

Real world study of GLP-1 receptor agonists in overweight or obese type 2 diabetes by using repeated measurement analysis of variance

Wanying Yang, MD^a^(b), Xiangming Zhou, BD^b, Yuanyuan Miao, BD^b, Lu Wang, MD^a, Yunhui Zhao, MD^a, Tingyu Ke, MD^b, Lili Ban, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

To investigate the safety and efficacy of long-acting glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonists in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes from July 2021 to June 2022 were randomly divided into control group (metformin) and experimental group (metformin + dulaglitide or semaglutide). Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to compare Hemoglobin A1c, fasting plasma glucose and body mass index (BMI) of patients before treatment, 6 months and 12 months after treatment. The adverse reactions of patients before treatment and 12 months after treatment. The adverse reactions of patients before treatment and 12 months after treatment were analyzed. The time effect of Hemoglobin A1c, fasting plasma glucose and BMI in the control group (n = 35) and the experimental group (n = 32) were statistically significant (P < .001), and the integroup effect of BMI was statistically significant (P < .001). The BMI level of the experimental group was lower than that of the control group at 6 and 12 months after treatment (P < .001). There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions between the 2 groups (P > .05). Long-acting glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonists, such as dulaglitide and semaglutide, not only reduce glycosylated hemoglobin levels, but also significantly improve BMI in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, GLP-1 = glucagon like peptide-1, HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c, MMC = Metabolic Management Center.

Keywords: GLP-1 receptor agonist, obesity, overweight, repeated measurement analysis of variance, type 2 diabetes mellitus

1. Introduction

With the accelerated pace of life in modern society, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in overweight or obese people is increasing year by year, thus increasing the risk of cardiovascular disease.^[11] Glucagon like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist can reduce glucose in a glucose concentration dependent manner by simulating the activation of natural GLP-1 receptor, while simultaneously reducing weight, lowering blood pressure, and improving blood lipid profile.^[2] As a new hypoglycemic drug, GLP-1 receptor agonist is currently receiving more and more clinical attention. However, the follow-up time of previous studies on its treatment of overweight or obese type 2 diabetes mellitus is usually short, especially the previous studies only set a single observation node,^[3,4] which may lead to relatively one-sided results. Repeated

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

measures analysis of variance can effectively use the data of each time node, fully consider the trend of each indicator over time and the possible interaction effect between them, so that the results are relatively more objective.^[5,6] Based on repeated measurement analysis of variance, this study followed up for 1 year to observe the safety and effectiveness of long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonist in the treatment of overweight or obese type 2 diabetes mellitus, providing reference value for its further clinical rational use.^[7,8]

2. Objects and methods

2.1. The subject of the study

Inclusion criteria: (1) comply with the diagnostic criteria for type 2 diabetes established by the American Diabetes

Copyright © 2024 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Yang W, Zhou X, Miao Y, Wang L, Zhao Y, Ke T, Ban L. Real world study of GLP-1 receptor agonists in overweight or obese type 2 diabetes by using repeated measurement analysis of variance. Medicine 2024;103:32(e38879).

Received: 7 January 2024 / Received in final form: 18 June 2024 / Accepted: 19 June 2024

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000038879

The Basic Research Program of Science and Technology Department of Yunnan Province (Project No. 202201AY070001-127). The Famous Doctors Special Program of "High-level Talents Training Support Program" of Yunnan Province (Project No. YNWR-MY-2020-022).

The data that support the findings of this study are available from a third party, but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available.

^a Department of Pharmacy, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, China, ^b Department of Endocrinology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Lili Ban, Department of Pharmacy, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, No. 374 Dianmian Road, Kunming 650106, China (e-mail: 1148341017@qq.com).

Association in 2020; (2) body mass index (BMI) $\ge 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$; (3) 20 to 80 years old; (4) patients or their caregivers can communicate in language or words. Exclusion criteria: (1) previous use of GLP-1 receptor agonists; (2) acute complications of diabetes mellitus; (3) combined with digestive system and other serious diseases, infections or malignant tumors; (4) pregnant or lactating women.

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, patients with type 2 diabetes who visited the national Metabolic Management Center (MMC) in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University from July 2021 to June 2022 were selected as the research objects. The patient signed the informed consent form based on MMC platform, and the research scheme was reviewed and approved by the hospital ethics committee.

2.2. Treatment

The subjects were randomly divided into 2 groups by random number table. The control group was treated with metformin, and the test group was treated with dulaglutide [Vetter Pharma-Fertigung GmbH & Co. KG, registration number S20190022], 1.5 mg, once a week; or semaglutide [Novo Nordisk, Denmark, National Drug Approval No. SJ20210015], the dose is 0.5 mg, once a week, and the maximum dose is 1 mg per week.

Both groups adopted MMC management mode, mainly including (1) enrollment: establishing files for patients, conducting questionnaire survey, understanding patients' conditions in disease cognition, blood glucose monitoring, drug treatment and lifestyle, and recording relevant in-patient or outpatient inspection reports and laboratory indicators. (2) Follow-up: follow up by phone every 3 months, and notify the patient to return to MMC by phone every 6 months to review relevant indicators. (3) Health education: a multidisciplinary team composed of diabetes specialists, nurses, pharmacists, and nutritionists in MMC will regularly give face-to-face lectures or individualized health education to patients.

2.3. Effectiveness ratings

The main efficacy indicators were Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and BMI.^[9,10] The primary and secondary efficacy indicators were observed before treatment, 6 months after treatment and 12 months after treatment. In addition, the safety of the drug was evaluated, mainly observing whether the patients had any adverse reactions such as hypoglycemia and gastrointestinal discomfort after 12 months of treatment.

2.4. Statistical methods

The data were analyzed by SPSS 22.0 statistical software. The measurement data conforming to the normal distribution are

P value

.872

.629

.201

.967

.305

.541

.085

.052

expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and the inter group comparison is performed by t test; the counting data were expressed by rate or constituent ratio, and the comparison between groups was performed by χ^2 test. In the repeated measurement variance analysis, if the Mauchly's spherical hypothesis test is not satisfied, read the results of Greenhouse Geisser method; when there is no interaction effect between time and treatment factors, the main effect test is used to evaluate the effect of treatment factors. P < .05 indicates that the difference is statistically significant; If there is interaction between time and processing factors, the Bonferroni method is used to test the level α = 0.05 after correction, compare 2 pairs.

3. Results

3.1. General information

A total of 70 cases were included in this study, 35 in each of the 2 groups. Because 3 patients in the test group withdrew due to economic reasons within 6 months after treatment, 35 patients in the control group and 32 patients in the test group were finally included in the statistical analysis. The average age of the control group was 54.57 ± 10.05 years old, and the average course of disease was 7.87 ± 3.86 years, including 19 males and 16 females; the average age of the test group was 55.81 ± 10.91 years old, and the average course of disease was 6.68 ± 3.60 years, including 18 males and 14 females. There was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups in the baseline indicators (P > .05). See Table 1 for details.

3.2. Comparison of effects of BMI, HbA1c, and FPG

The time effects of BMI, HbA1c, and FPG in the 2 groups were statistically significant (P < .001), which indicated that BMI, HbA1c, and FPG in the test group and the control group changed with time during the treatment period. The inter group effect of BMI in the 2 groups was statistically significant (P < .05), but the inter group effect of HbA1c and FPG in the 2 groups was not statistically significant (P > .05). The interaction effect of BMI between the 2 groups was statistically significant (P < .001), which showed that the influence of time factors on BMI varied with different treatment methods. See Table 2 and Figures 1-3 for details.

3.3. Comparison of BMI over time

Further analysis of variables with statistically significant interaction effects over time showed that the BMI level of the test group was lower than that of the control group 6 months after treatment and 12 months after treatment (P = .017; P < .001). Compared with that before treatment, the BMI level of the test group decreased (P < .001), and before treatment >6 months of

Compare 2 groups of pa	tients with baseline data.			
Variable Control group (n = 35		Experimental group (n = 32)	χ^2/t value	
Sex/case (%)			0.026	
Male	19 (54.29)	18 (56.25)		
Female	16 (45.71)	14 (43.75)		
Age/years	54.57 ± 10.05	55.81 ± 10.91	-0.485	
Duration of illness/year	7.87 ± 3.86	6.68 ± 3.60	1.291	
BMI/kg·m ⁻²	27.74 ± 2.33	27.77 ± 3.25	-0.042	
HbA1c/%	8.98 ± 1.48	8.58 ± 1.68	1.034	
FPG/mmol·L ⁻¹	8.33 ± 2.24	7.98 ± 2.36	0.615	
TC/(mmol·L ⁻¹)	5.64 ± 1.87	5.44 ± 1.56	1.751	
TG/(mmol·L ⁻¹)	2.62 ± 1.11	2.15 ± 0.77	1.984	

BMI = body mass index, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c, TC = serum total cholesterol, TG = serum triglyceride.

Table 2

Comparison of the variable between the 2 groups during treatment.

	Time effect		Intergroup effect		Interaction effect	
Variable	F value	<i>P</i> value	F value	<i>P</i> value	F value	P value
BMI/(kg⋅m ⁻²)	25.449	<.001	4.113	.047	20.854	<.001
HbA1c/(%)	58.087	<.001	3.556	.064	0.225	.724
FPG/(mmol·L ⁻¹)	22.790	<.001	3.287	.074	1.240	.283

BMI = body mass index, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c.



Figure 1. HbA1c levels at different time points and interaction by group in the 2 groups. HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c.

treatment >12 months of treatment ($P < \alpha'$), There was no statistically significant difference in BMI level in the control group ($P > \alpha'$), see Table 3 for details.

3.4. Comparison of adverse events

After 12 months of treatment, no hypoglycemia occurred in both groups. There were 3 cases of gastrointestinal reactions in the control group and 4 cases of gastrointestinal reactions in the test group. The symptoms were mild and recovered or improved after symptomatic treatment. The incidence of adverse reactions was 8.57% in the control group and 12.5% in the test group. There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions between the 2 groups (P > .05).

4. Discussion

Obesity or overweight, as a chronic disease, can aggravate insulin resistance in diabetic patients, and is closely related to the development of cardiovascular disease and related metabolic diseases.^[1] Semaglutide unabated sustainability in treatment of type 2 diabetes and researching cardiovascular events with a weekly incretin in diabetes and other large-scale clinical trials.^[9,10] It is confirmed that GLP-1 receptor agonist has dual

effects of reducing glucose and weight and reducing the risk of cardiovascular events.^[11] In the one-year follow-up observation of this study, the results showed that the long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonist could achieve satisfactory efficacy in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. In terms of drug effectiveness, we will further control glycosylated hemoglobin and fasting blood glucose and reduce BMI on the basis of the original hypoglycemic program, which is similar to Li Yanni^[12] and Hansen.^[13] The results were consistent with previous studies. In terms of drug safety, 4 cases of adverse reactions, mainly gastrointestinal reactions of nausea, abdominal pain, and diarrhea, were observed in this study, which were tolerated by the patients without interruption of the treatment, in line with previous studies.^[14,15] The results showed that the adverse gastrointestinal reactions were mild to moderate, and that the mechanism of their occurrence may be due to drug-induced changes in the secretion of peptide hormones in the gastrointestinal tract or indirect effects on the central nervous system.^[16]

In conclusion, GLP-1 receptor agonists represented by dulaglutide or semaglutide can not only reduce glycosylated hemoglobin and fasting blood glucose levels in overweight or obese patients with type 2 diabetes, but also improve BMI. As a supplement to classical statistical methods, repeated measurement analysis of variance makes full use of the data at each time node in the follow-up process to reflect the change characteristics of







observation indicators at different time points relatively objectively, so as to avoid the inability of previous research methods to analyze the internal relationship between the measurement data of the same individual at different time points.^[17,18] This study is a single center study, with a small number of cases included. Further research on a randomized double-blind controlled trial with multiple centers and large samples is needed to explore the efficacy of GLP-1 receptor agonist in overweight or obese diabetic patients more comprehensively.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Wanying Yang. Data curation: Wanying Yang, Lu Wang, Yunhui Zhao.

Table 3 Comparison of variable with statistically significant interaction effects between the groups during treatment.							
Variable	Groups	Number of cases	Before treatment	6 months after treatment	12 months after treatment	F value	P value
BMI/(kg⋅m⁻²)	Experimental group Control group t value <i>P</i> value	32 35	$\begin{array}{c} 27.77 \pm 3.25 \\ 27.74 \pm 2.33 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.966 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.17 \pm 2.60^{*} \\ 27.65 \pm 2.33 \\ 6.026 \\ 0.017 \end{array}$	25.54 ± 2.22*,† 27.63 ± 2.21 14.844 <0.001	42.011 0.129	<.001 .813

Note: After correction by Bonferroni method, compare and check the level $\alpha'=0.017$. BMI = body mass index.

*Indicates that compared with that before treatment P < .017.

[†]Indicates that compared with 6 months after treatment P < .017.

Formal analysis: Wanying Yang. Funding acquisition: Tingyu Ke. Investigation: Wanying Yang. Methodology: Wanying Yang. Project administration: Tingyu Ke. Resources: Xiangming Zhou, Yuanyuan Miao. Software: Xiangming Zhou, Yuanyuan Miao. Writing - original draft: Wanying Yang. Writing - review & editing: Lili Ban.

References

- Diabetes Branch of Chinese Medical Association Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (2020 Edition). Int J Endocrine Metab. 2021;41:482–548.
- [2] Endocrinology Branch of Chinese Medical Association. Diabetes Branch of Chinese Medical Association Clinical expert consensus on the use of glucagon like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Chin J Internal Med. 2020;59:836–46.
- [3] Mei D. Clinical efficacy of dulaglutide injection in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with different body mass indexes. Chin Pract Med. 2023;18:127–30.
- [4] Chen Z, Jing C, Fuming Y. Comparison of the efficacy of smeglutide and dagglinide combined with metformin in the treatment of newly diagnosed obese type 2 diabetes. Sino Foreign Med. 2023;42:125–8.
- [5] Guoshuang F. Repeated measurement ANOVA and SPSS implementation. Chin J General Practitioners. 2017;16:740–2.
- [6] Xiumin G, Qiang C, Shaofa N. SAS macro implementation of multivariate repeated measurement ANOVA statistical report output. China Health Statistics. 2012;29:142–4.
- [7] Yanjun W, Yumei Z, Weijun L. The reliability and validity evaluation and application research of the Morisky medication compliance scale with 8 items in the Chinese version in patients with type 2 diabetes. Chin J Clinicians (electronic edition). 2018;12:445–50.

- [8] Guoshuang F. Estimation of sample size for comparison between groups of repeated measurement data in clinical research. J Chronic Dis. 2022;23:1323–30.
- [9] Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al. Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with Type 2 Diabetes. New Engl J Med. 2019;381:841–51.
- [10] Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet (London, England). 2019;394:121–30.
- [11] Patoulias D, Papadopoulos C, Kassimis G, Fragakis N, Doumas M. Meta-analysis assessing the cardiovascular safety of semaglutide for the treatment of overweight or obesity . Am J Cardiol. 2022;175:182–4.
- [12] Yanni L, Hongli L, Yeju W, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety analysis of smeglutide in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes. Hebei Med J. 2023;29:582–7.
- [13] Hansen KB, Svendstrup M, Lund A, Knop FK, Vilsbøll T, Vestergaard H. Once-weekly subcutaneous semaglutide treatment for persons with type 2 diabetes: real-world data from a diabetes out-patient clinic . Diabet Med. 2021;38:1–4.
- [14] Anne W, Yifan L, Xiaolei M, et al. Data mining and analysis of adverse drug signals of glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonists based on FAERS database. Chin J Drug Use Monitor. 2022;19: 322–5.
- [15] Granhall C, Donsmark M, Blicher TM, et al. Safety and Pharmacokinetics of single and multiple ascending doses of the novel oral human GLP-1 analogue, oral semaglutide, in healthy subjects and subjects with type 2 diabetes. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2019;58:781–91.
- [16] Chao AM, Tronieri JS, Amaro A, Wadden TA. Semaglutide for the treatment of obesity. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2023;33:159– 66.
- [17] Guoshuang F. Common statistical analysis methods for repeated measurement data. Chin J Prevent Med. 2020;54:804–12.
- [18] Ganxia C. Introduction to SPSS repeated measurement variance analysis method. Pract Geriatrics. 2021;35:900–5.