthritis was too low to draw definite conclusions about the differences (Supplementary Table S1).

As shown in Table 4, the majority (82.4%) of JIA patients who carry the *IL-17A* rs9395767 TT-genotype were ANA positive, whereas only 55.6% of those who carry the AA genotype were ANA positive (p = 0.032, OR 3.8; 95% Cl 1.13–13.09). No significant correlation between the distribution of other *IL-17A* polymorphisms and ANA-positivity were observed.

Table 4. Association of IL-17A and IL-10 polymorphisms with ANA, HLA-B27, uveitis and TMJ arthritis.

SNPs	ANA	A (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>p</i> -Value	Uveit	is (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>v</i> -Value	TMJ	ſ (%)	OR (95% Cl), <i>v</i> -Value
	Positive	Negative	F	Yes	No	,	Yes	No	,
ALL Missing cases	65 (67.7) 2 (1	31 (32.3) 2.0)		20 (20.4)	78 (79.6)		26 (26.5)	72 (73.5)	
<i>IL-17A</i> rs9395767		10 (11 1)		4 (14.0)	22 (05 2)			00 (01 F)	
AA AT TT	15 (55.6) 26 (65.0) 24 (82.8)	12 (44.4) 14 (35.0) 5 (17.2)	0.084 ²	4 (14.8) 12 (28.6) 4 (13.8)	23 (85.2) 30 (71.4) 25 (86.2)	0.220	5 (18.5) 11 (26.2) 10 (34.5)	22 (81.5) 31 (73.8) 19 (65.5)	0.400
AT + TT ¹	50 (72.5)	19 (27.5)	2.11 (0.84–5.31), 0.111	16 (22.5)	55 (75.5)	1.52 (0.56–4.14), 0.576	21 (29.6)	50 (70.4)	1.60 (0.18–1.62), 0.268
rs4711998 GG AG AA	27 (67.5) 29 (63.0) 9 (90.0)	13 (32.5) 17 (37) 1 (10.0)	0.255	7 (17.1) 9 (19.6) 4 (36.4)	34 (82.9) 37 (80.4) 7 (63.6)	0.363	11 (26.8) 13 (28.3) 2 (18.2)	30 (73.2) 33 (71.7) 9 (81.8)	0.792
AG + AA ¹	38 (67.9)	18 (32.1)	1.02 (0.43–2.42), 0.971	13 (22.8)	44 (77.2)	1.34 (0.59–3.05), 0.487	15 (26.3)	42 (73.7)	0.98 (0.50–1.91), 0.955
rs8193036 TT CT	16 (57.1) 33 (70.2)	12 (42.9) 14 (29.8)	0.324	7 (25.0) 10 (20.8)	21 (75.0) 38 (79.2)	0.610	5 (17.9) 17 (35.4)	23 (82.1) 31 (64.6)	0.149
CC	16 (76.2)	5 (23.8)	1.93 (0.77–4.84),	3 (13.6)	19 (86.4) 57 (81.4)	0.74 (0.33–1.67),	4 (18.2)	18 (81.8)	1.68 (0.70–4.02),
rs2275913	49 (72.1)	19 (27.9)	0.155	13 (18.0)	57 (61.4)	0.476	21 (30.0)	49 (70.0)	0.219
GG GA	16 (66.7) 31 (67.4)	8 (33.3) 15 (32.6)	0.979	4 (16.7) 9 (19.9)	20 (83.3) 38 (80.9)	0.684	6 (25.0) 14 (29.8)	18 (75.0) 33 (70.2)	0.763
AA GA + AA ¹	18 (69.2) 49 (68.1)	8 (30.8) 23 (31.9)	1.07 (0.40–2.85),	7 (25.7) 16 (21.6)	20 (74.1) 58 (78.4)	1.30 (0.22–2.43), 0.601	6 (22.0) 20 (27.0)	21 (77.8) 54 (73.0)	1.08 (0.49–2.38),
IL-10			0.777			0.001			0.010
rs1800896									
TT TC CC	15 (68.2) 38 (69.1) 12 (63.2)	7 (31.8) 17 (30.9) 7 (36.8)	0.891	4 (18.2) 12 (21.1) 4 (21.1)	18 (81.8) 45 (78.9) 15 (78.9)	0.958	8 (36.4) 16 (28.1) 2 (10.5)	14 (63.6) 41 (71.9) 17 (89.5)	0.161
TC + CC 1	50 (67.6)	24 (32.4)	0.97 (0.35–2.70), 0.957	16 (21.1)	60 (78.9)	1.17 (0.43–3.11), 0.769	18 (23.7)	58 (76.3)	0.65 (0.33–1.29), 0.236
rs1800871 GG GA	36 (63.2) 28 (73.7)	21 (36.8) 10 (26.3)	0.441	12 (20.7) 8 (20.5)	46 (79.3) 31 (79.5)	0.878	15 (57.7) 10 (38.5)	43 (74.1) 29 (74.4)	0.247
GA + AA ¹	29 (74.4)	0 (0.0) 10 (25.6)	1.69 (0.69–4.15), 0.249	8 (20.0)	32 (80.0)	0.97 (0.44–2.15), 0.934	11 (27.5)	0 (0.0) 29 (72.5)	1.06 (0.55–2.07), 0.857

The data are presented as numbers (*n*) of children and valid percentages (%). Fisher's exact tests or Chi-square were used to analyze *p*-values. The odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. ¹ Dominant model where wildtype was compared with heterozygous and homozygote variants. ² When major genotype AA was compared with homozygote variant genotype TT: OR 3.8; 95% CI 1.13–13.09.

We further studied the link between *IL-17A* polymorphisms with the risk of uveitis and the risk of a typical feature of JIA: TMJ arthritis. The JIA patients were divided into those who had uveitis at some point in the disease course (n = 20) and into a group that never had uveitis (n = 78). No statistically significant differences were found in the studied IL-17A genotypes between the patients with a positive vs. negative history of uveitis when the whole population of JIA was analyzed (Table 4). Furthermore, there were no significant differences when the patients with oligoarthritis, polyarthritis, and ERA were analyzed separately. A total of 26 patients (26.5%) had been TMJ-affected at some point in the disease course. No correlations were found either when we similarly studied the association of *IL-17A* polymorphisms with a history of TMJ arthritis in these patient groups (Table 4).

2.3. IL-10 Polymorphisms

The distributions of three *IL-10* polymorphisms (rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872) were analyzed in JIA patients and the control group (Table 2). *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotypes (TC/CC) were significantly more abundant in the JIA group than in the controls (p = 0.042, OR 1.66; 95%Cl 1.02–2.72). No difference in the other two SNPs wasnoticed between the patients and controls. Furthermore, no difference in all three SNPs studied was found between the different subtypes of JIA studied (Table 3). Nevertheless, variant *IL-10* rs1800896 genotype may be more abundant in ERA than in the controls. Of the ten patients with ERA, nine had the variant genotype. However, the number of ERA patients is too low to draw definitive conclusions. Similarly, no associations between the *IL-10* polymorphisms and patients with oligoarthritis, polyarthritis, or the combined group of oligoarthritis and polyarthritis were found.

No statistically significant differences were found between the *IL-10* rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872 genotypes in patients with positive vs. negative ANA (Table 4). However, when the JIA patients were analyzed against the controls, there seemed to be an association with the *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotype GA/AA and HLAB27 positivity (p = 0.033; OR 3.01; 95% CI 1.04–8.71). Furthermore, no statistically significant differences were found in the *IL-10* rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872 genotypes in patients with a positive vs. negative history of uveitis or a positive or negative history of TMJ arthritis when the whole population of JIA patients and the different subtypes were analyzed.

2.4. IL-10 and IL-17 Haplotypes

The linkage disequilibrium (LD) of *IL-10* polymorphisms was strong in the controls (Figure 1a) and the JIA patient group (Figure 1b). In addition, *IL-10* rs1800872 and rs1800871 exhibit complete LD. There was no evidence of LD in the IL-17A SNPs in the JIA group, and in the control group, only *IL-17A* rs2275913 was low, evidenced by the LD with rs8193036 and rs9395567. The haplotype analysis showed that the *IL-17A* rs2275913 and rs819306 allelic TG-haplotype was more frequent in the control group (0.433) than in the patient group (0.321) (p = 0.0211). No other significant differences were found in the *IL-17* and *IL-10* haplotypes between the patients and controls.

Next, we analyzed the LD of three JIA subgroups: oligoarthritis, polyarthritis, and ERA. What stands out in Figure 2 is that the ERA group clearly differed in terms of the IL-10 haplotypes, both in the controls and the other JIA subgroups. The most significant difference was related to *IL-10* rs1800896 and rs1800872/rs1800871, where there was high evidence of LD between the SNPs in the polyarthritis group (Figure 2b) but not in the oligoarthritis group (Figure 2a).



Figure 1. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) block structure of controls (**a**) and JIA patients (**b**). LD consisted of four SNPs located in the IL-17A gene and three SNPs located in the IL-10 gene. The LD is displayed according to following colour schemes, with bright red: LOD > 2, D' = 1, shades of pink/red: LOD > 2, D' < 1, D' = 1 and white: LOD < 2, D' < 1. D' values multiplied by 100 are marked in each cell, cells without number indicates D' 100.



Figure 2. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) block structure consisted of four SNPs located in the IL-17A gene and three SNPs located in the IL-10 gene in patients with oligoarthritis (**a**), polyarthritis (**b**), and ERA (**c**). The LD is displayed according to following colour schemes, with bright red: LOD > 2, D' = 1, shades of pink/red: LOD > 2, D' < 1, blue: LOD < 2, D' = 1 and white: LOD < 2, D' < 1. D' values multiplied by 100 are marked in each cell, cells without number indicates D' 100.

2.5. The Role of IL-10 and IL-17 Polymorphisms in Disease Activity

To study whether the *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms were associated with disease activity, the patients with oligoarthritis or polyarthritis were divided into four groups (inactive disease, low disease activity, medium disease activity, and high disease activity) on the basis of their JADAS10 scores, as defined by Consolaro et al. [33,34], at two different time points: at diagnosis and at 1 year after diagnosis.

At the time of diagnosis, all patients had active disease, with 98.3% having moderate (n = 13) or high (n = 44) disease activity (Figure 3). Most of the patients with moderate disease activity at the time of diagnosis had persistent oligoarthritis. One year after diagnosis, 32.4% (n = 24) of patients had inactive disease, and 27% (n = 20) had low disease activity. Despite active treatment, one year after diagnosis, 28.4% (n = 21) of patients had moderate and 12.2% (n = 16) high disease activity (Figure 3). While the patients diagnosed with poly- or extended oligoarthritis seemed to have more active disease one year after

diagnosis, the patients with polyarthritis also showed a more systematic reduction in disease activity compared to the time of diagnosis compared to other subtypes.



Figure 3. Disease activity in all JIA patients at the time of diagnosis and 1 year after diagnosis. Disease activity score: 0 = inactive, 1 = low activity, 2 = moderate activity, and 3 = high activity.

The polymorphisms of *IL-17A* (rs8193036, rs2275913, rs9395767, and rs4711998) and *IL-10* (rs1800896 and rs1800871) and their association with disease activity were analyzed using the collected juvenile arthritis disease activity score (JADAS10), physician's global assessment (PGA) and the childhood health assessment questionnaire (CHAQ) data. It appeared that the *IL-10* rs1800871 variant genotype may be associated with disease activity. No statistically significant differences in JADAS10 scores at diagnosis or 1 year after were observed between patients with the WT or variant rs1800871 genotype, but at one year after diagnosis, the PGA score was significantly lower (median 0.00 IQR 0.5) in patients with the variant genotype vs. patients with the WT genotype (median 0.6 IQR 1.38, *p* = 0.026). Also, the JIA patients carrying the variant type of *IL-10* rs1800871 had a significantly lower CHAQ at the time of diagnosis (0.25; IQR 0.59, *p* = 0.013) and one year after (0.00; IQR 0.25, *p* = 0.01) compared with the WT carriers. No significant associations were found between disease activity and the other studied SNPs.

2.6. The Effect of IL-17A and IL-10 Polymorphisms on Serum Cytokine Levels

To analyze the functional significance of the *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms in JIA, we measured the concentrations of IL-6, IL-10, IL-17A, and IL-17F in the sera of the patients and compared them to the genotypes. The mean concentrations of serum cytokine levels are shown in Table 5.

Pearson's correlation analysis of the cytokine levels showed a positive correlation between the serum IL-17F and IL-10 levels ($r^2 = 0.599$, $p \le 0.001$). No correlation was observed between the other cytokine levels. No statistically significant differences were found in the cytokine levels between the sexes, albeit males (22.61 pg/mL, interquartile range (IQR) 49.39) appeared to have higher serum IL-10 levels compared to females (5.11 pg/mL, IQR 44.74) (p = 0.093).

As seen in Table 5, of the three subgroups presented, polyarthritis patients had the highest concentration of serum IL-6 (264.24 pg/mL; IQR 9706.65) and ERA patients the highest concentration of serum IL-10 (30.87 pg/mL; IQR 51.27). However, no statistically significant differences were observed in the serum cytokine levels across the categories of diagnoses. The only significant difference found was between oligo- and polyarthritis patients, with the polyarthritis patients having higher IL-17A levels (p = 0.049).

The patients with oligo- or polyarthritis were further divided into four activity categories, as described previously. Interestingly, the levels of IL-17F (p = 0.012) and IL-10 (0.006) differentiated across the categories of disease activity, being lowest in the inactive patients and highest in those with moderate disease activity. However, incoherently, the patients in the high-activity group had very low levels of all studied cytokines. We did not observe the association of serum levels of IL-17A and IL-6 with disease activity.

Subjects	IL-17A ¹	IL-17F ¹	IL-10 ¹	IL-6 ¹
All (<i>n</i> = 98)	1.1 (55.4)	0.20 (0.78)	7.57 (47.82)	131.4 (1953.75)
Sex				
Male (<i>n</i> = 27)	1.1 (85.8)	0.19 (0.94)	22.61 (49.39)	193.37 (859.79)
Female $(n = 71)$	1.1 (50.1)	0.20 (0.66)	5.11 (44.74)	111.92 (2235.0)
<i>p</i> -value	0.943	0.501	0.093	0.913
Subtype				
Oligoarthritis ($n = 51$)	1.1 (0.0)	0.16 (0.45)	7.01 (30.72)	39.06 (2235.00)
Polyarthritis ($n = 34$)	1.1 (231.33)	0.37 (1.15)	8.61 (75.53)	264.24 (9706.65)
ERA $(n = 10)$	1.1 (138.48)	0.19 (0.50)	30.87 (51.27)	38.27 (20.49)
<i>p</i> -value	0.227	0.348	0.204	0.259
Activity ²				
Inactive $(n = 42)$	1.10 (35.02)	0.30 (0.78)	2.63 (29.63)	69.88 (1555.32)
Low $(n = 7)$	1.10 (85.80)	0.33 (2.32)	18.84 (94.81)	388.65 (3368.15)
Median ($n = 14$)	1.10 (174.03)	0.31 (6.03)	53.00 (98.02)	195.58 (10650.24)
High $(n = 16)$				
<i>p</i> -value	0.742	0.012	0.006	0.549

Table 5. Serum cytokine levels in the patients.

¹ All cytokine concentrations (median and interquartile range, IQR) in the serum are expressed as pg/mL. ² Disease activity at the time of sampling includes the patients with oligoarthritis or polyarthritis and is based on the JADAS10 score, as defined by Consolaro et al. [33,34].

When the associations between individual polymorphisms of IL-17A and IL-10 and the cytokine levels were further analyzed, *IL*-17A rs9395767 and rs4711998 and *IL*-10 rs1800896 were found to be associated with the serum IL-17F levels. Carriers of the variant type of the above-mentioned *IL*-17 SNPs had significantly higher levels of serum IL-17F than those who had wild genotypes (Table 6). In addition, the *IL*-17A rs4711998 variant genotype carriers had higher serum IL-17A levels than the WT carriers when the dominant model (combined heterozygote and homozygote variants are compared with the WT) was used (p = 0.019). Opposite to these findings, JIA patients who carry the variant type of *IL*-10 rs1800896 had a lower level of serum IL-17F (p = 0.010, with the dominant model). None of the studied SNPs appeared to be statistically significantly associated with either the serum IL-10 or IL-6 levels.

Table 6. Association of studied *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms with serum IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-10 and IL-6.

SNP	Number of Subjects	IL-17A ¹	IL-17F ¹	IL-10 ¹	IL-6 ¹
IL-17A					
rs9395767					
AA	27	1.10 (50.10)	0.17 (0.45)	0.15 (47.3)	838.58 (11445.0)
AT	42	1.10 (27.07)	0.18 (0.48)	6.0 (30.29)	64.33 (1283.75)
TT	29	1.1 (277.25)	0.36 (2.31)	22.72 (96.72)	134.23 (728.55)
<i>p</i> -value		0.293	0.030 ²	0.088	0.243
rs4711998					
GG	41	1.10 (0.00)	0.11 (0.30)	0.15 (30.29)	111.92 (1595.21)
AG	46	1.10 (246.24)	0.33 (0.87)	11.39 (52.42)	187.39 (3539.56)
AA	11	1.10 (89.39)	0.26 (1.61)	6.83 (94.81)	67.95 (3912.00)
<i>p</i> -value		0.063 ¹	0.010 ³	0.337	0.910
rs8193036					
TT	28	1.10 (22.49)	0.13 (0.68)	4.05 (29.70)	120.46 (1595.00)
CT	48	1.10 (82.19)	0.24 (1.58)	6.92 (77.77)	47.32 (1451.57)
CC	22	1.10 (167.55)	0.20 (0.36)	14.90 (48.21)	316.71 (10693.61)
<i>p</i> -value		0.390	0.248	0.563	0.287
rs2275913					
GG	24	1.10 (147.43)	0.36 (0.90)	9.50 (42.31)	28.76 (236.69)
AG	47	1.10 (50.10)	0.21 (0.68)	10.24 (50.92)	347.93 (1860.00)
AA	27	1.10 (45.68)	0.12 (0.82)	6.64 (56.90)	133.78 (9685.00)
<i>p</i> -value		0.881	0.123	0.776	0.277

SNP	Number of Subjects	IL-17A ¹	IL-17F ¹	IL-10 ¹	IL-6 ¹
IL-10					
rs1800896					
TT	22	6.80 (197.69)	0.54 (3.09)	37.88 (110.90)	243.65 (9923.23)
TC	57	1.10 (28.28)	0.19 (0.48)	6.83 (31.59)	67.95 (1562.00)
CC	19	1.10 (85.80)	0.07 (0.36)	0.15 (142.02)	337.71 (1860.00)
<i>p</i> -value		0.189	0.021 ⁴	0.125	0.406
rs1800871					
GG	58	1.10 (86.70)	0.19 (1.48)	12.69 (58.71)	131.39 (1578.82)
GA	39	1.10 (29.99)	0.21 (0.76)	6.83 (22.46)	108.64 (6605.00)
AA	1				
<i>p</i> -value		0.735	0.673	0.307	0.873

Table 6. Cont.

¹ All cytokine concentrations (median and interquartile range, IQR) in the serum are expressed as pg/mL. With the dominant model, *p*-values are 0.019 for ² IL-17A, 0.002 for ³ IL-17F, and 0.01 for ⁴ IL-10.

The Quade nonparametric ANCOVA test was used to take account of the covariates as listed: sex, JIA subtype, age at the time of sampling, disease duration, JADAS10, and the use of biological medication. The test clearly showed that *IL*-17A rs471198 was associated with serum IL-17F (p = 0.012) and IL-17A (p = 0.009) levels. *IL*-10 rs1800896, on the other hand, was associated with both serum IL-17F (p = 0.030) and IL-10 (p = 0.042) levels.

3. Discussion

In this exploratory study, we aimed to study the role of several *IL-17A* and *IL-10* promoter area gene polymorphisms in the susceptibility to and disease activity of JIA in children. It is known that SNPs in cytokine genes affect cytokine production, which can influence the risk of arthritis [23,35]. To our knowledge, this is the first study addressing the role of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms in JIA in the Finnish population. Disease characteristics of the patients in this study, including the distribution of JIA subtypes, age, and gender, are in line with what has previously been reported in Nordic studies [36,37]. Patients with sJIA and seropositive polyarthritis were not included in the study due to the low prevalence of these subtypes and differing pathogenesis from other subtypes. This study is representative of the roles of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms, mainly in the oligoarthritis and polyarthritis types of JIA (which are the two major groups) in a Finnish population, as the numbers of ERA patients and other types of arthritis were low.

The *IL-17A* rs8193036 and rs2275913 variant genotypes were found to increase the risk of JIA in our cohort. IL-17A rs2275913 variant genotypes seem to be associated with an increased risk of RA in Caucasians [38,39], but in a study by Zhang et al., no association with the risk of JIA in Chinese children was observed [40]. The *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant genotype has been previously associated with an increased risk of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in the Chinese population [41]. However, a meta-analysis, including fourteen studies and 3118 patients with RA, did not find an association between *IL-17A* rs8193036 and susceptibility to RA [42]. Our analyses confirm that the *IL-17A* rs8193036 polymorphism did not significantly affect the serum levels of IL-17A or other cytokines. However, it should be kept in mind that the majority of patients in our study were receiving medication, including DMARDS and biologicals, at the time of sampling, which may affect the results; although, our data suggest that the cytokine levels were not dependent on the use of biological drugs.

Our results suggest that both *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant genotypes CT/CC and *IL-17A* rs2275913 variant genotypes GA/AA increase the risk of oligoarthritis and polyarthritis types of JIA. Interestingly, our results showed that *IL-17A* rs8193036 minor allele C is associated with an increased risk, especially for the polyarthritis type of disease. Extended oligoarthritis has basically the same disease features as polyarthritis but with a more gradual onset, and in line with this, among the oligoarthritis group, all patients with an extended type of oligoarthritis had the variant genotype type of both *IL-17A* rs8193036 and *IL-17A* rs2275913. The number of patients with extended arthritis was low, but it remains

of interest to further study if the *IL-17A* rs8193036 polymorphism in oligoarthritis patients could serve to predict the risk of disease extension. Thus far, there has been no published data on utilizing secukinumab (currently the only IL-17 blocker having an indication in pediatric rheumatic disease) in the treatment of other pediatric rheumatic conditions than ERA and psoriatic arthritis. It is tempting to speculate that some oligoarthritis or polyarthritis patients with the *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant genotype could benefit from IL-17 blocking.

ANA-positive patients have been suggested to form a subtype of JIA independent of the number of joints affected [30]. Typical features of ANA-positive JIA include female predominance, disease onset in the early years, and a high risk of uveitis [30]. However, neither IL-17A rs8193036 nor IL-17A rs2275913 seemed to associate with ANA-positivity in our study. In contrast, the IL-17A rs9395767 variant genotype was more abundant in ANA-positive patients than in ANA-negative patients, with the majority of patients with the variant genotype being ANA-positive. The functional role of this particular IL-17A SNP remains uncharacterized. A study by Gan et al. has demonstrated that higher serum levels of IL-17A, and especially higher levels of IL-17F, are associated with higher autoantibody (including ANA) levels in patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome. Interestingly, treatment of patients with psoriasis or psoriatic arthritis with IL-17 blocker secukinumab has been shown to lead to diminished levels of ANA [43]. None of the studied IL-17A or IL-10 polymorphisms seemed to mediate the risk of uveitis. However, uveitis is not always present at disease onset and the risk of developing uveitis is greatly diminished after disease onset while patients are receiving efficient therapy, which may influence the outcome in this type of study setting.

IL-10 polymorphisms have been previously associated with the risk of JIA in some studies. Fathy et al. (2017) have demonstrated an increased risk of JIA and, especially, of polyarthritis in Egyptian children with the *IL-10* rs1800896 variant AA genotype [44]. However, no association of IL-10 rs1800896, IL-10 rs1800871, and IL-10 rs1800872 with JIA was found in an Iranian study [45], nor in the meta-analysis that included seven studies by Jung et al. [46]. In our study, IL-10 polymorphisms rs1800896, rs1800871 and rs1800872 were not found to significantly associate with the risk of JIA or its subtypes in this Finnish JIA population. However, the IL-10 rs18000896 variant/IL-10 rs1800871 variant haplotype was more common in the JIA group compared to the controls, suggesting that while the effect of one individual variation in the *IL-10* gene promoter is not enough to increase the risk of JIA, two might be. Based on most studies on the IL-10 rs 18000896 polymorphism in chronic inflammatory diseases, it is commonly believed to lead to diminished IL-10 production, although some studies have associated it with higher IL-10 production [47]. In our study, both the IL-10 18000896 variant genotype and IL-10 rs 18000896 variant/IL-10 rs 1800871 variant haplotype were associated with lower IL-10 production. Also, Fathy et al. have found lower serum levels of IL-10 in JIA patients with the variant *IL-10* rs1800896 genotype compared to those with the WT genotype [44]. A study by Hee et al. (2007) investigating the role of the IL-10 gene promoter polymorphism in RA in Malaysian patients showed that the haplotype comprising all minor alleles in rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872 (ATA haplotype) was associated with lower IL-10 production when compared with the other haplotypes, and the RA patients who did not display the ATA haplotype produced significantly higher levels of IL-10 when compared with those carrying either one or two polymorphisms [48].

Based on PGA, patients with the *IL-10* rs1800871 variant genotype were found to have significantly lower disease activity 1 year after diagnosis compared to patients with the *IL-10* rs1800871 WT genotype. This could reflect a better response to medication, as there was a trend towards higher PGA with the patients with variant genotypes at diagnosis; however, this data did not reach statistical significance. CHAQ was lower in patients with the *IL-10* rs1800871 variant genotype both at diagnosis and at 1 year. However, the correlation of CHAQ with the joint counts has been shown to be low in early disease and better with more longstanding disease, with the correlation increasing alongside disease

duration [49]. In a previous work by Schotte et al., the association of *IL-10* promoter SNPs (-2849 G > A (rs6703630), -1082 G > A (rs1800896), -819 C > T (rs1800871), and -592 C > A (rs1800872) with a response to etanercept treatment in RA patients was studied [50]. They found the most favorable response in patients with the -2849 A-allele or the haplotypes AGCC and GATA, whereas an unfavorable treatment response was found in patients with the GGCC genotype [50].

Although the number of ERA patients included in our study was very low, it stands out that IL-10 is differently regulated in ERA compared to oligoarthritis and polyarthritis. Our results indicate a trend towards the association of ERA with the variant *IL-10* rs1800896 genotype, which would be in line with the findings by Braga et al., who have shown that the rs1800896 variant genotype increased the risk of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) by three-fold [51]. They also showed that this association was independent of HLA-B27. Also, a study by Mu et al. recognized *IL-10* rs1800896 (along with other IL-10 polymorphisms) as a risk factor for AS in the Chinese population [52]. The *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotype has been shown to be associated in a number of studies with high *IL-10* production in AS [51]. While we did not find increased serum levels of IL-10 in all JIA patients with the *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotype, rather, we found decreased serum levels along with decreased IL-17F levels compared to patients with the WT genotype; however, this may not apply to patients with ERA. Furthermore, there was a general trend towards higher IL-10 serum levels in these patients.

The global incidence of juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is relatively low. For instance, in Finland, the incidence rate is 31.7 cases per 100,000 individuals [53]. This low incidence rate significantly hampers the recruitment process, resulting in a slower accrual of study participants. Consequently, the limited number of available patients represents a primary limitation of this study, and in the case of ERA patients, the number of subjects was definitely too low to reliably define the population.

Therefore, we considered our study to be an exploratory study to discover the preliminary associations of IL-17A and IL-10 polymorphisms with JIA, and, if present, they need to be replicated before more credence is given to these results. Specially, the correlations of polymorphisms with disease activity need to be confirmed in larger populations. Another clear limitation regarding the cytokine data is that the majority of patients were on varying medications at the time of sampling and that this most likely affected the results; however, the medication was included in the Quade nonparametric ANCOVA model as one covariate to minimize an effect.

4. Material and Methods

4.1. Study Design and Subjects

All study subjects were recruited from the Pediatric Rheumatology Clinic at Turku University Hospital in Turku, Finland, between November 2020 and September 2023. Altogether, 130 patients were invited, of which 122 provided their consent to participate and were enrolled. Study samples were collected by providing the guardian with coded tubes to be given to the lab personnel while having routine laboratory tests taken and, eventually, study samples were obtained from 98 patients who were included in the analyses. At the time of initial recruitment, all patients were under 16 years of age and fulfilled the ILAR classification criteria for JIA. The exclusion criteria included systemic onset of JIA, seropositive polyarthritis (due to the expected small number of patients), a known chromosomal abnormality, known genetic disorder, participation in other clinical intervention studies, or whose informed consent could not be ensured due to the lack of a shared language or other factors. As a control population, we used genetic data from the 1000 Genomes Project, which consists of 99 healthy Finns (FIN population) [54]. In addition, we analyzed one SNP of *IL-17* (rs2275913) and two SNPs of *IL-10* (rs1800896 and rs1800871) in our previous STEP study in which healthy infants were followed [55].

Before enrollment in the study, the patients and their guardians were informed about the procedures and the aim of the study, and their informed written consent was obtained.

The current study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Turku University and the Hospital District of Southwest Finland (ETMK 31/1801/2020, 16 June 2020).

The following clinical data/parameters were collected: the age at disease onset (time of diagnosis and birthdate), the age at the time of sampling, sex, duration of disease, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, antinuclear antibody titer (ANA), Human Leucocyte Antigen (HLA)-B27, number of affected joints, history of uveitis, history of tempo mandibular joint (TMJ) arthritis, medication, child health assessment questionnaire (CHAQ), physician global assessment of disease activity (PGA) and juvenile arthritis disease activity score (JADAS10). The cumulative activity and clinical parameters at the selected time points (at diagnosis, one year after diagnosis, three years after diagnosis, at the start of the first biological, one year after the start of the first biological, and at the time of sampling) were retrospectively collected from the medical records by a physician.

4.2. Sampling and Data Collection

Serum and EDTA samples were drawn from a peripheral vein at the time of routine monitoring tests. The serum samples were allowed to clot for 60 min at room temperature and were then centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C, transferred into two cryovials, and stored at -20 °C until used for the analyses.

4.3. Genetic Analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from 250 μ L of EDTA whole blood samples using the E.Z.N.A Blood DNA Mini Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentrations were determined by a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and the DNA samples were stored at -20 °C prior to the analyses.

A total of eight *IL-17* and three *IL-10* polymorphisms were analyzed (Figure 4) from JIA patients using Sanger sequencing at Eurofins Genomics (Eurofins Genomics GmbH, Konstanz, Germany). All the SNPs that had a minor allele frequency of >5% were included in the final analyses and presented in Table 2.



Figure 4. The analyzed IL-17A and IL-10 polymorphisms.

The primers for *IL-17* and *IL-10* were designed with the Primer-BLAST design tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA) and were ordered from Eurofins Genomics (Eurofins Genomics GmbH, Konstanz, Germany). The Invitrogen Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scienific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used for the PCR reactions according to

the manufacturer's instructions. All PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 30 μ L, consisting of 4 μ L of genomic DNA and 26 μ L of master mix, including 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1× buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP's, 0.2 μ M of each primer, and 2U Platinium Taq DNA polymerase enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR conditions were as follows: an initial activation of 2 min at 95 °C and for 40 cycles of repeated denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at the primer-specific temperature for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min and without the final extension step. The primers and annealing temperatures used in the PCR are listed in Table 7. Prior to the sequencing, the PCR products were purified enzymatically with Thermo Scientific Exonuclease FastAP and Exo I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

PCR Product Annealing Target Primers Temperature (°C) Size (bp) IL-17A rs147789086² F: 5'-TGTGCACTAGGTGGAGGAAAC-3' 60 724 rs9395767 R: 5'-CCAGCACACAAGGAGCAATG-3' 1 rs2275913 rs8193037² F: 5'-TGACCCATAGCATAGCAGCTC-3' 1 576 60 rs3819025² R: 5'-TCTTGTGTGGGTTTAGCCCCAA-3' rs8193038² rs8193036 F: 5'-GGGCGGAGAAGGGTGACATA-3' 1 60 521 rs4711998 R: 5'-GATTCCTTGGCCAGGTGTTGT-3' IL-10 rs1800896 F: 5'-CCAGATATCTGAAGAAGTCCTG-3' rs1800871 901 55 R: 5'-CCTAGGTCACAGTGACGTGG-3' 1 rs1800872

Table 7. Primers used for PCR and Sanger sequencing.

¹ Primer used for Sanger sequencing. ² The frequency of the point mutation was less than 5%, so it is not presented in Table 2 or included in the analyses.

IL-17A rs2275913 and IL-10 rs1800896 and rs1800871 SNPs from the STEP study subjects have been previously analyzed using the Sequenom massARRAY iPlex Gold system (Sequenom Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at the University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland [55].

4.4. Cytokine Measurements

The serum cytokine concentrations of IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-10, and IL-6 were measured using the multiplex immunoassay (Bio-PLex 200, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with the Milliplex Map Human Th17 Magnetic Bead Panel (Merck KgA, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. There was no or negligible cross-reactivity between the antibodies for an analyte or any of the other analytes in the panel. The reported intra-assay %CVs were 3, 2, 3, and 5, and the inter-assay %CVs were 13, 10, 11, and 7 for IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-10, and IL-6, respectively. The cytokine concentrations that were below the lowest detection limit were assigned values of half of the minimum detectable concentration of each cytokine, which were 1.05 pg/mL, 4.5 pg/mL, 0.15 pg/mL, and 0.85 pg/mL for IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-10, and IL-6, respectively.

4.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 28.0 (IBM Corp. in Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism, version 8 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, MA, USA). The calculation of the sample size and power analysis were performed with the QUANTO program, version 1.2.4 [56]. The current sample size would have 35–93% power at a 0.05 significance level to detect a difference between the controls and patients with JIA-type when the controls per case are 1:10 (IL-17A rs2275913 and IL-10 rs1800896, rs1800871, and rs1800872). When the control per case is 1:1, the power of the study is 57% at a 0.05 significance level, indicating that these results are exploratory and should be interpreted with a critical perspective.

Deviations from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for the *IL-17* and *IL-10* SNPs were studied using the Chi-square test. Categorical data were compared by using the Chi-square test or Fisher exact test. The non-normally distributed data were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% CIs were determined, and repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze the repeated measurements of the subjects. The Quade nonparametric ANCOVA test was used to calculate the associations between serum IL levels and the SNPs with the following covariates: sex, JIA subtype, age at the time of sampling, disease duration, JADAS10 (= disease activity), and the use of biological medication. A two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered significant, and in the haplotype analyses, the Bonferroni correction was used to determine the adjusted p-values.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we present new evidence on the roles of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of JIA. We have shown that carrying *IL-17A* rs2275913 (AG/AA) or rs8193036 variant genotypes (CT/CC) and *IL-10* rs1800896 variant genotypes (TC/CC) increases the risk of JIA, and specifically, the risk of seronegative polyarthritis is increased in the *IL-17A* rs8193036 variant background. Also, *IL-17A* rs2275913 minor allele A was identified as a risk factor for oligoarthritis and polyarthritis types of JIA. We further show that the *IL-10* rs1800871 variant genotype may be related to a better response to treatment at 1 year. Differences in the serum cytokine profiles between the patients with wildtype and variant genotypes of *IL-17A* and *IL-10* polymorphisms were detected. Further studies with treatment of naïve patients are needed to specify the associations with cytokine production. Also, further studies with larger sample sizes are planned so as to specify the role of these cytokine polymorphisms in disease subtypes and patients' responses to treatment.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25158323/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M., Q.H. and J.M.; recruitment, M.M. and H.R.; methodology, Q.H., M.M. and J.T.; formal analysis, J.T. and S.K.; data curation, J.T.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M., J.T. and Q.H.; writing—review and editing, Q.H., J.M., H.R. and S.K.; supervision, Q.H. and J.M.; project administration, M.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The Finnish Cultural Foundation, 00210761 and 00230841; the University of Turku; the Foundation for Pediatric Research; Maire Lisko Foundation; Tampere Tuberculosis Foundation, 26006205 (Q.H.); and Sigrid Juselius Foundation 240045 (Q.H.).

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of The Hospital District of Southwest Finland (ETMK 31/1801/2020, 16 June 2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to all the children and their families who participated in this study. We also gratefully appreciate the support of Minna-Maija Grönlund and Ruut Piri in recruiting patients, and we are thankful to Elisa Knuutila, Liisa Lund, and Tatjana Talja for their excellent technical support with the samples.

Conflicts of Interest: M.M. has attended congresses funded by pharmaceutical companies, including Pfizer, Novartis, Roche, and Sobi. H.R. has attended congresses funded by Novartis. The funders had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results. J.T., S.K., J.M. and Q.H. declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Petty, R.E. Growing pains: The ILAR classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis. J. Rheumatol. 2001, 28, 927–928. [PubMed]
- 2. Hersh, A.O.; Prahalad, S. Genetics of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis. *Rheum. Dis. Clin. N. Am.* 2017, 43, 435–448. [CrossRef]

- 3. La Bella, S.; Rinaldi, M.; Di Ludovico, A.; Di Donato, G.; Di Donato, G.; Salpietro, V.; Chiarelli, F.; Breda, L. Genetic Background and Molecular Mechanisms of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 1846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Kozlova, D.I.; Rybakov, A.V.; Yureva, K.A.; Khizha, V.V.; Sorokina, L.S.; Kostik, M.M.; Guslev, A.B. Specific Features of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis Patients' Cytokine Profile. *Biomedicines* **2024**, *12*, 135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Gutierrez-Arcelus, M.; Rich, S.S.; Raychaudhuri, S. Autoimmune diseases—Onnecting risk alleles with molecular traits of the immune system. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2016, 17, 160–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koper-Lenkiewicz, O.M.; Sutkowska, K.; Wawrusiewicz-Kurylonek, N.; Kowalewska, E.; Matowicka-Karna, J. Proinflammatory Cytokines (IL-1, -6, -8, -15, -17, -18, -23, TNF-α) Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Rheumatoid Arthritis-A Literature Review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 2106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Paroli, M.; Spadea, L.; Caccavale, R.; Spadea, L.; Paroli, M.P.; Nante, N. The Role of Interleukin-17 in Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis: From Pathogenesis to Treatment. *Medicina* **2022**, *58*, 1552. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hügle, B.; Horneff, G. The role of synthetic drugs in the biologic era: Therapeutic strategies for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* **2016**, *17*, 703–714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Adrovic, A.; Yildiz, M.; Köker, O.; Şahin, S.; Barut, K.; Kasapçopur, Ö. Biologics in juvenile idiopathic arthritis-main advantages and major challenges: A narrative review. *Arch. Rheumatol.* **2021**, *36*, 146–157. [CrossRef]
- Fischer, J.; Dirks, J.; Haase, G.; Holl-Wieden, A.; Hofmann, C.; Girschick, H.; Morbach, H. IL-21⁺ CD4⁺ T helper cells co-expressing IFN-γ and TNF-α accumulate in the joints of antinuclear antibody positive patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Clin. Immunol.* 2020, *217*, 108484. [CrossRef]
- Moore, K.W.; de Waal Malefyt, R.; Coffman, R.L.; O'Garra, A. Interleukin-10 and the interleukin-10 receptor. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2001, 19, 683–765. [CrossRef]
- Wood, S.; Branch, J.; Vasquez, P.; DeGuzman, M.M.; Brown, A.; Sagcal-Gironella, A.C.; Singla, S.; Ramirez, A.; Vogel, T.P. Th17/1 and ex-Th17 cells are detected in patients with polyarticular juvenile arthritis and increase following treatment. *Pediatr. Rheumatol. Online J.* 2024, 22, 32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Nistala, K.; Wedderburn, L.R. Th17 and regulatory T cells: Rebalancing pro- and anti-inflammatory forces in autoimmune arthritis. *Rheumatology* **2009**, *48*, 602–606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barnes, M.G.; Grom, A.A.; Thompson, S.D.; Griffin, T.A.; Pavlidis, P.; Itert, L.; Fall, N.; Sowders, D.P.; Hinze, C.H.; Aronow, B.J.; et al. Subtype-specific peripheral blood gene expression profiles in recent-onset juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009, 60, 2102–2112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Agarwal, S.; Misra, R.; Aggarwal, A. Interleukin 17 levels are increased in juvenile idiopathic arthritis synovial fluid and induce synovial fibroblasts to produce proinflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases. *J. Rheumatol.* **2008**, *35*, 515–519.
- 16. Okeke, E.B.; Uzonna, J.E. The Pivotal Role of Regulatory T Cells in the Regulation of Innate Immune Cells. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. de Waal Malefyt, R.; Abrams, J.; Bennett, B.; Figdor, C.G.; de Vries, J.E. Interleukin 10(IL-10) inhibits cytokine synthesis by human monocytes: An autoregulatory role of IL-10 produced by monocytes. *J. Exp. Med.* **1991**, *174*, 1209–1220. [CrossRef]
- 18. Carter, N.A.; Rosser, E.C.; Mauri, C. Interleukin-10 produced by B cells is crucial for the suppression of Th17/Th1 responses, induction of T regulatory type 1 cells and reduction of collagen-induced arthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2012**, *14*, R32. [CrossRef]
- Finnegan, A.; Kaplan, C.D.; Cao, Y.; Eibel, H.; Glant, T.T.; Zhang, J. Collagen-induced arthritis is exacerbated in IL-10-deficient mice. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2003, *5*, R18–R24. [CrossRef]
- Rezaei, E.; Hogan, D.; Trost, B.; Kusalik, A.J.; Boire, G.; Cabral, D.A.; Campillo, S.; Chédeville, G.; Chetaille, A.-L.; Dancey, P.; et al. Associations of clinical and inflammatory biomarker clusters with juvenile idiopathic arthritis categories. *Rheumatology* 2020, 59, 1066–1075. [CrossRef]
- Harjacek, M.; Diaz-Cano, S.; Alman, B.A.; Coburn, J.; Ruthazer, R.; Wolfe, H.; Steere, A.C. Prominent expression of mRNA for proinflammatory cytokines in synovium in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis or chronic Lyme arthritis. *J. Rheumatol.* 2000, 27, 497–503. [PubMed]
- Sznurkowska, K.; Boćkowska, M.; Zieliński, M.; Plata-Nazar, K.; Trzonkowski, P.; Liberek, A.; Kamińska, B.; Szlagatys-Sidorkiewicz, A. Peripheral regulatory T cells and anti-inflammatory cytokines in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 2018, 65, 119–123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scola, M.P.; Thompson, S.D.; Brunner, H.I.; Tsoras, M.K.; Witte, D.; Van Dijk, M.A.; Grom, A.A.; Passo, M.H.; Glass, D.N. Interferon-gamma:interleukin 4 ratios and associated type 1 cytokine expression in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue. *J. Rheumatol.* 2002, *29*, 369–378. [PubMed]
- Crawley, E.; Kon, S.; Woo, P. Hereditary predisposition to low interleukin-10 production in children with extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2001, 40, 574–578. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Kube, D.; Platzer, C.; von Knethen, A.; Straub, H.; Bohlen, H.; Hafner, M.; Tesch, H. Isolation of the human interleukin 10 promoter. Characterization of the promoter activity in Burkitt's lymphoma cell lines. *Cytokine* **1995**, *7*, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eskdale, J.; Gallagher, G.; Verweij, C.L.; Keijsers, V.; Westendorp, R.G.; Huizinga, T.W. Interleukin 10 secretion in relation to human IL-10 locus haplotypes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 9465–9470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Turner, D.M.; Williams, D.M.; Sankaran, D.; Lazarus, M.; Sinnott, P.J.; Hutchinson, I.V. An investigation of polymorphism in the interleukin-10 gene promoter. *Eur. J. Immunogenet. Off. J. Br. Soc. Histocompat. Immunogenet.* **1997**, 24, 1–8. [CrossRef]

- 28. Müller, K.; Herner, E.B.; Stagg, A.; Bendtzen, K.; Woo, P. Inflammatory cytokines and cytokine antagonists in whole blood cultures of patients with systemic juvenile chronic arthritis. *Br. J. Rheumatol.* **1998**, *37*, 562–569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Rioux, J.D.; Abbas, A.K. Paths to understanding the genetic basis of autoimmune disease. *Nature* 2005, 435, 584–589. [CrossRef]
- Espinoza, J.L.; Takami, A.; Nakata, K.; Onizuka, M.; Kawase, T.; Akiyama, H.; Miyamura, K.; Morishima, Y.; Fukuda, T.; Kodera, Y.; et al. A genetic variant in the IL-17 promoter is functionally associated with acute graft-versus-host disease after unrelated bone marrow transplantation. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e26229. [CrossRef]
- Martini, A.; Lovell, D.J.; Albani, S.; Brunner, H.I.; Hyrich, K.L.; Thompson, S.D.; Ruperto, N. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 2022, *8*, 5. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lagström, H.; Rautava, P.; Kaljonen, A.; Räihä, H.; Pihlaja, P.; Korpilahti, P.; Peltola, V.; Rautakoski, P.; Österbacka, E.; Simell, O.; et al. Cohort profile: Steps to the healthy development and well-being of children (the STEPS Study). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2013**, *42*, 1273–1284. [CrossRef]
- 33. Consolaro, A.; Bracciolini, G.; Ruperto, N.; Pistorio, A.; Magni-Manzoni, S.; Malattia, C.; Pederzoli, S.; Davì, S.; Martini, A.; Ravelli, A.; et al. Remission, minimal disease activity, and acceptable symptom state in juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Defining criteria based on the juvenile arthritis disease activity score. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2012**, *64*, 2366–2374. [CrossRef]
- Consolaro, A.; Ruperto, N.; Bracciolini, G.; Frisina, A.; Gallo, M.C.; Pistorio, A.; Verazza, S.; Negro, G.; Gerloni, V.; Goldenstein-Schainberg, C.; et al. Defining criteria for high disease activity in juvenile idiopathic arthritis based on the juvenile arthritis disease activity score. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2014, 73, 1380–1383. [CrossRef]
- 35. Vijatov-Djuric, G.; Doronjski, A.; Mitic, I.; Brkic, S.; Barisic, N. Interleukin-17A Levels Increase in Serum of Children With Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis. *Arch. Rheumatol.* **2017**, *32*, 234–243. [CrossRef]
- Cardoso, I.; Frederiksen, P.; Specht, I.O.; Händel, M.N.; Thorsteinsdottir, F.; Heitmann, B.L.; Kristensen, L.E. Age and Sex Specific Trends in Incidence of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis in Danish Birth Cohorts from 1992 to 2002: A Nationwide Register Linkage Study. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021, 18, 8331. [CrossRef]
- 37. Berntson, L.; Gäre, B.A.; Fasth, A.; Herlin, T.; Kristinsson, J.; Lahdenne, P.; Marhaug, G.; Nielsen, S.; Pelkonen, P.; Rygg, M. Incidence of juvenile idiopathic arthritis in the Nordic countries. A population based study with special reference to the validity of the ILAR and EULAR criteria. *J. Rheumatol.* 2003, *30*, 2275–2282.
- Shao, M.; Xu, W.; Yang, H.; Chen, Y.; Gao, X.; Xu, S.; Xu, S.; Shuai, Z.; Pan, F. Interleukin-17 Gene Polymorphism (Rs2275913 G/A, Rs763780 C/T) in Rheumatoid arthritis: Meta-analysis Based on Ethnicity. *Immunol. Investig.* 2021, 50, 685–699. [CrossRef]
- Chen, P.; Li, Y.; Li, L.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, F.; Tang, Y.; Zhou, L.; Yang, Y.; Li, J. Association between the interleukin (IL)-17A rs2275913 polymorphism and rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility: A meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2021, 49, 3000605211053233. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, M.; Peng, L.; Li, W.M.; Duan, Y.; Liu, X.M.; Chen, S.M.; Deng, J.; Liu, X. IL12B and IL17 genes polymorphisms associated with differential susceptibility to juvenile idiopathic arthritis and juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus in Chinese children. *Medicine* 2023, 102, e34477. [CrossRef]
- 41. Shen, L.; Zhang, H.; Yan, T.; Zhou, G.; Liu, R. Association between interleukin 17A polymorphisms and susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis in a Chinese population. *Gene* 2015, *566*, 18–22. [CrossRef]
- 42. Priya, E.K.K.; Srinivas, L.; Rajesh, S.; Sasikala, K.; Banerjee, M. Pro-inflammatory cytokine response pre-dominates immunogenetic pathway in development of rheumatoid arthritis. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2020**, *47*, 8669–8677. [CrossRef]
- Patrikiou, E.; Liaskos, C.; Mavropoulos, A.; Ntavari, N.; Gkoutzourelas, A.; Simopoulou, T.; Fechner, K.; Scheper, T.; Meyer, W.; Katsiari, C.G.; et al. Autoantibodies against specific nuclear antigens are present in psoriatic disease and are diminished by secukinumab. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 2020, 510, 400–407. [CrossRef]
- Fathy, M.M.; Elsaadany, H.F.; Ali, Y.F.; Farghaly, M.A.A.; Hamed, M.E.; Ibrahim, H.E.; Noah, M.A.; Allah, M.A.N.; Elashkar, S.S.A.; Abdelsalam, N.I.; et al. Association of IL-10 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis in Egyptian children and adolescents: A case-control study. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 2017, 43, 9. [CrossRef]
- Harsini, S.; Ziaee, V.; Maddah, M.; Rezaei, A.; Sadr, M.; Zoghi, S.; Moradinejad, M.H.; Tahghighi, F.; Aghighi, Y.; Rezaei, N. Interleukin 10 and transforming growth factor beta 1 gene polymorphisms in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Bratisl. Lek. Listy* 2016, 117, 258–262. [CrossRef]
- 46. Jung, J.H.; Kim, J.-H.; Song, G.G.; Choi, S.J. Association between interleukin-10 polymorphisms and juvenile idiopathic arthritis: A meta-analysis. *Minerva Pediatr.* **2022**, *74*, 81–89. [CrossRef]
- Márka, M.; Bessenyei, B.; Zeher, M.; Semsei, I. IL-10 promoter -1082 polymorphism is associated with elevated IL-10 levels in control subjects but does not explain elevated plasma IL-10 observed in Sjögren's syndrome in a Hungarian cohort. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 2005, 62, 474–480. [CrossRef]
- Hee, C.S.; Gun, S.C.; Naidu, R.; Gupta, E.; Somnath, S.D.; Radhakrishnan, A.K. Comparison of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human interleukin-10 gene promoter between rheumatoid arthritis patients and normal subjects in Malaysia. *Mod. Rheumatol.* 2007, 17, 429–435. [CrossRef]
- Palmisani, E.; Solari, N.; Magni-Manzoni, S.; Pistorio, A.; Labò, E.; Panigada, S.; Martini, A.; Ravelli, A. Correlation between juvenile idiopathic arthritis activity and damage measures in early, advanced, and longstanding disease. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006, 55, 843–849. [CrossRef]

- 50. Schotte, H.; Schlüter, B.; Schmidt, H.; Gaubitz, M.; Drynda, S.; Kekow, J.; Willeke, P. Putative IL-10 Low Producer Genotypes Are Associated with a Favourable Etanercept Response in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0130907. [CrossRef]
- 51. Braga, M.; Lara-Armi, F.F.; Neves, J.S.F.; Rocha-Loures, M.A.; Terron-Monich, M.d.S.; Bahls-Pinto, L.D.; Neto, Q.A.d.L.; Zacarias, J.M.V.; Sell, A.M.; Visentainer, J.E.L. Influence of IL10 (rs1800896) Polymorphism and TNF-α, IL-10, IL-17A, and IL-17F Serum Levels in Ankylosing Spondylitis. *Front. Immunol.* 2021, *12*, 653611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Mu, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhu, H. AF4/FMR2 and IL-10 gene single nucleotide polymorphisms are correlated with disease susceptibility and immune infiltration in ankylosing spondylitis. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao* **2023**, *43*, 741–748. [CrossRef]
- 53. Uusitupa, E.; Rahikkala, H.; Sard, S.; Pokka, T.; Salo, H.; Kärki, J.; Sokka-Isler, T.; Backström, M.; Vähäsalo, P. Incidence of juvenile idiopathic arthritis in Finland, 2000–2020. *Rheumatology* **2024**, *online ahead of print*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Auton, A.; Brooks, L.D.; Durbin, R.M.; Garrison, E.P.; Kang, H.M.; Korbel, J.O.; Marchini, J.L.; McCarthy, S.; McVean, G.A.; Abecasis, G.R.; et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **2015**, *526*, 68–74. [CrossRef]
- 55. Vuononvirta, J.; Peltola, V.; Ilonen, J.; Mertsola, J.; He, Q. The Gene Polymorphism of IL-17 G-152A is Associated with Increased Colonization of Streptococcus pneumoniae in Young Finnish Children. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* **2015**, *34*, 928–932. [CrossRef]
- 56. Gauderman, W.J. Sample size requirements for matched case-control studies of gene-environment interaction. *Stat. Med.* **2002**, *21*, 35–50. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.