

Recognizing and preventing complications regarding bioresorbable scaffolds during coronary interventions

George Latsios, Leonidas Koliastasis, Konstantinos Toutouzas, Kostas Tsioufis

Specialty type: Cardiac and cardiovascular systems

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification

Scientific Quality: Grade C

Novelty: Grade C

Creativity or Innovation: Grade B

Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Hasibuzzaman MA

Received: August 2, 2024

Revised: August 30, 2024

Accepted: September 6, 2024

Published online: September 26, 2024

Processing time: 47 Days and 20.8 Hours



George Latsios, Leonidas Koliastasis, Konstantinos Toutouzas, Kostas Tsioufis, Department of Cardiology, Hippokration General Hospital, Athens Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 11527, Greece

Corresponding author: George Latsios, MD, PhD, Academic Fellow, Attending Doctor, Lecturer, Department of Cardiology, Hippokration General Hospital, Athens Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Alexandroupoleos 9, Athens 11527, Greece. glatsios@gmail.com

Abstract

The evolution of coronary intervention techniques and equipment has led to more sophisticated procedures for the treatment of highly complex lesions. However, as a result, the risk of complications has increased, which are mostly iatrogenic and often include equipment failure. Stent dislodgement warrants vigilance for the early diagnosis and a stepwise management approach is required to either expand or retrieve the lost stent. In the era of bioresorbable scaffolds that are not radiopaque, increased caution is required. Intravascular imaging may assist in detecting the lost scaffold in cases of no visibility fluoroscopically. Adequate lesion preparation is the key to minimizing the possibility of equipment loss; however, in the case that it occurs, commercially available and improvised devices and techniques may be applied.

Key Words: Bioresorbable scaffolds; Stent dislodgement; Complication prevention; Coronary complications; Equipment failure

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Adequate lesion preparation is key to minimizing the possibility of equipment loss with bioresorbable scaffolds; however, in the case that it occurs, commercially available and improvised devices and techniques may be applied.

Citation: Latsios G, Koliastasis L, Toutouzas K, Tsioufis K. Recognizing and preventing complications regarding bioresorbable scaffolds during coronary interventions. *World J Cardiol* 2024; 16(9): 508-511

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/full/v16/i9/508.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v16.i9.508>

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of coronary intervention techniques and equipment has revolutionized the quality of percutaneous coronary angioplasty; however, the increase in complexity comes along with more complications, most of which are iatrogenic and preventable. Calcified lesions are the most difficult to manage and require vigilance to prevent complications, such as equipment loss. In the case report by Sun *et al*[1], we read, with interest, the successful management of such a complication with a stepwise approach using intravascular imaging and common coronary balloons. In the process of treating a calcified lesion, a bioresorbable scaffold (BRS) was dislodged from its balloon in the proximal left anterior descending artery, which was confirmed by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS). The authors managed to oppose the dislodged scaffold by crossing and inflating balloons of incremental diameter through the lost scaffold, resulting in the resolution of the index complication and treatment of the lesion.

LESION PREPARATION AND TREATMENT

The treatment of calcified or tortuous lesions requires a stepwise approach targeting plaque modification and 1 : 1 balloon pre-dilatation[2,3]. The goal is stent delivery, appropriate expansion, and apposition. However, inadequate preparation may lead to balloon/stent entrapment, failure in deflating the balloon, or stent dislodgement. In particular, stent unloading into the coronary circulation may compromise the blood flow and embolize in distal segments from which retrieval is impossible. Algorithms incorporating all available tactics have been developed and published, which are targeted at preventing harmful sequelae[4]. Furthermore, the role of intravascular imaging has been repeatedly highlighted in large clinical trials, with optical coherence tomography (OCT) and IVUS showing better clinical and procedural results in stable and acute settings[5-7]. Recently, the INVICTUS trial demonstrated the non-inferiority of OCT compared to IVUS[8].

EQUIPMENT FAILURE

Most stent dislodgements happen during an aggressive effort to cross a resistant lesion followed by pullback of the wedged stent in the lesion. Partial dislodgement, if recognized on time, can be managed by sequential inflation of the proximal part of the stent, followed by the rest of it, firstly with its balloon and then the addition of smaller balloons until full expansion. In the case of the stent being already placed in the correct target, the procedure can be completed; otherwise, more manipulations (additional stents, bifurcation techniques) may be required. However, if full unloading occurs, the primary consideration should be to not lose the wire position that runs through the lost stent. Then, if not embolized, incremental diameter balloons, starting with small balloons (0.75 mm), may be placed into the unexpanded stent to expand it to full apposition. If this is not possible, a second wire may be placed next to it, and with the help of a second and more distally partially inflated balloon, the stent may be retrieved and pulled back into the catheter. Of value are the catheter extensions that may be placed proximally to the lost stent, thus facilitating the retrieval. The easiest technique, nevertheless, is the position of two more guide wires and entrapment of the lost stent by twisting the wires, resulting in retrieval of the whole catheter-wire system “*en-bloc*”[9]. The caveat of this move is that repeat cannulation and wire crossing through a “prepared” and potentially dissected lesion is necessary. The snare approach is sometimes the only way of retrieval in cases with difficult anatomy, distal embolization, or loss/protrusion in the aorta. Commercially available snares may be used with or without the help of guide extensions[10]. The improvised use of equipment to function as snares (using long wires), alligator forceps, and other commercially available retrieval devices can be used based on the availability of each catheter lab[11-14]. Crushing the stent on the intima by expanding a second stent is the last transcatheter resort; however, it is not an option for proximal vessel parts, bifurcations, and the left main artery. Surgical retrieval may be required if all the above fail.

The situation is more complicated when a BRS is dislodged. The interest in the BRS has returned with the development of next-generation metallic scaffolds, with the magnesium alloy ones being the main representatives in large clinical trials [15,16]. The problem is the limited visibility of the scaffold, which is often imaged by intravascular imaging or by identifying the proximal and distal radiopaque markers. The markers of newer scaffold generations are more visible by fluoroscopy, facilitating the detection and placement[17]. All the above-mentioned techniques may be used for BRS, except for the crush technique. Crushing and entrapping a BRS has not been published before and there is no previous experience. The most important consideration is the potential interference with scaffold resorption and if resorbed completely, the void that will be created between the stent and the vessel wall. From our perspective, to understand the behavior of the extent of manipulation of BRS, trials investigating the treatment of bifurcation lesions are necessary.

CONCLUSION

As new scaffolds are being developed and enter into everyday practice, we should not only be vigilant to manage complications but adapt our techniques to the scaffold design. Intravascular imaging has a crucial role in identifying the complications and in controlling the outcomes.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Latsios G was responsible for conception, writing, design, drafting, revision, and final approval; Koliastasis L was responsible for writing, drafting, revision, and final approval; Toutouzias K and Tsioufis K were responsible for writing, overall supervision, and final approval; all of the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript to be published.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country of origin: Greece

ORCID number: George Latsios 0000-0002-9133-9258; Leonidas Koliastasis 0000-0002-7966-9174; Kostas Tsioufis 0000-0002-7636-6725.

S-Editor: Luo ML

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang WB

REFERENCES

- Sun T, Zhang MX, Zeng Y, Ruan LH, Zhang Y, Yang CL, Qin Z, Wang J, Zhu HM, Long Y. Unloading and successful treatment with bioresorbable stents during percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report. *World J Cardiol* 2024; **16**: 484-490 [PMID: 39221188 DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v16.i8.484]
- Barbato E, Shlofmitz E, Milkas A, Shlofmitz R, Azzalini L, Colombo A. State of the art: evolving concepts in the treatment of heavily calcified and undilatable coronary stenoses - from debulking to plaque modification, a 40-year-long journey. *EuroIntervention* 2017; **13**: 696-705 [PMID: 28844031 DOI: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00473]
- Guagliumi G, Pellegrini D, Maehara A, Mintz GS. All calcified nodules are made equal and require the same approach: pros and cons. *EuroIntervention* 2023; **19**: e110-e112 [PMID: 37283129 DOI: 10.4244/EIJ-E-23-00002]
- Giannini F, Candilio L, Mitomo S, Ruparelina N, Chieffo A, Baldetti L, Ponticelli F, Latib A, Colombo A. A Practical Approach to the Management of Complications During Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2018; **11**: 1797-1810 [PMID: 30236352 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2018.05.052]
- Li X, Ge Z, Kan J, Anjum M, Xie P, Chen X, Khan HS, Guo X, Saghir T, Chen J, Gill BUA, Guo N, Sheiban I, Raza A, Wei Y, Chen F, Mintz GS, Zhang JJ, Stone GW, Chen SL; IVUS-ACS Investigators. Intravascular ultrasound-guided versus angiography-guided percutaneous coronary intervention in acute coronary syndromes (IVUS-ACS): a two-stage, multicentre, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2024; **403**: 1855-1865 [PMID: 38604212 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00282-4]
- Holm NR, Andreasen LN, Neghabat O, Laanmets P, Kumsars I, Bennett J, Olsen NT, Odenstedt J, Hoffmann P, Dens J, Chowdhary S, O'Kane P, Bülow Rasmussen SH, Heigert M, Havndrup O, Van Kuijk JP, Biscaglia S, Mogensen LJH, Henareh L, Burzotta F, H Eek C, Mylotte D, Llinas MS, Koltowski L, Knaapen P, Calic S, Witt N, Santos-Pardo I, Watkins S, Lønborg J, Kristensen AT, Jensen LO, Calais F, Cockburn J, McNeice A, Kajander OA, Heestermans T, Kische S, Eftekhari A, Spratt JC, Christiansen EH; OCTOBER Trial Group. OCT or Angiography Guidance for PCI in Complex Bifurcation Lesions. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **389**: 1477-1487 [PMID: 37634149 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2307770]
- Lee JM, Choi KH, Song YB, Lee JY, Lee SJ, Lee SY, Kim SM, Yun KH, Cho JY, Kim CJ, Ahn HS, Nam CW, Yoon HJ, Park YH, Lee WS, Jeong JO, Song PS, Doh JH, Jo SH, Yoon CH, Kang MG, Koh JS, Lee KY, Lim YH, Cho YH, Cho JM, Jang WJ, Chun KJ, Hong D, Park TK, Yang JH, Choi SH, Gwon HC, Hahn JY; RENOVATE-COMPLEX-PCI Investigators. Intravascular Imaging-Guided or Angiography-Guided Complex PCI. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **388**: 1668-1679 [PMID: 36876735 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2216607]
- Kang DY, Ahn JM, Yun SC, Hur SH, Cho YK, Lee CH, Hong SJ, Lim S, Kim SW, Won H, Oh JH, Choe JC, Hong YJ, Yoon YH, Kim H, Choi Y, Lee J, Yoon YW, Kim SJ, Bae JH, Park DW, Park SJ; OCTIVUS Investigators. Optical Coherence Tomography-Guided or Intravascular Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The OCTIVUS Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation* 2023; **148**: 1195-1206 [PMID: 37634092 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.066429]
- Colón-Arias F, Moronta-Franco M, Gutiérrez-Martínez A. Coronary stent embolization during percutaneous coronary intervention: Successful retrieval using twisting guide wire technique by radial approach. *J Cardiol Cases* 2021; **23**: 271-273 [PMID: 34093906 DOI: 10.1016/j.jccase.2021.01.008]
- Kohli SK, Lim YP, Lai SH, Tan JW, Taggart D, Kharbanda R, Carrié D, Boudou N. How should I treat stent dislodgement in a STEMI patient resulting in dissection of left main and left circumflex arteries? *EuroIntervention* 2013; **9**: 527-531 [PMID: 23965359 DOI: 10.4244/EIJV9I4A85]
- Han Z, Zhu Y, Lu W, Qiu C. A Novel Retrieval Equipment for Coronary Stent Dislodgement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2023; **16**: 357-358 [PMID: 36792260 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcin.2022.11.026]

- 12 **Eeckhout E**, Stauffer JC, Goy JJ. Retrieval of a migrated coronary stent by means of an alligator forceps catheter. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn* 1993; **30**: 166-168 [PMID: [8221873](#) DOI: [10.1002/ccd.1810300218](#)]
- 13 **Deftereos S**, Raisakis K, Giannopoulos G, Kossyvakis C, Pappas L, Kaoukis A. Successful retrieval of a coronary stent dislodged in the brachial artery by means of improvised snare and guiding catheter. *Int J Angiol* 2011; **20**: 55-58 [PMID: [22532772](#) DOI: [10.1055/s-0031-1272547](#)]
- 14 **Kutkut I**, Khan B, Hunley SO, Lawson BD, Gertz ZM. Dislodged Coronary Stents: The Presnaring Technique. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2023; **35**: E156-E157 [PMID: [36884363](#) DOI: [10.25270/jic/22.00216](#)]
- 15 **Gao RL**, Xu B, Sun Z, Guan C, Song L, Gao L, Li C, Cui J, Zhang Y, Dou K, Chen J, Mu C, Liu H, Li A, Li Z, Xie L, Yang Y, Qiao S, Wu Y, Stone GW. First-in-human evaluation of a novel ultrathin sirolimus-eluting iron bioresorbable scaffold: 3-year outcomes of the IBS-FIM trial. *EuroIntervention* 2023; **19**: 222-231 [PMID: [37038724](#) DOI: [10.4244/EIJ-D-22-00919](#)]
- 16 **Haude M**, Wlodarczak A, van der Schaaf RJ, Torzewski J, Ferdinande B, Escaned J, Iglesias JF, Bennett J, Toth GG, Joner M, Toelg R, Wiemer M, Olivecrona G, Vermeersch P, Garcia-Garcia HM, Waksman R. A new resorbable magnesium scaffold for de novo coronary lesions (DREAMS 3): one-year results of the BIOMAG-I first-in-human study. *EuroIntervention* 2023; **19**: e414-e422 [PMID: [37334655](#) DOI: [10.4244/EIJ-D-23-00326](#)]
- 17 **Haude M**, Wlodarczak A, van der Schaaf RJ, Torzewski J, Ferdinande B, Escaned J, Iglesias JF, Bennett J, Toth G, Joner M, Toelg R, Wiemer M, Olivecrona G, Vermeersch P, Garcia-Garcia HM, Waksman R. Safety and performance of the third-generation drug-eluting resorbable coronary magnesium scaffold system in the treatment of subjects with de novo coronary artery lesions: 6-month results of the prospective, multicenter BIOMAG-I first-in-human study. *EClinicalMedicine* 2023; **59**: 101940 [PMID: [37113674](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101940](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

