# scientific reports

Check for updates

## **Immunologic mediators profle OPEN in COVID‑19 convalescence**

**Alexander Leonardo Silva‑Junior1,2, Lucas Silva Oliveira1,2, Stephanny Dias2,3, Thaina Cristina Cardoso Costa2 , LilyaneAmorim Xabregas2 , Fabíola SilvaAlves‑Hanna2,4, Cláudia Maria MouraAbrahim2 , Walter Luiz Lima Neves2 , MyukiAlfaia Esashika Crispim2 ,**  Diana Mota Toro<sup>4</sup>, Pedro Vieira Silva-Neto<sup>4</sup>, Danielle Costa Marques Aponte<sup>6</sup>, **Tatiana Campos Oliveira6 , Maria Carmo Costa Silva7 , Miharu Maguinoria Matsuura Matos6 , Maria Perpétuo Socorro Sampaio Carvalho2 , Andrea MonteiroTarragô2,3,7, NelsonAbrahim Fraiji2 , Lúcia Helena Faccioli8 , CarlosArtério Sorgi4,5, Ester Cerdeira Sabino9 , AndreaTeixeira‑Carvalho10, OlindoAssis Martins‑Filho10, AllysonGuimarães Costa2,3,4,7**\* **& Adriana Malheiro1,2,3,4,7**\*

**SARS-CoV-2 caused the pandemic situation experienced since the beginning of 2020, and many countries faced the rapid spread and severe form of the disease. Mechanisms of interaction between the virus and the host were observed during acute phase, but few data are available when related to immunity dynamics in convalescents. We conducted a longitudinal study, with 51 healthy donors and 62 COVID-19 convalescent patients, which these had a 2-month follow-up after symptoms recovery. Venous blood sample was obtained from all participants to measure blood count, subpopulations of monocytes, lymphocytes, natural killer cells and dendritic cells. Serum was used to measure cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, anti-N IgG and anti-S IgG/IgM antibodies. Statistic was performed by Kruskal–Wallis test, and linear regression with days post symptoms and antibody titers. All analysis had confdence interval of 95%. Less than 35% of convalescents were anti-S IgM+, while more than 80% were IgG+ in D30. Anti-N IgG decreased along time, with loss of seroreactivity of 13%. Eosinophil count played a distinct role on both antibodies during all study, and the convalescence was orchestrated by higher neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and IL-15, but initial stages were marked by increase in myeloid DCs, B1 lymphocytes, infammatory and patrolling monocytes, G-CSF and IL-2. Later convalescence seemed to change to cytotoxicity mediated by T lymphocytes, plasmacytoid DCs, VEGF, IL-9 and CXCL10. Anti-S IgG antibodies showed the longest perseverance and may be a better option for diagnosis. The infammatory pattern is yet present on initial stage of convalescence, but quickly shifts to a reparative dynamic. Meanwhile eosinophils seem to play a role on anti-N levels in convalescence, although may not be the major causative agent. We must highlight the importance of immunological markers on acute clinical outcomes, but their comprehension to potentialize adaptive system must be explored to improve immunizations and further preventive policies.**

**Keywords** Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), Immune hallmarks, Antibody, Brazil

COVID-19 is a global viral disease caused by the Betacoronavirus known as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus- 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It primarily afects the lungs, leading to both local and systemic complications. Among individuals with no prior immunity, or those with comorbidities associated to worse outcomes, an

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia, Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Ensino e Pesquisa, Fundação Hospitalar de Hematologia e Hemoterapia do Amazonas (HEMOAM), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Aplicadas à Hematologia, Universidade do Estado do Amazonas (UEA), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>4</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Imunologia Básica e Aplicada, Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>S</sup>Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil. <sup>6</sup>Hospital Universitário Getúlio Vargas (HUGV), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>7</sup>Rede Genômica em Saúde do Estado do Amazonas (REGESAM), Manaus, AM, Brazil. <sup>8</sup>Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil. <sup>9</sup>Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>10</sup>Grupo Integrado de Pesquisas em Biomarcadores, Instituto René Rachou, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ-Minas), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. <sup>[2]</sup>email: allyson.gui.costa@gmail.com; malheiroadriana@yahoo.com.br

increased risk of death has been observed<sup>1-[3](#page-11-1)</sup>. The incubation period I typically around 5 days, with transmission possible within a 14-day period through the respiratory tract. Tis facilitates its rapid spread from an infected individual, even if asymptomatic, to an uninfected person<sup>4-6</sup>.

Main symptoms include dry cough, dyspnea, pneumonia, and in severe cases, respiratory syndrome[4,](#page-11-2)[6,](#page-11-3)[7](#page-11-4) . Among infected, 3–20% require hospitalization, with most going to the ICU, and 1% die from complications in the acute phase<sup>8,[9](#page-11-6)</sup>. Studies described human body's viral response to understand the dynamics of cells and proteins, to prevent recurrence and reduce risks during acute phases<sup>10-14</sup>.

Innate immune cells have been proposed as markers of severity during acute disease<sup>11,[15](#page-11-10),[16](#page-11-11)</sup>. However, by 2022, many people had already been exposed to the virus, and the convalescence stage became a central topic of discussion. Tis stage refers to the clinical improvement afer COVID-19, and there is still a lack of discussion regarding the immune factors that coordinate antibody and memory cell production $17,18$  $17,18$ . Numerous studies have evaluated the interaction between cells, cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors, but their correlation with antibody production has not been fully elucidated yet $19-22$  $19-22$ .

The World Health Organization (WHO) has proposed that individuals who have recovered from SARS-CoV-2 may donate plasma for a convalescent plasma therapy, which consists of transfusion of plasma enriched with antibodies from cured individuals into patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 and with severe form of the disease<sup>[23](#page-11-16)</sup>. Tis approach is based on passive immunization, where antibodies specifc to viral epitopes are transferred from convalescent individuals to acute patients. However, this procedure is still under study, and certain criteria must be met. Males are the primary population to plasma donation, once woman may present specifc antibodies that can enhance transfusion reaction acute lung injury. Despite gender, the donor also must have sufficient concentration of antibodies in the serum<sup>[24,](#page-11-17)25</sup>. This treatment is experimental, but there is not enough studies to standardize a protocol and with higher. Understand the major mechanisms related to antibody production in convalescent patients from COVID-19 can promote new therapeutic strategies to prompt higher antibody titers and so, reduce the severity of population and improve treatment to those with immunocompromising diseases.

Our aim was to conduct a longitudinal study with COVID-19 convalescent patients who had recovered from the acute phase at 30, 60, and 90 days. Understanding the involvement of cells and soluble proteins in antibody surveillance will contribute to developing further strategies for patient follow-up afer the acute disease, provide important insights into convalescent progression, improve vaccination approaches, and ultimately enhance the quality of life for patients.

#### **Results**

### **Sociodemographic data**

Fifty-one healthy donors were enrolled in this study. The mean age was 32.39 years (SD ± 11.63), with 36 (70.6%) males and 15 (29.4%) females. The majority were of admixed ethnicity (n=45 [88.3%]), followed by 4 (7.8%) Caucasians and 2 (3.9%) African Americans. Five (9.8%) had blood type A positive, 27 (52.9%) had blood type O positive, and 19 (37.3%) had blood type O negative.

The mean age of the convalescent group was 39.94 years (SD $\pm$ 11.56), with 51 (82.3%) males and 11 (17.7%) females. In terms of ethnicity, 42 (67.8%) were admixed, 18 (29%) were Caucasians, and only 2 (3.2%) were African Americans. Regarding blood type, 17 were type A (14 [22.6%] positive and 3 [4.9%] negative), 2 were type B (1 [1.6%] positive and 1 [1.6%] negative), 2 were type AB (both positive [3.2%]), and 41 were type O (40 [64.5%] positive and 1 [1.6%] negative). The majority were overweight or obese (n = 23 [37.1%] each), followed by 15 (24.2%) with a normal BMI, and only one [1.6%] had a low BMI. Statistical analysis showed signifcant difference only in age ( $p=0.0009$ ) and blood type ( $p<0.0001$ ) between both groups, however we believe the blood type diference is related to higher prevalence of O and A types in the population studied (Table [1\)](#page-2-0).

Once there was seen diference among age, ethnicity and blood type between HD and Convalescent (D30) groups, we conducted an analysis of immunological parameters segregating our groups based on blood type and age. Any difference was seen in the comparison of blood groups inside HD or Convalescent group. The pattern seen in the general observation seemed not to change when related to any of these three characteristics, and so, we believe that none of them had any or few interferences in the immune system in our participants. Heatmap and PCA analysis segregated by these parameters are shown in Fig. S1 for age (S1A), ethnicity (S1B) and blood type (S1C).

Analyzing the clinical data from the convalescent group, 5 out of 62 patients (8.1%) required hospitalization and mechanical ventilation. The mean hospital length of stay (LOS) was 15.82 days (SD  $\pm$  10.49), ranging from 1 to 51 days.

#### **Antibody dynamic in convalescence**

The antibody concentration was evaluated in the convalescent groups and compared to the number of days after the end of symptoms. Anti-nucleocapsid (anti-N) IgG showed a significant decrease ( $p=0.0017$ ) from 30 to 90 days after clinical recovery, which was further confirmed by correlation analysis. The analysis revealed a negative and signifcant reduction in anti-N concentration with an increase in the number of days afer the end of symptoms ( $p=0.0056$ ), as shown in Fig. [1A](#page-3-0). Although anti-Spike (anti-S) IgG also decreased, the concentration levels showed no signifcant diference, even in the correlation analysis (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B). Tis suggests that the presence of anti-S antibodies in the serum persists, while anti-N antibodies decrease slowly afer recovery (Fig. [1B](#page-3-0)). It should be noted that immunity remains active for a few days afer viral clearance, and some patients seroconverted from a positive state (at D30) to a negative state (at both D60 and D90) during the study period for both anti-N and anti-S antibodies, using the manufacturer's cut-of.

Serum samples from 20 out of 62 participants (32.3%) with a previous diagnosis of COVID-19 and a symptomatic period tested positive for IgM using an immunochromatographic test at D30. Tis number decreased

2



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Sociodemographic data of healthy donors and convalescent patients. *SD* standard deviation, *Pos* positive, *Neg* negative. Chi-square test was performed isolating blood groups (A, B, AB and O), and subgroups (positive or negative), and including only those with observation>1 for statistical purposes. Signifcant values are in bold.

to 11 out of 48 participants (22.9%) at D60, and 8 out of 47 participants (17%) at D90. IgG detection tests showed higher sensitivity. All three tests (both anti-S immunochromatographic and CMIA, and anti-N CMIA) detected more than 80% seroreactivity in convalescent individuals at D30. The D60 and D90 groups still had a seroconversion rate higher than 80% for anti-S antibody detection, while anti-N antibody detection decreased to 70.2% at D90 (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)C).

The fold change comparison shows that the antibody concentration changes gradually from 1 month to the next, but there is a more signifcant diference at the third month. A similar pattern was observed when comparing D30 to D60 and D60 to D90, indicating that the antibody concentration from D30 to D60 did not vary signifcantly compared to the change from D60 to D90. However, there was an overall decrease in antibody concentration from D30 to D90 (Fig. [1D](#page-3-0)).

WHO released a list of approved tests to detect anti-S IgG antibodies, which can help identify potential convalescent blood donors. The qualitative and quantitative CMIA test has been approved for monitoring potential blood donors who meet the eligibility criteria for antibody concentration (> 1.280 AU/mL). Among all the convalescent individuals who agreed to participate, only 30 out of 62 (48.4%) had a sufficient concentration at D30. By D60, only 20 out of 48 participants (41.7%) remained eligible, and this number further decreased to 14 out of 47 participants (29.8%) at D90 (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B).

#### **Infammatory profle is mediated by memory cells and patrolling monocytes**

Cell counts and subpopulations were evaluated over time using blood count and fow cytometry, respectively. NK and NKT cells were signifcantly reduced afer COVID-19 and showed no signifcant signs of recovery even afer 90 days (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A,B). Although the monocyte count increased in D30 patients compared to healthy controls, this increase appeared to be driven by inflammatory and patrolling monocytes. The absolute monocyte count (AMC) decreased in the second month afer symptom resolution (Table [2](#page-4-1)), but patrolling monocytes continued to increase over time, reaching even higher levels at D90 (Fig. [2C](#page-4-0)–E). On the other hand, both total T helper cells and activated T helper cells decreased as convalescence progressed (Fig. [2F](#page-4-0),G). Total T cytotoxic lymphocytes did not vary signifcantly between the analyzed groups, but the median of activated T cytotoxic lymphocytes showed a significant difference, although statistical analysis could not determine which groups differed (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)H,I). The subpopulation of circulating dendritic cells showed no diference between healthy donors and convalescent individuals, nor between convalescent subgroups (Fig. [2J](#page-4-0),K). Although B lymphocytes showed no diference, an increase in B1 lymphocytes was observed during convalescence, which appeared to persist throughout the 3-month period analyzed (Fig. [2L](#page-4-0),M).

To evaluate the involvement of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors in convalescent individuals, we quantified these soluble proteins and compared them to the healthy donor group. The convalescent stage appeared to be characterized by higher levels of VEGF, G-CSF, IL-2, IL-9, and CXCL10, but also lower serum concentrations of FGF basic, PDGF-BB, GM-CSF, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-5, IL-17A, IL-10, CXCL8, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)). CCL5 were signifcantly low at D30 but appeared to increase until D90, serving as early markers of return to normality.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1.** Serum antibody analysis during convalescence. (**A**) Comparison of IgG anti-nucleocapsid protein (OD) and correlation under anti-N concentration and days post symptoms; (**B**) Comparison of IgG anti-Spike protein (AU/mL) and correlation between anti-S concentration and days post symptoms. The cutt-off 1.280 AU/mL was highlighted to demonstrate the participants that were eligible to convalescent plasma donation in D30 (n=30/62 [48.4%]), D60 (n=20/48 [41.7%]) and D90 (n=14/47 [29.8%]); (**C**) Percentage of participants with a qualitative (pos/neg) antibody production among study period, based on anti-S immunochromatographic test, and CMIA anti-S and anti-N, with absolute and relative values on table below; (**D**) Fold change comparison between D60/ D30, D90/D30 and D90/60 IgG anti-S antibody concentration, using quantitative result from patients with all follow-up (n=45). Statistical analysis was performed with One-Way ANOVA followed by Turkey's Multiple comparison test, considering significative when  $p < 0.05$ . \*p $< 0.05$ ; \*\*p $< 0.01$ ; \*\*\*p $< 0.001$ ; \*\*\*p $< 0.0001$ .

The correlation matrix demonstrates that healthy donors have fewer interactions between cytokines and more interactions with circulating cells and subpopulations, while convalescent individuals exhibit more immune dynamics between molecules. Patients in the initial stages of convalescence, represented by D30, showed a greater number of interactions between molecules, mainly cytokines.

The number of correlations appears to decrease as convalescence progresses until the late stage, although it can be observed that anti-S antibodies have a positive correlation with AEC and CXCL10 in D30 (alongside anti-N antibodies) and a negative correlation with patrolling monocytes. In D60, the immunomodulation was related to infammatory molecules such as IL-6 and CXCL8, and negatively correlated with B lymphocytes. In D90, the participation seems to be guided by pDC and CXCL8 (Fig. [4A](#page-6-0),B). AEC showed a positive and signifcant correlation with both anti-N and anti-S antibodies in D30 and D90 (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0), which may be related to its functionality.

#### **Infammatory markers still may be used to characterize the initial stage of convalescence**

Biomarker analysis and the Venn diagram revealed that convalescent individuals are typically characterized by higher levels of IL-15, NLR, and RDW. However, when we segregate the convalescent stage and inspect for biomarkers in each timepoint, we observe that in the beginning of convalescence, 30 days afer clinical recovery, high levels of AMC, ABC, platelets, myeloid DCs and anti-S IgG were also observed. Furthermore, immunomodulation from the frst (D30) to the second month (D60), can be observed through increased production of infammatory and patrolling monocytes, B1 lymphocytes, G-CSF, IL-2, and the IFN-γ/IL4 ratio. Although B1 lymphocytes are elevated in circulation, only D30 was marked by a higher increase in anti-S antibodies.

4



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2.** Phenotypic analysis of immune cells, comparing HD, D30, D60 and D90 groups. Data is expressed as median and interquartile range in percentage of cells. Bar graphs represent analysis of: (**A**) NK cells; (**B**) NKT cells; (**C**) Classical monocytes; (**D**) Infammatory monocytes; (**E**) Patrolling monocytes; (**F**) T helper lymphocytes; (**G**) Activated T helper lymphocytes; (**H**) T cytotoxic lymphocytes; (**I**) Activated T cytotoxic lymphocytes; (**J**) Plasmacytoid dendritic cells; (**K**) Myeloid dendritic cells; (**L**) B lymphocytes; and (**M**) B1 lymphocytes. Statistical analysis was conducted with Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn's Multiple Comparisons tests, considering significative when p<0.05. \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Table 2. Laboratorial parameters of healthy donors and convalescent patients at D30, D60 and D90. Significant difference for HD vs D30; <sup>b</sup>Significant difference for HD vs D60; 'Significant difference for HD vs D90; <sup>d</sup>Significant difference for D30 vs D60; "Significant difference for D30 vs D90; <sup>f</sup>Significant difference for D60 vs D90. Statistical analysis was performed with Kruskal–Wallis test, and Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test to compare hematological values from all groups. A  $p < 0.05$  was considered significant and highlighted by bold type font.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3.** Circulating level of soluble molecules comparing HD, D30, D60 and D90 groups. Data is expressed as median and interquartile range in pg/mL. Circulating levels of chemokines (**A**), cytokines (**B**) and growth factors (**C**). Statistical analysis was conducted with Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn's Multiple Comparisons tests, considering significative when p < 0.05.  $*p$  < 0.05;  $**p$  < 0.01;  $***p$  < 0.001; \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001.

Later stages of convalescence seen in our study was marked by both total and activated T cytotoxic lymphocytes, plasmacytoid DCs, VEGF, IL-9 and CXCL10. Tis profle suggests a reparative process, which can be related to the extensively injury caused by the immunity priming during acute phase. None of the convalescent groups exhibited higher production of anti-N antibodies (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0).

#### **Discussion**

COVID-19 has impacted numerous individuals worldwide, with its peak occurring between 2020 and 2021. Tis period was characterized by immense strain on healthcare systems, as eforts were made to fnd better treatments, identify effective drugs, and conduct improved clinical trials. The pandemic situation compelled researchers to enhance their understanding of how the body responds to the virus, particularly focusing on the dynamics of convalescence and the immunological factors involved in disease recurrence, antibody production, and prevention strategies. In this study, we propose perspectives on immune dynamics from 30 to 90 days afer clinical recovery, aiming to characterize the immune response in terms of cells and molecules.

Our investigation into antibody dynamics revealed that anti-S IgG antibodies persisted longer than IgM antibodies, as expected. In our study, only 32% of participants had detectable IgM levels at D30, which is lower than what has been reported in the literature<sup>26</sup>. Conversely, more than 80% of convalescents in our study exhibited detectable IgG anti-Spike antibodies, aligning with fndings from other studies[26](#page-11-19)–[28](#page-11-20). Importantly, IgG anti-Spike antibodies demonstrated greater stability in serum compared to IgG anti-nucleocapsid antibodies, which exhibited a rapid decline during convalescence. The seroreactivity of anti-S antibodies varied by less than 5.2% over the 3-month period following viral clearance, whereas anti-N antibodies varied by 13.4% during the same timeframe. The peak period of antibody production occurred 10–20 days after the onset of symptoms and appeared to be primarily influenced by IgG1 and IgG3 subclasses<sup>29,30</sup>. Some studies have reported that the persistence of memory B cells and circulating Tfh cells plays a significant role in the production of anti-S antibodies, while anti-N and anti-RBD antibodies show a notable decrease  $31-35$ .

Several concerns have been raised regarding the decrease in antibodies during convalescence. One of these concerns is the mutation that occurs in the germinal center at the onset of convalescence, which has been correlated with antibody titers<sup>36</sup>. It has been observed that patients who experience reinfection within a certain period do not produce antibodies, suggesting that antibody production helps prevent new cases of infection. However, this hypothesis has been questioned due to the specifcity of antibodies to other SARS-CoV-2 lineages that have undergone mutations during the pandemic. Despite the reduction in antibody titers, an increase in neutralization ability has been observed<sup>[34,](#page-11-26)36</sup>.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4.** Biomarker correlation matrix indicating diference in patter of healthy donors (**A**), and convalescence in D30 (**B**), D60 (**C**) and D90 (**D**). Networks were based on Spearman's correlation indices (r). Association was signifcant when p<0.05 between all markers analyzed. Blue scale, ranging from −1.0 to 1.0, shows correlation strength, as represented on image. *WBC* white blood count, *ANC* absolute neutrophil count, *ALC* absolute lymphocyte count, *AMC* absolute monocyte count, *AEC* absolute eosinophil count, *ABC* absolute basophil count, *NK* natural killer, *Chemokines* CXCL8, CXCL10, CCL3, CCL4, CCL2, CCL5 and CCL11, *Cytokines* IL-1β, IL-1ra, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-12p70, IFN-γ, IL-2, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, IL-17A, IL-10, *Growth factors* VEGF, FGF basic, PDGF-BB, GM-CSF, G-CSF, *HD* healthy donors.

Some studies have shown a relationship between antibody production and the severity of the disease<sup>37</sup>. Patients who experience symptoms such as fever, cough, dyspnea, and pneumonia are 50 times more likely to produce higher antibody titers<sup>34,38</sup>. However, the severity of the disease is also related to viral load and the availability of viral antigens. It is worth noting that only anti-RBD antibodies have been found to increase over time in patients with a severe condition $39,40$  $39,40$ .

The immunological dynamics during convalescence involve a higher participation of inflammatory and patrolling monocytes. Total monocytes were found to regulate as convalescence progresses, but the activated infammatory subpopulations appeared to increase, including an increase in patrolling monocytes. In the literature, both infammatory and patrolling monocytes have been reported to decrease during convalescence and stabilize their levels around 5 months<sup>[12](#page-11-30),[18](#page-11-13),[41](#page-12-1)</sup>. This difference may be related to the activation of monocytes since it has been previously shown that HLA-DR expression increases during convalescence<sup>[18,](#page-11-13)[42,](#page-12-2)43</sup>. However, there is no available data on which subpopulations express this marker during convalescence. The higher control of infammatory status and tissue repair may be a clear reason for this increased involvement, as the increase in both subpopulations persists for up to 2 months afer viral clearance.

Convalescence is characterized by a progressive decrease in antibody titers, but it can still activate local macrophages and induce infammation through FcγRIIa receptors, thereby inducing proinfammatory molecules. M1 macrophages have been shown to be more sensitive to activated antibodies, but M2 macrophages may also polarize to M1 upon antibody recognition. M1 macrophages have been described to produce high levels of IFN-γ, CXCL8, and CCL2[44](#page-12-4)[–47.](#page-12-5) However, our patients showed a reduction in the frst two markers during convalescence.

Even though our results demonstrated that convalescence can be marked by cytotoxicity, it appears to be regulated by T lymphocytes. NK and NKT cells showed a signifcant decrease, which has been previously

7



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5.** Biomarker signature of groups represented in a Venn Diagram. (**A**) Frequency of subjects with biomarker level above the Cut-of; (**B**) Venn Diagram representing the groups, intersections, and elements, suggesting potential hallmarks for immunomodulation under convalescence. Global median for each parameter was measured and used to characterize participants as low (<50%) or higher (>50%) producers. *HD* healthy donors.

described<sup>48–[50](#page-12-7)</sup> and may be due to the production of other cells involved in tissue repair. This same pattern was observed during the acute phase, as low NK cell counts were associated with disease severity and compromised cytotoxicity<sup>48</sup>. Our findings suggest that this compromise in NK cell counts persists during convalescence. Interestingly, both myeloid and plasmacytoid dendritic cells showed no diference, indicating that their role in viral clearance occurs rapidly and is stimulus-dependent.

The molecular profile showed that an inflammatory pattern remains predominant over anti-inflammatory markers. However, both pro-infammatory and anti-infammatory mediators were reduced compared to healthy donors. Immunosurveillance during convalescence is characterized by a lower production of infammatory and reparative factors, along with intense regulation mediated by increased concentrations of CXCL10, IL-2, and G-CSF. Anti-N was downregulated by B lymphocytes in the beginning of convalescence, however, in D60, it seems that this cell population participates also in downregulation of anti-S antibodies as well, characteristic that is not seen in D90. Some immunological cells were still present in D60, but with a considerable reduction in antibody titers, seen in the fold change analysis, probably due to a decrease in infammatory stimuli. Memory cells were shown to persist even with a reduction in antibody production, exhibiting reactivity to most viral antigens even after 6 months<sup>[26,](#page-11-19)51</sup>. In later stages of convalescence, there was a loss of intensive interactions, indicating that the immune system strives to reach homeostasis a few months after viral clearance.

Throughout the entire convalescent period, there was an increase in the production of NLR. Previous stud-ies have suggested this marker as a prognostic factor for acute patients<sup>[10](#page-11-7),[20](#page-11-31),[52](#page-12-9)-54</sup>, and our findings suggest that it remains elevated for a prolonged period during convalescence. Other studies have also reported an increase in neutrophil count with activation markers even 28 days afer clinical recover[y12](#page-11-30)[,55.](#page-12-11) Furthermore, the production of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) has been detected even afer month[s17](#page-11-12),[56](#page-12-12),[57](#page-12-13). Our fndings of increased G-CSF levels may contribute to neutrophil recruitment. The literature demonstrated that neutrophil metabolic function lowered during the acute phase and further reduced during convalescence, suggesting the production of non-reactive cells during this period. Therefore, G-CSF becomes an important factor in inducing urgent granulopoiesis, particularly in the first 2 months of convalescence<sup>[12](#page-11-30),[30](#page-11-22)</sup>

All 3 months of convalescence were characterized by a higher production of IL-15. In the initial stage, there was also involvement of G-CSF and IL-2, while the last stage was marked by mainly producing IL-9 and CXCL10. Some studies have described the IL-15/IL-15RA axis as a crucial factor in the functional exhaustion, senescence, and apoptosis of NK and NKT  $\gamma\delta$  cells, which promotes rapid control of infection through cytotoxicity and antibody response during convalescence  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$  $^{\rm 39,47,58-61}$ 

G-CSF, IL-2, and IFN-γ are molecules involved in the cytokine storm during the acute phase of COVID- $1962-65$  $1962-65$  $1962-65$ , and as observed in our study, this profile of proliferation persists in convalescence, mainly mediated by G-CSF and IL-2. Tis may be attributed to the functionality of repair and the migration of granulocytes from the bloodstream to the affected tissues<sup>[12](#page-11-30)</sup>. The subsequent dynamics of IL-9 and CXCL10 at D60/D90 were also observed in chronic allergic diseases and are associated with the involvement of mastocytes, the induction of lymphocyte adhesion to endothelium, and bone marrow inhibition<sup>66</sup>. CXCL10 has previously been linked to acute outcomes and the prediction of improvement in COVID-19 patients, but its role in convalescence may be associated with its anti-inflammatory properties<sup>[67](#page-12-19)</sup>.

The specific higher producers at D30 were AMC, ABC, myeloid DCs, platelets, and anti-S IgG antibodies. Myeloid DCs have been reported to be reduced or have limited involvement during the acute phase<sup>[45,](#page-12-20)[57,](#page-12-13)[68](#page-12-21)[,69](#page-12-22)</sup>. Antibodies were prominently produced only at D30, and we propose that this specifc period of acute disease is the main source of antibody concentration.

We observed that within our convalescent groups, D30 was the optimal time for collecting convalescent plasma. Less than 50% of our patients had a sufficient antibody concentration for plasma donation, which decreased to less than 30% after 90 days. While many studies focus on evaluating the efficacy of convalescent plasma use in acute COVID-19 patients, there is limited data available to assess the factors involved in immune dynamics and antibody production, particularly in relation to obtaining convalescent plasma<sup>[32,](#page-11-32)40</sup>. However, there is an urgent need to improve the collection of plasma samples for storage, considering future pandemics and severe cases.

The convalescent period, which is still lacking in comprehensive discussions, holds valuable data, especially regarding immunity and the factors contributing to antibody production. Understanding these mechanisms can help prevent new cases, reduce the recurrence of severe cases, inform vaccination strategies, and promote a better quality of life for the exposed population. We emphasize the dynamics of immune cells, soluble molecules, and particularly the maintenance of antibodies in COVID-19 convalescent patients.

Furthermore, we identifed that infammatory and patrolling monocytes, together with B1 lymphocytes, persist in the immune system afer infection. Based on literature data, the cytokine storm triggered during SARS-CoV-2 infection lead to stimulation on immune system, mainly participation of neutrophils and cytotoxicity $70,71$  $70,71$  $70,71$ . Tis profle was shown in our study still present in the beginning of convalescence, which immunomodulation is primarily driven by G-CSF, IL-2 and IL-15. While infammatory markers are still present in the initial stages of convalescence, around 60 days, the profle shifs toward a proliferative pattern characterized mainly by IL-9 and CXCL10, that tend to last till 90 days of convalescence. The mechanisms and profile seem to shift towards a proliferative and reparative process, related to lymphocyte stimulation and angiogenesis (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)). Although these statements must be confrmed by future studies, we acknowledge that there is a downregulation of infammatory process during convalescence, but still a lack on the dynamics related to improve memory on these patients.

As well, we do comprehend that understanding the dynamic of immune system is still a lack and require more approaches to better understand which mechanisms are related to antibody dynamics, but also immunoregulation. Characterize the participants based on clinical and laboratorial scores, to evaluate the acute phase and perform a follow-up till late stages of convalescence can validate the results presented here and determine more robust biomarkers for disease progression. Due to limitation of data regarding patient's medical history during acute phase of COVID-19, and due to our patients be mainly mild cases during acute, we were unable to determine clinical scores from our patients and therapies that were used, which we believe it could determine better conclusions and propose novel studies. Other factors during acute phase were shown to impact on immunity in



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6.** Concluding remarks on immunological shifs related to acute (based on literature) and convalescence COVID-19 patients. *DC* dendritic cells, *NLR* neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.

convalescent conditions, and although we considered this in our analysis, we were limited regarding the therapy used<sup>72</sup>. Only two had hospital admission, and mostly couldn't address the therapy used during symptomatic period. None of them received neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 neither previously to infection, nor during acute phase. Some, however, were vaccinated during the study period, afer inclusion, but the data were not included in the analysis.

We highlight the dynamics of immune cells, soluble molecules and specially, the maintenance of antibodies which are produced along COVID-19 convalescent patients. We identifed that anti-N IgG reduced quickly afer viral clearance, while anti-S IgG changes slowly during the frst 3 months, being a good proposal for diagnostics and therapeutical strategies. We could also identify that afer infection, infammatory and patrolling monocytes are still present on immunity, together with B1 lymphocytes, and immunomodulation driven mainly by G-CSF, IL-2 and IL-15 in convalescence. Infammation is still present on initial stages of convalescence, but around 60 days, the profle seems to start to change to a proliferative pattern, characterized by IL-9 and CXCL10. Our results contribute to hallmarks involved on antibody production and evaluate higher proportion of cells and soluble immune molecules under these patients. Our fndings will support a better comprehension over the major hallmarks for immune sustainment and dynamics afer SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### **Methods**

#### **Ethical statement**

The participants enrolled in this study provided written consent by formal signature on the consent form. The study was submitted and approved by the Ethical Committee of Fundação Hospitalar de Hematologia e Hemoterapia do Amazonas (CEP-HEMOAM) under processes of #4.126.784 and #1.982.466. The protocols followed the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and Resolution 466/2012 of the Brazilian National Health Council for research involving human subjects.

#### **Participants and samples**

Our study involved 51 healthy donors (HD) who were eligible blood donors recruited before the COVID-19 pandemic, on June 13th 2017 and June 30th 2017. Tese donors tested negative for HIV, HBV, HCV, HTLV, Chagas disease, and syphilis. They also had no clinical symptoms prior to donation. Additionally, we included 62 COVID-19 convalescent patients who had previously tested positive for RT-PCR and experienced a symptomatic period. The age range of the convalescents was 18-60 years, and they were recruited 30 days after clinical recovery. These convalescents were followed up monthly for up to 3 months, during which blood samples were collected. The convalescent group was recruited at Fundação Hospitalar de Hematologia e Hemoterapia do Amazonas (HEMOAM) in Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil, between July 20th 2020 and June 2nd 2021. Tese participants were identifed based on a positive RT-PCR test and were invited to participate 30 days afer clinical recovery, following the resolution of acute symptoms developed during COVID-19 phase (i.e. fever, cough fatigue or muscle aches), and when eligible for blood donation. Male gender was preferred for participation due to convalescent plasma collection, although women were also included but showed no signifcant diferences in laboratory parameters. No other distinctions were made.

The convalescent group consisted of 62 patients at enrollment (D30), which completed all three follow-ups. As some individuals received the COVID-19 vaccine during the follow-up period, their results were not included in the analysis. Therefore, data from only 48 patients are reported at D60, and 47 at D90.

Patients who were asymptomatic for COVID-19, symptomatic at the time of recruitment, taking drugs that could inhibit ACE, had anti-erythrocyte antibodies, received any COVID-19 vaccine prior to inclusion, were pregnant, or were indigenous were not included in the study. Patients who tested positive for RT-PCR during recruitment or showed seroreactivity to other infectious diseases (HIV, HBV, HCV, syphilis, Chagas disease, or HTLV) were excluded. Samples obtained from patients who received the vaccine during the follow-up period were not included in the analysis.

Sociodemographic and clinical data collected from all participants included gender, age, ethnicity, blood type, body mass index (BMI), duration of symptoms during acute COVID-19, and the need for hospitalization and mechanical ventilation. Blood samples were collected through venipuncture, with 4 mL of whole blood collected in EDTA (BD Vacutainer® EDTA K2) tubes and 5 mL collected in separator gel (Gel BD SST® II Advance) tubes. Serum samples were stored at −80 °C for further procedures.

#### **Blood count and immune cell analysis**

Fresh whole blood was used to measure the parameters of red and white blood cells (RBC and WBC, respectively) and platelets. Tis was done using an automatic hematological blood counter (ADVIA 2120i, Siemens, USA) located at HEMOAM. Immunophenotyping of immune cells was also performed at HEMOAM using the following antibodies: anti-CD3 (PERCP), anti-CD4 (FITC), anti-CD8 (PE), and anti-CD69 (APC) to identify total T lymphocytes (CD3+), T helper lymphocytes (CD3+CD4+), activated T helper lymphocytes (CD3+CD4+CD69+), T cytotoxic lymphocytes (CD3+CD8+), and activated T cytotoxic lymphocytes (CD3+CD8+CD69+); anti-CD5 (FITC) and anti-CD19 (PE) to identify B (CD19+) and B1 (CD19+CD5+) lymphocytes; anti-CD16 (FITC), anti-CD14 (APC), and anti-HLA-DR (PE) to classical (CD14+CD16-), infammatory (CD14+CD16+), and patrolling monocytes (CD14lowCD16+); anti-CD123 (FITC), anti-CD11c (PE), and anti-CD14 (APC) to myeloid (CD14−CD123+) and plasmacytoid (CD14−CD11c+) dendritic cells; and anti-CD3 (PERCP), anti-CD16 (FITC), and anti-CD56 (PE) to NK (CD3–CD56+CD16+) and NKT (CD3+CD56+CD16+) cells (Fig. S2). The antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA), Beckman Coulter (Brea, California, USA), and BioLegend (San Diego, CA, USA).

A sample of 100 µL of whole blood was incubated with the respective antibodies, followed by a lysis solution at room temperature. The cells were washed, resuspended in PBS, and then stored at 4 °C until they were acquired by fow cytometry within the next 24 h. A total of 30,000 events were acquired using the FACSCanto II flow cytometer at Fundação HEMOAM. The analysis was conducted using FlowJo Software v. 10.8 to define subpopulations based on morphometric characteristics and fluorescence from monoclonal antibodies. The gates and strategies used are indicated in Fig. S1. The percentage of cells was used for statistical analysis.

#### **Chemokine, cytokine, and growth factor assay**

Molecules were measured using the Luminex technique. Cytokines IL-1β, IL-1ra, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-12p70, IFN-γ, IL-2, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, IL-17, and IL-10; chemokines CXCL8, CXCL10, CCL3, CCL4, CCL2, CCL5, and CCL11; and growth factors VEGF, FGF-basic, PDGF, GM-CSF, and G-CSF were measured in serum samples at Instituto René Rachou (FIOCRUZ-MG). The procedure was conducted using the Bioplex-Pro Human Cytokine 27-Plex Kit (Bio-Rad, California, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and protocol. Acquisition and concentration measurements were performed on a Luminex 200 System and analyzed using Bioplex Manager Software with Five Parameters Logistic Regression. The concentration is expressed in pg/ mL. The detection limits for the molecules were as follows:  $CXCL8 = 42,150$  pg/mL;  $CXCL10 = 31,236$  pg/mL; CCL2=24,282 pg/mL; CCL3=960 pg/mL; CCL4=11,233 pg/mL; CCL5=16,533 pg/mL; CCL11=26,842 pg/mL; IL-1β=8608 pg/mL; IL-1ra=91,661 pg/mL; IL-2=18,297 pg/mL; IL-9=25,642 pg/mL; IL-15=22,328 pg/mL; IL-4=4789 pg/mL; IL-5=23,105 pg/mL; IL-6=37,680 pg/mL; IL-7=16,593 pg/mL; IL-10=35,170 pg/mL; IL-12p70=37,684 pg/mL; IL-13=8090 pg/mL; IL-17A=28,850 pg/mL; IFN-γ=25,411 pg/mL; TNF-α=64,803 pg/ mL; PDGF-BB=24,721 pg/mL; FGFb=16,046 pg/mL; G-CSF=40,049 pg/mL; GM-CSF=12,844 pg/mL; and VEGF=29,464 pg/mL.

#### **Antibody measurement**

Antibodies IgG anti-Spike (anti-S) and anti-nucleocapsid (anti-N) were measured using chemiluminescence with the Chemiluminescence Microparticle Immunoassay (CMIA) by Abbott test on Architect. The procedures were conducted based on the manufacturer's instructions. A qualitative test was conducted to measure anti-N IgG, and the result was obtained in Index (S/C). It was considered positive when the Index was greater than 1.4. Quantitative data of Optical Density (OD) were also recorded and used for statistical analysis. The qualitativequantitative test of IgG anti-S was measured, and the concentration is expressed in AU/mL. The concentration was used for statistical analysis, and according to the manufacturer's instructions, samples were considered positive when the concentration was greater than 50 AU/mL. All procedures were performed on the Architect equipment, located in the serology department of Fundação HEMOAM.

#### **Statistical analysis**

All data were stored in Microsoft Excel for further analysis in GraphPad Prism v. 8.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk normality test was performed to evaluate the normality of the parameters, and the median and interquartile range (IQR) (25th and 75th percentiles) were acquired. Sociodemographic data are expressed as absolute values and percentages. Analysis of these parameters were done by Mann–Whitney test, while categorical parameters were compared with Chi-square test. Heatmap and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were performed in R Studio v.2023.12.1 software (Project for Statistical Computing Version 3.0.1). The median of cells and molecules from all groups was compared using the Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn's Multiple Comparison tests. A confdence interval of 95% was considered, and statistical values were used when p<0.05.

The correlation analysis was performed using Spearman correlation test in GraphPad Prism v. 8.0 software (San Diego, CA, USA) for all cells, cell subtypes, and molecules within each group. Signifcant results were used to construct biomarker correlation matrices based on the Spearman correlation coefficient (r). Significance was identified when the p-value was < 0.05.

The median value of each parameter was calculated across all groups and used as a cutoff point to categorize each participant as a "high" or "low" producer, as described previously. Median values for each parameter used are: RBC = 4.91  $106/\mu$ L; hemoglobin = 14.50 g/dL; hematocrit = 43%; MCV = 88.1 fL; MCH = 29.70 pg; RDW=14.10%; WBC=6.53 103/µL; ANC=3.77 103/µL; ALC=1.95 103/µL; AMC=0.39 103/µL; AEC=0.18  $103/\mu$ L; ABC = 0.03 103/ $\mu$ L; platelet = 248 103/ $\mu$ L; classical monocytes = 63.90%; inflammatory monocytes 5.60%; patrolling monocytes = 8.20%; T lymphocytes = 67.85%; T helper lymphocytes = 38.70%; activated T helper lymphocytes = 1.07%; cytotoxic T lymphocytes = 25.15%; activated cytotoxic T lymphocytes = 1.82%; NK cells = 5.24%; NKT cells = 0.15%; mDCs = 0.25%; pDCs = 0.47%; B lymphocytes = 11.60%; B1 lymphocytes = 1.16%; VEGF = 6.61 pg/mL; FGF basic = 1.38 pg/mL; PDGF-BB = 141.40 pg/mL; GM-CSF = 4.52 pg/ mL; G-CSF=3.94 pg/mL; IL-1β=0.37 pg/mL; IL-1ra=21.59 pg/mL; IL-6=0.20 pg/mL; TNF-α=5.59 pg/mL; IL-12p70=1.97 pg/mL; IFN-γ=13.04 pg/mL; IL-2=0.48 pg/mL; IL-7=1.33 pg/mL; IL-9=4.07 pg/mL; IL-15 2.36 pg/mL; IL-4=0.18 pg/mL; IL-5=0.69 pg/mL; IL-13=0.38 pg/mL; IL-17A=2.87 pg/mL; IL-10=1.41 pg/ mL; CXCL8=0.98 pg/mL; CXCL10=52.00 pg/mL; CCL3=0.22 pg/mL; CCL4=4.70 pg/mL; CCL2=7.56 pg/mL; CCL5=28.05 pg/mL; CCL11=15.22 pg/mL; anti-N IgG (OD)=4.40 Index; anti-S IgG=872.70 AU/mL. Percentage values were then obtained from these groups, and parameters that reached more than the 50th percentile were characterized as higher producers, represented in a graphic biomarker signature. Each parameter was isolated for each group and presented in a Venn Diagram, showing the respective groups, intersections, and elements. Tis analysis was conducted using a public website [\(http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/\)](http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/).

Linear regression was calculated to identify the relationship between the number of days from the end of symptoms and the concentration of both anti-S IgG (AU/mL) and anti-N IgG (OD), obtained by the CMIA method. A significant value was considered when  $p < 0.05$ . To evaluate antibody concentration, qualitative values

(positive/negative) were also calculated from immunochromatographic tests (IgM and IgG anti-S) and CMIA (IgG anti-S and anti-N). Percentage values were used to calculate the seroconversion rate for all convalescent groups. A fold change analysis was also performed using the mean value of the concentration of anti-S IgG from participants at D30/D60, D30/D90, and D60/D90, compared using the One-Way ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison test. All methodological procedures are described in Fig. S3.

#### **Data availability**

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 13 April 2024; Accepted: 27 August 2024 Published online: 09 September 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. Cui, J., Li, F. & Shi, Z.-L. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 181–192 (2019).
- 2. Dousari, A. S., Moghadam, M. T. & Satarzadeh, N. COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019): A new coronavirus disease. *Infect. Drug Resist.* **13**, 2819–2828 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>3. Zhang, Y.-Z. & Holmes, E. C. A Genomic perspective on the origin and emergence of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* **181**, 223–227 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>4. Harrison, A. G., Lin, T. & Wang, P. Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 transmission and pathogenesis. *Trends Immunol.* **41**, 1100–1115  $(2020)$
- 5. Kevadiya, B. D. *et al.* Diagnostics for SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 593–605 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>6. Khan, A. H. *et al.* COVID-19 transmission, vulnerability, persistence and nanotherapy: A review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **19**, 2773– 2787 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>7. Cevik, M., Kuppalli, K., Kindrachuk, J. & Peiris, M. Virology, transmission, and pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2. *BMJ* **371**, 1–6 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>8. Lamers, M. M. & Haagmans, B. L. SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **20**, 270–284 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>9. Buss, L. *et al.* Predicting SARS-CoV-2 variant spread in a completely seropositive population using semi-quantitative antibody measurements in blood donors. *Vaccines* **10**, 1–11 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>10. Leppkes, M. *et al.* Vascular occlusion by neutrophil extracellular traps in COVID-19. *EBioMedicine* **58**, 9 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>11. Cai, J. et al. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio determines clinical efficacy of corticosteroid therapy in patients with COVID-19. *Cell Metab.* **33**, 258–269 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>12. Kwiecień, I. *et al.* Neutrophil maturation, reactivity and granularity research parameters to characterize and diferentiate convalescent patients from active SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cells* **10**, 1–18 (2021).
- 13. Lourda, M. *et al.* High-dimensional profling reveals phenotypic heterogeneity and disease-specifc alterations of granulocytes in COVID-19. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, 1–12 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>14. Gil-Manso, S. *et al.* Multidimensional analysis of immune cells from COVID-19 patients identifed cell subsets associated with the severity at hospital admission. *PLoS Pathog.* **19**, 1–23 (2023).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>15. Martens, R. J. H. *et al.* Hemocytometric characteristics of COVID-19 patients with and without cytokine storm syndrome on the sysmex XN-10 hematology analyzer. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* **59**, 783–793 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>16. Reyes, L. *et al.* A type I IFN, prothrombotic hyperinfammatory neutrophil signature is distinct for COVID-19 ARDS. *Wellcome Open Res.* **6**, 1–42 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>17. Ng, H. *et al.* Circulating markers of Neutrophil Extracellular Traps are of prognostic value in patients with COVID-19. *Arterioscler. Tromb. Vasc. Biol.* **41**, 988–994 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>18. Rajamanickam, A. *et al.* Dynamic alterations in monocyte numbers, subset frequencies and activation markers in acute and convalescent COVID-19 individuals. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–9 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>19. Vitte, J. *et al.* A granulocytic signature identifes COVID-19 and its severity. *J. Infect. Dis.* **222**, 1985–1996 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>20. Rodriguez, L. *et al.* Systems-level immunomonitoring from acute to recovery phase of severe COVID-19. *Cell Rep. Med.* **1**, 100078 (2020).
- 21. Winheim, E. *et al.* Impaired function and delayed regeneration of dendritic cells in COVID-19. *PLoS Pathog.* **17**, 1–30 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>22. Silva-junior, A. L. *et al.* Immune dynamics involved in acute and convalescent COVID-19 patients. *Immuno* **3**, 86–111 (2023).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>23. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak.<https://www.who.int>(2020).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>24. Juskewitch, J. E., Stubbs, J. R. & Gandhi, M. J. Elevated rate of HLA antibodies in male COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors: A risk factor for transfusion-related acute lung injury. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **96**, 500–502 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>25. Yiğenoğlu, T. N. *et al.* Convalescent plasma therapy in patients with COVID-19. *J. Clin. Apher.* **35**, 367–373 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>26. Dan, J. M. *et al.* Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months afer infection. *Science* **371**, eabf4063 (2021). 27. Bošnjak, B. *et al.* Low serum neutralizing anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibody levels in mildly afected COVID-19 convalescent patients
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>revealed by two diferent detection methods. *Cell. Mol. Immunol. Mol. Immunol.* **18**, 936–944 (2020). 28. Xiang, T. *et al.* Declining levels of neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent COVID-19 patients one year post
- symptom onset. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 1–10 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>29. Kim, D.-M. *et al.* Eosinophil-mediated lung infammation associated with elevated natural killer T cell response in COVID-19 patients. *Korean J. Intern. Med.* **37**, 201–209 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>30. Kim, D.-M. *et al.* Enhanced eosinophil-mediated infammation associated with antibody and complement-dependent pneumonic insults in critical COVID-19. *Cell Rep.* **37**, 1–14 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>31. Jung, J. H. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2-specifc T cell memory is sustained in COVID-19 convalescent patients for 10 months with successful development of stem cell-like memory T cells. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–12 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>32. Nelson, R. W. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 epitope-specifc CD4+ memory T cell responses across COVID-19 disease severity and antibody durability. *Sci. Immunol.* **7**, abl9464 (2022).
- 33. Prete, C. A. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 antibody dynamics in blood donors and COVID- 19 epidemiology in eight Brazilian state capitals: A serial crosssectional study. *Elife* **11**, 1–53 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>34. Sokal, A. *et al.* Maturation and persistence of the anti-SARS-CoV-2 memory B cell response. *Cell* **184**, 1201–1213 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>35. Chansaenroj, J. *et al.* Long-term persistence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike proteinspecifc and neutralizing antibodies in recovered COVID-19 patients. *PLoS One* **17**, 1–16 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>36. Gaebler, C. *et al.* Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* **591**, 639–644 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>37. Wu, F. *et al.* Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-19 recovered patient cohort and their implications. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **152**, 82–87 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>38. Wardhani, S. O. et al. The predictors of high titer of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody of convalescent plasma donors. *Clin. Epidemiol. Glob. Health* **11**, 1–4 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>39. Ferreras, C. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2-specifc memory T lymphocytes from COVID-19 convalescent donors: Identifcation, biobanking, and large-scale production for adoptive cell therapy. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **9**, 1–12 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>40. Zhou, R. *et al.* Acute SARS-CoV-2 infection impairs dendritic cell and T cell responses. *Immunity* **53**, 864-877.e5 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>41. Zhang, D. *et al.* COVID-19 infection induces readily detectable morphologic and infammation-related phenotypic changes in peripheral blood monocytes. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **1**, 1–10 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>42. Neeland, M. R. *et al.* Innate cell profles during the acute and convalescent phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection in children. *Nat. Commun.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21414-x> (2021).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>43. Qin, S. *et al.* Dynamic changes in monocytes subsets in COVID-19 patients. *Hum. Immunol.* **82**, 170–176 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>44. Lv, J. *et al.* Distinct uptake, amplifcation, and release of SARS-CoV-2 by M1 and M2 alveolar macrophages. *Cell Discov.* **7**, 1–12  $(2021)$
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>45. Niles, M. A. *et al.* Macrophages and dendritic cells are not the major source of pro-infammatory cytokines upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 1–12 (2021).
- 46. Hoepel, W. *et al.* High titers and low fucosylation of early human anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG promote infammation by alveolar macrophages. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **13**, 1–17 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>47. Zhang, F. *et al.* Adaptive immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection in severe versus mild individuals. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ter.* **5**, 1–11 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>48. Taghiloo, S. *et al.* Apoptosis and immunophenotyping of peripheral blood lymphocytes in Iranian COVID-19 patients: Clinical and laboratory characteristics. *J. Med. Virol.* **93**, 1589–1598 (2021).
- 49. Antonioli, L., Fornai, M., Pellegrini, C. & Blandizzi, C. NKG2A and COVID-19: Another brick in the wall. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **17**, 672–674 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>50. Leem, G. *et al.* Abnormality in the NK-cell population is prolonged in severe COVID-19 patients Galam information. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **148**, 996-1006.e18 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>51. Sosa-Hernández, V. A. *et al.* B cell subsets as severity-associated signatures in COVID-19 patients. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, 1–12 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>52. Asghar, M. S. *et al.* Hematological parameters predicting severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients of Pakistan: A retrospective comparative analysis. *J. Community Hosp. Intern. Med. Perspect.* **10**, 514–520 (2020).
- 53. Man, M. A. *et al.* Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio, platelets-to-lymphocyte ratio, and eosinophils correlation with high-resolution computer tomography severity score in COVID-19 patients. *PLoS One* **16**, 1–12 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-11"></span><span id="page-12-10"></span>54. Taj, S. *et al.* Role of hematological parameters in the stratifcation of COVID-19 disease severity. *Ann. Med. Surg.* **62**, 68–72 (2021). 55. Chao, Y. *et al.* Distinct phenotypes of platelet, monocyte, and neutrophil activation occur during the acute and convalescent phase of COVID-19. *Platelets* **32**, 1092–1102 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>56. Middleton, E. A. *et al.* Neutrophil extracellular traps contribute to immunothrombosis in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Blood* **136**, 1169–1179 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>57. Parackova, Z. *et al.* Disharmonic infammatory signatures in COVID-19: Augmented neutrophils' but impaired monocytes' and dendritic cells' responsiveness. *Cells* **9**, 1–17 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>58. Masselli, E. & Vitale, M. NK cells on the ViP stage of COVID-19. *EBioMedicine* **69**, 103458 (2021).
- 59. Notarbartolo, S. *et al.* Integrated longitudinal immunophenotypic, transcriptional, and repertoire analyses delineate immune responses in patients with COVID-19. *Sci. Immunol.* **5021**, 1–19 (2021).
- 60. Flament, H. *et al.* Outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection is linked to MAIT cell activation and cytotoxicity. *Nat. Immunol.* **22**, 322–335 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>61. Zhang, J. Y. *et al.* Single-cell landscape of immunological responses in patients with COVID-19. *Nat. Immunol.* **21**, 1107–1118 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>62. Varchetta, S. *et al.* Unique immunological profle in patients with COVID-19. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **18**, 604–612 (2021).
- 63. Yang, Y. *et al.* Plasma IP-10 and MCP-3 levels are highly associated with disease severity and predict the progression of COVID-19. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **146**, 119–127 (2020).
- 64. Zheng, M. *et al.* Functional exhaustion of antiviral lymphocytes in COVID-19 patients. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0402-2) [1038/s41423-020-0402-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0402-2) (2020).
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>65. Burgos-Blasco, B. *et al.* Hypercytokinemia in COVID-19: Tear cytokine profle in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *Exp. Eye Res.* **200**, 1–6 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>66. Abbas, A. K., Lichtman, A. H. & Pillai, S. *Cellular and Molecular Immunology* Vol. 9 (Elsevier, 2018).
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>67. Wu, Z. *et al.* Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (CoronaVac) in healthy adults aged 60 years and older: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **3099**, 1–9 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>68. Arunachalam, P. S. *et al.* Systems biological assessment of immunity to mild versus severe COVID-19 infection in humans. *Science* **369**, 1–11 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>69. Xu, G. *et al.* Te diferential immune responses to COVID-19 in peripheral and lung revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Cell Discov.* **6**, 1–14 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>70. Liu, G., Zhang, S., Hu, H., Liu, T. & Huang, J. Te role of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte–monocyte ratio in the prognosis of type 2 diabetics with COVID-19. *Scott. Med. J.* **65**, 154–160 (2020).
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>71. Zuo, Y. *et al.* Neutrophil extracellular traps and thrombosis in COVID-19. *J. Tromb. Trombolysis* **51**, 446–453 (2021).
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>72. Rotundo, S. *et al.* Spike-specifc T-cell responses in patients with COVID-19 successfully treated with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **124**, 55–64 (2022).

#### **Acknowledgements**

Authors would like to extend their gratitude to all staf from laboratory of Genomics, from Fundação HEMOAM, for their support to this work. Financial support was provided in the form of grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas (FAPEAM) (Pró-Estado Program - #002/2008, #007/2018, #005/2019, PCTI-EMERGESAÚDE - AM Program - #005/2020 and POSGRAD Program - #002/2023 and #002/2024), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (INCT-Sangue Process - #405918/2022-4) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nı́vel Superior (CAPES) (PROCAD-Amazônia 2018 Program - #88881.200581/2018-01 and PDPG-CONSOLIDACAO-3-4 Program #88887.707248/2022-00). ALS-J, LSO, SD, TCCC, LAX and FAS-H have fellowships from CAPES and FAPEAM (PhD and SI students). LHF, EC and AT-C are level 1 research fellows from CNPq. OM-F is a level 1 research fellow from CNPq and a research fellow from the program supported by the Universidade do Estado do Amazonas (PROVISIT No. 005/2023-PROPESP/UEA). CAS, AGC and AM are level 2 research fellows from CNPq. The funders had no role in study design and decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Author contributions**

A.L.S-J., L.S.O., S.D. and W.L.L.N. performed laboratorial procedures of fow cytometry, A.L.S.-J., T.C.C.C. and L.A.X. recruited and collected all data and blood samples, F.S.A.-H. and C.M.M.A. measured the antibodies assays, A.L.S.-J., M.A.E.C., D.M.T. P.V.S.N., M.S.S.C., A.M.T., N.A.F., A.G.C. and A.M. conceptualized the study

and analyzed the data, A.L.S.-J. wrote the main manuscript, A.M.T., L.H.F., C.A.S., E.C.S., A.T.C., O.A.M.-F., A.G.C. and A.M. supervised and revised the fnal manuscript, M.A.E.C., N.A.F.,L.H.C., C.A.S., E.C.S., A.T.C., O.A.M.-F., A.G.C. and A.M. provided funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-71419-x) [10.1038/s41598-024-71419-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-71419-x).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to A.G.C. or A.M.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2024$