Problematic internet use: A growing concern for adolescent health and well-being in a digital era

@ •

Abel Fekadu Dadi^{1,2}, Berihun A Dachew³, Gizachew A Tessema^{3,4}

¹Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Northern Territory, Australia

ommon mental health problems represent the largest burden of disease among young people. Globally, over one in seven adolescents suffer from mental health and behavioural disorders. Suicide is the fourth leading cause of death in this age group with more than 80% of these deaths occurring in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1]. While the causes of mental illness in young people are multifactorial [2] – encompassing genetic predisposition, environmental stressors, social influences, biological changes, psychological factors, and lifestyle choices – the interaction of these factors with their constant connectivity to digital media is increasingly evident to have a negative impact [3,4]. Although digital media offers a sense of connection and various benefits, excessive use can paradoxically heighten feelings of isolation and loneli-

Problematic internet use (PIU) threatens adolescent health: the rise of PIU poses significant risks to adolescent psychosocial health, necessitating a coordinated approach that includes monitoring interventions, fostering collaboration among stakeholders, and implementing culturally sensitive strategies.

ness, particularly when it replaces face-to-face interaction and real-world engagement [5]. For example, adolescents with a genetic predisposition might be more susceptible to the negative impacts of digital media, such as cyberbullying or exposure to harmful content, which can trigger or worsen their mental health issues [6]. Digital media can amplify societal norms that glorify certain body images or lifestyles, contributing to adverse health and behavioural outcomes such as body dysmorphia, eating disorders, and self-esteem problems [7]. Furthermore, exposure to harmful online content, particularly violent or sexually explicit material, can add stress to adolescents with genetic vulnerabilities, potentially triggering or worsening mental health problems [8].

THE PROBLEM: PROBLEMATIC INTERNET USE

Problematic internet use (PIU), which is broadly referred to as preoccupation, loss of self-control over internet usage, withdrawal issues, negative impact on daily functioning, deception [9], and sexual-related online content addiction in particular, are areas of increasing scientific, health, and well-being concern [10]. Emerging evidence indicates that young people and individuals in LMICs are at the greatest risk of PIU [11]. Worldwide, one in three internet users are under the age of 18 [12], while 85% of adolescents owned a mobile phone by the age of 14 [13] and spent approximately 6.6 hours per day for non-school purposes [12]. Compared to high-

1

²Addis Continental Institute of Public Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

³Curtin School of Population Health, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

⁴Shool of Public Health, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

income countries, where internet access is already widespread, LMICs are witnessing a rapid and dynamic expansion of their internet user base [12,13]. This growth is often driven by young people, who constitute a larger proportion of the population in these countries. They are more likely to gain access to uncensored and unsupervised online content, quickly adopt new technologies [14]. For example, the proliferation of digital health promotion tools in LMICs enables adolescents to access health-related information discreetly, providing both potential benefits and risks [15]. Furthermore, various organisational reports highlight that the lack of internet regulation in many LMICs leads to greater exposure to uncensored content, which can impact young people's mental health and social behaviours [14]. The increased use of the internet during the COVID-19 pandemic is also more pronounced in the LMICs which majorly manifested as excessive, maladaptive, or PIU [15]. This viewpoint emphasises the evidence connecting PIU with adolescent health and well-being and the challenges in early identification and treatment, aiming to trigger discussion and advance research and policy initiatives.

WHY DO WE CARE ABOUT ADOLESCENT PIU

Adolescents' PIU, particularly in relation to sexual-related online content addiction (with reported pornography use ranging from 23–63% of adolescents aged between seven and 17 years old) has been increasing [16–18]. Exposure to sexual-related content addiction is linked to family and interpersonal relationship issues including relationship dysfunction, social isolation, low self-esteem, poor sleep quality, anxiety, depression, and reduced productivity [19,20]. Consequently, the deception, secrecy, and violations of trust associated with such addiction may shatter intimacy and personal connections [21,22]. This would result in a warped intimacy that often leads to separation and divorce and hampers any future healthy relationship [23,24].

CHALLENGES OF IDENTIFYING ADOLESCENTS WITH PIU

Identifying adolescents with sexual-related online content addiction is challenging due to its sensitive nature. People are often reluctant to talk about it, and the physical and psychological signs are often subtle or hidden. Cultural, religious, and social stigmatisation often prevent adolescents in LMICs from seeking help for sexual-related PIU due to fear of judgment, moral condemnation, and lack of awareness about the issue [25]. This stigma can often lead adolescents to fear embarrassment or shame, leading them to avoid discouraging them from discussing their issues or seeking assistance. For instance, studies have highlighted that in various ethnic and cultural groups, there is a preference for dealing with problems within the family or through spiritual means rather than seeking professional mental health services. Adolescents may internalise their struggles or turn to family members and community support, which can be insufficient for addressing serious mental health concerns associated with PIU specific to sexual-related online content addiction [26]. Adolescents might face ridicule or dismissal from peers and adults who view their struggles as merely a lack of discipline. In countries with tight religious practices, the combination of strict religious norms and limited mental health services creates a challenging environment for adolescents to seek help [27]. Moreover, the cultural, religious, and social stigmatisation of the problem creates an atmosphere that does not promote treatment and prevention [28]. Studies have shown that public stigma towards addiction, particularly internet sex addiction, can result in internalised shame and guilt, further discouraging individuals from seeking the necessary treatment [29]. The perception of addiction as a moral failing rather than a medical condition perpetuates this stigma, making it harder for affected individuals to come forward and access support services [30]. As a result, seeking care and access to care, even when one recognises that own sexual behaviours are out of control, is a decision faced with further layers of barriers and limitations [25–27].

THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM: GAPS IN ADDRESSING PIU

Adolescent sexual-related online content addiction has received insufficient attention from researchers and clinicians, particularly in resource-limited settings. To effectively address this issue, it is essential to implement community-based education programs, engage cultural and religious leaders to reduce stigma, expand mental health services, and develop culturally sensitive interventions tailored to adolescents' needs. While the recent introduction of compulsive sexual behaviour disorder diagnosis to the new ICD-11 could be a major milestone, fostering adolescents' health-seeking behaviour requires a transformative approach. Table 1 outlines the current resources available and identifies the gaps in addressing adolescent sexual-related online content addiction.

Table 1. Current resources available and the gaps in addressing adolescent sexual-related online content addiction

| Aspect | What is available | Areas of improvement |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Screening | No such practice, but screening tools are in the process of development and validation [31]. | Development and implementation of routine screening programs in schools and youth service centres. |
| | | Use multi-method approaches, including behavioural assessments. |
| | | Developing and advertising an online platform can help develop adolescents' self-awareness in seeking health care services. |
| Diagnosis | Limited standardised diagnostic criteria. | Develop specific diagnostic criteria for problematic sexual content use. |
| | Existing diagnostic tools are often not specific to sexual content addiction [21] | Create validated screening tools for health service use. |
| Treatment | No or limited practices | Cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) tailored to sexual-related online content addiction. |
| | Lack of specialised treatment programs for adolescents [32]. | Development and implementation of adolescent-specific treatment protocols. |
| Prevention approach | Basic parental controls and internet filters. | Enhance digital literacy programs for parents and adolescents. |
| | Awareness campaigns with limited reach. | Broaden the scope of awareness campaigns to reach more diverse populations. |
| | | Expanding a community and school-based welcoming peer-to-pear talk adolescent and youth facilities. |
| | | Continuous monitoring and evaluation mechanisms on the progress of in-placed interventions should be implemented. |

INTERVENTIONS IN REAL-LIFE SCENARIOS

Interventions to address adolescent internet addiction may require culturally and religiously adaptable versions for countries and regions with more conservative communities. Examples of such adaptable interventions include: 1) Tuko Pamoja Program in Kenya. This initiative integrates traditional storytelling and com-

Enhancing digital safety in a digital era: to combat the growing concern of PIU, families, schools, and governments needs to work together to promote digital literacy, provide guidance, and establish protective mechanisms that support the mental well-being of adolescents.

munity gatherings to educate adolescents about safe internet use and the risks of digital media. It involves local elders and uses culturally relevant narratives to convey its message [33,34]. 2) Digital Shakti in India. An initiative aimed at empowering young women to use the internet safely. It collaborates with local NGOs and uses workshops conducted in local languages to educate participants about online safety and digital literacy [35]. 3) Internet Segura in Brazil. A government-led programme that works with schools and community organisations to promote safe internet practices. It incorporates local cultural elements and includes training sessions led by community members [32]. Effective interventions to address adolescent internet addiction, especially related to sexually

explicit content, require a collaborative approach involving various stakeholders, including families, schools, health care providers, industry, and governments with clear roles and responsibilities. Each stakeholder plays a crucial role in implementing and sustaining the recommended strategies. Forming coalitions or working groups can further enhance coordination and resource sharing.

RECOMMENDATIONS IN CONTEXT

Limiting PIU in general and sexual-related online content in particular needs appropriate measures.

- 1. Providing families with helpful guidance about their children's internet and social media use and for families to monitor the contents that their children are accessing and how they are interacting with it. Studies highlighted the importance of parental mediation and monitoring in managing children's internet use, emphasising that guidance and active monitoring can reduce exposure to inappropriate content [36,37]. In regions where culture and religion play a significant role, involving religious teachings and leaders in the intervention can be beneficial. This might involve religious leaders and socially accepted people speaking about the importance of responsible internet use during sermons or community gatherings.
- 2. Empowering adolescents to be responsible online participants through active discussion and mutual understanding. Various sources have discussed strategies for empowering young people in this aspect,

- including the role of active discussion and education in fostering safe online behaviours, integrating digital citizenship lessons into school curricula, where educators can use real-life scenarios to teach students about the rights and responsibilities associated with digital interactions [38–41].
- 3. Encourage industries working on online sexual-related content to develop a tight protective mechanism for online access for inappropriate age [42]. A study highlighted the role of internet service providers in ensuring digital safety through protective mechanisms and corporate social responsibility initiatives [43].
- 4. Initiate countries to implement stringent legal framework is crucial for restricting access to online sites for adolescents [44]. Measures such as the Juvenile Protection Act, Internet Safety Zones, and the Real-Name System can effectively limit exposure to harmful online content and promote safer internet use among these age groups. For example, South Korea's implementation of legal and restrictive frameworks serves as a real-world example of how countries can regulate access to inappropriate internet content to protect minors [45,46]. South Korea employs Server Name Indication (SNI) filtering, allowing internet service providers (ISPs) to block specific websites by monitoring the server names requested by users, thus preventing access to blacklisted sites without over-blocking other legal content. This approach aims to be more precise compared to traditional methods like Domain Name System (DNS) filtering, which can inadvertently block multiple sites sharing the same IP address. The Real Name Verification system also requires users to verify their identity before contributing content, which helps to trace posts back to specific individuals, encouraging self-censorship and compliance with regulations designed to prevent the spread of harmful content [45,46]. Furthermore, the 'Safer Internet program' is an initiative that aims to promote safer internet usage among children and adolescents across the European Union member states. It includes funding for hotlines to report illegal content, educational programmes, and the development of tools to filter and block inappropriate content [47,48].
- 5. Establishing community centres that can offer educational workshops, support groups, and safe internet access points can provide a supportive environment for adolescents. These centres can also serve as hubs for disseminating culturally relevant and adaptable information [49].
- 6. Promoting further research to explore the extent of the problem, diagnosis, treatment, and protection approaches.
- 7. Developing screening tools, books, and guidelines that help families and health professionals with early detection and treatment [42,50].
- 8. Finally, mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the recommended interventions such as changes in internet usage by adolescents and establishing feedback loops where stakeholders can report on the effectiveness of interventions and suggest improvement, should be in place.

CONCLUSIONS

Addressing the multifactorial causes of mental health problems among adolescents requires a comprehensive approach. This approach should include monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of interventions, fostering collaboration between stakeholders, and implementing culturally and religiously sensitive strategies. Families, schools, health care providers, industry, and governments must work together to develop and promote digital literacy, provide support and guidance, and establish protective mechanisms against inappropriate content. By integrating community-based education, empowering young people, enhancing digital safety measures, and promoting further research, we can mitigate the negative impacts of PIU and support the mental well-being of adolescents globally. These efforts are especially critical in LMICs where the challenges are most pronounced. Lastly, emphasising collaboration and clearly defining the roles of relevant stakeholders, we can also create a robust support system to address adolescent sexual-related online content addiction and promote healthier internet usage habits.



Funding: No funding has been received. GAT reports to the National Health and Medical Research (#1195716).

Authorship contributions: AFD conceptualised the idea, reviewed the literature, and wrote the first draft. BAD and GAT critically reviewed subsequent drafts of the paper for submission.

Disclosure of interest: The authors completed the ICMJE Disclosure of Interest Form (available upon request from the corresponding author) and disclose no relevant interests.

- 1 Yatham S, Sivathasan S, Yoon R, da Silva TL, Ravindran AV. Depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder among youth in low and middle income countries: a review of prevalence and treatment interventions. Asian J Psychiatr. 2018;38:78–91. Medline:29117922 doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2017.10.029
- 2 McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA. Beyond cumulative risk: A dimensional approach to childhood adversity. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2016;25:239–45. Medline:27773969 doi:10.1177/0963721416655883
- 3 Orben A, Przybylski AK. The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3:173–82. Medline:30944443 doi:10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1
- 4 Twenge JM, Campbell WK. Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study. Prev Med Rep. 2018;12:271–83. Medline:30406005 doi:10.1016/j. pmedr.2018.10.003
- 5 Primack BA, Shensa A, Sidani JE, Whaite EO, Lin LY, Rosen D, et al. Social media use and perceived social isolation among young adults in the US. Am J Prev Med. 2017;53:1–8. Medline:28279545 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.010
- 6 Kumar VL, Goldstein MA. Cyberbullying and Adolescents. Curr Pediatr Rep. 2020;8:86-92. Medline:33552702 doi:10.1007/s40124-020-00217-6
- 7 Fardouly J, Diedrichs PC, Vartanian LR, Halliwell E. Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood. Body Image. 2015;13:38–45. Medline:25615425 doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002
- 8 Kota R, Selkie E. Cyberbullying and Mental Health. In: Moreno MA, Radovic A, editors. Technology and Adolescent Mental Health. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. p. 89–99.
- **9** American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition. American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc; 2013.
- 10 Peter J, Valkenburg PM. Adolescents and Pornography: A Review of 20 Years of Research. J Sex Res. 2016;53:509–31. Medline:27105446 doi:10.1080/00224499.2016.1143441
- 11 Zenebe Y, Kunno K, Mekonnen M, Bewuket A, Birkie M, Necho M, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of internet addiction among undergraduate university students in Ethiopia: a community university-based cross-sectional study. BMC Psychol. 2021;9:4. Medline:33407920 doi:10.1186/s40359-020-00508-z
- 12 Keeley B, Little C. The State of the Worlds Children 2017: Children in a Digital World: ERIC; 2017. Available: https://www.unicef.org/reports/state-worlds-children-2017. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- 13 ITU. Measuring digital development Facts and figures 2021. Available: https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf. Accessed: 17 June 2024.
- 14 Blum R, Boyden J. Understand the lives of youth in low-income countries. Nature. 2018;554:435-7. doi:10.1038/d41586-018-02107-w
- 15 Gjoneska B, Potenza MN, Jones J, Sales CM, Hranov G, Demetrovics Z. Problematic use of the internet in low-and middle-income countries before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A scoping review. Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2022;48:101208. Medline:35919788 doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2022.101208
- 16 Bőthe B, Vaillancourt-Morel M-P, Girouard A, Štulhofer A, Dion J, Bergeron S. A Large-Scale Comparison of Canadian Sexual/Gender Minority and Heterosexual, Cisgender Adolescents' Pornography Use Characteristics. J Sex Med. 2020;17:1156–67. Medline:32169576 doi:10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.02.009
- 17 Willoughby BJ, Young-Petersen B, Leonhardt ND. Exploring Trajectories of Pornography Use Through Adolescence and Emerging Adulthood. J Sex Res. 2018;55:297–309. Medline:28972398 doi:10.1080/00224499.2017.1368977
- 18 Donevan M, Jonsson L, Bladh M, Priebe G, Fredlund C, Svedin CG. Adolescents' Use of Pornography: Trends over a Ten-year Period in Sweden. Arch Sex Behav. 2022;51:1125–40. Medline:34750776 doi:10.1007/s10508-021-02084-8
- 19 King DL, Delfabbro PH. Internet gaming disorder treatment: a review of definitions of diagnosis and treatment outcome. J Clin Psychol. 2014;70:942–55. Medline:24752874 doi:10.1002/jclp.22097
- 20 Lam LT. Internet gaming addiction, problematic use of the internet, and sleep problems: a systematic review. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2014;16:444. Medline:24619594 doi:10.1007/s11920-014-0444-1
- 21 Doornwaard SM, Bickham DS, Rich M, Vanwesenbeeck I, van den Eijnden RJ, ter Bogt TF. Sex-related online behaviors and adolescents' body and sexual self-perceptions. Pediatrics. 2014;134:1103–10. Medline:25404728 doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0592
- 22 Döring N, Krämer N, Mikhailova V, Brand M, Krüger TH, Vowe G. Sexual interaction in digital contexts and its implications for sexual health: a conceptual analysis. Front Psychol. 2021;12:769732. Medline:34916999 doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.769732
- 23 Campbell L, Stanton SC. Adult attachment and trust in romantic relationships. Curr Opin Psychol. 2019;25:148–51. Medline:30096516 doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.08.004
- **24** Perry SL. Does Viewing Pornography Reduce Marital Quality Over Time? Evidence from Longitudinal Data. Arch Sex Behav. 2017;46:549–59. Medline:27388511 doi:10.1007/s10508-016-0770-y
- 25 Fekih-Romdhane F, Jahrami H, Stambouli M, Alhuwailah A, Helmy M, Shuwiekh HAM, et al. Cross-cultural comparison of mental illness stigma and help-seeking attitudes: a multinational population-based study from 16 Arab countries and 10,036 individuals. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatry Epidemiol. 2023;58:641–56. Medline:36583767 doi:10.1007/s00127-022-02403-x
- **26** Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry. 2010;10:113. Medline:21192795 doi:10.1186/1471-244X-10-113
- 27 Mubeen Z, Fatmi Z, Hameed W, Asim M. Barriers and facilitators to accessing adolescents' mental health services in Karachi: users and providers perspectives. BMC Health Serv Res. 2024;24:157. Medline:38302915 doi:10.1186/s12913-024-10593-0
- 28 Grubbs JB, Kraus SW, Perry SL. Self-reported addiction to pornography in a nationally representative sample: The roles of use habits, religiousness, and moral incongruence. J Behav Addict. 2019;8:88-93. Medline:30632378 doi:10.1556/2006.7.2018.134

- 29 Dunn N, Seaburne-May M, Gatter P. Internet sex addiction: a licence to lust? Adv Psychiatr Treat. 2012;18:270–7. doi:10.1192/apt.bp.109.007492
- 30 Matthews S, Dwyer R, Snoek A. Stigma and Self-Stigma in Addiction. J Bioeth Inq. 2017;14:275–86. Medline:28470503 doi:10.1007/s11673-017-9784-y
- 31 Chen L, Luo X, Bőthe B, Jiang X, Demetrovics Z, Potenza MN. Properties of the Problematic Pornography Consumption Scale (PPCS-18) in community and subclinical samples in China and Hungary. Addict Behav. 2021;112:106591. Medline:32768797 doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106591
- 32 SaferInternetDay.org. Safer Internet Day Brazil. 2023. Available: https://www.saferinternetday.org/in-your-country/brazil. Accessed: 17 June 2024.
- 33 Evaluation of A two-tier family Therapy Intervention; Tuko Pamoja: A Qualitative Study in Western Kenya. Duke Global Health Institute. 2023. Available: https://globalhealth.duke.edu/student-showcases/evaluation-two-tier-family-therapy-intervention-tuko-pamoja-qualitative-study. Accessed: 17 June 2023.
- 34 Tuko Pamoja. A guide for peer educators. Nairobi: Program for Appropriate Technology in Health (PATH); 2007. Available: https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2387&context=departments_sbsr-rh. Accessed: 17 June 2023.
- 35 CyberPeace Foundation. Digital Shakti: A cybersecurity revolution to empower women across India. 2023. Available: https://www.cyberpeace.org/initiatives/digital-shakti. Accessed: 17 June 2023.
- 36 Shin W, Lwin MO. Parental mediation of children's digital media use in high digital penetration countries: perspectives from Singapore and Australia. Asian J Commun. 2022;32:309–26. doi:10.1080/01292986.2022.2026992
- 37 Collier KM, Coyne SM, Rasmussen EE, Hawkins AJ, Padilla-Walker LM, Erickson SE, et al. Does parental mediation of media influence child outcomes? A meta-analysis on media time, aggression, substance use, and sexual behavior. Dev Psychol. 2016;52:798. Medline:26914217 doi:10.1037/dev0000108
- **38** McAfee. Top 10 Tips To Stay Safe Online. McAfee Blog. 2020. Available: https://www.mcafee.com/blogs/tips-tricks/10-tips-stay-safe-online/. Accessed: 17 June 2023.
- 39 Digital Citizenship 101: Responsible Online Behavior. CyberCitizenship.org. 2024. Available: Digital citizenship: teens being responsible online | Raising Children Network. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- 40 Child Rescue Coalition. (n.d.). Safeguarding Children Online: The Importance of Monitoring Internet and Social Media Usage. Available: https://childrescuecoalition.org/educations/safeguarding-children-online-the-importance-of-monitoring-internet-and-social-media-usage/. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- 41 Education and Training UK. (n.d.). Safe and Responsible Online Behavior for Children and Young People. Available: https://www.educationandtraining.org.uk/safe-responsible-online-behaviour-children-young-people/. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- **42** Lee S-Y, Kim MS, Lee HK. Prevention Strategies and Interventions for Internet Use Disorders Due to Addictive Behaviors Based on an Integrative Conceptual Model. Curr Addict Rep. 2019;6:303–12. doi:10.1007/s40429-019-00265-z
- 43 Sandoval M. Corporate social (ir) responsibility in media and communication industries. Media and Left: Brill; 2015.
- 44 Alves de Castro C, Carthy A, O'Reilly I. An Ethical Discussion About the Responsibility for Protection of Minors in the Digital Environment: A state-of-the-art review. Adv Soc Sci Res J. 2022;9:343–70. doi:10.14738/assrj.95.12433
- **45** Analysis: South Korea's New Tool for Filtering Illegal Internet Content. 2015. Available: https://www.newamerica.org. Accessed: 20 June 2024.
- 46 South Korean Internet Censorship and Regulation. 2015. Available:https://seoulbeats.com. Accessed: 20 June 2024.
- 47 Insafe Network. Available:https://safe.si/english/insafe-network. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- 48 Safer Internet Programme 2005-2008 (Safer Internet Plus). 2005. Available: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32005D0854. Accessed: 28 August 2024.
- 49 Lindenberg K, Kindt S, Szász-Janocha C. Internet addiction in adolescents: The PROTECT program for evidence-based prevention and treatment. Springer Nature Switzerland AG; 2020.
- 50 Aziz M, Chemnad K, Al-Harahsheh S, Abdelmoneium AO, Baghdady A, Ali R. Depression, stress, and anxiety versus internet addiction in early and middle adolescent groups: the mediating roles of family and school environments. BMC Psychol. 2024;12:184. Medline:38570890 doi:10.1186/s40359-024-01659-z

Correspondence to:

Dr Abel Fekadu Dadi Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University Northern Territory Australia abel.dadi@menzies.edu.au.com