**https://doi.org/10.1093/ijnp/pyae031** Advance access publication 9 August 2024 **Regular Research Article**

## Regular Research Article

# **Brain mGlu5 Is Linked to Cognition and Cigarette Smoking but Does Not Differ From Control in Early Abstinence From Chronic Methamphetamine Use**

Megan N. McClintick<sup>D</sup>[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3399-733X) Robert M. Kessler, Mark A. Mandelkern, Tarannom Mahmoudie, Daicia C. Allen, Hilary Lachoff, Jean-Baptiste F. Pochon, Dara G. Ghahremani, Judah B. Farahi, Edwin Partiai, Robert A. Casillas, Larissa J. Mooney, Andy C. Dean, Edythe D. London

Semel Institute and Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA (Dr McClintick, Dr Kessler, Dr Mahmoudie, Dr Allen, Ms Lachoff, Dr Pochon, Dr Ghahremani, Dr Mooney, Dr Dean, and Dr London); Department of Physics, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA (Dr Mandelkern); Veterans Administration of Greater Los Angeles System, Los Angeles, California, USA (Dr McClintick, Dr Mandelkern, Ms Lachoff, Dr Farahi, Mr Partiai, Mr Casillas, Dr Mooney, and Dr London).

Correspondence: Edythe D. London, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, UCLA Semel Institute of Neuroscience and Human Behavior, 760 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA (email: [elondon@mednet.ucla.edu\)](mailto:elondon@mednet.ucla.edu).

### Abstract

Background: The group-I metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 (mGlu5) has been implicated in methamphetamine exposure in animals and in human cognition. Because people with methamphetamine use disorder (MUD) exhibit cognitive defcits, we evaluated mGlu5 in people with MUD and controls and tested its association with cognitive performance.

**Methods:** Positron emission tomography was performed to measure the total V<sub>T</sub> of [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB, a radiotracer for mGlu5, in brains of participants with MUD (abstinent from methamphetamine for at least 2 weeks,  $N=14$ ) and a control group (N=14). Drug use history questionnaires and tests of verbal learning, spatial working memory, and executive function were administered. Associations of  $V<sub>z</sub>$ with methamphetamine use, tobacco use, and cognitive performance were tested.

Results: MUD participants did not differ from controls in global or regional  $V<sub>T</sub>$ , and measures of methamphetamine use were not correlated with V<sub>T</sub>. V<sub>T</sub> was significantly higher globally in nonsmoking vs smoking participants (main effect, *P*=.0041). MUD participants showed nonsignifcant weakness on the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Task and the Stroop test vs controls (*P*=.08 and *P*=.13, respectively) with moderate to large effect sizes, and signifcantly underperformed controls on the Spatial Capacity Delayed Response Test ( $P = .015$ ). Across groups, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Task performance correlated with V<sub>T</sub> in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and superior frontal gyrus.

**Conclusion:** Abstinent MUD patients show no evidence of mGlu5 downregulation in brain, but association of  $V_{\tau}$  in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex with verbal learning suggests that medications that target mGlu5 may improve cognitive performance.

Keywords: Group-I metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5, stimulant use disorder, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, positron emission tomography, verbal learning

#### **Signifcance Statement**

We examined mGlu5 in the brains of participants with MUD and controls using PET with [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB, a selective radiotracer for mGlu5. Although the total volume of distribution  $(V_T)$  of [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB in brain showed no significant group difference and no relation to indices of methamphetamine use, cigarette smoking was associated with lower  $V_{\tau}$  globally across all participants.  $V_{\tau}$  in the prefrontal cortex correlated with verbal learning, suggesting that mGlu5 may be a useful therapeutic target to improve cognition in MUD.

**Received for publication:** May 4, 2024. **Accepted:** August 7, 2024. **Editorial decision:** July 22, 2024.

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of CINP.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

<span id="page-1-18"></span><span id="page-1-9"></span><span id="page-1-6"></span>Methamphetamine use disorder (MUD) is a major public health concern as part of the current overdose and broader public health crisis involving illicit drug use ([Rawson et al., 2023](#page-10-0)). From 1999 to 2021, the methamphetamine mortality rate increased 50-fold among US residents aged 15 to 74 years [\(Hoopsick and Yockey,](#page-9-0)  [2023\)](#page-9-0). People who use methamphetamine chronically exhibit deficits in several cognitive domains (e.g., [Dean et al., 2013](#page-9-1); Potvin [et al., 2018](#page-10-1)), including attention; verbal learning; inhibitory control; reward processing; social cognition; and verbal, visual, and working memory. As there is no FDA-approved medication for the treatment of MUD, behavioral approaches are the mainstay of therapy. However, the presence of cognitive impairments can thwart engagement in behavioral treatments and predict poor outcome [\(Brorson et al., 2013](#page-8-0)).

<span id="page-1-22"></span><span id="page-1-21"></span><span id="page-1-20"></span><span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>While monoamines such as dopamine play a key role in the initiation of and psychomotor effects of psychostimulant use, metabotropic glutamate receptors infuence the regulation of dopamine release in these processes [\(Arai et al., 1996](#page-8-1), [1997;](#page-8-2) [Shimazoe et al., 2002\)](#page-10-2). The group-I metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 (mGlu5) is a predominantly postsynaptic receptor that contributes to excitatory neurotransmission ([Shigemoto](#page-10-3)  [et al., 1993](#page-10-3), [1997;](#page-10-4) [Daggett et al., 1995](#page-9-2); [Gereau and Conn, 1995](#page-9-3)). Within the brain, its expression is highest in the hippocampus, cerebral cortex, striatum, and thalamus and lowest in the cerebellum [\(Daggett et al., 1995](#page-9-2)). Repeated exposure to methamphetamine increases mGlu5 receptor signaling ([Szumlinski et al.,](#page-10-5)  [2017\)](#page-10-5) and levels in the nucleus accumbens in mice ([Wright et](#page-10-6)  [al., 2016\)](#page-10-6), and mice selectively bred for high methamphetamine intake have higher methamphetamine-evoked increases in extracellular glutamate, higher levels of mGlu5 in the nucleus accumbens, and higher basal extracellular glutamate levels in the medial prefrontal cortex than mice bred for low intake [\(Lominac](#page-9-4)  [et al., 2016](#page-9-4); [Szumlinski et al., 2017](#page-10-5)).

<span id="page-1-25"></span><span id="page-1-23"></span><span id="page-1-15"></span><span id="page-1-14"></span><span id="page-1-13"></span><span id="page-1-12"></span><span id="page-1-10"></span><span id="page-1-8"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span>The mGlu5 is implicated in cognition [\(Homayoun and](#page-9-5)  [Moghaddam, 2010;](#page-9-5) [Hullinger et al., 2015](#page-9-6)), particularly in memory processes ([Lu et al., 1997;](#page-9-7) [Bortolotto et al., 2005;](#page-8-3) [Lee et al., 2005;](#page-9-8) [Manahan-Vaughan and Braunewell, 2005;](#page-9-9) [Bikbaev et al., 2008;](#page-8-4) [Neyman and Manahan-Vaughan, 2008\)](#page-10-7). Consistent with evidence of the procognitive effects of positive allosteric modulators of mGlu5 preclinically ([Liu et al., 2008](#page-9-10); [Reichel et al., 2011](#page-10-8)), several recent studies utilizing positron emission tomography (PET) found positive associations between cognitive performance and mGlu5 availability in brain. Across participants with Alzheimer disease and healthy controls, global cognition scores were positively associated with hippocampal mGlu5 availability measured with [18F]PSS232 [\(Wang et al., 2024\)](#page-10-9) and [18F]FPEB [\(Mecca et al.,](#page-9-11)  [2020\)](#page-9-11). Higher mGlu5 binding potential in the caudate and left temporal cortex, measured with [11C]ABP688, correlated with faster processing speed in individuals with schizophrenia ([Régio](#page-10-10)  [Brambilla et al., 2020](#page-10-10)).

<span id="page-1-24"></span>The mGlu5 has not been assessed in people with MUD, but studies using PET with [11C]ABP688 have indicated that cocaine-dependent participants have below-control mGlu5 availability throughout the brain [\(Martinez et al., 2014;](#page-9-12) [Milella et al.,](#page-10-11)  [2014\)](#page-10-11). Here we used PET with [18F]FPEB, a radioligand with high selectivity and specifcity for the negative allosteric modulator site on mGlu5 [\(Wong et al., 2013;](#page-10-12) [Park et al., 2015](#page-10-13)), to measure the total volume of distribution  $(V_\tau)$  of  $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]FPEB in brains of individuals with MUD and matched control participants. In light of the global deficit in mGlu5 observed in cocaine-dependent participants [\(Martinez et al., 2014;](#page-9-12) [Milella et al., 2014](#page-10-11)), we hypothesized

that  $V<sub>n</sub>$  for [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB would be lower in MUD compared with controls. Given prior literature showing positive relationships between cognitive function and mGlu5 binding and the importance of the prefrontal cortex in higher-level cognitive functions, we also expected  $V<sub>\pi</sub>$  in the prefrontal cortex to be related to cognitive performance across participants. Lastly, prior studies have shown lower mGlu5 availability in the brains of people who smoked cigarettes compared with values in nonsmokers ([Akkus](#page-8-5) [et al., 2013,](#page-8-5) [2017;](#page-8-6) [Hulka et al., 2014;](#page-9-13) [Baldassarri et al., 2023](#page-8-7)). We therefore tested the interaction between smoking and MUD on  $V<sub>T</sub>$ for [18F]FPEB.

## <span id="page-1-17"></span>MATERIALS AND METHODS **Participants**

<span id="page-1-7"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>Fourteen individuals who met DSM-5 criteria for severe MUD (4 women and 10 men) and 14 control participants (5 women and 9 men) underwent PET scans with  $[18F]$ FPEB to measure mGlu5 V<sub>T</sub> in brain. MUD group participants were recruited from residential ( $N=12$ ) and outpatient treatment programs ( $N=2$ ) and were abstinent from methamphetamine for at least 14 but fewer than 75 days (mean = 42 days). Control participants were recruited from the community via online and print advertisements. All procedures were approved by the University of California Los Angeles Institutional Review Board and the Greater Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Institutional Review Board and Radiation Safety Committee. All participants were fuent in English and signed informed consent documents after receiving a detailed description of the protocol. Exclusion criteria, determined by a psychiatric evaluation using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for DSM-5 and a physician-conducted history, physical examination and laboratory tests, included: neurological, cardiovascular, pulmonary, endocrine, autoimmune, untreated renal hepatic, or active infectious disease; psychotic disorders; suicidality; asthma; age outside the range of 18 to 65 years inclusive; MRI contraindications (metallic implants, claustrophobia); pregnancy; head trauma with loss of consciousness>30 minutes; current use of prescribed psychotropic medications with dopaminergic or glutamatergic action; or meeting prisoner status per California Section 3502. Further exclusions for the control group were the use of any psychotropic medications, meeting criteria for a substance use disorder, or more than 5 lifetime uses of substances of abuse other than nicotine, caffeine, or cannabis. Several MUD group participants met criteria for additional substance use disorders: severe opioid use disorder (N=1), severe alcohol use disorder ( $N=4$ ), and severe sedative use disorder ( $N=1$ ). Some also met criteria for additional diagnoses, including past depressive episodes (N=4), current anxiety disorders (N=2), bulimia nervosa (N=2), obsessive compulsive disorder (N=1), panic disorder  $(N=1)$ , post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; N=1), and antisocial personality disorder (N=8). In the control group, some participants met criteria for past depressive episodes (N=6), anxiety disorder ( $N=1$ ), and antisocial personality disorder ( $N=2$ ). MUD group participants reported signifcantly fewer years of education than the control group [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-1-19"></span><span id="page-1-16"></span><span id="page-1-11"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>Although there were no other signifcant group differences in demographic and drug use variables, the MUD group had more participants who smoked cigarettes than the control group. Among those who smoked, nicotine dependence (Fagerström test scores) and withdrawal on the test day (Shiffman-Jarvik Withdrawal scores) were modest ([Table 2](#page-2-1)). To standardize the timing of nicotine and cannabis exposure across all participants

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1. Participant Characteristics (Mean ± SE)



Abbreviations: FSIQ, full scale intelligence quotient; MUD, methamphetamine use disorder.<br>"Participants met DSM-5 criteria for MUD.<br>"Missing data: 1 control, 6 MUD.<br>"Missing data: 1 control, 1 MUD.<br>"P=.0002.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>

<span id="page-2-5"></span>

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 2.** Self-reported Substance Use (Mean±SE)*[a](#page-2-6)*



<span id="page-2-6"></span>Abbreviations: FTND, Fagerström test for nicotine dependence. *a* No signifcant differences in tobacco, cannabis, or alcohol use.

and prevent the effect of nicotine on mGlu5, all participants were instructed to abstain from using tobacco products for at least 12 hours and from cannabis products for at least 48 hours before PET and MRI scans. The average duration of abstinence from tobacco at the time of scanning was nonsignifcantly higher in the control group because 1 participant had abstained for 17

days; all other participants in both groups had abstained between 16 and 25 hours before PET. Abstinence from smoking was confrmed by carbon monoxide measurements of <10 ppm or a 50% reduction from baseline intake, determined with a smokerlyzer (Covita Micro+ basic). Nicotine replacement therapies were not used by any participants.

MUD group participants were required to maintain abstinence from other substances for at least 4 days before PET and MRI scans, demonstrated by negative urine toxicology (methamphetamine, amphetamine, opiates, cocaine, and benzodiazepines) and breathalyzer (alcohol) tests. Control participants were required to test negative for all substances except cannabis and nicotine. A single participant in the MUD tested positive for cannabis use on scanning and cognitive testing days and had been abstinent from using cannabis products for more than 2 weeks at the time of testing. Tobacco use was not restricted on nonscanning study days, including cognitive testing days.

## **Behavioral Assessments**

All participants completed several interviews and self-report questionnaires to characterize their substance use and health history. These included a neurological history questionnaire used to ascertain study eligibility, and a 147-item assessment of current and past use of addictive substances. In addition, a 26-item questionnaire queried current and past methamphetamine use, and a 10-item questionnaire, adapted from the Cocaine Craving Questionnaire–Brief [\(Sussner et al., 2006\)](#page-10-14), was used to assess current craving for methamphetamine. Participants who indicated that they smoked cigarettes also were administered the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence [\(Heatherton et al.,](#page-9-14)  [1991\)](#page-9-14) during screening and the Shiffman-Jarvik Withdrawal Scale ([Shiffman and Jarvik, 1976](#page-10-15)) immediately before PET scanning.

<span id="page-3-12"></span><span id="page-3-11"></span><span id="page-3-10"></span><span id="page-3-9"></span><span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>We administered cognitive tests on a nonscanning day. These included the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; [Rey,](#page-10-16)  [1941\)](#page-10-16), which evaluates verbal retention, encoding, and retrieval ability. The variable of interest was immediate memory (ageadjusted Z-score trials A1-A5 total score). The Spatial Capacity Delayed Response Test (SCAP; based on [Sternberg 1969](#page-10-17); [Glahn](#page-9-15)  [et al., 2003\)](#page-9-15) was administered to test visual working memory. The primary outcome variable for this test was overall response accuracy, calculated through signal detection procedures (i.e., d-prime). Finally, the Stroop test ([Delis et al., 2001](#page-9-16)) was administered as a test of inhibitory control. The primary outcome variable computed from the 3 subsections was the interference score, calculated as Stroop Color-Word Inhibition test score—(Stroop Color-Naming test score \* Stroop Word-Reading test score)/Stroop Color-Naming test score + Stroop Word-Reading test score). The Wechsler test for Adult Reading ([Wechsler, 2001](#page-10-18)) was used to produce an estimated Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient. Participants were allowed to smoke throughout the day as needed.

## <span id="page-3-13"></span>**Image Acquisition and Data Analyses** *PET Acquisition*

<span id="page-3-8"></span><span id="page-3-6"></span>[ 18F]FPEB was produced via no-carrier-added [18F]fuorination of 3-nitro-5-[2-(pyridinyl)ethynyl]benzonitrile, a precursor for [18F] FPEB, followed by solid phase extraction purifcation and highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purifcation ([Lim](#page-9-17)  [et al., 2014\)](#page-9-17). [18F]FPEB was i.v. administered as a bolus plus continuous infusion with  $K_{bol}$ =205 minutes to achieve a steady state ([Kessler et al., 2014a\)](#page-9-18). The radiotracer dose was 5 mCi $\pm$ 10% error (mean = 5.09±0.09) mCi [\(Supplemental Table 2](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae031#supplementary-data)). Continuous infusion over 140 minutes was ensured via the use of an infusion pump (Graseby 3400 Syringe Pump). In a past study in humans, a *K*<sub>bol</sub> of 205 minutes produced good stability of total uptake at 90 to 130 minutes in high-uptake regions, including the hippocampus [\(Kessler et al., 2014b\)](#page-9-19).

<span id="page-3-7"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>PET-imaging data were acquired with a Siemens Biograph mCT PET/CT scanner (Hoffman Estates, IL). Dynamic scanning for the acquisition of emission data began 110 minutes after [18F]FPEB bolus administration, lasting for a total of 30 minutes and consisting of three 10-minute frames. The data were acquired within a 2-hour period in the afternoon for all participants to minimize effects of within-day variation on mGlu5 ([DeLorenzo et al., 2017\)](#page-9-20). A computed tomography (CT) transmission scan was acquired immediately before dynamic scanning for attenuation correction. Four 8-mL venous samples were collected at 10-minute intervals during the equilibrium period (109, 119, 129, and 139 minutes after the start of [18F]FPEB administration). To measure total plasma radioactivity in the venous samples at equilibrium, the heparinized samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at  $3,000 \times g$ , and activities in 0.2-mL plasma aliquots were determined. Activity was decay-corrected to the start of PET dynamic scanning and was corrected for the fraction of plasma radioactivity represented by unmetabolized parent. To calculate the percent parent [18F]FPEB, 2-mL plasma aliquots from each venous sample were mixed with acetonitrile. The resulting supernatant was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography via a Luna 5u C18(2) 100A 250  $\times$  10 mm 5 micron Phenomenex column with a 2-mL loop and a mobile phase of 70/30 methanol/ water with 0.1% triethylamine at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. Serial samples were collected every 30 seconds for 12 minutes and the activity counted. The unmetabolized parent fraction was determined as the ratio of the sum of activity in fractions containing the parent compound to the total amount of activity collected in all samples.

#### *MRI Acquisition*

<span id="page-3-3"></span>Magnetic resonance image (MRI) data were acquired to guide anatomical sampling of the PET data. MR data were acquired with a 3-Tesla PRISMA MRI scanner with a weighted gradientecho (MPRAGE) sequence, including the following parameters: TE=2.24 ms, TI=1060 ms, TR=2400 ms, FOV=240 256 mm2 , fip angle=8°, 745 Hz/pixel bandwidth, voxel size=0.8 mm3 , 208 sagittal slices.

#### *PET Image Processing and Quantifcation*

The PET acquisition comprised 3 contiguous frames, each consisting of 10 one-minute scans. The data were reconstructed using TrueX with Time of Flight (5 iterations, 21 subsets) to 30 oneminute volumes (400  $\times$  400  $\times$  109). Measured attenuation correction, relative scatter correction, and 2.0 mm Gaussian smoothing were applied.

<span id="page-3-5"></span>Within each frame, the 10 volumes were realigned to the averaged volume for that frame using FSL FLIRT ([Jenkinson et al.,](#page-9-21) [2012](#page-9-21)) with a normalized correlation cost function. The averaged volumes were recomputed, and those for frames 2 and 3 were realigned to that for frame 1. The realigned averaged volumes for all 3 frames underwent co-registration and resampling to the structural MPRAGE image using FSL FLIRT with mutual information as the cost function. Visual inspection confrmed proper alignment and co-registration.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>The structural MPRAGE image underwent segmentation via Freesurfer [\(Fischl et al., 2002;](#page-9-22) version 6.0.0) and was normalized to MNI space using linear (FSL FLIRT) and nonlinear (FSL FNIRT) registration. Volumes of interest (VOIs) from the FreeSurfer-based Desikan-Killiany Atlas in MNI space transformed to native space. For voxelwise analyses, the aligned co-registered averaged PET volumes were warped from native space to MNI space.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>The primary outcome measure of the [18F]FPEB data was the total  $V<sub>\pi</sub>$ , computed as the ratio of  $[18F]$ FPEB activity in tissue (brain) to venous plasma parent activity ([Innis et al., 2007](#page-9-23)). Specifcally,  $V<sub>T</sub>$  was calculated as the ratio of activity in brain tissue in each 10-minute frame to the mean activity in venous samples measured immediately before and after the frame.  $V<sub>T</sub>$  was averaged within each VOI in native space. Bilateral VOIs were used for all analyses. The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex VOI was comprised of the rostral middle frontal and caudal middle frontal VOIs. The inferior frontal gyrus VOI was comprised of the pars opercularis, pars triangluaris, and pars orbitalis VOIs. The MNI brain template from FSL was used as a whole-brain VOI.  $V<sub>\tau</sub>$  also was computed on a voxel-by-voxel basis to create  $V<sub>T</sub>$  maps in MNI space.

 $V<sub>\pi</sub>$  contains contributions from the number of mGlu5 sites available for specifc [18F]FPEB binding and includes contributions from nondisplaceable tissue activity and nonspecifc binding. The use of a reference region is precluded here as mGlu5 is expressed throughout the human brain [\(DeLorenzo et al., 2011](#page-9-24), [Kågedal et](#page-9-25) [al., 2013\)](#page-9-25), including in the cerebellum [\(Patel et al., 2007\)](#page-10-19).

#### <span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>**Statistical Analyses**

Group differences in categorical demographic and substance use variables were tested with Pearson chi-square and Fisher exact tests. Group differences in continuous demographic and substance use variables were assessed with independent *t* tests. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to assess group differences in non-normally distributed variables (age, education, average daily use of methamphetamine, days used in last 30 days, cigarettes smoked per day, days smoked per month).

Group differences in  $[$ <sup>18</sup>F]FPEB V<sub>T</sub> were determined using a general linear model while accounting for individual participant variables, including age, sex, and smoking status. GLM analyses were conducted using  $[18F]$ FPEB V<sub>T</sub> in each VOI (whole brain, cortex, thalamus, striatum) as the dependent variable and group (MUD or control), smoking status (smoker or nonsmoker), sex, age, and smoking status and group interaction as independent variables. Main effects were considered significant for α<.05 after Bonferroni correction (α<.05/4). Partial eta squared  $(\eta^2)$  values were calculated for each model as a measure of effect size (0.01 = small, 0.06 = medium, 0.14 = large). Post-hoc independent *t* tests were used to confrm directionality of main effect fndings.

Associations of [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB V<sub>T</sub> in the cortex, thalamus, and striatum with measures of methamphetamine use, including average daily use (grams per day), days used per month when actively using, duration of abstinence, and craving on the day of the PET scan, were calculated via partial correlational analyses controlling for age, sex, smoking status, and global proportional scaling (whole brain  $V_{\tau}$ ). Spearman rank order correlations were used to assess associations with non-normally distributed variables (average daily use, days used per month when actively using, craving). Correlations were considered signifcant for α<.05 after Bonferroni correction (α<.05/12).

The correlation between [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB  $V<sub>T</sub>$  in the cortex, thalamus, and striatum and measures of tobacco use, including cigarettes smoked per day, days smoked per month, duration of abstinence, Fagerström score, and Shiffman-Jarvik score, were calculated via partial correlational analyses controlling for age, sex, group, and global proportional scaling. Spearman rank order correlations

were used to assess associations with non-normally distributed variables (cigarettes smoked per day, days smoked per month, abstinence duration). Correlations were considered signifcant for α<.05 after Bonferroni correction (α<.05/15).

Associations of  $[18F]$ FPEB V<sub>r</sub> in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, inferior frontal gyrus, anterior cingulate cortex, and hippocampus with cognitive performance on the RAVLT, SCAP, and STROOP were calculated via partial correlational analyses, controlling for age, sex, estimated Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient, smoking status, group, and global proportional scaling. Due to the non-normal distribution of SCAP D-prime scores, a Spearman rank order correlation was used to assess its association with  $V_T$ . Correlations were considered significant for  $\alpha < .05$  after Bonferroni correction (α<.05/12).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>An exploratory voxel-wise analysis was conducted using SPM12 [\(https://www.fl.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/\)](https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) to assess the relationship between regional  $V<sub>T</sub>$  and RAVLT performance. Group interactions were not explored due to power limitations. Before analysis, the  $V<sub>\pi</sub>$  maps were smoothed with a 10-mm FWHM Gaussian filter to reduce noise and improve overlap within individual  $V<sub>r</sub>$  maps. To test for a linear relationship between  $V<sub>\tau</sub>$  and RAVLT performance independent of group, a multiple regression controlling for sex, age, smoking status, methamphetamine use, and global effects was used. Voxels within any cluster were considered signifcant at *P*<.001. The cluster formation threshold was set as the expected voxels per cluster  $(k > 29)$  designated by SPM or based on probabilistic threshold-free cluster enhancement (pTFCE Toolbox for SPM12; [Spisák et al., 2019\)](#page-10-20). Both threshold options are presented.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>Differences in MUD and control performance on cognitive tests were assessed via independent *t* tests (RAVLT, STROOP) and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (SCAP). All statistical analyses were conducted in SAS (Version 9.4).

#### RESULTS

Participants in the MUD group reported signifcantly fewer years of education than participants in the control group (12.7 vs 15.8, t=−4.34, *P*=.0002; [Table 1\)](#page-2-0). No other signifcant differences in demographic or substance use variables were found [\(Table 1;](#page-2-0) [Table 2](#page-2-1)).

GLM models examining group differences (MUD vs control), smoking status (smoking vs nonsmoking), sex, age, and the interaction of smoking with group on  $V_T$  found a significant main effect of smoking on  $V<sub>\tau</sub>$  in the whole brain (F=10.25, *P*=.0041, semipartial  $\eta^2 = 0.22$ ), cortex (F = 10.35, P = .0040, semi-partial  $\eta^2 = 0.25$ ), thalamus ( $F=11.65$ ,  $P=.0025$ , semi-partial  $\eta^2=0.28$ ), and striatum  $(F=8.84, P=.0070, semi-partial \n $\eta^2=0.22$ . No significant effect of$ MUD group, sex, or age was found. No signifcant smoking and MUD group interaction was found.  $V<sub>z</sub>$  values in participants who smoked were signifcantly lower than in nonsmokers in the whole brain (t=3.08, *P*=.0048), cortex (t=3.0 *P*=.0059), thalamus (t=3.25, *P*=.0032), and striatum (t=2.82, *P*=.0090), independent of MUD group status ([Figure 1](#page-5-0)).  $V_{\tau}$  values for the MUD and control groups, separated by smoking status, are displayed in [supplemental Table](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae031#supplementary-data)  [1.](http://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ijnp/pyae031#supplementary-data) No signifcant correlations between measures of methamphetamine or tobacco use and  $V<sub>r</sub>$  were found.

Dorsolateral prefrontal cortical  $V<sub>T</sub>$  showed a significant positive correlation with immediate memory measured by the RAVLT across both groups ( $r=0.59$ ,  $P=.0039$ ).  $V<sub>\tau</sub>$  in the inferior frontal gyrus trended toward a signifcant positive correlation with RAVLT performance as well, but the effect did not survive Bonferroni correction [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-1). Neither SCAP nor STROOP performance was correlated with  $V_T$ .



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 1. Significant difference in  $[{}^{18}$ FJFPEB V<sub>T</sub> between smoking and nonsmoking participants, independent of MUD. Red-colored symbols represent individuals with MUD, and white represent control participants. Circles represent female participants, and triangles represent male participants.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>



Abbreviations: RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; SCAP, spatial capacity delayed response test.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>*a* Signifcant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons and controlling for sex, age, smoking status, participant group, and global effects.

An exploratory voxelwise analysis testing the association between RAVLT and  $V<sub>T</sub>$  identified a significant positive cluster in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex using the threshold-free cluster enhancement algorithm [\(Figure 2\)](#page-6-0). Using a minimum cluster size of k>29, additional positive clusters were found in the superior frontal, rostral middle frontal, caudal middle frontal/precentral gyri, and the insula [\(Table 4\)](#page-6-1).

Performance on the SCAP was signifcantly worse in the MUD group vs the control group (Z=2.63, *P*=.0065), with lower D-prime values in the MUD group [\(Table 5](#page-6-2)). Though not statistically signifcant, performance on the RAVLT (d=0.70) and STROOP (d=0.61) in the MUD group was also below control values. Effect sizes of the group differences were moderate-to-large for all 3 tests. Cognitive performance did not differ as a function of smoking status.

## DISCUSSION

In this frst assessment, to our knowledge, of mGlu5 in human participants with MUD, we found no signifcant difference in global or regional mGlu5 in individuals with MUD abstinent from methamphetamine for 19–75 days (average=42 days) compared with control participants. These fndings differ from the below-control mGlu5 receptor availability in individuals with moderate-tosevere cocaine dependence who were actively using the drug when tested ([Martinez et al., 2014;](#page-9-12) [Milella et al., 2014](#page-10-11)). Participants who did not smoke cigarettes exhibited globally higher  $V_{\tau}$  for

[ 18F]FPEB than those who smoked, extending previous fndings of lower mGlu5 availability in individuals who smoke ([Akkus et](#page-8-5) [al., 2013,](#page-8-5) [2017](#page-8-6); [Hulka et al., 2014](#page-9-13); [Baldassarri et al., 2023\)](#page-8-7). Across participants (but controlling for group status), short-term verbal memory performance evaluated by the RAVLT correlated positively with  $V_{\tau}$  in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and superior frontal gyrus, while no association was found between  $V<sub>\tau</sub>$  and visual working memory performance or inhibitory control.

Lack of an mGlu5 defcit in participants with MUD may refect the recovery of mGlu5 during abstinence. In the aforementioned studies of cocaine dependence [\(Martinez et al., 2014;](#page-9-12) [Milella et](#page-10-11) [al., 2014](#page-10-11)), the maximum duration of abstinence from cocaine was 14 days and duration of abstinence correlated with mGlu5 availability in the striatum, amygdala, and insula [\(Milella et al., 2014\)](#page-10-11). In our sample of participants with MUD, the association between duration of methamphetamine abstinence and  $V_{\tau}$  in the striatum showed a nonsignificant trend  $(r<sub>s</sub>=0.56, P=.073,$  uncorrected). The possibility of recovery of mGlu5 with abstinence from methamphetamine is consistent with preclinical fndings that mGlu5 expression in the nucleus accumbens is decreased following 2 weeks of cocaine self-administration but not at 60 days after the drug is withdrawn ([Ben-Shahar et al., 2009\)](#page-8-8).

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Our study population was more heterogeneous in drug use and mental health status than participants in prior studies assessing cocaine dependence. Both Martinez et al. and Milella et al. recruited participants who met criteria for cocaine



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 2. Correlation of  $[^{18}F]$ FPEB V<sub>T</sub> with verbal learning. 3D renderings illustrate statistical parametric mapping analyses that tested the linear relationship between  $[{}^{18}F]$ FPEB V<sub>T</sub> and immediate memory on the RAVLT (measured in Z-Scores). Green clusters show voxels demonstrating a significant effect at a threshold of *P*<.001 with a minimum cluster size of k>29. Significant voxels and clusters, identified using the pTFCE algorithm, are highlighted in red (*P*<.001). Sex, age, smoking status, participant group, and global effects were controlled.

#### <span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 4.** Correlation of RAVLT Performance With [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB V<sub>T</sub>: Voxelwise Analysis



<span id="page-6-3"></span>Abbreviations: RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; TFCE threshold-free cluster enhancement. *a P<*.001 TFCE.

#### <span id="page-6-4"></span>*b P* < .001 (k=29).

#### <span id="page-6-2"></span>**Table 5.** Cognitive Task Scores (Mean±SE)



<span id="page-6-5"></span>Abbreviations: MUD, methamphatamine use disorder; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; SCAP, spatial capacity delayed response test. *a* Signifcant group difference, control vs MUD (*P*<.05).

<span id="page-7-24"></span>dependence but no other DSM-5 Axis I diagnosis, whereas this study included individuals with polydrug use and histories of depression, anxiety, obsessive compulsive disorder, and bulimia nervosa. More inclusive criteria used here are consistent with capturing changes in drug use behaviors over the past decade, particularly the increase in polydrug use by individuals using stimulants and opioids ([Strickland et al., 2021\)](#page-10-21), and consideration of psychiatric co-morbidities. These co-morbidities warrant individual consideration as alcohol use, depression, and anxiety have all been linked to mGlu5 (reviewed in [Asch et al.,](#page-8-9)  [2023](#page-8-9)). Notably, in one study [\(Baldassarri et al., 2023\)](#page-8-7), individuals with co-occurring major depressive disorder and tobacco use did not signifcantly differ in mGlu5 availability from those with major depressive disorder alone, though individuals with cooccurring PTSD and tobacco use exhibited higher mGlu5 availability in the orbitofrontal, dorsolateral prefrontal, and anterior cingulate cortex than individuals with PTSD alone.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>Smoking was associated with lower  $V_{\tau}$  globally in this sample, paralleling the fndings of [Hulka et al. \(2014\)](#page-9-13), in which smoking was associated with lower mGlu5 availability but cocaine use was not. The effect of smoking on mGlu5 availability has been replicated multiple times, with lower receptor availability in individuals who smoked cigarettes ([Akkus et al., 2013,](#page-8-5) [2016,](#page-8-10) [2017](#page-8-6); [Hulka](#page-9-13)  [et al., 2014;](#page-9-13) [Baldassarri et al., 2023](#page-8-7)). Smoking has also been associated with lower mGlu5 availability in individuals with cocaine dependence ([Martinez et al., 2014\)](#page-9-12) and alcohol use disorder ([Hillmer et al., 2021\)](#page-9-26).

<span id="page-7-17"></span><span id="page-7-11"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>Notably, the control group in [Milella et al. \(2014\)](#page-10-11) contained only 1 current smoker, suggesting that the higher mGlu5 availability in control participants compared with those with cocaine dependence could refect the lack of participants who smoke. Some studies have also reported associations between mGlu5 availability and smoking-related variables, such as duration of smoking [\(Akkus et al., 2013](#page-8-5)) and duration of abstinence ([Hulka](#page-9-13)  [et al., 2014](#page-9-13)). However, mGlu5 availability did not correlate with measures of nicotine consumption or severity of nicotine dependence [\(Akkus et al., 2013;](#page-8-5) [Hulka et al., 2014\)](#page-9-13). We also found no signifcant associations between the duration of abstinence (even when excluding 1 participant who had abstained for 17 days) or any other smoking-related variable with  $V_{T}$ . The generally light-to-moderate levels of smoking in our participants could contribute to the lack of association between smoking variables and  $V_T$ . Average Fagerström scores in these participants were under 3, representing low nicotine dependence [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0), with several participants reporting less than daily smoking. This suggests that even low levels of tobacco use are associated with low mGlu5 availability, replicating the fnding that both light and heavy smokers exhibit low mGlu5 availability compared with never-smokers [\(Hulka et al., 2014](#page-9-13)) and a main effect of smoking in a study with individuals smoking fewer than 6 cigarettes per day on average [\(Martinez et al.,](#page-9-12)  [2014](#page-9-12)).

<span id="page-7-27"></span><span id="page-7-20"></span><span id="page-7-16"></span><span id="page-7-15"></span><span id="page-7-12"></span>The positive association between prefrontal cortical  $V_{T}$  and performance on the RAVLT observed here extends previous fndings that link mGlu5 availability to cognitive performance in humans ([Mecca et al., 2020](#page-9-11); [Régio Brambilla et al., 2020;](#page-10-10) [Esterlis](#page-9-27)  [et al., 2022;](#page-9-27) [Wang et al., 2024\)](#page-10-9). Global cognition ([Mecca et al., 2020;](#page-9-11) [Wang et al., 2024](#page-10-9)), episodic memory ([Mecca et al., 2020\)](#page-9-11), processing speed [\(Régio Brambilla et al., 2020\)](#page-10-10), and attention ([Esterlis et](#page-9-27)  [al., 2022\)](#page-9-27) all have been positively associated with mGlu5 availability measured by PET, though the radiotracers and patient populations have differed among studies. However, working memory, <span id="page-7-9"></span>executive function, and verbal learning did not associate with mGlu5  $V<sub>T</sub>$  in a recent study including participants with PTSD, major depressive disorder, and healthy controls [\(Esterlis et al.,](#page-9-27) [2022](#page-9-27)). Despite these conficting fndings, the link between cognition and mGlu5 function is well-supported preclinically, with deletion or knockout of the mGlu5 receptor producing impairment of spatial ([Jia et al., 2001\)](#page-9-28) and inhibitory learning ([Xu et al.,](#page-10-22) [2009](#page-10-22)) in rodents.

<span id="page-7-28"></span><span id="page-7-22"></span><span id="page-7-14"></span><span id="page-7-13"></span><span id="page-7-7"></span><span id="page-7-6"></span>Pharmacological studies have also linked mGlu5 to cognition. In rodents, MPEP (2-Methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine), an mGlu5 antagonist, impaired working and spatial memory [\(Simonyi et al., 2010](#page-10-23); [Clifton et al., 2013](#page-8-11)), whereas positive allosteric modulators of mGlu5 have produced improvements in spatial memory ([Balschun et al., 2006\)](#page-8-12) and reaction time ([Liu](#page-9-10) [et al., 2008](#page-9-10); [Uslaner et al., 2009](#page-10-24)). Taken together, these fndings suggest that development of pharmacotherapies targeting mGlu5 to ameliorate cognitive impairment, which interferes with behavioral treatment for MUD, may be of particular interest [\(Petzold et al, 2021](#page-10-25)).

<span id="page-7-26"></span><span id="page-7-23"></span><span id="page-7-19"></span>There are some limitations in this study, of which sample size is one, that may have affected our ability to detect sex-specifc effects. Whereas preclinical data have suggested [11C]ABP688 binding potential ([Smart et al., 2019](#page-10-26)) and effects of methamphetamine exposure on mGlu5 expression [\(Denning et al., 2024\)](#page-9-29) may be sex dependent, no effect of sex was observed in this study.

<span id="page-7-8"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span>Another limitation is the inclusion of participants with polydrug use, which may have affected the fndings. Additionally, the inclusion of only treatment-seeking participants may add a selection bias, since only participants already willing to abstain enrolled in this study. Recruitment methods did not require enrollment in a treatment program, but all potential participants who were not receiving treatment failed to progress past screening procedures. Efforts were made to recruit individuals who smoked cigarettes to the control group to match smoking in the MUD group. The percentages of individuals who smoked in the 2 groups did not signifcantly differ, but the control group had fewer smokers than the MUD group. Additionally, several "nonsmokers" in the MUD group and 1 nonsmoker in the control group had formerly smoked. Although mGlu5 availability recovers after 6 months of abstinence in former smokers [\(Akkus et al., 2016\)](#page-8-10), several of the participants had abstained from cigarettes for a shorter period.

<span id="page-7-25"></span><span id="page-7-21"></span><span id="page-7-18"></span><span id="page-7-10"></span><span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>The state of smoking abstinence may be a consideration. While all scan procedures were performed in a state of abstinence from nicotine and other substances of abuse to ensure measurement of accurate mGlu5  $V_{\tau}$ , participants were not abstinent from nicotine during cognitive testing. Nicotine has positive effects on cognitive function, particularly memory and attention (reviewed in [Rezvani and Levin, 2001;](#page-10-27) [Heishman, Kleykamp and Singleton,](#page-9-30) [2010](#page-9-30)), and withdrawal produced deficits (reviewed in [Heishman,](#page-9-30) [Kleykamp and Singleton, 2010](#page-9-30); [Ashare, Falcone and Lerman,](#page-8-13) [2014](#page-8-13)). Participants in this study who smoked primarily reported light-to-moderate cigarette use, with average Fagerström scores under 3.3 indicating low dependence ([Table 2\)](#page-2-1). However, a small percentage of smokers had higher scores (5–8). The degree of nicotine dependence infuences the effect of smoking and withdrawal on cognitive performance ([Tait et al., 2000](#page-10-28); [Nesic et al.,](#page-10-29) [2011](#page-10-29)). Heavy smokers perform worse on a short-term memory task during withdrawal than light smokers, with smoking ameliorating this difference [\(Tait et al., 2000](#page-10-28)). Cognitive testing was performed in a non-abstinent state to avoid any impact of withdrawal on cognitive performance.

## Supplementary Materials

Supplementary data are available at *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology (IJNPPY)* online.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the staff and clients at the Cri-Help Inc. rehabilitation center for their participation and support. We also thank the Veterans Administration of Greater Los Angeles nuclear medicine technologists, particularly Ralph Mendoza and Josephine Ribe, for their assistance with collection of radiotracer metabolite data.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse at the National Institutes of Health: grant number R33 DA031441 (to R.M.K.), grant number R01 DA045162 (to E.D.L.), grant number R21 DA042347 (to E.D.L.), and grant number F32 DA047111 (to M.N.M.).

## Interest Statement

L.J.M. has received research support from Aelis Farma.

## Data Availability

All self-report, cognitive test, and summary PET data discussed in this manuscript are publicly available from the Open Science Framework web site under project title, "Brain mGlu5 is linked to cognition and cigarette smoking, but does not differ among those abstinent from chronic methamphetamine use and controls."

## Author Contributions

Megan McClintick (Data curation [Lead], Formal analysis [Lead], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Supervision [Supporting], Validation [Equal], Writing—original draft [Lead], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Robert Kessler (Conceptualization [Lead], Formal analysis [Supporting], Funding acquisition [Lead], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Resources [Supporting], Supervision [Supporting], Validation [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Mark Mandelkern (Data curation [Supporting], Formal analysis [Equal], Investigation [Supporting], Methodology [Equal], Supervision [Supporting], Validation [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Tarannom Mahmoudie (Data curation [Supporting], Investigation [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Supporting]), Daicia Allen (Data curation [Supporting], Investigation [Supporting], Writing review and editing [Supporting]), Hilary Lachoff (Investigation [Supporting], Supervision [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Supporting]), Jean-Baptiste Pochon (Formal analysis [Equal], Visualization [Supporting], Writing—original draft [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Supporting]), Dara Ghahremani (Data curation [Supporting], Formal analysis [Supporting], Investigation [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), Judah Farahi (Investigation [Supporting], Methodology [Supporting], Supervision [Supporting], Writing review and editing [Supporting]), Edwin Partiai (Investigation [Supporting], Methodology [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Supporting]), Robert Casillas (Investigation [Supporting], Methodology [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Supporting]), Larissa Mooney (Investigation [Supporting], Project administration [Supporting], Supervision [Equal], Writing review and editing [Supporting]), Andy Dean (Data curation [Supporting], Formal analysis [Supporting], Writing—review and editing [Equal]), and Edythe London (Formal analysis [Equal], Funding acquisition [Supporting], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Lead], Project administration [Lead], Resources [Lead], Supervision [Lead], Writing—review and editing [Lead]).

## References

- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[Akkus F, Ametamey SM, Treyer V, Burger C, Johayem A, Umbricht](#page-7-0)  [D, Gomez Mancilla B, Sovago J, Buck A, Hasler G](#page-7-0) (2013) Marked global reduction in mGluR5 receptor binding in smokers and ex-smokers determined by [11C]ABP688 positron emission tomography. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:737–742.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[Akkus F, Treyer V, Johayem A, Ametamey SM, Mancilla BG, Sovago J,](#page-7-1)  [Buck A, Hasler G](#page-7-1) (2016) Association of long-term nicotine abstinence with normal metabotropic glutamate receptor-5 binding. Biol Psychiatry 79:474–480.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[Akkus F, Treyer V, Ametamey SM, Johayem A, Buck A, Hasler G](#page-7-2) (2017) Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 neuroimaging in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 183:95–101.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[Arai I, Shimazoe T, Shibata S, Inoue H, Yoshimatsu A, Watanabe S](#page-1-0) (1996) Enhancement of dopamine release from the striatum through metabotropic glutamate receptor activation in methamphetamine sensitized rats. Brain Res 729:277–280.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[Arai I, Shimazoe T, Shibata S, Inoue H, Yoshimatsu A, Watanabe S](#page-1-1) (1997) Methamphetamine-induced sensitization of dopamine release via a metabotropic glutamate receptor mediated pathway in rat striatal slices. Jpn J Pharmacol 73:243–246.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[Asch RH, Hillmer AT, Baldassarri SR, Esterlis I](#page-7-3) (2023) The metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 as a biomarker for psychiatric disorders. Int Rev Neurobiol 168:265–310.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[Ashare RL, Falcone M, Lerman C](#page-7-4) (2014) Cognitive function during nicotine withdrawal: Implications for nicotine dependence treatment. Neuropharmacology 76 Pt B:581–591.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[Baldassarri SR, Asch RH, Hillmer AT, Pietrzak RH, DellaGioia N, Esterlis I,](#page-7-5)  [Davis MT](#page-7-5) (2023) Nicotine use and metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 in individuals with major depressive and posttraumatic stress disorders. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks) 7:24705470231154842.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[Balschun D, Zuschratter W, Wetzel W](#page-7-6) (2006) Allosteric enhancement of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 function promotes spatial memory. Neuroscience 142:691–702.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[Ben-Shahar O, Obara I, Ary AW, Ma N, Mangiardi MA, Medina RL,](#page-5-3)  [Szumlinski KK](#page-5-3) (2009) Extended daily access to cocaine results in distinct alterations in Homer 1b/c and NMDA receptor subunit expression within the medial prefrontal cortex. Synapse 63:598–609.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[Bikbaev A, Neyman S, Ngomba RT, Conn PJ, Nicoletti F, Manahan-](#page-1-2)[Vaughan D](#page-1-2) (2008) MGluR5 mediates the interaction between late-LTP, network activity, and learning. PLoS One. 3:e2155.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[Bortolotto ZA, Collett VJ, Conquet F, Jia Z, van der Putten H,](#page-1-3)  [Collingridge GL](#page-1-3) (2005) The regulation of hippocampal LTP by the molecular switch, a form of metaplasticity, requires mGlu5 receptors. Neuropharmacology 49:13–25.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[Brorson HH, Arnevik EA, Rand-Hendriksen K, Ducket F](#page-1-4) (2013) Dropout from addiction treatment: a systematic review of risk factors. Clin Psychol Rev 33:1010–1024.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[Clifton NE, Morisot N, Girardon S, Millan MJ, Loiseau F](#page-7-7) (2013) Enhancement of social novelty discrimination by positive allosteric modulators at metabotropic glutamate 5 receptors: adolescent administration prevents adult-onset

deficits induced by neonatal treatment with phencyclidine. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 225:579–594.

- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[Daggett LP, Sacaan AI, Akong M, Rao SP, Hess SD, Liaw C, Urrutia](#page-1-5)  [A, Jachec C, Ellis SB, Dreessen J, Knöpfel T, Landwehrmeyer](#page-1-5)  [GB, Testa CM, Young AB, Varney M, Johnson EC, Veliçelebi G](#page-1-5) (1995) Molecular and functional characterization of recombinant human metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5. Neuropharmacology 34:871–886.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[Dean AC, Groman SM, Morales AM, London ED](#page-1-6) (2013) An evaluation of the evidence that methamphetamine abuse causes cognitive decline in humans. Neuropsychopharmacology 38:259–274.
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[Delis DC, Kaplan E, Kramer JH](#page-3-0) (2001) Delis–Kaplan executive function system (D-KEFS): examiner's manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[DeLorenzo C, Kumar JS, Mann JJ, Parsey RV](#page-4-0) (2011) In vivo variation in metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 binding using positron emission tomography and [11C]ABP688. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 31:2169–2180.
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[DeLorenzo C, Gallezot JD, Gardus J, Yang J, Planeta B, Nabulsi N,](#page-3-1)  [Ogden RT, Labaree DC, Huang YH, Mann JJ, Gasparini F, Lin X,](#page-3-1)  [Javitch JA, Parsey RV, Carson RE, Esterlis I](#page-3-1) (2017) In vivo variation in same-day estimates of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 binding using [11C]ABP688 and [18F]FPEB. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 37:2716–2727.
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>[Denning CJE, Madory LE, Herbert JN, Cabrera RA, Szumlinski KK](#page-7-8) (2024) Neuropharmacological evidence implicating druginduced glutamate receptor dysfunction in affective and cognitive sequelae of subchronic methamphetamine selfadministration in mice. Int J Mol Sci 25:1928.
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>[Esterlis I, DeBonee S, Cool R, Holmes S, Baldassari SR, Maruff P, Pietrzak](#page-7-9)  [RH, Davis MT](#page-7-9) (2022) Differential role of mGluR5 in cognitive processes in posttraumatic stress disorder and major depression. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks) 6:24705470221105804.
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C,](#page-3-2)  [van der Kouwe A, Killiany R, Kennedy D, Klaveness S, Montillo](#page-3-2)  [A, Makris N, Rosen B, Dale AM](#page-3-2) (2002) Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron 33:341–355.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[Gereau RW, Conn PJ](#page-1-7) (1995) Multiple presynaptic metabotropic glutamate receptors modulate excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission in hippocampal area CA1. J Neurosci 15:6879–6889.
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[Glahn DC, Therman S, Manninen M, Huttunen M, Kaprio J, Lönnqvist](#page-3-3)  [J, Cannon TD](#page-3-3) (2003) Spatial working memory as an endophenotype for schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 53:624–626.
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO](#page-3-4) (1991) The Fagerstrom test for nicotine dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom tolerance questionnaire. Br J Addict 86:1119–1127.
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>[Heishman SJ, Kleykamp BA, Singleton EG](#page-7-10) (2010) Meta-analysis of the acute effects of nicotine and smoking on human performance. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 210:453–469.
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[Hillmer AT, Angarita GA, Esterlis I, Anderson JM, Nabulsi N, Lim](#page-7-11)  [K, Ropchan J, Carson RE, Krystal JH, Malley SSO, Cosgrove](#page-7-11)  [KP](#page-7-11) (2021) Longitudinal imaging of metabotropic glutamate 5 receptors during early and extended alcohol abstinence. Neuropsychopharmacology 46:380–385.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[Homayoun H, Moghaddam B](#page-1-8) (2010) Group 5 metabotropic glutamate receptors: role in modulating cortical activity and relevance to cognition. Eur J Pharmacol 639:33–39.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[Hoopsick RA, Andrew Yockey R](#page-1-9) (2023) Methamphetamine-related mortality in the United States: co-involvement of Heroin and Fentanyl, 1999-2021. Am J Public Health 113:416–419.
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[Hulka LM, Treyer V, Scheidegger M, Preller KH, Vonmoos M,](#page-7-12)  [Baumgartner MR, Johayem A, Ametamey SM, Buck A, Seifritz E,](#page-7-12)

[Quednow BB](#page-7-12) (2014) Smoking but not cocaine use is associated with lower cerebral metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 density in humans. Mol Psychiatry 19:625–632.

- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[Hullinger R, O'Riordan K, Burger C](#page-1-10) (2015) Environmental enrichment improves learning and memory and long-term potentiation in young adult rats through a mechanism requiring mGluR5 signaling and sustained activation of p70s6k. Neurobiol Learn Mem 125:126–134.
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[Innis RB,](#page-4-1) *et al* (2007) Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27:1533–1539.
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM](#page-3-5) (2012) FSL. Neuroimage 62:782–790.
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>[Jia Z, Lu YM, Agopyan N, Roder J](#page-7-13) (2001) Gene targeting reveals a role for the glutamate receptors mGluR5 and GluR2 in learning and memory. Physiol Behav 73:793–802.
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[Kågedal M, Cselényi Z, Nyberg S, Raboisson P, Ståhle L, Stenkrona](#page-4-2)  [P, Varnäs K, Halldin C, Hooker AC, Karlsson MO](#page-4-2) (2013) A positron emission tomography study in healthy volunteers to estimate mGluR5 receptor occupancy of AZD2066 - estimating occupancy in the absence of a reference region. Neuroimage 82:160–169.
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[Kessler RM, Roth T, Kim H, Ansari M, Zald D, Wong D, Cowan R](#page-3-6) (2014a) Bolus/infusion studies for PET [18F]FPEB studies of cerebral mGluR5 receptors. J Nucl Med 55:318.
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[Kessler RM, Seibyl J, Cowan RL, Zald D, Young JS, Ansari MS, Stabin](#page-3-7)  [MG](#page-3-7) (2014b) Radiation dosimetry of (18)F-FPEB in humans. J Nucl Med 55:1119–1121.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[Lee HK, Min SS, Gallagher M, Kirkwood A](#page-1-11) (2005) NMDA receptorindependent long-term depression correlates with successful aging in rats. Nat Neurosci 8:1657–1659.
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[Lim K, Labaree D, Li S, Huang Y](#page-3-8) (2014) Preparation of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) PET tracer [(18)F]FPEB for human use: an automated radiosynthesis and a novel onepot synthesis of its radiolabeling precursor. Appl Radiat Isot 94:349–354.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[Liu F,](#page-7-14) *et al* (2008) ADX47273 [S-(4-fuoro-phenyl)-{3-[3-(4-fuorophenyl)-[1, 2, 4]-oxadiazol-5-yl]-piperidin-1-yl}-methanone]: a novel metabotropic glutamate receptor 5-selective positive allosteric modulator with preclinical antipsychotic-like and procognitive activities. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 327:827–839.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[Lominac KD, Quadir SG, Barrett HM, McKenna CL, Schwartz LM, Ruiz](#page-1-12)  [PN, Wroten MG, Campbell RR, Miller BW, Holloway JJ, Travis KO,](#page-1-12) [Rajasekar G, Maliniak D, Thompson AB, Urman LE, Kippin TE,](#page-1-12) [Phillips TJ, Szumlinski KK](#page-1-12) (2016) Prefrontal glutamate correlates of methamphetamine sensitization and preference. Eur J Neurosci 43:689–702.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[Lu YM, Jia Z, Janus C, Henderson JT, Gerlai R, Wojtowicz JM, Roder](#page-1-13)  [JC](#page-1-13) (1997) Mice lacking metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 show impaired learning and reduced CA1 long-term potentiation (LTP) but normal CA3 LTP. J Neurosci 17:5196–5205.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[Manahan-Vaughan D, Braunewell KH](#page-1-14) (2005) The metabotropic glutamate receptor, mGluR5, is a key determinant of good and bad spatial learning performance and hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Cereb Cortex 15:1703–1713.
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[Martinez D, Slifstein M, Nabulsi N, Grassetti A, Urban NB, Perez A,](#page-7-15) [Liu F, Lin SF, Ropchan J, Mao X, Kegeles LS, Shungu DC, Carson](#page-7-15)  [RE, Huang Y](#page-7-15) (2014) Imaging glutamate homeostasis in cocaine addiction with the metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 positron emission tomography radiotracer [(11)C]ABP688 and magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Biol Psychiatry 75:165–171.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[Mecca AP, McDonald JW, Michalak HR, Godek TA, Harris JE, Pugh](#page-7-16)  [EA, Kemp EC, Chen MK, Salardini A, Nabulsi NB, Lim K, Huang](#page-7-16)

[Y, Carson RE, Strittmatter SM, van Dyck CH](#page-7-16) (2020) PET imaging of mGluR5 in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther 12:15.

- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[Milella MS, Marengo L, Larcher K, Fotros A, Dagher A, Rosa-Neto P,](#page-7-17) [Benkelfat C, Leyton M](#page-7-17) (2014) Limbic system mGluR5 availability in cocaine dependent subjects: a high-resolution PET [(11)C] ABP688 study. Neuroimage 98:195–202.
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>[Nesic J, Rusted J, Duka T, Jackson A](#page-7-18) (2011) Degree of dependence infuences the effect of smoking on cognitive fexibility. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 98:376–384.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[Neyman S, Manahan-Vaughan D](#page-1-15) (2008) Metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1) and 5 (mGluR5) regulate late phases of LTP and LTD in the hippocampal CA1 region in vitro. Eur J Neurosci 27:1345–1352.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[Park E, Sullivan JM, Planeta B, Gallezot JD, Lim K, Lin SF, Ropchan J,](#page-1-16) [McCarthy TJ, Ding YS, Morris ED, Williams WA, Huang Y, Carson](#page-1-16)  [RE](#page-1-16) (2015) Test-retest reproducibility of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 ligand [<sup>18</sup>F]FPEB with bolus plus constant infusion in humans. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 42:1530–1541.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>[Patel S, Hamill TG, Connolly B, Jagoda E, Li W, Gibson RE](#page-4-3) (2007) Species differences in mGluR5 binding sites in mammalian central nervous system determined using in vitro binding with [18 F] F-PEB. Nucl Med Biol 34:1009–1017.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>[Petzold J, Szumlinski KK, London ED](#page-7-19) (2021) Targeting mGlu5 for methamphetamine use disorder. Pharmacol Ther 224:107831.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[Potvin S, Pelletier J, Grot S, Hébert C, Barr AM, Lecomte T](#page-1-17) (2018) Cognitive defcits in individuals with methamphetamine use disorder: a meta-analysis. Addict Behav 80:154–160.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[Rawson RA, Erath TG, Clark HW](#page-1-18) (2023) The fourth wave of the overdose crisis: examining the prominent role of psychomotor stimulants with and without fentanyl. Prev Med 176:107625.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[Régio Brambilla C,](#page-7-20) *et al* (2020) mGluR5 receptor availability is associated with lower levels of negative symptoms and better cognition in male patients with chronic schizophrenia. Hum Brain Mapp 41:2762–2781.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[Reichel CM, Schwendt M, McGinty JF, Foster Olive M, See RE](#page-1-19) (2011) Loss of object recognition memory produced by extended access to methamphetamine self-administration is reversed by positive allosteric modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5. Neuropsychopharmacol 36:782–792.
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[Rey A](#page-3-9) (1941) L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encephalopathie traumatique. Archives de Psychologie 28:21.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>[Rezvani AH, Levin ED](#page-7-21) (2001) Cognitive effects of nicotine. Biol Psychiatry 49:258–267.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[Shiffman SM, Jarvik ME](#page-3-10) (1976) Smoking withdrawal symptoms in two weeks of abstinence. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 50:35–39.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[Shigemoto R, Nomura S, Ohishi H, Sugihara H, Nakanishi S, Mizuno N](#page-1-20) (1993) Immunohistochemical localization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor, mGluR5, in the rat brain. Neurosci Lett 163:53–57.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[Shigemoto R, Kinoshita A, Wada E, Nomura S, Ohishi H, Takada M,](#page-1-21) [Flor PJ, Neki A, Abe T, Nakanishi S, Mizuno N](#page-1-21) (1997) Differential presynaptic localization of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtypes in the rat hippocampus. J Neurosci 17:7503–7522.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[Shimazoe T, Doi Y, Arai I, Yoshimatsu A, Fukumoto T, Watanabe](#page-1-22)  [S](#page-1-22) (2002) Both metabotropic glutamate I and II receptors

mediate augmentation of dopamine release from the striatum in methamphetamine-sensitized rats. Jpn J Pharmacol 89:85–88.

- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[Simonyi A, Schachtman TR, Christoffersen GR](#page-7-22) (2010) Metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 antagonism in learning and memory. Eur J Pharmacol 639:17–25.
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>[Smart K, Cox SML, Scala SG, Tippler M, Jaworska N, Boivin M, Séguin](#page-7-23)  [JR, Benkelfat C, Leyton M](#page-7-23) (2019) Sex differences in [11C]ABP688 binding: a positron emission tomography study of mGlu5 receptors. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 46:1179–1183.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[Spisák T, Spisák Z, Zunhammer M, Bingel U, Smith S, Nichols T,](#page-4-4)  [Kincses T](#page-4-4) (2019) Probabilistic TFCE: a generalized combination of cluster size and voxel intensity to increase statistical power. Neuroimage 185:12–26.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[Sternberg S](#page-3-11) (1969) The discovery of processing stages: extensions of Donder's method. Acta Psychol (Amst) 30:276–315.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[Strickland JC, Stoops WW, Dunn KE, Smith KE, Havens JR](#page-7-24) (2021) The continued rise of methamphetamine use among people who use heroin in the United States. Drug Alcohol Depend 225:108750.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[Sussner BD, Smelson DA, Rodrigues S, Kline A, Losonczy M, Ziedonis](#page-3-12)  [D](#page-3-12) (2006) The validity and reliability of a brief measure of cocaine craving. Drug Alcohol Depend 83:233–237.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[Szumlinski KK, Lominac KD, Campbell RR, Cohen M, Fultz EK, Brown](#page-1-23)  [CN, Miller BW, Quadir SG, Martin D, Thompson AB, von Jonquieres](#page-1-23)  [G, Klugmann M, Phillips TJ, Kippin TE](#page-1-23) (2017) Methamphetamine addiction vulnerability: the glutamate, the bad, and the ugly. Biol Psychiatry 81:959–970.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>[Tait R, Martin-Iverson M, Michie PT, Dusci L](#page-7-25) (2000) The effects of cigarette consumption on the Stenberg visual memory search paradigm. Addiction 95:437–446.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[Uslaner JM, Parmentier-Batteur S, Flick RB, Surles NO, Lam JS,](#page-7-26)  [McNaughton CH, Jacobson MA, Hutson PH](#page-7-26) (2009) Dosedependent effect of CDPPB, the mGluR5 positive allosteric modulator, on recognition memory is associated with GluR1 and CREB phosphorylation in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Neuropharmacology 57:531–538.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[Wang J, He Y, Chen X, Huang L, Li J, You Z, Huang Q, Ren S, He K,](#page-7-27)  [Schibli R, Mu L, Guan Y, Guo Q, Zhao J, Xie F](#page-7-27) (2024) Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) is associated with neurodegeneration and amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's disease: a [18F] PSS232 PET/MRI study. Alzheimers Res Ther 16:9.
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>[Wechsler D](#page-3-13) (2001) Wechsler test of adult reading. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[Wong DF, Waterhouse R, Kuwabara H, Kim J, Braši](#page-1-24)ć JR, Chamroonrat [W, Stabins M, Holt DP, Dannals RF, Hamill TG, Mozley PD](#page-1-24) (2013) 18F-FPEB, a PET radiopharmaceutical for quantifying metabotropic glutamate 5 receptors: a frst-in-human study of radiochemical safety, biokinetics, and radiation dosimetry. J Nucl Med 54:388–396.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[Wright SR, Zanos P, Georgiou P, Yoo JH, Ledent C, Hourani SM,](#page-1-25)  [Kitchen I, Winsky-Sommerer R, Bailey A](#page-1-25) (2016) A critical role of striatal A2A R-mGlu5 R interactions in modulating the psychomotor and drug-seeking effects of methamphetamine. Addict Biol 21:811–825.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[Xu J, Zhu Y, Contractor A, Heinemann SF](#page-7-28) (2009) mGluR5 has a critical role in inhibitory learning. J Neurosci 29:3676–3684.