PERIODONTAL DISEASE IN CATS Back to basics – with an eye on the future



CLINICAL REVIEW

Rachel Perry and Cedric Tutt

Periodontal disease is a collection of diseases characterised by plaqueinduced inflammation of the periodontal tissues that together make up the periodontium. In health, the periodontium securely maintains the

The two main feline periodontal diseases that require clear understanding are gingivitis and periodontitis. teeth in the jaws. The classification of human periodontal diseases is wide, including not only gingival diseases, but necrotising diseases, periodontal abscess, and chronic as well as aggressive forms of periodontitis.¹ The two main feline periodontal diseases that require clear understanding are gingivitis and periodontitis.

However, juvenile forms of these conditions exist, and the practitioner must be able to differentiate these from other inflammatory conditions in the mouth, such as chronic gingivostomatitis.

The healthy periodontium

The periodontium is comprised of cementum (covering the tooth root surface), periodontal ligament (securely anchored in the cementum and alveolar bone), alveolar bone (compact bone that lines the walls of the alveoli and is seen radiographically as the lamina dura) and the gingiva (Figures 1 and 2). The gingiva is the covering of the alveolar bone that protects the other periodontal structures, and is separated from the alveolar mucosa by the mucogingival junction.² The gingiva, divided into free and attached parts, is perforated by the teeth protruding through it into the oral cavity. Surrounding each tooth is, therefore, a collar of free gingiva. The gingiva then reflects back on itself becoming sulcular epithelium and then junctional epithelium, which attaches to the tooth itself via hemidesmosomes. Thus a potential space, the gingival sulcus, is formed. The sulcus is bathed in gingival crevicular fluid, which flows continuously outwards from the base of the sulcus.

Nowhere else in the body is the epithelium broached in such a manner, and so this junctional epithelium forms the principal seal between the

> Rachel Perry BSc BVM&S MANZCVS (Small Animal Dentistry & Oral Surgery) MRCVS* Perrydental Vet Ltd, Grove Lodge Veterinary Hospital, Upper Brighton Road, Worthing, West Sussex, BN14 9DL, UK

Cedric Tutt BScAgric BVSc(Hons) MMedVet(Med) Diplomate EVDC MRCVS Cape Animal Dentistry Service, 78 Rosmead Avenue, Kenilworth, 7708, Cape Town, South Africa

> *Corresponding author: oralvet@googlemail.com

in, South Africa

Practical relevance: Periodontal disease

is commonly encountered in feline practice. Gingivitis, followed by inflammation of the rest of the periodontal tissues, can lead to chronic oral infection, bacteraemia, pain and ultimately tooth loss. Given adequate plaque control and thorough, consistent dental home care, gingivitis is a reversible and controllable condition. Periodontitis, however, is an essentially irreversible and progressive condition. Treatment aims to control tissue inflammation, returning the gingiva to clinical health and preventing destruction of the

periodontium in other parts of the mouth. Clinical challenges: Diagnosis must be established using a combination of oral examination under anaesthesia and dental radiography. Periodontitis leads to tooth attachment loss, and given the short length of most cat teeth, probing depths of 1 mm or more should alert the clinician to the presence of periodontitis. The decision of whether to extract or preserve affected teeth needs careful consideration. In practice, as periodontitis is often associated with type 1 tooth resorption, extraction is often required, but the slender and delicate nature of feline tooth roots, compounded by the destructive nature of tooth resorption, can frustrate extraction attempts. As highlighted in this article, iatrogenic damage to teeth is also a real risk if periodontal therapy procedures (including scaling and polishing) are not performed carefully. The challenges of providing home care in the cat are additionally discussed.

Evidence base: The authors have drawn upon, wherever possible, an evidence base relating strictly to the feline patient. Where there is a lack of published research, evidence from canine and human studies is assessed.



DOI: 10.1177/1098612X14560099 © ISFM and AAFP 2015

JFMS CLINICAL PRACTICE 45



Figure 1 Periodontal tissues in clinical health. (a) Attached gingiva is denoted (A), the alveolar mucosa (M) and the mucogingival junction is indicated by the blue arrows. There are minimal deposits on the tooth surfaces, and no bleeding was evident on periodontal probing. (b) Radiographically, the alveolar bone margin (green arrow) is within 1 mm of the cemento-enamel junction (red arrow). The periodontal ligament (blue arrows) is visible as a radiolucent line of consistent width surrounding the tooth roots



Figure 2 Basic tooth anatomy. The crown of the tooth is covered in enamel (E), and the roots are covered in cementum (C). Dentine (D) comprises the majority of the hard tissue of the tooth. Within the tooth is the pulp (P). This is a soft tissue composed of arteries, veins and nerves, which enter through the apex of the root (A) (these are branches from the maxillary and mandibular artery, vein and nerves [*]), as well as lymphatics, fibroblasts, odontoblasts and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells. Within the crown the pulp space is termed the pulp chamber and within the root the root canal. The periodontal ligament (PDL) anchors the tooth within the alvelous by attaching to the cementum and alveolar bone (AB). The furcation (F) is where the roots divide and, in health, this area is filled with alveolar bone

The periodontium in detail

The gingiva comprises the attached gingiva (AG) (which is firmly attached to the underlying alveolar bone [AB] via deep rete pegs within the epithelium) and the free gingiva (FG) and gingival margin (GM), which are not attached to underlying bone. This epithelium is firmly attached to the tooth at the junctional epithelium (JE). The tooth is anchored to the alveolar bone by



tough periodontal ligament (PDL) fibres, which insert into both the bone and the cementum (C) covering the root. The most coronal part of the alveolar bone is termed the alveolar margin (AM) and is readily identifiable on dental radiographs. The oral mucosa (OM) meets the gingiva at the mucogingival junction (MGJ), which is also readily identifiable. The oral mucosa is termed alveolar mucosa when overlying alveolar bone, and buccal/labial mucosa when lining the cheeks/lips. An asterisk denotes the periodontal tissues. Numbering system for feline teeth The modified Triadan system for numbering teeth within the jaws is described in an article on applied feline oral anatomy and tooth extraction techniques in Part 1 of this Special Issue series on feline dentistry (November 2014, page 901).

oral environment and the underlying tissues. The anatomy of this junction must be fully understood in order to comprehend the pathogenesis of periodontal disease.²

The attached gingiva is firmly adherent to the underlying alveolar bone, while the free gingiva is not attached to underlying bone. The oral epithelium of the attached gingiva is keratinised and the lamina propria beneath contains many coarse collagen bundles firmly attaching the gingiva to the underlying periosteum.³ (This firm attachment can make mucogingivoperiosteal flap creation during open extractions quite difficult if inappropriate or blunt instruments are used.) The alveolar mucosa, by contrast, is non-keratinised epithelium, with a loose lamina propria containing many elastic fibres. (This elasticity enables closure of any flaps created during extraction attempts as long as the underlying inelastic periosteum is incised.)

The healthy gingival sulcus in the cat has a probing depth of less than 0.5 mm (1 mm for the canine tooth) and should not bleed when probed. The measurement is taken from the free gingival margin to the most apical part that the probe reaches.

Oral examination in the cat: a systematic approach

Periodontal probing and charting, including how to score gingivitis, furcation exposure and tooth mobility are described in an article on oral examination in Part 1 of this Special Issue series on feline dentistry (November 2014, pages 873–886).

REVIEW / Periodontal disease

Plaque

Periodontal disease represents the host's inflammatory response to plaque bacteria. The bacteria are part of a biofilm, where a protective community of bacteria form, attach to a surface (the tooth) and multiply. Plaque is a soft deposit, and is opaque white or grey in colour (Figures 3 and 4). Unless abundant, it is not readily seen with the naked eye, but becomes visible when a plaque disclosing solution is used. Sweeping a periodontal probe across the tooth at the gingival margin may demonstrate plaque accumulation.

Plaque deposition occurs in three phases (see box). Plaque is initially supragingival (at or above the gingival margin) but soon approaches the gingival margin, before becoming subgingival (within the sulcus).

Over 700 species of bacteria have been implicated in human periodontal disease, but much less is known about the composition of feline plaque.^{5,6} The oral cavity contains many



Figure 3 Plaque is an opaque, soft deposit that is adherent to teeth and other oral tissues. In this image, a probe has been swept along the gingival margin, collecting plaque. Note also the heavy calculus deposits on the maxillary third premolar (107), mandibular fourth premolar and first molar (408, 409), and the missing third premolar (407)

Figure 4 Abundant plaque is visible on this tooth (green arrows) and calculus is developing as a result (blue arrow). Gingival recession and alveolar bone loss have exposed the root surface; cementum is rougher than enamel, predisposing to plaque attachment commensal species that may be considered part of a healthy oral microbiome. However, some species of bacteria are more commonly found in disease states, the so-called periodontopathogens.⁵ One study of 32 cats found that black pigmented *Bacteroides* and *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* were more prevalent in diseased sites.⁷ Pasteurella multocida was found commonly in the mouths



Phases of plaque deposition

There are three phases of plaque deposition. In the first phase, which occurs within hours of the teeth erupting or being cleaned, a dental pellicle is formed on the tooth surface. This glycoprotein deposit is derived from salivary components as well as gingival crevicular fluid and bacterial plus host cellular debris. This pellicle may be thought of as a 'protective barrier' providing lubrication.⁴ Other oral defences include a constant flow of saliva and gingival crevicular fluid, and a high turnover of sulcular and junctional epithelial cells.¹

Teeth are the only non-shedding external part of the feline body. The dental pellicle provides a prime substrate for bacterial attachment and bacteria may be found in the pellicle within hours.



Figure 5 (a) Heavy calculus deposits on the right maxillary fourth premolar (108). (b) Upon removal of the calculus, marked destruction of the dental hard tissues of the crown due to tooth resorption can be appreciated (blue arrows). This has created a rough surface, enhancing plaque accumulation and, in turn, calculus production



Figure 6 A supernumerary premolar tooth (star) in the right mandibular quadrant is predisposing this 9-month-old, female neutered, domestic shorhair to periodontal disease. Crowding of teeth enhances plaque accumulation, and there are already substantial deposits of plaque and calculus (arrow) between these teeth The initial colonisers are mostly Gram-positive aerobic organisms, which possess adhesion structures such as fimbriae. This constitutes the second phase of plaque formation. Bacteria are more easily able to attach to rough surfaces such as fractured tooth surfaces, calculus deposits or resorptive lesions (Figure 5). In addition, crowding of teeth or anatomical abnormalities may enhance plaque attachment and reduce natural plaque cleansing, predisposing to periodontal disease (Figure 6).

In the third phase, plaque matures, with the multiplication of attached species and colonisation by further species. A mature plaque biofilm may, therefore, contain many species of bacteria. As the biofilm thickens, the oxygen tension reduces, thus favouring the growth of Gram-negative anaerobic species including filamentous species, rods, cocci and spirochaetes.^{4,5}

of cats but was not associated with periodontal disease severity.⁷ *Porphyromonas gulae* and *Tannerella forsythia* have also been implicated as significant periodontopathogens.^{8,9} The concept that only certain plaque is pathogenic due to its particular bacterial composition is known as the specific-plaque hypothesis.⁴

Calculus

Calculus (tartar) is a hard dental deposit formed by the mineralisation of plaque (Figures 4, 5 and 7). It is composed of inorganic and organic parts, and is always covered by a layer of plaque.¹⁰ The component minerals come both from saliva and gingival crevicular fluid. Mineralisation may start within hours of plaque accumulation, and the process may be complete within 2 weeks.

Calculus is not a primary cause of periodontal disease but, rather, a predisposing factor.

It provides a rough, plaqueretentive surface, favouring the accumulation of pathogenic plaque bacteria. Abundant calculus may be associated with little gingival inflammation, and vice versa. Therefore, a judgement on the severity of periodontal disease should not be made based on the volume of calculus deposits.

Calculus may be supragingival or subgingival. Supragingival calculus is visible in the oral cavity; subgingival calculus is found beneath the gingival margin and, therefore, is not immediately obvious on clinical examination. All calculus deposits, however, must be thoroughly removed during treatment because of their ability to accumulate plaque.¹⁰ Figure 7 Mild calculus deposits on the third premolar (307) and first molar (309) (stars). Note the marginal gingivitis associated with 307, which has shown bleeding on probing. The margin is swollen and oedematous. The mucogingival junction is shown (blue arrows). No bone loss was seen radioaranbically

Figure 8 Gingivitis. The free gingival margin (FG) is swollen, oedematous and inflamed. This inflammation may extend to the attached gingiva (AG) but does not typically cross the mucogingival junction (MGJ). The level at which the junctional epithelium is attached to the tooth is not altered, and there is no destruction of bone or periodontal ligament tissues



The severity of periodontal disease should not be judged based on the volume of calculus deposits.



Gingivitis

Gingivitis (inflammation of the gingiva) may occur within 2-3 weeks if plaque biofilm is not removed (Figures 8-10).¹¹ Periodontopathogens may synthesise products that are damaging to the host cells, in particular the junctional epithelial cells that form the barrier at the gingival attachment to the tooth. This allows bacteria and their products access to the connective tissue layer beneath the epithelium. Initially this triggers vasodilation and an increased blood flow to the area, with diapedesis of leukocytes (especially polymorphonuclear neutrophils) alongside an increase in gingival crevicular fluid flow.^{5,12} Clinically this may manifest as erythema, loss of the 'knife-like' edge to the gingival margin and bleeding on probing. Bleeding on probing may actually be detected before any colour changes (see Figure 7) and thus is of great value in detecting early gingivitis.¹³

The subsequent host inflammatory response dictates whether this condition resolves, reaches a state of equilibrium or evolves to a state of chronic inflammation.¹ So, although specific periodontopathogens are necessary to initiate the disease process, it is ultimately the host's response to these bacteria that determines the course of the disease. It is possible for the host and the biofilm to exist in a symbiotic relationship; if the disease never progresses to periodontitis, this symbiosis is maintained.¹



Figure 9 Early gingivitis. The gingival margin in the left mandibular premolars and molar (307–309) is oedematous with marginal blood vessels prominent



Figure 10 Marginal gingivitis is seen affecting 408 and 409. In 407, the inflammation is extending onto the attached gingiva. The inflammation, however, has not crossed the mucogingival junction

Figure 11 Periodontitis. Attachment loss may be demonstrated in several ways. Here, there is gingival recession affecting the left maxillary third incisor (203): the distance between the blue arrow (gingival margin) and green arrow (cementoenamel junction) is 2 mm. In addition, the probe is inserted into a pocket of 2 mm depth. Thus there is overall clinical attachment loss of 4 mm for this tooth. A Williams 14 W periodontal probe is being used, which has markings at 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8.9 and 10 mm (the red arrow indicates the 10 mm marking)





Figure 12 Furcation exposure. Here gingival recession and alveolar bone loss in the furcation area of the right mandibular first molar (409) has revealed the furcation (green arrow). Note this lies beneath the distal cusp of the crown

Periodontitis

Gingivitis is essentially a reversible condition as long as plaque is mechanically removed from the tooth surface. The disease, however, may progress to periodontitis affecting the attachment apparatus (cementum, alveolar bone and periodontal ligament). Initially the soft tissue attachment to the tooth is lost, followed by loss of alveolar bone (Figures 11–16). Ultimately, so much attachment may be lost that the tooth is shed from the mouth (see Table 1).

Bacterial components that contribute to this process have been identified and have known virulence factors. Some virulence factors (such as fimbriae) allow the bacteria to colonise and invade host tissues, while other factors actually cause host tissue damage (eg, production of proteolytic enzymes such as collagenase).¹⁴ In part, this may be due to stimulation of the host's own immune system. For instance bacterial lipopolysaccharide may stimulate release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL-1, IL-6), tumor necrosis factor and prostaglandin E2; and host tissue proteinases such as matrix metalloproteinases.¹⁴ The ratio of receptor activator of NF-kB ligand (RANKL) to osteoprotegerin is also increased in disease states and may serve as a biomarker of disease.¹⁵ Together, these mediators are responsible for collagen destruction within the periodontal ligament, and the activation of osteoclasts, which drive bone destruction. During this process the junctional epithelial attachment to the tooth migrates apically. It is this migration that explains the formation of the periodontal pocket, and allows the periodontal probe to enter deeper upon probing (see Figures 11 and 14).

Destruction of periodontal tissues manifests clinically and radiographically in various ways. As well as the formation of periodontal pockets (discussed below), there may be gingival recession, bone loss (horizontal and vertical; see later), exposure of root surfaces (cementum) and tooth mobility. The furcation of multi-rooted teeth may also become obvious visually or tactilely (Figures 12 and 13). The furcation is the site of division of roots in these teeth and, in health, the furcation area is filled with bone (see Figure 2). In some cases, recession may be seen without the formation of a periodontal pocket (gingiva and alveolar bone are lost at the same rate), but there is still evidence of tooth attachment loss. Unless a full oral examination is completed under general anaesthesia, including dental radiography, areas of periodontitis may be missed.







Figure 13 Two examples of grade 3 furcation exposure. The periodontal probe passes all the way through the furcation, demonstrating marked periodontal attachment loss. (a) Note that the furcation in this tooth (409) is positioned beneath the distal cusp, unlike other two-rooted teeth, where the furcation is under the main cusp of the crown. (b) The probe passes easily between the mesial roots and distal root of the left maxillary fourth premolar (208)



Figure 14 Deep periodontal pocket (6 mm) on the palatal aspect of the right maxillary canine (104). It is vital to probe the entire circumference of the tooth, otherwise focal pockets may be missed. Note the bleeding induced by probing. A UNC-15 probe (markings every mm for 15 mm) is being used

Periodontitis does not progress along a linear path, but involves periods of quiescence interspersed with bursts of aggressive destruction.¹⁶ Ultimately, the teeth may become mobile and are shed from the body. Periodontitis is not spontaneous but, as mentioned, is a consequence of untreated gingivitis; in other words, gingivitis always precedes periodontitis.¹⁷ Furthermore, periodontitis may be

localised or generalised. Therefore, unless a full oral examination is completed under general anaesthesia, incorporating dental radiography, areas of periodontitis may be missed.

Periodontal pocket formation

The periodontal pocket is a pathologically deepened gingival sulcus.¹⁶ A 'pseudopocket', by contrast, may be formed if there is increased height of the gingival margin with no alteration in junctional epithelial attachment. This is seen with gingival enlargement (eg, gingival hyperplasia, see later) and is significant due to its plaque-retaining nature.

A true periodontal pocket is a sequela to the destruction of periodontal tissues. Suprabony pockets may be formed where the base of the pocket is coronal to the level of alveolar bone, whereas an infrabony pocket has the base of the pocket apical to the level of adjacent bone, with the pocket forming between the root and alveolar bone. This is also known as horizontal and vertical bone loss, respectively (Figures 17-20). In cats with healthy periodontal tissues, the alveolar margin is expected to be within 1 mm of the cemento-enamel junction (Figure 1b).¹⁹ In horizontal bone loss, full-thickness alveolar wall is lost buccally and/or palatally (lingually) and the 'new' bone margin (apical to the original margin) is seen as a horizontal line, usually parallel to the original alveolar margin. Horizontal



Figure 15 Severe periodontitis. Marked dental deposits and gingivitis are affecting the right mandibular third and fourth premolars (407, 408) and first molar (409). In addition there is gingival recession and alveolar bone loss affecting the mesial root of tooth 409. This tooth is also 'supererupted' and mobile

Table 1 Staging of periodontal disease			sease
		Attachment	Furcation
stage	Description	loss* (%)	exposure grade
)	Healthy	0	0
	Gingivitis	0	0
	Early periodontitis	<25	F1
;	Moderate periodontitis	<50	F2
	Severe periodontitis	>50	F3

AVDC nomenclature¹⁸

S

C

*As measured either by probing of clinical attachment level, or radiographic determination of the distance of the alveolar margin from the cemento-enamel junction relative to length of the root

Periodontitis is not spontaneous but a consequence of untreated gingivitis.



Figure 16 Severe focal periodontitis of the right mandibular third premolar (407). There is loss of gingival tissue as well as alveolar bone, exposing much of the buccal surface of the mesial root of this tooth

bone loss often affects more than one adjacent tooth.¹⁹ Vertical bone loss, however, commonly affects one tooth or one root of one tooth (Figure 20).

In a retrospective study of the dental records of 147 cats at a university teaching hospital, radiographic patterns of periodontitis were assessed.¹⁹ Twenty-eight percent of cats had normal bone height with no evidence of horizontal or

vertical bone loss (ie, no evidence of periodontitis), while 55% showed evidence of generalised horizontal bone loss. Vertical bone loss was seen focally in 33% of cats. In addition, tooth resorption was noted in 67% of cats. Purebred cats in the study were no more likely than mixed-breed cats to have signs of periodontitis, but, when present, it was more likely to be moderate or severe. Horizontal bone loss is the most common radiographic pattern of periodontal bone loss in cats, a finding corroborated in a study by Girard et al.²⁰

Radiographic analysis alone will underestimate the amount of attachment loss as a radiograph is a two-dimensional projection of a three-dimensional structure, and an estimated 30–60% of mineralisation must be lost before it will be seen radiographically;^{19,21,22} furthermore, attachment loss involves soft as well as hard tissues. The only way of reliably identifying periodontal pockets is with careful probing of the gingival sulcus around each tooth in the mouth, accompanied by dental radiography. In one study, 25% of cats had evidence of periodontitis and bone loss on radiographs that was more severe than clinically apparent.²³

Clinical findings, treatment planning and treatment are all recorded on a dental chart as part of the required medico-legal patient records (see accompanying article on oral examination in Part 1 of this Special Issue series).

Figure 17

Horizontal bone loss in periodontitis. The alveolar bone and periodontal ligament are destroyed in an apical direction. The alveolar margin (AM) is now >1 mm from the cemento enamel junction (CEJ). The junctional epithelium attachment of gingiva to the tooth is now also in a more apical direction (JE). Note that this may not necessarily give rise to increased probing depths if the gingiva has also receded

Figure 19 Vertical bone loss in periodontitis. Marked bone (*) and periodontal ligament destruction is seen, and the attachment of the junctional epithelium (JE) to the tooth is at a more apical position. This has resulted in the formation of a

deep pocket (broken

arrow), which may

periodontal probe

be measured with a





Figure 18 Radiographic appearance of horizontal bone loss in periodontitis. The level of the alveolar bone margin is shown in green. The normal level should be at the cementoenamel junction (red). Bone is lost in a horizontal manner, and affects multiple teeth in a quadrant; this also soon exposes the furcation (area between roots). Note the periapical lucency around the mesial root of 409 (arrows) due to endodontic infection entering through the resorbing distal root





Figure 20 Radiographic appearance of vertical bone loss in periodontitis. Typically one root or one tooth is affected. Here the distal root of the left mandibular first molar (309) is affected (red lines). The alveolar bone margin is shown in green, and it is evident that there is also horizontal bone loss affecting this tooth. Thus, vertical and horizontal bone loss may be seen concurrently. Note also the resorption affecting the distal root

Prevalence of periodontal disease

Estimating the prevalence of periodontal disease in the general cat population is difficult, as periodontitis can only reliably be diagnosed under general anaesthesia. Epidemoiological studies relying on consulting room diagnoses may, therefore, underestimate disease prevalence.^{24,25}

In a cross-sectional study of 15,226 cats seen during consultations at private practices in the USA, the most common 'diagnosis' was dental calculus (24.2%) followed by gingivitis (13.1%).²⁴ It must be borne in mind that 'calculus' is not a diagnosis per se, and that a diagnosis of gingivitis is more reliably reached after periodontal probing under general anaesthesia.¹³ Similar results were found in a cross-sectional study of 3584 cats in England, with the most common diagnosis being periodontal disease (13.9%).²⁵

In a study of 109 healthy cats involving clinical examination under anaesthesia alongside dental radiography, only 4% of cats were found to be free of periodontal inflammation, with 62% demonstrating bleeding on probing and 13% showing aggressive periodontal disease.²⁰ A radiographic study by Lommer and Verstraete showed that 72% cats over 1 year of age did not have normal alveolar bone height and therefore had signs of periodontitis.¹⁹ It must be borne in mind, however, that their study group comprised cats presented for evaluation and treatment of oral/dental disease and hence the findings do not reflect prevalence in the general cat population. It was also shown that purebred cats are not more likely to suffer from periodontal disease than mixed-breed cats, but that severity among purebred cats was greater.¹⁹ In another study, 93% of cats over 8 years of age showed radiographic signs of periodontal bone or root loss, with 50% of cats older than 4 years of age demonstrating periodontal disease affecting at least one tooth.²⁶

What can be concluded is that periodontal disease is the most common clinical finding during consultations, and that examination under anaesthesia with dental radiography will demonstrate further evidence of the disease.²³⁻²⁵

Association of periodontitis with other dental/oral diseases

Tooth resorption

Resorption of cementum and dentine is often seen adjacent to areas of periodontal inflammation (type 1 tooth resorption - see accompanying article in this Special Issue series, pages 37–43).²⁷ In the radiographic study by Lommer and Verstraete,¹⁹ cats with tooth resorption were more likely to have severe focal vertical bone loss and less likely to have normal alveolar bone height than cats without tooth resorption. In a case-control study of 534 teeth with tooth resorption, periodontitis was seen in 72% of teeth with type 1 (external inflammatory) resorption, but only 15.6% of teeth with type 2 (replacement) resorption. These were statistically significant differences, suggesting that an inflammatory trigger drives type 1 resorption.²⁸ A correlation between type 1 resorption and periodontal disease was also found in the study by Girard et al^{20} (Figure 21).

Feline chronic gingivostomatitis

In a retrospective case-control study of 101 cats with feline chronic gingivostomatitis (FCGS), it was found that all cats with FCGS had periodontitis, with 77% showing semigeneralised or generalised alveolar bone loss, which was a significantly higher proportion than in cats without FCGS (P < 0.001).²⁹ Furthermore, the cats with FCGS had a significantly (P < 0.001) higher prevalence of external inflammatory root resorption (type 1) than cats without FCGS. This is of clinical significance because type 1 resorption predisposes to root fracture, and root remnants may be a



predisposing cause of refractory stomatitis after premolar–molar/full mouth extractions in the cat.³⁰ Plaque is known to be a cause of periodontal disease in the cat, and is likely to be a contributory factor in FCGS.

However, the clinician must be cautious in diagnosing FCGS. Severe gingivitis in a patient, with calicivirus isolation using PCR technology, does not automatically provide a diagnosis of FCGS. Many cases of juvenile gingivitis may be mistaken for FCGS. The inflammation in FCGS, by definition, extends beyond the mucogingival junction to encompass the alveolar mucosa and other soft tissues including the lingual mucosa, glossopalatine folds, caudal oral mucosa and occasionally the fauces (Figure 22). If inflammation is confined to gingival tissues, by definition a diagnosis of FCGS cannot be made. The administration of antiviral and immunomodulatory treatments to young cats suffering from intense gingivitis has no scientific basis.

Figure 21 (a,b) Periodontitis may be associated with type 1 (external inflammatory) tooth resorption, as in this 2.5-year-old Siamese cat suffering from an aggressive form of periodontitis. Note the horizontal bone loss, furcation exposure and type 1 resorption in all mandibular premolars and molars. Complete extraction of these teeth is indicated

Many cases of juvenile gingivitis may be mistaken for FCGS. If inflammation is confined to gingival tissues, by definition a diagnosis of FCGS cannot be made.



Figure 22 Correct terminology for inflammation seen in feline chronic gingivostomatis (FCGS). The caudal area of the mouth (commonly and incorrectly referred to as the fauces) does not have a specific anatomical term: inflammation here should be referred to as caudal stomatitis or mucositis. The fauces are actually the lateral walls of the oropharynx, housing the tonsils. Inflammation here is termed faucitis but would usually only be identified under anaesthesia. Inflammation may extend beyond the mucogingival iunction to involve the alveolar mucosa (alveolar mucositis) and eventually cheek mucosa (buccal mucositis). The palatoglossal folds may also be affected in this frustrating condition. Caudal stomatitis must be identified in order to diagnose FCGS



Figure 23 (a) Marked buccal bone expansion and periodontitis affecting the left maxillary canine (204). (b) Deep periodontal probing (7 mm) is evident on the palatal aspect. (c) The radiograph shows the expansion, loss of the periodontal ligament space due to vertical bone loss, and also external resorption of the root



Figure 24 (a) Buccal bone expansion (red arrows) and periodontitis affecting the left maxillary canine (204). (b) There is a probing depth of 6 mm on the distal aspect of this tooth. Note that the tooth is also supererupted; the cemento-enamel junction is indicated by the blue arrows



Buccal bone expansion

The normal width of the buccal alveolar bone at the canine teeth is <2 mm.¹⁹ Expansion of the buccal alveolar bone overlying the maxillary canine teeth, creating a bulbous appearance, may be seen and has been postulated to be due to chronic alveolar osteitis (Figures 23 and 24).^{31–33} Expansion of the buccal alveolar bone overlying the canine teeth was found in 53% of cats in the radiographic study by Lommer and Verstraete,¹⁹ but further research is warranted to determine the exact pathogenesis of this phenomenon.

Supereruption/extrusion of canine teeth

It has been suggested that abnormal extrusion (supereruption) of the canine teeth may also be associated with periodontal disease.³² This is where the crown of one or more canine teeth appears to be longer compared with the contralateral canine (Figure 25). The alveolar margin would normally be within 1 mm of the cemento-enamel junction; in this condition the distance is visibly increased, with the cemento-enamel junction some distance from the gingiva.¹⁹ A positive correlation has also been found between this condition and tooth resorption.³⁴ In the same study, hypercementosis was found in all extruded teeth examined histologically, and may play a role in the pathogenesis.

The authors' own clinical findings, and the opinion of other authors, is that abnormal extrusion is often accompanied by alveolar bone expansion; in some cases external resorption is also seen radiographically.³¹





Figure 25 Supereruption of the canine tooth. (a) The right mandibular canine (404) is abnormally extruded from the mouth. The cemento-enamel junction (red arrows) can be seen in a coronally displaced position, as also shown on the radiograph (b). Buccal bone expansion can additionally be seen in the radiograph (green arrow). Note the relative position of the canine root apices (blue arrows)

Local effects of periodontal disease

Much is known about the local effects of periodontal disease in humans and dogs, but less is known about local consequences in the cat.³⁵ Although rarer than in the dog, oronasal fistulae may be seen, in particular when there is alveolar bone loss on the palatal aspect of maxillary canine teeth. Dental disease has been associated with nasal disease in the cat, with the finding of a tooth root abscess in a retrospective study;³⁶ it is not known, however, whether this was due to periodontal disease or a fractured tooth. An interesting finding in humans is an association between oral squamous cell carcinoma and periodontal disease status.³⁷

Chronic ulcerative paradental stomatitis (CUPS) is occasionally seen in cats and manifests as ulcerative lesions in the buccal mucosa and marginal glossal mucosa where the oral soft tissue makes contact with plaque-laden teeth (see Figure 30). The endo- and exotoxins released or excreted by plaque bacteria cause ulceration of the in-contact mucosa. These lesions can be deep and cause severe pain.

Association of periodontal disease with systemic diseases

The statistical association of periodontal disease with various systemic diseases (such as chronic kidney disease [CKD], cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease and diabetes mellitus), as well as pre-term births and premature death, has been well described in people and, to a lesser extent, dogs.^{38–42} However, a definitive cause and effect has yet to be epidemiologically demonstrated despite strong and significant associations.¹ Plausible hypotheses include the release of bacteria from biofilms into the periodontal pocket, which then gain access to the circulation via ulcerations in the pocket epithelium; and/or promotion of systemic inflammation by bacteria, via stimulation of production of pro-

inflammatory cytokines and acute phase proteins.¹

Less is known, however, about the systemic implications of periodontal disease in the cat. One study has assessed the correlation between systemic health indices and severity of periodontitis in cats.43 Immunoglobulin G levels and total globulins were positively correlated with periodontal disease status in 30 cats and showed significant reductions after periodontal therapy. Another study has suggested that periodontal disease may be a risk factor for CKD in the cat, although the study design limited this to a coexistence, rather than cause and effect.44

It is worth noting that some types of treatment for CKD (in particular, the calcium channel blocker, amlodipine) may contribute to periodontal disease by triggering gingival hyperplasia (Figure 26).³⁹ Anecdotally, glycaemic control appears improved in diabetic cats after periodontal therapy and may warrant reductions in insulin dosing. One study of a small population of Burmese cats identified dental disease requiring treatment as a risk factor for diabetes.⁴⁵ Unfortunately, however, the term 'dental disease' is too vague to allow meaningful conclusions, but this area of medical research warrants further

Juvenile periodontal disease

attention.

✤ Juvenile gingivitis may be seen in young cats around the time of adult tooth eruption (Figure 27), and is associated with marked gingival inflammation. This should not be misdiagnosed as FCGS – a thorough oral examination will not show any inflammation extending onto the alveolar mucosa (unless CUPS lesions are present) or caudal oral area. Calicivirus testing is not warranted in these cats as the virus has no connection with periodontal disease.

✤ Juvenile hyperplastic gingivitis may also be seen in some young cats under 9 months of age (Figures 28 and 29). The gingiva may become very inflamed and enlarged, particularly over the maxillary fourth premolar teeth, creating a pseudopocket. Treatment involves gingivectomy and professional periodontal therapy, followed by thorough dental home care potentially for 2 years, at which point the condition may



Figure 26 Gingival enlargement due to drug administration. This cat was being treated with the calcium channel blocker amlodipine for systemic hypertension associated with chronic kidney disease. *Courtesy of Chirag Patel*



Figure 27 Juvenile gingivitis in a 10-month-old male neutered domestic shorthair. The inflammation is affecting much of the attached gingiva. It should not be mistaken for chronic gingivostomatitis

REVIEW / Periodontal disease



Figure 28 Juvenile hyperplastic gingivitis in an 8-month-old male neutered domestic shorthair. The enlarged gingival tissues are creating pseudopockets, which will have deep probing depths. The attachment level is not altered, hence these are not pathological pockets. Treatment includes gingivectomy to remove the enlarged tissue, scaling and polishing of teeth, followed by plaque control at home



settle. Again, this should not be mistaken for FCGS, a condition that has an unclear and complex aetiology. There is no evidence to support the use of an antiviral or immunomodulatory approach in cats with juvenile periodontal disease.

✤ Juvenile periodontitis may be seen as a rapidly progressive condition in young Siamese, Maine Coon and domestic shorthair cats, initially involving severe and aggressive periodontal inflammation (Figure 30).⁴⁶ The treatment of choice in these cases is extraction of affected teeth (Figure 21), gingivectomy where required, and thereafter regular professional therapy combined with thorough dental home care.

Treatment aims and first principles

The aims of treatment are to remove dental plaque and any predisposing factors (such as calculus or overcrowding of teeth) to allow inflamed tissues (gingivitis) to return to nor-

mal health and to stop progression of disease and involvement of further sites (periodontitis). Any professional treatment must be followed up by dental home care, otherwise the disease state will relapse and likely progress.

The polymicrobial nature of dental plaque means that antibiotic treatment alone is never a suitable treatment for feline periodontal disease. Microbes within a biofilm do not behave in the same way as planktonic bacteria. Resistance to antimicrobial agents is significantly enhanced in a biofilm envi-



Figure 29 Gingival hyperplasia is a histological and not a clinical diagnosis. The gingiva enlarges (arrow) due to an increase in the number of gingival cells. Clinically, the gingival margin is seen in a more coronal direction, causing increased probing depths (pseudopockets; doubleended arrow). These are not true pathological pockets as the attachment level of the junctional epithelium to the tooth is not altered



Figure 30 Juvenile periodontitis in a 1-year-old male neutered Maine Coon. Note the severe gingivitis affecting the entire attached gingiva, areas of gingival recession and gingival enlargement. There is also evidence of inflammation in the buccal mucosa of the cheeks (CUPS lesions) and buccal mucositis in the commissures of the lips; this is similar to that seen in dogs and is an inappropriate immunological reaction to plaque present on the teeth. *Courtesy of Elise Robertson*

ronment and the indigenous nature of many bacterial species means that re-infection will occur quickly.^{4,6} The practice of pulse-dosing of antibiotics has no supporting scientific evidence, and may in fact contribute towards methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in dogs and cats.⁴⁷

Prior to anaesthesia it is essential that the veterinary surgeon obtains the signalment of the patient, takes a full history and performs both a conscious oral examination and general physical examination. Periodontal disease becomes more common as patients age, as do concurrent diseases. The all-too-common notion that a cat is 'too old for a dental' has no place in modern practice. Periodontal disease, tooth resorption and broken teeth are often overlooked sources of (sometimes significant) pain in this species.⁴⁸ Approaching general anaesthesia in a carefully considered manner can provide all patients with the opportunity to have painful dental problems addressed, regardless of age. A practical overview of perioperative anaesthetic care of the feline dental patient is provided in an accompanying article in this Special Issue series (pages 23–36).

Once anaesthetised, a full and comprehensive dental and oral evaluation must be performed, and findings thoroughly recorded. Further discussion on oral examination and dental radiography in the cat can be found in Part 1 of this Special Issue series (November 2014, pages 873–886 and 887–899, respectively).

Rinsing the mouth with an oral 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate or acetate solution at this stage can help to reduce bacteraemia for the patient, and the resultant bacteria-laden aerosol in the dental room, increasing operator safety. To further increase operator safety, the donning of personal protective equipment, including gloves, goggles, face mask and protective clothing, is strongly advised.⁴⁹

Scaling of teeth

Scaling of teeth removes calculus deposits and may be performed using hand instruments alone or a combination of sonic or ultrasonic and hand instruments.⁵⁰ Hand scaling is as effective as ultrasonic scaling, although more time-consuming and fatiguing.⁵¹

All supra- and subgingival deposits must be thoroughly identified and removed during treatment – removal of only supragingival calculus is purely a cosmetic exercise.

Hand instruments

Hand instruments have sharp working edges, and are manipulated over the tooth surface to mechanically break the bond between the tooth and calcu-

Hand scaler use

lus deposits.⁵⁰

Hand scalers

The sharp working edge of the hand scaler is used in a pullstroke away from gingival tissues (Figure 38 illustrates the correct grip). Note, these instruments are only used supragingivally, as the sharp tip could damage the overlying epithelium if introduced beneath the gingival margin. Hand scalers
 have a sharp pointed tip and a
 sharp working
 edge (Figures 31
 and 32). Various
 designs are
 available,
 including
 Jacquette and
 sickle styles.

 Curettes are
 blunt-tipped

instruments with a sharp working edge, and are used to debride root surfaces (Figures 32 and 33). Various designs are available in human dentistry, including a universal design that may be used on any tooth, and Gracey curettes, which are area-specific within the mouth and identified with specific numbers.⁵¹ The latter have an offset blade with one cutting edge (lower edge is cutting, upper edge is non-cutting); each cutting edge is also curved to adapt to the root surface. The instrument is usually double ended, and each end will be a mirror image of the opposite end. Note that, due to the small size

Curette use

The curette is introduced into the periodontal pocket and rotated to engage the cutting edge on the root surface (see Figure 38 for correct grip). At this point the shank of the instrument should be parallel with the tooth. The instrument will remove dental deposits from the root surface on the pull stroke (Figure 34). The instrument is re-introduced into the pocket, and overlapping strokes are used to remove all subgingival deposits. This process has been termed root planing in the past, but is now preferably known as subgingival debridement or non-surgical periodontal therapy. The aim is to remove subgingival deposits and necrotic calculus, leaving the root surface as smooth as possible, but without removing excessive amounts of cementum.



Figure 31 Hand scalers: sickle scaler (left) and Jacquette scaler (right). These are used in a pull motion to remove supragingival calculus deposits. Note that the sharp tip and back could damage epithelial tissue if inserted beneath the gingival margin



Figure 32 Image comparing the pointed tip ('toe') of the scaler (left) and rounded toe of the curette (right). The Gracey curette has one offset cutting edge (the lower edge, arrow), while the scaler has two cutting edges (arrows)



Figure 33 Gracey curettes for supra- and subgingival calculus removal. These instruments are numbered for use in the human mouth, with lower numbers being used on anterior teeth and higher numbers on posterior teeth. The blade has one cutting edge, but a rounded tip safe for subgingival use. A shank that is offset from the handle is seen in posterior instruments to enable access to the teeth

of feline teeth, many curettes are completely inappropriate. Instruments with smaller blade lengths and widths are useful (eg, Micro Mini Five and Mini Five; Hu-Friedy).







Figure 34 (a) The curette is introduced into the periodontal pocket with the face flattened against the tooth surface. The instrument is then rotated (arrow) to ensure the terminal shank is parallel with the long axis of the tooth, engaging the cutting edge with the tooth surface. (b and c) The instrument is pulled in the direction of the arrow. Note the two different styles of curette with straight (b) and offset (c) shanks. In both cases the terminal shank is parallel to the tooth axis

Ultrasonic scalers

Ultrasonic scalers work in a similar way to hand scalers, but the tip is electromechanically driven to oscillate, and this movement dislodges the calculus from the tooth surface. The frequency of oscillation is normally between 25 and 42 kHz. The amplitude of tip vibration may be altered using the power setting on the scaler.

Frictional heat is generated by all scalers (some more than others) during tip vibration, and must be dissipated with a fine spray of

water coolant (Figure 35) to avoid thermal damage to the tooth (pulpitis and possibly necrosis) and surrounding soft tissues. Increasing the power setting will increase the



Figure 35 An adequate water flow should always be ensured before using an ultrasonic scaler on a tooth. The fine halo mist dissipates heat generated during instrument use heat generated.⁵² It is essential that this flow of water reaches the scaler tip. Furthermore, the tip must be designed for use under the gingival margin, otherwise the coolant flow may be obstructed. The water flow confers additional bactericidal benefits – the ultrasonic waves formed cause a particular type of fluid flow (acoustic microstreaming) and production and implosion of gas bubbles (cavitation) that disrupt the biofilm.⁵⁰

Ultrasonic units in dentistry are available in two basic types – magnetostrictive and piezoelectric (Figure 36).

✤ Magnetostrictive scalers include the stack type and ferrite rod. The stack type are strips of laminated nickel inserted into the



hand piece that shorten and lengthen when alternating current is applied. This causes the attached tip to vibrate at a similar frequency. The tip moves in an ellipsoid, figureof-eight pattern, meaning there are phases when the scaler does not touch the tooth surface. The rod type (42 kHz) tends to produce an elliptical pattern, with fewer 'dead spots' during its cycle. Cavitation



Figure 37 Manufacturers supply a wear guide for ultrasonic tips, which should be checked regularly and changed when necessary occurs throughout the vibration cycle. • Piezoelectric scalers incorporate piezoelectric crystals in the hand piece that vibrate due to the alternating current, in turn causing the tip to vibrate. Unlike the magnetostrictive scalers, the tip vibrates in a back-and-forth (linear) movement, so can potentially provide increased scaling efficiency.

For optimal and safe scaling using an ultrasonic device, the clinician needs to bear in mind the following:

The highest movement (and therefore efficiency) is at the end of the tip, so the terminal 1–2 mm should be placed in contact with the tooth. The tip will wear down with time and become less efficient, and so should be replaced periodically. Wear guides are available from manufacturers (Figure 37).
Pressing hard on the scaler tip to dislodge tenacious calculus deposits will dampen the vibration, making the process less efficient



Figure 38 The scaler hand piece should be held using the modified pen grip. The middle finger is extended down towards the tip of the instrument, and the fourth and fifth fingers used as a 'rest' to stabilise the working position. This is also the correct grip for hand scalers and curettes

and also more injurious to the tooth,⁵⁰ partly as a result of the increased frictional heat generated.⁵² Scalers should be held using the

modified pen grip (Figure 38), and a feather-light touch applied.

The tip should be orientated parallel to the tooth surface at all times (Figure 39). Directing it perpendicular to the tooth surface is akin to placing a pneumatic drill on the tooth.

Different types of tip are available for gross supra gingival calculus deposits, mild-to-moderate supragingival deposits, and subgingival deposits. Tips designed for subgingival use tend to be long and slender, and should always be used with the power setting on low. Scaler tips are also available that mimic hand curettes for subgingival debridement (Figure 40).



Extract or preserve?

Extractions should be performed in a clean oral environment; ie, after rinsing with a chlorhexidine solution and scaling of teeth.^{53,54} This will help prevent calculus and other debris from being intruded into the alveolus during luxation and elevation. The practice of extracting mobile teeth and cleaning what is left should be regarded as an outdated procedure. The decision on whether or not to extract teeth can be challenging and

hopefully this, and other articles in this Special Issue series, will impress upon readers that it is not solely based on tooth mobility.

Teeth should be preserved where possible (particularly the eight strategic teeth – canines and carnassials), but certainly not at the expense of leaving behind a painful tooth that quickly becomes reinfected. Absolute indications for extraction are listed below. Teeth should be preserved where possible, but not at the expense of leaving behind a painful tooth that quickly becomes reinfected.

Indications for tooth extraction

- Attachment loss >50%
- Furcation exposure grades 2 and 3 (F2, F3)
- Mobility grade 3 (M3), in the presence of periodontal disease
- Teeth affected by periodontitis and type 1 tooth resorption

The depth of probing should also be considered, particularly in relation to tooth size. A 2 mm pocket on a canine tooth may potentially be treated by subgingival debridement, but on an incisor tooth may indicate 50% attachment loss. All decisions should be based on clinical and radiographic findings, including signs of tooth resorption. In addition, before embarking on treatment the clinician should have spent time counselling the client, determining current and future home care strategies, and assessing the client's wishes for tooth preservation or extraction.

Advanced preservation techniques can be used for teeth with periodontitis, and it is possible to employ bone augmentation and barrier techniques (guided tissue regeneration) to effectively regrow bone and increase attachment height. These, however, are specialist procedures, and would only ever be attempted in patients receiving optimal daily home care.





Figure 39 Correct (a) and incorrect (b,c) use of an ultrasonic scaler tip on a tooth

Figure 40 Ultrasonic piezoelectric curette tip, designed to be used subgingivally like a hand curette to debride a periodontal pocket. This instrument is used in a 'push stroke', unlike the 'pull stroke' of the hand curette. The power setting must be set to low



Figure 41 (a,b) Polishing equipment. A contra-angle hand piece is attached to a low-speed motor via an E-type attachment. The blue band (blue arrow in [a]) on the hand piece denotes a 1:1 ratio; that is, the output speed of the hand piece is the same as the motor. The rotating speed can be adjusted by altering the speed ring on the motor (white arrow in [a]). A latch-grip standard white prophy cup is shown in image (a) and a preferable soft grey cup in image (b). This snaps onto a mandrel that has a latch-grip attachment to the hand piece. Screw-in cups are also available



Polishing of teeth

Polishing removes any residual plaque dental deposits, and in human dentistry is also important in removing stain. It is performed using a soft rubber cup attached to a low-speed hand piece with medium-to-fine prophylaxis paste (Figures 41 and 42).

The function of polishing has been somewhat misunderstood over the years, and has been a subject of controversy in the human dental field since the 1970s. Dogma states that 'polishing must be performed after scaling to smooth out scratches in the tooth surface and delay plaque re-colonisation', but there is no solid evidence to support this. Regular 'routine scaling and polishing' removes tooth substance, and over a number of years of a human's life may potentially remove excessive amounts. A move towards 'selective polishing' was taken by the American Dental Hygienists' Association in the 1990s, which is to polish teeth in order to remove stain (ie, a cosmetic, rather than therapeutic exercise).

Considerable debate continues to surround the need for 'routine scaling and polishing', particularly a single-visit scale and polish, and the frequency at which this should be performed. A meta-analysis assessing the

Minimising risks of iatrogenic damage during polishing

Thermal heat is generated during polishing, and can cause painful pulpitis. Use of coarse paste that is too abrasive will scratch the tooth surface, enhancing plaque re-accumulation. To minimise these risks:

- Use a low speed. Rather than controlling rotating speed with the foot pedal, the operator should reduce the speed using the ring at the base of the hand piece (Figure 41a). (Note that some air motors do not have an adjustable speed and, therefore, speed must either be set on the dental cart [by an air supply adjustment] or a speed-reducing hand piece should be used)
- Use light pressure
- Use a soft cup with copious amounts of medium-to-fine paste
- Reduce contact time on each tooth



Figure 42 A preferable arrangement for polishing feline teeth is the disposable prophy head, which attaches to a nose cone (also attached to the E-type motor). This nose cone has a 4:1 reducing ability, meaning the rotating speed of the cup is 4 x slower than the motor. The grey cup is soft and, instead of rotating through 360°, it oscillates back and forth. (inset) A single-use volume of fine prophylaxis paste

need for and frequency of routine scaling and polishing was unable to derive any meaningful conclusions on either the frequency of prophylactic treatments or the therapeutic effects.⁵⁵ Some studies have shown that human patients expect their teeth to be polished, and may feel dissatisfied if this is not performed.⁵⁶ So, while the absolute need for polishing in our veterinary patients is debatable (particularly if no oral home care is instigated), if it is performed it must be performed safely (see box on the left).

What may subsequently help to delay plaque re-colonisation is the application of a barrier sealant to the teeth once cleaned. A hydrophilic professionally applied liquid sealant has shown short term efficacy in plaque and calculus reduction in the dog.⁵⁷ A barrier gel system that is commercially available in some countries has been shown to produce short-term plaque reduction in cats, but no changes in gingivitis or calculus levels.⁵⁸ The gel is professionally applied, and then follow-up applications are administered by the client at home.

Antibiotics alone are never a suitable treatment for feline periodontal disease: resistance to antimicrobial agents is enhanced in a biofilm environment and the indigenous nature of many bacterial species means that re-infection will occur quickly.

Use of antibiotics in periodontal disease



Antibiotics may be used either to prevent or treat bacterial infections, and may be delivered locally or systemically.

Antibiotic prophylaxis

Antibiotics for prophylaxis should prevent infection either at the site of surgery or at a distant site in the body.

In human dentistry the prophylactic use of antibiotics has been the subject of fierce debate in recent years. It is known that a transient bacteraemia is produced during procedures such as tooth extraction, scaling and periodontal probing. The bacteraemia has been linked to distant-site infections such as infective endocarditis and atherosclerosis. However, it has also become apparent that similar levels of bacteraemia can occur during chewing and toothbrushing.53,59 The true association between dental procedures and infective endocarditis in humans is probably much less strong than once thought, and a recent systematic review and meta-analysis found no evidence that prophylactic antibiotics reduce the incidence of infective endocarditis.60

An American Veterinary Dental College position statement on the use of prophylactic antibiotics suggests reserving systemic administration for patients that are either immunocompromised, or suffering from clinically apparent cardiac, renal, hepatic or other systemic diseases.⁶¹ In these patients antibiotic prophylaxis may be accomplished by using a single subcutaneous, intramuscular or intravenous dose of a suitable drug (such as clavulanate–amoxicillin, cefuroxime or ampicillin) at the time of premedication.

Antibiotic treatment

It seems rational to include antibiotics in the treatment of periodontal disease as bacteria are the causative agent. However, as discussed earlier, it is rare that a single species is responsible. Rather, a complex biofilm of many species of bacteria is involved, resisting traditional antibiotic doses. Furthermore, the use of systemic antibiotics is not without risks, either of side effects or of contributing to bacterial resistance.⁵⁴ The treatment of periodon-

In choosing appropriate home care, the clinician should assess the cat's behaviour, and also determine the client's expectations, wishes, commitment and physical ability. tal disease includes the mechanical removal of plaque, and any predisposing factors such as calculus or tooth crowding. Locally delivered antibiotics including minocycline and doxycycline have been studied in human dentistry. Although studies have shown increases in attachment levels with their use, this may be in the order of <1 mm, so the clinical relevance is debatable.^{62,63}

Should systemic antibiotics be required as an adjunctive treatment in cases of severe or aggressive disease, an appropriate choice should be made that will cover both aerobic and anaerobic species.^{53,54} Whenever electing to use an antibiotic in a patient, the clinician should give due consideration to the need, benefit and possible risks, and be able to clinically justify the decision.

Home care options for prevention of periodontal disease

Human dentistry is a proactive and preventative discipline. Veterinary dentistry, conversely, still appears to be a largely reactive endeavour, treating disease once it occurs, sometimes at a late stage in the disease process. Clinicians are therefore encouraged to make efforts to prevent periodontal disease before the patient shows clinical signs. At the very least, intervention should occur during the gingivitis stage of disease. This includes educating clients, and starting discussions about periodontal disease and prevention in young kittens.

Plaque will start to re-accumulate within hours of professional treatment, with visible signs of calculus appearing within 2 weeks. It is, therefore, also imperative that any client whose pet receives treatment for periodontal disease under anaesthesia is given clear recommendations about ongoing home care and the potential sequelae of ignoring these; the concept of periodontal disease management, rather than treatment/cure, should also be emphasised. The whole veterinary team should be aware of home care options, and feel confident in discussing them with clients.⁴⁹ In choosing the most appropriate home care option for a patient, not only must the veterinary surgeon assess the patient's behaviour, but there should also be a detailed discussion with the client to determine their expectations, wishes, commitment and physical ability.



Toothbrushing can potentially increase the bond between the client and cat, with some cats enjoying the experience and attention

It is well known in human dentistry that toothbrushing forms a vital role in maintaining periodontal health.⁶⁴ It has also been shown that there is little point in providing professional mechanical plaque removal unless oral hygiene by the patient is pursued.56 Using conscious examination of over 6000 cats, a survey in Poland found improved oral health status in cats that received toothbrushing compared with cats that did not.65

Suitable toothbrushes for cats have a small head and medium bristles, and should be replaced frequently. Toothpastes should be pet-specific (ie, not contain fluoride or foaming agents, or taste of mint). Their purpose is to make the procedure more enjoyable. Some active ingredients (chlorhexidine and zinc) can provide additional antiplaque effects.



Before starting toothbrushing the cat must first become used to facial handling. particularly around the cheek area. The client is instructed to progress from stroking the cat's cheeks, to lifting the lips and inspecting the teeth and gingivae

To start getting a cat used to toothbrushing, the client is requested to choose a suitable time each day, and a quiet place in which to perform the brushing. The cat should initially be acclimatised to facial handling by stroking the cheeks, introducing a 'key' word or phrase, and ensuring praise and reward. The client can begin to lift the upper lips while performing this. This action can then be replaced with a toothbrush, and it is guite likely that the cat will want to start to chew on the brush. At this stage the cat can be introduced to the paste, and allowed to lick it off the brush. Each session should be kept very short, rewarding 'good' behaviour.

To initiate brushing, the client can hold the cat close to their body, supporting the head and parting the lips with their nondominant hand. Alternatively, the cat's head may be supported by grasping the zygomatic arches, as if administering a tablet.

Toothbrushing

The bristles are directed at 45° to the gingival margin and moved in a circular motion. Both sides are attempted before moving to the incisor area. Bearing in mind the cat's natural anisognathism (maxillae are wider than the mandibles), it will be much easier to reach the maxillary premolars than the mandibular premolars and molars. If the cat will not tolerate a toothbrush, a cotton bud may be used. Brushing is ideally performed once a day.66

Once accustomed to facial handling, a toothbrush may be used to brush the cat's face. Many cats will instinctively start to chew on the brush, thus acclimatising them to the sensation





The cat should then be introduced to the toothpaste, which should be a pet-specific product (no frothing agents or fluoride, and a chicken or fish flavour). Many cats will willingly lick paste off the brush. This alone is unlikely to have any beneficial effect on plaque control, as it is the mechanical action of the brush that dislodges plaque deposits

The client may hold the cat's head at the zygomatic arches, as if giving a tablet. This helps to naturally part the lips. The brush is directed at a 45° angle to the gingival margin, and a circular movement is used



Although toothbrushing remains the gold standard for plaque control in feline patients, it can be difficult to perform. One shortterm study was unable to demonstrate a marked difference in gingivitis levels, and hypothesised that this may be due to the difficulties in actually brushing cats' teeth.⁶⁷ Long-term compliance is likely to be low, even in motivated clients.⁶⁸ It is therefore necessary to consider other potential options.

Role of diet in periodontal health

It is a common belief that dry foods are protective of periodontal health and wet foods conducive to periodontal disease; and similarly that a raw or natural diet may also be protective against periodontal disease. Research, however, does not necessarily corroborate these tenets. A study in Australia comparing the periodontal health of feral cats eating a natural diet of mammals, birds, reptiles and insects with domestic cats fed a mixture of commercially available canned and dry food found statistically significant lower levels of calculus in the feral cats, but no difference in the prevalence of periodontal disease between the two groups.⁶⁹ There were similar findings in a feral population of cats on Marion Island, South Africa; that of low calculus levels, but high levels of periodontal disease.70 In other words, cats fed a natural diet may have teeth that look clean, but periodontal tissues are not necessarily healthy. Also, the Polish survey referred to earlier found a significantly increased risk of poorer oral health in cats fed a home-prepared diet compared with those fed either a dry or wet commercial cat food.65

Enhanced chewing activity can help to control plaque. Increasing both the surface area and thickness of a dry diet with enhanced textural characteristics has been shown to promote plaque reduction.⁷¹ Furthermore, the addition of polyphosphates to such dentalspecific diets and treats may help to reduce calculus by chelating salivary calcium.⁷² A dental-specific diet may, therefore, help to control plaque and calculus, but the ability to control periodontal disease long-term is not known. Furthermore, it is unknown what the effect is in cases of established periodontitis.

Additional chewing activity can also help to control plaque in cats. The Polish survey demonstrated the benefit of a daily dental treat on the oral health status of cats.⁶⁵ Other research has also demonstrated that a daily dental chew may help to reduce accumulation of plaque and calculus as well as reducing gingivitis levels.^{73,74}

Chemical methods of plaque control

Chlorhexidine This agent can penetrate the plaque biofilm and is an effective antimicrobial.⁵ It attaches to the pellicle layer on teeth and is available in rinse, spray, gel and toothpaste form. It may be combined with toothbrushing; or, if the client struggles with brushing, it can be applied to the gingival margin using a cotton bud. Chlorhexidine substantivity also means that the antibacterial effect may continue for up to 12 h after application. Some formulations of the rinse, however, have a bitter flavour and may not be well tolerated.

Veterinary Oral Health Council

The Veterinary Oral Health Council (VOHC) was formed in 1997 to try to regulate diet and treat manufacturers' claims regarding their products' abilities to reduce plaque and calculus levels. Manufacturers pay a fee to submit research results, along with plaque and calculus reduction claims, in order to obtain the VOHC seal of approval on a product. This goes some way towards helping professionals decide on a product's efficacy, albeit the seal does not prove disease reduction.

Soluble zinc salts Some oral gels, pastes, and certain dental chews and dental diets contain zinc gluconate or zinc ascorbate. As these salts combine with volatile sulphur compounds they can help to reduce halitosis. In addition, they are antimicrobial. A shortterm trial in cats showed that the application of a zinc ascorbate gel resulted in significant reductions in plaque accumulation, gingivitis and periodontal pathogens.75 When using any antiseptic gel or paste, the client must actively apply the product to the gingival margin. There is no evidence to support the belief that cats may lick these products from their paws to exert a beneficial effect in the mouth.

Water additives A xylitol-containing water additive has been shown to reduce plaque and calculus in a short-term study in cats, although the blinding in the study was not clear.⁷⁶ A product containing a mixture of vitamins C and B₂, papain and various plant and fruit extracts is available as a water additive, gel and oral spray and claims to reduce plaque accumulation, for which it has the VOHC seal (see box). A recent study has shown that the gel may enhance the effectiveness of tooth brushing in dogs.77 • Food supplements A powdered algal food supplement for cats (and humans) purports to reduce plaque and calculus, although there are no published studies supporting these claims. Several products are available containing RF2 factor, derived from the Chinese rhubarb plant. In vitro studies have demonstrated that the product reduces biofilm formation in a single species Streptococcus mutans colony.78 This bacterium is commonly associated with caries formation in humans but is not implicated in periodontal disease in cats. Products include a water additive, chews and toothpaste and barrier wax.

Whenever making product recommendations for home care, the clinician should seek out the highest quality evidence to support the recommendations, and not rely on product marketing. If a product claims to be exceptionally good, and we are not using it in our own mouths, we must logically question why!

The future

Therapy in human dentistry is undergoing a shift towards modulating the host's response to disease, and away from a direct antimicrobial approach.¹ For example, sub-antimicrobial doses of the antibiotic doxycycline appear to exert an anticollagenase effect, helping to reduce periodontal tissue destruction. The anti-inflammatory effect of fatty acids is also being actively researched. A monounsaturated fatty acid complex available in topical and dietary form has been shown to reduce pocket depth in rabbits⁷⁹ and cats.⁸⁰ Laser technology is looking set to be integrated routinely into human periodontal therapy. Its use can decrease biofilm and endotoxins, increase attachment levels, reduce pocket depth and stimulate healing with enhanced postoperative comfort.⁸¹ While it is obvious that plaque control must remain a part of veterinary periodontal disease management, a single effective treatment or diet remains elusive.

Funding

The authors received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-forprofit sectors for the preparation of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors do not have any potential conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Dentino A, Lee S, Mailhot J, et al. Principles of periodontology. Periodontol 2000 2013; 61: 16–53.
- 2 Fiorellini JP, Kim DM and Uzel NG. Anatomy of the periodontium. In Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, et al (eds). Carranza's clinical periodontology. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders, 2012, pp 12–27.
- 3 Nanci A. Oral mucosa. In Nanci A (ed). Ten Cate's oral histology development, structure and function. St Louis, MO: Mosby Elsevier, 2008, pp 319–367.
- 4 Teughels W, Quirynen M and Jakubovics N. Periodontal microbiology. In Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, et al (eds). Carranza's clinical periodontology. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders, 2012, pp 232–270.
- 5 Bernimoulin JP. Recent concepts in plaque formation. J Clin Periodontol 2003; 30: 7–9.
- 6 Palmer RJ. Composition and development of oral bacterial communities. *Periodontol 2000 2014; 64:* 20–39.
- 7 Mallonee DH, Harvey CE, Venner M, et al. Bacteriology of periodontal disease in the cat. Arch Oral Biol 1988; 33: 677–683.
- 8 Pérez-Salcedo L, Herrera D, Esteban-Saltiveri D, et

KEY POINTS

- Periodontal disease is commonly identified in the cat and is seen in two distinct forms: gingivitis and periodontitis.
- Gingivitis is reversible, but left untreated may progress to periodontitis, which is progressive and irreversible.
- Juvenile forms of periodontal disease occur and should not be mistaken for chronic gingivostomatitis.
- Type 1 tooth resorption is often associated with periodontal inflammation, and affected teeth should be completely extracted. Crown amputation is contraindicated in these cases.
- Treatment of periodontal disease revolves around plaque and calculus removal supra- and subgingivally.
- Periodontal disease, although plaque induced, may have a multifactorial aetiology.
- Thorough effective dental home care is essential if periodontitis is to be prevented.

al. Isolation and identification of *Porphyromonas* spp. and other putative pathogens from cats with periodontal disease. *J Vet Dent* 2013; 30: 208–213.

- 9 Booij-Vrieling HE, van der Reijden WA, Houwers DJ, et al. Comparison of periodontal pathogens between cats and their owners. *Vet Microbiol* 2010; 144: 147–152.
- 10 Jepsen S, Deschner J, Braun A, et al. Calculus removal and the prevention of its formation. *Periodontol* 2000 2011; 55: 167–188.
- 11 Löe H, Theilade E and Jensen SB. Experimental gingivitis in man. J Periodontol 1965; 36: 177–187.
- 12 Fiorellini JP, Kim DM and Uzel NG. Gingival inflammation. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, et al (eds). Carranza's clinical periodontology. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders, 2012, pp 71–75.
- 13 Meitner SW, Zander HA, Iker HP, et al. Identification of inflamed gingival surfaces. *J Clin Periodontol* 1979; 6: 93–97.
- 14 Rossa C, Jr and Kirkwood KL. Molecular biology of the host-microbe interaction in periodontal diseases. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, et al (eds). Carranza's clinical periodontology. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders, 2012, pp 285–293.
- 15 Belibasakis GN and Bostanci N. **The RANK-OPG system in clinical periodontology.** J Clin Periodontol 2012; 39: 239–248.
- 16 Carranza FA and Camargo PM. The periodontal pocket. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, et al (eds). Carranza's clinical periodontology. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders, 2012, pp 127–139.
- 17 Page RC and Schreuder HE. Pathogenesis of inflammatory periodontal disease. *Lab Invest* 1976; 33: 235–249.
- 18 American Veterinary Dental College. Periodontal disease classification. www.avdc.org/nomencla-

ture.html#periostages, May 2012.

- Lommer M and Verstraete FJ. Radiographic patterns of periodontitis in cats: 147 cases (1998-1999). J Am Vet Med Assoc 2001; 218: 230–234.
- 20 Girard N, Servet E, Biouge V, et al. Periodontal health status in a colony of 109 cats. J Vet Dent 2009; 26: 147–155.
- 21 Mulligan T and Williams C. Atlas of canine and feline dental radiography. Trenton, NJ: Veterinary Learning Systems, 1998, p 126.
- 22 Verstraete FJ. Self assessment colour review of veterinary dentistry. London, Manson Publishing, 1999, p 194.
- 23 Verstraete FJ, Kass PH and Terpak CH. Diagnostic value of full-mouth radiography in cats. Am J Vet Res 1998; 59: 692–695.
- 24 Lund Em, Armstrong PJ, Kirk CA, et al. Health status and population characteristics of dogs and cats examined at private veterinary practices in the United States. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1999; 214: 1336–1341.
- 25 O'Neill DG, Church DB, McGreevy PD, et al. Prevalence of disorders recorded in cats attending primary-care veterinary practices in England. *Vet J.* Epub ahead of print 7 August 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.08.004.
- 26 Gengler W, Dubielzig R and Ramer J. Physical examination and radiographic analysis to detect dental and mandibular bone resorption in cats; a study of 81 cases from necropsy. J Vet Dent 1995; 12: 97–100.
- 27 Hamp S-E and Lindberg R. Histology of spontaneous periodontitis in dogs. J Periodontal Res 1977; 12: 46–54.
- 28 DuPont GA and DeBowes LJ. Comparison of periodontitis and root replacement in cat teeth with resorptive lesions. J Vet Dent 2002; 19: 71–75.
- 29 Farcas N, Lommer MJ, Kass PH, et al. Dental radiographic findings in cats with chronic gingivostomatitis (2002–2012). J Am Vet Med Assoc 2014; 244: 339–345.
- 30 Hennet P. Chronic gingivo-stomatitis in cats: longterm follow-up of 30 cases treated by dental extractions. J Vet Dent 1997; 14: 15–21.
- 31 Lemmons M. Clinical feline dental radiography. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 2013; 43: 533–554.
- 32 Bellows J. Oral pathology. In: Feline dentistry: oral assessment, treatment and preventative care. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, pp 72, 114, 188–193.
- 33 Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB. Domestic feline oral and dental disease. In: Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB (eds). Veterinary dentistry: principles and practice. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1997, p 485.
- 34 Lewis JR, Okuda A, Shofer FS, et al. Significant association between tooth extrusion and tooth resorption in domestic cats. J Vet Dent 2008; 25: 86–95.
- 35 Niemiec B. **Periodontal disease.** *Top Companion Anim Med* 2008; 23: 72–80.
- 36 Demko JL and Cohn LA. Chronic nasal discharge in cats: 75 cases (1993–2004). J Am Vet Med Assoc 2007; 230: 1032–1037.

- 37 Rosenquist K, Wennerberg J, Schildt EB, et al. Oral status, oral infections and some lifestyle factors as risk factors for oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. A population-based casecontrol study in southern Sweden. Acta Otolaryngol 2005; 125: 1327–1336.
- 38 Cullinan MP and Seymour GJ. Periodontal disease and systemic illness: will the evidence ever be enough? *Periodontol* 2000 2013; 62: 271–286.
- 39 Craig RG. Interactions between chronic renal disease and periodontal disease. Oral Dis 2008; 14: 1–7.
- 40 Chee B, Park B and Bartold PM. **Periodontitis and type II diabetes: a two-way relationship.** *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2013; 11: 317–329.
- 41 Glickman NT, Glickman NW, Moore GE, et al. Evaluation of the risk of endocarditis and other cardiovascular events on the basis of the severity of periodontal disease in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2009; 234: 486–494.
- 42 Glickman LT, Glickman NW, Moore GE, et al. Association between chronic azotemic kidney disease and the severity of periodontal disease in dogs. Prev Vet Med 2011; 99: 193–200.
- 43 Cave NJ, Bridges JP and Thomas DG. Systemic effects of periodontal disease in cats. *Vet Q* 2012; 32: 131–144.
- 44 Greene JP, Lefebvre SL, Wang M, et al. Risk factors associated with the development of chronic kidney disease in cats evaluated at primary care veterinary hospitals. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2014; 244: 320–327.
- 45 Lederer R, Rand JS, Hughes IP, et al. Chronic or recurring medical problems, dental disease, repeated corticosteroid treatment, and lower physical activity are associated with diabetes in Burmese cats [abstract]. J Vet Intern Med 2003; 17: 433.
- Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB. Domestic feline oral and dental disease. In: Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB (eds).
 Veterinary dentistry: principles and practice.
 Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1997, pp 483–485.
- 47 Soares Magalhães RJ, Loeffler A, Lindsay J, et al. Risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphy-lococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection in dogs and cats: a case-control study. *Vet Res* 2010; 41: 55.
- 48 Hellyer P, Rodan I, Brunt J, et al. AAHA/AAFP pain management guidelines for dogs and cats. J Feline Med Surg 2007; 9: 466–480.
- 49 Holmstrom SE, Bellows J, Juriga S, et al. AAHA dental care guidelines for dogs and cats. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 2013; 49: 75–82.
- 50 Lea SC and Walmsley AD. Mechano-physical and biophysical properties of power-driven scalers: driving the future of powered instrument design and evaluation. *Periodontol 2000 2009*; 51: 63–78.
- 51 Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB. Dental equipment. In Wiggs RB and Lobprise HB (eds). Veterinary dentistry: principles and practice. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1997, pp 1–28.
- 52 Lea SC, Landini G and Walmsley D. Thermal imaging of ultrasonic scaler tips during tooth instru-

mentation. J Clin Periodontol 2004; 31: 370-375.

- 53 Seymour RA and Hogg SD. Antibiotics and chemoprophylaxis. *Periodontol 2000 2008;* 46: 80– 108.
- 54 Sarkiala-Kessel EM. Use of antibiotics and antiseptics. In: Verstraete FJM and Lommer MJ (eds). Oral and maxillofacial surgery in dogs and cats. Edinburgh: Saunders Elsevier, 2012, pp 15–19.
- 55 Worthington HV, Clarkson JE, Bryan G, et al. Routine scale and polish for periodontal health in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 11: CD004625.
- 56 Needleman I, Suvan J, Moles DR, et al. A systematic review of professional mechanical plaque removal for prevention of periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005; 32 Suppl 6: 229–282.
- 57 Sitzman C. Evaluation of a hydrophilic gingival dental sealant in beagle dogs. J Vet Dent 2013; 30: 150–155.
- 58 Bellows J, Carithers D and Gross SJ. Efficacy of a barrier gel for reducing the development of plaque, calculus, and gingivitis in cats. J Vet Dent 2012; 29: 89–94.
- 59 Forner L, Larsen T, Kilian M, et al. Incidence of bacteremia after chewing, tooth brushing and scaling in individuals with periodontal inflammation. J Clin Periodontol 2006; 33: 401–407.
- 60 Glenny A, Oliver R, Roberts GJ, et al. Antibiotics for the prophylaxis of bacterial endocarditis in dentistry. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 10: CD003813.
- 61 American Veterinary Dental College. AVDC position statements. http://www.avdc.org/ statements.html (2005, accessed September 10, 2014).
- 62 Hung H-C and Douglass CW. Meta-analysis of the effect of scaling and root planing, surgical treatment and antibiotic therapies on periodontal probing depth and attachment loss. J Clin Periodontol 2002; 29: 975–986.
- 63 Matthews D. Local antimicrobials in addition to scaling and root planing provide statistically significant but not clinically important benefit. *Evid Based Dent* 2013; 14: 87–88.
- 64 Drisko CL. Periodontal self-care: evidence based support. Periodontol 2000 2013; 62: 243–255.
- 65 Buckley C, Colyer A, Skrzywanek M, et al. The impact of home-prepared diets and home oralhygiene on oral health in cats and dogs. *Br J Nutr* 2011; 106: S124–S127.
- 66 Tromp JAH, van Rijn LJ and Jansen J. Experimental gingivitis and frequency of tooth brushing in the beagle dog model. J Clin Periodontol 1986; 13: 190–194.

- 67 Ingham KE, Gorrel C, Blackburn JM, et al. The effect of toothbrushing on periodontal disease in cats. *J Nutr* 2002; 132: 1740S–1741S.
- 68 Miller BR and Harvey CE. Compliance with oral hygiene recommendations following periodontal treatment in client-owned dogs. J Vet Dent 1994; 11: 18–19.
- 69 Clarke DE and Cameron A. Relationship between diet, dental calculus and periodontal disease in domestic and feral cats in Australia. *Aust Vet J* 1998; 76: 690–693.
- 70 Verstraete FJM, van Aarde RJ, Nieuwoudt BA, et al. The dental pathology of feral cats on Marion Island, part II: periodontitis, external odontoclastic resorption lesions and mandibular thickening. J Comp Pathol 1996; 115: 283–297.
- 71 Clarke DE, Servet E, Hendriks, et al. Effect of kibble size, shape and additives on plaque in cats. *J Vet Dent* 2010; 27: 84–89.
- 72 Hennet P, Servet E, Soulard Y, et al. Effect of pellet food size and polyphosphates in preventing calculus accumulation in dogs. J Vet Dent 2007; 24: 236–239.
- 73 Ingham KE, Gorrel C and Bierer TL. Effect of a dental chew on dental substrates and gingivitis in cats. *J Vet Dent* 2002; 19: 201–204.
- 74 Gorrel C, Inskeep G and Inskeep T. **Benefits of a** 'dental hygiene chew' on the periodontal health of cats. *J Vet Dent* 1998; 15: 135–138.
- 75 Clarke DE. Clinical and microbial effects of oral zinc ascorbate gel in cats. J Vet Dent 2001; 18: 177–183.
- 76 Clarke DE. Drinking water additive decreases plaque and calculus accumulation in cats. J Vet Dent 2006; 23: 79–82.
- 77 Milella L, Beckman B and Kane JS. Evaluation of an anti-plaque gel for daily toothbrushing. J Vet Dent 2014; 31: 160–167.
- 78 Coenye T, Honraet K, Rigole P, et al. In vitro inhibition of Streptococcus mutans biofilm formation on hydroxyapatite by subinhibitory concentrations of anthraquinones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007; 51: 1541–1544.
- 79 Hasturk H, Goguet-Surmenian E, Blackwood A, et al. 1-Tetradecanol complex: therapeutic actions in experimental periodontitis. J Periodontol 2009; 80: 1103–1113.
- 80 Anthony J. Topical tetradecanol complex in the treatment of periodontal disease in cats. Proceedings of the 21st European Congress of Veterinary Dentistry; 2012 May, Lisbon.
- 81 Low SB and Mott A. Laser technology to manage periodontal disease: a valid concept? J Evid Based Dent Pract 2014; 14 Suppl: 154–159.

Available online at jfms.com

Reprints and permission: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav For reuse of images only, contact the corresponding author