

Clin Shoulder Elbow 2024;27(3):295-308 https://doi.org/10.5397/cise.2024.00178



eISSN 2288-8721

The impact of mental health on shoulder arthroplasty and rotator cuff repair: a meta-analysis

Mohammad Daher¹, Ryan Lopez¹, Peter Boufadel¹, Oscar Covarrubias², Jack C. Casey², George A. Casey², Mohamad Y. Fares¹, Joseph A. Abboud¹

¹Division of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, Philadelphia, PA, USA ²Department of Orthopaedics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of mental health attributes, such as the presence of psychiatric comorbidities or psychological comorbidities (low resilience), on outcomes after rotator cuff repair (RCR) and total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA).

Methods: PubMed, Cochrane, and Google Scholar (results pages 1-20) were searched up to November 2023. Mental health problems of interest included the presence of psychiatric comorbidities (depression, anxiety) or indicators of poor psychological functioning, such as low resilience or the presence of distress. Patients were assigned to poor or good mental health groups in this study based on their grouping in the original study.

Results: Fourteen studies were included in the meta-analysis. Patients with good mental health had greater improvements in postoperative American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons and Simple Shoulder Test scores in the TSA cohort (P=0.003 and P=0.01), RCR cohort (P<0.001), and the combined TSA and RCR cohort (P<0.001). No difference was found in visual analog scale score, satisfaction, external rotation, or flexion between the two mental health groups. Patients with poor mental health undergoing RCR experienced higher rates of adverse events and transfusions (P<0.001). Patients with poor mental health also had greater rates of revision and emergency department visits in the TSA cohort (P<0.001), RCR cohort (P=0.05 and P=0.03), and combined cohort (P<0.001). Patients with poor mental health undergoing TSA had a higher rate of re-admission (P<0.001).

Conclusions: Patients with poor preoperative mental health showed inferior patient-reported outcome scores and increased rates of adverse events, revisions, and re-admissions.

Level of evidence: III.

Keywords: Mental health; Rotator cuff repair; Total shoulder arthroplasty; Patient reported outcomes; Adverse events

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of mental health disorders is alarmingly high, surpassing 15%-20% globally according to the most recent estimates from the World Health Organization [1]. Particularly in the context of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, which was found to have exacerbated mental health burdens, it is imperative to explore how psychiatric comorbidities may influence patient outcomes after elective surgery [2]. In fact, mental health disorders impact the lives of roughly one billion individuals worldwide [1]. With advancing age, there is a notable increase in the susceptibility to mental health disorders, especially in mood and substance use disorders, which exhibit a pronounced age-related escalation in risk [3]. Orthopedic surgeons often encounter postoperative experiences that elude clear explanation, and these situations can frequently be explained by patient-related factors [4-

Revised: May 22, 2024 Received: February 26, 2024 Accepted: June 1, 2024

Correspondence to: Mohammad Daher

Division of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, 925 Chestnut St, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA Tel: +1-401-688-1037, E-mail: mohdaher1@hotmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9256-9952

© 2024 Korean Shoulder and Elbow Society.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

7]. A growing body of literature has emerged to shed light on the effects of mental health on outcomes after elective orthopedic surgery. Many studies indicate preoperative mental health diagnosis and/or lower preoperative scores on validated mental health surveys as predictors for increased postoperative opioid usage and worse patient-reported outcomes (PROs) [8-17].

Recent research has also sought to clarify the relationship between resilience and surgical outcomes. Multiple studies have shown that higher levels of preoperative and early postoperative resilience, as calculated using validated questionnaires like the Pain Self-efficacy Questionnaire, correlate with improved postoperative functional outcomes and PROs [18-22]. In addition, highly resilient patients have been shown to achieve greater success in same-day discharge programs following total joint arthroplasty and to require shorter hospital stays [19,20]. In fact, resilience is often referred to as a part of mental health; as such, for the purpose of this study, it will be examined alongside other mental health entities [23].

In line with the broader orthopedic surgery literature, there is a growing body of evidence in the field of shoulder surgery that suggests similar effects. Several studies of patients undergoing various surgical treatments of the shoulder have reported lower PROs among patients with mental health conditions compared to patients without such conditions [24-28]. Furthermore, other studies have shown that higher preoperative mental health survey scores may correlate with a faster return to work following rotator cuff repair (RCR) and reduced rates of complications and re-admissions following total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) [29,30]. Thus, the objective of this meta-analysis was to assess the impact of mental health on RCR and TSA outcomes. For the sake of consistency, patients with a psychiatric comorbidity or poor psychological function will be referred to as having poor mental health; otherwise, they will be referred to as having good mental health. We hypothesize that patients with poor mental health will have worse outcomes postoperatively compared to patients with good mental health preoperatively undergoing the same surgeries.

METHODS

Search Strategy

Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, PubMed, Cochrane, and Google Scholar (results pages 1–20) were searched up to November 2023. The following keywords and Boolean terms were used to find studies assessing the impact of preoperative mental health on the outcomes of shoulder replacement and RCR: "mental health," "psych," "resilience," "shoulder," "replacement," "arthroplasty," and "rotator cuff." Additional studies were identified by manually searching through the reference lists of identified papers and by Internet searches. One author extracted the data and another confirmed the choice of articles. The process is summarized in Fig. 1.

Comparative studies of patients who had either a TSA or RCR and were separated into two groups based on mental health were included. Mental health problems of interest were psychiatric comorbidities (depression, anxiety) or indicators of poor psychological functioning, such as low resilience or distress. We excluded case reports, narrative or systematic reviews, theoretical research, conference reports, meta-analyses, expert comments, economic analyses, and studies reporting non-relevant outcomes or incomplete outcomes (such as the absence of standard deviations).

Data Extraction

Study eligibility was determined by two reviewers independently. Extracted data consisted of complications, re-admissions, emergency department (ED) visits, revision surgeries, discharge location, transfusions, tendon healing, range of motion (ROM) (external rotation [ER] and flexion), and PROs (American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons [ASES] score, Simple Shoulder Test [SST] score, visual analog scale [VAS] score, and satisfaction). Any differences between the investigators were resolved by discussion.

Risk-of-Bias Assessment

The ROBINS-I tool was used by the authors to independently assess the risk of bias in the included studies [31]. Studies were excluded if they had a critical risk of bias.

Statistical Analysis

Review Manager 5.4 (Cochrane) was used to perform all statisti-





cal analysis. For dichotomous data, the risk ratio and 95% confidence interval were used. For continuous data, mean differences (MDs) with 95% CI values were used. Heterogeneity was evaluated by Q tests and I² statistics. If considerable heterogeneity was present, as indicated by P ≤ 0.10 or I² > 50%, a random-effects model was used; otherwise, a fixed-effects model was chosen (P > 0.10 or I² < 50%). The threshold for statistical significance was set a priori at P ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Included Studies

Fourteen studies [28,30,32-43], including 13 retrospective studies and one prospective study, met the inclusion criteria and were included in the meta-analysis. Seven studies enrolled patients undergoing RCR, including 68,107 patients with good preoperative mental health and 68,547 with poor preoperative mental health. Of these seven studies, three identified patients with a

Table 1. Main characteristics of the included studies

preoperative mood/anxiety disorder, three focused on psychological functioning (resilience, distress), and one studied mental health in general. Another seven studies enrolled patients undergoing TSA, including 560,778 patients with good preoperative mental health and 60,043 with poor preoperative mental health. Of these seven studies, five analyzed patients had a preoperative mood/anxiety disorder and two addressed psychiatric comorbidities in general. The characteristics of the 14 included studies are summarized in Table 1 [25,27,29,32-42].

Patient-Reported Outcomes

American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score

Three studies enrolling 988 subjects undergoing TSA [28,40,41] (368 with poor and 620 with good mental health) and four studies enrolling 362 subjects undergoing RCR [32-35] (112 with poor and 250 with good mental health) reported postoperative ASES scores. Higher postoperative ASES scores were recorded

Participant

Surgery	Study	Methods	Database	Year of data collection	Poor mental health	Good mental health	assessment
Shoulder replacement	Bot et al. (2014) [36]	Retrospective	National hospital discharge survey	1990-2007	24,418	324,406	The presence of a psy- chiatric comorbidity
	Colasanti et al. (2023) [28]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2011-2020	218	378	The presence of anxiety or depression
	Diamond et al. (2023) [37]	Retrospective	Pearldiver	2010-2020	4,084	20,242	The presence of depres- sion
	Lunati et al. (2021) [38]	Retrospective	Truven MarketScan database	2009-2017	3,209	19,414	The presence of depres- sion
	Mollon et al. (2016) [39]	Retrospective	The United States Nationwide Inpa- tient Sample	2002–2012	27,964	196,096	The presence of depres- sion
	Porter et al. (2021) [33]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2010-2017	62	66	The presence of a psy- chiatric disorder
	Werner et al. (2017) [41]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2007-2013	88	176	The presence of depres- sion
Rotator cuff repair	Dujeux et al. (2023) [42]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2012-2018	38	181	The presence of mood and anxiety disorder
	Freshman et al. (2023) [30]	Retrospective	Pearldiver	2010-2020	68,397	67,092	The presence of a men- tal health disorder
	Johnson et al. (2022) [43]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2014-2020	232	584	The presence of depres- sion or anxiety
	Park et al. (2021) [32]	Retrospective	Author's institution	Jun-Dec 2017	41	103	The presence of depres- sion or anxiety
	Porter et al. (2021) [40]	Retrospective	Author's institution	Jan–Dec 2014	5	19	Mild vs. High resilience
	Potter et al. (2015) [34]	Retrospective	Author's institution	2011-2014	26	44	Whether or not the pa- tient is distressed
	Thorpe et al. (2018) [35]	Prospective	Author's institution	2014-2015	40	84	The presence of a poor psychological function

for patients with good mental health undergoing TSA (MD, -9.73; 95% CI, -16.18 to -3.27; P=0.003) (Fig. 2A) and RCR (MD, -10.42; 95% CI, -15.98 to -4.85; P=0.0002) (Fig. 2A). Greater postoperative ASES scores in patients with good mental health were also observed when the cohorts were combined (MD, -9.82; 95% CI, -13.36 to -6.27; P<0.00001) (Fig. 2A).

When assessing the improvement in ASES (postoperative score–preoperative score), two studies enrolling 860 subjects undergoing TSA [28,41] (306 with poor and 554 with good mental health) and three studies enrolling 338 subjects undergoing RCR [32,34,35] (107 with poor and 231 with good mental health) were included. Greater improvement was seen in the patients with good mental health undergoing TSA (MD, –10.93; 95% CI,

-14.46 to -7.40; P < 0.00001) (Fig. 2B), while no significant difference was seen in patients undergoing RCR (MD, 2.44; 95% CI, -4.10 to 8.98; P = 0.46) (Fig. 2B) or when the cohorts were combined (MD, -2.34; 95% CI, -7.98 to 3.31; P = 0.42) (Fig. 2B).

Simple Shoulder Test score

One study of 128 subjects undergoing TSA [40] (62 with poor and 66 with good mental health) and three studies enrolling 238 subjects undergoing RCR [32-34] (72 with poor and 166 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative SST scores. The results showed a greater postoperative improvement in SST scores in patients with good mental health undergoing TSA (MD, -1.20; 95% CI, -2.12 to -0.28; P=0.01; Fig. 2C), RCR (MD,



Poor mental health			Good n	nental h	ealth		Mean difference	Mean difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% Cl	IV, random	i, 95% Cl	
1.15.1 Shoulder replace	ment										
Colasanti et al. 2023	64	26	218	78	21	378	18.4%	–14.00 [–18.05, –9.95]			
Porter et al. 2021	55.57	37.15	62	64.2	39.08	66	5.5%	-8.63 [-21.84, 4.58]		_	
Werner et al. 2017	84.9	17.3	88	90.8	11.3	176	18.5%	-5.90 [-9.88, -1.92]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			368			620	42.4%	-9.73 [-16.18, -3.27]	-		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =21.0 Test for overall effect: Z=	68; Chi ² = =2.95 (P=	7.85, df= 0.003)	=2 (P=0.	02); l ² =7	5%						
1.15.2 Rotator cuff repa	air										
Park et al. 2021	90.7	14	41	95.4	11.8	103	16.6%	-4.70 [9.55, 0.15]			
Porter RCR et al. 2021	61.99	14.08	5	60.69	3.12	19	6.1%	-28.70 [-41.12, -16.28]	——		
Potter et al. 2015	80	4	26	88	2	44	23.0%	-8.00 [-9.65, -6.35]	+		
Thorpe et al. 2018	75	20	40	86	18	84	11.9%	–11.00 [–18.30, –3.70]			
Subtotal (95% Cl)			112			250	57.6 %	–10.42 [–15.98, –4.85]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =21.8 Test for overall effect: Z=	87; Chi ² = =3.67 (P=	13.07, df 0.0002)	f=3 (P=0	0.004); l ² :	=77%						
Total (95% CI)			480			870	100.0%	-9.82 [-13.36, -6.27]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =13.54; Chi ² =22.05, df=6 (P=0.001); l ² =73% Test for overall effect: Z=5.43 (P<0.00001)							-50	-25 0	25		
Test for subgroup differe	nces: Chi	² =0.03, 0	, df=1 (P=	=0.87), l ² =	=0%				Favours [good MH]	Favours [poor MH]	

В

	Poor m	ental h	ealth	Good m	ental h	ealth		Mean difference	Mean difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% Cl	IV, rando	m, 95% Cl	
1.16.1 Shoulder replace	ement										
Colasanti et al. 2023	37	29	218	49	23	378	18.9%	-12.00 [-16.49, -7.51]			
Werner et al. 2017	50.5	24.4	88	59.7	17.5	176	17.6%	–9.20 [–14.92, –3.48]	-		
Subtotal (95% Cl)			306			554	36.5%	–10.93 [–14.46, –7.40]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.0 Test for overall effect: Z=	0; Chi ² =0. =6.06 (P<0	57, df=).00001	1 (P=0.0)	45); l ² =0	%						
1.16.2 Rotator cuff rep	air										
Park et al. 2021	32.4	3.39	41	36	2.22	103	21.3%	-3.60 [-4.72, -2.48]	•		
Potter et al. 2015	38	4	26	30	3	44	21.0%	8.00 [6.23, 9.77]		+	
Thorpe et al. 2018	35	3.95	40	32	2.78	84	21.2%	3.00 [1.64, 4.36]		+	
Subtotal (95% Cl)			107			231	63.5%	2.44 [–4.10, 8.98]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =32. Test for overall effect: Z=	86; Chi ² = =0.73 (P=0	132.26,).46)	df=2 (P-	<0.00001); l ² =980	%					
Total (95% CI)			413			785	100.0%	-2.34 [-7.98, 3.31]		•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =37.67; Chi ² =173.15, df=4 (P<0.00001); l ² =98% Test for overall effect: $7=0.81$ (P=0.42)								⊢_5	0 -25	0 25	
Test for subgroup differe	nces: Chi ²	=12.43	df=1 (F	9=0.0004)	, l ² =92.0	0%			Favours [good MH]	Favours [poor MH]	I

Fig. 2. Continued.

L											
Study or Subgroup	Poor m Mean	ental h SD	ealth Total	Good m Mean	ental h SD	ealth Total	Weight	Mean difference IV, random, 95% Cl	Mean d IV, rando	ifference m, 95% Cl	
1.13.1 Shoulder replace	ment										
Porter et al. 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl)	7.56	2.77	62 62	8.76	2.53	66 66	25.4% 25.4%	-1.20 [-2.12, -0.28] -1.20 [-2.12, -0.28]	•		
Heterogeneity: not applie Test for overall effect: Z=	cable =2.55 (P=0	0.01)									
1.13.2 Rotator cuff repa	air										
Park et al. 2021	10.1	2.2	41	11.1	2.2	103	27.5%	-1.00 [-1.80, -0.20]			
Porter RCR et al. 2021	6.8	2.08	5	10.84	0.47	19	13.4%	-4.04 [-5.88, -2.20]			
Potter et al. 2015 Subtotal (95% Cl)	9	1	26 72	11	0.2	44 166	33.8% 74.6 %	–2.00 [–2.39, –1.61] –2.05 [–3.17, –0.93]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.72 Test for overall effect: Z=	2; Chi ² =10 =3.59 (P=0).38, df=).0003)	=2 (P=0.	.006); l ² =8	31%						
Total (95% Cl)			134			232	100.0%	–1.79 [–2.64, –0.95]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.51; Chi ² =12.25, df=3 (P=0.007); l^2 =76% Test for overall effect: Z=4.17 (P<0.0001)								⊢ −10	-5 () 5	
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2=1.32 df=1 (P=0.25)$, $I^2=\%$									Favours [good MH]	Favours [poor MH]	

D

-	Poor me	ntal h	ealth	Good mental health				Mean difference	Mean difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% Cl		IV,	random, 9	5% Cl	
1.14.1 Rotator cuff re	pair												
Park et al. 2021	. 0.8	1.4	41	0.5	1.1	103	47.9%	0.30 [-0.18, 0.78]					
Potter et al. 2015	2	1	26	1	0.2	44	52.1%	1.00 [0.61, 1.39]			-	F	
Subtotal (95% CI)			67			147	100.0%	0.66 [-0.02, 1.35]				•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0. Test for overall effect: Z	20; Chi ² =4.9 2=1.90 (P=0.	5, df= 06)	1 (P=0.0	3); l ² =80%	0								
Total (95% Cl)			67			147	100.0%	0.66 [-0.02, 1.35]					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.20; Chi ² =4.95, df=1 (P=0.0 Test for overall effect: $Z=1.90$ (P=0.06)				3); l ² =80%	0			_	-4	-2	0	2	4
Test for subgroup differences: not applicable									Fav	ours [good l	MH] Favou	ırs [poor N	1H]

Ε

	Poor ment	Poor mental health		tal health		Odds ratio	Odds ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	Total	Mean	Total	Weight	M–H, random, 95% Cl	M–H, rand	dom, 95% (CI	
1.10.1 Shoulder replace	ement									
Colasanti et al. 2023	93	218	133	378	59.6%	1.37 [0.97, 1.93]		t∎-		
Werner et al. 2017	78	88	165	176	40.54%	0.52 [0.21, 1.28]		+		
Subtotal (95% CI)		306		554	100.0%	0.93 [0.36, 2.35]				
Total Events	171		298							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.3	35; Chi ² =3.91, 0	df=1 (P=0.0)5); l ² =74%							
Test for overall effect: Z	.=0.16 (P=0.87)								
Total (95% CI)		306		554	100.0%	0.93 [0.36, 2.35]				
Total Events	171		298							
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.3$	35: Chi ² =3.91.	df=1 (P=0.0	$(5): ^2 = 74\%$			⊢—				——————————————————————————————————————
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.16 (P=0.87)	-,,			0.01	0.1	0	10	100
Test for subgroup differ	ences: not app	, licable					Favours [good MH]	Favours [p	oor MH]	

Fig. 2. Forest plots showing the difference in postoperative American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score (A), ASES score improvement (B), postoperative Simple Shoulder Test score (C), postoperative visual analog scale (D), and postoperative satisfaction (E). SD: standard deviation, IV: inverse variance; CI: confidence interval, M-H: Mantel-Haenszel.

-2.05; 95% CI, -3.17 to -0.93; P=0.0003) (Fig. 2C), and when the cohorts were combined (MD, -1.79; 95% CI, -2.64 to -0.95; P<0.0001) (Fig. 2C).

with poor and 147 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative VAS scores. The results showed no significant difference between the two groups (MD, 0.66; 95% CI, -0.02 to 1.35; P = 0.06) (Fig. 2D).

Visual analog scale

Two studies enrolling 214 subjects undergoing RCR [32,34] (67

Satisfaction

Two studies enrolling 860 subjects undergoing TSA [28,41] (306 with poor and 554 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative satisfaction. The results showed no significant difference between the two groups (odds ratio [OR], 0.93; 95% CI, 0.36-2.35; P=0.87) (Fig. 2E).

Range of Motion

Two studies enrolling 724 subjects undergoing TSA [28,40] (280 with poor and 444 with good mental health) and one study enrolling 144 subjects undergoing RCR [32] (41 with poor and 103 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative ROM. The results showed no significant difference in ER or flexion within the TSA cohort (MD, -2.12; 95% CI, -16.81 to 12.58; P=0.78; Fig. 3A) (MD, -2.83; 95% CI, -8.01 to 2.34; P=0.28; Fig. 3B) or the RCR cohort (MD, -0.50; 95% CI, -5.59 to 4.59; P=0.85; Fig. 3A) (MD, -0.30; 95% CI, -4.72 to 4.12; P=0.89; Fig. 3B). In addi-

tion, no significant differences were observed when the cohorts were combined (MD, -1.22; 95% CI, -9.01 to 6.57; P=0.84; Fig. 3A) (MD, -1.37; 95% CI, -4.73 to 1.99; P=0.47; Fig. 3B).

Complications

Adverse events

Six studies enrolling 620,557 subjects undergoing TSA [28,36-40] (59,955 with poor and 560,602 with good mental health) and three studies enrolling 136,524 subjects undergoing RCR [30,42, 43] (68,667 with poor and 67,857 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative adverse events (medical and surgical complications). There was no significant difference in the rate of complications in patients undergoing TSA (OR, 1.82; 95% CI, 0.86–3.87; P=0.12) (Fig. 4A). However, a higher rate of adverse events was recorded for patients with poor mental health undergoing RCR (OR, 2.25; 95% CI, 1.84–2.74; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4A)

Α										
Study or Subaroup	Poor m Mean	nental h SD	ealth Total	Good m Mean	nental h SD	ealth Total	Weight	Mean difference	Mean difference IV. random, 95% (21
1.11.1 Shoulder replacer	nent			mean			reight			
Colasanti et al. 2023	50	19	218	45	17	378	37.2%	5.00 [1.95, 8.05]	-	
Porter et al. 2021	43.53	20.55	62	53.55	22.2	66	29.1%	–10.02 [–17.43, –2.61]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	_		280			444	66.2 %	-2.12 [-16.81, 12.58]	-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =104	.45; Chi ²	=13.51,	df=1 (P=	=0.0002);	$l^2 = 93\%$					
Test for overall effect: Z=	0.28 (P=	0.78)								
1.11.2 Rotator cuff repa	ir									
Park et al. 2021	53.2	14.7	41	53.7	12.3	103	33.8%	-0.50 [-5.59, 4.59]	+	
Subtotal (95% CI)			41			103	33.8%	-0.50 [-5.59, 4.59]	•	
Heterogeneity: Not applie	cable									
Test for overall effect: Z=	0.19 (P=	0.85)								
Total (95% CI)			321			547	100.0%	-1.22 [-9.01, 6.57]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =40.0)5; Chi ² =	14.76, d	f=2 (P=0	0.0006); I	² =86%			H	1	
Test for overall effect: Z=	0.31 (P=	0.76)						-100	-50 0	50 100
Test for subgroup differer	nces: Chi	² =0.04, 0	df=1 (P=	=0.84), l ² =	=0%				Favours [good MH] Favours	[poor MH]

В

D	Poor m	nental h	ealth	Good mental health				Mean difference	Mean difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, fixed, 95% CI	IV, fixed, 95% Cl		
1.12.1 Shoulder replac	ement										
Colasanti et al. 2023	70	36	218	71	40	378	28.9%	–1.00 [–7.25, 5.25]			
Porter et al. 2021	139.91	26.89	62	146.75	26.41	66	13.2%	-6.84 [-16.08, 2.40]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			280			444	42.2%	-2.83 [-8.01, 2.34]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =1.0 Test for overall effect: Z)5, df=1 (F =1.07 (P=	9=0.30); 0.28)	l ² =5%								
1.12.2 Rotator cuff rep	pair										
Park et al. 2021	154.8	12.9	41	155.1	10.3	103	57.8%	-0.30 [-4.72, 4.12]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			41			103	57.8 %	-0.30 [-4.72, 4.12]	•		
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Z	licable =0.13 (P=	0.89)									
Total (95% CI)			321			547	100.0%	-1.37 [-4.73, 1.99]	•		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.58$, $df = 2$ (P=0.45); $l^2 = 0\%$							-50	-25 0 25	50		
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2=0.53$, df=1 (P=0)			=0.47). l ² =	=0%				Favours [good MH] Favours [poor MH]			

Fig. 3. Forest plots showing the difference in postoperative external rotation (A) and postoperative flexion (B). SD: standard deviation, IV: inverse variance; CI: confidence interval, M-H: Mantel-Haenszel.

and when the cohorts were combined (OR, 1.84; 95% CI, 1.16–2.94; P=0.01) (Fig. 4A).

When assessing medical and surgical complications separately, four studies on 619,833 subjects undergoing TSA [36-39] (59,675 with poor and 560,158 with good mental health) and one study on 135,489 subjects undergoing RCR [30] (68,397 with poor and 67,092 with good mental health) were included. No significant difference in medical or surgical complications was seen in the

TSA cohort (OR, 2.24; 95% CI, 0.26–19.64; P=0.47) (Fig. 4B) (OR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.47–2.56; P=0.83) (Fig. 4C) or when the cohorts were combined (OR, 2.11; 95% CI, 0.52–8.54; P=0.29) (Fig. 4B) (OR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.61–2.38; P=0.59) (Fig. 4C). However, a higher rate of medical and surgical complications was identified in patients with poor mental health undergoing RCR (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.63–1.71; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4B) (OR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.54–1.66; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4C).

١

~	Poor menta	al health	Good mer	ntal health		Odds ratio		Odds	ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% (21	M–H, rand	om, 95% Cl	
1.2.1 Shoulder replace	ment									
Bot et al. 2014	3,645	24,418	48,367	324,406	16.4%	1.00 [0.97, 1.04]			ł	
Colasanti et al. 2023	13	218	6	378	9.5%	3.93 [1.47, 10.50]				
Diamond et al. 2023	1,761	4,084	3,277	20,242	16.3%	3.92 [3.65, 4.22]			+	
Lunati et al. 2021	476	3,209	2,164	19,414	16.3%	1.39 [1.25, 1.55]			-	
Mollon et al. 2016	47,321	27,964	30,606	196,096		Not estimable				
Porter et al. 2021	6	62	7	66	8.2%	0.90 [0.29, 2.85]				
Subtotal (95% Cl)		59,955		560,602	66.7 %	1.82 [0.86, 3.87]		-		
Total events	23,222		84,427							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.0	65; Chi ² =1,099	9.51, df=4 ((P<0.00001)	; l ² =100%						
Test for overall effect: Z	2=1.55 (P=0.12	2)								
1.2.2 Rotator cuff repa	air									
Dujeux et al. 2023	0	38	6	181	2.2%	0.35 [0.02, 6.36]	←			
Freshman et al. 2023	58,003	68,397	47,162	67,092	16.4%	2.36 [2.30, 2.42]			-	
Johnson et al. 2022	52	232	76	584	14.7%	1.93 [1.31, 2.86]				
Subtotal (95% Cl)		68,667		67,857	33.3%	2.25 [1.84, 2.74]			•	
Total events	58,055		47,244							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.0	01; Chi ² =2.66,	df=2 (P=0	.26); l ² =25%)						
Test for overall effect: Z	2=7.91 (P<0.00	0001)								
Total (95% Cl)		128,622		628,459	100.0%	1.84 [1.16, 2.94]				
Total events	111,277		131,671							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.3	35; Chi ² =1,85	3.11, df=7 (P<0.00001);	l ² =100%			—			<u>+</u>
Test for overall effect: Z	2=2.57 (P=0.0	1)					-0.1	-0.2 -0.5	1 2	5 10
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi ² =0	.28, df=1 (ł	P=0.60), I ² =0)%				Favours [poor MH]	Favours [good MI	HJ

В

Study or Subgroup	Poor ment Events	al health Total	Good me Events	ntal health Total	Weight	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% (21	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl	
1.3.1 Shoulder replace	ment								
Bot et al. 2014	628	24,418	25,464	324,406	20.0%	0.31 [0.29, 0.34]		-	
Diamond et al. 2023	1,582	4,084	2,704	20,242	20.0%	4.10 [3.81, 4.42]			•
Lunati et al. 2021	217	3,209	1,146	19,414	20.0%	1.16 [0.99, 1.34]			
Mollon et al. 2016	12,592	27,964	8,962	196,096	20.0%	17.10 [16.57, 17.66]			•
Subtotal (95% CI)		59,675		560,158	80.0%	2.22 [0.26, 19.62]			
Total events	15,019		38,275						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =4.9	90; Chi ² =11,4	35.65, df=3	(P<0.00001); l ² =100%					
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.73 (P=0.4	7)							
1.3.2 Rotator cuff repa	air								
Freshman et al. 2023	50,942	68,397	42,660	67,092	20.0%	1.67 [1.63, 1.71]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		68,397	1	67,092	20.0%	1.67 [1.63, 1.71]		1	
Total events	50,942		42,660						
Heterogeneity: not appl	icable								
Test for overall effect: Z	=43.22 (P<0.	00001)							
Total (95% CI)		128.072		627.250	100.0%	2.11 [0.52, 8.54]			
Total events	65.961		80.936	027 200		2111 [0102] 010 1]			
Heterogeneity: $T_{212}^2 = 2.54$; $Chi^2 = 17.900.03$ df = 4 (P<0.00001): $I^2 = 10$							⊢ − − −		
Test for overall effect: $7=1.05$ (P=0.29)							-0.1 -0.2	-0.5 1 2	5 10
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi ² =0	0.07, df=1 (F	P=0.79), I ² =0	0%			Favou	Irs [poor MH] Favours [good N	MH]

Fig. 4. Continued.

С

•	Poor menta	al health	Good mer	ntal health		Odds ratio	Odds ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% Cl	M–H, random, 95% Cl	
1.4.1 Shoulder replace	ment							
Bot et al. 2014	1,186	24,418	28,254	324,406	25.1%	0.54 [0.50, 0.57]	•	
Diamond et al. 2023	179	4,084	573	20,242	24.8%	1.57 [1.33, 1.87]		
Lunati et al. 2021	259	3,209	1,018	19,414	24.9%	1.59 [1.38, 1.83]		
Mollon et al. 2016	34,729	27,964	21,644	196,096		Not estimable		
Subtotal (95% CI)		59,675		560,158	74.8%	1.10 [0.47, 2.56]		
Total events	36,353		51,489					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.	55; Chi ² =296.0	09, df=2 (P·	<0.00001); l	² =99%				
Test for overall effect: Z	2=0.22 (P=0.83	3)						
1.4.2 Rotator cuff repa	air							
Freshman et al. 2023	7,061	68,397	4,502	67,092	25.2%	1.60 [1.54, 1.66]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		68,397		67,092	25.2%	1.60 [1.54, 1.66]	•	
Total events	7,061		4,502					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =no	t applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z	2=0.22 (P=0.83	3)						
Total (95% Cl)		128.072		627,250	100.0%	1.21 [0.61, 2.38]		
Total events	43,414		55,991					
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.4$	48: Chi ² =951.5	58. df=3 (P	<0.00001); [² =100%				-
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.54 (P=0.59	3)				-0.1	-0.2 -0.5 1 2 5 1	0
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi ² =0	.76, df=1 (F	=0.38), l ² =0	0%			Favours [poor MH] Favours [good MH]	
2			,,					
D								

	Poor mental	health	Good menta	l health		Odds ratio	Odds ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% Cl	M–H, random, 95% Cl	
1.9.1 Rotator cuff repair	ir							
Dujeux et al. 2023	5	38	13	181	57.9%	1.96 [0.65, 5.86]		
Park et al. 2021	2	41	10	103	42.1%	0.48 [0.10, 2.28]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		79		284	100.0%	1.08 [0.27, 4.32]		
Total events	7		23					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.5	5; Chi ² =2.16, c	f=1 (P=0	.14); l ² =54%					
Test for overall effect: Z=	=0.11 (P=0.91)							
Total (95% Cl)		79		284	100.0%	1.08 [0.27, 4.32]		
Total events	7		23					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.5	5; Chi ² =2.16, c	lf=1 (P=0	.14); l ² =54%			H		100
Test for overall effect: Z=	=0.11 (P=0.91)					0.01		100
Test for subgroup differe	ences: not appl	cable					Favours [poor INH] Favours [good INH]	

Ε

Study or Subgroup	Poor ment Events	al health Total	Good mer Events	ntal health Total	Weight	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl
1.5.1 Shoulder replacer	ment				5		
Bot et al. 2014	2.459	24.418	20.113	324.406	25.0%	1.69 [1.62, 1.77]	
Diamond et al. 2023	179	4,084	292	20,242	25.0%	3.13 [2.59, 3.78]	-
Mollon et al. 2016	17,925	27,964	12,996	196,096	25.0%	25.16 [24.41, 25.93]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		56,466		540,44	75.0%	5.11 [0.61, 42.94]	
Total events	20,563		33,401				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =3.5	3; Chi ² =10,0	95.65, df=2	(P<0.00001); l ² =100%			
Test for overall effect: Z	=1.50 (P=0.1	3)					
1.5.2 Rotator cuff repa	ir						
Freshman et al. 2023	2,099	68,397	1,728	67,092	25.0%	1.20 [1.12, 1.28]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		68,397		67,092	25.0%	1.20 [1.12, 1.28]	•
Total events	2,099		1,728				
Heterogeneity: not appli	icable						
Test for overall effect: Z	=5.47 (P<0.0	0001)					
Total (95% CI)		124,863		607,836	100.0%	3.56 [0.58, 21.70]	
Total events	22,662	-	35,129				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =3.4	0: Chi ² =13.9	52.01. df=3	(P=0.18): ²	=44.0%		⊢	
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.37 (P=0.1	7)				0.02	0.1 1 10 50
Test for subgroup differe	ences: Chi ² =1	.79, df=1 (F	P=0.18), l ² =4	14.0%			Favours [poor MH] Favours [good MH]

Fig. 4. Continued.

F Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% Cl Poor mental health Good mental health Odds ratio Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, random, 95% Cl 1.1.1 Shoulder replacement Bot et al. 2014 7,822 24,418 49,309 324,406 33.4% 2.63 [2.56, 2.71] Lunati et al. 2021 846 3,209 4,064 19,414 33.3% 1.35 [1.24, 1.47] -0.67 [0.65, 0.70] Mollon et al. 2016 196,096 33.4% 3,300 27,964 32,552 1.34 [0.49, 3.64] Subtotal (95% CI) 55,591 539,916 100.0% Total events 11,968 85,925 Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.78; Chi²=3,237.53, df=2 (P<0.00001); I²=100% Test for overall effect: Z=0.57 (P=0.57) Total (95% CI) 539,916 100.0% 1.34 [0.49, 3.64] 55,591

 Total events
 11,968
 85,925

 Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.78; Chi²=3,237.53, df=2 (P<0.00001); l²=100%
 Test for overall effect: Z=0.57 (P=0.57)

 Test for subgroup differences: not applicable
 Test for subgroup differences: not applicable



G

	Poor menta	l health	Good men	tal health		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl	M–H, fixed, 95% Cl
1.6.1 Shoulder replace	ment						
Colasanti et al. 2023	7	218	5	378	4.7%	2.47 [0.78, 7.89]	
Lunati et al. 2021	79	3,209	222	19,414	82.4%	2.18 [1.68, 2.83]	∎
Subtotal (95% CI)		3,427		19,792	87.1%	2.20 [1.71, 2.83]	◆
Total events	86		227				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =3,2	237.53, df=1 (P	² =0.84); l ² =	=0%				
Test for overall effect: Z	Z=6.09 (P<0.00	001)					
1.6.2 Rotator cuff repa	air						
Johnson et al. 2022	14	232	18	584	12.9%	2.02 [0.99, 4.13]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		232		584	12.9%	2.02 [0.99, 4.13]	
Total events	14		18				
Heterogeneity: not app	licable						
Test for overall effect: Z	Z=1.92 (P=0.05)					
Total (95% CI)		3,659		20,376	100.0%	2.17 [1.71, 2.76]	•
Total events	100		245				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =0.0	09, df=2 (P=0.9	96); l ² =0%				⊢	
Test for overall effect: Z	Z=6.37 (P<0.00	001)				0.02	0.1 1 10 50
Test for subgroup differ	rences: Chi ² =0.0	05, df=1 (F	°=0.83), l ² =00	%			Favours [poor MH] Favours [good MH]

Н

	Poor menta	il health	Good mei	ntal healt	h	Odds ratio		Odds r	atio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M–H, random, 95% C	1	M–H, rando	m, 95% Cl	
1.8.1 Shoulder replace	ment									
Lunati et al. 2021	186	3,209	680	19,414	33.9%	1.70 [1.43, 2.00]			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3,209		19,414	33.9%	1.70 [1.43, 2.00]			•	
Total events	186		680							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =no	t applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z	2=6.21 (P<0.00	0001)								
1.8.2 Rotator cuff repa	air									
Freshman et al. 2023	1,306	68,397	0	67,092	32.3%	2,613.04 [163.35, 41,799.00]				\longrightarrow
Johnson et al. 2022	7	232	15	584	33.8%	1.18 [0.47, 2.93]		_	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		68,629		67,676	66.1%	54.02 [0.00, 284,425,501.51]				
Total events	1,313		15							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =12	3.60; Chi ² =11	2.53, df=1	(P<0.00001)); $l^2 = 99\%$						
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.51 (P=0.61)								
Total (95% CI)		71,838		87,090	100.0%	16.06 [0.01, 20529.86]				
Total events	1,499		695							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =0.0)9. df=2 (P=0.	96): l ² =0%					H		10	
Test for overall effect: Z	=0.76 (P=0.45	5)					0.001	0.1	10	1,000
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi ² =0.	19, df=1 (I	P=0.66), I ² =0)%				Favours [poor MH]	Favours [goo	d MH]

Fig. 4. Continued.

-
_
_

-	Poor menta	l health	Good men	tal health		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl
1.7.1 Shoulder replace	ement						
Lunati et al. 2021	438	3,209	1,931	19,414	96.9%	1.43 [1.28, 1.60]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)		3,209		19,414	96.9 %	1.43 [1.28, 1.60]	•
Total events	438		1,931				
Heterogeneity: not app	licable						
Test for overall effect:	Z=6.32 (P<0.00	001)					
1.7.2 Rotator cuff rep	air						
Johnson et al. 2022	21	232	29	584	3.1%	1.90 [1.06, 3.41]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)		232		584	3.1%	1.90 [1.06, 3.41]	
Total events	21		29				
Heterogeneity: not app	licable						
Test for overall effect:	Z=2.16 (P=0.03)					
Total (95% Cl)		3,441		19,998	100.0%	1.45 [1.30, 1.61]	•
Total events	459		1,960				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =0.	89, df=1 (P=0.3	35); l ² =0%	-			<u>⊢</u> 01	
Iest for overall effect:	∠=6.62 (P<0.00	001)	> -2 -			0.1	
lest for subgroup differ	rences: Chi ² =0.	89, df=1 (F	²=0.35); l²=0	%			ravours (poor win) ravours (good win)

Fig. 4. Forest plots showing the difference in postoperative overall adverse events (A), postoperative medical complications (B), postoperative surgical complications (C), postoperative tendon healing failure (D), postoperative transfusion rate (E), postoperative discharge location (F), postoperative revision rate (G), postoperative re-admission rate within 90 days (H), and postoperative emergency department visit rate within 90 days (I). M-H: Mantel-Haenszel, CI: confidence interval.

Tendon healing failure

Two studies enrolling 363 subjects undergoing RCR [32,42] (79 with poor and 284 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative tendon healing failure. Ultimately, no significant difference was observed (OR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.27–4.32; P=0.91) (Fig. 4D).

Transfusions

Three studies enrolling 597,210 subjects undergoing TSA [36,37,39] (56,466 with poor and 540,744 with good mental health) and one study of 135,489 subjects undergoing RCR [30] (68,397 with poor and 67,092 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative transfusions. There was no significant difference in the rate of transfusions in patients undergoing TSA (OR, 5.11; 95% CI, 0.61–42.94; P=0.13) (Fig. 4E) and when both cohorts were combined (OR, 3.56; 95% CI, 0.58–21.70; P=0.17) (Fig. 4E). However, a higher rate of transfusions was documented in patients with poor mental health undergoing RCR (OR, 1.2; 95% CI, 1.12–1.28; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4E).

Non-homebound discharge

Three studies enrolling 595,507 subjects undergoing TSA [36,38,39] (55,591 with poor and 539,916 with good mental health) reported data on postoperative discharge location. There was no significant difference between the two groups (OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 0.49–4364; P = 0.57) (Fig. 4F).

Revision

Two studies enrolling 23,219 subjects undergoing TSA [28,38] (3,427 with poor and 19,792 with good mental health) and one study of 816 subjects undergoing RCR [43] (232 with poor and 584 with good mental health) reported data on rates of revision surgery. A higher rate of revision was seen in patients with poor mental health undergoing TSA (OR, 2.20; 95% CI, 1.71–2.83; P < 0.00001) (Fig. 4G), RCR (OR, 2.02; 95% CI, 0.99–4.13; P = 0.05) (Fig. 4G), and when the cohorts were combined (OR, 2.17; 95% CI, 1.71–2.76; P < 0.00001) (Fig. 4G).

Re-admission (90 days)

One study of 22,623 subjects undergoing TSA [38] (3,209 with poor and 19,414 with good mental health) and two studies enrolling 136,305 subjects undergoing RCR [30,43] (68,629 with poor and 67,676 with good mental health) reported data on the postoperative rate of re-admissions within 90 days. A higher rate of re-admissions was seen in patients with poor mental health undergoing TSA (OR, 1.70; 95% CI, 1.43–2.00; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4H). Meanwhile, the difference in rates was not significant in patients undergoing RCR (OR, 54.02; 95% CI, 0.00–284,425,501; P=0.61) (Fig. 4H) or when the cohorts were combined (OR, 16.06; 95% CI, 0.01–20529; P=0.66) (Fig. 4H).

ED visits (90 days)

One study of 22,623 subjects undergoing TSA [38] (3,209 with poor and 19,414 with good mental health) and one study of 816

subjects undergoing RCR [43] (232 with poor and 584 with good mental health) reported data on the postoperative rate of ED visits within 90 days. A higher rate of ED visits was recorded in patients with poor mental health undergoing TSA (OR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.28–1.60; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4I), RCR (OR, 1.90; 95% CI, 1.06–3.41; P=0.03) (Fig. 4I), and when the cohorts were combined (OR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.30–1.61; P<0.00001) (Fig. 4I).

DISCUSSION

Contradictory results on the impact of preoperative mental health on outcomes after shoulder surgery have been reported. Thus, a meta-analysis was necessary to examine this relationship and produce robust conclusions. Our results revealed better PROs, including ASES and SST scores; fewer adverse events, including reduced numbers of both medical and surgical complications; and lower rates of transfusions, revision surgery, 90-day re-admissions, and ED visits in patients with good preoperative mental health.

Although the postoperative ASES score was statistically better in patients with good preoperative mental health, the difference did not reach clinical significance in the TSA, RCR, or combined cohort. The MDs observed in our cohorts were 9.73 for TSA and 10.42 for RCR, both of which are below the ASES minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs) for patients undergoing TSA (20.9) and RCR (27.1) [44,45]. Furthermore, when assessing the improvement in ASES, only patients with good mental health in the TSA cohort showed significantly better scores, and this finding was not clinically significant. The same pattern of results was seen in SST in that the difference was significant but did not reach the MCID in the TSA (2.4) or RCR (4.3) group [44,45]. No difference in VAS, satisfaction, or ROM between patients with poor and good mental health was seen in either cohort. Thus, although mental health might impact the PROs of RCR and TSA patients, this impact was not of clinical significance, supporting some of the included studies [40,41].

A higher rate of overall complications (both medical and surgical combined) was seen in the group with poor preoperative mental health; however, there was no significant difference in complications when they were divided into medical and surgical complication subgroups. Furthermore, the difference in transfusion rate was not significant when the two cohorts were combined. This discrepancy between the rate of overall complications and the rates of surgical and medical complications separately may be explained by the inclusion of four studies reporting data on overall rather than specific adverse events [28,40,42,43]. Despite these findings, the correlation between psychiatric comor-

https://doi.org/10.5397/cise.2024.00178

bidities and postoperative complications has not been fully characterized. Additionally, the influence of commonly prescribed psychiatric medications like selective-serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) may influence outcomes after shoulder surgery. Studies have demonstrated that side effects of SSRIs can mimic some of the known adverse events or the negative impact of psychological distress on the immune system [28,38,39]. Furthermore, both overall revisions and ED department visits within 90 days were significantly more common in the poor mental health group. As for the re-admission rate and discharge location, the difference was not significant. This increased rate of revision surgeries could also be well explained by the same reasons justifying the higher rate of complications as well as by the number of adverse events themselves [28,38,39]. Another explanation for the higher revision rate could be the impact of poor mental health on compliance with the postoperative protocol and rehabilitation [46,47]. However, a more in-depth analysis of the underlying mechanisms driving the association between poor mental health and higher adverse events and revision rates is needed to confirm our proposed relationships as these were not explored in our study nor in the literature.

Strengths and Limitations

The main limitation of this study is the high heterogeneity observed among studies, which could be partially explained by the different ways in which preoperative mental health was characterized in the included studies and therefore by the pooling of patients with different psychiatric comorbidities (depression, anxiety) or signs of poor psychological functioning, such as low resilience or distress) into the same group of poor mental health. Furthermore, studies using national databases were included, which could have potentially led to duplicate/overlapping patients. One last limitation is the low number of studies with data for some of the studied parameters.

This study also has several strengths. To our knowledge, it is the first meta-analysis to study the impact of preoperative mental health on the outcomes of shoulder surgery, including TSA and RCR. Moreover, only comparative studies were included, reducing the risk of operative and matching biases, and the selection process was stricter.

CONCLUSIONS

Patients with poor preoperative mental health demonstrated statistically lower ASES and SST scores in the TSA cohort, RCR cohort, and combined cohort, although these findings were not clinically significant. VAS score, satisfaction, ER, and flexion did not differ between the two mental health groups. Increased rates of adverse events and transfusions were observed in RCR patients, while increased re-admission rates were observed in TSA patients. Finally, higher rates of revision surgery and ED visits were observed in both RCR and TSA patients with poor preoperative mental health. Additional research using standardized definitions for good and poor mental health is needed to characterize the relationship between mental health and adverse events. Examining the effects of preoperative screening and treatment of mental health disorders on orthopedic surgical outcomes may also be beneficial.

NOTES

ORCID

Mohammad Daher Ryan Lopez Peter Boufadel Oscar Covarrubias Mohamad Y. Fares Joseph A. Abboud https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9256-9952 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5757-1782 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7078-9148 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6382-0944 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8228-3953 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3845-7220

Author contributions

Investigation: all authors. Writing – original draft: all authors. Writing Writing – review & editing: all authors.

Conflict of interest

JAA would like to disclose royalties from: DJO Global, Zimmer-Biomet, Smith and Nephew, Stryker, Globus Medical, Inc.; research support as a PI from: Lima Corporation - Italy, Orthofix, Arthrex, OREF; royalties, financial or material support from: Wolters Kluwer; and board member/committee appointments for: American Shoulder and Elbow Society, Pacira. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Funding

None.

Data availability None.

Acknowledgments

None.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Mental disorders: key facts [Inter-

net]. World Health Organization; 2022 [cited 2024 Mar 1]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/mental-disorders

- **2.** Barlattani T, D'Amelio C, Capelli F, et al. Suicide and COVID-19: a rapid scoping review. Ann Gen Psychiatry 2023;22:10.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005;62:593–602.
- 4. Daher M, Fares MY, Boufadel P, Khanna A, Zalaquett Z, Abboud JA. Osteoporosis in the setting of shoulder arthroplasty: a narrative review. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil 2023;14: 21514593231182527.
- Pearl A, Ismail A, Alsadi T, Crespi Z, Daher M, Saleh K. Frailty and pre-frailty in the setting of total joint arthroplasty: a narrative review. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil 2023;14:2151459 3231188864.
- 6. Daher M, Zalaquett Z, Fares MY, Boufadel P, Khanna A, Abboud JA. Osteoporosis in the setting of rotator cuff repair: a narrative review. Shoulder Elb 2023 Oct 17 [Epub]. https://doi. org/10.1177/17585732231207338
- Hasan A, Pearl A, Daher M, Saleh KJ. Patient genetic heterogeneities acting as indicators of post-operative pain and opioid requirement in orthopedic surgery: a systematic review. J Opioid Manag 2024;20:77–85.
- Makanji H, Solomito MJ, Kostyun R, Esmende S. Influence of anxiety and depression on opioid use following lumbar spine fusion: a large database study. Clin Spine Surg 2024;37:E24–9.
- 9. Lo YT, Lim-Watson M, Seo Y, et al. Long-term opioid prescriptions after spine surgery: a meta-analysis of prevalence and risk factors. World Neurosurg 2020;141:e894–920.
- Kowalski C, Ridenour R, McNutt S, et al. Risk factors for prolonged opioid use after spine surgery. Global Spine J 2023; 13:683–8.
- Cunningham DJ, LaRose MA, Klifto CS, Gage MJ. Mental health and substance use affect perioperative opioid demand in upper extremity trauma surgery. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2021;30:e114–20.
- Sharma AK, Elbuluk AM, Gkiatas I, Kim JM, Sculco PK, Vigdorchik JM. Mental health in patients undergoing orthopaedic surgery: diagnosis, management, and outcomes. JBJS Rev 2021;9:e20.00169.
- Chan AK, Shaffrey CI, Park C, et al. Do comorbid self-reported depression and anxiety influence outcomes following surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. J Neurosurg Spine 2023; 39:11–27.
- 14. Pensak MJ, Carry PM, Entin JM, Lalka A, Shourbaji NA, Scott

FA. Depression and anxiety among patients with atraumatic lateral epicondylitis and ulnar-sided wrist pain. J Wrist Surg 2019;8:295–9.

- 15. Ghoshal A, Bhanvadia S, Singh S, Yaeger L, Haroutounian S. Factors associated with persistent postsurgical pain after total knee or hip joint replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain Rep 2023;8:e1052.
- Park C, Garcia AN, Cook C, Gottfried ON. Effect of change in preoperative depression/anxiety on patient outcomes following lumbar spine surgery. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2020;199:106312.
- 17. Daher M, Boufadel P, Lopez R, Chalhoub R, Fares MY, Abboud JA. Beyond the joint: exploring the interplay between mental health and shoulder arthroplasty outcomes. J Orthop 2024;52:1–5.
- DiSilvestro KJ, Bond D, Alsoof D, et al. Preoperative resilience and early postoperative outcomes following lumbar spinal fusion. World Neurosurg 2022;163:e573–8.
- **19.** Zabat MA, Lygrisse KA, Sicat CS, Pope C, Schwarzkopf R, Slover JD. The impact of patient resilience on discharge after total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2022;37(7S):S493–7.
- 20. Cremeans-Smith JK, Greene K, Delahanty DL. Resilience and recovery from total knee arthroplasty (TKA): a pathway for optimizing patient outcomes. J Behav Med 2022;45:481–9.
- Magaldi RJ, Staff I, Stovall AE, Stohler SA, Lewis CG. Impact of resilience on outcomes of total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2019;34:2620–3.
- 22. Mo KC, Gupta A, Movsik J, et al. Pain Self-Efficacy (PSEQ) score of < 22 is associated with daily opioid use, back pain, disability, and PROMIS scores in patients presenting for spine surgery. Spine J 2023;23:723–30.
- 23. Srivastava K. Positive mental health and its relationship with resilience. Ind Psychiatry J 2011;20:75–6.
- 24. Agarwalla A, Lu Y, Chang E, et al. Influence of mental health on postoperative outcomes in patients following biceps tenodesis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2020;29:2248–56.
- 25. Rauck RC, Ruzbarsky JJ, Swarup I, et al. Predictors of patient satisfaction after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2020;29:e67–74.
- 26. Belayneh R, Haglin J, Lott A, Kugelman D, Konda S, Egol KA. Underlying mental illness and psychosocial factors are predictors of poor outcomes after proximal humerus repair. J Orthop Trauma 2019;33:e339–44.
- 27. Hines AC, Pill SG, Boes N, et al. Mental health status, not resilience, influences functional recovery after arthroscopic rotator cuff repairs. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2022;31(6S):S117–22.
- **28.** Colasanti CA, Lin CC, Anil U, Simovitch RW, Virk MS, Zuckerman JD. Impact of mental health on outcomes after total

shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2023;32:980-90.

- **29.** Gowd AK, Cvetanovich GL, Liu JN, et al. Preoperative mental health scores and achieving patient acceptable symptom state are predictive of return to work after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Orthop J Sports Med 2019;7:2325967119878415.
- **30.** Freshman RD, Oeding JF, Anigwe C, et al. Pre-existing mental health diagnoses are associated with higher rates of postoperative complications, readmissions, and reoperations following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Arthroscopy 2023;39:185–95.
- **31.** Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;355:i4919.
- 32. Park JH, Rhee SM, Kim HS, Oh JH. Effects of anxiety and depression measured via the hospital anxiety and depression scale on early pain and range of motion after rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med 2021;49:314–20.
- **33.** Porter A, Hill MA, Harm R, Greiwe RM. Resiliency influences postoperative outcomes following rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2021;30:1181–5.
- 34. Potter MQ, Wylie JD, Granger EK, Greis PE, Burks RT, Tashjian RZ. One-year patient-reported outcomes after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair do not correlate with mild to moderate psychological distress. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2015;473:3501–10.
- **35.** Thorpe AM, O'Sullivan PB, Mitchell T, et al. Are psychologic factors associated with shoulder scores after rotator cuff surgery. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2018;476:2062–73.
- Bot AG, Menendez ME, Neuhaus V, Ring D. The influence of psychiatric comorbidity on perioperative outcomes after shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2014;23:519–27.
- 37. Diamond KB, Gordon AM, Sheth BK, Romeo AA, Choueka J. How does depressive disorder impact outcomes in patients with glenohumeral osteoarthritis undergoing primary reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2023;32:1886–92.
- 38. Lunati MP, Wilson JM, Farley KX, Gottschalk MB, Wagner ER. Preoperative depression is a risk factor for complication and increased health care utilization following total shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2021;30:89–96.
- **39.** Mollon B, Mahure SA, Ding DY, Zuckerman JD, Kwon YW. The influence of a history of clinical depression on peri-operative outcomes in elective total shoulder arthroplasty: a ten-year national analysis. Bone Joint J 2016;98:818–24.
- Porter A, Greiwe RM. Psychological disorders confer poor functional outcomes after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. JSES Rev Rep Tech 2021;1:357–60.
- Werner BC, Wong AC, Chang B, et al. Depression and patient-reported outcomes following total shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2017;99:688–95.

- 42. Dujeux C, Antoni M, Thery C, Eichler D, Meyer N, Clavert P. History of mood and anxiety disorders does not affect the outcomes of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2023;109:103550.
- **43.** Johnson AH, York JJ, Lashgari CJ, Petre BM, Turcotte JJ, Redziniak DE. Effects of preexisting depression and anxiety on postoperative outcomes following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. JSES Int 2022;6:984–8.
- 44. Tashjian RZ, Hung M, Keener JD, et al. Determining the minimal clinically important difference for the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score, Simple Shoulder Test, and visual analog scale (VAS) measuring pain after shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2017;26:144–8.
- **45.** Tashjian RZ, Shin J, Broschinsky K, et al. Minimal clinically important differences in the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons, Simple Shoulder Test, and visual analog scale pain scores after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2020;29:1406–11.
- 46. Paolucci S, Antonucci G, Pratesi L, Traballesi M, Grasso MG, Lubich S. Poststroke depression and its role in rehabilitation of inpatients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999;80:985–90.
- **47.** Ahn DH, Lee YJ, Jeong JH, Kim YR, Park JB. The effect of poststroke depression on rehabilitation outcome and the impact of caregiver type as a factor of post-stroke depression. Ann Rehabil Med 2015;39:74–80.