

# BIOCHEMICAL JOURNAL LETTERS

## Sequence similarity between *Pseudomonas* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase, part of the gene cluster that metabolizes polychlorinated biphenyls, and dehydrogenases involved in metabolism of ribitol and glucitol and synthesis of antibiotics and 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol, testosterone and corticosterone

There has been intense interest in characterizing the gene clusters found in *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* and *Pseudomonas putida* because they enable these organisms to metabolize completely various aromatic hydrocarbons such as biphenyl, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB), toluene, and naphthalene [1–4] or convert them to less toxic forms that can be safely dispersed in the environment [5,6]. The genes for these enzymes have been cloned from *P. pseudoalcaligenes* [1] and *P. putida* [2,3], and they consist of several proteins that catalyse various steps in the oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons to simpler molecules that can be used by the micro-organism as a source of carbon and energy.

We reported [7,8] that 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase [9], an enzyme important in the synthesis of 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol and testosterone, is homologous to actIII, an enzyme important in antibiotic synthesis in *Streptomyces coelicolor* [10,11]. Moreover, these two proteins share a common ancestor with rat corticosteroid 11 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase [8,12], *Escherichia coli* glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase [13] and *Klebsiella aerogenes* ribitol dehydrogenase [14], enzymes that are used for metabolizing polyols as a source of carbon and energy, as well as to *Rhizobia* proteins that are part of the gene cluster that induces root nodulation in *Rhizobia*'s host [15–17].

Recently, we searched the database for other members of this protein superfamily and uncovered a novel similarity between *P. pseudoalcaligenes* and *P. putida* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase and actIII, 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase, and other members of their protein superfamily. Later, as this manuscript was being completed, Zylstra & Gibson published the complete sequence of *P. putida* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase [3]. Their comparison of this sequence with *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase indicated that the *N*-terminus of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase began at position 34 in the sequence determined by Furukawa *et al.* [1]. Thus, we adjusted the numbering for the residues in *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase in the alignment with actIII and 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase and other members of this superfamily to conform to the numbering of Zylstra & Gibson [3]. In addition, we did a comparison of *P. putida* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase, which has about 65% sequence similarity to *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase, with proteins in the actIII/17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase superfamily.

The alignment of residues 6–259 of *S. coelicolor* actIII and

residues 5–254 of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase is shown in Fig. 1. Out of 244 possible matches there are 70 (28.5%) identities and 46 (19%) conservative replacements. The ALIGN [18] score is 11.1 standard deviations higher than that obtained with 1000 comparisons of randomized sequences of these proteins. The probability (*P*) of getting such a score by chance is  $6 \times 10^{-29}$ . Table 1 summarizes the comparison scores for *P. pseudoalcaligenes* and *P. putida* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase with human 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase [9], *Escherichia coli* glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase [13], *Klebsiella aerogenes* ribitol dehydrogenase [14], *Rhizobium meliloti* nodG protein [15,16], *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* fixR protein [16], *Eubacterium* bile acid 7-dehydroxylase [19], *Bacillus megaterium* glucose dehydrogenase [20] and mouse adipocyte p27 protein [21].

The region of similarity between *P. pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase and actIII comprises 250 residues, which is 92% of the 273 residues of the dihydrodiol dehydrogenase and 95% of the 261 residues of actIII. With the exception of human 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase, which contains 327 residues, the lengths of most of the other dehydrogenases listed in Table 1 vary from 244 residues (mouse adipocyte p27 protein) to 278 residues (*fixR*). In several proteins, the segments that are similar to dihydrodiol dehydrogenase are greater than 200 residues. These include glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase 257-residue segment, bile acid 7-dehydroxylase 217-residue segment and nodG 228-residue segment, which constitute over 60% of these proteins. The other proteins have segments of at least 165 residues that are similar to dihydrodiol dehydrogenase. The proteins with the lowest ALIGN comparison scores are human 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase and rat 11 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. The latter protein has ALIGN scores of 6.3 s.d. and 6.1 s.d. with the dihydrodiol dehydrogenases of *P. putida* and *P. pseudoalcaligenes*, respectively. Steroid affinity labeling of 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase has identified residues 204–223 as being part of the steroid-binding domain [22]. Thus, the similarity between 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase and dihydrodiol dehydrogenase decreases near the steroid-binding domain.

Most of the proteins listed in Table 1 are oxidoreductases. This similarity in enzyme function to the two *Pseudomonas* dihydrodiol dehydrogenases, combined with the strong ALIGN comparison scores, several of which are over 10 standard deviations, suggest that the dihydrodiol dehydrogenases share a common ancestor with the proteins in Table 1.

Metabolism of toluene and PCBs begins with conversion of these compounds to a dihydrodiol by a dioxygenase. For example, toluene is oxidized to *cis*-toluene dihydrodiol through the addition of molecular oxygen to the aromatic nucleus. This compound is then reduced by the dihydrodiol dehydrogenase to 3-methylcatechol, using NAD<sup>+</sup> as the cofactor. The similarities reported here suggest a likely location of the NAD<sup>+</sup> binding site. This information comes from Yamada & Saier's comparison [13] of the sequences of *E. coli* glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | 5 G E A V L I T G G A S G L G R A L V D R F<br>6 S E V A L V T G A T S G I G L E I A R R L<br>E * * L * T G * S G * G * * R |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | V A E A - K V A V L D K S A E R L A E L E T<br>G K E G L R V F V C A R G E E G L R T T L K<br>E * V V *                     |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | D L G D N V L G I V G - - - D V R S L E D Q<br>E L R E A G V E A D G R T C D V R S V P E I<br>* L * * * G D V R S * *       |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | K Q A A S R C V A R F G K I D T L I P N A G<br>E A L V A A V V E R Y G P V D V L V N N A G<br>* * V R * G * D L * N A G     |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | I W D Y S T A L V D L P E E - - - S L D A<br>- R P G G G A T A E L A D E L W L D V V E T<br>A * * L * E * * *               |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | A F D E V F H I N V K G Y I H A V K A L P A<br>N L T G V F R V T K - - - Q V L K A G G M<br>V F * * * * * * K A             |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | L V A S R G N V I F T I S N A G F Y P N G G<br>L E R G T G R I V N I A S T G G K Q G V V H<br>L * * * S G                   |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | G P L Y T A A K Q A I V G L V R E L A F E L<br>A A P Y S A S K H G V V G F T K A L G L E L<br>Y * A K * V G * L E L         |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | A P Y - V R V N G V G P G G M N S D M R G P<br>A R T G I T V N A V C P G F V E T P M A A S<br>A * V N V P G * M             |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | S S L G M G S K - A I S T V P L A D M L K S<br>V R E H Y S D I W E V S T E E A F D R I T A<br>* S T * D *                   |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | V L P I G R M P E V E E Y T G A Y V F F A T<br>R V P I G R Y V Q P S E V A E M V A Y L I G<br>* P I G R * E * *             |
| Dihydrodiol<br>ActIII | R G D A A P A S G A L V N Y D G G L G 254<br>P G A A A V T A Q A L N V C - G G L G 259<br>G A A * A L G G L G               |

Fig. 1. Alignment of *Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes* dihydrodiol dehydrogenase with *Streptomyces coelicolor* actIII protein

Identities are noted in the space below the sequences; an asterisk (\*) denotes conservative replacements. Out of 244 possible matches there are 70 (28.5%) identities and 46 (19%) conservative replacements. An ALIGN analysis of these sequences, with a gap penalty of 8, yields a score that is 11.1 standard deviations higher than that obtained with 1000 comparisons of randomized sequences of these segments. The probability of getting such a score by chance is  $6 \times 10^{-29}$ .

and *K. aerogenes* ribitol dehydrogenase with a consensus sequence of nucleotide binding domains from dehydrogenases, developed by Wierenga *et al.* [23], from which they concluded that the *N*-terminal 30 residues of these two dehydrogenases contain the nucleotide binding domain. Coleman *et al.* [19] and Jornvall *et al.* [24] reached a similar conclusion for the location of the nucleotide binding domain in bile acid 7-hydroxylase and for glucose dehydrogenase. It seems likely that the *N*-terminal part of dihydrodiol dehydrogenase contains the nucleotide binding domain.

The similarities reported here indicate that oxidoreductases for a diverse group of substrates that includes: metabolism of polyols (e.g. ribitol, glucitol), aromatic hydrocarbons (toluene,

naphthalene, polychlorinated biphenyls), over a dozen *Streptomyces* antibiotics, and steroids (e.g.  $17\beta$ -oestradiol, testosterone, corticosterone) are derived from a common ancestor. Moreover, the organisms containing these enzymes appear to use them for different biological functions. They can be used to supply a source of carbon and energy for cell growth (e.g. glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, ribitol dehydrogenase) or they can be used to synthesize molecules that act as intercellular signals (e.g. actIII,  $17\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase,  $11\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase) [8]. This is a very impressive example of how gene duplication and divergence can lead to a shift in the function of the enzyme from metabolism to that of synthesis. It is this shift that we proposed was important in the

**Table 1. ALIGN comparisons of *Pseudomonas* dihydrodiol dehydrogenases with *Streptomyces coelicolor* actIII protein, human 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase, various dehydrogenases, *Rhizobia* proteins, and adipocyte p27 protein**

The ALIGN analysis was used with the Dayhoff scoring matrix with a bias of 6 and a gap penalty of 8; 1000 comparisons of randomized sequences of these proteins were used for statistical analysis.

|   | Dihydrodiol dehydrogenase   |                  |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|
|   | <i>P. pseudoalcaligenes</i> | <i>P. putida</i> |
| <i>S. coelicolor</i> actIII                       | 11.1                        | 12.0             |
| <i>K. aerogenes</i> ribitol dehydrogenase         | 10.7                        | 9.95             |
| <i>E. coli</i> glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase | 9.3                         | 8.1              |
| Human 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase    | 7.7                         | 6.35             |
| <i>Eubacterium</i> bile acid 7-dehydroxylase      | 12.7                        | 14.4             |
| <i>R. meliloti</i> nodG protein                   | 11.85                       | 9.8              |
| <i>B. japonicum</i> fixR protein                  | 9.5                         | 9.45             |
| Mouse adipocyte p27 protein                       | 10.3                        | 11.55            |
| <i>B. megaterium</i> glucose dehydrogenase        | 10.25                       | 11.6             |

origins of stable molecules that were adapted for use in inter-cellular communications, as seen in *Rhizobia*-plant interactions and, of course, in steroid-mediated processes in mammals [8].

M. E. B. is a Visiting Scientist in the laboratory of Dr. Joseph J. Salvo in the Biological Sciences Laboratory, GE Research and Development, whose support is appreciated. I thank D. Abramowicz, J. Salvo, and J. Yates for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Michael E. BAKER

Department of Medicine, M-023,  
University of California, San Diego,  
La Jolla, CA 92093, U.S.A.

1. Furukawa, K., Arimura, N. & Miyazaki, T. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 427-429
2. Irie, S., Doi, S., Yorifuji, T., Takagi, M. & Yana, K. (1987) *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 5174-5179
3. Zylstra, G. J. & Gibson, D. T. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* **264**, 14940-14946
4. Taira, K., Hayase, N., Arimura, N., Yamashita, S., Miyazaki, T. & Furukawa, K. (1988) *Biochemistry* **27**, 3990-3996
5. Sayler, G. S., Reid, M. C., Perkins, B. K., Pagni, R. M., Smith, R. L., Rao, T. K., Epler, J. L., Morrison, W. D. & DuFrain, R. (1982) *Arch. Environm. Contam. Toxicol.* **11**, 577-581
6. Reineke, W. & Knackmuss, H.-J. (1988) *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **42**, 263-287
7. Baker, M. E. (1989) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **3**, 881-884
8. Baker, M. E. (1990) *FASEB J.* **4**, 222-226
9. Peltoketo, H., Isomaa, V., Maentausta, O. & Vihko, R. (1988) *FEBS Lett.* **239**, 73-77
10. Malpartida, F., Hallam, S. E., Kieser, H. M., Motamedi, H., Hutchinson, C. R., Butler, M. J., Sugden, D. A., Warren, M., McKillop, C., Bailey, C. R., Humphreys, G. O. & Hopwood, D. A. (1987) *Nature (London)* **325**, 818-821
11. Hallam, S. E., Malpartida, F. & Hopwood, D. A. (1988) *Gene* **74**, 305-320
12. Agarwal, A. K., Mondor, C., Eckstein, B. & White, P. C. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* **264**, 18939-18943
13. Yamada, M. & Saier, M. H., Jr. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* **262**, 5455-5463
14. Morris, H. R., Williams, D. H., Midwinter, G. G. & Hartley, B. S. (1974) *Biochem. J.* **141**, 701-713
15. Debelle, F. & Sharma, S. B. (1986) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **14**, 7453-7472
16. Fisher, R. F., Swanson, J. A., Mulligan, J. T. & Long, S. R. (1987) *Genetics* **117**, 191-201
17. Thony, B., Fisher, H.-M., Anthamatten, D., Bruderer, T. & Hennecke, H. (1987) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **15**, 8479-8499
18. Dayhoff, M. O., Barker, W. C. & Hunt, L. T. (1983) *Methods Enzymol.* **91**, 524-545
19. Coleman, J. P., White, W. B., Lijewski, M. & Hyleman, P. B. (1988) *J. Bacteriol.* **170**, 2070-2077
20. Jany, K.-D., Ulmer, W., Froschle, M. & Pfeleiderer, G. (1984) *FEBS Lett.* **165**, 6-10
21. Navre, M. & Ringold, G. M. (1988) *J. Cell Biol.* **107**, 279-286
22. Murdock, G. L., Chin, C.-C. & Warren, J. C. (1986) *Biochemistry* **25**, 641-646
23. Wierenga, R. K., Terpstra, P. & Hol, W. G. (1986) *J. Mol. Biol.* **187**, 101-107
24. Jornvall, H., von Bahr-Lindstrom, H., Jany, K. D., Ulmer, W. & Froschle, M. (1984) *FEBS Lett.* **165**, 190-196

Received 27 November 1989