Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials

# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Epidemiology, antimicrobial resistance profile and management of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among mothers with suspected sepsis in Ethiopia



Eshetu Gadisa<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Beverly Egyir<sup>3</sup>, Bright Adu<sup>3</sup>, Hawawu Ahmed<sup>3</sup>, Guta Disasa<sup>2</sup> and Tesfaye Sisay Tessema<sup>2</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Early detection and proper management of maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*CRKP*) can significantly reduce severe complications and maternal mortality. This study aimed to describe the epidemiology, antimicrobial resistance profile, and management of carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* among sepsis-suspected maternal cases in Ethiopia.

**Methods** A prospective cross-sectional study was conducted in five tertiary hospitals from June 2021 to December 2023. Isolation, identification, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the isolates were carried out following standard microbiological procedures as stated in the CLSI guidelines. Data on socio-demographics, risk factors, and management strategies were collected with structured questionnaires. Associations between variables were determined using logistic regression analysis in STATA-21. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was statistically significant.

**Results** Of the 5613 total women suspected of having maternal sepsis, 609 (10.8%) of them were infected with *K. pneumoniae*. The prevalence rates of MDR, XDR, and PDR *K. pneumoniae* strains were 93.9%, 24.3%, and 10.9%, respectively. The resistance rates for the last-resort antibiotics; amikacin, tigecycline, carbapenem, and third-generation cephalosporin were 16.4%, 29.1%, 31.9%, and 93.0%, respectively. The combination of carbapenem with tigecycline or amikacin therapy was used to manage maternal sepsis caused by cephalosporin-and carbapenem-resistant strains. Sepsis associated risk factors, including septic abortion [AOR = 5.3; 95%*Cl*:2.2–14.4]; extended hospitalization [AOR = 3.7; 95%*Cl*: 1.6–19.4]; dilatation and curettage [AOR = 2.2; 95%*Cl*:1.3–13.4]; cesarean wound infection [AOR = 4.1; 95%*Cl*:2.0–9.2]; indwelling catheterization [AOR = 2.1;95%*Cl*: 1.4–6.2]; ICU admission [AOR = 4.3; 95%*Cl*:2.4–11.2]; post abortion [AOR = 9.8; 95%*Cl*:5.7–16.3], and recurrent UTI [AOR = 3.3; 95%*Cl*: 1.6–13.2] were significantly associated with maternal sepsis caused by *K. pneumoniae*.

**Conclusions** The prevalence of maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem- resistant *K. pneumoniae* is high and serious attention needs to be given to combat transmission. Therefore, improving awareness, early diagnosis, IPC, integrated

\*Correspondence: Eshetu Gadisa gadisaeshetu@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

maternal surveillance, improved sanitation and efficient antimicrobial stewardship are crucial to combating bacterial maternal sepsis.

**Keywords** Antimicrobial resistance, Maternal sepsis, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, Pan-drug resistant, Extreme drug-resistance

# Background

During pregnancy, the body undergoes significant changes in the immune system and metabolism [1], which can increase the risk of bacterial infections, including bacterial sepsis [2]. Globally, there are approximately 48.9 million cases of maternal sepsis each year, 17 million of which are reported in Africa [3]. Annually, 5.7 million women develop severe sepsis during pregnancy, childbirth, postabortion, or the postpartum period. According to the WHO, the number of deaths from sepsis is greater in Sub-Saharan Africa and southern Asia, accounting for 253,000 (87%) maternal sepsis-related deaths [4]. Identifying and diagnosing maternal sepsis early can be difficult, as maternal sepsis is often similar to other serious obstetric conditions, according to previous studies [4, 5]. Thus, most sepsis-infected mothers experience severe morbidity when they arrive at health facilities [6]. Maternal sepsis is responsible for 11% of maternal mortality. On the other hand, mothers can also contract sepsis through bacterial contamination at health facilities. Up to 50% of sepsis survivors may experience lasting disabilities, including myopathy, neuropathy, chronic pelvic pain, infertility, and psychological distress [7, 8].

Emerging *carbapenemase-* and *extended-spectrum*  $\beta$ -lactamase producing K. pneumoniae (ESBL-Kp) threaten maternal and neonatal health despite progress in the treatment and management of bacterial sepsis [9, 10]. These strains can survive in various environments, such as water, soil, and the gastrointestinal tract [9-11]. Its ubiquitous nature leads to spontaneous mutations and acquired antimicrobial resistance genes that undergo gene transfer by mobile genetic elements (MGEs). Once they acquire resistance genes, they can transfer them horizontally and vertically within and between species [9-12]. This bacterium has acquired antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) (blaLAP-2, blaTEM-1B, and *blaSHV-106*) from the ecosystem [13], and the primary source genes blaKPC-2, NDM-1, and KPC-2 [14, 15]. As a result, they were shown to be non-susceptible to carbapenem, colistin, carbapenem, polymyxin, fluoroquinolone, aminopenicillin, third-generation cephalosporin, and tigecycline [13-18]. Klebsiella pneumoniae is estimated to cause 124,000 deaths annually, accounting for 20% of AMR-related fatalities and 18% of AMR-related deaths, resulting in healthcare costs of \$9 billion per year [2, 18, 19].

Currently, MDR (multidrug resistant), XDR (extensively drug-resistant), and PDR (pan-drug resistant) *K*. pneumoniae strains are known to possess antimicrobial resistance genes (blaKPC, blaCTX, blaTEM, blaOXA, and blaSHV). Additionally, they also harbor virulence genes such as enterobactin, aerobactin, yersiniabactin, and other genes (irp1, fyuA, iutA, ST29, PAI, ehxA, toxB, eae, sfa, pai, fim H, aggR, hly, pap, hyl A, tra, Tpai, cnf-1, afa, and rmpA/A). These genes are fundamental for the development and spread of hypervirulent carbapenem resistant strains [13-15, 20-22]. Infections caused by this superbug, such as urinary tract infections, skin infections, respiratory tract infections, sepsis, meningitis, and pyogenic liver abscesses become major health problems in pregnant women, immunecompromised patients, and elderly adults [20, 22]. This bacterium possesses various virulence factors namely capsules, adhesions, fimbriae, type II secretion systems, siderophores, lipopolysaccharides, and biofilms that activate cellular immune responses mainly macrophages [15, 18, 23, 24]. In the absence of proper antibiotic treatment, hyperactivated macrophages have the potential to release large amounts of proinflammatory cytokines and interleukins, leading to sepsis which adversely affects maternal and fetal health [25, 26].

Maternal sepsis can be worsened by various factors such as socioeconomic status, stress, lack of education, poverty, poor sanitation, family responsibilities, limited financial resources, and an unhealthy diet [27, 28]. Many studies have shown that various contributing factors include a medical history of chronic diseases such as gestational hypertension, diabetes, cancer, hospitalization, ventilator use, catheterization, kidney or lung disease, preeclampsia, abortion, and a history of antibiotic use during gestation [29]. Habits, such as smoking, alcoholism, and drug abuse, can also play a role in the development of these bacterial infections [30]. Educational level and intravenous drug administration can also be contributing factors [28, 30]. In developing countries, most cases of maternal sepsis are treated with a combination of two or more antibiotics such as cephalosporins, carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and polymyxins [31-33]. If these antimicrobial agents fail, the recommended treatment for maternal sepsis is tigecycline combination therapy with avibactam/ceftazidime, or meropenem caused by MDR-/ XDR-/PDR-K. pneumoniae [34-37].

The effectiveness of antibiotics for treating maternal sepsis in *carbapenem-/* third generation cephalosporin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains has been compromised [35, 38]. Recent studies indicate that Klebsiella is responsible for 65.7% of antibiotic-resistant bacteria that cause healthcare-associated infections [39, 40]. Among these bacteria, half are ESBL-producing K. pneumoniae isolates. The primary source of these infections was multiple sites, for a prevalence rate of 76.8%. MDR K. pneumoniae was the most common type of bloodstream infection, with a prevalence rate of 62.9%. The rate of MDR infections was greater for hospital-acquired infections (72.1%) than for hospital- or community-acquired infections [19, 27, 33, 37, 41].

Despite efforts to reduce maternal mortality and IPC and AMS programs at health facilities, maternal sepsis caused by K. pneumoniae remains the leading HAI causing maternal morbidity and mortality [2, 4, 16, 42]. However, the full extent of the impact of maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem-/third-generation cephalosporin-resistant K. pneumoniae strains has not been thoroughly established [43-45]. Currently, paying attention to unseen maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem-/ cephalosporin-resistant K. pneumoniae is highly important for reducing preventable maternal morbidity and mortality [3, 6, 38, 44, 46]. This research will help establish a baseline, measure interventions, and ensure that patients receive the most effective care. We performed a nationwide study to generate evidence-based data and comprehensive information on the burden, risk factors, diagnosis, and treatment of maternal sepsis to develop better strategies to combat carbapenem-resistant strains and improve the quality of maternal care.

# **Materials and methods**

#### Study area and period

This study was conducted on women who were admitted for maternal sepsis in five tertiary hospitals between June 2021 and December 2023. It covers more than threequarters of the national geographic areas. Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital (TASH) and Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (ALERT) were chosen from central Ethiopia. TASH has a capacity of 1600 beds, and ALERT has a capacity of 850 beds. Hiwot Fana Compressive Specialized Hospital (HFSH) is a specialized university hospital in eastern Ethiopia that is located 526 km from Addis Ababa and has 550 beds. It serves as a referral hospital from the eastern part of the country for three regional governments (Harar, Somalia, and Oromia). Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC) is located 350 km from AA in western Ethiopia and has 834 beds. It serves as a referral hospital for five regional governments (Oromia, the Central Ethiopia Regional State, Gambela, the South Ethiopia Regional State, and the Southwest Ethiopia Peoples' Region), which cater to 20 million people from the western part of the country. Whereas, Hawassa University Specialized Hospital (HUSH) is located 370 km away from AA in the southern part of the country and has over 750 beds. It operates as a referral hospital for three regional governments (Oromia, South Ethiopia Regional State, and South West Ethiopia Peoples' Region), serving a catchment area of more than 25 million people.

#### Study design

A prospective cross-sectional study was conducted on women who were suspected of having maternal sepsis and admitted to five tertiary hospitals between June 2021 and December 2023. The women were randomly selected from various departments, such as ICUs, emergency rooms, gynecology, surgery, emergence OPD, and obstetric wards.

#### Source of population and study population

Our study population consisted of all women seeking treatment at five tertiary hospitals during the study period. Moreover, all women suspected of having maternal sepsis and admitted to these hospitals were randomly recruited and followed from the day of admission until the day of discharge from the hospital.

#### Sampling technique and sample size

During the study period, 5613 women admitted for maternal cases suspected of having bacterial sepsis and meeting the WHO criteria for sepsis were randomly selected from five tertiary hospitals in four clusters of the country. To our knowledge, there are no prior epidemiological data on maternal sepsis caused by this bacterium in multicenter studies. Hence, the sample size was calculated using the single population proportion formula, which assumes a 95% confidence interval, 3% margin of

error, *P*=50%, and 10% non-response rate. The sample size was calculated as follow:  $n = \frac{z_a^{2} * p(1-p)}{d^2}$ ; then,  $n = \frac{(1.96)^2 * 0.5(1-O.5)}{([0.03])^2} = 1067$ Non-responden t= 107

One tertiary hospital = 1067 + 107 = 1174.

Where n = sample size z = confidence level.

d=margin of error p=proportion.

The sample was obtained by multiplying 1174 by five tertiary hospitals (5\*(1067+107))=5870) in the four clusters to estimate the prevalence of maternal bacterial sepsis, which is representative of nationwide data. However, 256 mothers suspected of having sepsis were excluded on the basis of national and WHO guidelines [2, 4] and the final sample size was 5613.

#### Sample collection, transportation and processing

The methods used for collecting, processing, and transporting samples were based on the guidelines provided by the CLSI for identifying Enterobacteriaceae and performing AST. Trained health professionals collected clinical samples such as blood, CSF, urine, wound exudates, and other samples aseptically from women admitted with maternal cases. Within an hour, the samples were transported to the bacteriology laboratory. The samples were subsequently processed and inoculated on agar plates with 5% sheep blood agar and MacConkey agar and incubated at 35-36 °C for 18-24 h. Biochemical tests were performed to identify K. pneumoniae. The identified K. pneumoniae isolates were inoculated in nutrient broth for subculture on nutrient agar and incubated aerobically for 18-24 h. AST was subsequently performed according to CLSI guidelines for Enterobacteriaceae [47]. Isolates resistant to carbapenem antibiotics (ertapenem, imipenem, and meropenem) were subsequently subjected to confirmation for *carbapenemase* production. Similarly, those that were resistantto cefotaxime and ceftazidime were subjected to the ESBL confirmatory test as per CLSI guidelines. The isolates were stored in 20% glycerol at -80 °C for further investigation for whole genome sequencing (WGS) [47] at the EPHI.

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Three to five colons with similar culture morphologies were transferred with a sterile inoculation loop to the nutrient broth until it was matched with a McFarland value of 0.5. The bacterial suspension was then streaked on MHA using a sterile swab to uniformly thicken the lawn of growth following incubation and the antibiotic discs were placed with sterile forceps as described in the disc diffusion method [47]. Following overnight incubation at 37 °C, the inhibitory zone diameters were measured and evaluated according to CLSI guidelines. Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (20/10µg), gentamicin (10 µg), tobramycin (10 µg), ampicillin-sulbactam (10/10  $\mu$ g), cefepime (30  $\mu$ g), cefotaxime (30  $\mu$ g), cefotetan (30 µg), cefuroxime (30 µg), ertapenem (10 µg), imipenem (10 µg), meropenem (10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), ceftazidime (30  $\mu$ g), piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10  $\mu$ g), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole  $(1.25/23.75 \ \mu g)$ , and cefazolin (30 µg) are some of the Oxoid England products used for this study. Following CLSI guidelines, the quality of the media, biochemical reagents, and antibiotics was assessed using standard strains [47].

#### Phenotypic characterization

The identification of carbapenem resistance was conducted using the phenotypic-based disc diffusion method with meropenem, ertapenem, and imipenem as the primary approach to detect carbapenemase. The carbapenem-resistant strains were tested using minimum inhibitory concentration breakpoints to confirm their resistance using the carbapenem inactivation method (CIM). The K. pneumoniae isolates underwent testing with 1 antimicrobial 20 panels to identify their resistance patterns. If they showed resistance to cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and cefpodoxime, they were considered to be potential ESBL producers. Confirmatory tests using a double-disc synergy test (DDST) were then carried out according to CLSI guidelines [47].

#### Data analysis and interpretation

The data were subsequently entered into Epi Data Version 3.1 and exported for analysis using STATA-21. The prevalence of *carbapenemase*-producing and *ESBL*-producing *K. pneumoniae*, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and risk factor identification were determined using descriptive analysis. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regressions were performed to evaluate associations between variables. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to statistically significance.

# Data quality assurance

Data regarding sociodemographic information, risk factors, and treatment and management were collected using predesigned questionnaires. The investigators at each selected hospital ensured patient data consistency and quality. Likewise, antibiotics, media, and procedures were ensured at preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical phase qualities as per CLSI guidelines and adhered to national IPC guidelines [47].

# Inclusion and exclusion criteria

# Inclusion criteria

Maternal sepsis patients aged 15–49 years, 2) patients admitted to selected hospital departments such as ICUs, emergency rooms, gynecological, surgical, EOPD, and obstetric wards with infections-related maternal cases, 3) Patients who can able to provided samples and 4) patients who were not treated with carbapenem within three weeks during the study.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

(1) Patients with already known sepsis etiology such as malaria and viral; (2) patients treated with carbapenem antibiotics within two weeks of sample collection; (3) all male patients; and (4) women who were not suspected of having sepsis.

#### **Ethics clearance**

Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Institute of Biotechnology (RIB/001/22/IOB), ensuring that the study was conducted ethically. The hospitals involved in the study permitted this study to be carried out.

# Results

# Sociodemographic characteristics

The present study revealed that maternal bacterial sepsisrelated hospitalization is caused mainly by K.pneumoniae sepsis. Of the 5,613 mothers who met the criteria for maternal sepsis, 609 (10.8%) mothers had carbapenemresistant K. pneumoniae. The age of the women ranged from 15 to 49 years. 58% of the infected mothers had an education above the primary level. Almost all (97.7%) respondents were unaware of bacterial sepsis. Nearly half (43.8%) of the maternal sepsis cases caused by CRKP were identified from ICU wards, followed by GYN/OB (24.2%), surgical (16.4%), medical (11%), and emergency OPD (4.6%). Almost three-fourths (74.3%) of the participants were from rural areas (Table 1). The occurrence of maternal sepsis caused by CRKP differed among hospitals on the basis of their geographic location. In central Ethiopia, the prevalence of maternal sepsis caused by CRKP was11.3% at ALERT and 10.4% at TASH during the same study period. The prevalence rates of maternal sepsis caused by CRKP at HFSH, JUMC, and HUSH were 9.2%, 12.8%, and 10.8%, respectively (Table 1).

#### Antimicrobial resistance profile of K. pneumoniae

Six hundred nine non-repetitive K.pneumoniae isolates were found in various clinical samples, including urine, blood, wounds, CSF, and others. About 42.5% of the CRKP isolates were isolated from urine, followed by 35.5% from blood, surgical wound exudates (12.2%), CSF (6.4%), and other sources (3.4%) (Table 1). In terms of the antimicrobial resistance profile, 93.9% of the K. pneumoniae isolates were multidrug-resistant (MDR). Among these strains, 24.3% were XDR strains, followed by PDR strains (10.9%). 41% of the K. pneumoniae strains were resistant to carbapenems. The prevalence rates of ESBLand carbapenemase-producing K. pneumoniae were 93.0% and 40.2%, respectively. All the ESBL-producing K.pneumoniae isolates were resistant to ceftriaxone, cefazolin, cefotaxime, ampicillin-sulbactam, ceftazidime, aztreonam, and cefepime. However, the resistance rates to other antibiotics, such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and piperacillin-tazobactam varied from 39.0 to 93.3% (Figs. 1 and 2). About 86.3% of the K. pneumoniae isolates in the urine samples and 75.6% in the blood samples were ESBL-producing strains (Table 1).

Among the *K. pneumoniae* isolates, the lowest resistance rates were to amikacin, tigecycline, meropenem, and ertapenem, with resistance rates of 16.4%, 29.1%, 27.6%, and 35.3%, respectively. In contrast to *ESBL*-producing strains, *carbapenemase*-producing *K. pneumoniae* was more common in blood samples (78.4%). Furthermore, the percentages of *K. pneumoniae* resistant to the R-8, R-9, R-10, R-11, and R-12 antibiotics were

34.0%, 57.7%, 44.1%, 15.4%, 24.7% and 13.3%, respectively (Fig. 3). The prevalence of carbapenem-resistant strains varies among hospitals (TASH, ALERT, JUMH, HURH, and HFSUH), ranging from 28.5 to 35.4%. Similarly, the prevalence of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* ranged from 83.1 to 97.8% (Fig. 3).

#### Risk factors associated with maternal sepsis

This study revealed that multiple factors increased the likelihood of contracting maternal sepsis. Recurrent UTIs (OR=5.4; 95% CI: 2.9–13.2, P=0.02); ICU admission (OR=10.3; 95%CI: 3.7–17.1, P=0.001); repeated abortions (OR=14.3; 95%CI: 3.7–19.4, P=0.001); caesarean delivery (OR=4.3; 95%CI: 2.4–10.2, P=0.01); history of not using antimicrobial agents within eight weeks (OR=16.3; 95%CI: 9.3–19.7, P=0.01); indwelling catheters (OR=3.7; 95%CI: 1.8–5.8, P=0.001); gravidity (OR=3.3; 95%CI: 1.1–4.6, P=0.03); post abortion (OR=12.3; 95%CI: 7.7–20.4, P=0.001); and alcoholism (OR=5.2; 95% CI: 2.9–11.3, P=0.001) were associated with having maternal sepsis of multidrug resistance *K. pneumoniae* (Table 2).

On the basis of these findings, women who experienced septic abortion (two or more times more common) developed sepsis fivefold more likely to be infected with MDR strains than women who did not [AOR=5.3; 95% CI=2.2-14.4]; P=0.0001]. Women who had a history of extended hospitalization (more than four weeks) developed sepsis three times more likely to be infected with MDR strains than did those who had never been hospitalized [(AOR=3.7; 95% CI=1.6–19.4); P=0.0001]. Those women who had a history of dilatation and curettage developed sepsis twice [(AOR=2.2; 95% CI=1.3-13.4; P=0.001)] as likely to be infected with MDR strains compared with those who did not. Women who were admitted to the ICU developed sepsis at a fourfold greater risk of being infected with MDR strains than did those who had never been admitted to the ICU did [AOR=4.3; 95% CI=2.4-11.2; P=0.01]. Similarly, women who had indwelling catheters or invasive medical care developed sepsis threefold [(AOR=2.1; 95% CI=1.4-6.2; P<0.01)] more likely to be infected with MDR strains than did those who did not. Women who had recurrent UTIs after childbirth were three times more likely to develop sepsis from MDR strains than were those who did not have a UTI [AOR=3.3; 95% CI=1.6-13.2]; P=0.01]. Additionally, women who experienced a cesarean section wound infection after delivery were three times more likely to develop maternal sepsis than were those who did not have an infection [AOR=4.1; 95% CI=2.0-9.2]; P=0.001]. Overall, these findings revealed that antimicrobial-resistant MDR strains are a significant challenge in maternal sepsis treatment and a major cause of

Onset of infection         Presert on admissions         1.00         1.00         0.00         0.00           ICU admission history         No         0.01         0.01         0.001         0.01           ICU admission history         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Iength of admission         41 WK         10.0         1.00         1.00         -           12 WKs         3.8 (02-7.01)         0.01         2.12 SA-8.1         0.01*           2-3 WKs         3.8 (02-7.01)         0.01         2.12 SA-8.1         0.001**           2-4 WKs         9.12 S2-7.14         0.001**         3.7 (0-1.14)         0.001**           2-4 WKs         9.12 S2-7.14         0.001**         3.7 (0-1.14)         0.001**           Pregnanty status at the time         Pregnanty status at the time         Pregnanty status at the time         7.3 (25-31.44)         0.001**         \$4,11-0.2]         0.01**           Gravidity         Prinigravida         3.3 (0.1-4.6]         0.32         0.2 (0.1-1.1)         0.00***           Gravidity         Prinigravida         3.3 (0.1-4.6]         0.001         -         0.00***           Gravidity         Prinigravida         3.3 (0.1-4.6]         0.01         -	Covariates		Crude OR (95%CI)	P Value	Adjusted OR (95% Cl)	P Value
Noncombal/hospital neset37,08.32,100120101001ICU admission historyNo10010043,02,4-11,200.01Length of admission1.WK1000.001*43,02,4-11,200.01Length of admission1.WK0.810,3-17,110.001*43,02,4-11,200.012-3Wis0.810,3-17,110.810,3-17,110.001*1.000.010.012-3Wis0.810,3-17,110.810,3-17,110.001*0.010.010.010.010.012-3Wis3.810,2-2,010.010.011.010.001**0.0	Onset of infection	Present on admissions	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
ICU admissionNo100-100-Yes103 [37-17.1]0.001*43 [24-11.2]0.01Length of admission41 WK1001001000.011-2 WKs38 [0.2-17.1]0.9121 [23-80.1]0.01*2-3Wks38 [0.2-17.1]0.01*21 [23-80.1]0.001*2-3Wks38 [0.2-17.1]0.01*21 [23-80.1]0.001*2-4 WKs1(2-2-14.3]0.01*44 [3.0-15.9]0.001**6 inflictions suspectedPregnant, not in labor1001.000.01**6 finflictions suspectedPregnant, not in labor1.001.000.001**6 relifications suspectedPregnant, not in labor1.001.000.001**7 relifications suspectedPregnant, not in labor1.001.000.001*		Nosocomial/hospital onset	3.7 [0.8–3.2]	0.001	2.0[1.7-11.3]	0.01
Yes103001**45 (24-12)01Length of admission\$1WK100<	ICU admission history	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Length of admission100100100100<		Yes	10.3 [3.7–17.1]	0.001*	4.3 [2.4–11.2]	0.01
1-2Wks08 (0.3.17)0.907 (0.1-12)0.062-3Wks38 (0.2-20)0.0141 (3.0.15)0.01**2-4Wks7.7 (12-16.3)0.001**3.7 (1.6-19.4)0.001**2-4Wks9.1 (2.3-21.4)0.001**3.7 (1.6-19.4)0.001**pergnary statu at the tim of infections suspectedPregnant, in labor0.2 (0.1-0.5)0.2 (0.1-1.1)0.7Postpartum7.3 (2.5-14.4)0.001**5.4 (2.1-0.2)0.001***Postpartum7.3 (2.5-14.4)0.001**5.4 (2.1-0.2)0.001***GravidityPrinigravida1.009.01***0.01***Botabortion12.3 (720.4)0.01**1.001.00ParityMultigravus2.4 (1.1-3.7)0.012.1 (1.2.6)0.01***Mode of birthQuastrant1.00-1.00-Casaran1.00-1.00-1.00-Polonged rupture of membranesNo1.001.00-1.00-Sptic abortionNo1.00-1.00Polonged rupture of regen abortionNo1.00-1.00Sptic abortionNo1.00-1.00Sptic abortionNo1.00-0.00**3.12.2-14.4]0.00**-Sptic abortionNo1.00-0.00**3.12.2-14.4]0.00**-Sptic abortionNo1.00-0.01**<	Length of admission	≤ 1 WK	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
2-3 Wis38 [0.2-20]0.012.1 [2.3-6.5]0.01**3-4 Wis7.7 [2.1-6.3]0.014.4 [3.0-15.9]000***2-4 Wis9.1 [2.3-21.4]0.01**4.4 [3.0-15.9]0.00***3-4 Wis9.1 [2.3-21.4]0.01**3.0 [2.1-1.4]0.00***0-finections suspectedPegnant, in labor1.00-1.000.01***Pegnant, in labor1.23 [7.7-2.0.4]0.01**5.4 [2.1-1.0.2]0.01***6ravidityPestabrium1.23 [7.7-2.0.4]0.01**5.4 [2.1-0.2]0.01***GravidityPostabrium1.23 [7.7-2.0.4]0.01**9.8 [5.7-6.3]0.01***GravidityPostabrium1.00-0.01***0.01***Multiparous1.001.002.0 [1.3-4.7]0.010.01***Polonged rupture ofVaginal birth1.00-1.00-Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-0.01***3.02-1.4]0.00***Septic abbriumYes1.23 [6.2-22.4]0.001***3.02-1.4]0.00***-Polonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00Yes1.43 [3.7-19.4]0.001**3.02-1.4]0.00***Septic abbriumYes1.021.00Yes1.021.00-1.00Polonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00<	-	1–2 WKs	0.8 [0.3–1.7]	0.9	0.7 [0.1-1.2]	0.06
3-4 Wis7/1 (2-1-6.3)0.014/1 (3.0-15.9)0.0001**Pregnant, not in labor1.00-1.00-1.00of infections suspectedPregnant, not in labor1.003.20.2 (1-1.1)0.01**Pegnant, in labor7.3 (2.5-14.4)0.001*9.8 (5.7-16.3)0.001**Bostabortion1.2 (17.7-20.4)0.001**9.6 (1-1.1)0.01**ParintyPrimigravida1.00-1.00-Multiparous1.00-0.01**0.01**Multiparous1.00-0.01**0.01**Multiparous1.00-0.01**0.01**Multiparous1.00-0.01**0.01**Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00Prolonged rupture of <td></td> <td>2–3 Wks</td> <td>3.8 [0.2-2.0]</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>2.1 [2.3-8.5]</td> <td>0.01*</td>		2–3 Wks	3.8 [0.2-2.0]	0.01	2.1 [2.3-8.5]	0.01*
24 WS9.1 [2.3-21.4]0.001**3.7 [1.6-19.4]0.0001**Pregnant, not in labor1.00-0.2 (0.1-1.1)0.7Porgnant, in labor0.2 (0.1-6.6)0.320.2 (0.1-1.1)0.7Postabortion1.2 (7.7-20.4)0.001**5.4 (2.1-10.2)0.01***Fordabortion1.2 (7.7-20.4)0.001**9.6 (2.1-1.2)0.01***GravidityPrimigravida1.00-0.01***0.01***Multiparous1.00-1.00-0.01***Multiparous1.00-1.00-0.01***Multiparous1.00-1.00-0.01***Multiparous1.00-1.00Multiparous1.00-1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00Prolonged rupture of<		3–4 WKs	7.7 [1.2–16.3]	0.01	4.4 [3.0–15.9]	0.001**
Pergenery status at the time of infections suspectedPergenart, in labor1.00-1.00-Originations suspectedPergenart, in labor0.2(0.1-0.6)0.001**0.2(0.1-0.1)0.001**Postparturm7.2(2.5-14.4)0.001**0.001**0.001**0.001***GraviniPrimigravida1.00-1.00-0.01***Multigravida3.3(0.1-d.6)0.332.2(1.1-2.6)0.01**ParityNultigravida1.00-1.00-0.01***Multigravida1.00-1.00-0.01***0.01***Mode of birthNajnal birth1.00-1.00Cearean4.3(2.4-10.2)0.001***1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00No1.00-1.00Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00No1.001.00-1.00Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00 <td></td> <td><math>\geq</math> 4 WKs</td> <td>9.1 [2.3–21.4]</td> <td>0.001*</td> <td>3.7 [1.6–19.4]</td> <td>0.0001**</td>		$\geq$ 4 WKs	9.1 [2.3–21.4]	0.001*	3.7 [1.6–19.4]	0.0001**
of infections suspected Pergnant, in labor02(01-06)0.3202(01-1.1)0.7Postpartum7.3 (25-14.4)0001*5.4 (21-10.2)0.01**Postabortion12.3 (72-20.4)0.001*9.6 (21.7-20.4)0.001*9.6 (21.7-20.4)0.001*GravidityPimigravida1.001.001.001.001.001.001.00ParityNulliparous1.002.4 (1.1-3.7)0.012.0 (1.3-4.7)0.00*0.01*Mode of birthCesarean1.00 <td< td=""><td>Pregnancy status at the time</td><td>Pregnant, not in labor</td><td>1.00</td><td>-</td><td>1.00</td><td>-</td></td<>	Pregnancy status at the time	Pregnant, not in labor	1.00	-	1.00	-
Postpartum73 [2.5-14.4]0.001**54 [2.1-10.2]0.001**PostpartumPostpartum12.3 [7.7-20.4]0.019.8 [5.7-16.3]0.001**Paring avida3.3 [0.1-4.6]0.332.2 [1.1-2.6]0.010.01ParityNulligarous1.00-1.00-0.00Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-1.00-0.001**Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.01**Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.01**Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.001**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00No1.00-1.000.001**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00No1.00-1.00OtaminonitisNo1.00-1.00Putomany infectionsNo1.00-1.00Putomany infectionsNo1.00-1.00Putomany infectionsNo1.00-1.00 </td <td>of infections suspected</td> <td>Pregnant, in labor</td> <td>0.2[0.1-0.6]</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.2 [0.1-1.1]</td> <td>0.7</td>	of infections suspected	Pregnant, in labor	0.2[0.1-0.6]	0.32	0.2 [0.1-1.1]	0.7
Postabortion123 (7.7-20.4)0.001**98 (5.7-16.3)0.001***GravidhyPrimjavida1.00-1.00-ParityNulliparous1.00-1.00-Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-0.014.12.0-2.010.00*Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.00*Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.01*Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-0.01**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-0.01**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-0.01***Polonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.01***Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-0.01***Polonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00Yes1.23 (1.6-25.6)0.00***3.12.2-14.4)0.00***-PolonioamionitisNo1.00-1.00No1.001.00-1.00ProlonioamionitisNo1.00-1.00PolonioamionitisNo1.00-1.00PolonitisNo1.00-1.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Postpartum</td><td>7.3 [2.5–14.4]</td><td>0.001*</td><td>5.4 [2.1–10.2]</td><td>0.001**</td></t<>		Postpartum	7.3 [2.5–14.4]	0.001*	5.4 [2.1–10.2]	0.001**
GravidityPrimigravida1.00-1.00-Multiparvida3.3 [0.1-4.6]0.012.2 [1.1-2.6]0.01ParityMultiparous2.4 [1.1-3.7]0.012.0 [1.3-4.7]0.00Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-1.00-Prolonged rupture ofNa1.00-1.00-Prolonged rupture ofNa1.00-1.00-Septica bortionNa1.00-1.00-Septica bortionNa1.00-1.00-Yes1.3 [3.7-19.4]0.001*5.3 [2.2-14.4]0.001**Dilatation and curetageNa1.00-1.00-Yes1.00-1.00Yes1.23 [1.6-25.6]0.001**3.2 [1.3-13.4]0.001**Pulmonary infectionsNa1.00-1.00-Yes1.00-1.00Yes2.9 [2.1-52]0.01**3.2 [1.6-1.3]0.01**Surgeryindwelling deviceNa1.00Yes2.9 [2.1-52]0.01**1.00Yes3.7 [1.8-5.8]0.01**1.00Surgeryindwelling deviceNa1.00Yes0.011.00-1.00Yes1.001.00-1.00PuemoniaNa1.00-1.00-<		Postabortion	12.3 [7.7–20.4]	0.001*	9.8 [5.7–16.3]	0.001***
Multigravida33 [0.1-4.6]0.0322 [1.1-2.6]0.01ParityMultiparous1.00-1.00-Multiparous2.4[1.1-3.7]0.012.0 [1.3-4.7]0.01Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-1.00-Cesarean4.3 [2.4-10.2]0.014.1 [2.0-9.2]0.01**Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-membranesYes12.3 [6.2-2.4]0.01**8.1 [5.6-11.3]0.001**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-Yes1.33 [3.7-19.4]0.01**5.1 [2.3-13.4]0.001**Dilatation and curettageNo1.00-1.00-Yes1.23 [1.6-25.6]0.01**1.00-0.01**ChorioamnionitisNo1.001.00-0.01**Yes1.001.00-1.00Pumonary infectionsNo1.001.00-0.01**Yes2.9 [2.1-5.2]0.01**1.00Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-1.00-Yes3.7 [1.8-5.8]0.01**2.1 [1.4-6.2]0.01**HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.40(2-1.4]0.39(Yes0.011.00-1.00Protorial fieldNo1.00Corvical/breast cancer </td <td>Gravidity</td> <td>Primigravida</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td>	Gravidity	Primigravida	1.00	-	1.00	-
ParityNulliparous100-100-Mode of birthQdinabirth24(11-37)0.0120(13-47)0.009Mode of birthCesarean43 (24-102)0.014.1 (20-92)0.011Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.011Prolonged rupture ofNo1.00-1.00-0.011Septic abortionNo1.00-0.001**5.3 (22-14.4)0.001**Septic abortionNo1.00-0.001**5.3 (22-14.4)0.001**Dilatation and curetageNo1.00-1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01**-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01**-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01**-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01**ProcentationNo1.00-0.01***ProcentationNo1.00-1.00Procentation <td></td> <td>Multigravida</td> <td>3.3 [0.1-4.6]</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>2.2 [1.1–2.6]</td> <td>0.01</td>		Multigravida	3.3 [0.1-4.6]	0.03	2.2 [1.1–2.6]	0.01
Multiparous24[1.1-3.7]0.0120[1.3-4.7]0.009Mode of birthigial birth1.00-1.00-Cesarean4.3 [2.4-10.2]0.014.1 [2.0-9.2]0.01Prolonged rupture of membranesNo1.00-1.00-Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-Yes1.23 [6.2-22.4]0.001*08.1 [5.6-11.3]0.01*1Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-Yes1.010.01*05.3 [2.2-14.4]0.001*0-Dilatation and curetageNo1.00-1.00-No1.00-1.00Yes4.2 [2.4-11.5]0.01*12.2 [1.3-13.4]0.01*1PortorionninitisNo1.00-1.00-Recurrent UTINo1.001.00Yes5.4 [2.9-13.2]0.02*83.3 [1.6-13.2]0.01*1Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00Yes5.4 [2.9-13.2]0.01*11.00Surgery/indwelling device/No1.00Yes5.4 [2.9-13.2]0.01*11.00Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00Yes6.12-15.2]0.01*11.00Yes0.101.00Yes0.101.00 <t< td=""><td>Parity</td><td>Nulliparous</td><td>1.00</td><td>-</td><td>1.00</td><td>-</td></t<>	Parity	Nulliparous	1.00	-	1.00	-
Mode of birthVaginal birth1.00-1.00-Cesarean43 [2.4-10.2]0.014.1 [2.0-9.2]0.01Prolonged rupture ofNo1.001.001.001.00membranesYes1.23 [6.2-22.4]0.001*08.1 [5.1.3]0.01*1Septic abortionNo1.001.001.001.001.001.00Pres1.43 [3.7-19.4]0.01*05.3 [2.2-14.4]0.001*10.01*10.01*1Dilation and curettageNo1.001.000.01*02.1 [3.1.3.4]0.001*1Pres1.23 [1.6-25.6]0.01*02.1 [3.1.3.4]0.01*10.01*1Pres1.23 [1.6-25.6]0.002*03.3 [1.6.1.3.2]0.01*1Pulmonary infectionsNo1.001.001.001.00Pulmonary infectionsNo1.001.001.001.00PuemoniaNo1.001.001.001.001.00Surgery/indwelling device/ tatheterNo3.7 [1.8-5.8]0.01*12.1 [1.4-6.2]0.01**1PuemoniaNo1.001.001.001.001.001.001.00PuemoniaNo1.001.001.001.001.001.001.00Surgery/indwelling device/ tatheterNo1.001.011.011.011.011.011.011.01PuemoniaNo1.001.001.011.011.011.011.011.011.011.01		Multiparous	2.4[1.1-3.7]	0.01	2.0 [1.3-4.7]	0.009
Cesarean43 [24-10.2]0.014.1 [2.0-9.2]0.001*Prolonged rupture of membranesNo1.00-1.00-Septic abortionNo1.3 [3.6-12.4]0.001**8.1 [5.6-11.3]0.001**Septic abortionNo1.43 [3.7-19.4]0.01**5.3 [2.2-14.4]0.001**Dilatation and curettageNo1.00-1.00-Yes4.2 [2.4-11.5]0.001**2.2 [1.3-13.4]0.01**ChorioannionitisNo1.00-0.02**8.3 [2.2-19.4]0.01**Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00Yes1.2.3 [1.6-25.6]0.002**8.3 [2.9-19.1]0.01**Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-PreumoniaNo1.00-1.00-Surgery/Indwelling device/No1.001.00-0.01**Surgery/Indwelling device/No1.00-1.00-Yes3.7 [1.8-5.8]0.01**3.01.4-0.2]0.01***-HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.402-1.4]0.91***3.1 [3.8-11.1]0.01***-Within & Mx santibioticYes7.8 [4.3-12.7]0.01***1.00Within & Mx santibioticYes1.00Within & Mx santibioticYes same alcohal and tell1.000.01***1.01****<	Mode of birth	Vaginal birth	1.00	-	1.00	-
Prolonged rupture of membranesNo1.00-1.00-Yes12.3 [6.2-22.4]0.001*08.1 [5.6-11.3]0.01*3Septa abortionYes1.00-1.00-Yes14.3 [3.7-19.4]0.01*05.3 [2.2-14.4]0.01*3Dilatation and curettageNo1.00-1.00-Yes4.2 [2.4-11.5]0.01*02.2 [1.3-13.4]0.01*3ChorioamnionitisNo1.00-1.00-Pecurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Yes5.4(2.9-13.2]0.01*03.3 [1.6-13.2]0.01*0Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-PreumoniaYes3.7 [1.8-58]0.01*02.1 [1.4-6.2]0.01*1Surgery/Indwelling device/No1.00-1.00-Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30-0.01*12.01-1.4]0.01*1HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.30<		Cesarean	4.3 [2.4–10.2]	0.01	4.1 [2.0-9.2]	0.001*
membranesYes123 [62-22.4]0.001**8.1 [5.6-11.3]0.001**Septic abortionNo1.00-1.00-Yes143 [3.7-19.4]0.001**5.3 [2.2-14.4]0.001**Dilatation and curettageNo1.00-1.00-Yes4.2 [2.4-11.5]0.001**2.2 [1.3-13.4]0.001**ChorioamnionitisNo1.00-1.00-Yes12.3 [1.6-25.6]0.002**8.3 [2.2-19.1]0.01**Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Yes1.001.00-1.00-Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-No1.00-1.00-0.01**Surgery/indwelling device/No1.00-1.00-Yes0.101.00-1.00Yes0.611.00-1.00HypertensionNo1.00-1.00Yes0.4(0.2-1.4]0.01*2.2(1.2-1.4]0.01**-Diabetes mellitusNo1.00Yes0.6(2-2.1]0.01*0.01**1.00Within the past 3 months his- NoNo1.00-1.00Yes0.6(2-1.4]0.01**7.8 [4.3-12.7]0.01**1.00Within the past 3 months his- NoNo7.8 [4.3-	Prolonged rupture of	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Septic abortion         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         14.3 [3.7–19.4]         0.001**         5.3 [2.2–14.4]         0.0001**           Dilatation and curettage         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Ves         4.2 [2.4–11.5]         0.001**         2.2 [1.3–13.4]         0.001**           Chorioamnionitis         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         12.3 [1.6–25.6]         0.002**         8.3 [2.2–19.1]         0.001**           Recurrent UTI         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         5.4[2.9–13.2]         0.02         3.3 [1.6–13.2]         0.01           Pulmonary infections         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Surgery/indwelling device/         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Surgery/indwelling device/         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         3.7 [1.8–5.8]         0.01*         2.1 [1.4–6.2]         0.01***           Hypertension         1.00         -         -         -           Yes <t< td=""><td>membranes</td><td>Yes</td><td>12.3 [6.2–22.4]</td><td>0.0001*</td><td>8.1 [5.6–11.3]</td><td>0.001**</td></t<>	membranes	Yes	12.3 [6.2–22.4]	0.0001*	8.1 [5.6–11.3]	0.001**
Yes143 [3.7–19.4]0.001**5.3 [2.2–14.4]0.001**Dilatation and curettageNo1.00-1.00-Yes4.2 [2.4–11.5]0.001**2.2 [1.3–13.4]0.001**ChorioamnionitisNo1.00-1.00-Yes12.3 [1.6–25.6]0.002**8.3 [2.2–19.1]0.001**Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Yes5.4 [2.9–13.2]0.023.3 [1.6–13.2]0.01*Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-Yes2.9 [2.1–5.2]0.01*2.0 [1.5–3.8]0.01*Surgery/indwelling device/No1.00-1.00-Yes3.7 [1.8–5.8]0.01*2.1 [1.4–6.2]0.01***Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00Yes0.4 [0.2–11.3]0.01*5.2 [1.2–11.4]0.01***HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.8 [0.2–11.4]0.39Utihin the past 3 months hisNo1.00-1.00Yes0.8 [0.2–11.4]0.01**1.00Utihin the past 3 months hisNo1.00Yes0.8 [0.2–11.4]0.01**1.00Utihin the past 3 months hisNo1.00-	Septic abortion	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Dilatation and curettage YesNo1.00-1.00-Kes4.2 [2.4-11.5]0.001*02.2 [1.3-13.4]0.001*0ChorioamnionitisNo1.00-1.00-Yes12.3 [1.6-25.6]0.002*88.3 [2.2-19.1]0.001*0Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-0.01*0-Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-0.01*0-Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00-Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00-Yes3.7 [1.8-5.8]0.01*02.1 [1.4-6.2]0.01**0Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-1.00-Yes0.4[0.2-17.3]0.01*5.2[1.2-11.4]0.01***1PubertensionNo1.00Yes0.6[0.2-21.1]0.15Diabetes mellitusNo1.00Yes0.8[0.2-21.1]0.01**1.00Within the past 3 months his- tory of comorbiditiesNo1.00-1.00Within 8wks antibioticYes1.00-1.00Within 8wks antibioticYes1.00-1.00-0.001***Within 8wks antibioticYes men time alcohol and tela<		Yes	14.3 [3.7–19.4]	0.001*	5.3 [2.2–14.4]	0.0001**
Yes $42 [2.4-11.5]$ $0.001^*$ $2.2 [1.3-13.4]$ $0.001^{**}$ ChorioamnionitisNo $1.00$ - $1.00$ -Yes $12.3 [1.6-25.6]$ $0.002^*$ $8.3 [2.2-19.1]$ $0.001^*$ Recurrent UTINo $1.00$ - $1.00$ -Pulmonary infectionsNo $1.00$ - $1.00$ -Pulmonary infectionsNo $1.00$ - $1.00$ -PuemoniaYes $2.9 [2.1-5.2]$ $0.01^*$ $2.0 [1.5-3.8]$ $0.01^*$ Surgery/indwelling device/No $1.00$ - $1.00$ -Yes $3.7 [1.8-5.8]$ $0.001^*$ $2.1 [1.4-6.2]$ $0.01^*$ Cervical/breast cancerNo $1.00$ - $1.00$ -Yes $6.1 [2.2-17.3]$ $0.01^*$ $5.2 [1.2-11.4]$ $0.001^{***}$ HypertensionNo $1.00$ Yes $0.6 [1.2-17.3]$ $0.01^*$ $5.2 [1.2-11.4]$ $0.001^{***}$ Diabetes mellitusNo $1.00$ Yes $0.6 [0.2-2.1]$ $0.01^*$ $1.00$ Within the past 3 months his tory of comorbiditiesNo $1.00$ $1.00$ Within 8wks antibioticYes $7.8 [4.3-12.7]$ $0.01^*$ $1.03$ $1.00$ -Within 8wks antibioticYes $1.00$ $1.00$ $1.00$ Within 8wks antibioticYes $1.00$ $1.00$ $1.00$ Ye	Dilatation and curettage	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
ChorioannionitisNo1.00-1.00-Yes12.3 [1.6–25.6]0.002*8.3 [2.2–19.1]0.001*Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Yes5.4(2.9–13.2]0.023.3 [1.6–13.2]0.01Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-PneumoniaNo1.00-1.00-Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00-Yes3.7 (1.8–5.8]0.001*2.1 [1.4–6.2]0.01*Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-1.00-Yes6.1 [2.2–17.3]0.01*5.2 [1.2–11.4]0.01**HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.4 (0.2–1.4]0.39Utihin the past 3 months his tory of comorbiditiesNo1.001.00Within Bwks antibioticYes7.8 [4.3–12.7]0.01*5.1 [3.8–11.1]0.01**Within Swks antibioticYes1.00Yes menute alcohol and tela1.000.01*1.58 [9.3–32.7]0.01***History of alcohol intakeNever1.001.001.00-Yes foreurent alcohol and tela82.9–11.3]0.001***1.10(4–1.9]0.01***	-	Yes	4.2 [2.4–11.5]	0.001*	2.2 [1.3–13.4]	0.001**
Recurrent UTIYes12.3 [1.6–25.6]0.002*8.3 [2.2–19.1]0.001*No1.00-1.00-1.00-Yes5.4 [2.9–13.2]0.023.3 [1.6–13.2]0.01Pulmonary infectionsNo1.00-1.00-PneumoniaYes2.9 [2.1–5.2]0.01*2.0 [1.5–3.8]0.01*Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00Yes3.7 [1.8–5.8]0.01*2.1 [1.4–6.2]0.01*0.01**Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-0.01*Yes6.1 [2.2–17.3]0.01*5.2 [1.2–11.4]0.01***HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.4 [0.2–1.4]0.39Diabetes mellitusNo1.001.00Vithin the past 3 months his tory of comorbiditiesNo1.00-1.00 </td <td>Chorioamnionitis</td> <td>No</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td>	Chorioamnionitis	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Recurrent UTINo1.00-1.00-Yes54[2.9-13.2]0.023[1.6-13.2]0.01Pulmonary infections PneumoniaNo1.00-1.00-Yes2.9[2.1-5.2]0.01*2.0[1.5-3.8]0.01*Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00-Yes3.7[1.8-5.8]0.01*2.1[1.4-6.2]0.01*Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-1.00-Yes6.1[2.2-17.3]0.01*5.2[1.2-11.4]0.01***HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.4[0.2-1.4]0.39Diabetes mellitusNo1.00Yes0.8[0.2-2.1]0.01**1.00Within the past 3 months his tory of comorbiditiesNo1.00Yes1.001.00-1.00Within Swks antibioticYes7.8 [4.3-12.7]0.01**1.01.38-11.1]0.01***History of alcohol intake wer met met alcohol and tela1.001.011.02.32.7]0.01***Within Swks antibioticNever1.001.011.04-1.9]0.2Yes some time alcohol and tela4[12-4].130.01***1.04.1.9]0.01***Within Swks antibioticNever1.001.001.01.4-1.9]0.01***Yes for use time alcohol and tela4[12-4].130.01*** <t< td=""><td></td><td>Yes</td><td>12.3 [1.6–25.6]</td><td>0.002*</td><td>8.3 [2.2–19.1]</td><td>0.001*</td></t<>		Yes	12.3 [1.6–25.6]	0.002*	8.3 [2.2–19.1]	0.001*
Yes54[2.9-13.2]0.023.3[1.6-13.2]0.01Pulmonary infections PneumoniaNo1.00-1.00-Yes2.9[2.1-5.2]0.01*2.0[1.5-3.8]0.01*Surgery/indwelling device/ catheterNo1.00-1.00-Yes3.7[1.8-5.8]0.001*2.1[1.4-6.2]0.01**Cervical/breast cancerNo1.00-1.00-Yes6.1[2.2-17.3]0.01*5.2[1.2-11.4]0.01***HypertensionNo1.00Yes0.4[0.2-1.4]0.39Diabetes mellitusNo1.00Yes0.8[0.2-2.1]0.15Within the past 3 months his tory of comorbiditiesNo1.00-1.00Within Swks antibiotic treatmentYes1.00-1.011.01Within Swks antibiotic treatmentNever1.00-1.00No1.001.001.001.001.00Within Swks antibiotic treatmentNever1.000.01**1.001.00Within Swks antibiotic treatmentNo1.000.01**1.001.00Within Swks antibiotic treatmentNo1.001.001.000.01*** </td <td>Recurrent UTI</td> <td>No</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td>	Recurrent UTI	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Pulmonary infections         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Pneumonia         Yes         2.9 [2.1–5.2]         0.01*         2.0 [1.5–3.8]         0.01*           Surgery/indwelling device/         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           catheter         Yes         3.7 [1.8–5.8]         0.001*         2.1 [1.4–6.2]         0.01*           Cervical/breast cancer         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         6.1[2.2–17.3]         0.01*         5.2[1.2–11.4]         0.001***           Hypertension         No         1.00         -         -         -           Yes         0.4[0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities         No         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001**         5.1 [3.8–11.1]         0.001***           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes, some time alcohol and tela         16.3 [9.3–19.7]         0.01*         1.58 [9.3–32.7]		Yes	5.4[2.9–13.2]	0.02	3.3[1.6-13.2]	0.01
Pneumona         Yes         2.9 [2.1–5.2]         0.01*         2.0 [1.5–3.8]         0.01*           Surgery/indwelling device/         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           catheter         Yes         3.7 [1.8–5.8]         0.001*         2.1 [1.4–6.2]         0.01*           Cervical/breast cancer         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         6.1 [2.2–17.3]         0.01*         5.2 [1.2–11.4]         0.001***           Hypertension         No         1.00         -         -           Yes         0.4 [0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         -         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         Yes         1.00         -         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         Yes         1.02         .00	Pulmonary infections	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Surgery/indwelling device/ catheter         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Catheter         Yes         3.7 [1.8–5.8]         0.001*         2.1 [1.4–6.2]         0.01*           Cervical/breast cancer         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Yes         6.1[2.2–17.3]         0.01*         5.2[1.2–11.4]         0.001***           Hypertension         No         1.00         -         -         -           Yes         0.4[0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities         No         1.00         -         1.00         -         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001**         1.00         -         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes, some time alcohol and tela         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           History of alcohol intake         Never	Pneumonia	Yes	2.9 [2.1-5.2]	0.01*	2.0 [1.5-3.8]	0.01*
cather       Yes       3.7 [1.8–5.8]       0.001*       2.1 [1.4–6.2]       0.01*         Cervical/breast cancer       No       1.00       -       1.00       -         Yes       6.1[2.2–17.3]       0.01*       5.2[1.2–11.4]       0.001***         Hypertension       No       1.00       -       -       -         Yes       0.4[0.2–1.4]       0.39       -       -       -         Diabetes mellitus       No       1.00       -       -       -       -         Vithin the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities       No       1.00       -       1.00       -	Surgery/indwelling device/	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Cervical/breast cancer         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Hypertension         No         6.1[2.2–17.3]         0.01*         5.2[1.2–11.4]         0.001***           Hypertension         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Yes         0.4[0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         1.00         -         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001**         5.1 [3.8–11.1]         0.001***           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         Yes         16.3[9.3–19.7]         0.01*         1.00         -           History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         1.00         -           Yes, some time alcohol and tela	catheter	Yes	3.7 [1.8–5.8]	0.001*	2.1 [1.4–6.2]	0.01*
Yes         6.1[2.2-17.3]         0.01**         5.2[1.2-11.4]         0.001***           Hypertension         No         1.00         -	Cervical/breast cancer	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Hypertension         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         0.4[0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001*         5.1 [3.8–11.1]         0.001**           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         1.00         -         1.00         -           History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         1.00         -           Yes, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Yes frequently alcohol heer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*		Yes	6.1[2.2–17.3]	0.01*	5.2[1.2-11.4]	0.001***
Yes         0.4[0.2–1.4]         0.39         -         -           Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his-         No         0.8[0.2–2.1]         0.15         -         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Within the past 3 months his-         No         1.00         -         1.00         -           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001*         5.1 [3.8–11.1]         0.001**           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         1.00         -         1.00         -           Iteratment         No         16.3 [9.3–19.7]         0.01*         15.8 [9.3–32.7]         0.001***           History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         -         -           Ves, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Ves frequently alcohol heer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*	Hypertension	No	1.00	-	-	-
Diabetes mellitus         No         1.00         -         -         -         -           Vithin the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities         No         1.00         -         1.00         -		Yes	0.4[0.2-1.4]	0.39	-	-
Yes       0.8[0.2-2.1]       0.15       -       -         Within the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities       No       1.00       -       1.00       -         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       7.8 [4.3-12.7]       0.001**       5.1 [3.8-11.1]       0.001**         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         History of alcohol intake       No       16.3[9.3-19.7]       0.01*       15.8 [9.3-32.7]       0.001***         History of alcohol intake       Never       1.00       1.00       -       -         Ves, some time alcohol and tela       4[1.2-7.4]       0.03       1.1 [0.4-1.9]       0.2         Ves frequently alcohol heer tela       8[2.9-11.3]       0.001       3.2 [1.6-4.9]       0.01*	Diabetes mellitus	No	1.00	_	-	-
Within the past 3 months his- tory of comorbidities       No       1.00       -       1.00       -         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       7.8 [4.3–12.7]       0.001*       5.1. [3.8–11.1]       0.001**         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         Itreatment       No       16.3[9.3–19.7]       0.01*       15.8 [9.3–32.7]       0.001***         History of alcohol intake       Never       1.00       1.00       -       -         Ves, some time alcohol and tela       4[1.2–7.4]       0.03       1.1 [0.4–1.9]       0.2         Ves frequently alcohol heer tela       8[2.9–11.3]       0.001       3.2 [1.6–4.9]       0.01*		Yes	0.8[0.2-2.1]	0.15	-	-
tory of comorbidities         Yes         7.8 [4.3–12.7]         0.001*         5.1. [3.8–11.1]         0.001**           Within 8wks antibiotic         Yes         1.00         -         1.00         -           treatment         No         16.3 [9.3–19.7]         0.01*         15.8 [9.3–32.7]         0.001***           History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         1.00         -           Ves, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Ves frequently alcohol heer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*	Within the past 3 months his-	No	1.00	-	1.00	-
Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         Within 8wks antibiotic       Yes       1.00       -       1.00       -         treatment       No       16.3[9.3–19.7]       0.01*       15.8 [9.3–32.7]       0.001***         History of alcohol intake       Never       1.00       1.00       1.00       -         Yes, some time alcohol and tela       4[1.2–7.4]       0.03       1.1 [0.4–1.9]       0.2         Ves frequently alcohol beer tela       8[2.9–11.3]       0.001       3.2 [1.6–4.9]       0.01*	tory of comorbidities	Yes	78[43-127]	0.001*	51 [38-111]	0.001**
Instrument         No         16.3[9.3–19.7]         0.01*         15.8 [9.3–32.7]         0.001***           History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         -         -           Yes, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Ves frequently alcohol beer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*	Within 8wks antibiotic	Yes	100	-	1.00	-
History of alcohol intake         Never         1.00         1.00         1.00         -           Yes, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Ves frequently alcohol beer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*	treatment	No	16.3[9.3–19.7]	0.01*	15.8 [9.3-32.7]	0.001***
Yes, some time alcohol and tela         4[1.2–7.4]         0.03         1.1 [0.4–1.9]         0.2           Ves frequently alcohol beer tela         8[2.9–11.3]         0.001         3.2 [1.6–4.9]         0.01*	History of alcohol intake	Never	1.00	1.00	1.00	-
Yes frequently alcohol beer tela 8[29–113] 0.001 3.2 [16–4.9] 0.01*		Yes, some time alcohol and tela	4[1,2-7,4]	0.03	1.1 [0.4–1 9]	0.2
		Yes, frequently alcohol beer tela	8[2.9–11 3]	0.001	3.2 [1.6-4 9]	0.01*

 Table 1
 Sociodemographic and prevalence of K. pneumoniaeisolated from maternal sepsis cases in five tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia, 2024



Fig. 1 Prevalence of MDR/XDR/PDR-K. pneumoniae strains isolated from maternal with sepsis in five tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia, 2024. ALERT = ALERT Hospital; HFSH = Hiwot Fana Specialized Hospital; JUMC = Jimma University Medical Center; HUSH = Hawassa University Specialized Hospital and TASH = Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital

morbidity and mortality in pregnant women and newborns (Table 2).

# Treatment and management of maternal sepsis

This study revealed that among women who developed sepsis, 71.9% of maternal sepsis cases caused by K. pneu*moniae* were diagnosed at the hospital (Table 1). Upon arrival at tertiary hospitals, most women are initially administered antibiotics such as ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, cefepime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, amoxicillin, gentamicin, clindamycin, vancomycin, and piperacillin-tazobactam before arriving at the hospital. Furthermore, 44% of the sepsis patients received one or more cephalosporins, carbapenems, or tigecycline. These factors contribute to a negative bacterial culture, causing a delay in corrective measures being taken and leading to confusion with complicated pregnancy cases (Table 1). A total of 87.0% of women were treated for sepsis with high doses of broad-spectrum antibiotics, including vancomycin and carbapenems. However, 21-42% of these cases can lead to poor maternal outcomes and contribute to the development of long-term maternal outcomes (Table 2).

In the case of severe maternal sepsis, physicians often use a combination of two or three cephalosporins, carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and polymyxins. For instance, they prescribed tigecycline combination therapy, avibactam/ceftazidime, and imipenem/meropenem to treat maternal sepsis caused by MDR/XDR/PDR *K. pneumoniae* (Figs. 2 and 4). For those resistant to lastresort antibiotics, treatment with a combination of carbapenem with amikacin, tigeycline, or levofloxacin has shown positive outcomes (Fig. 4). After treatment with two or more antibiotics in combination, patients treated with meropenem and amikacine recovered with less hospitalization than did the other patients (Fig. 3).

This study revealed that women who developed maternal sepsis from *CRKP* were hospitalized for an average of 44 days. Overall, maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem-/ cephalosporin-resistant *K.pneumoniae* is a neglected and deadly condition that has not received sufficient attention because it has short-term and long-term maternal health complications. Therefore, to reduce the impact of maternal sepsis, we need to focus on patient-centered care and promote IPC and AMS strategies. These measures can be implemented by facilitating early diagnosis and sepsis



ESBL& carbapenemase production

Fig. 2 Prevalence of *ESBL-/carbapenemase*-producing *K.pneumoniae* strains isolated from maternal sepsis in five tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia, 2024. **ALERT =** ALERT Hospital; **HFSH** = Hiwot Fana Compressive Specialized Hospital; **JUMC** = Jimma University Medical Center; **HUSH** = Hawassa University Specialized Hospital and **TASH** = TikurAnbessa Specialized Hospital



Fig. 3 Degree of resistance *K. pneumoniae* isolated from maternal with sepsis in five tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia, 2024. **ALERT** = ALERT Hospital; HFCSH = Hiwot Fana Specialized Hospital; JUMC = Jimma University Medical Center; HUSH = Hawassa University Specialized Hospital and TASH = TikurAnbessa Specialized Hospital

surveillance, creating public awareness, and strengthening IPC and AMS measures without delay.

# Discussion

This study revealed that women who were suspected of having sepsis were included at five tertiary hospitals in three-fourths of the country during the study period. These hospitals serve as referral centers for three-fourths of the population of 125 million people. The study revealed that 97% of women who had experienced sepsis were unaware of the harmful symptoms and potential risks of this illness to the developing fetus. The awareness of sepsis is low. Studies have shown that 2.6% of the population in Japan, and 4.2% of the population in Singapore

Variable	categories	All women who had enrolled in the study		All women who had culture-positive for K.pneumoniae	
		N=5613	%	N=609	%
Hospital clusters	Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospita	2317	41.3	241	39.6
Central-Ethiopia	ALERT Hospital	609	10.8	69	11.3
Western-Ethiopia	Jimma University Medical Center	1013	18.1	130	21.3
Southern-Ethiopia	Hawassa University Specialized Hospital	903	16.1	98	16.1
Eastern-Ethiopia	Hiwot Fana Specialized Hospital	771	13.7	71	11.7
Age (yrs) Mean +/- SD	<20	590	10.5	81	13.3
	21–30	1,927	34.3	143	23.5
	31–40	2,237	39.9	259	42.5
	41–49	859	15.3	126	20.7
Onset of infection	Present on admissions	3186	56.8	171	28.1
	Hospital onset	2427	43.2	438	71.9
Length of admission	≤1 WK	868	15.5	29	4.7
	1–2 WKs	1106	19.7	50	8.2
	2–3 Wks	1983	35.3	79	13.0
	3–4 WKs	954	17.0	188	30.9
	$\geq 4$ WKs	702	12.5	263	43.2
Educational level	Cannot read and write	807	14.4	250	41.0
	Elementary	1549	27.6	219	36.0
	High school/preparatory	2284	40.7	79	13.0
	College and above	973	17.3	61	10.0
Residence	Urban	1443	25.7	128	21.0
	Rural	4170	74.3	481	79.0
Ward	ICU	2459	43.8	298	49.0
	Gyn/Ob ward	1358	24.2	136	22.3
	Surgical	921	16.4	77	12.7
	Medical ward	617.	11.0	67	11.0
	EOPD	258	4.6	31	5.0
Antibiotics treatment history	No	679	12.1	79	13.0
	yes	4934	87.9	530	87.0
History of alcohol intake	Never	1740	31.0	109	17.9
	Yes, some time(local tela)	2975	53.0	239	39.2
	Yes, common alcohol beer, tela	898	16.0	261	42.9
Have ever heard about maternal sepsis	Mass media(TV/Radio/others)	-	0.0	-	-
	Health profession/spouses	130	2.3	24	3.9
	Never heard about sepsis	5483	97.7	585	96.1
Clinical specimens	Urine	2073	36.9	259	42.5
	Blood	2284	40.7	216	35.5
	CSF	881	15.7	39	6.4
	Wound/surgical	304	5.4	74	12.2
	Others	71	1.3	21	3.4

 Table 2
 Biavariate and multivariate regression analysis of risk factors associated with maternal sepsis in five tertiary hospitals in

 Ethiopia, 2024

[8, 31, 42]. In contrast, studies in Sweden and Germany revealed that the prevalence of population awareness of sepsis was 92% and 88.6%, respectively [2, 9, 41].

In terms of residence, 74.3% of the maternal sepsis patients were from rural areas. A total of 56.8% of maternal sepsis patients had delayed treatment, making it difficult to determine whether symptoms were from infection or pregnancy complications upon arrival at the hospital. Although these suspected cases were treated with carbapenem and vancomycin, the number of patients infected with antibiotics resistant to those last-resort antibiotics has increased, which is unacceptable in the studied hospitals [10, 20, 24]. Clinicians face significant challenges in regard to the early recognition and diagnosis of sepsis in pregnant women. It mimics signs and symptoms of complicated pregnancy and needs urgent work to identify infection before its prognosis is improved to sepsis [4, 7–9, 31, 32, 43]. Additionally,



Fig. 4 Percentage resistance to antimicrobials in K. pneumoniae isolated from maternal sepsis cases in five tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia, 2024

alcoholism was associated with sepsis in mothers in this study. Those who frequently consumed alcohol, such as beer and "Tela", and were infected with *K. pneumoniae* had a greater likelihood of developing severe symptoms, accounting for 42.9% of the patients. These findings are consistent with many related studies [1, 3, 6, 16, 17, 34, 36, 39]. The maternal sepsis incidence and treatment of *K. pneumoniae* vary by region. This could be due to different factors such as sample type, geographic location, patient demographics, immune status, and co-infections, which can affect the magnitude and strain type of this bacterium, which is consistent with our findings in five tertiary hospitals [10, 15, 24, 35, 37].

This study revealed that *K. pneumoniae* was resistant to a high percentage (39–100%) of the tested antibiotics, including last-resort options such as carbapenems and tigecycline. These findings suggest that carbapenem and cephalosporin antibiotics have become less effective in treating superbugs. Without addressing the rapid spread of *CRKP* strains, alternative treatments may not be available in the near future. These findings are consistent with many studies carried out in other counties [34–37]. On the other hand, this bacterium is one of the most commonly acquired bacteria in both nosocomial and community infections and is highly spreading and developing antimicrobial resistance worldwide [10–14, 23, 24].

The prevalence of MDR K. pneumoniae was high at 93.9% (ranging from 91.4 to 98.2%). This finding is consistent with those of studies carried out by Tsegaye et al. 86.5% [34], Aberaet al. 45.2% [35], Belay et al. 84% [36], Beyene et al. 94.5% [46], and Awoke et al. 98.5% [37]. Overall, those studies demonstrated that the number of isolates evaluated for each study, the geographic variation, the studied population, and the test method used all affect the prevalence of MDR-K. pneumoniae. Another study by Cassini et al. reported various prevalences of antimicrobial-resistant infections across Europe [19]. Similarly, Lee et al. reported that there was variation in the strains, prevalence, and burden of *carbapenemase*producing K. pneumoniae that disseminated worldwide [18]. Forero-Hurtado et al. reported antimicrobial resistance via *blaKPC* genes and other genes, such as blaNDM-1, and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance [13, 22] cross-transmitted from K. pneumoniae to different species [15]. Similarly, this could involve vertical or horizontal genome transfer [17, 20, 21, 28]. We are actively working toward addressing the challenge of multidrug-resistant strains, including K. pneumoniae, which pose a significant obstacle to achieving our goal of reducing maternal and newborn morbidity and mortality rates in a sustainable manner [7, 18, 19, 27]. In the present study, the prevalence of XDR K.pneumoniae was 28.1%, which is higher than the rates reported in studies by Abera et al. 7.7% [35], and Beyene et al. 8.8% [46]. The percentage obtained in this study is slightly lower than that reported by Tsegayeet al. 43.3% [34]; similarly, the prevalence of PDR *K. pneumoniae* was 28.1%, which is higher than that reported in studies performed by Beyene et al.. 0.8% [46], Awoke et al.. 1.5% [37] and Tsegayeet al. 1.8% [34].

Our study of K. pneumoniae revealed that various strains that produce enzymes, namely carbapenemase and ESBL, which are responsible for causing antimicrobial resistance, have become resistant to antimicrobial drugs. A total of 41.7% of the K. pneumoniae strains were non-susceptible to carbapenems, and 40.2% produced carbapenemase. The prevalence of carbapenemaseproducing strains reported in China ranges from 20.4 to 21.9% [11]. However, this figure is higher than that in the studies conducted by Abera et al., 15.4% [35], and Tsegaye et al., 9% [34]. The percentage obtained in this study is slightly lower than that reported by Beyene et al.., which was 30% [46]. On the other hand, 87.6-93.04% of K. pneumoniae strains were ESBL-producing strains. The highest frequency of this profile was found in hospitals in the central part of the country. Similarly, the western, southern, and eastern regions account for 87.6%, 96.4%, and 95.3%, respectively, of the profile. This study is similar to studies that reported a high percentage of ESBLproducing K. pneumoniae nationwide [3, 34-37] and even on a global scale [12, 14, 16, 17, 38].

Although sepsis is a significant healthcare problem, comprehensive data on sepsis caused by multidrugresistant bacteria and the consequences of sepsis leading to exacerbated maternal morbidity and mortality is lacking. In this investigation, we employed binary and multivariate logistic regression models to pinpoint putative risk factors associated with K. pneumoniae-induced maternal sepsis. The findings revealed that women with specific clinical outcomes, such as septic abortion [AOR=5.3; 95% CI=2.2-14.4]; P=0.0001], indwelling catheters[(AOR=2.1; 95% CI=1.4-6.2; P<0.01)], alcoholism alcoholism [(OR=5.2; 95% CI: 2.9-11.3, P=0.001], ICU admission[AOR=4.3; 95% CI=2.4-11.2; P=0.01], cesarean delivery[AOR=4.1; 95% CI=2.0–9.2]; P=0.001], a history of not using antimicrobial agents within eight weeks[(AOR=3.7; 95% CI=1.6-19.4); P=0.0001], and recurrent UTIs[AOR=3.3; 95% CI=1.6-13.2]; P=0.01], history of dilatation and curettage [(AOR=2.2; 95% CI=1.3–13.4; P=0.001)] were at greater risk of developing sepsis. These findings are similar to those of studies on the risk factors associated with sepsis, which exacerbates severe morbidity and mortality at community and health facilities (Table 2); these findings have also been reported by many researchers [7, 8, 18, 19, 25, 28, 32, 33, 42]. The occurrence of risk factors associated with maternal factors (host factors) [1, 27, 34, 43] and bacterial factors [8, 29] has led to the widespread spread of highly virulent strains [18, 20, 21, 28] and antimicrobial resistance, which includes strains that are pandrug resistant [8, 11, 26].

The management of sepsis encompasses fluid resuscitation for hypotension, empirical antimicrobial treatment, and vasoactive agents (norepinephrine) to reduce the risk of maternal complications. Although treatments have been used to reduce maternal mortality and morbidity caused by sepsis, an increase in antimicrobial-resistant bacterial infections has had adverse consequences. In this context, sepsis caused by CRKP and ESBL-producing K. pneumoniae has resulted in severe maternal sepsis, leading to millions of deaths, socioeconomic crises, and security issues. Figure 3 shows a high incidence of CRKP and ESBL-producing K. pneumoniae, which compromises the effectiveness of last-resort antibiotics such as carbapenem and tigecycline. These findings are similar to those of previous studies on pulmonary infections (pneumonia), surgical site infections and UTIs associated with CRKP [5, 9, 12, 19].

During the study period, it was observed that there were difficulties in promptly recognizing and treating *CRKP* because of the delayed reporting of culture results. This delay poses a challenge for gynecologists in reducing the risk of adverse maternal sepsis outcomes. These findings are similar to those of previous studies [3, 5, 11, 22, 30, 34, 37, 38, 40]. Treatment of maternal sepsis with antimicrobial agents involves a general gram-negative bacteremia algorithm, which uses broad-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, cefepime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, amoxicillin, gentamicin, clindamycin, vancomycin, and piperacillin-tazobactam as part of empiric antimicrobial therapy. These findings are consistent with those of other studies [1, 21, 44, 47].

# Conclusions

This study revealed that the overall prevalence of maternal sepsis caused by carbapenem- or cephalosporin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* is high. This highly contagious and ubiquitous nature of superbugs poses a seriously threat to public health and serious attention needs to be given to combat transmission. Hence, improving awareness, early diagnosis, person-centered care, IPC measures, integrated surveillance, efficient antimicrobial stewardship, improved sanitation and monitoring interventions are crucial to tackle preventable maternal sepsis caused by bacteria.

### Abbreviations

- ARGs Antimicrobial resistance genes
- CLSI Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute
- CRKP Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae
- ESBL Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase
- IPC Infection prevention and control
- MDR Multidrug Resistant

- MGEs Mobile genetic elements
- MHA Muller Hinton agar
- MHB Muller Hinton Broth
- MIC Minimal inhibitory concentration
- PDR Resistant to all antimicrobial classes
- UTI Urinary tract infection
- XDR Extreme drug resistance
- WHO World Health Organization

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to EPHI, AAU and Ghana University for providing financial support for our research. We are thankful to the Clinical Bacteriology and Mycology Unit of EPHI, TASH, ALERT, JUMH, HURH, and HFSUH for their expert assistance and for allowing us to use their laboratory facilities. Additionally, we would like to extend our thanks to Ebissa Fekede and Degafu Beyene for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout the project.

#### Author contributions

EG designed and performed laboratory work and analyzed the data. BE, BA, HA, GD and TST were supervising overall project. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This research was funded by AAU (4592/001/22/IOB), EPHI, and Ghana University for data collection, laboratory reagents and other costs. All institutes had no role in the study design, in the writing of the report, and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

#### Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted after it is ethically reviewed and approved by the Research and Ethical Review Committee of Institute of Biotechnology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Ethiopian Public Health Institute, P.O. Box 1242/5654, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>3</sup>Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

#### Received: 9 February 2024 / Accepted: 5 September 2024 Published online: 19 September 2024

#### References

- Amir M, Brown JA, Rager SL, Sanidad KZ, Ananthanarayanan A, Zeng MY. Maternal microbiome and infections in pregnancy. Microorganisms. 2020;8(12):1–21.
- World Health Organization. Global report on the epidemiology and burden of sepsis: current evidence, identifying gaps and future directions. WHO. 2020;56. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/334216.
- Okafor O, Roos N, Abdosh AA, Adesina O, Alaoui Z, Romero WA, et al. International virtual confidential reviews of infection-related maternal deaths and near-miss in 11 low- and middle-income countries - case report series and suggested actions. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022;22(1):431.
- 4. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, Shackelford KA, Tsoi D, Kievlan DR, et al. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality,

1990–2017: analysis for the global burden of disease study. Lancet. 2020;395(10219):200–11.

- Shields A, De Assis V, Halscott T. Top 10 pearls for the recognition, evaluation, and management of maternal sepsis. Obstet Gynecol. 2021;138(2):289–304.
- Jash S, Sharma S. Pathogenic infections during pregnancy and the consequences for fetal brain development. Pathogens. 2022;11(2):193.
- Ornaghi S, Maraschini A, Buoncristiano M, Corsi Decenti E, Colciago E, Cetin I, et al. Maternal sepsis in Italy: a prospective, population-based cohort and nested case-control study. Microorganisms. 2023;11(1):1–20.
- 8. Fleischmann-Struzek C, Rudd K. Challenges of assessing the burden of sepsis. Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed. 2023;118(Suppl 2):68–74.
- Randolph JL, Chan K, Albright A, Chen A. Delays in administration of the second antibiotic dose in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. Hosp Pharm. 2021;56(4):247–51.
- Bassetti M, Garau J. Current and future perspectives in the treatment of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2021;76:IV23–37.
- Baguiya A, Bonet M, Cecatti JG, Brizuela V, Curteanu A, Minkauskiene M, et al. Perinatal outcomes among births to women with infection during pregnancy. Arch Dis Child. 2021;106(10):946–53.
- 12. Lan P, Jiang Y, Zhou J, Yu Y. A global perspective on the convergence of hypervirulence and carbapenem resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2021;25:26–34.
- Hammad HA, Mohamed IS, El-Badawy O, Zakaria AM, Shabaan L, Aly SA. *pKpQIL*-like plasmid contributes to the dissemination of *blaNDM-1* and plasmid mediated quinolone resistance determinants among multi drug resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Assiut University Hospital, Egypt. Iran J Microbiol. 2023;15(2):208–18.
- Tang M, Li J, Liu Z, Xia F, Min C, Hu Y, et al. Clonal transmission of polymyxin B-resistant hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates coharboring bla NDM-1 and bla KPC-2 in a tertiary hospital in China. BMC Microbiol. 2023;23(1):1–14.
- Forero-Hurtado D, Corredor-Rozo ZL, Ruiz-Castellanos JS, Márquez-Ortiz RA, Abril D, Vanegas N, et al. Worldwide dissemination of bla<sub>kPC</sub> gene by novel mobilization platforms in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a systematic review. Antibiotics (Basel). 2023;12(4):658.
- Argimón S, David S, Underwood A, Abrudan M, Wheeler NE, Kekre M, et al. Rapid genomic characterization and global surveillance of klebsiella using pathogenwatch. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:S325–35.
- 17. Zhang J, Xu Y, Wang M, Li X, Liu Z, Kuang D, et al. Mobilizable plasmids drive the spread of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence genes in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Genome Med. 2023;15(1):1–14.
- Zaman T, uz, Alrodayyan M, Albladi M, Aldrees M, Siddique MI, Aljohani S, et al. Clonal diversity and genetic profiling of antibiotic resistance among multidrug/carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):1–11.
- Sahle SG, Weldemariam S, Mehari M, ab, Abraha TA. Determinants of puerperal sepsis among post-partum mothers in Mekelle city public hospitals, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2021: a case control study. BMC Womens Health. 2023;23(1):1–9.
- Zhang F, Yan X, Bai J, Xiang L, Ding M, Li Q, et al. Identification of the *BolA* protein reveals a novel virulence factor in *K. pneumoniae* that contributes to survival in host. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(5):1–14.
- Zhou C, Zhang H, Xu M, Liu Y, Yuan B, Lin Y, et al. Within-host resistance and virulence evolution of a hypervirulent carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae ST11* under antibiotic pressure. Infect Drug Resist. 2023;16:7255–70.
- Huynh B, Passet V, Rakotondrasoa A, Diallo T, Kerleguer A. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carriage in low-income countries: antimicrobial resistance, genomic diversity and risk factors. Gut Microbes. 2020;11(5):1287–99.
- Rocha J, Henriques I, Gomila M, Manaia CM. Common and distinctive genomic features of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* thriving in the natural environment or in clinical settings. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1–10.
- Lee CR, Lee JH, Park KS, Kim YB, Jeong BC, Lee SH. Global dissemination of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae: Epidemiology, genetic context, treatment options, and detection methods. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1–30.
- Chen F, Wang Y, Zhang W, Cai Y, Cai Y, Zhao T, et al. A functional polymorphism-mediated disruption of *EGR1/ADAM10* pathway confers the risk of sepsis progression. mBio. 2019;10(4):e01663–19.
- Han M, Chen Z, He P, Li Z, Chen Q, Tong Z, et al. YgiM may act as a trigger in the sepsis caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae through the membrane-associated ceRNA network. Front Genet. 2022;13:1–16.

- Melkie A, Dagnew E. Burden of puerperal sepsis and its associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Public Heal. 2021;79(1):1–11.
- Dai G, Xu Y, Kong H, Xie W, Wang H. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection and associated clinical outcomes. Am J Transl Res. 2021;13(6):7276–81.
- 29. Brizuela V, Cuesta C, Bartolelli G, Abdosh AA, Abou Malham S, Assarag B, et al. Availability of facility resources and services and infection-related maternal outcomes in the WHO global maternal sepsis study: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Glob Heal. 2021;9(9):e1252–61.
- Yang P, Liu C, Wu Z, Zheng J, Yi J, Wu N, et al. Clinical outcomes, microbiological characteristics and risk factors for difficult-to-treat resistance to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. Infect Drug Resist. 2022;15(August):5959–69.
- Parsons Leigh J, Brundin-Mather R, Moss SJ, Nickel A, Parolini A, Walsh D, et al. Public awareness and knowledge of sepsis: a cross-sectional survey of adults in Canada. Crit Care. 2022;26(1):1–12.
- Xu L, Sun X, Ma X. Systematic review and meta-analysis of mortality of patients infected with carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2017;16(1):1–12.
- Li Z, Liu X, Lei Z, Li C, Zhang F, Wu Y, et al. Genetic diversity of polymyxin-resistance mechanisms in clinical isolates of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* : a multicenter study in China. Microbiol Spectr. 2023;27(2):e0523122.
- 34. Id AA, Bitew A, Fentaw S, Tsige E, Assefa D, Lejisa T, et al. Phenotypic characterization of carbapenem non-susceptible gram-negative bacilli isolated from clinical specimens. PLoS ONE. 2021;2(12):e0256556.
- Alemayehu T. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2021;26:133–9.
- Regassa BT, Tosisa W, Eshetu D, Beyene D, Abdeta A, Assefa A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of bacterial isolates from clinical specimens referred to Ethiopian Public Health Institute: analysis of 5-year data. BMC Infect Dis. 2023;23(1):1–8.
- Awoke T, Teka B, Seman A, Sebre S, Yeshitela B, Aseffa A, et al. High prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a tertiary care hospital in Ethiopia. Antibiotics. 2021;10(8):1–9.
- Arena F, Pilato V, Di, Vannetti F, Fabbri L, Antonelli A, Coppi M, et al. Population structure of *KPC carbapenemase*- producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a long- term acute- care rehabilitation facility: Identification of a new lineage of clonal group 101, associated with local hyperendemicity. Microb Genom. 2020;6(1):e000308.
- Zhou Y, Ai W, Cao Y, Guo Y, Wu X, Wang B, et al. The co-occurrence of NDM-5, MCR-1, and FosA3-encoding plasmids contributed to the generation of extensively drug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. Front Microbiol. 2022;12:1–13.
- 40. GLASS whole-genome sequencing for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2020.
- 41. Lee CR, Lee JH, Park KS, Jeon JH, Kim YB, Cha CJ, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: Epidemiology, hypervirulence-associated determinants, and resistance mechanisms. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;21:7.
- Argimón S, David S, Underwood A, Abrudan M, Wheeler NE, Kekre M, et al. Rapid genomic characterization and global surveillance of klebsiella using pathogenwatch. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(Suppl\_4):S325–335.
- Chen KJ, Chen YP, Chen YH, Liu L, Wang NK, Chao AN, et al. Infection sources and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* antibiotic susceptibilities in endogenous klebsiella endophthalmitis. Antibiotics. 2022;11(7):1–7.
- 44. Zhu J, Wang T, Chen L, Du H. Virulence factors in hypervirulent. Klebsiella pneumoniae Front Microbiol. 2021;12:1–14.
- Huang Y, Li J, Wang Q, Tang K, Cai X, Li C. Detection of carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae ST11-K64* co-producing *NDM-1* and *KPC-2* in a tertiary hospital in Wuhan. J Hosp Infect. 2023;131:70–80.
- 46. Beyene D, Bitew A, Fantew S, Mihret A, Evans M. Multidrug-resistant profile and prevalence of extended spectrum β-lactamase and carbapenemase production in fermentative gram-negative bacilli recovered from patients and specimens referred to NRL, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(9):1–13.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 32nd ed. CLSI supplement M100. CLSI, USA, 2022.

# **Publisher's note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.