Lysophospholipase A_2 activity in guinea-pig heart microsomal fractions displaying high activities with 2-acylglycerophosphocholines with linoleic and arachidonic acids

Gilbert ARTHUR

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3E OW3

Lysophospholipases A_1 which catalyse the hydrolysis of acyl groups from 1-acylglycerophosphocholine (GPC) have been characterized in a number of mammalian tissues and do not exhibit any acyl specificity. In the present study lysophospholipase activity in guinea-pig heart microsomes (microsomal fractions) that hydrolyses 2-acyl-GPC was detected and characterized. The enzyme showed a high degree of acyl specificity. The relative rates of hydrolysis of individual 2-acyl-GPCs with different fatty acids was as follows: $C_{18:2}/C_{20:4}/C_{18:1}/C_{16:0}$, 14:6:1:1. When substrates were presented in pairs, the hydrolysis of each substrate by the enzyme was inhibited, but to very different extents. Of each pair of lysolipids examined (2-arachidonoyl- and 2-palmitoyl-GPC; 2-arachidonoyl- and 2-linoleoyl-GPC), the one with the expected higher rate of hydrolysis was more severely inhibited and the degree of inhibition was dependent on the concentration of the other lysolipid. The characteristics of the lysophospholipase $A₂$ suggest the enzyme could work in concert with phospholipase A_1 to release arachidonic and linoleic acids for further metabolism. The properties of lysophospholipase A_2 and A_1 suggest that they are different enzymes.

INTRODUCTION

It is now well established that the availability of free arachidonic acid in tissues constitutes the limiting factor in the biosynthesis of eicosanoids [1]. In mammalian tissues, arachidonic acid is esterified at the C-2 position of phospholipids, from which it is released for eicosanoid synthesis. The mechanism of release is widely thought to be via Ca²⁺-activated phospholipase A_2 [1,2]. In cardiovascular tissues, prostacyclin may act together with lipoxygenase products of linoleic acid to prevent the clogging of vessels [3,4]. Linoleic acid is also esterified to cardiac phospholipids [5,6], from which it must be mobilized for metabolism. The mode of linoleate mobilization is not known, but is presumed to be mediated by phospholipase A_2 . Recent evidence [7,8] suggests the existence of pathways for the selective release of arachidonate in the absence of Ca^{2+} mobilization, presumably involving enzymes other than phospholipase $A₂$, but the nature of these pathways is not known. Potential mechanisms for the release of arachidonate other than via phospholipase A_2 have been suggested [2,9], but these have not been explored. The initial hydrolysis of a phospholipid by phospholipase A_1 or plasmalogenase, followed by lysophospholipase hydrolysis of the resultant 2-lysophospholipid, could potentially serve to liberate arachidonate or linoleate. Such controlled release of fatty acids could be regulated through the acyl specificities of one or both enzymes. Phospholipases A_1 were not thought to possess any specificity for different molecular species of phospholipids [10], but a recently purified phospholipase from hamster heart was found to possess phospholipase A_1 activity that was specific for phosphatidylcholine with arachidonate esterified at the C-2 position [11].

Lysophospholipases have traditionally been studied with I-acyl-glycerophospholipids (GPL) as substrate and have not revealed any pronounced preference for substrates with different acyl groups [12-15]. The lysophospholipases participating in any selective release of fatty acids would be expected to hydrolyse fatty acids from 2-acyl-GPL. Therefore the lack of acyl specificity of the enzymes that hydrolyse I-acyl-GPC, lysophospholipases A_1 , does not preclude the existence of 2-acyl-GPC hydrolysing enzymes (lysophospholipases A_2) that exhibit acyl specificity. Lysophospholipases A_2 have rarely been differentiated from lysophospholipase A_1 [16] and the former have not been well studied or characterized. Rat liver cytosolic lysophospholipase A_2 activity did not show any preference between 2-stearoyl-GPC and 2-oleoyl-GPC and was half as active as the lysophospholipase A_1 [12]. In view of the paucity of information on lysophospholipase A_2 and their potential role in the release of fatty acids from phospholipids, the present studies were undertaken to characterize activities in guinea-pig heart microsomes (microsomal fractions) that hydroylses 2-acyl-GPC. The ability of lysophospholipase A_2 to discriminate between different molecular species of 2-acyl-GPC was also examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

[5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15-3H]Arachidonic acid, [9, 10(n)-3H] palmitic acid, [9,10(n)-3H]oleic acid, l-palmitoyl-2- [1_-4C]linoleoyl L-3-phosphatidylcholine, 1-palmitoyl-2- [1-14C]palmitoyl L-3-phosphatidylcholine and 1-[1-14C] palmitoyl L-lyso-3-phosphatidylcholine were obtained from Amersham Intemational. Lysophosphatidylcholine (1-acyl-GPC, pig liver) was the product of Serdary

Abbreviations used: GPC, sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; DYT, dithiothreitol; NEM, N-ethylmaleimide; DTNB, 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid); GPL, glycerophospholipids.

Laboratories, London, Ontario, Canada. Palmitic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid and arachidonic acid were purchased from NuChek Prep, Elysian, MN, U.S.A. 5,5'-Dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) was obtained from ICN Pharmaceuticals. Guinea pigs (250-300 g) were obtained from Charles River, Ontario, Canada. DEAE-Sepharose was purchased from Pharmacia. All other chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and were obtained from Fisher Scientific.

Subcellular fractionation

Six to eight guinea-pig hearts were used for each experiment. Microsomes were prepared by differential centrifugation and their purity monitored as previously described [6]. The protein content of the fraction was measured by the method of Lowry et al. [17], with bovine serum albumin as standard.

Synthesis of phosphatidylcholine

The synthesis and purification of phosphatidylcholine was carried out by the method of Hermetter & Paltauf [18], with slight modifications [19]. I-Acyl-GPC and radiolabelled fatty acids (arachidonic, oleic and palmitic acids) were used as precursors for the synthesis. Phosphatidylcholine with linoleic acid at the C-2 position was synthesized with non-radioactive linoleic acid and mixed with I-palmitoyl-2-[1-14C]linoleoyl phosphatidylcholine purchased from Amersham International. The phosphorus content of the purified products was determined by the method of Bartlett [20] and radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting.

Preparation of 2-acyl-GPC

Phospholipase A_1 was prepared from guinea-pig pancreas cytosol by the method of Colard et al. [21]. The positional specificity of the enzyme was investigated as previously described [21] with 1-[1-¹⁴C]palmitoyl L-lyso-3-phosphatidylcholine and 1-acyl-[2-3H]arachidonoyl-GPC as substrates and shown to have the expected specificity. 2-Acyl-GPC was prepared by incubating the radiolabelled phosphatidylcholine synthesized above (30 μ mol) with 0.2 M-Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 2.4 mM-sodium deoxycholate and guinea-pig pancreas phospholipase A_1 (6 mg of protein) in a total volume of ⁶ ml. Incubation was at 37 °C for 2 h. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 12 ml of chloroform/methanol $(2:1, v/v)$. The phases were separated and the lower phase dried and resuspended in chloroform. Lysophosphatidylcholine was purified by silicic acid chromatography [23]. The yields of 2-acyl-GPC obtained by this procedure ranged from 55 to 80%. Unless otherwise specified in the legends, the specific radioactivities of the substrates were as follows; 2-[3H]arachidonyl-GPC, 0.17 Ci/mol; 2-[14C]linoleoyl-GPC, 0.15 Ci/mol; 2-[3H]oleoyl-GPC, 0.19 Ci/mol; 2-[3H]palmitoyl-GPC, 0.57 Ci/mol.

Lysophospholipase assays

Lysophospholipase activity was determined by measuring the release of radiolabelled fatty acids from ¹ -acyl-GPC and 2-acyl-GPC. The assay mixture contained 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, 300 μ м-acyl-GPC or 175 μ м-1acyl GPC, and 200 μ g of guinea-pig heart microsomal protein, in a total volume of 500 μ . The reaction was initiated by the addition of the substrate, and incubation was at 37 °C for 10-15 min in a shaking water bath. The reaction was stopped by the addition of ³ ml of

Fig. 1. Effect of pH and 2-arachidonoyl-GPC concentration on guinea-pig heart lysophospholipase $A₂$ activity

(a) The activity of guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase $A₂$ activity was determined at different pH values. The buffers used were Tris/succinate (pH 5-6) and tris/HCI (pH 7-10). Enzyme activities were determined with $200 \mu g$ of microsomal protein, 100 mm-Tris buffer, 400 nmol of 2-arachidonoyl-GPC in a total volume of 500 μ l. The reaction mixture was incubated for 30 min at 37 'C. The reaction products were isolated by t.l.c. as described in the Materials and methods section. The enzyme activity is expressed as nmol of arachidonic acid produced/h per mg of protein. (b) The activity of guineapig heart microsomal activity was determined as a function of 2-arachidonoyl-GPC concentration. The assay mixture contained 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, 200 μ g of microsomal protein and various concentrations of 2-arachidonoyl-GPC. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. The enzyme activity is expressed as nmol of arachidonic acid produced/h per mg of protein. Values are means for three separate experiments, each done in duplicate.

chloroform/methanol $(2:1, v/v)$ followed by 1 ml of KCl (0.9%) . Aliquots of the lower phase were removed, and the reaction products were separated by t.l.c. by using, as solvent system, heptane/di-isopropyl ether/ acetic acid $(15:10:1, \text{ by vol.})$. The bands were revealed with I₂ vapour, and the radioactivity associated with the fatty acid band was determined by scintillation counting on a Beckman LS 3801 counter.

RESULTS

The main thrust of this investigation was to detect and characterize enzymes hydrolysing 2-acyl-GPC in the guinea-pig heart microsomes with a view to evaluating their possible involvement in the selective release of fatty acids from phospholipids. It was therefore essential to ensure that the substrates used in the study did indeed have the radiolabelled fatty acids at the C-2 position. Purified 2-acyl-GPC was therefore incubated with the guinea-pig pancreas phospholipase A_1 by using the reaction conditions described in the Materials and methods section. Control incubations were conducted simultaneously with 1-[1-¹⁴C]palmitoyl L-lyso-3-phosphatidylcholine as well as 1-stearoyl-2-[3H]arachidonoyl-GPC. The results of incubations with 2-acyl-GPC showed that there was no release of labelled fatty acid, whereas with ¹-[14C]palmitoyl-GPC there was substantial release of labelled fatty acid. In contrast, incubations

Table 1. Effect of cations on guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A₂

Lysophospholipase A_2 activity was assayed with 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, 300 μ M-2-arachidonoyl-GPC, 200 μ g of microsomal protein and the appropriate additions as indicated below, in a total volume of 500 μ l. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min and the reaction products isolated by t.l.c. as described in the Materials and methods section. The results are expressed as a percentage of the activities obtained in the presence of ² mM-EDTA and -EGTA. Values are means \pm s.D. for three separate experiments, each of which was done in duplicate.

Table 2. Effect of thiol reagents on guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A_1 and A_2 activities

Lysophospholipase A_1 and A_2 activities were measured with 175 μ M-1-acyl-GPC and 300 μ M-2-arachidonoyl-GPC respectively. The microsomes (200 μ g) were incubated with the additions for 10 min before the addition of the appropriate lysophosphatidylcholine to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated at ³⁷ C for ¹⁵ min. The reaction products were isolated by t.l.c. as described in the Materials and methods section. The results are expressed as a percentage of the activity obtained in the absence of any addition (control). The values are the mean \pm s.D. of three separate reactions each assayed in duplicate.

with 1-stearoyl-2-[3H]arachidonoyl-GPC resulted in the association of label with the lysophosphatidylcholine fraction and none in the fatty acid fraction. Further characterization was achieved by acylating the lysolipids to phosphatidylcholine with arachidonoyl-CoA using guinea-pig liver microsomes. The phosphatidylcholine was isolated and hydrolysed with phospholipase $A₂$ [from snake (*Crotalus adamenteus*) venom]. The distribution of label in the fatty acid and lysophosphatidylcholine fractions was then determined. Details of the procedures were described in the preceding paper [24]. The results showed that at least 97% of the label was associated with the fatty acid fraction. These experiments clearly established that the substrates used in the studies below were indeed 2-acyl-GPC and that little acyl migration 2-Acyl-GPCs with different radiolabelled fatty acids were synthesized as described in the Materials and methods section. Substrate (300 μ M of each one) was incubated with 200 μ g of microsomal protein, 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, in a reaction volume of 500 μ . The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction products were isolated as described in the Materials and methods section. The results are means \pm s.D. for four separate experiments, each of which was done in triplicate. Abbreviations: $C_{16,0}$, palmitoyl; $C_{18:1}$, oleoyl; $C_{18:2}$, linoleoyl; $C_{20:4}$, arachidonyl.

had occurred during the preparation and purification of the substrates. The 2-acyl-GPC were stored at -20 °C and utilized within ³ days of synthesis, a period which we determined not to result in any significant acyl migration. Substrates stored for more than 3 days were rehydrolysed with guinea-pig pancreas phospholipase A_1 and purified.

After exploratory experiments with $2-[{}^3H]$ arachidonoyl-GPC had indicated the presence of a lysophospholipase A_2 activity in guinea-pig heart microsomes the optimum conditions for the assay of the enzyme were established. The optimal pH range was 7-8 (Fig. 1) and the optimal 2-arachidonoyl-GPC concentration was 150-300 μ M with 200 μ g of microsomal protein (Fig. 1). A similar range for optimal activity was obtained with 2-palmitoyl-GPC as substrate (results not shown). The reaction rate was linear for 20 min.

The effects of bivalent cations on the activity of the lysophospholipase A_2 activity were examined (Table 1). The enzyme did not exhibit any requirement for bivalent cations and the addition of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (5 mm) did not affect the activity. Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , on the other hand, severely inhibited the activity of the enzyme. However, this may be due to an observed aggregation of the membranes in the presence of the cations before the addition of the substrate. The effect of thiol reagents on the hydrolysis of 2-[3H]arachidonoyl-GPC was also studied (Table 2). Addition of DTT (0.5 mM) and GSH (0.5 mM) to the incubation mixtures did not affect the enzyme activity, but DTNB and NEM, which block thiol groups, severely inhibited the activity of the enzyme. Surprisingly, the alkylating agent iodoacetate enhanced the activity of the lysophospholipase A_2 by 20%, even though it would be expected to block thiol groups.

The acyl specificity of the lysophospholipase A_2 was investigated and the results obtained are shown in Table 3. The enzyme showed marked differences in the rates of hydrolysis of substrates with different acyl groups. The order of specificity for 2-acyl-GPC substrates was as follows:

Linoleate $>$ arachidonate \ge oleate $=$ palmitate

Table 4. Effect of presenting mixtures of 2-acyl-GPCs on guinea-pig microsomal lysophospholipase $A₂$ activity

2-[¹⁴C]Palmitoyl($C_{16:0}$)-GPC (sp. radioactivity 0.2 Ci/mol; 120 μ M) and 2-[³H]arachidonoyl(C_{20:4})-GPC (sp. radioactivity 0.33 Ci/mol; 120 μ M) were incubated with 200 μ g of guinea-pig heart microsomal protein, 100μ M-Tris/HCl, pH 8, in a reaction volume of 500 μ l. The reaction was initiated by the addition of microsomes. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction products were isolated as described in the Materials and methods section. Experiments were also conducted with $120 \mu\text{m-}2\text{-}[^3\text{H}]$ arachidonoyl-GPC (sp. radioactivity 0.33 Ci/mol) and 120 μ M-2-[¹⁴C]linoleoyl(C_{18:2})-GPC (sp. radioactivity 0.15 Ci/mol). Control experiments were conducted with 120 μ M of each individual substrate. The results represent the means for two separate experiments, each of which was carried out in triplicate.

The rate of hydrolysis of 2-linoleate-GPC was twice as high as that with 2-arachidonoyl-GPC and 14 times that with 2-palmitoyl-GPC. The ability of the enzyme to maintain this selectivity when presented with two substrates simultaneously was also examined (Table 4). Incubation of the microsomes with 2-[3H]arachidonoyl-GPC (120 μ M) and 2-[¹⁴C]palmitoyl-GPC (120 μ M) resulted in an 87% decrease in the activity of the enzyme for 2-arachidonoyl-GPC, but only a 20% decrease for 2-palmitoyl-GPC compared with the rates obtained with the individual substrates. With 2-[3H]arachidonoyl-GPC (120 μ M) and 2-[¹⁴C]linoleoyl-GPC (120 μ M), the hydrolysis of the latter was inhibited 65% , whereas hydrolysis of the former was inhibited by 19% . In both situations hydrolysis of the substrate with the expected higher rate of hydrolysis (Table 4) was more severely inhibited. The above experiments were conducted with 120 μ M of each substrate to avoid the possible inhibition of the enzyme by higher concentrations of lysolipids; however, an experiment conducted with 240 μ M each of 2-palmitoyl- and 2-arachidonoyl-GPC yielded results very similar to those described above. The inhibition described above was concentration-dependent (Fig. 2). For example a 2-arachidonoyl-GPC/2-linoleoyl-GPC molar ratio of 0.07 resulted in an insignificant inhibition of 2-linoleoyl-GPC hydrolysis compared with 27 and 46% inhibition at molar ratios of 0.13 and 0.33 respectively.

Lysophospholipase A_1 activity is present in the microsomal fraction of mammalian hearts, including the guinea-pig heart [25-27]. The optimal conditions required to assay this enzyme were determined (Fig. 3). The optimal pH range for the hydrolysis of ¹ -acyl-GPC was pH 7-8 and was similar to that required for the hydrolysis of 2-acyl-GPC. The maximal hydrolysis of l-acyl-GPC was obtained at a substrate concentration of 170 μ M, and

Fig. 2. Effect of varying the 2-linoleoyl-GPC/2-arachidonoyl-GPC molar ratio on the hydrolysis of 2-linoleoyl-GPC by guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A_2

The hydrolysis of 120 μ M-2-[¹⁴C]linoleoyl-GPC by guineapig heart microsomal lysophospholipase $A₂$ was measured in the presence of different concentrations of 2 arachidonoyl-GPC $(0-120 \mu M)$. The reaction mixture contained 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, and 200 μ g of microsomal protein in a total volume of 500 μ l. Incubation was at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction products were isolated by t.l.c. as described in the Materials and methods section. The activities are expressed as a percentage of the activity obtained in the absence of 2-arachidonoyl-GPC. Values are means for two separate experiments, each of which was carried out in triplicate.

concentrations of greater than 170 μ M resulted in an inhibition of the reaction. This contrasts with the optimum substrate concentration of 300 μ M obtained for 2-acyl-GPC. Incubations carried out at the optimal conditions for each activity with 1-palmitoyl-GPC and 2-arachidonoyl-GPC for lysophospholipases A_1 and A_2 respectively indicated that both substrates were hydrolysed at comparable rates.

Fatty acids inhibit the activity of lysophospholipase A_1 [13,25,26,28]. The effect of palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic and arachidonic acids (100 μ M) on lysophospholipase A_1 and A_2 activities was therefore investigated. Clear solutions of fatty acids were prepared by sonication before addition to the incubation mixture. The degree of inhibition of lysophospholipase A_1 activity by the fatty acids was greater than that observed for lysophospholipase A_2 , regardless of the fatty acid species (Table 5). This differential inhibition of the two lysophospholipases by fatty acids was observed with ¹ -palmitoyl-GPC as the substrate for lysophospholipase A_1 and 2-arachidonoyl-GPC or 2-palmitoyl-GPC as substrates for lysophospholipase A_2 . The observation could therefore not be attributed to differences in the acyl composition of their respective substrates. The specific activities of the

Fig. 3. Effect of pH and 1-acyl-GPC concentration on guinea-pig heart lysophospholipase A_1 activity

(a) The activity of guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A₁ activity was determined at different pH values. The buffers used were similar to those described above in Fig. 1. The reaction was determined with 200 μ g of protein, 100 mM-Tris buffer and 175 mM-l-acyl-GPC in a total volume of 500 μ l. The reaction mixture was incubated for 15 min at 37 'C. Enzyme activity is expressed as nmol of fatty acid released/h per mg of protein. (b) The activity of guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A_1 activity was measured as a function of 1-acyl-GPC concentration. The assay mixture contained 100 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 8, 200 μ g of protein and various concentrations of l-acyl-GPC. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The enzyme activity is expressed as nmol of fatty acid produced/h per mg of protein. Values are means of two separate experiments, each of which was done in duplicate.

Table 5. Effect of fatty acids on guinea-pig heart microsomal lysophospholipase A_2 and A_1 activities

Lysophospholipase A_2 and A_1 were assayed in the presence of 100 μ M of various fatty acids. Lysophospholipase A₂ activity was assayed with either 300μ M-2-arachidonoyl- $(C_{20:4})$ -GPC or -2-palmitoyl $(C_{16:0})$ -GPC, whereas lysophospholipase A_1 activity was assayed with 175 μ M-1palmitoyl-GPC. The reaction conditions and the extraction of the reaction products are described in detail in the Materials and methods section. The results are expressed as a percentage of the activities obtained in the absence of fatty acids (control). Values are means \pm s.D. for three separate experiments each of which was done in duplicate. Further abbreviations: $C_{18:0}$, stearoyl; $C_{18:1}$, oleoyl; $C_{18:2}$, linoleoyl.

lysophospholipase A_1 with palmitoyl-GPC were five times greater than the activities of lysophospholipase A_2 with 2-palmitoyl-GPC.

DISCUSSION

The hydrolysis of l-acyl-GPC by lysophospholipase A_1 has been described in microsomes isolated from mammalian tissues, including the heart [12-15,25-29]. The present study demonstrates the presence of a lysophospholipase A_2 that hydrolyses 2-acyl-GPC and has properties distinct from those of lysophospholipase A_1 . The inhibition of lysophospholipases by high concentrations of lysophosphatidylcholine is welldocumented and has been variously suggested to be due to inhibition by micellar aggregates [30], a critical substrate/protein ratio [31], or changes in the membrane characteristics brought about by lysophosphatidylcholine [29]. All the above effects would be expected to affect the two lysophospholipase activities equally if a single enzyme was responsible for both activities. In the present study, however, lysophospholipase A_1 was inhibited at much lower concentrations of its substrate than was lysophospholipase A_2 . This phenomenon was observed regardless of whether 2-arachidonoyl- or 2-palmitoyl-GPC was used as the substrate for lysophospholipase A_2 . Although lysophospholipase A_1 cannot discriminate between I-acyl-GPC with different fatty acids [12-15], the present study clearly shows that lysophospholipase $A₂$ can discriminate between different species of 2-acyl-GPC, with a preference for 2-linoleoyland 2-arachidonoyl-GPC over 2-oleoyl- and 2-palmitoyl-GPC when the substrates are presented individually. In attempts to solubilize and purify the enzyme, we have identified two detergents, Miranol DS and Triton QS-15, that do not inhibit the activity. A comparison of the rate of hyrolysis of 2-palmitoyl-GPC and 2-arachidonoyl-GPC by lysophospholipase A_2 in the presence of 0.5% of these detergents still showed a preference of the enzyme for 2-arachidonoyl-GPC over 2-palmitoyl-GPC (G. Arthur, unpublished work). This observation suggests that the preferential hydrolysis is unlikely to be due to differences in solubility of the substrate or a differential perturbation of the membranes. The ability of the lysophospholipase A, to differentiate between substrates is also indicated by the differential inhibition of hydrolysis observed when pairs of substrates were presented to the enzyme. The results obtained with mixed substrates, however, lead to the conclusion that the enzyme may not selectively hydrolyse linoleic or arachidonic acids from mixtures of 2-acyl-GPC. Bearing in mind that the degree of inhibition depends on the molar ratio of the mixed lysolipids, the selective inhibition could be a means of regulating the activity of the enzyme with respect to the release of eicosanoid precursors. Thus one can envisage a large and specific release of such fatty acids when a single lysophospholipid is presented, whereas under conditions of general breakdown, when mixed 2-acyl-GPCs are produced, the release of the precursors will be curtailed. The mechanisms underlying the selective inhibition are unclear and will have to await the purification and elucidation of the mode of regulation of the lysophospholipase A. Both lysophospholipases A_1 and $A₂$ were inhibited to various extents by fatty acids, but lysophospholipase A_1 was more severely affected than lysophospholipase A_2 . This differential effect was independent of the fatty acid composition of the substrates. All the above evidence suggests that the hydrolysis of 2-acyl-GPC and I-acyl-GPC is not catalysed by the same enzyme, but direct proof will have to await the purification of both enzymes.

The cellular function of the lysophospholipase A is not known; however, the high activity exhibited with 2-acyl-GPC with linoleate and arachidonate raises the possibility that such an enzyme could work in concert with phospholipase A_1 to release specific fatty acids such as arachidonic acid or linoleic acid for the cyclo-oxygenase or lipoxygenase pathways. The existence of such a pathway, in addition to the phospholipase A_2 release mechanism, could allow cells to mobilize fatty acids from different phospholipid classes and/or subclasses in response to different stimuli. The release via phospholipase A_1 and lysophospholipase A_2 would permit a more flexible response by the cells, since the specificity of the fatty acid released, as well as the rate of release, could be controlled at both the phospholipase A_1 and lysophospholipase levels. It has recently been demonstrated that hydrolysis of the C-I bond of a phospholipid can depend on the nature of the fatty acid at both the C-I and C-2 position [11]. Thus the selective hydrolysis of a particular molecular species by such a phospholipase A_1 would produce a single species of 2-acyl-GPC that can be hydrolysed by the lysophospholipase A_2 to mobilize ^a single species of fatty acid. On the other hand, hydrolysis by a non-discriminating phospholipase A_1 would yield a mixed population of 2-acyl-GPC. The relative composition of the 2-acyl-GPC could influence the rates of release of various fatty acids and could thereby regulate the quantity of substrates available for the lipoxygenase or cyclo-oxygenase pathways.

^I thank Mrs. L. Page for technical assistance and Dr. N. Fleming for critically reading the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant from the Manitoba Heart Foundation and a Medical Research Council Scholarship to G.A.

REFERENCES

- 1. Smith, W. L. & Borgeat, P. (1985) in Biochemistry of Lipids and Membranes (Vance, D. E. & Vance, J. E., eds.), pp. 325-360, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA
- 2. Irvine, R. F. (1982) Biochem. J. 204, 3-16
- 3. Buchanan, M. R., Butt, R. W., Magas, Z., Van Ryn, J., Hirsh, J. & Nazir, D. J. (1985) Thromb. Haemostasis 53, 306-311
- 4. Buchanan, M. R., Haas, T. A., Lagarde, M. & Guichardant, M. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 16056-16059
- 5. Gross, R. W. (1984) Biochemistry 23, 158-165

Received 4 June 1988/23 January 1989; accepted 31 January 1989

- 6. Arthur, G., Mock, T., Zaborniak, C. & Choy, P. C. (1985) Lipids 20, 693-698
- 7. Lokesh, B. R. & Kinsella, J. E. (1985) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 845, 101-108
- 8. Pollock, W. R., Rink, T. J. & Irvine, R. F. (1986) Biochem. J. 235, 869-877
- 9. Snyder, F. (1985) in Biochemistry of Lipids and Membranes (Vance, D. E. & Vance, J. E., eds.), pp. 271-298, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA
- 10. Van den Bosch, H. (1980) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 604, 191-246
- 11. Cao, Y.-Z., Tam, S. W., Arthur, G., Chen, H. & Choy, P. C. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 16927-16935
- 12. Van den Bosch, H., Aarsman, A. J., Slotboom, A. J. & Van Deenen, L. L. M. (1968) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 164, 215-225
- 13. Sun, G. Y., Tang, W., Huang, S. F.-L. & MacQuarrie, R. (1987) Neurochem. Res. 12, 451-458
- 14. De Jong, J. G. N., Van Den Bosch, H., Aarsman, A. J. & Van Deenen, L. L. M. (1973) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 296, 105-115
- 15. Van den Bosch, H. (1982) in Phospholipids (Hawthorne, J. N. & Ansell, G. B., eds.), pp. 313-357, Elsevier Biomedical Press, Amsterdam
- 16. Dennis, E. A. (1983) Enzymes 3rd Ed. 16, 307-353
- 17. Lowry, 0. H., Rosebrough, M. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951) J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275
- 18. Hermetter, A. & Paltauf, F. (1982) Chem. Phys. Lipids 30, 47-53
- 19. Arthur, G., Page, L., Mock, T. & Choy, P. C. (1986) Biochem. J. 236, 475-480
- 20. Bartlett, G. R. (1959) J. Biol. Chem. 234, 466-468
- 21. Colard, O., Breton, M. & Bereziat, G. (1986) Biochem. J. 233, 691-695
- 22. Fauvel, J., Bonnefis, M. J., Sarda, L., Chap, H., Thouvenot, J.-P. & Douste-Blazy, L. (1981) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 663, 446-456
- 23. Sheltawy, A. S. & Dawson, R. M. C. (1969) in Chromatographic and Electrophoretic Techniques (Smith, I., ed.), vol. 1, pp. 453-493, Heinemann, London
- 24. Arthur, G. (1989) Biochem. J. 261, 575-580
- 25. Gross, R. W. & Sobel, B. E. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 5221-5226
- 26. Severson, D. L. & Fletcher, T. (1985) Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 63, 944-951
- 27. Giffin, M., Arthur, G., Choy, P. C. & Man, R. Y. K. (1988) Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 66, 185-189
- 28. Leli, U. & Hauser, G. (1987) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 918, 126-135
- 29. Gross, R. W. & Sobel, B. E. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 6702-6708
- 30. Leibovitz-Ben Gershon, Z. & Gatt, S. (1972) J. Biol. Chem. 247, 6840-6847
- 31. Leibovitz-Ben Gershon, Z. & Gatt, S. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248, 1525-1529