

Temperature-Dependent Kinetics of the Reactions of the Criegee Intermediate CH2OO with Aliphatic Aldehydes

Jonas J. [Enders,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jonas+J.+Enders"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Zachary A. [Cornwell,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Zachary+A.+Cornwell"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Aaron W. [Harrison,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Aaron+W.+Harrison"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Craig [Murray](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Craig+Murray"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-7-0)

Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2024, 128, [7879−7888](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990?ref=pdf)**

ACCES More **ACCESS** More **ARECOMMENDED Article [Recommendations](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990?goto=recommendations&?ref=pdf) Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990?goto=supporting-info&ref=pdf)**

ABSTRACT: Criegee intermediates, formed by alkene ozonolysis in the troposphere, can react with volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The temperature-dependent kinetics of the reactions between the Criegee intermediate CH₂OO and three aliphatic aldehydes, RCHO where $R = H$, $CH₃$, and $C₂H₅$ (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and propionaldehyde, respectively), have been studied using a laser flash-photolysis transient absorption spectroscopy technique. The experimental measurements are supported by *ab initio* calculations at various composite levels of theory that characterize stationary points on the reaction potential and free energy surfaces. As with other reactions of CH₂OO with organic carbonyls, the mechanisms involve 1,3-

dipolar cycloaddition at the C=O group, over submerged barriers, leading to the formation of 1,2,4-trioxolane secondary ozonides. The bimolecular rate constants of all three reactions decrease with increasing temperature over the range 275−335 K and are characterized by equations of Arrhenius form: $k(T) = (7.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-14}$ exp((1160 ± 60)/T), (8.9 ± 1.7) × 10⁻¹⁵exp((1530 ± 60)/T), and $(5.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-14}$ exp((1210 \pm 70)/T) cm³ s⁻¹ for HCHO, CH₃CHO, and C₂H₅CHO, respectively. Based on estimated concentrations of $CH₂OO$, the reactions with aldehydes are unlikely to play a significant role in the atmosphere.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Aldehydes (RCHO) are found in the atmosphere as a result of direct anthropogenic and biogenic emissions and are formed as secondary products of alkane and alkene oxidation.^{[1](#page-7-0)} Anthropogenic aldehyde emissions are centered around urban areas, but biogenic emissions and secondary production create an even distribution across the atmosphere, with global average mixing ratios in the parts per billion range.^{2−[4](#page-7-0)} The two most common aldehydes found in the atmosphere are formaldehyde and acetaldehyde.^{[5](#page-7-0)} The ability of aldehydes to form diols in the aqueous phase leads to large Henry's law coefficients, implying that the scavenging of aldehydes from the gas phase by aqueous droplets is important.^{[6](#page-7-0),[7](#page-7-0)} Atmospheric lifetimes of gas phase aldehydes are determined by photolysis and reaction with $OH³$ $OH³$ $OH³$ Photolytic lifetimes under typical tropospheric conditions range from $10-20$ $10-20$ h,^{[8](#page-7-0)-10} while reaction with OH radicals are similar, ranging from 5−30 h, depending on the aldehyde.^{[11](#page-7-0)} The reactive pathway leads ultimately to an increase in tropospheric O_3 , volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in the atmosphere.[3](#page-7-0) Another potential sink for aldehydes in the atmosphere, also leading to the formation of SOAs and less volatile VOCs, is reaction with Criegee intermediates (CIs), which is the subject of this work.

CIs are reactive carbonyl oxides (R_1R_2COO) formed as products of alkene ozonolysis.^{[12](#page-7-0)−[14](#page-7-0)} Extensive recent work has product of unions exercisely.^{15,[16](#page-7-0)} unimolecular decay mecha-
nisms, 17 and reactivity toward trace atmospheric trace and reactivity toward trace atmospheric trace gases.^{18−[21](#page-8-0)} The most prevalent CI in the atmosphere is thought to be formaldehyde oxide $(CH_2OO)_i^{22}$ $(CH_2OO)_i^{22}$ $(CH_2OO)_i^{22}$ and its reactions with various RCHO species have been studied experimentally^{[23](#page-8-0)−[31](#page-8-0)} and computationally.^{[25,30](#page-8-0),[32](#page-8-0)−[35](#page-8-0)} Recently, Luo et al. have used mid-infrared frequency combs to measure a room temperature rate constant of $(4.11 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-12}$ cm^3 s⁻¹ for the reaction of CH₂OO with formaldehyde (HCHO), and showed that it could lead to enhanced production of formic acid (HCOOH) in the upper troposphere. The reaction of $CH₂OO$ with acetaldehyde $(CH₃CHO)$ has been studied using time-resolved photoionization mass spectrometry (PIMS), UV absorption spectroscopy, and LIF detection of secondary OH, finding room temperature rate constants of $(0.95 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-12}$, (1.3 ± 1.0) 0.2) × 10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹, and (2.16 ± 0.39) × 10^{-12} , respectively.[23](#page-8-0),[25,31](#page-8-0) Indirect measurements of HCHO production using laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) suggest a highpressure limit room temperature rate constant of 1.7×10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹.^{[24](#page-8-0)} Reactions involving larger aliphatic aldehydes have been studied more extensively. Using a technique based on OH laser-induced fluorescence(LIF), 36 Dong and co-workers have quantified the kinetics of reactions of CH₂OO with

Received: July 25, 2024 Revised: August 27, 2024 Accepted: August 30, 2024 Published: September 5, 2024

propionaldehyde (C2H5CHO), linear *n*-butyraldehyde (*n*-C3H7CHO), and branched *iso*-butyraldehyde (*iso*- C_3H_7CHO), reporting high-pressure limit room temperature rate constants of $(3.23 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-12}$, $(2.97 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-12}$, and $(3.99 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹, respectively.^{[26](#page-8-0)-[28](#page-8-0)} Cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) measurements by Debnath and Rajakumar on the $CH₂OO + n-C₃H₇CHO$ and *iso*- C_3H_7CHO reactions resulted in slightly smaller room temperature rate constants of $(2.63 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-12}$ and $(2.20 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s^{-1,[30](#page-8-0)} Weak negative temperature dependences have been observed for all $CH₂OO + RHCO$ reactions, with typical $-E_a/R$ values of approximately 1000 K $(E_a = -1.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, independent of the identity of the substituent[.25](#page-8-0)[−][28,30](#page-8-0)

Recently, we have embarked on a series of kinetics studies exploring the effects of different carbonyl substituents on the reactivity of CH₂OO with organic carbonyls (R_1R_2CO) ,^{37–[39](#page-8-0)} and have observed a strong correlation between bimolecular rate constants and energies of frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs)[.40](#page-8-0)[−][42](#page-8-0) The primary interaction controlling reactivity is between the occupied $n(p_C-p_O)$ orbital of CH₂OO and the lowest unoccupied π^* orbital of the R₁R₂CO reactant. Groups with electron-withdrawing character, loosely quantified by Hammett substituent constants, lower the *π** orbital energy and increase reactivity, while electron-donating groups have the opposite effect. The FMO picture can be used to explain observed reactivity trends for CH₂OO with a range of organic carbonyls and quantitatively predict rate constants. Alkyl substituents are electron-donating and deactivate the carbonyl, hence ketones react with CH_2OO relatively slowly (rate constants of order 10^{-13} cm³ s⁻¹).^{[23,25](#page-8-0),[37,38,43](#page-8-0)-[45](#page-8-0)} Hydroxykeconstants of order 10^{-13} cm³ s⁻¹).^{23,25,37,38,43-45} Hydroxyketones react with CH₂OO with a similar rate to acetaldehyde,³⁹ while carbonyls with electron-withdrawing groups, such as *α*dicarbonyls or hexafluoracetone, tend to react even faster[.23](#page-8-0),[36](#page-8-0)[−][38](#page-8-0) A preliminary correlation between bimolecular rate constants and the *π** orbital energies allowed us to predict a room temperature rate constant for the reaction of $CH₂OO$ with HCHO that was subsequently confirmed by the measurements of Luo et al. $29,37$

Here we examine the reactions of $CH₂OO$ with a series of aldehydes (RCHO, where R = H, CH₃, and C₂H₅). Temperature-dependent bimolecular rate constants for all three reactions are measured over the range 275−335 K using a laser flash photolysis transient absorption spectroscopy technique. The experimental results are paired with complementary *ab initio* calculations that map out the reaction pathways and explain reactivity trends resulting from different substituents within the framework of FMO theory. The potential atmospheric implications of the $CH₂OO + RCHO$ reactions will be briefly discussed.

■ **EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

Experimental Methods. Experiments were carried out in a laser flash photolysis transient absorption spectroscopy apparatus that has been described in detail previously.³ CH₂OO is produced in a temperature-controlled flow reactor by laser photolysis of diiodomethane (CH_2I_2) in the presence of excess O_2 . Absolute time-dependent concentrations of CH2OO were determined from transient absorption spectra acquired at various time delays after photolysis by dispersing the transmitted output of pulsed UV LEDs in a spectrograph. Each transient spectrum was obtained from the accumulation

of 400 reference $I_0(\lambda)$ spectra at $\Delta t = t_{LED} - t_{laser} < 0$ and 400 signal spectra I(λ)at each $\Delta t > 0$. Spectra were acquired at 15 delays, acquired by cycling (typically four times) over the delays to minimize any systematic errors. Kinetics measurements were made under pseudo-first-order conditions, using aldehyde concentrations that were at least 2 orders of magnitude greater than that of $CH₂OO$.

Liquid reactants, acetaldehyde (CH_3CHO) and propionaldehyde (C_2H_5CHO) , were placed in smog bubblers and carried into the reactor by a flow of N_2 , with total reactant flow rates controlled using a series of choked-flow orifices.[37](#page-8-0)−[39](#page-8-0) The bubblers were held in an ice/water slush at 273 K to ensure the vapor pressures of the aldehydes remained constant (316.8 Torr for acetaldehyde and 98.8 Torr for propionaldehyde).^{[46](#page-8-0)} $CH₂I₂$ was photolyzed using the 355 nm output of an Nd:YAG laser with a typical fluence of approximately 28 mJ $\rm cm^{-2}.$ The photolysis laser beam was steered through the cell using dichroic mirrors, which, in conjunction with long-pass filters that protect the LED driver from residual laser light, limit detection to *λ* > 363 nm. Transient absorption spectra were recorded in the range 363−390 nm using the counterpropagating output of a 365 nm LED, which captures both CH₂OO and the IO byproduct, as shown in Figure $1.^{47-51}$ $1.^{47-51}$ $1.^{47-51}$ $1.^{47-51}$ $1.^{47-51}$

Figure 1. Absorption spectra of (top panel) RCHO reactants (HCHO, CH₃CHO, and C₂H₅CHO) and (lower panel) transient species $CH₂OO$ and IO, obtained from various sources, as discussed in the text. The gray shaded boxes represent the wavelength regions covered by each probe UV LED. Probe wavelength ranges are restricted to *λ* ≥ 315 nm for 266 nm photolysis and *λ* ≥ 363 nm for 355 nm photolysis.

Separate absorption spectroscopy measurements in the range 270−295 nm using a 280 nm UV LED were made to quantify the CH₃CHO and C₂H₅CHO concentrations achieved with each choked-flow orifice using their first UV absorption bands (see Figure 1), $51,52$ under conditions that were otherwise identical to those used during kinetics experiments.

The experimental approach was modified for experiments with formaldehyde (HCHO). First, gaseous HCHO was produced through thermal decomposition of paraformaldehdye (PFA). Solid PFA was packed in a stainless-steel sample holder (Swagelok) and held in place with glass wool. A band heater (MPI Morheat) was used to heat the sample holder to

Figure 2. (a) Typical [CH₂OO], time profiles in the presence of different concentrations of CH₂CHO (blue) (labeled A and B) at 295 K; (b) as (a) but different concentrations of C2H5CHO (red) (labeled C and D). Error bars are 1*σ* uncertainties in the concentrations derived from fitting the transient absorption spectra. Solid lines are fits to the integrated rate equation, and shaded areas represent 1*σ* prediction bands. (c) Pseudo-firstorder plot of *k*loss against [RCHO] at 295 K. The specific data points corresponding to time profiles A−D in panels (a) and (b) are highlighted. Vertical and horizontal error bars represent the 1σ statistical uncertainty in fitting CH₂OO concentration profiles and uncertainty arising from aldehyde concentration calibration measurements, respectively. Solid lines are linear fits and shaded areas represent 1*σ* prediction bands.

temperatures in the range 360−380 K, and gaseous HCHO was carried into the reactor by a flow of N_2 . Experiments with HCHO used a shorter photolysis wavelength of 266 nm, which allowed for the use of long-pass dichroic mirrors and filters that extended the detection window to *λ* > 315 nm. A 340 nm UV LED was used to measure transient absorption spectra in the range $338-355$ nm, which spans the CH₂OO absorption maximum, while minimizing the contribution of the IO byproduct. The first three vibronic bands of the $S_0 \rightarrow$ S1(n*π**) transition of HCHO also fall within this wavelength range as shown in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1, allowing its concentration to be monitored *in situ* throughout the kinetics measurements.^{52–[54](#page-9-0)} Consequently, we did not attempt to directly control [HCHO] in the flow reactor, as we did for the other aldehydes. Rather, the observed [HCHO] depended on the sample temperature and decayed slowly with time, allowing access to a range of concentrations. The absorption cross section of $CH₂I₂$ is around five times higher at 266 nm than at 355 nm, $55,56$ $55,56$ so lower fluences of approximately 5 mJ cm⁻² were used to ensure that a comparable fraction of $CH₂I₂$ was photolyzed.

Experiments were typically performed at a total pressure of 73–76 Torr, with the gas mixture comprising $[CH₂I₂] = 1 \times$ 10^{15} cm⁻³, [O₂] = 2 × 10¹⁷ cm⁻³, [RCHO] = (0.1–7) × 10¹⁶ cm⁻³, and balance $[N_2] \approx 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The flow reactor temperature was varied over the range 275−335 K, with a precision of better than 1 K, as measured on two thermocouples. All chemicals were used as supplied: O_2 (Airgas, UHP 4.4), N₂ (Airgas, industrial grade), $CH₂I₂$ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), paraformaldehyde (Fisher Scientific, 100%), CH₃CHO (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), and C_2H_5CHO (Sigma-Aldrich, 97%).

Computational Methods. Unimolecular reaction intermediates and energies involved in the cycloaddition reaction of $CH₂OO$ to HCHO, CH₃CHO, and C₂H₅CHO were characterized with the Gaussian 16 software package. 5 Equilibrium and transition state structures were calculated with the CBS-QB3 composite method. Transition states and minima were confirmed by frequency analysis. Images and Cartesian coordinates of the optimized geometries of the entrance channel complexes, transition states, and secondary ozonide (SOZ) products for each aldehyde can be found in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf).

■ **RESULTS**

The reactions of CH₂OO with RCHO (R = H, CH₃, C₂H₅) were studied over the temperature range 275−335 K and at a total pressure of $73-76$ Torr. CH₂OO was generated by the rapid reaction of $CH₂I$, produced by the laser photolysis of $CH₂I₂$ at either 355 or 266 nm, with $O₂$. Time-dependent concentrations $[CH_2OO]_t$ were determined from transient absorption spectra recorded at ∼15 time delays after photolysis, as discussed below. Peak concentrations ([CH2OO]0 = (6−8) × 10¹² cm[−]³) were reached ∼20 *μ*s after photolysis, indicating that $\langle 1\% \rangle$ of the CH₂I₂ precursor was photolyzed on each laser pulse, independent of photolysis wavelength. Following the initial fast formation as a product of the CH₂I + O₂ reaction, CH₂OO is lost on a time scale of \sim 2 ms by bimolecular self-reaction and background loss processes, such as reaction with I atoms.^{[58](#page-9-0)} The presence of excess aldehydes with $[RCHO]/[CH_2OO]_0 \geq 10^2$ provides an additional pseudo-1st order loss that shortens the $CH₂OO$ lifetime. The kinetic model accounting for these $CH₂OO$ loss processes has been described previously, $37-39$ $37-39$ $37-39$ and results in the following integrated rate equation, which is used to fit the [CH2OO]*^t* concentration−time profiles:

$$
[CH2OO]t =
$$

$$
\frac{k_{\text{loss}}[CH2OO]0}{k_{\text{loss}}exp(k_{\text{loss}}t) - 2k_{\text{self}}[CH2OO]0[1 - \exp(k_{\text{loss}}t)]}
$$
(1)

The value of k_{self} is held fixed at the temperatureindependent value of 7.8 \times 10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹as discussed in previous work.^{[38](#page-8-0)} The pseudo-first-order rate constant k_{loss} = k_{bed} + k_{RCHO} [RCHO], where the background loss rate in the absence of RCHO at room temperature is typically $k_{\text{bdd}} \approx 1500$ s^{-1} . Bimolecular rate constants k_{RCHO} are determined as the gradients of linear fits of the observed loss CH₂OO rates k_{loss} plotted as a function of aldehyde concentration [RCHO].

Kinetics of the Reactions of CH2OO with CH3CHO and C_2H_5CHO . Kinetics measurements of the CH_3HCO and C_2H_5CHO reactions followed the same approach used previously.^{[38](#page-8-0),[39](#page-8-0)} Following photolysis of CH_2I_2 at 355 nm, transient absorption spectra were acquired in the range 363− 390 nm, capturing contributions from both $CH₂OO$ and IO, at

Figure 3. (a) Average HCHO absorption spectra (solid lines) obtained over the course of four kinetics runs (labeled A−D) at 295 K, along with fits (dashed lines). The spectra have been offset vertically 1×10^{-3} for clarity. The inset shows the decrease in [HCHO] over the course of the experiment. An exponential decay (red) is used to model the decline in [HCHO] and estimate the uncertainties in the average value as described in the text. (b) [CH2OO]*^t* time profiles for each average ⟨[HCHO]⟩, along with fits. (c) Pseudo-first-order plot of *k*loss against ⟨[HCHO]⟩. Vertical and horizontal error bars represent the statistical uncertainty in fitting CH₂OO concentration profiles and the statistical uncertainty determined from the variation in HCHO concentrations, respectively. The specific data points corresponding to time profiles A−D in panel (b) are highlighted. The solid line is a linear fit to the experimental data, and the shaded area represents 1*σ* prediction bands.

fixed flow reactor temperature and [RCHO] concentration. Time-dependent concentrations $\lfloor CH_2OO \rfloor_t$ (and $\lfloor IO|_t$) were determined by decomposing the transient spectra into their respective contributions using known wavelength-dependent absorption cross sections[,47](#page-8-0)[−][50](#page-8-0) which are shown in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1. Examples of $\left[\text{CH}_2\text{OO}\right]_t$ profiles in the presence of different concentrations of CH₃CHO and C_2H_5CHO obtained at 295 K are shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2a,b, respectively. The $CH₂OO$ lifetime decreases in the presence of higher concentrations of RCHO and loss rates k_{loss} are obtained from fitting the $[\text{CH}_2\text{OO}]_t$ time profiles to eq E1. The aldehyde concentrations present in the flow reactor during kinetics measurements were varied using a range of choked-flow orifices, with concentration calibration determined from absorption spectra in the range 270−295 nm using a 280 nm UV LED (see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1) under otherwise identical conditions. The calibration method has been described previously and is summarized in the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf). [38](#page-8-0),[39](#page-8-0)

[Figure](#page-2-0) 2c shows the complete set of $CH₂OO$ loss rates measured at different $CH₃CHO$ and $C₂H₅CHO$ concentrations at room temperature (295 K). The plots of k_{loss} against [RCHO] are linear, as expected for pseudo-first-order kinetics in which RCHO is present in excess. Bimolecular rate constants are obtained by performing a global fit to the complete data sets. At room temperature, the rate constant for the reaction of CH₂OO with CH₃CHO is $k_{\text{CH,CHO}} = (1.61 \pm$ $(0.14) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹ while the C₂H₅CHO reaction is faster with a rate constant $k_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{CHO}} = (3.29 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The quoted error limits are 1σ statistical uncertainties from linear fits, which were weighted by the uncertainties in both *k*loss and [RCHO]. The results of temperature-dependent measurements are described below.

Kinetics of the Reaction of CH2OO with HCHO. Experiments with HCHO required a departure from the experimental methods used for CH_3CHO and C_2H_5CHO . A shorter photolysis wavelength of 266 nm was used to dissociate $CH₂I₂$, which allowed transient spectra in the range 338–355 nm to be acquired using a 340 nm UV LED. The shorterwavelength probe window spans the maximum of the $CH₂OO$ absorption,^{[47](#page-8-0),[49](#page-8-0)} and is less sensitive to the byproduct IO,⁵⁰

which was neglected in the analysis of the transient absorption spectra. It also allows *in situ* detection of HCHO, as it spans the $2_0^14_0^1$, 4_0^3 , and 4_0^1 bands associated with excitation to the S₁ state that lie at 339.0, 343.2, and 3[53](#page-9-0).2 nm ⁵³ for which absorption cross sections are well-known.^{[54](#page-9-0)} The relevant absorption spectra and the 340 nm LED wavelength range are shown in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1. We note that the peak absorption cross section of the transient $CH₂OO$ is around 300 times greater than of HCHO, leading to similar order of magnitude absorbances under pseudo-first-order conditions.

Gaseous HCHO was produced by thermal decomposition of PFA in a sample holder, which was held at a constant temperature in the range 360−380 K. HCHO absorption spectra were obtained by using appropriate combinations of reference and signal spectra from the usual data acquisition procedure. The reference spectra are provided by blank runs ([HCHO] = 0) acquired to determine background $CH₂OO$ loss rates in the absence of aldehyde, while the "signal" spectra are provided by the transient reference spectra that are acquired during reaction runs ([HCHO] \neq 0, Δt < 0), for which the flow of gases other than HCHO are identical. Since the data acquisition cycles over the delay sets, the HCHO "signal" spectra are acquired at fixed intervals during each kinetics measurement. The absorption spectra are analyzed to determine the average HCHO concentration ⟨[HCHO]⟩ that was present in the flow reactor during the measurement.

Figure 3a shows an example of four consecutive HCHO absorption spectra, labeled A−D, that were obtained in backto-back kinetics measurements (each stepping over 15 photolysis-probe delays) with the PFA sample holder held at 375 K and the flow reactor at 295 K. The inset shows that ⟨[HCHO]⟩ derived from the spectra decreased monotonically with time, by about a factor of 2 over the course of four successive kinetics measurements, and is well-described by an exponential decay. The uncertainty in the HCHO concentration was estimated from the fit and represents the standard deviation in the average concentration observed during the measurements. The effect of the decreasing HCHO concentration is reflected in the steadily decreasing $CH₂OO$ loss rates shown in Figure 3b. The complete set of k_{loss} values obtained at 295 K from fitting each $[CH_2OO]_t$ time profile to eq E1 are

plotted against ⟨[HCHO]⟩ in [Figure](#page-3-0) 3c. A linear fit yields a room temperature bimolecular rate constant for the $CH₂OO +$ HCHO reaction of $k_{\text{HCHO}} = (3.50 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, where the error represents a 1σ statistical uncertainty from the fit, weighted by the uncertainties in k_{loss} and \langle [HCHO] \rangle .

Temperature and Pressure Dependence. Kinetics measurements for all three $CH₂OO + RCHO$ reactions were made at temperatures of 275, 295, 315, and 335 K, and at a total pressure of ∼78 Torr. The complete set of pseudo-first order plots at all four experimental temperatures in the range 275−335 K are shown in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf) S4−S6 of the [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf). The bimolecular rate constants measured for each reaction at each temperature are summarized in Table 1. All

Table 1. Bimolecular Rate Constants for the Reactions of $CH₂OO$ with HCHO, CH₃CHO, and C₂H₅CHO across the Temperature Range ²⁷⁵−³³⁵ ^K*^a*

	k_{RCHO} / 10 ⁻¹² cm ³ s ⁻¹		
T/K	HCHO	CH ₃ CHO	C ₂ H ₅ CHO
275	$4.84 + 0.41$	$2.37 + 0.21$	$4.35 + 0.38$
295	$3.50 + 0.35$	$1.61 + 0.14$	$3.29 + 0.29$
315	$3.16 + 0.28$	1.17 ± 0.10	$2.36 + 0.20$
335	$2.16 + 0.19$	0.83 ± 0.07	$2.03 + 0.18$
a Errors are 1 σ statistical uncertainties from the fits.			

three reactions display negative temperature dependencies. The Arrhenius plots shown in Figure 4 are linear over the

Figure 4. Arrhenius plots for the reactions of $CH₂OO$ with $HCHO$ (black), CH₃CHO (blue), and C₂H₅CHO (red). Solid lines are linear fits with shaded areas representing 1*σ* prediction bands.

275−335 K range and the temperature-dependent bimolecular rate constants are well-characterized by equations of Arrhenius form: $k_{\text{HCHO}}(T) = (7.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-14} \exp((1160 \pm 60)/T)$, $k_{\text{CH,CHO}}(T) = (8.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-15} \exp((1530 \pm 60)/T)$, and $k_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{CHO}}(T) = (5.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-14} \text{exp}((1210 \pm 70)/T) \text{ cm}^3$ s −1 . The Arrhenius pre-exponential factors *A* and activation energies E_a are compiled in Table 2. Both *A* and E_a values are similar for the HCHO and C_2H_5CHO reactions, while for the CH3CHO reaction the *A* factor is an order of magnitude smaller, but a stronger *T* dependence (more negative *E*a) is found.

a Standard Gibbs energy of activation is evaluated at 298 K, assuming no *T* dependence in the standard enthalpies and entropies of activation.

The standard entropy of activation Δ‡ *S*° and the standard enthalpy of activation Δ‡ *H*° for each reaction can be found from the Arrhenius parameters using the relations

$$
\Delta^{\ddagger} S^{\circ} = R \left[\ln A - \ln \left(\frac{e^2 k_{\rm B}^2 T^2}{h p^{\circ}} \right) \right]
$$
 (2)

and

$$
\Delta^{\ddagger}H^{\circ} = E_{A} - 2RT \tag{3}
$$

where the *T*-dependent rate constant is described by the thermodynamic formulation of canonical transition state theory $(CTST)$ for a bimolecular reaction.^{[59](#page-9-0)} The values derived for $T = 298$ K and standard pressure $p^{\circ} = 1$ bar are summarized in Table 2. The values of $\Delta^{\ddagger}H^{\circ}$ and $\Delta^{\ddagger}S^{\circ}$ are similar for the HCHO and C_2H_5CHO reactions at around -3.5 kcal mol⁻¹ and -34 cal K⁻¹ mol⁻¹, respectively, while those for the CH₃CHO reaction are more negative at -4.2 kcal mol[−]¹ and −38 cal K[−]¹ mol[−]¹ . The standard Gibbs energies of activation $\Delta^{\ddagger}G^{\circ}$ at 298 K span a range of only 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹, which is sufficient to cause a factor of 2 variation in the calculated rate constants.

A limited set of pressure-dependent measurements was performed with constant $CH₃CHO$ and $C₂H₅CHO$ concentrations to confirm that the primary reactions were likely studied at the high-P limit, as expected.^{[25,26](#page-8-0)} CH₂OO loss rates were measured at constant [RCHO], while the flow rate of N_2 buffer gas was varied to change the total pressure P_{tot} across the range 40−100 Torr. All other gas flows were held constant. The average *k*loss values were constant across the pressure range at as shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf) S7 in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf). The absence of any significant change in k_{loss} with pressure is consistent with the high- P limit having been reached at P_{tot} < 40 Torr.

Computational Results. The cycloaddition reaction pathways of $CH₂OO$ with each aldehyde were investigated using the CBS-QB3 composite method. This 1,3-cycloaddition involves the initial formation of a reactive van der Waals (vdW) complex, which then passes over the transition state (TS) to yield the secondary ozonide (SOZ). For the asymmetric aldehydes acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde, the cycloaddition can occur in two ways depending on the orientation of CH₂OO. Structures with the central O atom of the CI oriented toward the aldehydic H atom are labeled as *exo*, and those oriented toward the alkyl group are labeled *endo*. The TS energy barriers for *endo* vs *exo* approach are nearly identical for acetaldehyde (the *exo* pathway being lower in energy or free energy by ∼0.3 kcal mol[−]¹) but the *endo* pathway causes a more significant distortion of propionaldehyde in which there is rotation about the *α*-CC bond, converting the methyl group from a *syn* to a *gauche* arrangement with respect to the O atom (see TS structures in Figure 5). This distortion results in a TS barrier that is ∼0.7

Figure 5. Transition state structures calculated at the CBS-QB3 level for the cycloaddition reaction between CH_2OO and C_2H_5CHO in the (a) *exo* and (b) *endo* configurations.

kcal mol[−]¹ higher for *endo* attack. As such, we focused on the *exo* addition path for both acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde. These findings are presented in Table 3; the results for the *endo* pathways are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf) S1 in Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf). Overall, the TS free energy barriers at 298 K determined from the CBS-QB3 calculations order them as formaldehyde (+3.6 kcal mol $^{-1})$ < acetaldehyde (+5.1 kcal mol $^{-1}) \sim$ propionaldehyde (+5.2 kcal mol[−]¹). We have not carried out an extensive search to identify various conformers of the SOZ products, but energy differences are expected to be small relative to the exoergicity of the reactions.

■ **DISCUSSION**

The experimental rate constants measured in this work generally agree with previously reported values. Luo et al. $2²$ found a room temperature rate constant for the $CH₂OO +$ HCHO reaction of $(4.11 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹, with which our value of $(3.5 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹ agrees within the mutual (1σ) uncertainties. We note that both reported experimental values also fall comfortably within the range $(1.9-6.4) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹ predicted by Cornwell et al.^{[37](#page-8-0)} based on an inverse correlation between ln *k* and the energy gap between FMOs (evaluated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ level) of the Criegee intermediate and various organic carbonyl reactants. The experimental activation energies (−*E*a/R = 910 \pm 20 K measured by Luo et al. and 1160 \pm 60 K measured in this work) are also in reasonably good agreement.

The $CH₂OO + CH₃CHO$ reaction has been studied more extensively experimentally. Initial PIMS measurements by Taatjes et al. 23 at a total pressure of 4 Torr found a room temperature rate constant of $(0.95 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹, while Elsamra et al. 25 used a UV absorption technique to measure a value of $(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ s⁻¹ at 50 Torr and observed a slight positive pressure dependence. Jiang et al. 31 found a room temperature rate constant of (2.16 ± 0.39) × 10[−]¹² cm3 s [−]¹ at 50 Torr using LIF detection of OH produced by CH₂OO decomposition. Our value of (1.61 ± 0.14) × 10[−]¹² cm3 s −1 , measured at ∼80 Torr, falls between the higher pressure measurements and is also in excellent agreement with the estimated high-pressure limit value of 1.7×10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹ estimated by Stone et al., 24 24 24 based on indirect measurements using LIF detection of HCHO secondary products. Since we additionally do not observe any change in $CH₂OO$ loss rate with total pressure, we expect that our measurements were made at the high-pressure limit. The discrepancy between our results and the earlier measurements is likely a consequence of different total pressure and choice of buffer gas (He in the earlier work and N_2 in this work). The temperature dependence of the acetaldehyde reaction was also investigated by Elsamra et al. and Jiang et al.,^{[25,31](#page-8-0)} who found values of − $E_a/R = 1090 \pm 170$ and 870 \pm 156 K, respectively, both of which are smaller than the value of 1530 ± 60 K found in the current work. In general, the *T*-dependent rate constants reported by Jiang et al. are systematically larger than those of Elsamra et al., while our values are in excellent agreement with the latter the high end and with the former at the low end of our experimental temperature range, leading to a steeper Arrhenius plot. The origin of the discrepancy is not clear.

Finally, the room temperature rate constant of (3.29 ± 0.29) \times 10⁻¹² cm³ s⁻¹ measured for the CH₂OO + C₂H₅CHO reaction is in excellent agreement with the value (3.2 ± 0.2) *×* 10⁻¹² cm³ determined by Liu et al.^{[26](#page-8-0)} using an OH LIF technique. The experimental propionaldehyde reaction rate constants were both made under conditions that correspond to the high-*P* limit. The activation energies are also in reasonably good agreement: Liu et al. reported a value $-E_a/R = 1000 \pm 1000$ 120 K, while we find a value of 1210 ± 70 K. In general, aldehydes with alkyl substituents larger than methyl, tend to show greater reactivity toward $CH₂OO$ than acetaldehyde does.[27](#page-8-0),[28,30](#page-8-0)

The reactions of $CH₂OO$ with formaldehyde and acetaldehyde have been the subject of previous computational studies at the CCSD(T)-F12 level of theory[.32](#page-8-0),[35](#page-8-0) The Δ(*E* +ZPE) energies of the vdW, TS, and SOZ reported here at the CBS-QB3 level are in general within ∼1 kcal mol[−]¹ . The biggest discrepancy is the TS energy of -5.1 kcal mol⁻¹ reported by Zhang et al., which is higher than the value of −6.7 kcal mol[−]¹ determined here. The TS energy may be

Table 3. Relative Energies, including Zero-Point Contributions, **Δ**(*E*+ZPE) at 0 K, Standard Enthalpies **Δ***H***°** at 298 K, and Standard Free Energies **Δ***G***°** at 298 K Calculated at the CBS-QB3 Levels for the SOZ *exo* Pathway for Reactions of CH2OO with Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, and Propionaldehyde*^a*

^{*a*}All energies are reported in kcal mol⁻¹.

underestimated at the CBS-QB3 level of theory. The calculated free energies for the vdW complex and TS for acetaldehyde were also reported by Wei et al. at the $CCSD(T)/aug-cc$ pVTZ//B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory to be +3.4 and +7.2 kcal mol $^{-1}$, respectively, $\overset{60}{\scriptstyle{0}}$ $\overset{60}{\scriptstyle{0}}$ $\overset{60}{\scriptstyle{0}}$ which is another indication that CBS-QB3 is possibly overbinding the complex and transition state. However, CBS-QB3 compares favorably to the higher correlation methods in general and is expected to perform reasonably well for larger aldehydes such as propionaldehyde. The calculated free energy barriers at the CBS-QB3 level of theory [\(Table](#page-5-0) 3) show the following trend: $\Delta G^{\circ}(\text{HCHO}) < \Delta G^{\circ}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{CHO}) \sim \Delta G^{\circ}(\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{CHO})$. While formaldehyde reacts faster than acetaldehyde, consistent with the calculations, propionaldehyde, by contrast, has a measured rate constant similar to formaldehyde. Comparison of the experimental and thermodynamics data shown in [Table](#page-4-0) 2 and [Table](#page-5-0) 3, respectively, shows that calculated free energies and enthalpies of activation are systematically lower than those derived from the Arrhenius analysis of the experimental data.

In previous work, $37-39$ $37-39$ $37-39$ we have explored relationships between the room temperature rate constants of 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions of CH_2OO with R_1R_2CO species and the electron-donating or electron-withdrawing effects of the R_1 and R₂ substituents. Hammett substituent constants ($\sigma_{\rm m}$ or σ_p)^{[\\$](#page-7-0)} describe the electron-donating or electron-withdrawing nature of a given substituent relative to hydrogen, which is defined as zero $(\sigma_{\rm m} = \sigma_{\rm p} = 0)$.^{[61](#page-9-0)} Electron-donating alkyl groups such as CH₃ ($\sigma_{\rm m}$ = −0.07, $\sigma_{\rm p}$ = −0.17) and C₂H₅ ($\sigma_{\rm m}$ = −0.07, σ_p = −0.15) are expected to be deactivating, as they tend to increase the magnitude of the energy gap $|\Delta E_S|$ between the occupied $n_{p_C - p_O}$ nonbonding orbital of CH₂OO and the unoccupied *π** orbital on RCHO, which destabilizes the transition state. The nearly identical Hammett substituent constants for CH_3 and C_2H_5 suggest that they should be similarly deactivating, and consequently the rate constants for the acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde reactions should also be similar and smaller than that of the formaldehyde reaction. Experimentally, however, the room temperature rate constant for the acetaldehyde reaction is smallest, while formaldehyde and propionaldehyde show very similar reactivity. A similar effect is observed for the reactions of ketones, as acetone (R_1 = $R_2 = CH_3$)^{[23](#page-8-0),[25,37](#page-8-0),[38](#page-8-0),[43,44](#page-8-0)} reacts with a smaller rate constant than methylethylketone $(R_1 = CH_3, R_2 = C_2H_5).^{45}$

Figure 6 shows the effect of carbonyl substituents on reactivity of ketones and aldehydes in the form of semilog plot of room temperature rate constants against the FMO energy gap $|\Delta E_{S}|$, defined above. A linear fit to the data provides a simple structure-activity relationship (SAR) that can be used to predict rate constants. The FMO energies have been calculated at the B3LYP/cc-pVDZ level of theory and the rate constants are the weighted average of results from a range of sources[;23](#page-8-0)[−][31,36](#page-8-0),[38](#page-8-0),[43](#page-8-0)−[45](#page-8-0) the data set has been expanded to include more aliphatic aldehydes−our previous correlation diagram included only HCHO and $CH₃CHO³⁹$ $CH₃CHO³⁹$ $CH₃CHO³⁹$ A linear fit to the complete data set comprising both ketones and aldehydes yields the relationship

 $\ln k = -(17.51 \pm 0.15) - (1.664 \pm 0.025)\Delta E_s$

However, the aldehydes all lie above line, with the $\geq C3$ aldehydes lying beyond the 1*σ* prediction bands. For contrast, Figure 6 also shows a fit to only the ketone data, which is better determined, yielding

Figure 6. Correlation between experimental rate constants and FMO orbital energy gaps. Room temperature rate constants for various $CH₂OO +$ organic carbonyl reactions were compiled from various sources (see text), while $|\Delta E_S|$ values were calculated at the B3LYP/ cc-pVDZ level. Linear fits are shown for ketones only (red) and for ketones + aldehydes (blue). The shaded areas represent 1σ prediction bands.

 $\ln k = -(18. 16 \pm 0. 15) - (1. 587 \pm 0. 026)\Delta E_s$

The calculated $|\Delta E_{S}|$ values are very similar for all the RCHO species where $R \neq H$, consistent with the similar values of the Hammett substituent constants across a range of alkyl groups. The greater reactivity of propionaldehyde and butyraldehyde relative to acetaldehyde is not captured by the oversimplified model. One possible explanation could be the presence of higher-energy conformers for the longer alkyl chains. For example, propionaldehyde has a *gauche* conformer that lies only ∼1.0 kcal mol[−]¹ higher in energy (CBS-QB3) than the most stable *syn* conformer, as defined by rotation about the *α*C−C bond. However, the effect on the *π** orbital energy is only ∼0.1 eV, which is too small to explain the greater reactivity observed, within the limits of the proposed SAR. Alternative reaction pathways to cycloaddition may be possible for the carbonyls with longer alkyl chains accounting for the enhanced reactivity. A computational study to further explore the reactivity trends observed in $CI + R_1R_2CO$ cycloaddition reactions is underway and will be the subject of a forthcoming publication.

Luo et al. have suggested that the $CH₂OO + HCHO$ reaction could contribute to formic acid (HCOOH) production in the atmosphere.[29](#page-8-0) While our measurements are blind to the reaction products, our kinetics measurements are in good agreement and dissociation of the SOZ primary product is feasible, given the large exothermicity. The dominant atmospheric loss processes for aldehydes are photolysis and reaction with OH, both of which result in lifetimes that depend on the particular aldehyde but are

typically on the order of a day or less. $8-11$ In contrast, if we use the room temperature rate constants and an estimated concentration of 2 \times 10⁴ cm⁻³ for CH₂OO,^{[19,](#page-8-0)[62](#page-9-0)} the atmospheric lifetimes with respect to reaction with $CH₂OO$ are approximately six months for HCHO and C_2H_5CHO and around a year for CH₃CHO. While these lifetimes will decrease at lower temperatures as the $CH₂OO + RCHO$ rate constants increase, reaction with $CH₂OO$ is never competitive with OH, for which the rate constants are almost an order of magnitude larger and weakly (negatively) temperature dependent.^{[52](#page-8-0)} The reactions of CH₂OO with aldehydes are likely to be of minor significance in the atmosphere.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

We have measured the temperature-dependent rate constants for the reactions of the Criegee intermediate $CH₂OO$ with formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and propionaldehyde (RCHO where R = H, CH₃, C₂H₅) over the range 275−335 K. At room temperature, the rate constants fall in the range $(1-4) \times 10^{-12}$ cm^{3} s⁻¹. All three reactions show negative temperature dependences, which is typical of 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions between CIs and organic carbonyls. *Ab initio* calculations were performed to characterize stationary points on the reaction potential energy surfaces. For all three reactions studied, the reactions proceed via entrance channel van der Waals complexes that lead to cycloaddition TSs that are submerged relative to the reactants, to form cyclic SOZs. Based on estimated typical $CH₂OO$ concentrations in the atmosphere, the reactions are unlikely to be significant reactive sinks for aldehydes.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990?goto=supporting-info)

> Description of the calibration procedure; global pseudofirst-order plots; pressure dependence of $CH₂OO$ loss rates; CBS-QB3 Cartesian coordinates and energies of the reactants, complexes, TSs, and products; relative energies, standard enthalpies, and standard free energies of *endo* pathways [\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990/suppl_file/jp4c04990_si_001.pdf)

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Craig Murray − *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-2398-3914;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2398-3914) Phone: +1-949-824- 4218; Email: craig.murray@uci.edu

Authors

- Jonas J. Enders − *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States*
- Zachary A. Cornwell − *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0003-3875-9541
- Aaron W. Harrison − *Department of Chemistry, Austin College, Sherman, Texas 75090, United States;* Orcid.org/ [0000-0003-3102-8201](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3102-8201)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c04990?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. ECS-1905364. A.W.H. is supported by the Welch Foundation (grant no. AD-0007).

■ **ADDITIONAL NOTE**
^{\$}Hammett substituent constants are defined based on their effects on the acid dissociation constant of substituted benzoic acid (C_6H_5COOH) . Values depend on whether the substituent is in the meta (σ_m) or para (σ_p) position relative to the carboxylic acid group.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Lary, D. J.; Shallcross, D. E. Central Role of [Carbonyl](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901184) Compounds in [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901184) Chemistry. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2000, *105* (D15), 19771−19778.

(2) Nguyen, H. T.-H.; Takenaka, N.; Bandow, H.; Maeda, Y.; de Oliva, S. T.; Botelho, M. M. F.; Tavares, T. M. [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00136-4) Alcohols and Aldehydes [Concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00136-4) Measured in Osaka, Japan and in Sao [Paulo.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00136-4) *Brazil. Atmos. Environ.* 2001, *35* (18), 3075−3083.

(3) Liu, Q.; Gao, Y.; Huang, W.; Ling, Z.; Wang, Z.; Wang, X. Carbonyl Compounds in the [Atmosphere:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106184) A Review of Abundance, Source and Their [Contributions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106184) to O₃ and SOA Formation. Atmos. *Res.* 2022, *274*, No. 106184.

(4) Luecken, D. J.; Hutzell, W. T.; Strum, M. L.; Pouliot, G. A. Regional Sources of Atmospheric [Formaldehyde](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.005) and Acetaldehyde, and Implications for [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.005) Modeling. *Atmos. Environ.* 2012, *47*, 477−490.

(5) Kamal, M. S.; Razzak, S. A.; Hossain, M. M. Catalytic [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.05.031) of Volatile Organic [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.05.031) (VOCs) − A Review. *Atmos. Environ.* 2016, *140*, 117−134.

(6) Ervens, B.; Wang, Y.; Eagar, J.; Leaitch, W. R.; Macdonald, A. M.; Valsaraj, K. T.; Herckes, P. [Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5117-2013) Organic Carbon (DOC) and Select [Aldehydes](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5117-2013) in Cloud and Fog Water: The Role of the Aqueous Phase in [Impacting](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5117-2013) Trace Gas Budgets. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2013, *13* (10), 5117−5135.

(7) Seinfeld, J. H.; Pandis, S. N. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*; Wiley, 2012.

(8) Pope, F. D.; Smith, C. A.; Davis, P. R.; Shallcross, D. E.; Ashfold, M. N. R.; Orr-Ewing, A. J. [Photochemistry](https://doi.org/10.1039/b419227c) of Formaldehyde under [Tropospheric](https://doi.org/10.1039/b419227c) Conditions. *Faraday Discuss.* 2005, *130*, 59.

(9) Zhu, L.; Tang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Cronin, T. [Wavelength-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1080/00387010903267195) Photolysis of C3-C7 [Aldehydes](https://doi.org/10.1080/00387010903267195) in the 280−330 nm Region. *Spectrosc. Lett.* 2009, *42* (8), 467−478.

(10) Martinez, R. D.; Buitrago, A. A.; Howell, N. W.; Hearn, C. H.; Joens, J. A. The near U.V. [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(92)90238-G) Spectra of Several Aliphatic [Aldehydes](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(92)90238-G) and Ketones at 300 K. *Atmos. Environ.* 1992, *26* (5), 785− 792.

(11) Atkinson, R.; Arey, J. [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206420?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Degradation of Volatile Organic [Compounds.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206420?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103* (12), 4605−4638.

(12) Criegee, R. [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.197507451) of Ozonolysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1975, *14* (11), 745−752.

(13) Johnson, D.; Marston, G. The Gas-Phase [Ozonolysis](https://doi.org/10.1039/b704260b) of Unsaturated Volatile Organic Compounds in the [Troposphere.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b704260b) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, *37* (4), 699−716.

(14) Donahue, N. M.; Drozd, G. T.; Epstein, S. A.; Presto, A. A.; Kroll, J. H. Adventures in Ozoneland: Down the [Rabbit-Hole.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cp02564j) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *13* (23), 10848−10857.

(15) Osborn, D. L.; Taatjes, C. A. The Physical [Chemistry](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2015.1055676) of Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2015.1055676) in the Gas Phase. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2015, *34* (3), 309−360.

(16) Cabezas, C.; Nakajima, M.; Endo, Y. Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1782651) Meet Rotational [Spectroscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1782651) *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2020, *39* (3), 351−384.

(17) Stephenson, T. A.; Lester, M. I. [Unimolecular](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1688530) Decay Dynamics of Criegee Intermediates: [Energy-Resolved](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1688530) Rates, Thermal Rates, and Their [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1688530) Impact. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2020, *39* (1), 1−33. (18) Taatjes, C. A.; Shallcross, D. E.; Percival, C. J. [Research](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52842a) Frontiers in the Chemistry of Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52842a) and Tropospheric [Ozonolysis.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52842a) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16* (5), 1704− 1718.

(19) Khan, M. A. H.; Percival, C. J.; Caravan, R. L.; Taatjes, C. A.; Shallcross, D. E. Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00585G) and Their Impacts on the [Troposphere.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00585G) *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* 2018, *20* (3), 437−453.

(20) Chhantyal-Pun, R.; Khan, M. A. H.; Taatjes, C. A.; Percival, C. J.; Orr-Ewing, A. J.; Shallcross, D. E. Criegee [Intermediates:](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1792104) [Production,](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2020.1792104) Detection and Reactivity. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2020, *39* (3), 385−424.

(21) Cox, R. A.; Ammann, M.; Crowley, J. N.; Herrmann, H.; Jenkin, M. E.; McNeill, V. F.; Mellouki, A.; Troe, J.; Wallington, T. J. Evaluated Kinetic and [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13497-2020) Data for Atmospheric Chemistry: Volume VII − Criegee [Intermediates.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13497-2020) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *20* (21), 13497−13519.

(22) Stone, D.; Au, K.; Sime, S.; Medeiros, D. J.; Blitz, M.; Seakins, P. W.; Decker, Z.; Sheps, L. Unimolecular [Decomposition](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP05332D) Kinetics of the Stabilised Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP05332D) CH₂OO and CD₂OO. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2018, *20* (38), 24940−24954.

(23) Taatjes, C. A.; Welz, O.; Eskola, A. J.; Savee, J. D.; Osborn, D. L.; Lee, E. P. F.; Dyke, J. M.; Mok, D. W. K.; Shallcross, D. E.; Percival, C. J. Direct [Measurement](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cp40294g) of Criegee Intermediate $(CH₂OO)$ Reactions with Acetone, [Acetaldehyde,](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cp40294g) and Hexafluor[oacetone.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cp40294g) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2012, *14* (30), 10391−10400.

(24) Stone, D.; Blitz, M.; Daubney, L.; Howes, N. U. M.; Seakins, P. Kinetics of CH₂OO [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP54391A) with SO_2 , NO₂, NO, H₂O and [CH3CHO](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP54391A) as a Function of Pressure. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16* (3), 1139−1149.

(25) Elsamra, R. M. I.; Jalan, A.; Buras, Z. J.; Middaugh, J. E.; Green, W. H. Temperature- and [Pressure-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21007) Kinetics of CH₂OO + CH_2COCH_2 and $CH_2OO + CH_2CHO$: Direct [Measurements](https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21007) and [Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21007) Analysis. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 2016, *48* (8), 474−488.

(26) Liu, Y.; Zhou, X.; Chen, Y.; Chen, M.; Xiao, C.; Dong, W.; Yang, X. Temperature- and [Pressure-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP04316H) Rate Coefficient Measurement for the Reaction of CH₂OO with CH₃CH₂CHO. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *22* (44), 25869−25875.

(27) Liu, S.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, H.; Shi, J.; Ding, H.; Yang, X.; Dong, W. Kinetics for the Reaction of Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120012) $CH₂OO$ with n-[Butyraldehyde](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120012) and Its Atmospheric Implications. *Atmos. Environ.* 2023, *311*, No. 120012.

(28) Liu, S.; Chen, Y.; Jiang, H.; Shi, J.; Ding, H.; Yang, X.; Dong, W. Reaction between Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202303129) CH₂OO and Isobutyraldehyde: Kinetics and Atmospheric [Implications.](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202303129) *ChemistrySelect* 2023, *8* (47), No. e202303129.

(29) Luo, P.-L.; Chen, I.-Y.; Khan, M. A. H.; Shallcross, D. E. [Direct](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-023-00933-2) Gas-Phase [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-023-00933-2) of Formic Acid through Reaction of Criegee Intermediates with [Formaldehyde.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-023-00933-2) *Commun. Chem.* 2023, *6* (1), 1− 10.

(30) Debnath, A.; Rajakumar, B. [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CP05482A) and Theoretical Study of Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CP05482A) (CH_2OO) Reactions with n-Butyraldehyde and [Isobutyraldehyde:](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CP05482A) Kinetics, Implications and [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CP05482A) Fate. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2024, *26* (8), 6872−6884. (31) Jiang, H.; Liu, Y.; Xiao, C.; Yang, X.; Dong, W. [Reaction](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c01374?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Kinetics of CH₂OO and *syn*-CH₃CHOO Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c01374?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with [Acetaldehyde.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c01374?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2024, *128* (25), 4956−4965.

(32) Jalan, A.; Allen, J. W.; Green, W. H. [Chemically](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52598h) Activated Formation of Organic Acids in Reactions of the Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52598h) with [Aldehydes](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp52598h) and Ketones. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, *15* (39), 16841−16852.

(33) Kaipara, R.; Rajakumar, B. [Temperature-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b06603?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Kinetics of the Reaction of a Criegee Intermediate with [Propionaldehyde:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b06603?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) A [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b06603?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Investigation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2018, *122* (43), 8433− 8445.

(34) Wang, P.-B.; Truhlar, D. G.; Xia, Y.; Long, B. [Temperature-](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP01118B)Dependent Kinetics of the [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP01118B) Reaction between $CH₂OO$ and [Acetone.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP01118B) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2022, *24* (21), 13066−13073. (35) Zhang, T.; Wen, M.; Ding, C.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, X.; Wang, Z.; Lily, M.; Liu, J.; Wang, R. Multiple Evaluations of [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.06.004) Behavior between Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.06.004) and HCHO: Gas-Phase and [Air-Water](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.06.004) Interface Reaction. *J. Environ. Sci.* 2023, *127*, 308−319.

(36) Liu, Y.; Bayes, K. D.; Sander, S. P. [Measuring](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp407058b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Rate Constants for Reactions of the Simplest Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp407058b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) (CH_2OO) by [Monitoring](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp407058b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) the OH Radical. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2014, *118* (4), 741− 747.

(37) Cornwell, Z. A.; Harrison, A. W.; Murray, C. [Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c05280?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Reactions of CH₂OO with Acetone, *α*[-Diketones,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c05280?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and *β*-Diketones. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2021, *125* (39), 8557−8571.

(38) Cornwell, Z. A.; Enders, J. J.; Harrison, A. W.; Murray, C. [Temperature-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21625) Kinetics of the Reactions of CH₂OO with Acetone, Biacetyl, and [Acetylacetone.](https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21625) *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 2023, *55* (3), 154−166.

(39) Cornwell, Z. A.; Enders, J. J.; Harrison, A. W.; Murray, C. [Temperature-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c00156?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Kinetics of the Reactions of the Criegee Intermediate CH2OO with [Hydroxyketones.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c00156?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2024, *128* (10), 1880−1891.

(40) Sustmann, R. A Simple Model for [Substituent](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)96961-8) Effects in Cycloaddition Reactions. I. 1,3-Dipolar [Cycloadditions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)96961-8) *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1971, *12* (29), 2717−2720.

(41) Houk, K. N. Frontier [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar50095a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Orbital Theory of Cycloaddition [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar50095a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1975, *8* (11), 361−369.

(42) Fukui, K. Role of Frontier Orbitals in Chemical [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.218.4574.747) *Science* 1982, *218* (4574), 747−754.

(43) Berndt, T.; Kaethner, R.; Voigtländer, J.; Stratmann, F.; Pfeifle, M.; Reichle, P.; Sipilä, M.; Kulmala, M.; Olzmann, M. [Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP02224J) of the [Unimolecular](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP02224J) Reaction of CH₂OO and the Bimolecular Reactions with the Water Monomer, [Acetaldehyde](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP02224J) and Acetone under [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP02224J) Conditions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *17* (30), 19862−19873.

(44) Chhantyal-Pun, R.; Khan, M. A. H.; Martin, R.; Zachhuber, N.; Buras, Z. J.; Percival, C. J.; Shallcross, D. E.; Orr-Ewing, A. J. [Direct](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00213?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Kinetic and [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00213?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Modeling Studies of Criegee Intermediate [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00213?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Acetone. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* 2019, *3* (10), 2363− 2371.

(45) Debnath, A.; Rajakumar, B. [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137217) of Kinetics and Mechanistic Insights of the Reaction of Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137217) $(CH₂OO)$ with Methyl-Ethyl Ketone (MEK) under [Tropospherically](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137217) Relevant [Conditions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137217) *Chemosphere* 2023, *312*, No. 137217.

(46) Yaws, C. L. *The Yaws Handbook of Vapor Pressure*; Elsevier, 2015. .

(47) Ting, W.-L.; Chen, Y.-H.; Chao, W.; Smith, M. C.; Lin, J. J.-M. The UV Absorption Spectrum of the Simplest Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP00877D) [CH2OO.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP00877D) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16* (22), 10438−10443.

(48) Foreman, E. S.; Kapnas, K. M.; Jou, Y.; Kalinowski, J.; Feng, D.; Gerber, R. B.; Murray, C. High Resolution Absolute [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04977F) Cross Sections of the $\tilde{B}^1A' - \tilde{X}^1A'$ [Transition](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04977F) of the CH₂OO Biradical. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *17* (48), 32539−32546.

(49) Mir, Z. S.; Lewis, T. R.; Onel, L.; Blitz, M. A.; Seakins, P. W.; Stone, D. CH₂OO Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP00988A) UV Absorption Cross-Sections and Kinetics of $CH_2OO + CH_2OO$ and $CH_2OO + I$ as a [Function](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP00988A) of Pressure. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *22* (17), 9448− 9459.

(50) Spietz, P.; Gómez Martín, J. C.; Burrows, J. P. [Spectroscopic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2005.08.023) Studies of the I_2/O_3 [Photochemistry:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2005.08.023) Part 2. Improved Spectra of Iodine Oxides and Analysis of the IO [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2005.08.023) Spectrum. *J. Photochem. Photobio. A* 2005, *176* (1−3), 50−67.

(51) Keller-Rudek, H.; Moortgat, G. K.; Sander, R.; Sörensen, R. The [MPI-Mainz](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-365-2013) UV/VIS Spectral Atlas of Gaseous Molecules of [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-365-2013) Interest. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 2013, *5*, 365−373.

(52) Burkholder, J. B.; Sander, S. P.; Abbatt, J.; Barker, J. R.; Cappa, C.; Crounse, J. D.; Dibble, T. S.; Huie, R. E.; Kolb, C. E.; Kurylo, M. J.; Orkin, V. L.; Percival, C. J.; Wilmouth, D. M.; Wine, P. H. *Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies,* *Evaluation No. 19," JPL Publication 19*−*5*; Jet Propulsion Laboratory: Pasadena, 2019 [http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov.](http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov)

(53) Clouthier, D. J.; Ramsay, D. A. The [Spectroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.34.100183.000335) of Formaldehyde and [Thioformaldehyde.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.34.100183.000335) *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 1983, *34* (1), 31−58.

(54) Meller, R.; Moortgat, G. K. [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074) Dependence of the Absorption Cross Sections of [Formaldehyde](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074) between 223 and 323 K in the [Wavelength](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074) Range 225−375 nm. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2000, *105* (D6), 7089−7101.

(55) Roehl, C. M.; Burkholder, J. B.; Moortgat, G. K.; Ravishankara, A. R.; Crutzen, P. J. [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00530) Dependence of UV Absorption Cross Sections and [Atmospheric](https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00530) Implications of Several Alkyl Iodides. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1997, *102* (D11), 12819−12829.

(56) Mössinger, J. C.; Shallcross, D. E.; Anthony Cox, R. [UV-VIS](https://doi.org/10.1039/a709160e) Absorption [Cross-Sections](https://doi.org/10.1039/a709160e) and Atmospheric Lifetimes of CH_2Br_2 , CH2I2 and [CH2BrI.](https://doi.org/10.1039/a709160e) *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1998, *94* (10), 1391−1396.

(57) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Li, X.et al.*Gaussian 16*, Revision B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2016.

(58) Ting, W.-L.; Chang, C.-H.; Lee, Y.-F.; Matsui, H.; Lee, Y.-P.; Lin, J. J.-M. Detailed [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894405) of the $CH₂I + O₂$ Reaction: Yield and [Self-Reaction](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894405) of the Simplest Criegee Intermediate CH₂OO. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *141* (10), 104308.

(59) Laidler, K. J. *Chemical Kinetics*, 3rd ed.; Harper & Row: New York, 1987.

(60) Wei, W.; Yang, X.; Zheng, R.; Qin, Y.; Wu, Y.; Yang, F. [Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2015.10.013) Studies on the Reactions of the Simplest Criegee [Intermediate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2015.10.013) CH2OO with CH3CHO. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* 2015, *1074*, 142−149.

(61) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A Survey of [Hammett](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00002a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field [Parameters.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00002a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 1991, *91* (2), 165−195.

(62) Novelli, A.; Hens, K.; Tatum Ernest, C.; Martinez, M.; Nölscher, A. C.; Sinha, V.; Paasonen, P.; Petäjä, T.; Sipilä, M.; Elste, T.; et al. Estimating the Atmospheric [Concentration](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017) of Criegee [Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017) and Their Possible Interference in a FAGE-LIF [Instrument.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017) *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *17* (12), 7807−7826.