Check for updates

DATA NOTE

The genome sequence of the hawthorn leaf beetle, Lochmaea

crataegi (Forster, 1771) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Liam M. Crowley¹, Mark G. Telfer², Hermes E. Escalona³,

University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab,

Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team,

Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

¹University of Oxford, Oxford, England, UK

²Independent researcher, Entomological Consultant, Ventnor, Isle of Wight, England, UK ³Australian National Insect Collection, CSIRO Consortium, Canberra, Australia

 First published: 19 Feb 2024, 9:80 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.20911.1
 Latest published: 19 Feb 2024, 9:80 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.20911.1

Abstract

We present a genome assembly from an individual male *Lochmaea crataegi* (the hawthorn leaf beetle; Arthropoda; Insecta; Coleoptera; Chrysomelidae). The genome sequence is 891.3 megabases in span. Most of the assembly is scaffolded into 16 chromosomal pseudomolecules, including the X and Y sex chromosomes. The mitochondrial genome has also been assembled and is 18.32 kilobases in length.

Keywords

Lochmaea crataegi, hawthorn leaf beetle, genome sequence, chromosomal, Coleoptera



This article is included in the Tree of Life gateway.

Open Peer Review			
Approval Status 🗸 🗸			
	1	2	
version 1 19 Feb 2024	view	view	
1 Manee M Manee			

Science and Technology, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

2. Jan Veenstra (D), University of Bordeaux, Pessac, France

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Crowley LM: Investigation, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Telfer MG: Investigation, Resources; Escalona HE: Writing – Original Draft Preparation;

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute [206194, https://doi.org/10.35802/206194] and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award [218328, https://doi.org/10.35802/218328]. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2024 Crowley LM *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Crowley LM, Telfer MG, Escalona HE *et al.* **The genome sequence of the hawthorn leaf beetle**, *Lochmaea crataegi* (Forster, 1771) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2024, 9:80 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.20911.1

First published: 19 Feb 2024, 9:80 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.20911.1

Species taxonomy

Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Protostomia; Ecdysozoa; Panarthropoda; Arthropoda; Mandibulata; Pancrustacea; Hexapoda; Insecta; Dicondylia; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Coleoptera; Polyphaga; Cucujiformia; Chrysomeloidea; Chrysomelidae; Galerucinae; Galerucini; *Lochmaea*; *Lochmaea* crataegi (Forster, 1771) (NCBI: txid1143063).

Background

The Hawthorn Leaf Beetle, *Lochmaea crataegi*, is a distinctive leaf beetle about 4–5 mm long. It can be recognised by the glabrous dorsal surface, reddish colour, four elongate black marks on the elytra and pair of black spots on pronotum. The taxonomic history of the species is complex, with at least six junior synonyms with type localities in Central Europe (Bezděk, 2004).

Lochmaea Weise, 1883 is a genus of leaf beetle widely distributed in Europe and Asia with about a dozen species divided in groups or subgenera. They are phytophagous beetles that use several plant families as host, including Betulaceae, Salicaceae, Rosaceae, Fagaceae, Ericaceae, and Cucurbitaceae (Jolivet & Hawkeswood, 1995). The species *Lochmaea crataegi* (Forster, 1771) is broadly distributed in Europe, Siberia and east Asia (Bezděk, 2004; Warchałowski, 2003) with recent records for Taiwan (Lee, 2019). The species was originally described from England by the pastor and naturalist Johann Reinhold Forster (1729–1798).

As the common name suggests, it is associated with common hawthorn, *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. (Rosaceae), with both adults and larvae feeding on it and in some cases affecting the plant's viability (Bezděk, 2004; Warchałowski, 2003). Adults appear shortly before the flowers open, upon which time they begin feeding on the pollen, occasionally feeding on pollen of other species. The larvae feed exclusively on the developing fruits, developing throughout the summer before dropping to the soil to pupate. Adults eclose during the late summer to autumn and overwinter in this stage. It occurs across a wide range of habitats, anywhere where the host may be found.

The genomic information on *L. crataegi* will allow to disentangle the taxonomy and systematics of the species as well as the genus and promote insect-host adaptation studies, being the insect and the host both common and widely distributed in Europe.

Genome sequence report

The genome was sequenced from one male *Lochmaea crataegi* (Figure 1) collected from | Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (51.77, -1.34). A total of 35-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads was generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 66 missing joins or mis-joins and removed 8 haplotypic



Figure 1. Photograph of the *Lochmaea crataegi* (icLocCrat2) specimen used for genome sequencing.

duplications, reducing the assembly length by 0.45% and the scaffold number by 29.38%.

The final assembly has a total length of 891.3 Mb in 136 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 53.0 Mb (Table 1). The snailplot in Figure 2 provides a summary of the assembly statistics, while the distribution of assembly scaffolds on GC proportion and coverage is shown in Figure 3. The cumulative assembly plot in Figure 4 shows curves for subsets of scaffolds assigned to different phyla. Most (98.32%) of the assembly sequence was assigned to 16 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 14 autosomes and the X and Y sex chromosomes. Chromosome-scale scaffolds confirmed by the Hi-C data are named in order of size (Figure 5; Table 2). The following regions of this assembly are of undetermined order and orientation: Chromosome 9 region 37.4 Mbp to the end, and the whole Y chromosome. While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited. The mitochondrial genome was also assembled and can be found as a contig within the multifasta file of the genome submission.

The estimated Quality Value (QV) of the final assembly is 60.4 with *k*-mer completeness of 100.0%, and the assembly has a BUSCO v5.3.2 completeness of 98.6% (single = 97.2%, duplicated = 1.5%), using the endopterygota_odb10 reference set (n = 2,124).

Metadata for specimens, barcode results, spectra estimates, sequencing runs, contaminants and pre-curation assembly statistics are given at https://links.tol.sanger.ac.uk/species/1143063.

Methods

Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction

A male *Lochmaea crataegi* (specimen ID 0x002129, ToLID icLocCrat2) was collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire (biological vice-county Berkshire), UK (latitude 51.77, longitude –1.34) on 2022-04-28 by beating. The specimen was collected and identified by Liam Crowley (University of Oxford). The specimen used for Hi-C sequencing (specimen ID

Project accession data			
Assembly identifier	icl ocCrat2.1		
Species			
Specimen	icl ocCrat2		
	11/3063		
BioProject	PRIER57666		
BioSample ID	PKJED57000		
Isolate information			
Isolate information	icLocCrat1, whole organism (Hi-C	scaffolding)	
Assembly metrics*		Benchmark	
Consensus quality (QV)	60.4	≥ 50	
k-mer completeness	100.0%	≥ 95%	
BUSCO**	C:98.6%[S:97.2%,D:1.5%], F:0.4%,M:1.0%,n:2,124	C≥95%	
Percentage of assembly mapped to chromosomes	98.32%	≥95%	
Sex chromosomes	XY	localised homologous pairs	
Organelles	Mitochondrial genome: 18.32 kb	complete single alleles	
Raw data accessions			
PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II	ERR10499355		
Hi-C Illumina	ERR10501013		
Genome assembly			
Assembly accession	GCA_947563755.1		
Accession of alternate haplotype	GCA_947563735.1		
Span (Mb)	891.3		
Number of contigs	738		
Contig N50 length (Mb)	2.8		
Number of scaffolds	136		
Scaffold N50 length (Mb)	53.0		
Longest scaffold (Mb)	139.76		

Table 1. Genome data for Lochmaea crataegi, icLocCrat2.1.

* Assembly metric benchmarks are adapted from column VGP-2020 of "Table 1: Proposed standards and metrics for defining genome assembly quality" from Rhie *et al.* (2021).

** BUSCO scores based on the endopterygota_odb10 BUSCO set using version 5.3.2. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/CANOBC01/dataset/CANOBC01/busco.

Ox001430, ToLID icLocCrat1) was collected from the same location on 2021-05-25 by beating. The specimen was collected and identified by Mark Telfer (independent researcher). Both specimens were snap-frozen on dry ice.

The workflow for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) includes a sequence of core procedures: sample preparation; sample homogenisation, DNA extraction, fragmentation, and clean-up. In sample



Figure 2. Genome assembly of *Lochmaea crataegi*, icLocCrat2.1: metrics. The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 891,301,393 bp assembly. The distribution of scaffold lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest scaffold present in the assembly (139,762,297 bp, shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 scaffold lengths (53,024,378 and 38,778,232 bp), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the endopterygota_odb10 set is shown in the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/CANOBC01/dataset/CANOBC01/snail.

preparation, the icLocCrat2 sample was weighed and dissected on dry ice (Jay *et al.*, 2023). Tissue from the whole organism was homogenised using a PowerMasher II tissue disruptor (Denton *et al.*, 2023a). HMW DNA was extracted using the Automated MagAttract v1 protocol (Sheerin *et al.*, 2023). DNA was sheared into an average fragment size of 12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system with speed setting 30 (Todorovic *et al.*, 2023). Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation (Strickland *et al.*, 2023): in brief, the method employs a 1.8X ratio of AMPure PB beads to sample to eliminate shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA. The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a



Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Lochmaea crataegi*, icLocCrat2.1: BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Sequences are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to sequence length. Histograms show the distribution of sequence length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/CANOBC01/dataset/CANOBC01/blob.

Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

Protocols developed by the WSI Tree of Life laboratory are publicly available on protocols.io (Denton *et al.*, 2023b).

Sequencing

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus DNA sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers' instructions. DNA sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the WSI on a Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II instrument. Hi-C data were also generated from the whole



Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Lochmaea crataegi*, icLocCrat2.1: BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all sequences. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of sequences assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/CANOBC01/dataset/CANOBC01/ cumulative.

organism tissue of icLocCrat1 using the Arima2 kit and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

Genome assembly, curation and evaluation

Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng *et al.*, 2021) and haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan *et al.*, 2020). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) using YaHS (Zhou *et al.*, 2023). The assembly was checked for contamination and corrected as described previously (Howe *et al.*, 2021). Manual

curation was performed using HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018) and Pretext (Harry, 2022). The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2023), which runs MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020) or MITOS (Bernt *et al.*, 2013) and uses these annotations to select the final mitochondrial contig and to ensure the general quality of the sequence.

A Hi-C map for the final assembly was produced using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019) in the Cooler file format (Abdennur & Mirny, 2020). To assess the assembly



Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Lochmaea crataegi*, icLocCrat2.1: Hi-C contact map of the icLocCrat2.1 assembly, visualised using HiGlass. Chromosomes are shown in order of size from left to right and top to bottom. An interactive version of this figure may be viewed at https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=FAZ9iekhQ6-CeJrt7SmoIg.

icLocCrat2.	2		J .
INSDC accession	Chromosome	Length (Mb)	GC%
OX387423.1	1	96.32	34.0
OX387424.1	2	74.48	33.5
OX387425.1	3	54.01	33.5
OX387426.1	4	53.19	34.0
OX387427.1	5	53.02	34.0
OX387428.1	6	51.23	33.5
OX387429.1	7	47.18	34.0

 Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of Lochmaea crataegi,

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Length (Mb)	GC%
OX387430.1	8	46.99	34.0
OX387431.1	9	45.26	34.5
OX387432.1	10	42.22	33.5
OX387433.1	11	41.92	34.0
OX387434.1	12	39.66	34.0
OX387435.1	13	38.78	34.0
OX387436.1	14	35.63	34.0
OX387422.1	Х	139.76	34.0
OX387437.1	Y	16.76	34.0
OX387438.1	MT	0.02	22.0

Table 3 contains a list of relevant software tool versions and sources.

et al., 2020) and BUSCO scores (Manni et al., 2021; Simão

Wellcome Sanger Institute - Legal and Governance

et al., 2015) were calculated.

The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the **'Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice'**, which can be found in full on the Darwin Tree of Life website here. By agreeing with and signing up to the Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and supplied to, the Darwin Tree of Life Project.

Further, the Wellcome Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/are to be collected and provided for use.

Table 3. Software tools: versions and sources.

Software tool	Version	Source
BlobToolKit	4.1.7	https://github.com/blobtoolkit/ blobtoolkit
BUSCO	5.3.2	https://gitlab.com/ezlab/busco
Hifiasm	0.16.1-r375	https://github.com/chhylp123/ hifiasm
HiGlass	1.11.6	https://github.com/higlass/higlass
Merqury	MerquryFK	https://github.com/thegenemyers/ MERQURY.FK
MitoHiFi	2	https://github.com/marcelauliano/ MitoHiFi
PretextView	0.2	https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/ PretextView
purge_dups	1.2.3	https://github.com/dfguan/purge_ dups
sanger-tol/ genomenote	v1.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/ genomenote
sanger-tol/ readmapping	1.1.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/ readmapping/tree/1.1.0
YaHS	1.1a.2	https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs

The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible. The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international)

Each transfer of samples is further undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Darwin Tree of Life Partner, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute), and in some circumstances other Darwin Tree of Life collaborators.

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: *Lochmaea crataegi* (hawthorn leaf beetle). Accession number PRJEB57666; https://identifiers.org/ena.embl/PRJEB57666 (Wellcome Sanger Institute, 2022). The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *Lochmaea crataegi* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated using available RNA-Seq data and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.

Author information

Members of the University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7125292.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4893703.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Management, Samples and Laboratory team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10066175.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: Sequencing Operations are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10043364.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life Core Informatics team are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10066637.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5013541.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783558.

References

Abdennur N, Mirny LA: Cooler: Scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(1): 311-316. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, et al.: MitoFinder: Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2020; **20**(4): 892–905. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, et al.: MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2013; 69(2): 313-319. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Bezděk J: A review of the Lochmaea crataegi (Forster, 1771) species group from Asia Minor, Near East and Caucasus (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Galerucinae). Annales Zoologici. 2004; 54(3): 561-566 **Reference Source**

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit - interactive quality assessment of genome assemblies. G3 (Bethesda). 2020; 10(4): 1361-1374. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, *et al.*: **Haplotype-resolved** *de novo* **assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm.** *Nat Methods.* 2021; **18**(2): 170–175.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Denton A, Oatley G, Cornwell C, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Sample Homogenisation: PowerMash. protocols.io. 2023a. **Publisher Full Text**

Denton A, Yatsenko H, Jay J, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Wet Laboratory Protocol Collection V.1. protocols.io. 2023b. Publisher Full Text

Di Tommaso P, Chatzou M, Floden EW, et al.: Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. Nat Biotechnol. 2017: 35(4): 316-319. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Forster JR: Novae species Insectorum. Londini: Centuria I. T. Davies et B. White, 1771.

Reference Source

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(9): 2896-2898

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Harry E: PretextView (Paired REad TEXTure Viewer): A desktop application for viewing pretext contact maps. 2022; [Accessed 19 October 2022]. **Reference Source**

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation. GigaScience. Oxford University Press, 2021; **10**(1): giaa153.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Jay J, Yatsenko H, Narváez-Gómez JP, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life Sample Preparation: Triage and Dissection. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

Jolivet P, Hawkeswood TJ: Host-plants of Chrysomelidae of the World: An Essay about the Relationships between the Leaf-beetles and their Food-plants. Leiden: Backhuys Publishers, 1995. **Reference Source**

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: web-based visual

exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Lee CF: The genus Lochmaea Weise, 1883 in Taiwan: results of taxonomic

expeditions by citizen scientists (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae, Galerucinae). ZooKeys. 2019; 856: 75-100.

Publisher Full Text

Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppey M, *et al.*: BUSCO update: Novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2021; 38(10): 4647-4654.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, et al.: A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell.* 2014; **159**(7): 1665–1680.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, et al.: Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021; 592(7856): 737-746

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, et al.: Merqury: Reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol. 2020; 21(1): 245. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Sheerin E, Sampaio F, Oatley G, et al.: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA Extraction: Automated MagAttract v.1. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, *et al.*: **BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.** Bioinformatics. 2015; 31(19): 3210-3212. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Strickland M, Cornwell C, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life Fragmented DNA clean up: Manual SPRI. protocols.io. 2023. **Publisher Full Text**

Surana P, Muffato M, Qi G: sanger-tol/readmapping: sanger-tol/ readmapping v1.1.0 - Hebridean Black (1.1.0). Zenodo. 2023a. **Publisher Full Text**

Surana P, Muffato M, Sadasivan Baby C: sanger-tol/genomenote (v1.0.dev). Zenodo. 2023b.

Publisher Full Text

Todorovic M, Sampaio F, Howard C: Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA Fragmentation: Diagenode Megaruptor®3 for PacBio HiFi. protocols.io. 2023

Publisher Full Text

Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira JGRN, Krasheninnikova K, et al.: MitoHiFi: a python pipeline for mitochondrial genome assembly from PacBio high fidelity reads. BMC Bioinformatics. 2023; 24(1): 288.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Vasimuddin Md, Misra S, Li H, et al.: Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BVA-MEM for Multicore Systems. In: 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS). IEEE, 2019; 314–324. **Publisher Full Text**

Warchałowski A: Chrysomelidae. The leaf-beetles of Europe and the Mediterranean area. Warszawa: Natura Optima Dux Foundation, 2003. Reference Source

Wellcome Sanger Institute: The genome sequence of the hawthorn leaf beetle, Lochmaea crataegi (Forster, 1771). European Nucleotide Archive. [dataset], accession number PRJEB57666, 2022.

Zhou C, McCarthy SA, Durbin R: YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool. Bioinformatics. 2023; 39(1): btac808.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 💙

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 September 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.23137.r94654

© **2024 Veenstra J.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Jan Veenstra 问

University of Bordeaux, Pessac, France

Yet another high quality genome assembly from the Wellcome Sanger Institute. Having analyzed several Coleoptera genome assemblies in the past, I downloaded this one and looked for genes coding insulin and IGF related ligands and their putative receptors. As I expected there was no problem identifying these genes and predicting their coding exons. Thus confirming, for admittedly a relatively small number of genes, the supreme quality of this gene assembly.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Insect neuropeptides

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 22 July 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.23137.r87812

© **2024 Manee M.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Manee M Manee 匝

King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

This study presents a comprehensive genome assembly for the hawthorn leaf beetle. The assembly quality metrics are robust, with an estimated Quality Value (QV) of 60.4, k-mer completeness of 100.0%, and a BUSCO completeness of 98.6%. The study employs a rigorous methodology, using advanced sequencing and assembly techniques. Detailed descriptions of sample collection, DNA extraction, sequencing, and assembly provide transparency and reproducibility. I am happy with the current form of this study.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format? Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: bioinformatics, genomics and evolutionary biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Comments on this article

Version 1

Reader Comment 12 Mar 2024

Andrzej Bienkowski, Not Applicable, Russian Federation

Dear Colleagues, I have just read your interesting article on the genome of Lochmaea crataegi. Now I work on the taxonomical revision of this species group to include 5 species. Only one species, namely, L. crataegi, is distributed in W Europe. By the way, you cited Lee (2019) on the occurence of L. crataegi in Taiwan. Your citation is incorrect. Lee (2019) has not recorded this species from Taiwan. You can write me directly on my e-mail: bienkowski@yandex.ru Yours sincerely, Dr. Andrzej O. Bienkowski

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.