Received 07/02/2024 Review began 07/05/2024 Review ended 08/01/2024 Published 08/02/2024

© Copyright 2024

Verma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.66047

Exploring the Association Between Physical Fitness (High Intensity and Low Intensity) and the Incidence of Temporomandibular Disorders: A Comparative Study

Abhishek Verma ¹, Nishath Sayed Abdul ², Anindita Bhagawati ³, Tribeni Saikia ⁴, Amritaksha Bhattacharya ⁵, Pawan Rajendra Joshi ⁶, Sahana Shivakumar ⁷, Shivakumar G. C ⁸

1. Public Health Dentistry, Sardar Patel Post Graduate Institute of Dental & Medical Sciences, Lucknow, IND 2. Oral Pathology and Oral Diagnostic Sciences, College of Medicine and Dentistry, Riyadh Elm University, Riyadh, SAU 3. Oral Surgery, Government Dental College, Dibrugarh, Dibrugarh, IND 4. Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Government Dental College, Dibrugarh, Dibrugarh, IND 5. Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Government Dental College, Dibrugarh, IND 6. Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Vidarbha Youth Welfare Society (VYWS) Dental College and Hospital, Amravati, IND 7. Public Health Dentistry, People's College of Dental Sciences & Research Centre, Bhopal, IND 8. Oral Medicine and Radiology, People's College of Dental Sciences & Research Centre, Bhopal, IND 9.

Corresponding author: Abhishek Verma, abhiindian_1@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

Background: Temporomandibular joint disorders affect the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), masticatory muscles, and associated structures. Symptoms include TMJ pain, limited jaw movement, muscle tenderness, and referred pain. Physical activity can alleviate musculoskeletal pain. This study explored the link between physical fitness (high and low intensity) and temporomandibular disorder (TMD) incidence.

Methodology: Sixty patients were divided into three groups in this comparative study. Group I underwent 30 minutes of high-intensity aerobic training. Group II had 30 minutes of low-intensity yoga sessions weekly. Group III received health education. TMD was diagnosed using the Fonseca Anamnestic Index (FAI). Pain intensity was measured using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ).

Results: Of the participants, 38.1% were males and 61.9% were females. TMD severity was mild (25.0%), moderate (55.0%), and severe (20.0%). High-intensity training groups had higher TMD symptom severity than low-intensity groups (p = 0.001). VAS scores increased in group I and decreased in group II (significant). PSEQ scores decreased in group I and increased significantly in group II. Group III showed no significant differences in PSEQ scores.

Conclusion: High-intensity training resulted in moderate TMD symptoms. Low-intensity training was beneficial for TMD pain. The study recommends combining low-intensity physical workouts with medications to alleviate TMD.

Categories: Dentistry

Keywords: pain, temporomandibular disorders, low-intensity training, high-intensity training, fonseca anamnestic index

Introduction

Temporomandibular joint disorders are defined as a class of diseases that mainly impact the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint, and the tissues that are related to them. Tenderness in the masticatory muscles, referred pain in the head, neck, ear, and dental areas, and pain and clicking sounds in the temporomandibular joint with restricted jaw mobility are the most typical indications and symptoms of temporomandibular joint dysfunction. According to research, between 15-50% and 30-90% of people, respectively, have at least one symptom and one clinical indication of TMD [3,4]. These have a complex etiology. A complex interplay is played by metabolic processes, genetic variables, growth and developmental factors, psychological stress, and parafunctional behaviors [5]. Using the Fonseca Anamnestic Index (FAI), the signs, symptoms, and severity of the condition are used to diagnose TMDs. These days, a person's lifestyle and health-related behaviors are also very important in determining the cause of TMD and chronic pain [6]. In TMDs, persistent discomfort and jaw movements are also substantially correlated with alcohol use, snuff use, tobacco smoking, and obesity [7,8]. According to Wanman, individuals with TMDs are less physically capable of carrying out a variety of tasks involving the muscles of the jaw and shoulder [9].

Through the release of endocannabinoids, or beta-endorphins, physical activity reduces sensitivity to pain

How to cite this article

Verma A, Sayed Abdul N, Bhagawati A, et al. (August 02, 2024) Exploring the Association Between Physical Fitness (High Intensity and Low Intensity) and the Incidence of Temporomandibular Disorders: A Comparative Study. Cureus 16(8): e66047. DOI 10.7759/cureus.66047

stimuli [10]. Physical activity of any form has been shown to help with musculoskeletal pain by influencing the autonomic and cognitive elements of pain. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that exercise can affect the symptoms and indicators of a number of pain-related conditions [2,8], as demonstrated by Kraus et al., who showed that patients with osteoarthritis can have pain relief and improved joint functioning with physical fitness training [11]. On the other hand, certain research findings indicate that people with fibromyalgia and lower back issues who engage in high-intensity physical exercise experience intense discomfort [5]. While the mechanism underlying these reactions remains poorly understood, some researchers believe that intense physical exercise raises inflammatory mediators and consequently increases pain, while others speculate that moderate-to-intense physical activity is linked to decreased levels of C-reactive protein and inflammatory responses [12].

In the context of managing chronic pain, TMD, which is extremely common and has a substantial negative impact on quality of life, has been connected to physical fitness. The current study compared high-intensity and low-intensity training sessions to examine the effect of physical training on the incidence of TMD and address this relationship.

Materials And Methods

Study design and sampling

A comparative analysis was conducted to investigate the impact of high-intensity and low-intensity training on the incidence and severity of TMDs. After reviewing the study, the People's College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal, Institutional Ethical Committee granted approval (Ethical clearance number: EC244224). After outlining the purpose, goals, and methodology of the study, each participant provided written informed consent.

Sixty patients were recruited for the study. Power analysis was done to establish the adequacy of a sample size of 60 for investigating the association between physical training and the occurrence of TMDs. Parameters employed for power analysis were a significance level (α) of 0.05, a power (1- β) of 0.80, and a sample size of 60. The power analysis demonstrated an effect size (Cohen's d) of approximately 0.52, which is a medium effect size. This indicates that, with a sample size of 60, the study has an 80% chance of detecting a medium effect size at the 5% significance level.

Participant eligibility criteria

Adult patients aged more than 18 years who were diagnosed with TMDs were included. The diagnosis of TMD cases was performed as per the FAI [13]. The FAI is a self-reported questionnaire developed by Dr. Djalma Fonseca to screen TMD patients in epidemiological surveys and clinical settings. It is a widely utilized diagnostic tool designed to assess the signs and symptoms associated with TMDs. Patients suffering from lower back pain, rheumatoid arthritis, spondylitis, any systemic musculoskeletal and endocrine disorders, liver problems, history of trauma within six months, sleep disturbances, psychiatric disorders or distress, and being on medication for any of these underlying conditions were excluded. The examiners were blinded to all evaluations.

Intervention

A baseline assessment of patients was done using the FAI index. Following this, group I received highintensity training, group II received low-intensity training, and group III received no physical training but only a health education session (control group).

Group I received a 30-minute session of high-intensity training weekly for four weeks in the form of aerobics and weight lifting. The intervention started with a five-minute warm-up session followed by 25 minutes of high-intensity training and a two-minute recovery period.

Group II received a 30-minute session of low-intensity training weekly for four weeks in the form of yoga, which was performed by the same instructor. Yoga sessions consisted of warm-ups, breathing exercises, and leisure asanas. In the warm-up, stretching of muscles was performed, focusing on upper extremity and neck movements, followed by various asanas like varaksana, padahastasana, and sasankasana [14]. Lastly, some leisure activities were performed for muscle relaxation.

Group III received only health education, and no form of physical training was advised. This ensured that the control group received attention and information similar to the intervention groups, facilitating the control for the placebo effect and ensuring that any differences observed in the outcomes were solely attributed to the specific physical training interventions.

Data collection

Baseline information was collected from all three groups through the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ). Pain assessment was done again after four weeks of intervention and

follow-up. The Cronbach's alpha (reliability, validity, and internal consistency) value of this questionnaire was reported to be 0.92 [15]. VAS was used to check the severity of pain before and after four weeks of intervention [16].

Statistical analysis

Data were entered into Excel spreadsheets (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA), and SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis. The chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between the groups for the FAI and VAS scale, while one-way ANOVA was used to evaluate the PSEQ mean value. The post hoc analysis was used to verify the intergroup comparison. A p-value of less than 0.05 was deemed significant for every analysis.

Results

In this study, a total of 60 patients were diagnosed with TMDs with ages ranging from 18 to 40 years, with a mean age of 24.83 ± 5.334 years. Out of which, 23 (38.3%) were males and 37 (61.7%) were females. The TMD severity assessment showed that 15 (25.0%) patients had mild TMD, 33 (55.0%) had moderate TMD, and 12 (20.0%) had severe TMD. FAI showed no significant difference between the three groups before intervention. After the intervention, it was observed that the severity of TMD symptoms increased among high-intensity training groups, whereas it was reduced in low-intensity training groups, significant at p = 0.001, as shown in Table 1.

	Choices	Before	interver	ntion		After intervention			
FAI questions		Group I	Group II	Group III	P- value	Group I (high- intensity training group)	Group II (low- intensity training group)	Group III (control group)	P- value
Q1. Do you have difficulty opening your mouth wide?	Yes	14 (70%)	16 (80%)	13 (65%)	0.562	18 (90%)	8 (40%)	9 (45%)	0.010
	No	6 (30%)	4 (20%)	7 (35%)	0.505	2 (20%)	12 (60%)	11 (55%)	
Q2. Do you have difficulty moving your	Yes	14 (70%)	16 (80%)	13 (65%)	0 562	18 (90%)	8 (40%)	9 (45%)	0.010
jaw side?	No	6 (30%)	4 (20%)	7 (35%)	0.503	2 (20%)	12 (60%)	11 (55%)	
Q3. Do you feel fatigue or muscle pain when chewing?	Yes	12 (60%)	12 (60%)	14 (70%)	0.750	14 (70%)	3 (15%)	10 (50%)	0.05
	No	8 (40%)	8 (40%)	6 (30%)		6 (30%)	17 (85%)	10 (50%)	
Q4. Do you have frequent headaches?	Yes	12 (60%)	14 (70%)	12 (60%)	0.750	16 (80%)	8 (40%)	10 (50%)	0.126
	No	8 (40%)	6 (30%)	8 (40%)		4 (20%)	12 (60%)	10 (50%)	
	Yes	12 (60%)	14 (70%)	12 (60%)	0.47	16 (80%)	9 (45%)	9 (45%)	0.036
Q5. Do you have neck pain or wryneck?	No	8 (40%)	6 (30%)	8 (40%)	0.47	4 (20%)	11 (55%)	11 (55%)	
Q6. Do you have earaches or pain in the TMJ?	Yes	13 (65%)	13 (65%)	14 (70%)	0.000	16 (80%)	9 (45%)	9 (45%)	0.036
	No	7 (35%)	7 (35%)	6 (30%)	0.928	4 (20%)	11 (55%)	11 (55%)	
Q7. Have you noticed any clicking in your TMJ while chewing or opening your mouth?	Yes	12 (60%)	9 (45%)	13 (65%)	0.444	14 (70%)	8 (40%)	12 (60%)	0.150
	No	8 (40%)	11 (55%)	7 (35%)	0.414	6 (30%)	12 (60%)	8 (40%)	



	Q8. Have you noticed if you have a habit	Yes	13 (65%)	11 (55%)	13 (65%)	0.754	13 (65%)	9 (45%)	15 (75%)	0.139
or cienching or grinning your teeth?	No	7 (35%)	9 (45%)	7 (35%)		7 (35%)	11 (55%)	5 (25%)		
	Q9. Do you feel your teeth do not	Yes	13 (65%)	15 (75%)	9 (45%)	0 139	14 (70%)	15 (75%)	9 (45%)	0 108
	articulate well?	No	7 (35%)	5 (25%)	11 (55%)	0.100	6 (30%)	5 (25%)	11 (55%)	0.100
	Q10. Do you consider yourself a tense	Yes	14 (70%)	13 (65%)	11 (55%)	0 605	11 (55%)	10 (50%)	13 (65%)	0.622
	(nervous) person?	No	6 (30%)	7 (35%)	9 (45%)	0.000	9 (45%)	10 (50%)	7 (35%)	0.022

TABLE 1: Severity of temporomandibular disorders among groups before and after intervention.

FAI: Fonseca Anamnestic Index; TMJ: temporomandibular joint.

In the current study, 23 (38.3%) patients reported moderate pain, while 37 (61.6%) patients experienced severe pain before intervention. Statistically, no significant difference was observed in pain intensity between groups (p = 0.493) before intervention. After intervention, pain intensity in group I individuals remained the same (60% versus 60%). In group II, none of the patients had severe pain (70% versus 0%). This difference in pain severity after intervention was significant at p = 0.001, as shown in Table 2.

	Before intervention					After intervention (8 weeks)				
Groups	No pain, n (%)	Mild pain, n (%)	Moderate pain, n (%)	Severe pain, n (%)	P- value	No pain, n (%)	Mild pain, n (%)	Moderate pain, n (%)	Severe pain, n (%)	P- value
Group I (high- intensity training)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (40%)	12 (60%)		0 (0%)	6 (30%)	2 (10%)	12 (60%)	
Group II (low- intensity training)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (30%)	14 (70%)	0.803	14 (70%)	4 (20%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	0.001
Group III (control group)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)		0 (0%)	3 (15%)	9 (45%)	8 (40%)	
Total	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	23 (38.3%)	37 (61.6%)		14 (23.3%)	13 (21.6%)	13 (21.6%)	20 (33.3%)	

TABLE 2: Interpretation of pain among groups before and after intervention.

When mean VAS scores were compared, group I individuals showed a significant increase from 2.22 ± 0.712 to 2.39 ± 0.584 (p = 0.001). In group II, the VAS mean score was significantly reduced from 2.08 ± 0.700 to 1.08 ± 1.109 (p = 0.001). No significant change in the mean score of group III patients was observed, as shown in Table *3*.

Groups	Before intervention	After intervention (4 weeks)	P-value
Group I (high-intensity training)	2.30 ± 0.923	2.60 ± 0.503	0.055
Group II (low-intensity training)	1.75 ± 0.444	0.40 ± 0.681	0.001
Group III (control group)	2.55 ± 0.510	2.35 ± 0671	0.214

TABLE 3: Comparison of mean scores on the VAS scale before and after intervention.

VAS: Visual Analogue Scale.

Similarly, PSEQ evaluation showed an increase in scores from 18.17 ± 4.426 to 44.86 ± 5.522 in group II, while it decreased from 17.82 ± 4.438 to 12.08 ± 1.625 in group I, which was significant, as shown in Table 4.

Groups	Before intervention	P volue	After intervention	P volue
	Mean value of PSEQ	r-value	Mean value of PSEQ	r-value
Group I (high-intensity training)	17.15 ± 4.283		12.10 ± 1.683	
Group II (low-intensity training)	18.45 ± 4.662	0.410	43.05 ± 5.286	0.001
Group III (control group)	19.00 ± 4.449		23.95 ± 1.504	

TABLE 4: Mean value of the PSEQ before and after interpretation among groups.

PSEQ: Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire.

On post hoc comparison, the mean differences of PSEQ in groups II and III concerning group I were 1.317 \pm 0.106 and 1.025 \pm 0.106, respectively, with significant differences between groups I and III (p = 0.001). When the mean difference of PSEQ was compared in groups I and III concerning group II, a highly significant p-value was obtained. The mean difference of PSEQ scores in groups I and II concerning group III was -1.025 \pm 0.106 and -0.292 \pm 0.106, respectively, with a significant p-value, as shown in Table 5.

Groups	After intervent	D velve	
Groups		Mean difference (standard error)	P-value
Group I (high-intensity training)	Group II	-30.950 (1.049)	0.001
	Group III	-11.850 (1.049)	0.001
Group II (low-intensity training)	Group I	30.950 (1.049)	0.001
	Group III	19.100 (1.049)	0.006
Group III (control group)	Group I	11.850 (1.049)	0.001
	Group II	-19.100 (1.049)	0.006

TABLE 5: Post hoc comparison of PSEQ after intervention.

PSEQ: Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire.

Discussion

TMDs have a high prevalence, affecting quality of life in all age groups. The etiology of these disorders is multidimensional and involves various factors: developmental, environmental, psychological, sleep, lifestyle, etc. To manage pain, TMD patients' physical therapy has a crucial role [17,18]. To explore the association of physical training with the incidence of TMDs, the present study was carried out among 60

patients with ages ranging from 18 to 40 years. In this study, the prevalence of TMD was higher in females (61.9%) as compared with males (38.1%). When the severity of TMD was assessed by FAI, it was found that 15 (25.0%) patients had mild TMD, 33 (55.0%) had moderate TMD, and 12 (20.0%) had severe TMD; thus, symptoms of moderate TMD were most prevalent in this study. In contrast, several trials have reported that symptoms of mild TMD were most common among their study populations [19-21].

VAS mean score of pain intensity increased among high-intensity training workouts in group I, while in the low-intensity training group, pain was significantly reduced. This showed that on intervention, signs and symptoms of TMDs were aggravated due to high-intensity workouts. Similarly, Chun et al. found that moderate to high-intensity exercises are associated with the worsening of TMD symptoms, while light exercises are favorable [19]. Mansour Ibrahim et al. also proved that vigorous physical training like weight lifting can cause pushing of the lower jaw against the upper jaw, especially in athletes, resulting in myofascial pain and discomfort [20]. The WHO data also support that the lowest amount of physical activity is recommended for wide-ranging health enhancement and in some situations, vigorous activities are harmful [21]. As per Freiwald et al., it has been documented that the occurrence of TMDs is high among athletes as compared to non-athletes [22].

A study on the Korean population by Rhim et al. revealed that low BMI and abdominal obesity are associated with TMD [23]. Eklund also reported that obesity can cause inflammation at low levels, which could be a reason for pain in TMDs [24]. In contrast to these findings, it has been observed that C-reactive protein levels are inversely proportional to the time spent engaging in physical exercises and according to the literature, high physical intensity training is associated with low inflammatory mediators [25,26], although the exact reason for these interactions is not fully understood.

In the etiology of TMD, the sleep cycle also plays an important role. It is encountered that physical activity has a two-way relationship with sleep, and moderate-level physical workout is advisable as a remedy for sleep problems [27]. Guilleminault et al. found that after introducing four weeks of regular exercise, patients suffering from insomnia took less than seven minutes to fall asleep [28]. Thus, to overcome sleep problems in TMD patients, physical workouts are recommended. In this study, the mean value of the PSEQ score was significantly increased in group II (low-intensity training), while in group I (high-intensity training), the mean value of PSEQ was decreased after intervention. It showed that pain self-efficacy while performing various day-to-day activities was increased among patients doing low-intensity physical workouts. Similar results were observed by Atilgan et al., who found that after introducing yoga-based workouts, neck and joint pain was significantly reduced with an increased range of motion in TMD patients [29]. Another study also revealed that face yoga has great efficacy in combating signs and symptoms of TMD [30].

The results of this study showed that low-intensity targeted workouts are very helpful in managing signs and symptoms of TMDs. Literature also supports the idea that coordinated stretching workouts targeting specific muscles can improve jaw functions and lessen the pain of TMD [25-27]. Apart from other factors, lifestyle has an intricate role in the etiology of TMD, as the prevalence of TMD is very high in India, which affects the quality of life. Therefore, inculcating low-intensity physical activities in the form of yoga would be beneficial in managing signs and symptoms of TMD.

Certain limitations of the study need to be acknowledged. The results may not be applicable to larger groups if the selected population is not representative of the overall population. Furthermore, while conclusions can be derived from this research, it is not possible to prove a link between TMDs and the level of physical fitness. The study's conclusions may potentially be impacted by some confounding variables, such as lifestyle choices or genetic susceptibility. Despite these drawbacks, the study maintains higher internal validity because standardized, quantitative measures were used to quantify both exercise intensity and TMD occurrence. It was possible to better understand the dosage-response association between physical training and TMDs by using both high- and low-intensity exercises.

Larger and more diverse populations can be included in future studies to enhance the generalizability of the study findings. Longitudinal studies are advised to evaluate the long-term impact of high- and low-intensity fitness programs on TMDs. A comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms can also be obtained by including psychological evaluations and investigating additional physical activities.

Conclusions

Based on the results, the present study concluded that signs and symptoms of moderate TMD were prevalent among populations. High-intensity physical training is associated with increased symptom severity; the signs and symptoms of TMD are aggravated by performing vigorous exercises. On the other hand, lowintensity training is helpful to combat painful TMD. In this study, pain intensity was reduced, and selfefficacy was significantly increased while performing various activities among patients in the low-intensity training group. Thus, the present study recommended low-intensity physical workouts with a combination of medications as a remedial measure in TMD. However, the etiology of TMDs is multifactorial; therefore, the evaluation of psychosocial factors, sleep, and lifestyle is also very important to identify a more precise relationship with TMDs.



Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Abhishek Verma, Nishath Sayed Abdul, Anindita Bhagawati, Tribeni Saikia, Amritaksha Bhattacharya, Pawan Rajendra Joshi, Sahana Shivakumar, Shivakumar G. C

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Abhishek Verma, Nishath Sayed Abdul, Anindita Bhagawati, Tribeni Saikia, Amritaksha Bhattacharya, Pawan Rajendra Joshi, Sahana Shivakumar, Shivakumar G. C

Drafting of the manuscript: Abhishek Verma, Nishath Sayed Abdul, Anindita Bhagawati, Tribeni Saikia, Amritaksha Bhattacharya, Pawan Rajendra Joshi, Sahana Shivakumar, Shivakumar G. C

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Abhishek Verma, Nishath Sayed Abdul, Anindita Bhagawati, Tribeni Saikia, Amritaksha Bhattacharya, Pawan Rajendra Joshi, Sahana Shivakumar, Shivakumar G. C

Supervision: Abhishek Verma, Nishath Sayed Abdul, Anindita Bhagawati, Tribeni Saikia, Amritaksha Bhattacharya, Pawan Rajendra Joshi, Sahana Shivakumar, Shivakumar G. C

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. People's College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, Bhopal issued approval EC244224. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Greene CS, Kusiak JW, Cowley T, Cowley AW Jr: Recently released report by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine proposes significant changes in understanding and managing temporomandibular disorders. J Prosthet Dent. 2022, 128:845-6. 10.1016/j.prosdent.2021.12.001
- Anastassaki Köhler A, Hugoson A, Magnusson T: Prevalence of symptoms indicative of temporomandibular disorders in adults: cross-sectional epidemiological investigations covering two decades. Acta Odontol Scand. 2012, 70:213-23. 10.3109/00016357.2011.634832
- Dragus AC, Mihai A, Tanase G, Burlibasa M, Cristache CM: Intraindividual left-right side differences of sagittal condylar inclination (SCI) in different skeletal classes. Healthcare (Basel). 2023, 11:1341. 10.3390/healthcare11091341
- 4. Ram H, Shah D: Prevalence of sign and symptoms of TMD among the patients attending Siddhpur Dental College and Hospital of Gujarat. Int J Appl Dent Sci. 2020, 6:671-5. 10.22271/oral.2020.v6.i3j.1023
- Romani V, Di Giorgio R, Castellano M, Barbato E, Galluccio G: Prevalence of craniomandibular disorders in orthodontic pediatric population and possible interactions with anxiety and stress. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2018, 19:317-23. 10.23804/ejpd.2018.19.04.13
- Zhang J, Chen J, Wang Y, Li R: Study of collagen remodeling in structural disorders of the temporomandibular joint using second-harmonic generation microscopy. Appl Opt. 2021, 60:9295-302. 10.1364/AO.431628
- Miettinen O, Anttonen V, Patinen P, Päkkilä J, Tjäderhane L, Sipilä K: Prevalence of temporomandibular disorder symptoms and their association with alcohol and smoking habits. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2017, 31:30-6. 10.11607/ofph.1595
- Jordani PC, Campi LB, Braido GV, Fernandes G, Visscher CM, Gonçalves DA: Obesity, sedentarism and TMDpain in adolescents. J Oral Rehabil. 2019, 46:460-7. 10.1111/joor.12771
- Wänman A: Endurance to physical strain in patients with temporomandibular disorders: a case-control study. Acta Odontol Scand. 2012, 70:455-62. 10.3109/00016357.2011.635599
- 10. Matei D, Trofin D, Iordan DA, Onu I, Condurache I, Ionite C, Buculei I: The endocannabinoid system and physical exercise. Int J Mol Sci. 2023, 24:1989. 10.3390/ijms24031989
- Smith BE, Hendrick P, Bateman M, Holden S, Littlewood C, Smith TO, Logan P: Musculoskeletal pain and exercise-challenging existing paradigms and introducing new. Br J Sports Med. 2019, 53:907-12. 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098983
- Kraus VB, Sprow K, Powell KE, et al.: Effects of physical activity in knee and hip osteoarthritis: a systematic umbrella review. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019, 51:1324-39. 10.1249/MSS.00000000001944
- Zagalaz-Anula N, Sánchez-Torrelo CM, Acebal-Blanco F, et al.: The short form of the Fonseca Anamnestic Index for the screening of temporomandibular disorders: validity and reliability in a Spanish-speaking population. J Clin Med. 2021, 10:5858. 10.3390/jcm10245858

- 14. Csala B, Springinsfeld CM, Köteles F: The relationship between yoga and spirituality: a systematic review of empirical research. Front Psychol. 2021, 12:695939. 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.695939
- Dubé MO, Langevin P, Roy JS: Measurement properties of the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire in populations with musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review. Pain Rep. 2021, 6:e972. 10.1097/PR9.00000000000972
- Delgado DA, Lambert BS, Boutris N, McCulloch PC, Robbins AB, Moreno MR, Harris JD: Validation of digital visual analog scale pain scoring with a traditional paper-based visual analog scale in adults. J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev. 2018, 2:e088. 10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-17-00088
- Prodoehl J, Kahnert E: Physical therapy for temporomandibular disorders: evidence-based improvements and enhancements for diagnosis and management. Front Oral Maxillofac Med. 2022, 4:16. 10.21037/fomm-20-44
- Karthik R, Hafila MI, Saravanan C, Vivek N, Priyadarsini P, Ashwath B: Assessing prevalence of temporomandibular disorders among university students: a questionnaire study. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2017, 7:S24-9. 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_146_17
- 19. Chun Y, Jo JH, Park JW: Effects of physical activity levels on characteristic pain in temporomandibular dysfunctions: a cross-sectional study. Head Face Med. 2024, 20:6. 10.1186/s13005-024-00407-3
- 20. Mansour Ibrahim Alrowdan A Sr, Mohsin SF, Ali Shah S, Alkhalifah TS: Frequency and severity of temporomandibular disorders among weightlifters at fitness centers in a subset population of Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional observational study. Cureus. 2023, 15:e49113. 10.7759/cureus.49113
- 21. Bauman A, Merom D, Bull FC, Buchner DM, Fiatarone Singh MA: Updating the evidence for physical activity: summative reviews of the epidemiological evidence, prevalence, and interventions to promote "active aging". Gerontologist. 2016, 56:S268-80. 10.1093/geront/gnw031
- 22. Freiwald HC, Schwarzbach NP, Wolowski A: Effects of competitive sports on temporomandibular dysfunction: a literature review. Clin Oral Investig. 2021, 25:55-65. 10.1007/s00784-020-03742-2
- Rhim E, Han K, Yun KI: Association between temporomandibular disorders and obesity. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2016, 44:1003-7. 10.1016/j.jcms.2016.04.016
- Eklund CM: Proinflammatory cytokines in CRP baseline regulation . Adv Clin Chem. 2009, 48:111-36. 10.1016/s0065-2423(09)48005-3
- Ford ES: Does exercise reduce inflammation? Physical activity and C-reactive protein among U.S. adults . Epidemiology. 2002, 13:561-8. 10.1097/00001648-200209000-00012
- Loprinzi PD: Frequency of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) is a greater predictor of systemic inflammation than total weekly volume of MVPA: implications for physical activity promotion. Physiol Behav. 2015, 141:46-50. 10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.01.002
- 27. Kline CE: The bidirectional relationship between exercise and sleep: implications for exercise adherence and sleep improvement. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2014, 8:375-9. 10.1177/1559827614544437
- Guilleminault C, Clerk A, Black J, Labanowski M, Pelayo R, Claman D: Nondrug treatment trials in psychophysiologic insomnia. Arch Intern Med. 1995, 155:838-44. 10.1001/archinte.1995.00430080076010
- Atilgan E, Kurt H, Algun ZC: Effect of yoga-based exercise program in female patients with myofacial pain of temporomandibular disorders. [PREPRINT]. Research Square. 2024, 10.21203/rs.3.rs-3894311/v1
- Ozmen EE, Unuvar BS: The effects of dry needling and face yoga on pain, depression, function, and sleep quality in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction. Explore (NY). 2024, 20:102980. 10.1016/j.explore.2024.01.006