

Strategic white matter hyperintensity locations associated with post-stroke cognitive impairment: A multicenter study in 1568 stroke patients International Journal of Stroke 2024, Vol. 19(8) 916–924 © 2024 World Stroke Organization

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/17474930241252530 journals.sagepub.com/home/wso



Mirthe Coenen^{1*}, Floor AS de Kort^{1*}, Nick A Weaver¹, Hugo J Kuijf², Hugo P Aben³, Hee-Joon Bae⁴, Régis Bordet⁵, Christopher PLH Chen^{6,7}, Anna Dewenter⁸, Thomas Doeven¹, Thibaut Dondaine⁵, Marco Duering^{8,9}, Rong Fang⁸, Ruben S van der Giessen¹⁰, Jonguk Kim^{4,11}, Beom Joon Kim⁴, Paul LM de Kort³, Peter J Koudstaal¹⁰, Minwoo Lee¹², Jae-Sung Lim¹³, Renaud Lopes⁵, Robert J van Oostenbrugge¹⁴, Julie Staals¹⁴, Kyung-Ho Yu¹², Geert Jan Biessels¹, and J Matthijs Biesbroek^{1,15}

Abstract

Background: Post-stroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) occurs in up to 50% of stroke survivors. Presence of preexisting vascular brain injury, in particular the extent of white matter hyperintensities (WMH), is associated with worse cognitive outcome after stroke, but the role of WMH location in this association is unclear.

Aims: We determined if WMH in strategic white matter tracts explain cognitive performance after stroke.

Methods: Individual patient data from nine ischemic stroke cohorts with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were harmonized through the Meta VCI Map consortium. The association between WMH volumes in strategic tracts and domain-specific cognitive functioning (attention and executive functioning, information processing speed, language and verbal memory) was assessed using linear mixed models and lasso regression. We used a hypothesis-driven design, primarily addressing four white matter tracts known to be strategic in memory clinic patients: the left and right anterior thalamic radiation, forceps major, and left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.

Results: The total study sample consisted of 1568 patients (39.9% female, mean age = 67.3 years). Total WMH volume was strongly related to cognitive performance on all four cognitive domains. WMH volume in the left anterior thalamic radiation was significantly associated with cognitive performance on attention and executive functioning and information processing speed and WMH volume in the forceps major with information processing speed. The multivariable lasso regression showed that these associations were independent of age, sex, education, and total infarct volume and had larger coefficients than total WMH volume.

Conclusion: These results show tract-specific relations between WMH volume and cognitive performance after ischemic stroke, independent of total WMH volume. This implies that the concept of strategic lesions in PSCI extends beyond acute infarcts and also involves pre-existing WMH.

Data access statement: The Meta VCI Map consortium is dedicated to data sharing, following our guidelines.

Keywords

White matter hyperintensities, post-stroke cognition, strategic lesion location

Received: 28 December 2023; accepted: 2 April 2024

Introduction

Post-stroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) occurs in up to 50% of stroke survivors.^{1,2} Demographic factors, such as age and education, are known determinants for cognition after stroke. Features of the acute infarct, in particular size and location, are also key determinants of PSCI.^{3,4} In addition, recent evidence points toward an important contribution of pre-existing vascular brain injury to PSCI, for example, white matter hyperintensities (WMH) of presumed vascular origin, lacunes, and old infarcts, as well as brain atrophy.⁵

Most prior studies focused on the relationship of global WMH volume with post-stroke cognition.^{6–11} The question arises whether the concept of strategic lesion locations, that has been established for infarcts, is also relevant for WMH. There is converging evidence in memory clinic patients, patients with cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) and community-dwelling individuals, that there are indeed several strategic white matter tracts where WMH impact cognition, but this concept has not been sufficiently studied in the setting of stroke.^{12,13} In a previous large multicenter study in memory clinic patients,¹⁴ separate from this study sample, we identified the left and right anterior thalamic radiation, the forceps major, and the left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus as strategic white matter tracts, among 20 tracts that were evaluated.

Aims and hypothesis

The aim of this study is to test the hypothesis that WMH in these four strategic tracts are also inversely associated with cognitive outcome in patients with ischemic stroke, independent of total WMH volume.

Methods

Study design and participants

We pooled and harmonized individual patient data from nine ischemic stroke cohorts: France (STROKDEM), Germany (DEDEMAS), the Netherlands (CASPER, CODECS, PROCRAS, USCOG), Singapore (COAST), and South Korea (Bundang VCI, Hallym VCI). Eligible cohorts were derived from two previously published Meta VCI Map projects.^{3,15} Individual patient data from these cohorts were included if magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) and T1 sequences and detailed neuropsychological assessment within 15 months of the index stroke were available.

Central data processing and analysis were performed at the University Medical Center Utrecht (Utrecht, the Netherlands). For all participating cohorts, ethical and institutional approvals were obtained as required by local regulations, including informed consent, to allow data acquisition and data sharing. Background and organization of the Meta VCI Map consortium are described in the design paper¹⁵ and on the consortium website (https:// metavcimap.org).

Image processing

For eight cohorts, WMH segmentations were performed in Utrecht as part of the current project, using a semi-automated validated technique.^{16,17} For one cohort, CASPER, WMH segmentations were provided by the participating center. Details on WMH segmentation methods per cohort are provided in the Supplemental Material. WMH lesion maps were registered to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI)-152 brain template¹⁸ for spatial normalization using RegLSM.¹⁹ Acute infarct maps were subtracted from the

¹Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, UMC Utrecht Brain Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands ²Image Sciences Institute, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³Department of Neurology, Elisabeth Tweesteden Hospital, Tilburg, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

*Contributed equally.

Corresponding author:

Mirthe Coenen, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, UMC Utrecht Brain Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, PO Box 85500, 3508 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Email: M.Coenen@umcutrecht.nl

⁵Lille Neuroscience & Cognition (LilNCog)—U1172, Université Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Lille, France

⁶Department of Pharmacology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

⁷Memory, Ageing and Cognition Center, National University Health System, Singapore

⁸Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research (ISD), LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

⁹Medical Image Analysis Center (MIAC), Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

¹⁰Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

¹¹Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea

¹²Department of Neurology, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, Anyang, Republic of Korea

¹³Department of Neurology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

¹⁴Department of Neurology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

¹⁵Department of Neurology, Diakonessenhuis Hospital, Utrecht, The Netherlands

WMH lesion map to exclude the possible classification of an infarct as WMH. In addition, voxels located outside the white matter were removed from all individual WMH lesion maps using the MNI probabilistic white matter atlas²⁰ (thresholded at 30%). All white matter tracts were defined according to the JHU atlas with a probability threshold of 10%.²¹

Acute infarct segmentations in MNI-152 space were available from previously published Meta VCI Map projects.^{3,15} More details on image processing are described in the Supplemental Material.

Neuropsychological data

Individual neuropsychological tests were assigned to four cognitive domains: (1) attention and executive functioning, (2) information processing speed, (3) language, and (4) verbal memory. If a cognitive domain was represented by more than one neuropsychological test, the mean of the norm-referenced z-scores from the available neuropsychological tests was obtained. This was done on a per subject basis. Further details on the available neuropsychological tests and harmonization of the neuropsychological data are provided in the Supplemental Material.

Statistical analyses

In a region of interest (ROI)-based approach, the number of affected voxels with WMH per tract for each patient was related to cognitive performance at the domain level in linear mixed models with cognitive domain z-score as dependent variable, correcting for study site (as random effects) and total WMH volume (as fixed effects). We chose this ROI-based approach because it has the advantage of considering cumulative lesion burden within a single tract (which may be more relevant than considering each punctate lesion separately) and has higher statistical power compared to voxel-based analyses.¹⁴ Our primary analyses considered four white matter tracts identified as strategic in earlier work¹⁴ in a memory clinic setting: the left anterior thalamic radiation, right anterior thalamic radiation, forceps major, and the left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. In this hypothesis-driven design, we did not correct for multiple comparisons. In secondary analyses, the same models were run, stratified by infarct subtype. For large subcortical and cortical infarcts, we also corrected for infarct volume (as fixed effects). A p-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant. For all ROI-based analyses, patients with an acute infarct in one of the white matter tracts were excluded from the analysis of that specific white matter tract.

Second, a sensitivity analysis was performed including all 20 white matter tracts from the JHU atlas, in order to assess whether relevant white matter tracts were missed in the primary analysis. As this analysis was not hypothesis-driven, a Bonferroni correction for 20 tests (i.e. 20 ROIs for major white matter tracts) was applied, and a p-value < 0.0025 was considered statistically significant.

Third, a multivariable lasso regression model (using 100-fold cross-validations) was used to study the significant findings from the primary analysis in more detail, including WMH volume in strategic WMH tracts and total WMH volume, total infarct volume, as well as age, sex, and education as covariates. The lasso regression allows to assess the individual contribution of the white matter tracts in a multivariable model, thereby correcting for other determinants of post-stroke cognition (i.e. WMH volume and infarct volume), also considering multicollinearity between the independent variables (i.e. total WMH volume, total infarct volume, the strategic WMH tracts). The model shrinks the regression coefficients down to zero if the variable is redundant. The independent continuous variables were standardized and the model was corrected for study site. In this way, the individual contribution of each independent variable to the cognitive domain scores could be determined.

All ROI-based analyses were performed using glmnet (v4.1.3) and lme4 (v1.1.26) in R (v4.1.2).

Results

Participants

A flowchart of patient selection from the participating cohorts is provided in Figure 1. The total study sample consisted of 1568 patients (39.9% female) from 9 stroke cohorts, with a mean age of 67.3 years (standard deviation (SD)=11.5). In the total study sample, the mean normreferenced cognitive domain z-score for attention and executive functioning was -0.7 (SD=1.0), information processing speed -0.6 (SD=1.2), language -0.7 (SD=1.1), and verbal memory -0.9 (SD=1.2). Of total, 517 (33.0%) patients had a small subcortical infarct, 759 (48.4%) patients had a large subcortical or cortical infarct, and 361 (23.0%) patients had an infratentorial infarct. Median WMH volume was 7.1 mL (interquartile range (IQR) = 16.4). Baseline characteristics of the total study sample and per infarct subtype are shown in Table 1 and cohort-specific baseline characteristics in Supplemental Table S2. Lesion prevalence maps of the acute infarct and WMH per infarct subtype are shown in Figure 2.

WMH tract-based linear mixed models

In the primary analysis (see Table 2) including four preselected white matter tracts, a significant negative correlation was found between WMH volume within the left anterior thalamic radiation and attention and executive functioning (coefficient=-0.175, standard error (SE)=0.065, p=0.007) and information processing speed (coefficient=-0.197,

Figure 1. Flowchart of patient selection. This figure shows the flowchart of patient selection for the nine participating cohorts.
This figure was previously published in Stroke. ¹¹

Included in PSCI main project	Availability of FLAIR+T1 sequences	Fulfilled imaging inclusion criteria	Performance of WMH segmentations	WMH lesion maps	Lesion registration to MNI-152 space	Lesion maps in MNI-152 space	NPA data availability	Final sample
Bundang VCI N = 753	108 excluded	N = 645	35 excluded	N = 610	64 excluded	N = 546	0 excluded	N = 546
CASPER N = 104				CASPER N = 104	4 excluded	N = 100	0 excluded	N = 100
COAST N = 74	72 excluded	N = 2	0 excluded	N = 2	0 excluded	N = 2	0 excluded	N = 2
CODECS N = 27	14 excluded	N = 13	2 excluded	N = 11	0 excluded	N = 11	0 excluded	N = 11
		DEDEMAS N = 102	21 excluded	N = 81	2 excluded	N = 79	13 excluded	N = 66
Hallym VCI N = 641	74 excluded	N = 567	20 excluded	N = 547	11 excluded	N = 536	2 excluded	N = 534
PROCRAS N = 177	1 excluded	N = 176	15 excluded	N = 161	0 excluded	N = 161	0 excluded	N = 161
STROKDEM	0 excluded	N = 138	0 excluded	N = 138	3 excluded	N = 135	0 excluded	N = 135
USCOG	95 excluded	N = 18	0 excluded	N = 18	5 excluded	N = 13	0 excluded	N = 13
N = 113								
								10(a) N = 1508

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

	Infarct subtype				
Characteristics	Small subcortical infarct (n=517)	Large subcortical and cortical infarct (n=759)	Infratentorial infarct (n=361)	Total (n = 1568)	
Female, n (%)	235 (45.5)*	290 (38.2)*	121 (33.5)*	626 (39.9) [*]	
Age in years, mean (SD)	66.3 (11.7)*	67.7 (11.7)*	68.1 (11.4)*	67.3 (11.5)*	
Education, n (%)					
Lower than secondary school	265 (51.3)*	398 (52.4) [*]	188 (52.1)*	816 (52.0)*	
Secondary school	122 (23.6)*	164 (21.6) [*]	71 (19.7)*	343 (21.9)*	
Technical school or college	57 (11.0)*	65 (8.6) [*]	33 (9.1) [*]	153 (9.8)*	
University or higher	73 (14.1)*	132 (17.4)*	69 (19.1)*	256 (16.3)*	
Baseline NIHSS, mean (SD)	2.5 (2.5)#	4.3 (4.9)#	3.1 (3.6)#	3.5 (4.0)#	
History of stroke and TIA, n (%)	64 (12.9)#	114 (15.0)#	51 (14.1)#	217 (14.4)#	
Imaging characteristics					
Normalized acute infarct volume (mL), median (IQR)	1.1 (1.2)*	11.2 (22.2)*	1.7 (6.7)*	2.6 (11.4)*	
				(Continued)	

International Journal of Stroke, 19(8)

Infarct subtype			
Small subcortical infarct (n=517)	Large subcortical and cortical infarct (n = 759)	Infratentorial infarct (n=361)	Total (n = 1568)
73 (14.1)	177 (23.3)	73 (20.2)	302 (19.3)
8.3 (17.0)*	6.0 (15.4)*	8.6 (18.7)*	7.1 (16.4)*
23.5 (4.0)*	22.3 (4.6)\$	23.5 (9.4)\$	23.0 (4.4)\$
-0.6 (1.0)#	-0.9 (1.1)#	-0.6 (1.0)#	-0.7 (1.0)#
-0.5 (1.1)#	-0.8 (1.2)#	-0.5 (1.0)#	-0.6 (1.2)#
-0.6 (1.0)#	-0.9 (1.1)#	-0.7 (1.0)#	-0.7 (1.1)#
-0.8 (1.2)#	-1.1 (1.3)#	-0.9 (I.I) [#]	-0.9 (1.2)#
	Infarct subtype Small subcortical infarct (n=517) 73 (14.1) 8.3 (17.0)* 23.5 (4.0)* -0.6 (1.0)# -0.5 (1.1)# -0.6 (1.0)# -0.8 (1.2)#	Infarct subtypeSmall subcortical infarct (n=517)Large subcortical and cortical infarct (n=759)73 (14.1)177 (23.3)8.3 (17.0)* $6.0 (15.4)^*$ 23.5 (4.0)*22.3 (4.6)\$-0.6 (1.0)# $-0.9 (1.1)^{#}$ -0.5 (1.1)# $-0.8 (1.2)^{#}$ -0.6 (1.0)# $-0.9 (1.1)^{#}$ -0.8 (1.2)# $-1.1 (1.3)^{#}$	Infarct subtypeImage subcortical and cortical infarct (n=517)Large subcortical and cortical infarct (n=361)73 (14.1)177 (23.3)73 (20.2)8.3 (17.0)*6.0 (15.4)*8.6 (18.7)*23.5 (4.0)*22.3 (4.6)*23.5 (9.4)* $-0.6 (1.0)^{#}$ $-0.9 (1.1)^{#}$ $-0.6 (1.0)^{#}$ $-0.5 (1.1)^{#}$ $-0.8 (1.2)^{#}$ $-0.7 (1.0)^{#}$ $-0.8 (1.2)^{#}$ $-1.1 (1.3)^{#}$ $-0.9 (1.1)^{#}$

Table I. (Continued)

SD: standard deviation; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; TIA: transient ischemic attack; IQR: interquartile range; WMH: white matter hyperintensity; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

Patients with multiple infarcts can be represented in more than one stroke category.

#Missing in 1–10%.\$Missing in > 10%.

SE=0.071, p=0.006) and between WMH volume within the forceps major and information processing speed (coefficient=-0.132, SE=0.054, p=0.014), independent of total WMH volume and study site. No significant correlations were found between the right anterior thalamic radiation and left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and cognitive functioning.

In the sensitivity analyses, considering all 20 white matter tracts defined according to the JHU atlas, one additional significant inverse association was found, namely between WMH volume within the right inferior longitudinal fasciculus and verbal memory (Supplemental Table S3).

Stratification of the main analysis according to infarct subtype showed consistent results with the overall analyses for cortical and large subcortical infarcts and small subcortical infarcts, whereas for infratentorial infarcts, the relations between tract-specific WMH volume and cognition were attenuated (Supplemental Table S4).

Multivariable lasso regression models

Three multivariable lasso regression models were performed on the significant results from the primary analysis. In these models (including age, sex, education, total infarct volume, total WMH volume, tract specific WMH volume, and study site as independent variables), WMH volume within the left anterior thalamic radiation had a larger coefficient when assessing attention and executive functioning (-0.164) and information processing speed (-0.121) than total WMH volume (-0.012 and -0.073, respectively) and WMH volume within the forceps major had a larger coefficient when assessing information processing speed (-0.126) than total WMH volume (-0.090) (Table 3).

Discussion

In this large multicenter study, we found that WMH in strategic white matter tracts was associated with domainspecific cognitive functioning in patients with ischemic stroke. Tract-based analyses showed that regional WMH volume within the left anterior thalamic radiation and the forceps major inversely correlated with attention and executive functioning and information processing speed. The effect sizes of individual white matter tracts-reflected in the coefficients of lasso regression-were larger than for total WMH volume and the tract-specific relations with cognition were independent of infarct volume, age, sex, and level of education. Stratification of the results according to infarct subtype showed that these effects were consistent in patients with large subcortical, cortical, and small subcortical infarcts and attenuated in patients with infratentorial infarcts.

^{*}Missing in < I %.

Figure 2. Infarct and WMH prevalence maps shown per infarct subtype. This figure shows how often each location in the brain was affected by an acute infarct or WMH. Blue voxels are damaged in one patient and red voxels in >40 patients. Results are presented per infarct subtype (i.e. small subcortical infarcts, large subcortical and cortical infarcts, and infratentorial infarcts). For each infarct subtype, the first row represents the infarct prevalence map and the second row represents the WMH prevalence map. Patients with multiple infarcts can be represented in more than one stroke category.



Previous studies on WMH location in other clinical settings, that is memory clinic patients (including vascular and/or Alzheimer disease), for example, Coenen et al.,¹⁴ CADASIL,^{22,23} and community-dwelling individuals, for example, Lampe et al.24 and Biesbroek et al.25 already generated evidence that the cognitive impact of WMH depends on location. The anterior thalamic radiation and corpus callosum have been identified most consistently in these studies.14,26,27 The anterior thalamic radiation connects the anterior and dorsomedial thalamic nuclei with the frontal and cingulate cortices. The forceps major connects the occipital lobes through the splenium of the corpus callosum, which forms the main connection between the cerebral hemispheres.²⁸ Thus, these tracts form part of large distributed brain networks, which adds plausibility to the finding that WMH in these tracts are associated with

executive functioning and processing speed. In a previous large multicenter study including 3525 memory clinic patients,¹⁴ we identified four specific white matter tracts as strategic white matter tracts which were a determinant of domain-specific cognitive functioning. These four tracts were also included in this study.

For several reasons, it is important to address the question of strategic WMH locations also specifically in the context of ischemic stroke. First, the etiology and distribution of WMH may differ according to disease setting and that may affect the relation with cognition. For example, particularly in memory clinic populations, common occurrence of amyloid pathology may affect WMH distributions.²⁹ Moreover, co-occurring pathologies differ in different disease settings and the impact of the acute infarct itself, for example, could in theory overshadow

matter tracts.
white
- preselected
e four
ng th
cludi
sis in
analy
model
mixed
linear
m the
s froi
Result
ч.
Table

		Attention and	executive	functioning	Information pr	ocessing s	peed	Language			Verbal memor	Y	
Model	Independent variables	Coefficient	SE	p-value	Coefficient	SE	p-value	Coefficient	SE	p-value	Coefficient	SE	p-value
_	WMH volume	-0.010	0.001	< 0.001*	-0.011	0.002	< 0.001*	-0.009	0.001	< 0.001*	-0.003	0.001	0.017*
2	Model I + anterior thalamic radiation L	-0.175	0.065	0.007*	-0.197	0.071	0.006*	-0.078	0.058	0.175	-0.089	0.062	0.154
m	Model I + anterior thalamic radiation R	-0.089	0.071	0.212	-0.117	0.077	0.13	-0.096	0.067	0.149	-0.076	0.071	0.282
4	Model I + forceps major	-0.076	0.051	0.131	-0.132	0.054	0.014*	0.010	0.046	0.837	-0.049	0.050	0.327
Ŋ	Model 1 + inferior fronto- occipital fasciculus L	-0.088	0.080	0.271	0.010	0.088	0.900	0.069	0.069	0.321	-0.039	0.077	0.606

: standard error; WMH: white matter hyperintensity.

Ē not standardized. The coefficient therefore corresponds to the change in cognitive functioning (decrease or increase in z-score) associated with each I excluded from the analysis. The results are corrected for study site using random effects. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (indicated by *). The independent variables (i.e. total This table shows the results of the ROI-based analysis using a linear mixed model including four preselected white matter tracts. Patients with an infarct in the white matter tract of interest were are WMH volumes) increase of the independent variables. regional put WMH volume

		Attention and executive functioning	Information processing speed
Model	Independent variables	Coefficient	Coefficient
I	Age	-0.007	-0.083
	Sex	R	R
	Education	R	R
	Total infarct volume	-0.101	-0.205
	Total WMH volume	-0.012	-0.073
	WMH volume anterior thalamic radiation L	-0.164	-0.121
2	Age		-0.020
	Sex		R
	Education		0.082
	Total infarct volume		-0.138
	Total WMH volume		-0.090
	WMH volume forceps major		-0.126

Table 3. Lasso regression results.

WMH: white matter hyperintensity

A lasso regression model was used to relate total infarct volume, total WMH volume, tract-specific WMH volume (model 1: left anterior thalamic radiation, model 2: Forceps major), as well as age, sex (0: female, 1: male), and education to each of the four cognitive domains. Lasso regression corrects for multicollinearity between independent variables and reduces the coefficients to zero (indicated by R in the table) if the variable is redundant. Apart from sex, all independent variables are standardized, which means the reported coefficients correspond with the change in cognitive functioning (i.e. increase or decrease in z-score) associated with 1 SD change in the independent variable. The model is also corrected for the nine study sites by including these as dummy variables (coefficients of study sites are not shown).

effects of WMH location. So far, only two previous studies (with relatively small sample sizes of 76¹² and 118¹³ patients) investigated the concept of strategic WMH location for cognition after ischemic stroke. Zhao et al.¹² found clusters of significant WMH voxels in the corpus callosum, corona radiata, and posterior thalamic radiation. The study from Valdés Hernández et al.¹³ found that an increase of WMH from baseline to 1-year follow-up in the external capsule, intersection between the anterior limb of the internal and external capsules, and optical radiation, was associated with worse post-stroke cognitive functioning. Direct comparison between our results and these prior studies is complicated by the use of different anatomical parcellations and terminology for reporting strategic regions across studies, but based on a visual inspection of these prior results, the left anterior thalamic radiation and forceps major appear to be consistently identified as strategic white matter tracts.

International Journal of Stroke, 19(8)

Strengths of this study are the large sample size. Inclusion of individual patient data from nine cohorts from multiple continents provides geographical and ethnical diversity. Due to the large sample size, we were also able to stratify for infarct subtype, an important aspect given that different infarct subtypes are differentially related to WMH and stroke outcomes. Second, using a previously validated image processing pipeline,19 we were able to harmonize data from different centers using different scanners and MRI acquisition protocols. Third, the availability of detailed neuropsychological data made it possible to assess post-stroke cognitive functioning on a continuous outcome scale on the level of four different cognitive domains. Fourth, we obtained a high statistical power of the primary analysis using a hypothesis-driven approach including four preselected white matter tracts. However, this approach assumes generalizability of the strategic white matter tracts from a memory clinic setting to patients with ischemic stroke. Therefore, we also performed sensitivity analyses, which demonstrated that we did not miss any tracts with particular relevance in the context of stroke. Several other limitations also need to be considered. Although patients with pre-stroke dementia were excluded by most participating cohorts, based on either the Informant Questionnaire for Cognitive Decline in the Elderly score or prehospital diagnosis, PSCI could have influenced our findings.³ Unfortunately, we were not able to correct for global brain atrophy or medial temporal lobe atrophy in our analyses, because due to technical restraints, brain atrophy measures could not be obtained for a sufficient number of patients. It should also be noted that using a tract-based approach, we only included the 40% of the white matter which is considered in the JHU atlas. Also, the JHU atlas was derived using a simple tractography approach that largely neglects crossing fibers and thus potentially limits anatomical precision. For all patients, the acute infarct maps were subtracted from the WMH maps which might have led to an underestimation of total WMH volume, especially in patients with cortical and large subcortical infarcts. In addition, it was not possible to correct for infarcts in specific white matter tracts; therefore, patients with infarcts in a specific white matter tract were excluded from analyses considering that specific tract. Finally, WMH is a less sensitive marker of white matter injury compared to, for example, Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI).³⁰ Hence, future DTI studies might provide additional insights into strategic tracts for PSCI.

Implications of this study are that strategic WMH locations should be taken into account to optimally assess the effect of WMH on cognition after stroke at the level of an individual patient. Future studies could use these findings to develop multimodal prediction models that also include other determinants of post-stroke cognition, such as age, sex, and education, together with information on multiple lesion types and their locations, including the acute infarct, prior infarcts, and WMH. In summary, we identified the left anterior thalamic radiation and the forceps major as strategic white matter tracts related to domain-specific cognitive functioning in stroke patients. These results are in line with current pathophysio

patients. These results are in line with current pathophysiological models of PSCI that include pre-existing brain resilience and lesion burden as important determinants that may either protect against or predispose to cognitive impairment after stroke. As such, pre-existing strategic WMH may be relevant in explaining variation in cognitive outcomes after stroke, beyond what is already explained by total WMH volume and clinical and acute infarct characteristics.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The Meta VCI Map consortium is supported by Vici Grant 918.16.616 from ZonMW to GJB. The work of JMB was supported by Veni grant (project 9150162010055) from ZonMW. HPA reports grants from ZonMW. CPLHC reports grants from the National Medical Research Council (NMRC) of Singapore and National University of Singapore. SK received governmental funding from the Netherlands Society of Science (NWO) and ZonMW. The CASPER cohort was supported by Maastricht University, Health Foundation Limburg, and Stichting Adriana van Rinsum-Ponsen. The PROCRAS cohort was funded via ZonMW as part of the TopZorg project in 2015 (grant number 842003011). The CODECS cohort (ongoing) is supported by a grant from Stichting Coolsingel (grant number 514). The DEDEMAS cohort was funded by the Vascular Dementia Research Foundation.

ORCID iD

Mirthe Coenen D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7901-8232 Nick A Weaver D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8425-8436 Rong Fang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5663-9407 Jonguk Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3026-9532 Beom Joon Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2719-3012 Minwoo Lee D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8474-5744 Robert J van Oostenbrugge D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1032-9099

Julie Staals D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9502-6937 Geert Jan Biessels D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6862-2496 Matthijs Biesbroek D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7017-2148

Data availability statement

The Meta VCI Map consortium is dedicated to data sharing, following our guidelines.¹⁵

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. Pendlebury ST and Rothwell PM. Incidence and prevalence of dementia associated with transient ischaemic attack and stroke: analysis of the population-based Oxford Vascular Study. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18: 248–258.
- Barbay M, Taillia H, Nédélec-Ciceri C, et al. Prevalence of poststroke neurocognitive disorders using National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke-Canadian Stroke Network, VASCOG criteria (Vascular Behavioral and Cognitive Disorders), and optimized criteria of cognitive deficit. *Stroke* 2018; 49: 1141–1147.
- Weaver NA, Kuijf HJ, Aben HP, et al. Strategic infarct locations for post-stroke cognitive impairment: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from 12 acute ischaemic stroke cohorts. *Lancet Neurol* 2021; 20: 448–459.
- Zhao L, Biesbroek JM, Shi L, et al. Strategic infarct location for post-stroke cognitive impairment: a multivariate lesionsymptom mapping study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2018; 38: 1299–1311.
- Georgakis MK, Duering M, Wardlaw JM, et al. WMH and long-term outcomes in ischemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2019; 92: e1298–e1308.
- Burton EJ, Kenny RA, O'Brien J, et al. White matter hyperintensities are associated with impairment of memory, attention, and global cognitive performance in older stroke patients. *Stroke* 2004; 35: 1270–1275.
- Ihle-Hansen H, Thommessen B, Fagerland MW, et al. Impact of white matter lesions on cognition in stroke patients free from pre-stroke cognitive impairment: a one-year follow-up study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra* 2012; 2: 38–47.
- Wright A, Tippett D, Saxena S, et al. Leukoaraiosis is independently associated with naming outcome in poststroke aphasia. *Neurology* 2018; 91: e526–e532.
- Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Valenzuela MJ, et al. The neuropsychological profile of vascular cognitive impairment in stroke and TIA patients. *Neurology* 2004; 62: 912–919.
- Jokinen H, Kalska H, Mäntylä R, et al. White matter hyperintensities as a predictor of neuropsychological deficits poststroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005; 76: 1229–1233.
- De Kort FAS, Coenen M, Weaver NA, et al. White matter hyperintensity volume and poststroke cognition: an individual patient data pooled analysis of 9 ischemic stroke cohort studies. *Stroke* 2023; 54: 3021–3029.
- Zhao L, Wong A, Luo Y, et al. The additional contribution of white matter hyperintensity location to post-stroke cognitive impairment: insights from a multiple-lesion symptom mapping study. *Front Neurosci* 2018; 12: 290.
- Valdés Hernández MDC, Grimsley-Moore T, Chappell FM, et al. Post-stroke cognition at 1 and 3 years is influenced by the location of white matter hyperintensities in patients with lacunar stroke. *Front Neurol* 2021; 12: 634460.
- Coenen M, Kuijf HJ, Huenges Wajer IMC, et al. Strategic white matter hyperintensity locations for cognitive impairment: a multicenter lesion–symptom mapping study in 3525 memory clinic patients. *Alzheimers Dement* 2023; 19: 2420– 2432.
- 15. Weaver NA, Zhao L, Biesbroek JM, et al. The Meta VCI Map consortium for meta-analyses on strategic lesion locations for

vascular cognitive impairment using lesion-symptom mapping: design and multicenter pilot study. *Alzheimers Dement* 2019; 11: 310–326.

- Kuijf HJ, Biesbroek JM, De Bresser J, et al. Standardized assessment of automatic segmentation of white matter hyperintensities and results of the WMH segmentation challenge. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2019; 38: 2556–2568.
- Camarasa R, Doué C, De Bruijne M and Dubost F. Segmentation of white matter hyperintensities with an ensemble of multi-dimensional convolutional gated recurrent units, https://wmh.isi.uu.nl/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/coroflo. pdf (2018, accessed 7 November 2022).
- Fonov V, Evans AC, Botteron K, et al. Unbiased average ageappropriate atlases for pediatric studies. *Neuroimage* 2011; 54: 313–327.
- Biesbroek JM, Kuijf HJ, Weaver NA, et al. Brain infarct segmentation and registration on MRI or CT for lesion-symptom mapping. *J Vis Exp*. Epub ahead of print 25 September 2019. DOI: 10.3791/59653.
- Fonov V, Evans A, McKinstry R, Almli CR and Collins DL. Unbiased nonlinear average age-appropriate brain templates from birth to adulthood. *Neuroimage* 2009; 47: S102.
- Hua K, Zhang J, Wakana S, et al. Tract probability maps in stereotaxic spaces: analyses of white matter anatomy and tract-specific quantification. *Neuroimage* 2008; 39: 336–347.
- Duering M, Gonik M, Malik R, et al. Identification of a strategic brain network underlying processing speed deficits in vascular cognitive impairment. *Neuroimage* 2013; 66: 177–183.
- Duering M, Zieren N, Hervé D, et al. Strategic role of frontal white matter tracts in vascular cognitive impairment: a voxelbased lesion-symptom mapping study in CADASIL. *Brain* 2011; 134: 2366–2375.
- Lampe L, Kharabian-Masouleh S, Kynast J, et al. Lesion location matters: the relationships between white matter hyperintensities on cognition in the healthy elderly. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2019; 39: 36–43.
- 25. Biesbroek JM, Kuijf HJ, Van der Graaf Y, et al. Association between subcortical vascular lesion location and cognition: a voxel-based and tract-based lesion-symptom mapping study. The SMART-MR study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e60541.
- Biesbroek JM, Weaver NA and Biessels GJ. Lesion location and cognitive impact of cerebral small vessel disease. *Clin Sci* 2017; 131: 715–728.
- Smith EE, Salat DH, Jeng J, et al. Correlations between MRI white matter lesion location and executive function and episodic memory. *Neurology* 2011; 76: 1492–1499.
- Kahle W and Frotscher M. Color atlas and textbook of human anatomy: nervous systems and sensory organs. 5th ed. New York: Georg Thieme, 2003.
- Weaver NA, Doeven T, Barkhof F, et al. Cerebral amyloid burden is associated with white matter hyperintensity location in specific posterior white matter regions. *Neurobiol Aging* 2019; 84: 225–234.
- 30. Baykara E, Gesierich B, Adam R, et al. A novel imaging marker for small vessel disease based on skeletonization of white matter tracts and diffusion histograms. *Ann Neurol* 2016; 80: 581–592.