# Liver inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate-binding sites are the Ca2+-mobilizing receptors

David L. NUNN and Colin W. TAYLOR\*

Department of Pharmacology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 IQJ, U.K.

Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  is the intracellular messenger that in many cells mediates the effects of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-mobilizing receptors on intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores. An Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  receptor from cerebellum has been purified and functionally reconstituted, but the relationship between this protein and the high-affinity  $Ins(1,4,5)P_a$ -binding sites of peripheral tissues is unclear. We compared the Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding sites of liver and cerebellum by measuring inhibition of specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding by various ligands under equilibrium conditions, and find that each ligand binds with similar affinity in the two tissues. Earlier studies in which Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  binding and  $Ca^{2+}$  mobilization were measured under different conditions demonstrated large differences between  $K_D$  values for binding and EC<sub>50</sub> values (concn. giving half-maximal effect) for Ca<sup>2+</sup> release. We show here that, when measured under identical conditions,  $K_{\rm p}$  and EC<sub>50</sub> values for four agonists are similar. Schild analysis of inhibition of Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  binding by ATP demonstrates a competitive interaction between the two at the liver Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>-binding site, and this partly accounts for earlier discrepancies in binding and  $Ca^{2+}$ -release data. We conclude that the high-affinity Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>-binding site of hepatocytes is likely to be the receptor that mediates  $Ca^{2+}$ mobilization, and that this receptor is at present indistinguishable from that in cerebellum.

## INTRODUCTION

In many cells  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  stimulates release of intracellular Ca2+ from non-mitochondrial stores in response to a range of extracellular stimuli (Berridge & Irvine, 1984, 1989). The effects of Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  are believed to be mediated by a specific intracellular receptor that regulates a  $Ca^{2+}$  channel controlling the passive emptying of intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  stores (Muallem et al., 1985). The existence of specific intracellular Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$  receptors was initially inferred from the structural specificity of inositol phosphate-induced Ca2+ release, and then supported by the demonstration of specific Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding sites, first in liver and neutrophils (Spät et al., 1986) and later in many other tissues (Worley et al., 1987; Guillemette et al., 1987; Nahorski & Potter, 1989; Nunn et al., 1990). An Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding protein has been purified from rat cerebellum (Supattapone et al., 1988), and when reconstituted into lipid vesicles it mediates  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> flux (Ferris et al., 1989). The cDNA for the mouse cerebellar Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding protein has been sequenced and is predicted to encode a protein with multiple potential membranespanning regions and C-terminal region that has some similarity to another Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel, the ryanodine receptor of skeletalmuscle sarcoplasmic reticulum (Furuichi et al., 1989).

Differences in the relative affinities of cerebellar and peripheral  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites for inositol phosphates and the antagonist heparin have been interpreted as evidence in support of differences between them (Nahorski & Potter, 1989; Willcocks & Nahorski, 1989). One aim of the present project was to extend our earlier studies, which demonstrated that cerebellar and liver  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites have similar molecular target sizes (Nunn et al., 1990), to a comparison of the ligand-recognition properties of each of these proteins.

Functional reconstitution of the purified cerebellar Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding protein (Ferris et al., 1989; Furuichi et al., 1989) is conclusive evidence that the specific Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding site identified in that tissue by radioligand binding is the receptor that mediates the effects of Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  on Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization. In

other tissues, where intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  regulation is generally more thoroughly understood, there is only indirect evidence to suggest that the Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites may be the functional receptors. The rank orders of potency of various inositol phosphates in inhibiting  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  binding and in stimulating Ca2+ mobilization are similar (Nahorski & Potter, 1989; Nunn et al., 1990). Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding sites and Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization are similarly enriched in subcellular fractions (Ghosh et al., 1989) and in different brain regions (Joseph & Rice, 1989). Heparin antagonizes both  $\text{Ins}(1,4,5)P_3$  binding and Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>s</sub>$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization (Worley *et al.*, 1987; Ghosh et al., 1988), and the liver  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding site is clearly distinguishable from the substrate-binding site of both enzymes that are known to metabolize Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  (Nunn et al., 1990). A problem is that, in most studies where  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding and  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization have been compared, the affinity ofthe binding site is generally much higher than the concentration of inositol phosphate that causes half-maximal  $Ca^{2+}$  release  $(EC_{50})$ . A possible, though untested, explanation of this discrepancy is that the two measurements are generally made under different conditions: binding on ice in the absence of ATP, and  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization at 37 °C in the presence of ATP. Here we compare Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  binding and Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization under identical conditions in permeabilized hepatocytes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  (about 1000 Ci/mmol) was from Amersham International. Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  and its stable analogue DL-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ [S]<sub>3</sub> (Taylor et al., 1989) were generously given by Dr. R. F. Irvine (Babraham) and Dr. B. V. L. Potter (Leicester) respectively. Ins(2,4,5) $P_3$  and Ins(4,5) $P_2$  were from Boehringer Mannheim. L-a-Glycerophospho-D-myo-inositol 4,5-bisphosphate  $[L-\alpha-GroPIns(4,5)P_2]$  was from Calbiochem. Heparin, de-N-sulphated heparin and chondroitin sulphate were from Sigma. Percoll was from Pharmacia.

Abbreviations used: DL-Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>[S]<sub>3</sub>, DL-myo-inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphorothioate; L-a-GroPIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, L-a-glycerophospho-D-myo-inositol 4,5bisphosphate;  $EC_{50}$ , concentration causing half-maximal effect;  $IC_{50}$ , concentration of unlabelled competitor that displaces half of specific binding.

## Preparation of rat liver and cerebellar membranes

A rat liver was perfused in situ with 40 ml of buffered saline [116 mm-NaCl, 5.4 mm-KCl, 0.96 mm-NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.8 mm-MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 25 mm-NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 11 mm-glucose, under  $CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$  (1:19), pH 7.4], chopped, and homogenized in buffered sucrose (250 mM-sucrose, <sup>5</sup> mM-Hepes, pH 7.4) with <sup>a</sup> glass Dounce homogenizer with ten strokes of a loose-fitting plunger and three with a tighter plunger. The homogenate was centrifuged  $(2500 g, 10 min)$ , the pellet resuspended in 21 ml of buffered sucrose, and mixed thoroughly with 2.8 ml of Percoll (Prpic et al., 1984). The mixture was centrifuged (35000 g, 30 min), and membranes were harvested as a fluffy band near the top of the tube and then washed in 40 ml of hypo-osmotic medium (5 mM-Hepes, <sup>I</sup> mM-EGTA, pH 7.4). After further centrifugation (48000  $g$ , 10 min), the membranes were resuspended in binding buffer (20 mM-Tris, <sup>1</sup> mM-EDTA, pH 8.3) at approx. <sup>2</sup> mg of protein/ml and stored for up to <sup>2</sup> weeks in liquid nitrogen.

Cerebellar membranes were prepared exactly as described by Worley et al. (1987), and stored for up to 2 weeks in binding buffer at approx. 0.5 mg of protein/ml in liquid nitrogen. Protein was measured by the method of Bradford (1976), with BSA as standard.

## Ins $(1,4,1^{32}P)5$ ) $P_3$  binding to liver and cerebellar membranes

Incubations, in a final volume of 0.5 ml of binding buffer, included membranes (0.1 mg of liver protein or 0.02 mg of cerebellar protein), 0.01  $\mu$ Ci of Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$ , 0.1  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O to allow later correction for trapped volume, and the competing ligands (Nunn et al., 1990). The reactions were incubated at <sup>0</sup>°C for <sup>5</sup> min, and the bound and free ligand were separated by centrifugation at  $0^{\circ}C$  (20000 g, 5 min). There was negligible metabolism of Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$  under these conditions: 94% of the added label was recovered unchanged from the liver incubations and 97 $\%$  from the cerebellar incubations. Previous experiments (Nunn et al., 1990) established that equilibrium binding was attained under these conditions. Despite the low concentration of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  used (approx. 20 pm, i.e. 0.4% of  $K_{\text{n}}$ ), the amount of radioligand bound was never more than <sup>15</sup> % of that added.

When the effects of ATP on  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding were examined, up to 4-fold more Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  was included in the incubation to provide detectable specific binding. However, the specific radioactivity of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  is so high that, even when present at the highest radioactivity (0.04  $\mu$ Ci/500  $\mu$ l), its total concentration never exceeded 1.5% of the  $K<sub>D</sub>$  and would therefore have no significant effect on the  $IC_{50}$  (concn. that displaces half of specific binding).

# Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release and Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$  binding in permeabilized hepatocytes

Hepatocytes from male Wistar rats (about 200 g), prepared by collagenase perfusion of intact liver, were stored on ice in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-buffered Eagle's minimum essential medium (Taylor et al., 1989). Cells were permeabilized by incubation with 75  $\mu$ g of saponin/ml for 10 min at 37 °C in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free intracellular-type medium (140 mm-KCl, 20 mm-NaCl, 2 mm-MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mm-EGTA, 20 mM-Pipes, pH 6.8). Cells were washed and resuspended at very high cell density  $(8.5 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/ml} = \text{approx. } 2 \text{ mg of}$ protein/ml) in the same medium but with the free  $Ca^{2+}$  adjusted to approx. 120 nm and with antimycin (10  $\mu$ M), oligomycin (10  $\mu$ M) and <sup>45</sup>CaCl, (2  $\mu$ Ci/ml) added. After 3 min, ATP (1.5 mM), phosphocreatine (5 mM) and creatine kinase  $(1 \text{ unit/ml})$  were added to stimulate  $45Ca^{2+}$  uptake into nonmitochondrial intracellular stores. After a further 10 min, when cells had loaded to steady state with  $45Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ , they were simultaneously rapidly cooled and added to the test compound by dilution of cells (40  $\mu$ l) into medium (360  $\mu$ l) at 0 °C but otherwise identical with that in which they were loaded. Reactions were terminated after <sup>5</sup> min by addition of 2 ml of ice-cold 310 mmsucrose/1 mm-EGTA plus  ${}^{3}H_{2}O$  (0.6  $\mu$ Ci/ml), pH 7, and rapidly filtered through Whatman GF/C filters. The filters were washed with 5 ml of 310 mm-sucrose/1 mm-EGTA, pH 7, and then counted for radioactivity in Ecoscint-A liquid-scintillation fluid. After correction for trapped volume,  $45Ca^{2+}$  uptake was expressed as a fraction of ATP-dependent uptake (Taylor et al., 1989).

Inhibition of specifically bound Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  was measured under conditions identical with those described for  $45Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -flux measurements, except that  $45Ca^{2+}$  was omitted from the preincubation and the cells were rapidly diluted into medium that contained Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  (0.06  $\mu$ Ci/tube) and 3H<sub>3</sub>O  $(0.6 \,\mu\text{Ci}/\text{tube})$  in addition to the test compounds. After 5 min, during which equilibrium binding was attained, the reactions were terminated by rapid centrifugation (see above). Non-specific binding was estimated in the presence of 300 nm-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ , and this value was used as an initial estimate for the iterative curvefitting procedure described below. In these experiments, as in the radioligand experiments described above, there was negligible metabolism of Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ , because 95% of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  was recovered unchanged.

### Analysis of results

Results for both  ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$  release and inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$ binding were fitted to the logistic equation by using the nonlinear least-squares curve-fitting routine, DRUG, in the EBDA computer program (McPherson, 1983):

$$
R=\frac{(M-B)}{[1+(D/K)^h]}+B
$$

where, for binding studies,  $R =$  specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding,  $M =$  maximum total binding in absence of competitor,  $B =$  nonspecific binding,  $K =$  concn. of competitor that inhibits 50% of specific binding { =  $K<sub>D</sub>$  in our experiments, because Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P<sub>3</sub>$ is present at very low concentration; see above),  $D = \text{conc. of}$ competitor,  $h = H$ ill coefficient; and for Ca<sup>2+</sup>-release studies, R = size of remaining Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -sensitive Ca<sup>2+</sup> store, M = total  $Ca^{2+}$  store,  $B = \text{Ins}(1, 4, 5)P_3$ -insensitive  $Ca^{2+}$  store,  $K = \text{conc. of }$ inositol phosphate causing 50% release of the Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ sensitive Ca<sup>2+</sup> store, and  $h = Hill$  coefficient.

Inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding to liver and cerebellar membranes was analysed by non-linear least-squares curvefitting by the iterative computer program LIGAND (Munson & Rodbard, 1980).

# RESULTS

## Comparison of liver and cerebellum  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding sites

We have previously shown that in both liver and cerebellar membranes Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  binds reversibly to a single class of saturable high-affinity sites (Nunn et al., 1990). In the present study we have confirmed those results and examined more closely the recognition properties of the sites in the two tissues by comparing inhibition of specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding by various ligands under identical conditions. In both tissues the most potent competitor was  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  itself, and in both it bound with similar affinity  $[K_D = 5.46 \pm 0.79 \text{ nm} (n = 7) \text{ in liver},$ and  $K<sub>D</sub> = 4.29 \pm 1.28$  nm (n = 4) in cerebellum (Fig. 1)], although, as previously reported (Worley et al., 1987; Nunn et al., 1990), there were substantially more binding sites in cerebellum ( $B_{\text{max}}$ )  $= 13.7$  pmol/mg of protein) than in liver membranes ( $B_{\text{max}}$ 2.95 pmol/mg of protein). In both tissues the Hill coefficient for



Fig. 1. Recognition properties of  $\text{Ins}(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding sites of liver and cerebellum

Specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding (mean  $\pm$  s.E.M.;  $n = 3-7$ , see Table 1) was measured in liver ( $\bigcirc$ ) and cerebellum ( $\bigcirc$ ) as described in the text in the presence of various concentrations of (a) Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>, (b) Ins(2,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>, (c) GroPIns(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, (d) Ins(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>, (e) ATP, (f) GTP or (g) 2,3bisphosphoglycerate. Curves were fitted by the iterative LIGAND program as described in the text. Abbreviation: C, control.

## Table 1. Recognition properties of liver and cerebellar  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites

The values shown are the means  $\pm$  S.E.M. of the  $K_{\text{D}}$  values from the  $n$  individual experiments that are shown averaged in Fig. 1. Total binding was approx. 2000 c.p.m. and non-specific binding was 5-10 $\%$  of total.



Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  binding was close to 1 and further analysis with the LIGAND routine confirmed the presence of only <sup>a</sup> single class of binding site in each tissue.

In equilibrium binding studies (Fig. 1, Table 1) various inositol phosphates, Ins(2,4,5) $P_3$ , Ins(4,5) $P_2$ , L- $\alpha$ -GroPIns(4,5) $P_3$ , and also 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate, ATP and GTP, inhibited specific highaffinity Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding in both liver and cerebellar membranes. Each of the ligands had very similar affinity for the cerebellar and liver  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites (Table 1), and the Hill coefficient for each ligand in each tissue was close to 1. Heparin and low-molecular-mass heparin have previously been

reported to compete with  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  for its binding site, and its relatively higher affinity for the cerebellar site has been proposed to distinguish it from peripheral sites (Willcocks & Nahorski, 1989). In our experiments heparin  $(5-300 \mu g/ml)$  or lowmolecular-mass heparin (5  $\mu$ g/ml-l mg/ml), but not desulphated heparin (1 mg/ml), caused a concentration-dependent decrease in the size of the membrane pellet formed after centrifugation. Whereas control liver membranes formed a solid pellet within 30 s of centrifugation, heparin (5  $\mu$ g/ml) prevented formation of a solid pellet even when the centrifugation was increased to 15 min. Similar results were obtained with chondroitin sulphate (10  $\mu$ g/ml-10 mg/ml). This unexpected problem, for which we have no explanation, prevented further analysis of the effects of glycosaminoglycans.

# Effects of ATP on Ins $(1,4,1^{32}P|5)P_3$  binding

Previous reports (Guillemette et al., 1988; Willcocks & Nahorski, 1988) and the results shown in Fig.  $1(e)$  demonstrate that ATP inhibits Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$  binding in both liver and cerebellar membranes. Equilibrium binding experiments in liver membranes, where the effects of various concentrations of ATP on Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  inhibition of Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$  binding were examined, showed that increasing concentrations of ATP did not affect the total number of Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding sites ( $B_{\text{max}}$ ), but caused parallel shifts in the Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  inhibition curves, indicating a competitive interaction between  $\text{Ins}(1,4,5)P_3$  and ATP (Table 2). From the Schild equation, the affinity of ATP for the Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site was calculated to be 414  $\mu$ M. This estimate of the affinity of ATP for the  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding site agrees closely with the value of 349  $\mu$ M determined from the equilibrium competition-binding results shown in Fig.  $1(e)$ . ATP (3 mM) did





not stimulate  $Ca^{2+}$  mobilization, though a much higher concentration (30 mm =  $100 \times K_D$ ) stimulated some Ca<sup>2+</sup> release. We have not established whether this small  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  release is a nonspecific effect of ATP or <sup>a</sup> reflection of very weak agonist activity at the Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  receptor.

## Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release and Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  binding in permeabilized hepatocytes

The non-mitochondrial  $Ca^{2+}$  stores of permeabilized hepatocytes became loaded to steady state within 7 min of addition of ATP at <sup>37</sup> °C, and, when rapidly cooled by 10-fold dilution into medium at  $0^{\circ}C$ , their  $45Ca^{2+}$  content decayed mono-exponentially  $(t_1$  about 10 min) (results not shown). When cells were incubated at  $0^{\circ}$ C in the presence of ATP, they did not accumulate  ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ , indicating that the protocol allows  ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ efflux to be monitored with no contribution from ATP-dependent uptake. Cells preloaded with  $45Ca^{2+}$  and then diluted into icecold medium containing  $\text{Ins}(1,45)P_3$ ,  $\text{Ins}(2,4,5)P_3$  DL-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3[S]_3$  or Ins(4,5) $P_2$  released substantially more <sup>45</sup>Ca<sup>2+</sup> than did controls (Fig. 2). The concentrations of inositol phosphates that caused half-maximal  $^{45}Ca^{2+}$  release (EC<sub>50</sub>) were 11.9 nm for Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ , 819 nm for Ins(2,4,5) $P_3$ , 417 nm for DL-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3[S]_3$  and 7.79  $\mu$ M for Ins(4,5) $P_2$ , and the Hill coefficients were 1.57, 1.98, 0.78 and 1.67 respectively (Fig. 2, Table 3). When inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding by these inositol phosphates was measured under identical conditions, the  $K_{\text{D}}$ 

## Table 3. Inositol phosphate binding and  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization in permeabilized hepatocytes

The values are derived from the results shown in Fig. 2 by non-linear least-squares curve-fitting to the logistic equation (see the text). Total binding was approx. 1500 c.p.m. and non-specific binding  $30-50\%$  of total. The considerable inhibition of specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding by the ATP needed to promote  ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ accumulation (see the text) is the cause of the low ratio of total/nonspecific binding. In non-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -treated samples, <sup>45</sup>Ca<sup>2+</sup> content was approx. 1300 c.p.m. (after correction for trapped volume and pre-ATP-dependent  $45Ca^{2+}$  content), and Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  maximally stimulated <sup>a</sup> release of about <sup>55</sup> % of this value.



values calculated from competition curves were 27.4 nm for Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ , 645 nm for Ins(2,4,5) $P_3$ , 405 nm for Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ [S]<sub>3</sub> and 4.95  $\mu$ M for Ins(4,5) $P_2$ , and the Hill coefficients were 1.13, 0.86, 0.70 and 0.77 respectively (Fig. 2, Table 3). Clearly, when measured under identical conditions, for each of four inositol phosphates, the affinities of the binding site and the concentrations required for half-maximal  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization were very similar.

## **DISCUSSION**

We have previously demonstrated that the molecular target sizes of the Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites in liver and cerebellum are identical (250 kDa) (Nunn et al., 1990) and very similar to the molecular size of the monomeric purified cerebellar  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor measured by SDS/polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis (260 kDa) (Supattapone et al., 1988). Here we have extended the comparison and have shown that seven different ligands, agonists and antagonists, bind with similar affinity to the  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding sites of liver and cerebellum. Heparin, which others have



Fig. 2. Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  binding and Ca<sup>2+</sup> release under identical conditions

Permeabilized cells preincubated at 37 °C in the presence of 1.5 mm-ATP were diluted into ice-cold medium containing (a) Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> (O,  $\bullet$ ) or Ins(2,4,5) $P_3$  ( $\Box$ ,  $\Box$ ) or (b) DL-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3[S]_3$  ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\bullet$ ) or Ins(4,5) $P_2$  ( $\Box$ ,  $\Box$ ) as described in the text. Specific Ins(1,4,[<sup>32</sup>P]5) $P_3$  binding (%) ( $\bullet$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ) or the percentage of the Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>-releasable Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores remaining ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\Box$ ) were analysed for each individual experiment by non-linear curvefitting to the logistic equation. Results are shown as means  $\pm$  s.E.M. ( $n = 6-8$ ), and the curves are fitted to these means by the logistic equation as described in the text. Abbreviation: C, control.

convincingly shown to bind to the Ins $(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$  receptor (Worley et al., 1987; Ghosh et al., 1988), prevented formation of solid membrane pellets after centrifugation of both liver and cerebellar membranes in our experiments. Such non-specific effects suggest that apparent differences in the affinity of  $Ins(1,4,5)P_1$ -binding sites for heparin between tissues be interpreted with caution. We conclude from our earlier radiation-inactivation target-size analyses (Nunn et al., 1990) and from this comparison of the ligand-recognition properties of cerebellar and liver Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ binding sites that there is at present no convincing evidence to suggest differences between these two proteins.

ATP has been reported to inhibit Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  binding in various tissues, including cerebellum (Willcocks & Nahorski, 1988; Ghosh et al., 1989; but see Worley et al., 1987), but the interaction between ATP and the  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding site has not been further characterized. We have shown that in liver ATP is a competitive antagonist and have obtained very similar estimates of its affinity for the  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -binding site when measured directly by inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding  $(K_p)$  $= 349 \mu M$ ) or indirectly by Schild analysis of its effects in shifting Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  inhibition of Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding ( $K_D = 414 \mu$ M). GTP and 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate also inhibit  $Ins(1,4,[^{32}P]5)P_3$ binding with similar affinities  $(K_D = 133 \mu \text{m}$  and 203  $\mu \text{m}$  respectively in liver), but we have not proved that their interactions are competitive.

ATP, GTP and 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate are normal intracellular constituents; their interactions with the  $Ins(1.4.5)P<sub>3</sub>$ receptor may therefore substantially decrease its sensitivity to Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ . In many cells, estimates of the resting intracellular Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  concentration are substantially higher than the concentration needed to stimulate Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization in vitro. In pancreatoma cells, for example, the intracellular Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ concentration increases from 2  $\mu$ M at rest to about 25  $\mu$ M after stimulation, yet in permeabilized cells the expected  $EC_{50}$ for Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization is about 0.4  $\mu$ M (Horstman et al., 1988). Compartmentalization of intracellular Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  could explain its relative inability to mobilize Ca<sup>2+</sup>. but the effects of endogenous competing ligands such as ATP provide another explanation, because these could substantially shift the operating range of the  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  receptor in intact cells. We conclude that, if measurements of intracellular Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  concentration are to be related to mobilization of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  stores, it is essential to establish the sensitivity of the Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  receptor in vivo.

We have attempted to establish whether the high-affinity  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$ -binding sites of liver are the receptors that mediate Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization, by measuring binding and Ca<sup>2+</sup> release under identical conditions. In earlier work, where the measurements were made under very different conditions, the concentration of inositol phosphate needed to occupy half the binding sites  $(K_n)$  was substantially less than the concentration that caused half-maximal  $Ca^{2+}$  release (EC<sub>50</sub>). Part of this discrepancy results from the absence of ATP from most binding assays (see above), and the results shown in Fig. 2 establish that the remaining discrepancy disappears when the two measurements are made under identical conditions. For four agonists, differing by almost 1000-fold in their relative potencies, the  $K_{\text{D}}$  for the specific Ins(1,4,5) $P_{3}$ -binding site and the EC<sub>50</sub> for Ca2+ mobilization were very similar. These results confirm and extend previous reports by Mauger et al. (1989), in which specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding and Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization were measured under similar conditions and found to have similar sensitivity to  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ . Our present results, together with those of Mauger et al. (1989) and those reported previously (Nunn et al., 1990), provide substantial evidence that high-affinity Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding sites in liver are the recognition

231

sites of the receptor that mediates the effects of  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  on intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores.

Although the concentrations of inositol phosphates required for half-maximal binding and half-maximal  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization were similar when measured under identical conditions, the Hill coefficients of the two measurements were not. Inhibition of specific Ins(1,4,[32P]5) $P_3$  binding by each of the four agonists had Hill coefficients close to 1, whereas  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  mobilization was usually co-operative. It has been argued that the co-operative increases in net Ca<sup>2+</sup> flux evoked by Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  in other cells (Meyer et al., 1988; Champeil et al., 1989) may not result from co-operative opening of Ca2+ channels, but may be an artefact resulting from the proportionally greater ATP-dependent refilling of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  stores after stimulation with low Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$  concentrations (Champeil et al., 1989). In our experiments most agonists evoked cooperative  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  release under conditions where re-accumulation of Ca2+ by intracellular stores could not occur. We conclude, in agreement with the proposal by Meyer et al. (1988), that  $Ins(1,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>$  (and other inositol phosphates) co-operatively open a  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  channel. A molecular understanding of how non-cooperative binding of  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  to its receptor leads to cooperative opening of a  $Ca^{2+}$  channel may follow when electrophysiological recordings from reconstituted receptors provide the necessary temporal resolution.

Our earlier evidence demonstrated that the liver  $Ins(1,4,5)P_{3}$ binding site is distinct from the substrate-binding sites of the two enzymes that metabolize  $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$  (Nunn et al., 1990). This, the earlier work by Mauger et al. (1989) and our present data, showing that similar concentrations of inositol phosphates cause half-maximal occupancy of the binding site and half-maximal Ca2+ mobilization, provide persuasive evidence that the Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding site of liver is the physiological receptor that mediates the effects of Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$  on intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores. Furthermore, we can at present find no evidence to distinguish the liver Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ -binding site from the cerebellar protein that has been purified and functionally reconstituted.

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (M.R.C.). D. L. N. is supported by <sup>a</sup> M.R.C. Research Studentship. We thank Dr. Ian Martin and Dr. Mike Young for advice, and Dr. Barry Potter and Dr. Robin Irvine for gifts of DL-Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ [S]<sub>3</sub> and Ins(1,4,5) $P_3$ .

## REFERENCES

- Berridge, M. J. & Irvine, R. F. (1984) Nature (London) 312, 315-321
- Berridge, M. J. & Irvine, R. F. (1989) Nature (London) 341, 197-205
- Bradford, M. (1976) Anal. Biochem. 72, 248-254
- Champeil, P., Combettes, L., Berthon, B., Doucet, E., Orlowski, S. & Claret, M. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 17665-17673
- Ferris, C. D., Huganir, R. L., Supattapone, S. & Snyder, S. H. (1989) Nature (London) 342, 87-89
- Furuichi, T., Yoshikawa, S., Miyawake, A., Wada, K., Maeda, N. & Mikoshiba, K. (1989) Nature (London) 342, 32-38
- Ghosh, T. K., Eis, P. S., Mullaney, J. M., Ebert, C. L. & Gill, D. L. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 11075-11079
- Ghosh, T. K., Mullaney, J. M., Tarazi, F. I. & Gill, D. L. (1989) Nature (London) 340, 236-239
- Guillemette, G., Balla, T., Baukal, A. J., Spat, A. & Catt, K. J. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 1010-1015
- Guillemette, G., Balla, T., Baukal, A. J. & Catt, K. J. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 4541-4548
- Horstman, D. A., Takemura, H. & Putney, J. W., Jr. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 15297-15303
- Joseph, S. K. & Rice, H. L. (1989) Mol. Pharmacol. 35, 355-359
- Mauger, J.-P., Claret, M., Pietri, F. & Hilly, M. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 8821-8826
- McPherson, G. A. (1983) Comput. Programs Biomed. 17, 107-114

 $\bar{a}$  $\bar{\phantom{a}}$ 

Meyer, T., Holowka, H. & Stryer, L. (1988) Science 240, 653-656

- Muallem, S., Schoeffield, M., Pandol, S. & Sachs, G. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 82, 4433-4437
- Munson, P. J. & Rodbard, D. (1980) Anal. Biochem. 107, 220-239
- Nahorski, S. R. & Potter, B. V. L. (1989) Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 10, 139-144
- Nunn, D. L., Potter, B. V. L. & Taylor, C. W. (1990) Biochem. J. 265, 393-398
- Prpic, V., Green, K. C., Blackmore, P. F. & Exton, J. H. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 1382-1385

Received <sup>15</sup> March 1990/2 May 1990; accepted 4 May 1990

 $\alpha=1$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

√.

- Spat, A., Bradford, P. G., McKinney, J. S., Rubin, R. P. & Putney, J. W., Jr. (1986) Nature (London) 319, 514-516
- Supattapone, S., Worley, P. F., Baraban, J. M. & Snyder, S. H. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 1530-1534
- Taylor, C. W., Berridge, M. J., Cooke, A. M. & Potter, B. V. L. (1989) Biochem. J. 259, 645-650
- Willcocks, A. L. & Nahorski, S. R. (1988) Biochem. J. 255, <sup>1061</sup>
- Willcocks, A. L. & Nahorski, S. R. (1989) Br. J. Pharmacol. 96, 364P
- Worley, P. F., Baraban, J. M., Supattapone, S., Wilson, V. S. & Snyder, S. H. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 12132-12136