Review

Regulatory RNAs

V. A. Erdmann^a, M. Z. Barciszewska^b, A. Hochberg^c, Nathan de Groot^c and J. Barciszewski^{b,*}

- ^a Institute of Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, Thielallee 63, 14195 Berlin (Germany)
- ^b Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Noskowskiego 12, 61704 Poznań (Poland), Fax +48 61 852 05 32, e-mail: jbarcisz@ibch.poznan.pl
- ^c Department of Biological Chemistry, Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University, 91904 Jerusalem (Israel)

Received 20 November 2000; received after revision 16 January 2001; accepted 14 February 2001

Abstract. In addition to mRNA, rRNA and tRNA, which play central roles within cells, there are a number of regulatory, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). Of varying lengths, ncRNAs have no long open reading frame. While not encoding proteins, they may act as riboregulators, and their main function is posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression. Many ncRNAs have been identified and characterized both in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and are involved in the specific recognition of cellular nucleic acid targets through complementary base pairing, controlling cell growth and differentiation. Some are associated with the abnormalities in imprinted inheritance that occur in several well-known developmental and neurobehavioral disorders. Other ncRNAs accomplish regulation by modulating the activity of proteins. Several rRNAs are able to sustain enzymatic reactions implicated in the translation process including synthesis of peptide bonds within the ribosome. The different roles played by widely distributed RNAs acting in diverse ways, suggest the flexibility and versality of these molecules in regulatory processes. This review summarizes the available biochemical and structural data on known regulatory RNAs.

Key words. Non-coding RNA; antisense RNA; regulatory RNA.

Introduction

RNA plays a variety of structural, informational, catalytic, and regulatory roles in the cell. Certain RNAs show catalytic properties and act as ribozymes. 23S and 28S rRNAs have peptidyltransferase activity. As aptamers, some RNAs bind small molecular compounds, e.g., metal ions and nucleotides. Much data has accumulated in recent years showing that various non-translatable, noncoding RNA (ncRNA) transcripts are synthesized in different cells. These RNAs lack protein-coding capacity and may exert their action mainly or exclusively at the RNA level. RNAs functioning as genetic regulators (riboregulators) by acting either in cis or in trans have been demonstrated widely in bacteria, and eukaryotes including nematodes, plants, and mammals. Regulatory RNAs are synthesized in most cases by pol II, are polyadenylated and spliced. Although much effort has been invested in trying to identify functions and, in particular, mechanisms of action of ncRNAs, they still remain mysterious. This knowledge will come through better understanding of their origin, synthesis, structure, and the nature of their interactions with other components of the cell. An analysis of the origin, functions, and properties of ncRNAs suggests they may be divided five groups:

1) DNA markers. These are involved in dosage compensation and imprinting. This group consists of *Xist*, *roX*, *PAT-1*, *Tsix*, *XistAS*, *H19*, and *IPW*.

2) Gene regulators. These affect the activity of genes by different mechanisms such as silencing or RNA-RNA interaction. The group includes *NTT*, *DGCR5*, *KvLQTI-AS*, *Nesp/GNAS*, *SCA8*, *CMPD*, *lin-4*, *let-7*, *UBE3A*,

^{*} Corresponding author.

ZNF127-AS, ScYc, DISC2, sok CopA, RNAI, pnd, and RNA-OUT.

3) Abiotic stress signals. These RNAs are synthesized in response to abiotic stress (e.g., oxidation) and include *gadd7/adapt15*, *adapt33*, *hsr* ω , *G90*, *OxyS*, *DsrA*, and *PCGEM1*.

4) Biotic stress signals. RNAs that belong to this group are inducible by biologically active molecules, e.g., cyto-kinins. They are *His-1*, *ENOD40*, *lbi*, *CR20*, and *GUT15*.

5) Other RNAs in this group have various origins and functions. It includes *Bsr*, *BC1*, *BC200*, *SRA*, *meiRNA*, *UHG* and *Xlsirt*.

The above grouping of ncRNAs is not very precise. Lacking structural information, it is based on limited biochemical data (Table 1) [1, 2]. Their nucleotide sequences are stored in the Non-Coding RNA database at http://biobases.ibch.poznan.pl/ncRNA/ [1].

Table 1. List of non-coding RNAs and their characteristics (kb, kilobases; n/d, not determined; n/a, not available; nt, nucleotides).

Non-coding RNA	Size	EMBL/GenBank Acc. No. or Reference	Remarks
DNA markers			
1) Dosage compensation RNAs			
Homo sapiens	16.5 kb	M97168	
Mus musculus	14.7 kb	L04961	
Bos taurus	n/d	AF104906	partial sequence
Equus caballus	n/d	U50911	partial sequence
Oryctolagus cuniculus	n/d	U50910	partial sequence
Drosophila melanogaster roX1	3749 nt	U85980	
Drosophila melanogaster roX2	1293 nt	U85981	
HZ-1 VIII PAI-1 Tain (Homo agniona) Tain	293 / III 40 l-b	003488	
TSIX (HOMO Suprens) TSIX Vist 4 S mouse Mus musculus	40 KU n/d	[12]	
2) H10	n/u	[15]	
Homo saniens	2313 nt	M32053	
Mus musculus	1899 nt	X58196	
Rattus rattus	2297 nt	X59864	
Oryctolagus cuniculus	1842 nt	M97348	partial sequence
Pongo pygmaeus	1644 nt	AF190058	partial sequence
Felis catus	1747 nt	AF190057	partial sequence
Lynx lynx	879 nt	AF190056	partial sequence
Ovis aries	397 nt	AF105429	partial sequence
Thomomys monticola	875 nt	AF190055	partial sequence
Elephantidae Gen. sp	856 nt	AF190054	partial sequence
Peromyscus maniculatus	2094 nt	AF214115	
3) IPW			
Homo sapiens	2075 nt	U12897	
Mus musculus	734 nt	U69888	partial sequence
Gene regulators			
1) NTT			
Homo sapiens	17 kb	U54776	
2) DGCR5			
Homo sapiens	1284 nt	X91348	
3) KKvLQTA-AS			
Homo sapiens	n/d	n/a	
Mus musculus	n/d	AF119385	partial intron sequence
4) Nesp/GNAS			
Homo sapiens	828 nt	AJ251760	partial sequence
Mus musculus	1083 nt	AF173359	
5) SCA8			
Homo sapiens	32.3 kb	AF252279	partial sequence
6) CMPD associated RNA			
Homo sapiens	3414 nt	D43770	
7) Developmental timing			
Caenorhabditis brigsae let-7	21 nt	AF210771	
Caenorhabditis elegans lin-4	22 nt/61 nt	U01830	

8) Oher non-coding RNA transcripts Home supices DRSC 1154b mixerus Home supices SDRSC 1154b AF22381 Home SDRSC 1154b AF237 1154b AF237 Solution 1156b Collision 1155b Collision	Non-coding RNA	Size	EMBL/GenBank Acc. No. or Reference	Remarks
Homo sopies UBE2 Homo sopies UNC215 bb It AP22281 Homo sopies ZNF127.451287 mtU19107 U19107 Syste a claw 26x RNA9Antsense plasmid or RNA1.1 bbL425779Antsense plasmid or RNA6 ntAP000342R100 plasmid $ARNA2778 mtAP000342R100 plasmidJinP RNA2778 mtAP000342R101 plasmidZopd186 ntV00326R1 plasmidRNM107 ntJ01566CoEI plasmidSchenomous runnium CRM8 ntZ49917DIM1 plasmidSchenomous runnium CRM8 ntZ49917CoI br-P plasmidStreppoecces agalactica RVAII11 inSK1045pl S1 plasmidSchenomous runnium CRM8 ntZ49917CoI br-P pl smidStreppoecces agalactica RVAII11 inSK1045pl S1 plasmidStreppoecces agalactica RVAII73 ntM28318pMU 220 pl asmidAbitis tress signAs1120 ntM26333adapt15-P9Cricetulus griseus adapt331200 ntU29660adapt3A753 ntU28634adapt15-P93.1 kb2) har-a118 ntU19307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila neulonegaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sites190 ntU27901129 ntM14553; U2629Drosophila neulonegaster190 ntU2790129 ntM145377Gold Sites3) G00Internet137 ntAJ132433400; Gold Sites4) OxySExcheribia coli10 nt$	8) Other non-coding RNA transcripts			
	Homo sapiens UBE3A antisense	n/d	n/a	
Hom soziens $2KF_{12}^{r}2.rAS$ 1827 nt U19107 Synel clave SYC RNA 1.1 bb L42757 9) Antisense plasmid ack RNA 6c nt AP000342 R100 plasmid ($ipP RNA 2778 nt AP000342 R100 plasmid (ipP RNA 2788 nt Z49917 plasmid (ipP RNA 2818 plasmid Plasmid (ipP RNA 2818 plasmid Plasmid Streptococcus agalacture RNII 1 nt S81043 plasmid Streptococcus agalacture RNII 73 nt M22718 plasmid (ipP S01 plasmid Plasmid Streptococcus agalacture RNII 73 nt M22718 plasmid Ap15.P9 (icP collabs griseus galad7 754 nt L40430 Criceulus griseus galad7 754 nt U26833 alap15.P9 (ice culus griseus galad7 754 nt U26833 alap15.P9 (ice culus griseus galad7 1 754 nt U29060 alap13A 200 nt U2960 alap13A 200 nt U100 nt 200 nt 2$	Homo sapiens DISC2	15 kb	AF222981	
Spele claw SYE RNA1.1 kbL42757soft RNA66 ntAP00342R100 plasmidsoft RNA275 mtAP00342R100 plasmidCopd186 ntV00326R1 plasmidCopd186 ntV00326R1 plasmidCopd186 ntV00326R1 plasmidScherichic coll Incl363 ntM34837Coll In-9 plasmidScherichic coll Incl363 ntM34837Coll In-9 plasmidStreptococcus pneumonice RVAII111 ntS81045pIP501 plasmidStreptococcus gulactica RAV73 ntM22718pMU 720 plasmidAbdier Stress Signals1) gold Tackpurth S, calept33, voxap1754 ntL40430-Cricetulus griseus adapt15764 ntU26834adapt15-P8Cricetulus griseus adapt31290 ntU29660adapt15-P81186 ntU29661adapt15-R82) hor-o3.1 kb-Drasophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrasophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrasophila melanogaster1190 ntMas macculae1357 ntAJ132433-9 Ox5Soft A200 ntU275020 soft1180 nt100 nt-Drasophila melanogaster110 ntU830730 G00Mas macculae303 ntU1020950 Drd	Homo sapiens ZNF127-AS	1827 nt	U19107	
9) Antisense plasmid sok RNA 6 6 nt AP000342 R100 plasmid (<i>faP</i> RNA 2778 nt AP000342 R100 plasmid (<i>CapA</i> 18 6 nt V00326 R1 plasmid <i>RNA</i> 107 nt J01566 C0EI plasmid <i>RNA</i> 107 nt J01566 C0EI plasmid <i>RNA</i> 107 nt J01566 C0EI plasmid <i>Escherichia coli Incl</i> 363 nt M34837 C0E 01 b-P9 plasmid <i>Escherichia coli RAH</i> 107 nt 20355 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 103 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 103 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 103 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 104 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 104 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 104 73 nt M28718 plasmid <i>Streptosoccus agalactia RNAH</i> 104 74 nt 10403 <i>Cricetuls griseus adapt</i> 74 nt 10403 <i>Cricetuls griseus adapt</i> 74 753 nt 1020833 adapt15-P9 <i>Cricetuls griseus adapt</i> 73 73 nt 1020861 adapt3. <i>Cricetuls griseus adapt</i> 73 73 nt 1020661 adapt3. <i>Cricetuls griseus socap</i> 10.9 kb A1003192 <i>Cricetuls griseus socap</i> 10.9 kb A1003192 <i>Cricetuls griseus socap</i> 10.9 kb A1003192 <i>Drosophila nelanogaster</i> 1174 nt 101807 alternative poly(A) sites <i>Drosophila nelanogaster</i> 1172 nt 101 N1 108377, X16157; <i>Drosophila nelanogaster</i> 1123 nt X16337, X16157; <i>Drosophila nelanogaster</i> 1120 nt 101 N1 087300 <i>Solor</i> 102 72 mt 20004 <i>Botti Stress signal</i> 80 nt AF103007 <i>Icob</i> nt AF2389 <i>Botti Stress signal</i> 80 nt AF103007 <i>Icob</i> nt AF2389 <i>Botti Stress signal</i> 3003 nt 102097 mt 2000431 <i>Drosophila neuanoniae</i> 82 nt AF000431 <i>Drosophila reamoniae</i>	Styela clava ScYc RNA	1.1 kb	L42757	
sok RNA ⁺ 66 nt AP000342 R 100 plasmid finP RNA 2778 nt AP000342 R 100 plasmid CopA 186 nt V00326 R 1 plasmid CopA 186 nt V00326 R 1 plasmid Selenomonas ruminanium CRVA 88 nt Z49917 pJMI plasmid Selenomonas ruminanium CRVA 88 nt Z49917 pJMI plasmid Selenomonas ruminanium CRVA 88 nt Z49917 pJMI plasmid Streptococcus pacemoniae RNAII 111 nt S81045 pLS1 plasmid Streptococcus galexitae RNAI 73 nt M28718 pMU 720 plasmid Abiotic stress signals DigddT alagn15, adapt33, vosap1 Cricetulus griseus adapt15 746 nt U26833 adapt15.PP Cricetulus griseus adapt15 746 nt U26833 adapt15.PP Cricetulus griseus adapt3 1290 nt U26660 adapt3A Cricetulus griseus adapt3 1290 nt U20660 adapt3A Cricetulus griseus seap1 0.9 kb A1003122 2) hor-0 Drasophila melanogaster 1174 nt U18307 alternative poly(A) sites 1180 nt U20661 adapt3A Drasophila melanogaster 1174 nt U18307 alternative poly(A) sites Drasophila melanogaster 1174 nt U18307 Secherichia coli 80 Drasophila polytic Site S102629 Drasophila melanogaster 1174 nt U18307 Secherichia coli 80 nt AF103907 Secherichia coli 80 nt AF103907 Solord AE2389 Biotic stress signals 1) Ih-1 Hono sapiens nd di U56404 Phano sapiens 1053 nt A910341 putative END40-1 Phano sapiens 1053 nt X80423 Philo Hono sapiens 1053 nt X80431 Philo Hono sapiens 1053 nt X80431 Philo Hono sapiens 1060 nt AF2389 Biotic stress signals 1) Ih-1 Hono sapiens 1060 nt X86441 Price sativa 718 nt X80453 Triolibum repens 613 nt A000268 Triolibum repens 63 nt H21214 Machine same pre-mRNA Philo Rason 70 nt AF00351 Philo Rason 70 nt AF00351 Philo Rason 70 nt AF00356 Triolibum repens 63 nt 12704 Medicago striva 718 nt X80453 Philo Rason 70 nt AF00354 Medicago striva 718 nt X80453 Philo X80454 Philo Rason 70 nt X80454 Philo Rason 70 nt X80454 P	9) Antisense plasmid			
finP RNA 2778 nt AP000342 R100 plasmid Copd 166 nt V00326 R1 plasmid RNAI 107 nt 101566 CollE1 plasmid Schernomonas ruminantium CiRVAI 88 nt Z49917 PJIMI plasmid Exchericina coli Incl 363 nt M34837 CollE1 plasmid Streptococcus gealactue RNAII L03555 plPS01 plasmid Streptococcus gealactue RNAII TS MU 720 plasmid Streptococcus gealactue RNAII TS MU 730 nt Streptococcus degalactue RNAII TS MU 730 nt Streptococus degalactue RNAII TS MU 730 nt Streptococus degalactue RNAII TS Mu 730 nt Cricetulas griseus adapt13 TS Mu 730 nt Disodoffinamelanogaster 1174 nt U 23660 adapt15.PS	sok RNA	66 nt	AP000342	R100 plasmid
CopA186 ntV00326RI plasmidRMI107 nt10566ColE1 plasmidSelencion col Incl363 ntM34837ColE1-P9 plasmidStreptococcus pneumoniae RMII111 ntS81045pLS1 plasmidStreptococcus agalactiae RMII73 ntM28718pMU 720 plasmidBiod175 adapt33, vscap1Cole-11-P9 plasmidCole-11-P9 plasmidCriceutus griseus adapt1375 ntL04330adapt15-P9Criceutus griseus adapt31290 ntU26833adapt15-P9Criceutus griseus adapt31290 ntU29661adapt13-P3Criceutus griseus adapt31290 ntU29661adapt13-P3Criceutus griseus seap10.9 kbA1003192adapt13-P3Strepbild melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophild melanogaster110 ntU87390JustDrosophild melanogaster110 ntU87390JustSolon onA2132433A1003192JustSolon onA213433JustJustDrosophild paphimarium82 ntAF00431putativeDrosophild paphimarium82 ntAF00431putativeJord100 ntL13307gene sequence, exon structureDrosophild paphimarium82 ntAF00431putativeDrosophild paphimarium82 ntAF00431putativeDrosophild paphimarium82 ntAF00431putativeDrosophild paphimarium70 ntXF03907Gries esequence, exon structure	finP RNA	2778 nt	AP000342	R100 plasmid
RVM107 ntJ01566CoEI: JasmidSelemonous runinantium CRM88 ntZ49917pJMI plasmidEscherichia coll Incl363 ntM34837Coll-s-P) plasmidStreptococces agalactine RVAII11 ntSS1045pLS1 plasmidStreptococces agalactine RVAII73 ntM28718pMU 720 plasmidStecherichia coll RVAI73 ntL03355pTP501 plasmidAbiotic stress signals	CopA	186 nt	V00326	R1 plasmid
Seleconomus ruminantiam CRNA88 ntZ49017pJMT plasmidExcherichia coll Incl35 ntM34837Coll-h-P9 plasmidStreptococcus pneumoniae RNAII111 ntS81045pLS1 plasmidStreptococcus agulactiae RNAII73 ntM28718pMU 720 plasmidAbiotic stress signalsI) gadd7/adapt15, adapt31, sweap1Cricetulus griseus gadd71754 ntL40430-Cricetulus griseus gadd73120 ntU29661adapt15-P9Cricetulus griseus adapt33120 ntU29660adapt133ACricetulus griseus vaep10.9 kbA1003192Status3.1 kb-2) hs-co0.9 kbA10031923) lkb3) lkb3) down1129 ntM14558; 102629Drosophila melanogaster1174 ntU8307alternative poly(A) sites0.90 kbA1003192-3) G00Muss musculus1357 ntA1324334) Oxy5Excherichia coli110 ntU873905) DrotEscherichia coli110 ntU874907Muss musculus3053 ntU094734) Oxy5Botic stress signalsnd-1) Hrs-1Homo sapiensn/dU56440Gene sequence, exon structure-Mus musculus3053 ntU09772Homo sapiens<	RNAI	107 nt	J01566	ColE1 plasmid
Excherichia coli Incl363 ntM34837Coli D-P plasmidStreptococcus agalactinae RNAIIL0355pIP501 plasmidStreptococcus agalactinae RNAIIT3 ntM28718pMU 720 plasmidStreptococcus agalactinae RNAII73 ntL0430Abiotic stress signalsItel L0430Creactular griseus adapt15746 ntL26833adapt15-P9Treatular griseus adapt13730 ntU26844adapt13-P8Criccutular griseus adapt131290 ntU26864adapt133ACric cutular griseus adapt131290 ntU29661adapt133ACric cutular griseus adapt131290 ntU29661adapt133ACric cutular griseus adapt131186 ntU29661adapt133ACric cutular griseus adapt131190 ntadapt13Badapt13BDrosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila melanogaster123 ntX16337, X16157;3Mas musculus1357 ntAJ13243344) OxySExcherichia coli110 ntU87390S Dava28 ntAF090431putativeKlebviel applinamirum82 ntAF090431putativeHomo sapiensndU10269forms of the same pre-mRNADifts for the same pre-mRNA100 ntAF2389FO0140-1Biotic stress signals110 ntU56440gene sequence, exon structureHomo sapiensndU10269forms of the same pre-mRNADistoproticus20 ntX69154ENO140-1 <td>Selenomonas ruminantium CtRNA</td> <td>88 nt</td> <td>Z49917</td> <td>pJJM1 plasmid</td>	Selenomonas ruminantium CtRNA	88 nt	Z49917	pJJM1 plasmid
	Escherichia coli Incl	363 nt	M34837	Col Ib-P9 plasmid
Sheprococcus agalactize RAMI Excherichia coli RAMIT3 ntL03355 M28718pMU 720 plasmidAbiotic stress signals 1) gold/field/idapt15, adapt15, vscap173 ntL40430 Cricetulus griseus gold/7754 ntL40430 adapt15-P9 adapt15-P9 adapt15-P8 adapt18-P8 p109060 p109060 p1090601 p109070 p1090 p1090712 p1090431 putative Klebstella pneumoniae Klebstella pneumoniae Klebste	Streptococcus pneumoniae RNAII	111 nt	S81045	pLS1 plasmid
Lescherichia coli KVAI7.5 ntM.28/18pMU 720 plasmidAbiotic stress signals)) gadd7/adpt15, adapt33, vscap1	Streptococcus agalactiae RNAII	72	L03355	pIP501 plasmid
Abiot stress signalsU1) godd?icadu/15. adupt3. vsrop174 ntL0430Cricetulus griseus adap11574 ntU26834adapt15.P9Cricetulus griseus adap1331290 ntU29660adapt331186 ntU29661adapt33adapt33Crice tulus griseus vseap10.9 kbAJ003192Drise observation1186 ntU29661adapt33Drise observation1190 ntatternative poly(A) sitesDrise observation1190 ntatternative poly(A) sitesDrise observation1129 ntN14558: J02629Drise observation123 ntX16337; X16157;Or observation1357 ntAJ13243340 GrySExclerichia coli110 ntExclerichia coli110 ntU873905) DarAEsclerichia coli82 nt6 DDJ/PCCEMI100 nt2289Horno sapiens360 ntAF1039071 for observations3003 ntU10269Drise observations303 ntU09772numensulas303 ntU09769Prise observations303 ntU09779Mus musculas303 ntU09769Prise observations679 ntX60154Prise satiens679 ntX60154Prise satiens718 ntX3063Prise satiens718 ntX3063Prise satiens718 ntX3063Prise satiens adoption720 ntX8024Prise satiens731 ntX3064Prise satien731 ntX306	Escherichia coli RNAI	/3 nt	M28718	pMU 720 plasmid
	Abiotic stress signals			
$\begin{array}{cccc} Cricettilus griseus adapt15 & 746 nt & U26833 & adapt15-P9 \\ 753 nt & U26834 & adapt34 & adapt15-P8 \\ 753 nt & U29661 & adapt33 \\ 1186 nt & U29661 & adapt33 \\ Cric ettilus griseus seapt1 & 0.9 kb & AJ003192 \\ \hline Cricettilus griseus seapt1 & 0.9 kb & AJ003192 \\ \hline Cricettilus griseus seapt1 & 0.9 kb & AJ003192 \\ \hline Cricettilus griseus seapt1 & 1174 nt & U18307 & alternative poly(A) sites \\ \hline Drosophila nelanogaster & 1174 nt & U18307 & alternative poly(A) sites \\ \hline Drosophila pseudoobscura & 1213 nt & X16337; X16157; \\ \hline Drosophila pseudoobscura & 1213 nt & X16337; X16157; \\ \hline Orsosophila pseudoobscura & 1213 nt & AJ132433 \\ \hline Oryo \\ Hamsculus & 1357 nt & AJ132433 \\ \hline Oryo \\ Sumsculus & 1357 nt & AJ132433 \\ \hline Oryo \\ Sumsculus & 1357 nt & AF090431 \\ \hline Hamsculus & 1357 nt & AF090431 \\ \hline Hamsculus & 10 nt & U87390 \\ \hline Orgo \\ \hline Drosophila philourium & 82 nt & AF090431 \\ \hline Homo sapiens & 3800 nt & AF103907 \\ \hline Homo sapiens & 1600 nt & AF22389 \\ \hline Botic stress signals \\ \hline Homo sapiens & n/d & U56440 & gene sequence, exon structure \\ Hams succulus & 0303 nt & U10269 & forms of the same pre-mRNA \\ \hline 2) END40 \\ \hline Glycine max & 679 nt & X69154 & ENOD40-1 \\ \hline Pisum sativam & 702 nt & X69154 & ENOD40-2 \\ \hline Pisum sativam & 702 nt & X8041 \\ Piazeolus vulgaris & 600 nt & X86411 \\ Piazeolus vulgaris & 600 nt & X86413 \\ Picto stress & G11 nt & X000268 \\ \hline Drosophila metantively spliced \\ Drosophila and & 710 nt & X69154 & ENOD40-2 \\ \hline Pisum sativam & 702 nt & X81064 \\ Phaseolus vulgaris & 600 nt & X86413 \\ Pitazeolus vulgaris & 600 nt & X86413 \\ Pitazeolus vulgaris & 613 nt & AJ000268 \\ \hline Drosophila metanti & X28063 \\ Trifolium repens & 631 nt & AJ000268 \\ \hline Drosophila metanti & 333 nt & L32806 \\ \hline Medicago truncatula & 920 nt & X80220 \\ \hline Nicotiana tabacum & 470 nt & X89716 \\ \hline Pigna radiata & 333 nt & L32806 \\ \hline Neotiana tabacum & 470 nt & X89716 \\ \hline Pigna radiata & 638 nt & Y12714 \\ \hline Drosophila Piano & 757 nt & AF01594 \\ \hline Drosophila Piano & 757 nt & AF01594 \\ \hline Drosophila Piano & 757 nt & AF013594 \\ \hline Drosophila Pian$	Cricetulus griseus gadd7	754 nt	L40430	
$ \begin{array}{c} linear generation of the sequence of the section of the set of the set$	Cricetulus griseus adant15	746 nt	U26833	adapt15-P9
Cricetulus griseus sadapt33120 ntU29660adapt33A1186 ntU29661adapt33BCric etulus griseus vseap10.9 kbAJ0031923.1 kb3.1 kbDrosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sites1190 ntDrosophila hydei1129 ntM14558; J026292000 sophila pseudoobscura0.5 G90123 ntMus musculus1357 ntAJ13243340 cysEscherichia coli86 ntU700 sophila pseudoobscura123 ntS100 nt100 ntBacterichia coli86 ntSalmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431putativeKlebsiella pneumoniae82 ntAF090431putativeHomo sapiens3800 ntAF103907100 nt1600 ntAF22389Biotis tress signals1026440gene sequence, exon structure1) His-110269Homo sapiens303 nt1000 nt26154Clycine max679 ntA69154ENOD40-1Clycine max679 ntA69154ENOD40-2Pisun sativum702 ntPisun sativum702 ntPisun sativum70 ntA60154202 ntNedicago truncatula920 ntVicia sativa73 ntLista sativum70 ntA60154101 ntPisun sativum70 ntPisun sativum70 ntNicolian tabacum470 nt <td>er reentinis grisens unuprie</td> <td>753 nt</td> <td>U26834</td> <td>adapt15-P8</td>	er reentinis grisens unuprie	753 nt	U26834	adapt15-P8
Cric endus griseus vseap1118 ortU29661 $adapt33B$ $Cric endus griseus vseap10.9 kbAJ0031923.1 kbJJJDrosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila kydei1129 ntM14558; J02629JDrosophila speadeobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;JJ Gy0JJJJMus musculus1357 ntAJ132433JJ OxySEEEEscherichia coli110 ntU87390JJ Dorsophila hydeiIIIJ OxySEEEEscherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431putativeKlebsiella neumoniae82 ntAF090431IODJ/PCGEM1IIIHomo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structureMus musculuss3053 ntU00972unknown alternatively splicedIIIIIHomo sapiensn/dU56440ENOD40-1Mus musculuss3053 ntU00972unknown alternatively splicedIIIIIHomo sapiensn/dU56440ENOD40-1Mus musculuss3053 ntU00972unknown alternatively splicedIIIIIHomo sapien$	Cricetulus griseus adapt33	1290 nt	U29660	adapt33A
Cric etulus griseus vseap1 0.9 kb $AJ003192$ 2) hsr- ω $Drosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;N16337; X16157;3) G90Mus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) OxySEEEscherichia coli110 ntU873905) Dsr AEEEscherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF0904319 (DD3)/CCEMIHomo sapiens3800 ntHomo sapiens3800 ntAF103907160 ntAF22389EBiotic stress signalsN/4U097721 His-IHomo sapiens3053 nt10 MusMus musculus3053 nt2) ENOD0GGGlycine max679 ntX69154EENOD40-1Pisum sativum702 ntPisum sativum702 ntPisus astivum702 ntNickau73 nt<$	0 1	1186 nt	U29661	adapt33B
3.1 kb 2) hr- ω 1174 nt U18307 alternative poly(A) sites 1190 nt 1129 nt M14558; J02629 Drosophila pseudoobscura 1213 nt X16337; X16157; 3) G90 Mus musculus 1357 nt AJ132433 4) $QxyS$	Cric etulus griseus vseap1	0.9 kb	AJ003192	Ĩ
2) hsr- ω Drosophila melanogaster1174 nt 1194 nt U18307alternative poly(A) sites190 nt Drosophila hydei1129 ntM14558; J02629Drosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;3) G90 Mus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) OxyS Escherichia coli110 ntU873905) Dsr A Escherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF09043182 ntAF090431putativeHomo sapiens3800 ntAF1039071600 ntAF2389Homo sapiensBittis stress signals100 ntU26440111 His-I Homo sapiensndU10269111 His-I Homo sapiensndU10269111 His-I Homo sapiens679 ntX691542) ENOD40ENOD40-1ENOD40-12) ENOD40118 ntX836832) ENOD4071 ntX69155Pisaeus valgaris600 ntX86441Yicia sativa72 ntX81064Yicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntA1003594Medicago striva626 ntX80263Trifolium repens631 ntX80068Trifolium repens631 ntX80263Yigia radiata331 ntA700155Pisaeous valgaris630 ntX80263Yigia radiata331 ntA7001584Yigia radiata331 ntA70016181Yigia radiata331 ntA7001584Yigia radiata331 ntA7001		3.1 kb		
Drosophila melanogaster1174 ntU18307alternative poly(A) sitesDrosophila hydei1129 ntM14558; J02629Drosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;3) G901129 ntM14558; J02629Mus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) OxySEscherichia coli110 ntEscherichia coli110 ntU873905) DsrAEscherichia coli86 ntSalmonella typhinuriam82 ntAF090431Mom sapiens3800 ntAF103907Idon sapiens1600 ntAF22389Botic stress signals1U56440gene sequence, exon structure1) His-111U9772unknown alternatively spliced <i>Homo sapiens</i> n/dU56440gene sequence, exon structure <i>Ukyine max</i> 679 ntX69154ENOD40-1 <i>Glycine max</i> 679 ntX69155ENOD40-2 <i>Pisan sativam</i> 702 ntX81064F013594 <i>Vicia sativa</i> 718 ntX83683Trifolium repens <i>Glogin truncatula</i> 920 ntX80262NOD40-2 <i>Pisan sativam</i> 718 ntX83683F143863 <i>Trifolium repens</i> 631 ntAJ000268F1138 <i>Medicago sativa</i> 626 ntX80263Na0262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX89716F114 <i>Vigaradidata</i> 331 ntAF013594Medicago sativa638 ntY127141141SequenceSebania rostrata638 ntY12714ShirNA <td< td=""><td>2) hsr-ω</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2) hsr- ω			
Drosophila pseudoobscura1190 ntM14558; J02629Drosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;3) GOMus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) OxySEscherichia coli110 ntU873905) DsrAEscherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431putative6) DD3/PCGEMIHomo sapiens3800 ntAF1039071600 ntAF22389Homo sapiens1600 nt11 His-1Homo sapiens3003 ntU10269Homo sapiens0303 ntU10269forms of the same pre-mRNA2) END40END40-1END40-1Glycine max679 ntX69154ENOD40-1Glycine max617 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisus sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus sulgaris631 ntAJ00268AF013594Lotus japonicusPistedicago sativa626 ntX80263Tridita and and and and and and and and and an	Drosophila melanogaster	1174 nt	U18307	alternative poly(A) sites
Drosophila hydei1129 ntM14558; J02629Drosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157; $3)$ G90		1190 nt		
Drosophila pseudoobscura1213 ntX16337; X16157;3) G90	Drosophila hydei	1129 nt	M14558; J02629	
3) $G90$ Mus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) $OxyS$ Escherichia coli110 ntU873905) $DsrA$ Escherichia coli86 ntU17136 Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431putativeKlebsiella pneumoniae82 ntAF0904316) $DJ3/PCGEM1$ Homo sapiens3800 ntAF103907 1600 nt7) Hs^{-1} Homo sapiens3053 ntU09772 1003 nt9) $DJ2/PCGEM1$ Homo sapiens0053 ntU09772 1000 nt9) $DJ2/PCGEM1$ Homo sapiens0053 ntU09772 1000 nt9) $DSPA$ Clycine max679 ntX69154 679 ntENOD40-1 ENOD40-110) $Fisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441X69154Viccia sativaVictia sativa718 ntX83683770 ntAF013594AG00268Lotus igoponicusFisum Sativa733 nt132806733 ntMedicago sativaNicciana tabacum470 ntX80262X88716Yigna radiata331 ntAF061818AF061818partial sequenceMedicago sativaNicotiana tabacum470 ntX80262X88716Yigna radiata331 ntAF061818AF061818partial sequenceMedicago sativaNicotiana tabacum470 ntX80262X88716partial sequenceMedicago sativaNicotiana tabacum470 ntX80262X88716partial sequenceMedicago sativaNicotiana tabacum470 ntX80262X88716partial sequenceMedicago sativaNicotiana tabacum470 ntX88716X88716<$	Drosophila pseudoobscura	1213 nt	X16337; X16157;	
Mus musculus1357 ntAJ1324334) $OxyS$ Escherichia coli110 ntU873905) $DsrA$ Escherichia coli86 ntU17136 Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431putativeKlebsiella pneumoniae82 ntAF0904316) $DD3/PCGEMI$ Hono sapiens1600 ntAF103907 1600 nt1600 ntAF103907 1600 nt2000000000000000000000000000000000000	3) <i>G90</i>			
4) $OxyS$ Escherichia coli110 ntU873905) $DsrA$ Escherichia coli86 ntU17136 Salmonella typhinurium82 ntAF0904316) $DasiPCERMI$ Homo sapiens82 ntAF090431putative7) $DasiPCERMI$ Homo sapiens3800 ntAF103907 1600 ntAF22389Botic stress signals1) $His-1$ Homo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structure unknown alternatively spliced forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40EnvironmentGlycine max679 ntX69154ENOD40-1Glycine max679 ntX69155ENOD40-1Pisum sativum Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa Addicago sativa (600 ntX80263770 ntAF013594 Addicago sativa770 ntAF013594 Addicago sativa (33 ntJ2806Medicago sativa Nicotiana tabacum Vigna radiata Sesbania rostrata97 ntX80262Medicago truncatula Sesbania rostrata97 ntZ30964	Mus musculus	1357 nt	AJ132433	
Escherichia coli110 ntU873905) Dsr.AEscherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431balancella typhimurium82 ntAF090431(b) DJ3/PCGEMIHomo sapiens3800 ntAF1039071600 ntAF22389Biotic stress signals1) His-1Homo sapiensn/dUS6440gene sequence, exon structureMus musculuss3053 ntU09772unknown alternatively splicedforms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40Glycine max679 ntX69154Glycine max617 ntX69155ENOD40-1Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263Medicago sativa470 ntX89116Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNAEacteriophage Acm197 ntBacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	4) OxyS			
	Escherichia coli	110 nt	U87390	
Escherichia coli86 ntU17136Salmonella typhimurium82 ntAF090431 $Klebsiella pneumoniae82 ntAF0904310 DD3/PCGEM1Homo sapiens3800 ntAF1039071600 ntAF22389Biotic stress signals1) His-1Homo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structureMus musculuss3053 ntU09772000 tt3003 ntU10269000 ttK69154ENOD40-1010 ttK69155ENOD40-1010 ttX80155ENOD40-1010 ttX80164Phaseolus vulgaris000 ttX86441Vicia sativaVicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964$	5) DsrA			
Salmonella typhimurium Klebsiella pneumoniae 82 ntAF090431putative6) DJ/PCGEM1 Homo sapiens3800 ntAF103907 1600 ntAF22389Biotic stress signals1) His-1 Homo sapiensn/dU56440 1003 ntgene sequence, exon structure unknown alternatively spliced forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40 Glycine max679 ntX69154 X69155ENOD40-1 ENOD40-17 Pisum sativum Vicia sativa718 ntX86441 X80263 Trifolium repensG31 ntA1000268 A611 nt7 Medicago sativa Nedicago sativa626 ntX80263 T33 ntL328064 AF013594Medicago truncatula Nicotiana tabacum Kesbania rostrata331 ntAF061818 AF061818partial sequence partial sequence3) bilRNA Bacteriophage Acml97 ntZ30964	Escherichia coli	86 nt	U17136	
Klebsiella pneumoniae82 ntAF0904316) DD3/PCGEM1 Homo sapiens3800 ntAF103907 1600 nt1600 ntAF22389Biotic stress signals1) His-1 Homo sapiensn/dU56440 U09772Homo sapiensn/dU56440 unknown alternatively spliced 3003 nt2) ENOD40 Glycine max679 ntX69154 K101 thPisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaeolus vulgaris600 ntX86441 Vicia sativaVicia sativa718 ntX83683 Trifolium repensG31 ntAJ000268 Lotus japonicus70 ntMedicago truncatula Nedicago sativa920 ntX80263 T33 ntMedicago truncatula Sesbania rostrata331 ntAF061818 Paratilat Sesbania rostrata3) IbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Salmonella typhimurium	82 nt	AF090431	putative
	Klebsiella pneumoniae	82 nt	AF090431	
Homo sapiens3800 nt 1600 ntAF103907 AF22389Biotic stress signalsI1) His-1 Homo sapiensn/dU56440 U09772 Unknown alternatively spliced 3003 nt2) ENOD40 Glycine max679 nt 617 ntX69154 X69155Pisum sativum Phaseolus vulgaris600 nt X86441 Vicia sativa702 nt 718 ntVicia sativa Trifolium repens631 nt C26 nt AJ000268 Adicago sativaAJ000268 C26 nt AS0262 As0261 As1 ntMedicago truncatula Nedicago truncatula Nicotiana tabacum Vigna radiata Sesbania rostrata92 nt C38 nt C38 ntX80262 C27143) IbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm197 nt P7 ntZ30964	6) DD3/PCGEM1			
Interpret NameInterpret NameBiotic stress signals1) His-1 Homo sapiensn/dU56440 U56440Mus musculuss3053 ntU09772 U10269unknown alternatively spliced 3003 nt2) ENOD40 Glycine max679 ntX69154ENOD40-1 ENOD40-1617 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum Phaseolus vulgaris702 ntX81064 Vicia sativa718 nt718 ntX83683 Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268 Lotus japonicusLotus japonicus Medicago sativa770 ntAF013594 L32806Medicago truncatula Nicotiana tabacum Vicia ativa920 ntX80262 Nicotiana tabacum 470 ntX98716 V127143) IbiRNA Bacteriophage Acml97 ntZ30964	Homo sapiens	3800 nt	AF103907	
Biotic stress signals1) His-1 Homo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structure unknown alternatively spliced 3003 ntMus musculuss 3053 nt $U09772$ unknown alternatively spliced forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENDD40 Glycine max 679 nt $X69154$ ENOD40-1617 nt $X69155$ ENOD40-2Pisum sativum 702 nt $X81064$ Phaseolus vulgaris 600 nt $X86441$ Vicia sativa 718 nt $X83683$ Trifolium repens 631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus 770 nt $AF013594$ Medicago truncatula 920 nt $X80262$ Nicotiana tabacum 470 nt $X98716$ Vigna radiata 31 nt $AF061818$ Sesbania rostrata 638 nt $Y12714$ 3) lbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm1 97 nt $Z30964$	1	1600 nt	AF22389	
Dote wress signals1) His-1Homo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structureMus musculuss3053 ntU09772unknown alternatively spliced3003 ntU10269forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40Glycine max679 ntX69154ENOD40-1617 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263Nedicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX9716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) IbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Diatia atraca signala			
Homo sapiensn/dU56440gene sequence, exon structureMus musculuss3053 ntU09772unknown alternatively spliced3003 ntU10269forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40679 ntX69154ENOD40-1Glycine max679 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntYhicia sativa718 ntX86441Yicia sativa718 ntTrifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Forms of the same pre-mRNAMedicago sativa626 ntX80263Forms of the same pre-mRNAMedicago truncatula920 ntX80262partial sequenceNicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716partial sequenceVigna radiata331 ntAF061818partial sequenceSesbania rostrata638 ntY12714Y127143) IbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	1) His 1			
Mus musculussactControlgene bequence, store of watureMus musculuss3053 ntU00772unknown alternatively spliced3003 ntU10269forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40617 ntX69154ENOD40-1Glycine max679 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Homo saniens	n/d	U56440	gene sequence exon structure
Allow matchingJoor mCorrectInformation and partial sequence3003 ntU10269forms of the same pre-mRNA2) ENOD40679 ntX69154ENOD40-1Glycine max679 ntX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Mus musculuss	3053 nt	U09772	unknown alternatively spliced
2) ENOD40 Glycine max 679 nt X69154 ENOD40-1 617 nt X69155 ENOD40-2 Pisum sativum 702 nt X81064 Phaseolus vulgaris 600 nt X86441 Vicia sativa 718 nt X83683 Trifolium repens 631 nt AJ000268 Lotus japonicus 770 nt AF013594 Medicago sativa 626 nt X80263 733 nt L32806 Medicago truncatula 920 nt X80262 Nicotiana tabacum 470 nt X98716 Vigna radiata 331 nt AF061818 partial sequence Sesbania rostrata 638 nt Y12714 3) lbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm1 97 nt Z30964		3003 nt	U10269	forms of the same pre-mRNA
Clycine max 679 nt X69154ENOD40-1 617 nt X69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum 702 nt X81064Phaseolus vulgaris 600 nt X86441Vicia sativa 718 nt X83683Trifolium repens 631 nt AJ000268Lotus japonicus 770 nt AF013594Medicago sativa 626 nt X80263Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum 470 nt X98716Vigna radiata 331 nt AF061818Sesbania rostrata 638 nt Y127143) lbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm1 97 nt Z30964	2) $FNOD40$			I
Orycline maxGry mRef 10 mEntrop 10 m617 mtX69155ENOD40-2Pisum sativum702 mtX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 mtX86441Vicia sativa718 mtX83683Trifolium repens631 mtAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 mtAF013594Medicago sativa626 mtX80263Medicago truncatula920 mtX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 mtX98716Vigna radiata331 mtAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 mtY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 mtZ30964500 mtZ30964	Glycine max	679 nt	X69154	ENOD40-1
Pisum sativum702 ntX81064Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Gifeine max	617 nt	X69155	ENOD40-2
Phaseolus vulgaris600 ntX86441Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Pisum sativum	702 nt	X81064	
Vicia sativa718 ntX83683Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Phaseolus vulgaris	600 nt	X86441	
Trifolium repens631 ntAJ000268Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Vicia sativa	718 nt	X83683	
Lotus japonicus770 ntAF013594Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA97 ntZ30964	Trifolium repens	631 nt	AJ000268	
Medicago sativa626 ntX80263733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntNicotiana tabacum470 ntVigna radiata331 ntSesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Lotus japonicus	770 nt	AF013594	
733 ntL32806Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Medicago sativa	626 nt	X80263	
Medicago truncatula920 ntX80262Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818Sesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964		733 nt	L32806	
Nicotiana tabacum470 ntX98716Vigna radiata331 ntAF061818partial sequenceSesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNABacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Medicago truncatula	920 nt	X80262	
vigna raaiata531 ntAF061818partial sequenceSesbania rostrata638 ntY127143) lbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Nicotiana tabacum	470 nt	X98/16	mential as
Sestimation658 ntY 12/143) IbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	vigna radiata	331 nt	AFU01818 V12714	partial sequence
3) Ib1RNA Bacteriophage Acm197 ntZ30964	Sesoania rostrata	038 nt	1 12/14	
	3) IbiRNA Bacteriophage Acm1	97 nt	Z30964	

Table 1 (continued)

Non-coding RNA	Size	EMBL/GenBank Acc. No. or Reference	Remarks
4) CR20			
Cucumis sativus	1108 nt	D79216	
Arabidopsis thaliana	758 nt	D79218	
5) GUT15			
Arabidopsis thaliana	1377 nt	U84973	
Nicotiana tobaccum	1670 nt	U84972	
Other functions			
Rattus norvegicus	4723 nt	AB014883	the isolated clones
	920 nt	AB014882	contrain various number of
	2032 nt	AB014881	~ 0.9-kb repeats units
	1198 nt	AB014880	1
	1773 nt	AB014879	
	2244 nt	AB014878	
	1755 nt	AB014877	
<i>BC1</i> RNA			
Rattus rattus	152 nt	M16113	
Peromyscus maniculatus	391 nt	U33851	
Peromyscus californicus	359 nt	U33850	
Meriones unguiculatus	350 nt	U33852	
Mus musculuss Masocricatus auratus	132 m 142 m	U01310 U01309	
Cavia porcellus	142 m 165 nt	U01304	
PC200 PNA	100 110	001001	
Homo saniens	200 nt	AF020057 U01306	
Saguinus oedipus	195 nt	AF067788	
Saguinus imperator	194 nt	AF067787	
Aotus trivirgatus	196 nt	AF067786	
Macaca fascicularis	200 nt	AF067785	
Macaca mulatta	200 nt	AF067784	
Chlorocebus aethiops	205 nt	AF067783	
Papio hamadryas	197 nt	AF067782	
Hylodales lar Pongo migmacus	203 m 108 nt	AF007780	
Gorilla gorilla	204 nt	AF067779	
Pan paniscus	205 nt	AF067778	
2) SR 4			
Homo sapiens	875 nt	AF092038	
Mus musculuss	829 nt	AF092039	
3) meiRNA			
Schizosaccharomyces pombe	508 nt	D31852	
4) UHG			
Homo sapiens U22HG	1114 nt	U40580	
Mus musculus U22HG	590 nt	U40654	
Homo sapiens U17HG	885 nt	AJ006834	variant A
Mus musculus U17HG	2139 nt	AJ006835	variant AB
	1682 nt	AJ006836	
	383 nt	AJ006837	
Homo sapiens U19HG	081 nt 785 nt	AJ224167	
	785 III 310 nt	AJ224100 A I224170	
	375 nt	A J224170	
	666 nt	AJ224168	
Homo sapiens Gas5	4055 nt	AF141346	
5) <i>Xlsirt</i> RNA			
Xenopus laevis	76 nt	S67412	single repeat sequences
-	79 nt	S67413	•
	78 nt	S67414	
	80 nt	S67415	

DNA markers

Dosage compensation RNAs

Most genes are inherited in two copies, one from each parent. The sex-linked genes in males and mitochondrial genes are exceptions. Whereas most genes have identical properties, imprinted genes usually function only when inherited from either the mother or the father. These genes are 'imprinted' by one of the parents, a phenomenon termed parental, genomic, or gametic imprinting. It is an epigenetic event in which gene activity is reversibly modified depending on the sex of the parent who transmits it. Genomic imprinting leads to allele-specific expression depending on the parent of origin of the allele. Thus, in contrast to the expectations of simple Mendelian inheritance, imprinting results in the unequal expression of maternally and paternally derived alleles in an individual: one of the alleles is usually suppressed during development. The imprinted copy of the gene is considered to be the silent one. In the simplest case, the silent copy of the gene is the one actively regulated, or imprinted, in one of the gametes, while the active copy is in the default state. However, in some cases, the active copy may be actively regulated in the gamete, with the silent copy in the default state. Over 40 imprinted genes have been identified to date in the combined human and mouse genomes (http://www.geneimprint.com/ and http://www.mgu.har. mrc.ac.uk/anomaly/anomaly.html).

Imprinted genes are not distributed as single units throughout the genome, but tend to cluster together [3-5]. Normal mammalian development requires the correct parental contribution of imprinted genes. Their lack or aberrant expression leads to a variety of abnormalities [6]. One fundamental difference between male and female cells is the number for X chromosomes. The difference in their content and the requirement for equal expression of X genes in both sexes has led to the evolution of several types of dosage-compensation mechanism. In mammals and Drosophila, this process involves expression of specific genes, whose products (RNA transcripts) do not contain long open reading frames [1, 2, 7-13]. They act as RNA and together with specific proteins are responsible for chromatin remodeling. In mammals, both X chromosomes are transcriptionally active at the early stages of XX embryo development. However, upon differentiation, a single X chromosome is inactivated in early embryogenesis and that state is then stably maintained through subsequent cell generations. Inactivation of the paternally or maternally inherited X chromosome is random in somatic cells. Spontaneous non-programmed reactivation is extremely rare [14].

The inactive X chromosome is distinguished from the active one by the following properties: transcriptional down-regulation of nearly the entire chromosome, heterochromatic condensation at interphase of the cell cycle, late replication during S phase, DNA methylation of cytosine residues at CpG dinucleotides in the 5' region of X-linked genes, hypoacetylation of histone H4 and expression of *Xist* (X-inactive specific transcript) located at the X inactivation centre (*Xic*).

Xic loci on the X chromosome are initially 'counted', given that at least two copies of *Xic* are present per diploid genome for inactivation to occur. The counting process ensures that one X chromosome remains active in diploid cells [14]. The essential function of *Xic* is assured by a 450-kb region containing the Xist gene (in mouse) or XIST (in human). XIST/Xist is expressed exclusively from the inactive X chromosome in somatic cells and produces a large RNA of 19.3 kb in humans [15] and 17.4 kb in the mouse [16], for which no protein products have been identified [17]. The presence of the Xist gene in cis is both necessary and sufficient for X inactivation. Xist RNA is not exported from the nucleus and is associated with the inactive X chromosome. In differentiated cells, Xist RNA is produced solely from the inactive X chromosome (Xi), binding to and coating it. It does not interact directly with DNA but is likely to participate in a ribonucleoprotein complex. The protein content of Xi differs from that of the active X chromosome (Xa) and the autosomes [18]. The large increase in quantity of Xist RNA in the nucleus at the onset of X chromosome inactivation results from stabilization of the Xist RNA rather than an increase in the rate of transcription. Multiple copies of Xist transgenes inserted into mouse chromosome 12 produced an RNA transcript that coated the autosome, which became hypoacetylated, was replicated late, and transcriptionally inactive [19, 20].

In mouse embryonic stem cells, Xist is expressed from two active X chromosomes, but Xist is up-regulated prior to the inactivation of one X chromosome. This developmental activation is a consequence of the stabilization of Xist RNA due to a promoter switch, which is an effect of chromatin hyperacetylation upstream of Xist. On the other hand, hypoacetylation leads to the further progression of X inactivation involving a cascade of events. An increase in the level of Xist RNA associated with the X that will become inactivated is essential for the downstream events such as late replication, H4 hypoacetylation, DNA methylation, and down-regulation of gene expression which seems to proceed in a different order during differentiation. Histone deacetylases are also components of an X inactivation machinery that is recruited to the promoters of genes that are silenced on Xi [19–21]. Two functionally distinct promoters, P1 and P0, have been suggested to act within the Xist gene. P1 (and P2) is used in differentiated female cells for production of a stable transcript that coats the inactive X chromosome. A second promoter (P0) is located 6.6 kb upstream of P1 and produces unstable Xist transcripts in undifferentiated cells that have not undergone X inactivation. The location

Review Article 965

of P0 coincides with a ribosomal protein S12 pseudogene, and transcription of the *Xist* P1 promoter is antisense to *Xist* and represents the 3' end of the *Tsix* gene [22]. The transcribed *Xist* allele on *Xi* is more compact than the silenced *Xist* allele on *Xa* but its promoter region remains accessible to nucleases [23].

Imprinted genes in mammals are expressed from only one chromosome, but either the maternally or paternally inherited one is silenced. Such genes include Igf2 and other factors involved in growth control. Imprinting might work to balance maternal and paternal demands on the size of the embryo. Differential methylation which distinguishes the two copies of an imprinted gene originates in the parental germ cells, in the egg or sperm. Gene methylation ensures that it cannot be translated. DNA sequences involved in spreading of RNA and silencing into attached autosomes are not specific to the X chromosome. However, because the spread and inactivation are less efficient in autosomes, they must be promoted by something other than X chromatin. It turned out that the X chromosome of human and mouse are rich in L1 LINE elements (26%), of which there are only 13% in autosomal DNA [24-27]. These properties of L1 distribution on the X chromosome provide strong evidence that L1 elements may serve as DNA signals to propagate X inactivation along the chromosome [27, 28]. Monitoring with antibodies against acetylated H4 histone shows accelerated shortening of telomere repeats in the inactive X chromosome. This suggests that epigenetic factors modulate not only the length but also the rate of age-associated telomere shortening in human cells in vivo [29].

Deletion of a 65-kb region downstream of *Xist* results in its constitutive expression and X inactivation, implying the presence of a *cis*-regulatory element. In this region, the *Tsix* gene codes for an RNA anti-sense to *Xist* RNA [30, 31]. *Tsix* regulates *Xist* in *cis* and determines X chromosome choice without affecting silencing. Therefore, counting, choice, and silencing are genetically separable [14, 32]. *Tsix* is a 40-kb RNA originating 15 kb downstream of *Xist* and transcribed across the *Xist* locus [33–35]. Human *Tsix* RNA, which has no conserved open reading frames (ORFs), is seen exclusively in the nucleus, is localized at *Xic* and dynamically regulated during. Similar characteristics are found for anti-sense *Xist* (*XistAS*) in mouse [30, 31, 36, 37].

X chromosome inactivation and genome imprinting are associated with DNA methylation but the mechanism that initiates de novo modification remains obscure. One can speculate that non-coding RNAs (*Xist* RNAs) which are involved in imprinting direct DNA methylation. Before X inactivation *Tsix* is biallelically expressed but becomes monoallelically expressed at the onset of X inactivation, marking only the future active X and therefore raising the possibility that *Tsix* blocks *Xist* accumulation. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) experiments revealed that Tsix RNA co-localized with Xist RNA in the X inactivation centre [30]. Although the methylation status of Xist gene promoters of the active and inactive X chromosomes have not been precisely correlated with the presence or absence of Tsix, one can assume that an RNA-directed DNA methylation mechanism can contribute to the X inactivation process. Xist RNA probably triggers X chromosome methylation, leading to its inactivation [4]. In Drosophila, compensation for the reduced dosage of genes located on the single male X chromosome involves doubling their expression relative to their counterparts on female X chromosomes. This mechanism utilizes noncoding, spliced and polyadenylated roX RNAs. The location of genes encoding these unusual RNAs mark the X chromosome, which is the target of regulation. roX RNAs are associated with a specific acetylated form of histone 4 and elevated gene expression [38]. Dosage compensation is an epigenetic process based on the specific acetylation of histone 4 at lysine 16 by histone acetyltransferase (HAT), which is part of a chromosome-associated dosage compensation complex (DCC) comprising male-specific lethal (MSL) proteins and roX RNA. The HAT chromodomain interacts with rox RNA in vivo, contributing to the integration of HAT into the DCC on the male X chromosome. Chromodomains are important for the function of a number of chromatin regulators, but their modes of action remain enigmatic [39]. The dosage compensation process involves products of two genes, roX1 and roX2, which are male specific and do not encode proteins [25, 40]. roX1 RNA becomes associated with the X chromosome at sites determined by the binding of the msl (malespecific lethal) gene products complex. One of the MSL proteins is HAT, the other is DNA helicase, and their action probably leads to the chromatin remodeling allowing increased transcription [12].

rox RNAs are expressed in all somatic cells in males and in diploid cells they co-localize with the MSL proteins in a subregion of the nucleus corresponding to the X chromosome [41]. A two-step process for recognition of the X chromosome by the MSL protein complex has been proposed [38]. In the first step, MSL 1 and MSL 2 together recognize 30–40 sites distributed along the length of the X chromosome, to which they recruit other MSL proteins. Two of these sites encode *rox* RNAs which are incorporated into the growing MSL complex. This can associate with chromatin entry sites on the X chromosome and then spread along the chromosome in *cis* to locate all genes utilizing MSL-mediated dosage compensation [38, 42, 43].

Both flies and mammals face the same problem of equalizing gene expression of two X chromosome in females and one in males. Female mammals inactivate most of the genes of one X chromosome in each cell forming the Barr body. Female flies actively transcribe both X chromosomes and the male is forced to hypertranscribe his single X. Both mechanisms apparently utilize ncRNA to coat the dosage compensated chromosome: *Xist* in mammals and *roX* RNA in flies [18]. In summary, the RNA species currently knwon to be involved in gene regulation are human *Xist* and *Tsix* RNA, mouse *Xist* and *XistAS* RNA and *Drosophila roX1 and roX2* RNA. Partial *Xist* RNA sequences have been determined for *Oryctolagus cuniclulus* and *Equus caballus*. The Hz-1 virus persistence associated gene 1 (*pag1*) encoding a 2.9-kb non-coding viral *PAT1* RNA shows similarity to *Xist* [44].

RNA in imprinting

The *H19* encodes a capped, spliced and polyadenylated oncofetal 2.3-kb-long RNA [45–48]. It is a developmentally regulated gene and highly expressed in several fetal tissues, except the nervous system and thymus, and is repressed after birth in most organs. In adulthood, basal *H19* gene expression has been detected only in mammary gland, cardiac and skeletal muscles and, to a lesser extent, in kidney, adrenal gland, and lung. Cells with a high *H19* level stop proliferation after 48 h when cultivated in a low-serum-containing medium, while those lacking *H19* continue proliferation [49]. *H19* RNA has been suggested as an adjuvant tumor marker for diagnosis, staging, and follow-up of patients with ovarian serous carcinoma [50, 51].

The maternally expressed H19 is located 90 kb downstream from the paternally expressed Igf2 gene on human chromosome 11 and mouse chromosome 7. Due to their reciprocal imprinting and similar expression, these two genes are assumed to be functionally coupled. The intrinsic purpose of H19 is apparently to imprint Igf2. Its 5' region forms a boundary on the maternal chromosome that prevents downstream enhancers regulating the Igf2 promoters. Knock-out of the Igf2 upstream region releases the dominance of H19, identifying a mesoderm-specific silencer regulated by methylation and operating independently [52].

The imprinting results from methylation of a 7 to 9-kb domain on the paternal allele of H19. The 5' flank of the H19 gene contains an imprinting mark region characterized by paternal-allele-specific methylation. An evolutionarily conserved 42-bp upstream element might play a role in imprinting and/or transcriptional regulation of H19 [53, 54]. The G-rich repeat 1.5 kb upstream of mouse H19 is present in rats but not in humans and is not essential for H19 imprinting [55]. The transcriptionally active maternal allele is unmethylated whereas the inactive paternal is methylated. The differentially methylated region (DMR) in the mouse H19 locus extends over 2 kb at about 4 kb upstream of the gene promoter. A similar pattern of methylation is observed for human H19. The exact timing of the erasure of the old and establishment of the new modification pattern remains a very interesting

question. H19 and another imprinted gene, MESTP/ PEG1, are unmethylated in fetal spermatogonia, suggesting that all pre-existing methylation imprints are already erased by this stage. Methylation of H19 typical of the paternal allele first appears in a subset of adult spermatogonia and is then maintained in spermatocytes, spermatids and mature spermatozoa. This means that the methylation imprint inherited from the parents is first erased in the male germ line at an early fetal stage and that the paternal-specific imprint is reestablished only later, during spermatogonial differentiation in adult testes [56]. The loss or inactivation of the maternal copy of H19 has been found to be associated with several tumors, including Wilms' tumor [57]. Human H19 RNA contains four attachment sites for the oncofetal IGF2 mRNA-binding proteins with K_ds of 0.4-1.3 nM. They are located within a 700-nucleotide segment encoded by exons 4 and 5 [58]. The H19 genes isolated from human and mouse consist of five exons separated by four unusually short introns. No conserved ORF was found by sequence comparisons. There is a putative ORF in human H19 that could encode a 256-amino-acid-long protein, but no translation product has been identified. The gene product seems to act as a regulatory RNA and its function requires the ability to fold into a specific secondary structure [59]. Absolutely conserved sequences often lie next to helical parts in both hairpin loops and join regions, suggesting that the structure serves as a framework for presenting these invariant nucleosides [59].

H19 RNA is associated with polysomes in a variety of cell types both in mouse and human [60] and may be an antagonist of IGF2 expression in trans [57, 61]. Comparison of the mouse and rat gene versions indicates that the RNA is under stabilizing selection and hence is most likely functional [57]. Recently, a chromatin boundary model of genomic imprinting has been proposed. In this scheme, chromatin boundary domains (insulators) act as cis-acting elements that insulate a gene and its regulatory elements from position effects and block transcription when placed between a gene and its enhancer. An insulator located upstream of the H19 gene isolates Igf2 from its enhancers. When the regulatory elements are moved between the two genes (upstream of the putative insulator), the enhancers are accessible to *Igf2* but not to *H19*. When the imprinted-control region (ICR) is unmethylated on the maternally inherited chromosome, there are two nuclease-hypersensitive regions which overlap with several short CG-rich repetitive elements conserved in human and mouse. These repeats are targets for the conserved DNA-binding zinc finger protein CTCF. The CCCTCbinding factor interacts with the core insulator sequence via a domain containing 11 zinc fingers. The resulting insulator blocks activation of the maternal copy of *Igf2* by H19. The methylated ICR contains no hypersensitive sites and this prevents CTCF binding, thereby inactivating the insulator and allowing the H19 enhancer to activate Igf2 [62–70]. H19 gene expression was recently demonstrated to be highly expressed in steroid-hormonesensitive organs when the hormonal stimulation is accompanied by morphological repair [71]. To date, H19RNA sequences are known for human, mouse, rat, rabbit and, recently, for lynx, cat, orangutan, elephant, and gopher [65].

IPW RNA

IPW (imprinted gene in the Prader Willi syndrome) has been mapped in the Prader-Willi Syndrome (PWS) region, where it is exclusively expressed from the paternal chromosome. Clinical features of PWS include postnatal failure to thrive with childhood onset of hyperphagia, severe obesity and short stature with neurosecretory growth hormone deficiency. PWS is caused by genomic alterations that inactivate imprinted, paternally expressed genes on human chromosome 15q11-q13. IPW, a paternally expressed gene cloned from that region, is not expressed in individuals with PWS, and is therefore a good candidate for involvement in this disorder [72]. The IPW (human) and *ipw* (mouse) transcripts are alternatively spliced and do not show long ORFs. They contain multiple copies of a 147-bp repeat arranged in a head-to-tail orientation [45, 46]. However, unlike H19 and Xist, IPW is rather poorly conserved between mouse and human in both overall structure and nucleotide sequence. Similarity is restricted to a 500-bp region [72]. A mechanism for IPW RNA action has not yet been elucidated.

Gene regulators

NTT RNA

NTT is a human gene (non-coding transcript in <u>T</u> cells) expressed in activated CD4+ T cells. There is a single copy of the *NTT* gene per haploid genome and both alleles are transcriptionally active. It produces a 17-kb non-coding, polyadenylated and non-spliced nuclear RNA. *NTT* has no ORF larger than 270 bp. It may play a role in regulating of neighbouring gene expression, e.g. the interferon-gamma receptor gene [73].

DGCR5

Most cases of DiGeorge syndrome are associated with deletions within 22q1. A critical region of 500 kb is presumed to contain genes of major effect in haplo-insufficiency syndromes. It also contains sequences disrupted by a balanced translocation, which is associated with the ADU breakpoint. The gene encoding alternatively spliced transcripts of sequences including the ADU breakpoint has been named *DGCR5*. It codes non-translated *DGCR5* RNA and its function is not known [74, 75].

KvLQT1-AS

A relatively small number of genes are imprinted and expressed from either the paternally or the maternally inherited allele. Recently, transcription of the silenced allele of the imprinted gene, antisense RNA (AS-RNA), has been implicated in the imprinting mechanism of some of these marked genes. Specific synthesis of these AS-RNAs is made possible through the existence of regions in the imprinted genes whose methylation pattern differs in the two alleles (DMR).

Beckwith-Wiedeman syndrome (BWS) is a human disease characterized by tissue overgrowth, macroglossia, abnormal wall defects, and predisposition to embryonal tumors. Loss of imprinting at the Igf2 locus is associated with the cancer predisposition condition, BWS. IGF-2 expression may be responsible for the tissue hypertrophy, but a high level of IGF2 mRNA is connected with Wilms' tumor, rhabdomyosarcoma, and hepatoblastoma [76]. BWS is also related to hypomethylation at KvDMR1, a maternally methylated CpG island within an intron of the KvLQT1 gene. Imprinting control elements are proposed to exist within this KvLQT1 locus. Recently, an antisense transcript, KvLQT1-AS or LIT1, has been found. It is transcribed, exclusively from the paternal allele starting at the above-mentioned locus and in reverse orientation with respect to the maternally expressed KVLQT1 gene located on chromosome 11p15.5. Its function is not known [6]. KvLQT1-AS (LIT1) could be an imprinter gene that competes with the target-imprinted gene KvLQT1 for expression and is silenced by DNA methylation, probably directed by RNA [4]. Down-regulation of KvLOT1-AS expression during developmental relaxation of KvLQT1 imprinting would support the notion of a functional role for antisense RNA in this epigenetic process [6, 77, 78].

Nesp/GNAS

The *Gnas* locus in the distal part of chromosome 2 contains three imprinted genes in the order *Nesp-Gnasxl-Gnas*. These form a single transcription unit because RNA transcripts of *Nesp* and *Gnasxl* are alternatively spliced into exon 2 of *Gnas*. They are expressed from opposite parental alleles and are good candidates for imprinting phenotypes. *Nesp* is paternally imprinted and maternally expressed whereas *Gnasxl* is maternally imprinted and paternally expressed. *Nesp* provides an antisense transcript from the paternally derived allele, which could act as a regulatory element [79–81].

SCA8

Spinocerebellar ataxia type 8 (SCA8) is a neurodegenerative disorder caused by the expansion of a CTG trinucleotide repeat that is transcribed as part of the untranslated RNA. It has been found that the SCA8 is transcribed only through the repeat in the CTG orientation, as in the case for myotonic dystrophy (DM), but not in the CAG orientation, as in the other dominantly inherited ataxias SCA1, 2, 3, 6 and 7. In these latter cases, the CAG expansion is translated into a polyglutamine tract that adds a toxic gain of function to the respective proteins, whereas the CTG expansions in DM and SCA8 are not translated. A mechanism for DM is not known. The RNA transcripts containing the SCA8 CTG are alternatively spliced, polyadenylated, and finally expressed in various brain tissues. No extended ORF has been found [82, 83]. The SCA8 CTG repeat is preceded by a polymorphic but stable CTA tract with the configuration $(CTA)_{1-21}(CTG)_n$. Analyzed affected individuals had an uninterrupted CTG repeat tract or an allele with one or more CCG, CTA, CTC, CCA, or CTT interruptions. In addition, the SCA8 repeat tract in sperm undergoes contractions, with nearly all the resulting expanded alleles having repeat lengths of <100 CTG, a size that is not often associated with disease. These repeat contractions in sperm likely underlie the reduced penetrance associated with paternal transmission [84].

CMPD-associated RNA

Analysis of chromosomal translocations in patients with campomelic dysplasia (CMPD) suggested that gene disruption at 17q24–q25 might be responsible for this disease, which often involves sex reversal in genotypical males. Positional cloning allowed the identification and isolation of a 3.5-kb cDNA that was located close to the SOX9 gene. Northern blot analysis showed that it is specifically expressed in adult testes. No long ORFs were detected in this RNA and no protein was produced in in vitro translation experiments. These results suggest that RNA plays an important role in differentiation and sex determination [85].

Developmental timing RNA

The *Caenorhdabditis elegans* heterochronic gene pathway consists of a cascade of regulatory genes that are temporally controlled to specify the timing of developmental events. The products of the heterochronic genes include transcriptional and translational regulators and two different cases of novel small translational regulatory RNAs [86–90]. Other genes of the pathway encode evolutionarily conserved proteins [88].

The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-14* generates a temporal gradient of LIN-14 and LIN-28 proteins to control stage-specific patterns of cell lineage during development. Down-regulation of LIN-14 is mediated by the *lin-14* and *lin-28* 3'-untranslated region through interactions with *lin-4* RNAs of 22 and 61 nucleotides [86, 91–94].

Another heterochronic swich gene is let-7. Loss of its activity causes reiteration of larval cell fates during the adult stage, whereas increased let-7 gene dosage causes precocious expression of adult fates during larval stages. let-7 encodes a temporally regulated 21-nucleotide RNA complementary to the 3'-untranslated regions of lin-14, lin-28, lin-41, lin-42, and daf-12. The sequential stagespecific expression of the lin-4 and let-7 regulatory RNAs triggers transitions in the complement of heterochronic regulatory proteins to coordinate developmental timing [86-88]. The lin-4 and let-7 genes are the only ones known to encode small RNAs that specifically regulate others, but how they affect gene expression is not known [89, 90]. However, lin-14 is clearly regulated by lin-4 RNA at a step after transcription [92-96]. This observation is similar to the nanos gene [97]. In that case, the 90-nucleotide translational control element, which has a bipartite secondary structure, is recognized by a repressor and an activator. Two separate but overlapping recognition motifs for translational repressors and localization factors have been identified and provide a mechanism for the switch between translational deactivation and activation [94].

Other ncRNA transcripts

A non-coding antisense RNA overlapping with the ubiquitin protein ligase gene (*UBE3A*) has been found in association with Angelman syndrome [98]. It covers the 3' half of *UBE3A* and additional sequence downstream. The 5' end of the antisense transcript is 6.5 kb from the stop codon of *UBE3A* [99].

A novel locus in the human PWS region encodes the antisense *ZNF127* gene [100, 101].

A balanced translocation segregating with schizophrenia can disrupt gene function. Two genes are known: *DISC1*, a protein encoding gene and *DISC2*, which is an ncRNA antisense to *DISC1* [102].

The myoplasm is a cytoplasmic region involved in axis determination, gastrulation, muscle cell specification, and pattern cell division during ascidian development. One-cell zygotes of the ascidian Styela clava contain 1.2-kb polyadenylated RNA (yellow crescent RNA, ScYc RNA), present throughout embryonic development and associated with the cytoskeleton. ScYc RNA contains no long ORF, but a short ORF could encode a putative peptide of 49 amino acids without significant homology to known proteins [103]. It is localized in the cytoplasm and segregates with the larval muscle cells during cleavage. Probes containing the 3' region of Yc RNA were used to identify maternal Yc-related RNAs. A cDNA clone encoding the ascidian proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) has a long 3'-untranslated region containing a 521-nucleotide segment with antisense complementary to part of the 3' region of Yc RNA. This suggests that differential cell proliferation in the embryo may be limited by localization of maternal PCNA in RNA and protein [104]. The role of *Yc* RNA may be to promote PCNA mRNA degradation in myoplasm.

Antisense plasmid RNAs

Many genes are involved in programmed cell death in bacteria. Their function has primarily been ascribed to their ability to mediate plasmid maintenance by killing plasmid-free cells. At the same time, bacterial chromosomes encode numerous genes that are homologous to plasmid-encoded killer genes. Two types of loci that mediate plasmid stabilization by postsegregational killing (PSK) have been described. The first, the toxin-antitoxin gene system, encodes a stable toxin and an unstable protein anti-toxin. The other type of PSK genes are regulated by antisense RNAs. The toxins are encoded by stable mRNAs, whose translation is inhibited by unstable antisense RNAs. Non-translated RNAs exert regulatory functions via binding to complementary sequences. Most antisense RNAs have been identified in prokaryotic cells, mainly in their plasmids, transposons, and bacteriophages. Transient structures in RNA can be functionally important, as the final structure of an RNA often depends on a specific folding pathway determined by RNA itself.

The transient structures in RNA play a very important key role in the *hok-sok* system of plasmid R1. The locus encodes a very stable mRNA, which specifies the toxic Hok (host-killing) protein that can kill cells by damaging their membranes. Translation of *hok* is regulated by *sok* (suppression of killing) RNA, an unstable antisense RNA of 63 nucleotides complementary to the *hok* mRNA leader [105–107].

The initiation frequency of plasmid R1 is controlled by antisense RNA (*CopA*) which binds to the leader region of the *repA* mRNA (*CopT*). The *CopA-CopT* association process is woven as a series of reactions leading to progressively more stable complexes. *CopA* and *CopT* are fully complementary and both RNAs contain a major stem-loop structure that is essential for high pairing rates. The initial step involves a transient loop-loop interaction (kissing complex) between complementary hairpin loops [108, 109].

In the plasmids of the ColE1 family, control of replication is mediated by an antisense RNA, *RNAI*, that interacts with the preprimer, *RNAII*, via initial and transient base pairing between complementary loops [110, 111]. Crystallography showed that the stem-loop structures had melted to a duplex. In the stem-loop conformation, the RNA oligos bind the plasmid-encoded four-helix bundle protein rop [112].

Very similar interactions are observed in other plasmids: *pnd-pndB* of R483 [107] and *RNA-IN/RNA-OUT* of IS10 [113].

Abiotic stress signals

Oxidative stress response RNAs (gadd7/adapt15, adapt33)

The oxidative stress caused by exposure to UV radiation or reactive oxygen species is responsible for significant damage in biological cells. The effects of stress involve the inactivation of enzymes and transport proteins, peroxidation of lipids, DNA damage including mutations, as well as cleavage of cellular macromolecules. Oxidative stress has been shown to be responsible for several human disorders including cataract, arteriosclerosis, cancer, and aging. Although much is known about the chemical and biochemical consequences of oxidant exposure, little information is available about its effect on gene expression. In bacteria, a number of genes are involved in regulation by oxidative stress. These include catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione reductase, which are involved in detoxification of cells. In mammals, several groups of genes are expressed in response to UV irradiation or the exposure to hydrogen peroxide and other reactive oxygen species. However, transcripts of some of these genes apparently lack protein products and likely act as riboregulators.

One them, *gadd7*, is expressed in response to treatment with UV radiation. It belongs to the family of *gadd* genes induced by various types of growth arrest signals and by DNA damage. The *gadd7* transcript is a 0.9-kb-long polyadenylated RNA species, lacking a long ORF [114]. Sequence analysis showed that there might be three short ORFs for 38-, 37- and 43-amino-acid long peptides. However, in vitro translation of the *gadd7* transcript did not reveal any protein product. *gadd7* RNA may play its function in the regulation of other genes following DNA damage [114].

RNA species produced in response to oxidative stress induced by hydrogen peroxide are *adapt15* and *adapt33*. Their expression is correlated with the adaptive response to H_2O_2 [115]. Transcription products of both these genes lack long ORFs and are polyadenylated. The *adapt15* RNA is 0.95 kb long and is almost identical to *gadd7* RNA, whereas for *adapt33*, two homologous RNA species of 1.46 and 0.99 kb have been isolated [116]. *gadd/adapt* RNAs known to date have been isolated from Chinese hamster cells and include *gadd7*, *adapt15* and *adapt33* (two species). v-src end-associated peptide 1 RNA (*vseap1*) shows high similarity to *gadd7/adapt15* RNA. This RNA is translated and a peptide is formed. Its specific function is not known [117].

Heat shock response RNA (hsr ω)

Protection against environmental stress is mainly conferred by the induction of heat shock genes. This mechanism is common in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. The amino acid sequences of heat-shock-induced proteins isolated from a variety of organisms are highly conserved. In Drosophila, a major site of transcription in temperature stress is the $hsr\omega$ gene. It is located in the polytene region 93D. An interesting feature of this domain is that it is induced independently by the rest of the heat shock genes encoding all major groups of heat shock proteins [118]. The product of this gene is a polyadenylated and spliced RNA with very short ORFs. It shows very little conservation among different species. The expression of $hsr\omega$ is constitutive and elevated by heat shock. Most of the $hsr\omega$ transcripts are located in the nucleus [119]. In both normal and stressed cells, transcription from the hsr ω locus gives rise to three transcripts $-\omega l$, ω_2 , and ω_3 . The *hsr* ω_1 transcript is about 10 kb long and results from transcription of the entire locus. This RNA is present at very high levels in the nucleus. At the 3'-end of the $hsr\omega l$ transcript there is a 7 to 8-kb-long region consisting of a short tandem repeat unit. The $hsr\omega^2$ (about 1.9 kb) RNA also accumulates in the nucleus and results from the use of an alternative polyadenylation site located just upstream of the tandem repeat region. The $\omega 3$ transcript (1.2-1.3 kb) is a cytoplasmic species produced from $\omega 2$ by removal of a single intron. This RNA does not contain any long ORF. One of the short ORFs that is conserved in three Drosophila species would encode a 23- to 27-mino-acid-long peptide. This RNA likely does not act as a message for protein synthesis but performs other functions as regulatory RNA. However, no accumulation of a protein product was observed but some results suggest that the short ORF is translated. The act of translation alone and not the generation of a functional protein product may be important [120, 121]. The sequences of $hsr\omega$ genes have been determined for Drosophila melanogaster, D. hydei, and D. pseudoobscura.

G90 RNA

The murine *G90* gene has been identified by substractive hybridization based on the differential presence of its transcript in large and small intestine. The *G90* gene was mapped to a region of mouse chromosome 6. G90 is transcribed at very high levels in the small intestine and at lower levels in large intestine, testis, and kidney of the mouse [122]. The full-length cDNA produces a 1.5-kb transcript that is polyadenylated but has no ORF larger than 249 bp. Its highly specific expression pattern might suggest that *G90* is involved in cell cycle arrest in intestinal epithelium and perhaps in testis. Another possibility is that *G90* is just a marker for cellular differentiation [122].

OxyS RNA

During exponential growth, the expression of several hydrogen-peroxide-inducible proteins in *Escherichia coli* is controlled by the transcriptional regulator, OxyR [123, 124]. This induces the expression of an abundant 109nucleotide-long untranslated regulatory OxyS RNA. This RNA acts as a global regulator by affecting the expression of as many as 40 genes in E. coli, which coordinate adaptation to hydrogen peroxide with other cellular stress responses and help to protect cells against oxidative damage. OxyS inhibits the translation of fhIA, the transcriptional activator for formate metabolism, by an antisense mechanism. OxyS contacts two separate target RNA regions more than 40 nucleotides apart and both interactions are important for OxyS-target binding as well as for blocking ribosome access to the *fhlA* translation initiation site [125, 126]. A main target of OxyS is an mRNA encoding the σ_s subunit of RNA polymerase. OxyS RNA is one of the elements in the cascade of factors that modulate the stability of a region of σ_s -encoding mRNA. OxyS RNA can pair with a short sequence overlapping the Shine-Dalgarno sequence in that mRNA [127–131].

DsrA RNA

Regulation of capsular polysaccharide synthesis in E. coli K-12 depends on the level of the unstable positive regulator RcsA. The amount of RcsA protein is small because of its rapid degradation and low synthesis level due to transcriptional silencing by the histone-like protein H-NS. A small 85-nucleotide-long DsrA RNA activates RcsA transcription by counteracting H-NS silencing [132-136]. DsrA contains regions of sequence complementary to at least five different genes: hns, argR, ilvIH, rpoS, and rbsD, but acts in trans by RNA-RNA interactions with only two mRNAs: hns and rpoS. H-NS is a major nucleoid-structuring, histone-like protein responsible for silencing a number of bacterial genes, RpoS(G^s) in the stationary phase, and the stress response sigma-factor of RNA polymerase. DsrA antagonizes HN-S function by decreasing the level of H-NS protein in the cell and increasing RpoS especially at low temperature. Thus DsrA has opposite effects on these two targets, both mediated by RNA, with global regulatory consequences for the transcriptional state of the cell. Whereas the mechanism of DsrA action at Hns is not known, DsrA binds the operator of rpoS to open a stable stem-loop of rps RNA [132-136] enabling access to the Shine-Dalgarno sequence and thus enhancing translation. Structure predictions using thermodynamic calculations suggest that DsrA consists of three stem-loop structures, the last being the transcription terminator of DsrA [132]. The hns complementary region in the center of the molecule resides within the predicted second stem-loop but the rpoS region occupies the predicted first stem-loop and the base of the second stem [135, 136]. Footprinting in the presence or absence of hns RNA in vitro shows a distinct DsrA-rpoS interaction involving stem-loop 1, whereas a

two-parts *DsrA-hns* interaction involves stem-loop 2. There is base pairing between *DsrA* and two regions of *hns*, near the 5' and 3' ends of its coding region, resulting in a contiguous coaxial stack, looping out in the middle part of *hns* mRNA exposed to nucleases. These interactions are proposed to circularize *hns* mRNA and define the structural basis of *DsrA* activity at *hns*. On the other hand, the structure of stem-loop 2 of *DsrA* remains intact while base paired to *rpoS* mRNA, stabilizing *rpoS* and reducing mRNA turnover [137].

DD3/PCGEM1 RNA

The prostate-specific genes, *DD3* and *PCGEM1*, are specifically expressed in human prostate tissue. The *PCGEM1* locus has been mapped to chromosome 2q32. cDNA sequence analysis revealed that *PCGEM1* lacks protein-coding capacity and suggests that it may belong to the ncRNAs. There are two RNAs which have highly prostate specific expression in cancer versus normal prostate [138, 140].

Another gene, *DD3* maps to chromosome 9q21–22. No extensive ORF has been found, and the transcript may function as a ncRNA [139, 140].

Biotic stress signals

His-1 RNA

His-1 is a single-copy gene that has been found in a variety of vertebrate species. Upon viral insertion in murine myeloid leukemias, the His-1 gene is activated. It is not expressed in uninfected cells. His-1 RNA is expressed as a 3-kb-long, spliced and polyadenylated RNA. An analysis of the RNA sequence did not reveal any ORF that would exceed 219 nucleotides (73 amino acids). The lack of a long ORF suggests that it can function as a riboregulator. His-1 is expressed at low levels in the epithelial cells of the adult mouse stomach, prostate, seminal vesicle, and developing choroid plexus. The presence of His-1 RNA is correlated with a viral insertion and carcinogenesis, since no transcripts were detected in normal tissues. They can be readily identified in mouse leukemias and carcinomas. This finding suggests that expression of the His-1 gene is highly restricted and that its inappropriate activation may contribute to carcinogenesis [141-143]. His-1 RNAs known to date are those from human and mouse.

Early nodulin 40 (ENOD40)

Genes that are activated in plants by Nod (nodulin) factors are called nodulins. In the symbiosis between rhizobia and legumes, nitrogen-fixing nodules are formed the an outcome of a complex process that includes new organ development, microbial invasion of plant tissues, internalization of bacteria in plant cells, and functional differentiation of the two partners. During nodule development, several plant genes are expressed in subsequent stages. Genes that are transcribed early in the interaction (ENOD genes) seem to play a role in organogenesis and bacterial invasion. Several ENOD clones have been isolated, but their individual contribution to nodule formation is often unclear. Some nodulins have been employed as early markers to study the initiation of nodulation [144–146]. One of them, ENOD40, an early nodulin gene, is expressed following inoculation with Rhizobium meliloti or by adding R. meliloti-produced Nod factors or the plant hormone cytokinin to non-inoculated roots [147, 148]. It is detectable in the root pericycle opposite the nodule primordium even before the appearance of infection threads, and is also found later, associated with vascular strands in mature nodules. Comparison of the ENOD40 sequence isolated from several legumes and one non-legume did not reveal any conserved large ORF. Instead, a conserved region, which might allow the production of stable cytoplasmic RNA was found. ENOD40 has therefore been proposed to encode RNA with a regulatory function. The different ENOD40 RNAs have only one short ORF for peptides 10-13 amino acids long, and the 3' end region appears to have important functions as a riboregulator [149-152].

ENOD40 RNAs known to date are those from *Glycine* max, *Pisum sativum*, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Vicia sativa*, *Trifolium repens*, *Lotus japonicus*, *Medicago sativa*, *M. truncatula*, *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Vigna radiata*, and *Sesbania rostrata*.

lbi RNA

The *lbi* (<u>lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis interfering</u>) RNA of phage Acm1, a non-translated RNA species of 97 nucleotides, was shown to affect the biosynthesis of the O-specific polysaccharide of lipopolysaccharide in various *E. coli* strains and to down-regulate the synthesis of the D-glucan component of the O-specific polysaccharide in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* serotype 01. *lbi* RNA consists of two consecutive stem-loop structures. The 5'-proximal hairpin loop function is a key structural element in the mechanism leading to the inhibition of D-glucan biosynthesis due to its antisense interactions with cellular target RNAs [153, 154].

Cytokinin response RNA (CR20)

CR20 is one of several genes repressed by cytokinins in excised cotyledons of cucumber. Detailed analysis showed that there are several *CR20* transcripts generated by alternative splicing of precursor RNA. However, none of the isolated and sequenced *CR20* transcripts contained a

long ORF. This suggests that these RNAs are not translated into a protein and may function as riboregulators. A comparison of the two known *CR20* sequences from cucumber and *Arabidopsis thaliana* revealed that although they show little overall homology, there is a highly conserved 180-nt region that seems to form a stable secondary structure [155].

GUT15 RNA

The majority of transcripts in eukaryotic cells are stable, with half-lives in the order of hours. In contrast to these relatively stable mRNAs, a subset of transcripts is rapidly degraded, with half-lives of the order of minutes. The tobacco genes with unstable transcripts (*GUT*) have been isolated and characterized. *GUT15* RNA has a polyA tail and one intron, but does not contain an ORF. It has a long segment similar to that of *CR20* [156, 157]. Similar RNAs may also be present in aspen, poplar, soybean, rice and maize [Ambro van Hoof, personal communication].

Other functions of ncRNAs

Brain-specific RNAs (Bsr, BC1, BC200 RNA)

Brain-specific repetitive RNA (*Bsr* RNA) is an ncRNA that consists of tandem repeats of similar sequences, approximately 0.9 kb in length. The isolated clones may contain one or more repeats. In rat, *Bsr* RNA is preferentially expressed in the central nervous system [158].

BC1 RNA is generated by retroposition of tRNA^{Ala}. It is a 152-nucleotides-long transcript expressed preferentially in the brain by polymerase III. The sequence similarity between tRNA^{Ala} and the 5' half of *BC1* RNA is approximately 80%. However, this domain does not fold in a tRNA-like manner, but forms a stable stem-loop structure. The level of this RNA increases during the period of synaptogenesis [159, 160].

BC200 RNA is a small cytoplasmic ncRNA identified in a number of primate species. Its expression is almost exclusively restricted to neural tissues. In the cell, it is present as a ribonucleoprotein particle. The gene encoding BC200arose from a monomeric Alu element, and the RNA it encodes has been recruited into a function in the nervous system [160–162]. The 5' domain consists of about 120 nt with identity to monomeric Alu element. BC200 RNA has been identified in all *Anthropoidea* [163].

SRA RNA

SRA RNA, a steroid receptor RNA activator, is selective for steroid hormone receptors and mediates transactivation via its amino-terminal domain. The transcript is a 0.7- to 0.85-kb RNA. It is a *bona fide* transcriptional coactivator selective for the AF1 domain of steroid receptors. It is expressed as multiple isoforms in a cell-specific manner and present in a steady-state co-regulator complex with the AF2 co-activator SRC-1 [164].

meiRNA

Fission yeast protein Mei2 is an RNA-binding protein required for both premeiotic DNA synthesis and meiosis I. It binds to polyadenylated *meiRNA* of about 0.5 kb, the loss of which blocks meiosis I, and is required for nuclear localization of Mei2 [165–167].

UHG RNAs

Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are essential for maturation of rRNA. They are encoded within different introns of the unusually compact mammalian U22 host gene (UHG). U22 RNA is essential for the maturation of 18S rRNA. The U22 snoRNA gene lies within an intron of the single-copy gene UHG that encodes a polyadenylated ncRNA. UHG RNAs in human and mouse are 1114 and 590 nucleotides, respectively [168]. Recently, three other non-protein-coding snoRNA host genes, Gas5 [169], U17HG [170], and U19HG [171], have been identified. Gas5 spliced RNA becomes polyadenylated and associates with translating ribosomes [169]. The transcript of U17HG is enriched in the cytoplasm but does not associate with translating ribosomes [170]. Both the Gas5 and H17HG transcripts initiate with a C nucleotide followed by a pyrimidine-rich tract and belong to the 5'-terminal oligopyrimidine gene family (5'-TOP) [172]. U19HG remains in the nucleus after splicing and has a 5' sequence reminiscent of 5'-TOP [171].

Xlsirt RNA

Xlsirt RNAs have been identified as RNA species localized to the vegetal cortex of Xenopus oocytes during early stages of oogenesis. They have been proposed to play a structural role and to be used to localize other RNAs such as Vg1 mRNA. Xlsirt RNAs form a family of heterogenous molecules originating from both strands of the genes. Those from one strand (sense) are localized in the vegetal cortex, while others are found throughout the cytoplasm. The transcripts contain 3-13 repeat units that are flanked by unique sequences [173, 174].

Conclusion

To date, more than 100 ncRNAs have been identified, some of which are partly characterized. They differ in origin, function size and structure. None have long ORFs and toghether form a collection of stable RNA molecules involved in many cellular regulatory pathways. These ncRNAs are developmentally regulated or show highly restricted patterns of gene expression. They provide important insights into RNA-based mechanisms of gene expression, genomic imprinting, cell cycle progression, and differentiation. Some ncRNAs influence complex neurobehavioral phenotypes including psychiatric disorders [175]. In many cases, this is done by interaction with 3'untranslated regions of mRNA [176]. Data exist that some ncRNAs are integral components of the 'compensasome' involved in dosage compensation and genomic imprinting. These RNAs might also function as chromatin remodeling factors. Some of the RNAs resemble very closely those involved in gene silencing mediated by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), called interference RNA (RNAi) [177]. dsRNA is cleaved into short RNAs of 21-23 nucleotides which mediate RNA degradation of the complementary sequences [178, 179]. Although RNAi acts by a different mechanism, the structural similarity to some ncRNAs is striking.

The interesting question is why so many different noncoding regulatory RNAs with various functions occur within the cell. The answer may lie in the amazing variety of structures, mechanisms, and biological functions acquired by RNAs that provide the basis to use them in various regulatory processes. Many small RNAs require a protein component for their activity as in the case of *tmRNA* [180], *M1* RNA of RNase P [181] and the RNA chaperone Hfg (*HF1*) [182].

The energetic cost of synthesis of small RNAs may be much lower than for a protein, for which mRNA has to be synthesized first, followed by translation into a chain of amino acids [125]. However, this argument is not quite so convincing for large RNAs such as *Xist* or *H19*.

Finally, there is the general question concerning finding and analyzing ncRNAs in the era of genomics. They are not easy identifiable, and in most cases do not shown conserved motifs or signals [183]. New structural data are urgently needed. They will form a basis to improve our understanding of the mechanisms of ncRNA action.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) and by the DFG Trilateral Research Project 'Genomic Imprinting in Human Bladder Cancer'.

- Erdmann V. A., Barciszewska M. Z., Szymanski M., Hochberg A., Groot N. de and Barciszewski J. (2001) The noncoding RNAs as riboregulators. Nucleic Acids Res. 29: 189–193
- 2 Eddy S. R. (1999) Non-coding RNA genes. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 9: 695–699
- 3 Reik W. and Walter J. (2001) Genomic imprinting: parental influence on the genome. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2: 21-32
- 4 Wassenegge M. (2000) RNA-directed DNA methylation. Plant Mol. Biol. **43:** 203–220
- 5 John R. M. and Surani M. A. (2000) Genomic imprinting, mammalian evolution and the mystery of egg-laying mammals. Cell 101: 585–588

- 6 Smilinich N. J., Day C. D., Fitzpatrick G. V., Caldwell G. M., Lossie A. C., Cooper P. R. et al. (1999) A maternally methylated CpG island in KvLQT1 is associated with an antisense paternal transcript and loss of imprinting in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96:** 8064–8069
- 7 Johnston C. M., Nesterova T. B., Formstone E. J., Newall A. E., Duthie S. M., Sheardown S. A. et al. (1998) Developmentally regulated *Xist* promoter switch mediates initiation of X-inactivation. Cell **94:** 809–817
- 8 Marahrens Y. (1999) X-inactivation by chromosomal pairing events. Genet. Dev. 13: 2624–2632
- 9 Panning B. and Jaenisch R. (1998) RNA and the epigenetic regulation of X-chromosome inactivation. Cell 93: 305–308
- 10 Askew D.S. and Xu F. (1999) New insight into the function of noncoding RNA and its potential role in disease pathogenesis. Histol. Histopathol. 14: 235–245
- 11 Lee J. T. (2000) Disruption of imprinted X inactivation by parent-of-origin effects at Tsix. Cell 103: 17–27
- 12 Kelley R. L. and Kuroda M. I. (2000) The role of chromosomal RNAs in marking the X for dosage compensation. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10: 555–561
- 13 Kelley R. L. and Kuroda M. I. (2000) Noncoding RNA genes in dosage compensation and imprinting. Cell **103**: 9–12
- 14 Avner P. and Heard E. (2001) X-chromosome inactivation: counting, choice and initiation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2: 56–67
- 15 Hong Y.-K., Ontiveros S. D. and Strauss W. M. (2000) A revision of the human XIST gene organization and structural comparison with mouse *Xist*. Mamm. Genome 11: 220–224
- 16 Hong Y.-K., Ontiveros S. D., Chen C. and Strauss W. M. (2000) A new structural for the murine *Xist* gene and its relationship to chromosome choice/counting during X-chromosome inactivation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96:** 6829–6834
- 17 Clerc P. and Avner P. (1998) Role of the region 3' to Xist exon 6 in the counting process of X-chromosome inactivation. Nat. Genet. 19: 249–253
- Meller V. H. (2000) Dosage compensation: making 1X equal 2X. Trends Cell Biol. 10: 54–59
- 19 Gilbert S. L. and Sharp P. A. (2000) Promoter-specific hypoacetylation of X inactivated genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 13825–13830
- 20 Schlissel M. S. (2000) A tail of histone acetylation and DNA recombination. Science 287: 438-439
- 21 Chenong W. L. Briggs S. D. and Allis C. D. (2000) Acetylation and chromosomal functions. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 12: 326–333
- 22 Warshawsky D., Stavropoulos N. and Lee J. T. (1999) Further examination of the *Xist* promoter-switch hypothesis in X inactivation: evidence against the existence and function of a P(0) promoter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96:** 14424–14429
- 23 Endo Y., Watanabe T., Mishima Y., Yoshimura A., Takagi N. and Kominami R. (1999) Compact chromatin packaging of inactive X chromosome involves the actively transcribed *Xist* gene. Mamm. Genome **10:** 606–610
- 24 Lyon M. F. (2000) LINE-1 elements and X chromosome inactivation: a function for 'junk' DNA? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 6248–6249
- 25 Lyon M. F. (1998) X-chromosome inactivation: a repeat hypothesis. Cytogenet. Cell Genet. 80: 133–137
- 26 Sasaki H., Ishihara K. and Kato R. (2000) Mechanisms of *Igf2/H19* imprinting: DNA methylation, chromatin and longdistance gene regulation. J. Biochem. **127**: 711–715
- 27 Bailey J. A., Carrel L., Chakravarti A. and Eichler E. E. (2000) Molecular evidence for a relationship between LINE-1 elements and X-chromosome inactivation: the Lyon repeat hypothesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 6634–6639
- 28 Weiner A. M. (2000) Do all SINEs lead to LINEs? Nat. Genet. 24: 332–333
- 29 Surralles J., Hande M. P., Marcos R. and Lansdorp P. M. (1999) Accelerated telomere shortening in the human inactive X chromosome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 65: 1617–1622

- 30 Lee J. T., Davidov L. S. and Varshawsky D. (1999) *Tsix*, a gene antisense to *Xist* at the X-inactivation centre. Nat. Genet. 21: 400–404
- 31 Mise N., Goto Y., Nakajima N. and Takagi N. (1999) Molecular cloning of antisense transcript of the mouse *Xist* gene. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 258: 537–541
- 32 Lee J. T. and Lu N. (1999) Targeted mutagenesis of *Tsix* leads to nonrandom X inactivation. Cell **99:** 47–57
- 33 McCabe V., Formstone E. J., O'Neill L. P., Turner B. M. and Brockdorff N. (1999) Chromatin structure analysis of the mouse *Xist* locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 96: 7155–7160
- 34 Duthie S. M., Nesterova T. B., Formstone E. J., Keohane A. M. and Turner B. M., Zakian S. M. et al. (1999) Xist RNA exhibits a banded localisation on the inactive X-chromosome and is excluded from autosomal material in cis. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8: 195–204
- 35 Keohane A. M., Barlow A. L., Waters J., Bourn D. and Turner, B. M. (1999) H4 acetylation, *Xist* RNA and replication timing are coincident and define X; autosome boundaries in two abnormal X-chromosomes. Hum. Mol. Genet. 18: 377–383
- 36 O'Neill L. P., Keokhane A. M., Lavender J. S., McCabe V., Heard E., Avner P. et al. (1999) A developmental switch in H4 acetylation upstream of *Xist* plays a role in X-chromosome inactivation. EMBO J. 18: 2897–2907
- 37 Lee J.T. (2000) Disruption of imprinted X inactivation by parent-of-origin effects at Tsix. Cell 103: 17–27
- 38 Kelley R. L., Meller V. H., Gordadze P. R., Roman, G., Davis R. L. and Kuroda M. I. (1999) Epigenetic spreading of the *Drosophila* dosage compensation complex from *roX* RNA genes into flanking chromatin. Cell **98:** 513–522
- 39 Akhtar A., Zink D. and Becker P. B. (2000) Chromodomains are protein-RNA interaction modules. Nat. 407: 405–408
- 40 Moulton C. M., Chow J. C., Brown C. J., and Lawrence J. B. (1998) Stabilisation and localisation of *Xist* RNA are controlled by separate mechanisms and are not sufficient for X-inactivation. J. Cell Biol. **142**: 13–23
- 41 Franke A. and Baker B. S. (1999) The *rox1* and *rox2* RNAs are essential components of the compensasome, which mediates dosage compensation in *Drosophila*. Mol. Cell **4:** 117–122
- 42 Franke A. and Baker B. S. (2000) Dosage compensation rox. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. **12:** 351–354
- 43 Meller V. H., Gordadze P. R., Park Y., Chu X., Stuckenholtz C., Kelly R. L. and Kuroda M. I. (2000) Ordered assembly of *roX* RNAs into MSL complexes on the dosage-compensated X chromosome in *Drosophila*. Curr. Biol. **10**: 136–143
- 44 Chao Y.-C., Lee S-.T., Chang M.-C., Chen H.-H., Chen S.-S., Wu, T.-Y. et al. (1998) A 2.9 kilobase non-coding nuclear RNA functions in the establishment of persistent Hz-1 viral infection. J. Virol. **72:** 2233–2245
- 45 Tilgman S. M. (1999) The sins of the fathers and mothers: genomic imprinting in mammalian development. Cell 96: 185–193
- 46 Brannan C. I. and Bartolomei M. (1999) Mechanisms of genomic imprinting. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 9: 164–170
- 47 Adriaenssens E., Dumont L., Lottin S., Bolle D., Lepretre A., Delobelle A. et al. (1998) *H19* over-expression in breast adenocarcinoma stromal cells is associated with tumor values and steroid receptor status but independent of p53 and Ki-67 expression. Am. J. Pathol. **153**: 1597–1607
- 48 Ariel I., Ayesh S., Perlman E. J., Pizov G., Tanos V., Schneider T. et al. (1997) The product of the imprinted H19 gene is an oncofetal RNA. Molec. Pathol. 50: 34–44
- 49 Ohana P, Kopf E., Bibi O., Ayesh S., Schneider T., Laster M. et al. (1999) The expression of the *H19* gene and its function in human bladder carcinoma cell lines. FEBS Lett. 454: 81–84
- 50 TanosV., Prus D., Ayesh S., Weinstein D., Tykocinski M. L., DeGroot N. et al. (1999) Expression of the imprinted *H19* oncofetal RNA in epithelial ovarian cancer. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 85: 7–11

- 51 Ariel I., de Groot N. and Hochberg A. (2000) Imprinted *H19* gene expression in embryogenesis and human cancer: the on-cofetal connection. Am. J. Med. Genet. 91: 46–50
- 52 Kelsey G. (2000) The hows and whys of imprinting. Trends Genet. **16**: 15–16
- 53 Frevel M. A. E., Hornberg J. J. and Reeve A. E. (1999) A potential imprint control element identification of conserved 42 bp sequence upstream of *H19*. Trends Genet. 15: 216–218
- 54 Kanduri C., Holmgren C., Pilartz M., Franklin G., Kanduri M., Liu L. et al. (2000) The 5' flank of mouse H19 in an unusual chromatin conformation undirectionally blocks enhancer-promoter communication. Curr. Biol. 10: 449–457
- 55 Stadnick M. P., Pieracci F. M., Cranston M. J., Taksel E., Thorvaldsen J. L. and Bartolomei M. S. (1999) Role of a 461-bp G-rich repetitive element in *H19* transgene imprinting. Dev. Genes Evol. 209: 239–248
- 56 Kerjean A., Dupont J-M., Vasseur C., Le Tessier D., Cuisset L., Paldi A. et al. (2000) Establishment of the paternal methylation imprint of the human H19 and MEST/PEG1 genes during spermatogenesis. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 2183–2187
- 57 Hurst L. D. and Smith N. G. C. (1999) Molecular evolutionary evidence that *H19* mRNA is functional. Trends Genet. 15: 134–135
- 58 Runge S., Nielsen F.C., Nielsen J., Lykke-Andersen J., Wewer U. M. and Christiansen J. (2000) H19 RNA binds four molecules of IGF-II mRNA-binding protein. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 29562–29569
- 59 Juan V., Crain Ch. and Wilson Ch. (2000) Evidence for evolutionarily conserved secondary structure in the *H19* tumor suppressor RNA. Nucleic. Acids Res. 28: 1221–1227
- 60 Li Y. M., Franklin G., Cin H. M., Svenson K., He X. B., Adam G. et al. (1998) The H19 transcript is associated with polysomes and may regulate IGF-2 expression in trans. J. Biol. Chem. 273: 28247–28252
- 61 Juan V., Crain C. and Wilson C. (2000) Evidence for evolutionarily conserved secondary structure in the *H19* tumor suppressor RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 1221–1227
- 62 Bell A.C. and Felsenfeld G. (2000) Methylation of a CTCFdependent boundary controls imprinted expression of the *Igf2* gene. Nat. 405: 482–485
- 63 Hark A. T., Schoenherr C. J., Katz D. J., Ingram R. S., Levorse J. M. and Tilgman S. M. (2000) CTCF mediates methylation-sensitive enhancer-blocking activity at the *H19/Igf2* locus. Nat. 405: 486–489
- 64 Thorvaldsen J. L. and Bartolomei M. S. (2000) Mothers setting boundaries. Science 288: 2145–2146
- 65 Wilkin F., Paquette J., Ledru E., Mamelin C., Pollak M. and Deal C. L. (2000) H19 sense and antisense transgenes modify insulin like growth factor-II mRNA levels. Eur. J. Biochem. 267: 4020–4027
- 66 Srivastava M., Hsieh S., Grinberg A., Williams-Simons L., Huang S. P. and Pfeifer K. (2000) *H19* and *Igf2* monoallelic expression is regulated in two distinct ways by a shared *cis* acting regulatory region upstream of *H19*. Genes Dev. 14: 1186–1195
- 67 Reik W. and Murrell A. (2000) Science across the border. Nat. 405: 408–409
- 68 Wolffe A. P. (2000) Transcriptional control: imprinting insulation. Curr. Biol. 10: R463–R465
- 69 Kanduri C., Pant V., Loukinov D., Pugacheva E., Qi C.-F., Wolffe A. et al. (2000) Functional association of CTCF with the insulator upstream of the H19 gene is parent of origin-specific and methylation sensitive. Curr. Biol. **10**: 853–856
- 70 Allshire R. and Bickmore W. (2000) Pausing for thought on the boundaries of imprinting. Cell **102:** 705–708
- 71 Adriaenssens E., Lottin S., Dugimont T., Fauquette W., Coll J., Dupouy J. P. et al. (1999) Steroid hormones modulate H19 gene expression in both mammary gland and uterus. Oncogene 18: 4460–4473

- 72 Wevrick R. and Francke U. (1997) An imprinted mouse transcript homologous to the human imprinted in Prader-Willi syndrome (IPW) gene. Hum. Mol. Genet. **6**: 325–332
- 73 Li A. Y., Torchia B. S., Migeon B. R. and Siliciano R. F. (1997) The human NTT gene: identification of a novel 17 kb noncoding nuclear RNA expressed in activated CD+ T cells. Genomics 39: 171–184
- 74 Southerland H. F., Wadey R., McKie J. M., Taylor C., Atif U., Johnstone K. A. et al. (1996) Identification of a novel transcript disrupted by a balanced translocation associated with DiGeorge syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 59: 23–31
- 75 Southerland H. F., Kim U. J. and Scambler P. J. (1998) Cloning and comparative mapping of the DiGeorge syndrome critical region in the mouse. Genomic 52: 37–43
- 76 Sperandeo M. P., Ungaro P., Vernucci M., Pedone P. V., Cerrato F., Perone L. et al. (2000) Relaxation of insulin-like growth factor 2 imprinting and discordant methylation at *KvDMR1* in two first cousins affected by Beckwith-Wiedemann and Klippel-Trenaunay-Weber syndromes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 66: 841–847
- 77 Mitsuya K., Meguro M., Lee M. P., Katoh M., Schulz T. C., Kugoh H. et al. (1999) LIT1, an imprinted antisense RNA in the human *KvLQT1* locus identified by screening for differentially expressed transcripts using monochromosomal hybrids. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8: 1209–1217
- 78 Lee M. P., DeBaun M. R., Mitsuya K., Galonek H. L., Brandenburg S., Oshimura M. et al. (1999) Loss of imprinting of a paternally expressed transcript, with antisense orientation to *KVLQT1*, occurs frequently in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and is independent of insulin-like growth factor II imprinting. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA **96:** 5203–5208
- 79 Wroe S. F., Kelsey G., Skinner J. A., Bodle D., Ball S. T., Beechey C. V. et al. (2000) An imprinted transcript, antisense to *Nesp*, adds complexity to the cluster of imprinted genes at the mouse *Gnas* locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 3342–3346
- 80 Hayward B. E. and Bonthron D. T. (2000) An imprinted antisense transcript at the human GNAS1 locus. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 835–841
- 81 Peters J., Wroe S. F., Wells C. A., Miller H. J., Bodle D., Beechey C. V. et al. (1999) A cluster of oppositely imprinted transcripts at the *Gnas* locus in the distal imprinting region of mouse chromosome 2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 3830–3835
- 82 Nemes J. P., Benzow K. A. and Koob M. D. (2000) The SCA8 transcript is an antisense RNA to a brain-specific transcript encoding a novel actin-binding protein. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 1543–1551
- 83 Robinson D. N and Cooley L. (1997) *Drosophila* kelch is an oligomeric ring canal actin organizer. J. Cell Biol. 138: 799–810
- 84 Moseley M. L., Schut L. J., Bird T. D., Koob M. D., Day J. W. and Ranum L. P. W. (2000) SCA8 CTG repeat: en masse contractions in sperm and intergenerational sequence changes may play a role in reduced penetrance. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 2125–2130
- 85 Ninomiya S., Isomura M., Narahara K., Seino Y. and Nakamara Y. (1996) Isolation of a testis-specific cDNA on chromosome 17q from a region adjacent to the breakpoint of t(12,17) observed in a patient with acampomelic campomelic dysplasia and sex reversal. Hum. Mol. Genet. 5: 69–72
- 86 Reinhart B. J., Slack F. J., Basson M., Pasquinelli A. E., Bettinger J. C., Rougvie A. E. et al. (2000) The 21-nucleotide *let-7 RNA* regulates developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. **403**: 901–906
- 87 Olsen P. H. and Ambros V. (1999) The *lin-4* regulatory RNA controls developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by blocking LIN-14 protein synthesis after the initiation of translation. Dev. Biol. **216:** 671–680

- 88 Ambros V. (2000) Control of developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10: 428–433
- 89 Slack F. J., Basson M., Liu Z., Ambros V., Horvitz H. R. and Ruvkun G. (2000) The *lin-41* RBCC gene acts in the *C. elegans* heterochronic pathway between the *let-7* regulatory RNA and the LIN-29 transcription factor. Mol. Cell. 5: 659–669
- 90 Feinbaum R. and Ambros V. (1999) The timing of *lin-4* RNA accumulation controls the timing of postembryonic developmental events in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 210: 87–95
- 91 Lee R. C., Feinbaum, R. L. and Ambros, V. (1993) The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. Cell **75:** 843–854
- 92 Ha I., Wightman B. and Ruvkun G. (1996) A bulged *lin-4/lin-14* RNA duplex is sufficient for *Caenorhabditis elegans lin-14* temporal gradient formation. Genes Dev. **10**: 3041–3050
- 93 Moss E. G., Lee R. C. and Ambros V. (1997) The cold shock domain protein LIN-28 controls developmental timing in *C. elegans* and is regulated by the *lin-4* RNA. Cell 88: 637–646
- 94 Moss E. G. (2000) Non-coding RNAs: lightning strikes twice. Curr. Biol. 10: R436–439
- 95 Adoutte A. (2000) Small but mighty timekeepers. Nat. **408:** 37–38
- 96 Pasquinelli A. E., Reinhart B. J., Slack F., Martindale M. Q., Kuroda M. I., Maller B. et al. (2000) Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of *let-7* heterochronic regulatory RNA. Nat. **408**: 86–89
- 97 Crucs S., Chatterjee S. and Gavis E. R. (2000) Overlapping but distinct RNA elements control repression and activation of *nanos* translation. Mol. Cell 5: 457–467
- 98 Lalande M., Minnassian B. A., DeLorey T. M. and Olsen R. W. (1999) Parental imprinting and Angelman syndrome. Adv. Neurol. 79: 421–429
- 99 Rougeulle C., Cardoso C., Fontez M., Colleaux L. and Lalande M. (1998) An imprinted antisense RNA overlaps UDE3A and a second maternally expressed transcript. Nat. Genet. 19: 15–16
- 100 Jong M. T., Carey A. H., Caldwell K. A., Lau M. H., Handel M. A., Driscoll D. J. et al. (1999) Imprinting of a RING zinc-finger encoding gene in the mouse chromosome region homologous to the Prader-Willi syndrome genetic region. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8: 795–803
- 101 Jong M. T., Gray T. A., Ji Y., Glenn C. C., Saitoh S., Driscoll D. J. et al. (1999) A novel imprinted gene, encoding a RING zinc-finger protein, and overlapping antisense transcript in the Prader-Willi syndrome critical region. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8: 783–793
- 102 Millar J. K., Wilson-Annan J. C., Anderson S., Christie S., Taylor M. S., Semple C. A. et al. (2000) Disruption of two novel genes by a translocation co-segregating with schizophrenia. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9: 1415–1423
- 103 Swalla B. J. and Jeffery W. R. (1995) A maternal RNA localized in the yellow crescent is segregated to the larval muscle cells during ascidian development. Dev. Biol. 170: 353–364
- 104 Swalla B. J. and Jeffery W. R. (1996) PCNA mRNA has a 3' UTR antisense to yellow crescent RNA and is localized in ascidian eggs and embryos, Dev. Biol. 178: 23–34
- 105 Pedersen K. and Gerdes K. (1999) Multiple *hok* genes on the chromosome of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 32: 1090–1102
- 106 Franch T., Thisted T. and Gerdes K. (1999) Ribonuclease III processing of coaxially stacked RNA helices. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 26572X-26578
- 107 Nagel J. H., Gultayev A. P., Gerdes K. and Pleij C. W. (1999) Metastable structures and refolding kinetics in hok mRNA of plasmid R1. RNA 5: 1408–1418

- 108 Ohman M. and Wagner E. G. H. (1989) Secondary structure analysis of RepA mRNA leader transcript involved in control of plasmid replication. Nucleic Acids Res. 17: 2557–2579
- 109 Kolb F. A., Malmgren C., Westhof E., Ehresmann C., Ehresmann B., Wagner E. G. H. et al. (2000) An unsusual structure formed by antisense-target RNA binding involves an extended kissing complex with a four way junction and side-by-side helical alignment. RNA 6: 311–324
- 110 Eguchi Y. and Tomizawa J. (1991) Complexes formed by complementary RNA stem-loops: their formations, structures and interactions with ColE1 Rom protein. J. Mol. Biol. 229: 831–842
- 111 Lee A. J. and Crothers D. M. (1998) The solution structure of an RNA loop-loop complex: the ColE1 inverted loop sequence. Structure 6: 993–1005
- 112 Klosterman P. S., Shah S. A. and Steitz T. A. (1999) Crystal structure of two plasmid copy control related RNA duplexes: an 18 base pair duplex at 1.2 Å resolution and a 19 base pair duplex at 1.55 Å resolution. Biochemistry **38**: 14784–14797
- 113 Franch T., Petersen M., Wagner E. G., Jacobsen J. P. and Gerdes K. (1999) Antisense regulation in prokaryotes: rapid RNA/RNA interaction facilitated by a general U-turn loop structure. J. Mol. Biol. **294:** 1115–1125
- 114 Hollander M. C., Alamo I. and Fornace A. J. Jr. (1996) A novel DNA damage-inducible transcript, gadd7, inhibits cell growth, but lacks a protein product. Nucleic Acids Res. 24: 1589–1593
- 115 Wang Y., Crawford D. R. and Davies K. J. A (1996) adap33, a novel oxidant inducible RNA from hamster HA-1 cells. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 332: 255–260
- 116 Crawford D. R., Schools G. P., Salmon S. L. and Davies K. J. A. (1996) Oxidant-inducible *adap*15 RNA is associated with growth arrest- and DNA damage-inducible *gadd*153 and *gadd*45. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **325**: 256–264
- 117 Mizenina O., Yanushevich Y., Musatkina E., Rodina A., Camonis J., Tavitian A. et al. (1998) C-terminal end of *v-src* protein interacts with peptide coded by gadd7/adapt15-like RNA in two-hybrid system, FEBS Lett. **422**: 79–84
- 118 Fini M. E., Bendena W. G. and Pardue M. L. (1989) Unusual behaviour of the cytoplasmic transcript of *hsr*ω: an abundant stress-inducible RNA that is translated but yields no detectable protein product. J. Cell Biol. **108**: 2045–2057
- 119 Lakhotia S. C. and Sharma A. (1995) The 93 D (hsr-omega) locus of *Drosophila*: non-coding gene with house-keeping functions. Chrom. Res. 3: 151–161
- 120 Lakhotia S. C. and Sharma A. (1996) RNA metabolism in situ at the 93D heat shock locus in polytene nuclei of *Drosophila melanogaster* after various treatments. Genetica 97: 339–348
- 121 McKechnie S. W., Halford M. M., McColl G. and Hoffmann A. A. (1998) Both allelic variation and expression of nuclear and cytoplasmic transcripts of *Hsr*-omega are closely associated with thermal phenotype in *Drosophila*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95**: 2423–2428
- 122 Krause R., Hemberger M., Himmelbauer H., Kalscheuer V. and Fundele R. H. (1999) Identification and characterisation of G90, a novel mouse RNA that lacks an extensive open reading frame. Gene 232: 35–42
- 123 Hengge-Aromis R. (1999) Interplay of global regulators and cell physiology in the general response of *Escherichia coli*. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2: 148–152
- 124 Nogueira T. and Springer M. (2000) Post transcriptional control by global regulators of gene expression in bacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 3: 154–158
- 125 Altuvia S. and Wagner E. G. H. (2000) Switching on and off with RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 9824–9826
- 126 Argaman L. and Altuvia S. (2000) *fhlA* repression by OxyS RNA: kissing complex formation at two sites results in a stable antisense-target RNA complex. J. Mol. Biol. **300**: 1101–1112

- 127 Altuvia S., Weinstein-Fischer D., Zhang A., Postow L. and Storz G. (1997) A small, stable RNA induced by oxidative stress: role as a pleiotropic regulator and antimutator. Cell **90**: 43–53
- 128 Zhang A., Altuvia S., Tiwari A., Argaman L., Hengge-Aronis R. and Storz G. (1998) The *OxyS* regulatory RNA represses *rpoS* translation and binds the Hfg (HF-1) protein. EMBO J. 17: 6061–6068
- 129 Altuvia S., Zhang A., Argaman L., Tiwari A. and Storz G. (1998) The *Escherichia coli OxyS* regulatory RNA represses fhlA translation by blocking ribosome binding. EMBO J. 17: 6069–6075
- 130 Loewen P.C., Strutinsky J. and Sparling R. (1998) Regulation in *rpoS* regulon of *Escherichia coli*. Can. J. Microbiol. 44: 707–717
- 131 Gonzalez-Flecha B. and Demple B. (1999) Role for the *oxyS* gene in regulation of intracellular hydrogen peroxide in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 181: 3833–3836
- 132 Sledjeski D. and Gottesman S. (1995) A small RNA acts as an anti silencer of the H-NS-silencer rcsA gene of *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92**: 2003–2007
- Sledjeski D., Gupta A. and Gottesman S. (1996) The small RNA, DsrA, is essential for the low temperature expression of *RpoS* during exponential growth in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J. 15: 3993–4000
- 134 Klauck E., Bohringer J. and Hengge-Aronis R. (1997) The LysR-like regulator LeuO in Escherichia coli is involved in the translational regulation of rpoS by affecting the expression of the small regulatory DsrA-RNA. Mol. Microbiol. 25: 559–569
- 135 Majdalani N., Cunning C., Sledjeski D., Elliott T. and Gottesman S. (1998) DsrA RNA regulates translation of RpoS message by an antisense mechanism, independent of its action as an anti silencer of transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 12462–12467
- 136 Lease R. A., Cusick M. E. and Belfort M. (1995) Riboregulation in *Escherichia coli:* DsrA RNA acts by RNA:RNA interactions at multiple loci. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 12456-12461
- 137 Lease R.A. and Belfort M. (2000) A trans-acting RNA as a control switch in *Escherichia coli*: DsrA modulates function by forming alternative structures. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 9919–9924
- 138 Srikantan V., Zou Z., Petrovics G., Xu L., Augustus M., Davis L., et al. (2000) PCGEM1, a prostate-specific gene, is overexpressed in prostate cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 12216–12221
- 139 Bussemakers M. J., Bokhoven A. van, Verhaegh G. W., Smit F. P., Karthaus H. F., Schalken J. A. et al. (1999) DD3: a new prostate-specific gene, highly overexpressed in prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 59: 5975–5979
- 140 Verhaegh G. W., van Bokhoven A., Smit F., Schalken J. A. and Bussemakers M. J. (2000) Isolation and characterization of the promoter of the human prostate-cancer-specific DD3 gene. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 37496–37503
- 141 Askew D. S., Li J. and Ihle J. N. (1994) Retroviral insertions in the murine *His-1* locus activate the expression of novel RNA that lacks an extensive open reading frame. Mol. Cell Biol. **14**: 1743–1751
- 142 Li J., Rhodes J. C. and Askew D. S. (1997) Evolutionary conservation of putative functional domains in the human homolog of murine *His-1* gene. Gene, **184**: 169–176
- 143 Li J., Witte D. P., Van Dyke T. and Askew D. S. (1997) Expression of the putative proto-oncogene *His-1* in normal and neoplastic tissues. Am. J. Pathol. **150**: 1297–1305
- 144 Crespti M. D., Jurkevitch E., Poiret M., d'Aubenton-Carafa Y., Petrovics G., Kondorosi E. et al. (1994) *enod40*, a gene expressed during nodule organogenesis, codes for a non-translable RNA involved in plant growth. EMBO J. **13**: 5099–5112

- 145 Sande K. van de, Pawlowski K., Czaja I., Wieneke U., Schell J., Schmidt J. et al. (1996) Modification of phytohormone response by a peptide encoded by ENOD40 of legumes and a non-legume. Science 273: 370–373
- 146 Schell J., Bisseling T., Dülz M., Fransen H., Fritze K., John M. et al. (1999) Re-evaluation of phytochrome-independent division of tobacco protoplast-derived cells. Plant J. 17: 461–466
- 147 Sande K. van de and Bisseling T. (1997) Signalling in symbiotic root nodule formation. Essays Biochem. 32: 127–142
- 148 Corich V., Goormachting S., Lievens S., Van Montagu M. and Holsters M. (1998) Patterns of ENOD40 gene expression in stem-borne nodules of *Sesbania rostrata*. Plant. Mol. Biol 37: 67–76
- 149 Bladergroen M. R. and Spaink H. P. (1998) Genes and signal molecules involved in the rhizobia-leguminoseae symbiosis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 1: 353–359
- 150 Mirabella R., Martirani L., Lamberti A., Iaccarino M. and Chiurazzi M. (1999) The soybean ENOD40(2) promoter is active in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and is temporally and spatially regulated. Plant Mol. Biol. **39:** 177–181
- 151 Kouchi H., Takane K., So R. B., Ladha J. K. and Reddy P. M. (1999) Rice ENOD40: isolation and expression analysis in rice and transgenic soybean root nodules. Plant J. 18: 121–129
- 152 Flemetakis E., Kavroulakis N., Quaedvelleg N. E. M., Spaink H. P., Dimov M., Roussis A. et al. (2000) *Lotus japonicus* contains two distinct ENOD 40 genes that are expressed in symbiotic, nonsymbiotic and embryonic tissues, Mol. Plant Microbiol. Inter. **13**: 987–994
- 153 Mamat U., Rietschel E. T. and Schmidt G. (1995) Repression of lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli* by an antisense RNA of *Acetobacter methanolicus* phage Acm1. Mol. Microbiol. **15:** 1115–1125
- 154 Warnecke J. M., Nitschke M., Moolenaar C. E., Ritschel E. T., Hartmann R. K. and Mamat U. (2000) The 5'-proximal hairpin loop of lbi RNA is a key structural element in repression of D-glucan II biosynthesis *Klebsiella pneumoniae* serotype O₁. Mol. Microbiol. **36**: 697–709
- 155 Teramoto H., Toyama T., Takeba G. and Tsuji H., (1996) Noncoding RNA for CR20, a cytokinin-repressed gene of cucumber. Plant Mol. Biol. 32: 797–808
- 156 Taylor C. B. and Green P. J. (1995) Identification and characterisation of genes with unstable transcripts (GUTs) in tobacco. Plant Mol. Biol. 28: 27–28
- 157 Hoff A. van, Kastenmayer J. P., Crispin B., Taylor C. B. and Green P. J. (1995) GUT15 cDNAs from tobacco and *Arabidopsis* correspond to transcripts with unusual metabolism and a short conserved OR. Plant Gene Reg. Plant Physiol. **113**: 1004
- 158 Komine Y., Tanaka N. K., Yano R., Takai S., Yuasa S., Shiiroishi T. et al. (1999) A novel type of non-coding RNA expressed in the rat brain. Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 66: 1–13
- 159 Kobayashi S. and Anzai K. (1998) An E-box sequence acts as transcriptional activator for BC-1 RNA expression by RNA polymerase III in the brain. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 245: 59–63
- 160 Brosius J. (1999) RNAs from all categories generate retrosequences that may be exapted as novel genes or regulatory elements. Gene 238: 115–134
- 161 Kremerskothen J., Nettermann M., Bekke A. op de, Bachmann M. and Brosius J. (1998) Identification of human auto antigen La/SS-B as BC1/BC200 RNA-binding protein. DNA Cell Biol. 17: 751–759
- 162 Skryabin B. V., Kremerskothen J., Vassilacopoulou D., Disotell T. R., Kapitonov V. V., Jurka J. et al. (1998) The BC200 RNA gene and its neural expression are conserved in Anthropoidea (Primates). J. Mol. Evol. 47: 677–685

- 163 Brosius J. (1999) RNAs from all categories generate retrosequences that may be expanded as novel genes or regulatory elements. Gene 238: 115–134
- 164 Lanz R. B., McKenna N. J., Onate S. A., Albrecht U., Wong J., Tsai S. Y. et al. (1999) A steroid receptor coactivator, SRA, functions as an RNA and is present in an SRC-1 complex. Cell 97: 17–27
- 165 Watanabe Y. and Yamamoto M. (1994) S. pombe mei2+ encodes an RNA-binding protein essential for premeiotic DNA synthesis and meiosis I, which cooperates with a novel RNA species meiRNA. Cell 78: 487–498
- 166 Yamashita A., Watanabe Y., Nukina N. and Yamamoto M. (1998) RNA-assisted nuclear transport of the meiotic regulator Mei2p in fission yeast, Cell 95: 115–123
- 167 Ohno M. and Mattaj I. W. (1999) Meiosis: MeiRNA hits the spot. Curr. Biol. 9: R66–R69
- 168 Tycowski K., Shu M-D. and Steitz J. A. (1996) A mammalian gene with introns instead of exons generating stable RNA products. Nat. 379: 464–466
- 169 Smith C. M. and Steitz J. A. (1998) Classification of gas5 as a multi-small-nuclear (snoRNA) host gene and a member of the 5'-terminal oligopyrimidine gene family reveals common features of snoRNA host genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: 6897–6909
- 170 Pelczar P. and Filipowicz W. (1998) The host gene for intronic U17 small nucleolar RNA in mammals has no protein-coding potential and is a member of the 5'-terminal oligopyrimidine gene family. Mol. Cell. Biol. **18:** 4509–4518
- 171 Bortolin M-L. and Kiss T. (1998) Human U19 intron-encoded snoRNA is processed from a long primary transcript that possesses little potential for protein coding. RNA 4: 445–454
- 172 Weinstein L. B. and Steitz J. A. (1999) Guided tours: from precursor snoRNA to functional snoRNP, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 11: 378–384
- 173 Kloc M., Spohr G. and Etkin L. D. (1993) Translocation of repetitive RNA sequences with the germ plasm in *Xenopus* oocytes. Science **262**: 1712–1714
- 174 Kloc M. and Etkin L. D. (1994) Delocalisation of Vg1 mRNA from the vegetal cortex in *Xenopus* oocytes after destruction of *Xlsirt* RNA. Science 265: 1101–1103
- 175 Nicholls R. D. (2000) The impact of genomic imprinting for neurobehavioral and developmental disorders. J. Clin. Invest. 105: 413–418
- 176 Conne B., Stutz A. and Vassalli J.-D. (2000) The 3' untranslated region of messenger RNA: a molecular hotspot for pathology. Nat. Med. 6: 637–641
- 177 Bosher J. M. and Labouesse M. (2000) RNA interference: genetic wand and genetic watchdog, Nat. Cell Biol. 2: E31–E36
- 178 Sijen T. and Kooter J. M. (2000) Post-transcriptional genesilencing: RNAs on the attack or on the defense? BioEssays 22: 520–531
- 179 Kuwabara P. E. and Coulson A. (2000) RNAi prospects for a general technique for determining gene function. Parasitol. Today 16: 347–349
- 180 Karzai A. W., Susskind M. M. and Sauer T. T. (199) SmpB, a unique RNA-binding protein essential for the peptide-tagging activity of SsrA (tm RNA). EMBO J. 18: 3793–3799
- 181 Hsu A. W., Kilani A. F., Liou K., Lee J. and Liu F. (2000) Differential effects of the protein cofactor on the interactions between and RNase P ribozyme an its target mRNA substrate. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 3105–3116
- 182 Zhang A., Altuvia S., Tiwari A., Argaman L., Hengge-Aronis R. and Stocz G. (1998) The *Escherichia coli* Oxy S regulatory RNA represses fh1A translation by blocking ribosome binding. EMBO J. **17**: 6061–6068
- 183 Rivas E. and Eddy S. R. (2000) Secondary structure alone is generally not statistically significant for the detection of noncoding RNAs. Bioinformatics 16: 583–605

978 V. Erdmann et al.