



Copy number amplifcation-induced overexpression of lncRNA LOC101927668 facilitates colorectal cancer progression by recruiting hnRNPD to disrupt RBM47/p53/ p21 signaling

Zaozao Wang $1^{*+}$ , Haibo Han $^{2+}$ , Chenghai Zhang $^{1+}$ , Chenxin Wu $^{1+}$ , Jiabo Di $^{1}$ , Pu Xing $^{1}$ , Xiaowen Qiao $^{1}$ , Kai Weng<sup>1</sup>, Hao Hao<sup>1</sup>, Xinying Yang<sup>1</sup>, Yifan Hou<sup>1</sup>, Beihai Jiang<sup>1</sup> and Xiangqian Su<sup>1,3\*</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background** Somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs) are pivotal in cancer progression and patient prognosis. Dysregulated long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), modulated by SCNAs, signifcantly impact tumorigenesis, including colorectal cancer (CRC). Nonetheless, the functional signifcance of lncRNAs induced by SCNAs in CRC remains largely unexplored.

**Methods** The dysregulated lncRNA LOC101927668, induced by copy number amplifcation, was identifed through comprehensive bioinformatic analyses utilizing multidimensional data. Subsequent in situ hybridization was employed to ascertain the subcellular localization of LOC101927668, and gain- and loss-of-function experiments were conducted to elucidate its role in CRC progression. The downstream targets and signaling pathway infuenced by LOC101927668 were identifed and validated through a comprehensive approach, encompassing RNA sequencing, RT-qPCR, Western blot analysis, dual-luciferase reporter assay, evaluation of mRNA and protein degradation, and rescue experiments. Analysis of AU-rich elements (AREs) within the mRNA 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the downstream target, along with exploration of putative ARE-binding proteins, was conducted. RNA pull-down, mass spectrometry, RNA immunoprecipitation, and dual-luciferase reporter assays were employed to elucidate potential interacting proteins of LOC101927668 and further delineate the regulatory mechanism between LOC101927668 and its downstream target. Moreover, subcutaneous xenograft and orthotopic liver xenograft tumor models were utilized to evaluate the in vivo impact of LOC101927668 on CRC cells and investigate its correlation with downstream targets.

† Zaozao Wang, Haibo Han, Chenghai Zhang and Chenxin Wu contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Zaozao Wang zaozao83630@bjmu.edu.cn Xiangqian Su suxiangqian@bjmu.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. **Results** Signifcantly overexpressed LOC101927668, driven by chr7p22.3-p14.3 amplifcation, was markedly correlated with unfavorable clinical outcomes in our CRC patient cohort, as well as in TCGA and GEO datasets. Moreover, we demonstrated that enforced expression of LOC101927668 signifcantly enhanced cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, while its depletion impeded these processes in a p53-dependent manner. Mechanistically, nucleuslocalized LOC101927668 recruited hnRNPD and translocated to the cytoplasm, accelerating the destabilization of RBM47 mRNA, a transcription factor of p53. As a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein, hnRNPD mediated RBM47 destabilization by binding to the ARE motif within RBM47 3'UTR, thereby suppressing the p53 signaling pathway and facilitating CRC progression.

**Conclusions** The overexpression of LOC101927668, driven by SCNAs, facilitates CRC proliferation and metastasis by recruiting hnRNPD, thus perturbing the RBM47/p53/p21 signaling pathway. These fndings underscore the pivotal roles of LOC101927668 and highlight its therapeutic potential in anti-CRC interventions.

**Keywords** LOC101927668, Colorectal cancer, RBM47/p53/p21 signaling, HnRNPD

# **Introduction**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks as the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide [\[1](#page-22-0)]. Despite substantial progress in treatment modalities such as surgery, adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy in recent decades, patients diagnosed with advanced stages of CRC still face unfavorable prognoses due to diagnostic limitations, early metastasis or recurrence, and drug resistance  $[2]$ . Hence, there is a critical need to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying CRC progression and to identify novel biomarkers that can facilitate early detection, enhance therapeutic efficacy, and ultimately improve patient prognosis.

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are pivotal regulators of post-transcriptional gene expression, with approximately 1542 RBPs encoded by the human genome  $[3-5]$  $[3-5]$ . Among these, RBM47 is a conserved RBP in vertebrates and exerts essential roles in gene regulation [\[6](#page-22-4)]. Functioning as a multifaceted RBP, RBM47 is involved in diverse biological and pathological processes, including early embryonic development, C to U RNA editing, and tumor suppression [\[7\]](#page-22-5). Decreased expression of RBM47 has been implicated in promoting proliferation and metastasis across various cancers, including breast cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, and CRC [[8](#page-22-6)[–10](#page-22-7)]. RBM47 acts as a crucial tumor suppressor by activating the p53/p21 signaling pathway through promotion of p53 transcription, and it also negatively regulates the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway  $[8, 11]$  $[8, 11]$  $[8, 11]$  $[8, 11]$ . Additionally, RBM47 inhibits Nrf2 activity, thereby suppressing lung adenocarcinoma tumor growth [\[9](#page-22-9)], and enhances IL-10 production while inhibiting B-cell immunity at the post-transcriptional level [[12\]](#page-22-10). Notably, during epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), the transcription of RBM47 is suppressed by IL6-activated STAT3 or ectopic overexpression of SNAIL and SLUG, through binding to conserved binding sites within the RBM47 promoter region [[10\]](#page-22-7). However, the precise mechanisms underlying RBM47 downregulation in tumors remain largely elusive.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), characterized as transcripts exceeding 200 nucleotides that lack proteincoding capacity, exert crucial regulatory functions in diverse pathophysiological processes by modulating gene expression at epigenetic, transcriptional, or post-transcriptional levels  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$ . Their remarkable stability in physiological fuids such as blood and urine, coupled with resistance to nuclease-mediated degradation, renders lncRNA expression levels promising as reliable indicators of disease severity [[15](#page-22-13)]. Given the signifcant potential of lncRNAs in both tumor diagnosis and treatment, identifying key lncRNAs involved in tumor initiation and progression, along with elucidating their underlying molecular mechanisms, is crucial for uncovering their clinical value in translational therapy.

Cancer genomes frequently harbor somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs), which can lead to the overexpression of oncogenes or the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, thereby exerting a profound impact on cellular processes [[16\]](#page-22-14). Approximately 21.8% of lncRNA genes are situated in regions characterized by focal SCNAs [\[17](#page-22-15)]. Recent studies have elucidated the critical roles of deregulated lncRNAs induced by SCNAs in carcinogenesis [\[18](#page-22-16)]. For instance, increased expression of FAL1 due to copy number amplifcation of chromosome 1, represses p21 transcription by binding to the epigenetic repressor BMI1 [\[17](#page-22-15)]. Furthermore, dysregulated lncRNAs resulting from copy number variations (CNVs) have been associated with unfavorable prognosis in cancer patients, including prostate cancer and CRC [\[19](#page-22-17), [20](#page-22-18)].

Our previous study established CNV-lncRNA-mRNA regulatory triplets to delineate CNV-based gene dosage efects and identify key fragile sites in cancer genomes

using a multidimensional dataset [[21\]](#page-22-19). Considering that 53% of genes afected by CNVs were infuenced by distal CNVs not directly adjacent to the target genes [\[22](#page-22-20)], we reanalyzed the expression diferences and correlations between lncRNA and mRNA transcripts along with CNVs. The goal was to identify dysregulated lncR-NAs located within SCNAs that are essential for CRC progression. It was observed that a worse prognosis was associated with LOC101927668, an aberrantly overexpressed lncRNA caused by frequent copy number gain of chr7p22.3-p14.3 in CRC patients. This lncRNA facilitated the proliferation and metastasis of CRC cells by suppressing RBM47/p53/p21 signaling. Mechanistically, the overexpression of LOC101927668 accelerated the degradation of RBM47 mRNA through its interaction with heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein D (hnRNPD), an AU-rich element (ARE)-binding protein known for its crucial roles in mRNA stability regulation. In conclusion, this study demonstrates that the lncRNA LOC101927668, located within SCNAs, disrupts RBM47/p53/p21 signaling through its interaction with hnRNPD, thereby accelerating the progression of CRC.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Human subjects**

Ninety-two paired samples of CRC and adjacent normal tissues were collected from patients who underwent surgical resection at the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery IV, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute between 2012 and 2015. None of the patients received preoperative chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. The study was conducted following the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute (No. 2020KT127). Written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients.

# **Cell culture**

Human colonic epithelial and CRC cell lines, including HcoEpic, NCM460, RKO, HCT116, HT29, SW480, and LOVO, as well as human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293T were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). The cell lines were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI 1640) or Dulbecco's Modifed Eagle Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were maintained in a humidifed atmosphere containing 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37 °C, following established protocol. Regular screening for mycoplasma contamination was performed every six months, and the authenticity of cell lines was confrmed via short tandem repeat (STR) profling.

# **Plasmids, siRNAs, and lentiviral infection**

To establish stable cell lines with either overexpression or knockdown of LOC101927668, the full-length transcript of LOC101927668 was inserted into the plenti6 plasmid for overexpression (LOC\_OE/puromycin) (Sangon Biotec, Shanghai, China), while short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting LOC101927668 (shLOC/puromycin, Table S1) was cloned into the plenti6-U6 vector (NC1/puromycin) for knockdown (GenePharma, Shanghai, China). Lentiviruses were generated from 293 T cells by transfection with packaging plasmids (pLP1, pLP2, pLP-VSVG, Invitrogen). Subsequently, CRC cells of interest were transduced with lentivirus and subjected to puromycin selection to establish stable cell lines with the desired expression levels of LOC101927668. For transient overexpression of full-length LOC101927668 (LOC-FL) and its truncated fragment containing the hnRNPD binding motif (LOC- $\Delta$ 2, 196–373 bp), both the full-length and truncated fragments of LOC101927668 were synthesized and subcloned into the EcoRI/XhoI sites of the pcDNA3.0 vector (Table S2). The mutant plasmid with point mutations in hnRNPD binding sites (LOC-Δ2 mut) was synthesized and constructed by Sangon Biotech. RBM47 shRNA plasmids (shRBM47/Neomycin), hnRNPD siRNA oligos, together with their corresponding negative controls NC2 (pGPU6/Neomycin) and siNC were obtained from GenePharma (Table S1). Cells were seeded and transiently transfected with the designated plasmids or siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions.

## **CCK8, cell cycle, EDU and colony formation assay**

Cell viability was assessed using the CCK8 kit (Dojindo, Japan) following the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance values were measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Cell cycle of indicated cells was examined by flow cytometry after staining with propidium iodide (PI)/ RNase staining bufer (BD Pharmingen, USA).

The effect of LOC101927668 on cell proliferation was assessed using the EdU incorporation assay with the Cell-Light™ EdU In Vitro Imaging kit (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China). Cells were incubated with 50 μM EdU for 2 h at 37 °C, followed by fxation and permeabilization. Proliferative activity was visualized using a Zeiss confocal microscope LSM 700 (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany) after incubation with  $1 \times$ Apollo reaction cocktail and staining with Hoechst 33,342. Five felds per well were selected for counting, and each group was performed in triplicate.

For the colony formation assay, cells were seeded at a density of 300–500 cells per well in six-well plates and cultured in complete medium. After two weeks, colonies were fxed with 100% cold methanol and stained with 1%

crystal violet. Colonies were then counted after washing with PBS.

#### **Wound healing and transwell assays**

The capacity of the cells to migrate was evaluated using a wound healing test. The target cells were cultivated until they attained over 90% confuence after being put into 6-well plates. Next, a 200-µl plastic pipette tip was used to make a scratch in the cell monolayer. After that, the cells were grown in media without serum. ImageJ software was used to measure the wound widths, and a microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was used to image the wound gaps at 0 and 48 h after the wound.

To conduct tests on invasion and migration, Boyden chambers with 8-μm pore-sized polycarbonate membranes (Costar, USA) were utilized. Before performing the cell invasion experiment, Boyden chambers were coated with matrigel (5 mg/ml). Serum-free media was used to seed cells into the top chamber, and 700 μL of medium supplemented with 15% FBS was used to fll the bottom chamber. The membranes were fixed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet after incubation. A microscope with fve felds of view was used to count the quantity of migrating or invaded cells (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

# **RNA isolation and reverse transcription‑quantitative PCR (RT‑qPCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from freshly cultured cells or tissues, which were ground in liquid nitrogen, using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The RNA samples were then quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Inc.). Subsequently, 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Reverse Transcription Kit (Promega Corp.).

The resulting cDNA templates were mixed with corresponding primers (Table S2) and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Toyobo Life Science, Osaka, Japan). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using the ABI 7500 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Inc.), with each reaction conducted in triplicate.

# **In situ hybridization (ISH) and fuorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)**

To ascertain the subcellular localization of LOC101927668 and RBM47 transcripts (primary and mature mRNA), digoxigenin-labeled antisense riboprobes (BOSTER, Wuhan, China) were employed in conjunction with an In Situ Hybridization Detection Kit (BOSTER, Wuhan, China) for either ISH or FISH analysis. Cells or frozen sections were fxed in 4% paraformaldehyde with 0.1% DEPC, treated with 3%  $H_2O_2$ , and digested with a proteinase K solution, followed by incubation with a prehybridization solution. Subsequently, samples were hybridized with a reaction buffer containing either LOC101927668, RBM47, U6, or 18S probes overnight at 37 °C in a humidifed chamber. Following hybridization, slides were washed using SSC solutions with gradually decreasing concentrations and then blocked with 1% BSA. Biotin-labeled antidigoxigenin antibody was applied to the slides and washed. For FISH analysis, FITC-labeled anti-Biotin antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was utilized, with cell nuclei counterstained with DAPI for 10 min in the dark. Fluorescence images were captured using a Leica SP5 confocal system. For the ISH assay, the streptavidin–biotin-peroxidase complex (SABC-POD) method was employed instead of using FITC-labeled anti-biotin antibody, followed by chromogenic detection with DAB. After staining, two experienced pathologists employed a semi-quantitative scoring system to determine the ISH staining score. The expression level of LOC101927668 was defned by multiplying the proportion of positively stained cells  $(\leq 5\% = 0;$ 6–25%=1; 26–50%=2; 51–75%=3; 76–100%=4) by the staining intensity (no staining=0; weak staining=1; moderate staining=2; strong staining=3).

# **RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)**

To perform RIP, the Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, USA) was employed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Harvested cells were lysed using RIP lysis bufer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail. The supernatants were then incubated with magnetic beads conjugated with either the hnRNPD antibody (Abcam, ab61193) or IgG (Millipore, AP101) to immunoprecipitate the protein-RNA complex overnight at 4 °C. DNase I and proteinase K, both RNasefree, were consecutively used to eliminate DNA and protein from the RIP complex. The retrieved RNA was purifed and reverse transcribed into cDNA, followed by qPCR to detect the enrichment of either LOC101927668 or RBM47.

# **In vivo and in vitro RNA pull‑down assay**

To perform an in vivo RNA pull-down assay, HEK293 cells were co-transfected with LOC101927668-6xMS2bs plasmids, containing six repeated MS2-binding site RNA sequences, and MS2 expression plasmids with Flag tags. After harvesting and lysing the cells, the corresponding complexes with undetermined binding proteins of LOC101927668 or its control were isolated using anti-Flag-conjugated magnetic beads (Sigma). The isolated protein complexes were then separated by SDS-PAGE, and the gel was stained using a PAGE Gel Silver Staining Kit (Solarbio, G7210). Specifc bands were excised, analyzed by mass spectrometry, and processed via the Mascot search engine, as previously described [[23](#page-22-21)].

The biotin-labeled sense and antisense strands of LOC101927668 or truncated LOC101927668 were synthesized through in vitro transcription using the MAX-Iscript™ SP6/T7 Transcription Kit (Invitrogen, AM1320) in conjunction with Pierce™ RNA 3' End Desthiobiotinylation Kit (Thermo Fisher, 20,163). DNA templates for sense, antisense, or truncated LOC101927668 fragments were generated via PCR with gene-specifc primers containing T7 or SP6 promoter sequences (Table S2). RNA pull-down assays were conducted using these purifed biotinylated transcripts with the Pierce™ Magnetic RNA– protein Pull-down kit (Thermo Fisher, 20,164), following the manufacturer's instructions. The biotin-labeled RNA was initially immobilized on streptavidin magnetic beads and subsequently incubated with the specifed protein extracts to form an RNA-binding protein complex. The resulting mixture containing the RNA-binding protein complex was subjected to washing, elution, and heat treatment. The retrieved proteins were then analyzed using Western blot analysis.

## **Analysis of mRNA stability**

To investigate the degradation of mRNA in the cells, actinomycin  $D(5 \mu g/mL)$  was added to the culture media in order to prevent the synthesis of new RNA. At certain intervals, the treated cells were collected, and RNA was extracted. To measure the expression levels of the target mRNA, RT-qPCR was then performed.

### **RNA sequencing**

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA sequencing was performed by Novogene (Beijing, China) on the Illumina sequencing platform. Quality assessment of the RNA included evaluations for degradation, contamination, purity, and integrity. The NEB-Next® Ultra™ RNA Library Preparation Kit was utilized for generating the sequencing libraries. Following cluster formation, an Illumina NovaSeq platform was employed for sequencing the library preparations, resulting in the generation of paired-end reads of 150 bp.

# **Dual‑luciferase reporter assay**

Sequences containing the wild-type RBM47 3'UTR with ARE motifs and its mutated variant with  $A > C$  and  $U > G$ mutations were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and subsequently incorporated into the 3'UTR region of the pGL3-control vector (Promega). Following this, HCT116 cells were co-transfected with luciferase plasmids and either hnRNPD-targeting siRNAs or their corresponding negative control. Luciferase activity was evaluated using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega), with frefy luciferase activity normalized to renilla luciferase activity.

Additionally, to examine the potential regulatory efect of LOC101927668 on the transcriptional expression of RBM47, a fragment of the RBM47 promoter (-2000 bp to 0 bp) was inserted into the pGL4.17 vector (Promega). Dual-luciferase activity was assessed subsequent to cotransfection of HEK293T cells with either the pGL4.17- RBM47 promoter plasmid along with LOC101927668 overexpression or a control vector.

### **Cycloheximide (CHX) chase assay**

HCT116 cells stably transfected with either LOC101927668 or its corresponding control plasmid were cultured in complete medium supplemented with 100 μg/mL of CHX for the specifed duration. Subsequently, cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis to assess RBM47 degradation.

# **Cytoplasmic and nuclear protein extraction**

Proteins from the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments were separated and extracted using the Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Protein Extraction Kit (Beyotime, P0028, China), with Histone H3 and GAPDH as internal controls respectively.

#### **Western blot**

Protein samples were harvested, separated on SDS–polyacrylamide gels, and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). The membranes were subsequently incubated with primary antibodies specifc for RBM47 (Abcam, ab167164), p53 (Cell Signaling Technology, 48,818), p21 (Cell Signaling Technology, 2947), hnRNPD (Abcam, ab61193), Histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 4499), GAPDH (Proteintech, 10,494–1-AP) and β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, A1978), followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. Protein bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent (Pierce), and the band intensity was quantifed using ImageJ software.

### **Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

The expression levels of RBM47 and Ki67 in xenografts and metastatic liver samples were assessed via IHC. Briefly, tumor Sects.  $(4 \mu m)$  were deparaffinized using xylene, gradually rehydrated with decreasing concentrations of ethanol, and treated with 0.3%  $H_2O_2$  for 30 min to block endogenous peroxidase activity. After washing and blocking, the sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with monoclonal antibodies against RBM47 (Abcam, ab167164) or Ki-67 (Abcam, ab16667). Subsequently, the

sections were washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG antibodies (ZSGB-BIO, China). Immunostaining was visualized using the DAB kit (ZSGB-BIO, China), and nuclear counterstaining was performed using hematoxylin.

# **Animal models**

All animal experiments conducted in this study received prior approval from the Animal Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital and were performed in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Experimental Animal Management Ordinance, ensuring strict compliance with ethical standards.

To investigate the impact of LOC101927668 on tumor growth in vivo, we injected HCT116 cells that stably overexpressed LOC101927668 or the vector (LOC\_OE, Vector), as well as LOVO cells with stable silencing of LOC101927668 (NC1, shLOC\_1, shLOC\_2), into the hind fanks of 5-week-old female BALB/c-nu/nu mice (Hua-Fu-Kang Corporation, Beijing, China). Moreover, this study also comprehensively assessed the efects of attenuated RBM47 on LOVO cells exhibiting downregulated LOC101927668 expression in vivo. Tumor growth was monitored every three days using calipers, and tumor volume was calculated using the formula: length $\times$  width $^{2}/2$ . At the end of 28 days post-tumor inoculation, all mice were euthanized to harvest tumor xenografts, and their weights were recorded.

The effect of RBM47 stably knockdown on LOVO cells with depleted LOC101927668 was also investigated using an orthotopic liver xenograft tumor model. Luciferaseexpressing cells  $(5 \times 10^{6})$  were injected into the spleens of mice to establish the model. Metastatic status was evaluated by administering 100 μL of luciferin substrate intraperitoneally for in vivo imaging after anesthesia. Bioluminescence imaging was performed using the IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System (PerkinElmer, Hopkinton), and average radiance was quantifed using Living Image software. Mice were euthanized four weeks post-injection, and metastatic livers were harvested, fxed with 4% PFA, and the number of metastatic nodules was counted. Tissues were then embedded in paraffin for hematoxylin and eosin  $(HE)$  and IHC staining. The experiment was conducted in a blinded manner to ensure unbiased results.

# **Bioinformatic analysis**

The expression profiles of mRNAs and lncRNAs showing signifcant diferential expression in GSE184093  $(\log_2 |$  fold change (FC)|≥1, adjusted P-value ≤0.05) were visualized through volcano plots. To identify lncRNAs dysregulated by copy number variations (CNVs), we utilized a Venn diagram to identify the intersection between diferentially expressed lncRNAs and SCNA foci from patients. The lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network was analyzed using Pearson correlation integrated with Cytoscape software [\[24](#page-22-22)]. Data preprocessing, extraction, and visualization (including volcano plots, Venn diagrams, clustered heatmaps, and Circos plots) were performed using relevant R packages including "pheatmap", "clusterProfler", and the "enrichplot" package [[25](#page-22-23)[–27](#page-22-24)]. Additionally, KEGG pathway analysis was conducted to elucidate potential biological pathways associated with the identifed genes.

Expression data for LOC101927668 and RBM47, along with their corresponding clinical information, were retrieved from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets (GEO9348, GEO21510, GEO41328, and GEO40967)  $[28-31]$  $[28-31]$ . The processing of these datasets utilized several R packages, including "limma", "GEOquery", "TCGAbiolinks", along with "dplyr" and "ggplot2" [\[32](#page-22-27)-[36\]](#page-23-0). Additionally, GISTIC2.0 software was employed to identify CNV profles in 976 CRC samples obtained from TCGA [\[37](#page-23-1)].

Copy number data for the CRC cell lines were downloaded from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE), which were generated using the Afymetrix SNP 6.0 platform [[38\]](#page-23-2). For each genomic segment, the corresponding chromosome number, base pair range, and mean copy number (segment mean, SM) were obtained from the CCLE data. SM was calculated using the formula  $SM = log<sub>2</sub>(Copy number/2)$ , focal amplifications were defined as segments with a mean copy number > 0.3, and deletions as those with an  $SM < -0.3$  [[39](#page-23-3)[–41](#page-23-4)]. To clarify the CNV status of CRC cell lines, the raw CCLE data was further processed and visualized using GISTIC 2.0 [[37](#page-23-1)] and the "dplyr", "maftools" and "ggplot2" packages in R [[35,](#page-23-5) [36](#page-23-0), [42\]](#page-23-6).

### **Statistical analysis**

The data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 or R software. Continuous variables were presented as means with standard deviations. Statistical diferences between two groups were assessed using the nonparametric Mann– Whitney U test or the two-tailed unpaired/paired Student t-test. Two-way ANOVA was used to examine cell viability in relation to treatment and time course, followed by one-way ANOVAs with Bonferroni post hoc tests for multiple comparisons. Associations between the expression of LOC101927668 and clinicopathologic features were examined using  $\chi^2$  tests. Pearson

correlation analysis was employed to evaluate the correlation between the expression of LOC101927668 and RBM47. Kaplan–Meier analysis and log-rank tests were performed to evaluate the relationship between LOC101927668 expression and patient overall survival. Univariate and multivariate survival analyses were conducted using Cox proportional hazard regression models. A two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically signifcant. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

# **Results**

# **LOC101927668, a dysregulated SCNA‑harbored lncRNA, was identifed in CRC tissues by multi‑dimensional data mining**

Our previous research, based on microarray-based expression chips (GSE184093) and copy number aberration analysis, has elucidated the gene dosage efects of CNV on both mRNA and lncRNAs [[21](#page-22-19)]. To gain comprehensive insights into the complex regulatory networks and biological behaviors of CRC, we extended our analysis to investigate CNV-induced dysregulated lncRNAs, which were pivotal in colorectal cancer progression. The data mining pipeline was depicted in Fig. [1A](#page-6-0). Recurrent SCNAs  $(\geq 2/9)$  in this cohort (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)B and Fig. S1A) were consistent with previous reports [\[43,](#page-23-7) [44\]](#page-23-8) and those from TCGA-CRC copy number data using GISTIC (Fig. S1B). Dysregulated lncRNAs resulting from genomic aberrations are likely to play crucial roles in tumor development [[45\]](#page-23-9). Therefore, significantly dysregulated lncRNAs associated with frequently appearing CNVs were identifed (Fig. [1C](#page-6-0) and Fig. S1C). Out of 105 upregulated lncRNA probes in copy number gain loci and 136 downregulated lncRNA probes in copy number loss loci, 24 deregulated lncRNAs, each with at least two indicative probes, were further enriched. The expression abundance of each probe for these 24 lncRNAs was displayed in paired normal and CRC specimens (Fig. [1D](#page-6-0)). Of the nine upregulated lncRNAs located in copy number amplifcation regions, fve were on chr20q11.21-q13.33, two on 8q24.21, and the remaining two on 7p21.1 and 2q31.1 (Table S3). Since the efects and mechanisms of fve of these lncR-NAs have already been documented in CRC (Table S3), we examined the expression and clinicopathological features of the remaining four unreported upregulated lncR-NAs using public databases (Fig. [2A](#page-8-0)-2F, Fig. S2 and Fig. S3). To explore the potential biological functions of the lncRNA of interest, a lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network was constructed. Diferentially expressed mRNAs  $(|FC| \geq 1.5)$  that were highly correlated with the lncRNA  $(R \geq 0.7, P_{\text{adiust}} < 0.05)$  were then enriched and subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses. Following our analytical protocol, LOC101927668, an upregulated lncRNA due to chr7p22.3-p14.3 amplifcation, was identifed for its signifcant tumor-promoting potential in CRC (Fig. [1E](#page-6-0) and F).

# **LOC101927668, primarily localized in the nucleus, was upregulated in CRC and associated with poorer prognosis.**

Data from TCGA and GEO indicated that LOC101927668 was highly expressed in CRC tissues compared with nontumorous colon epithelia (Fig. [2A](#page-8-0)-E, Fig. S3A). Furthermore, overexpression of LOC101927668 was closely linked to advanced pathological stage and poorer prognosis (Fig. [2F](#page-8-0), Fig. S3B-3D). LOC101927668 expression was further evaluated in a cohort of 92 paired CRC and normal specimens from our department. Elevated LOC101927668 expression in tumors not only indicated poorer overall survival according to Kaplan–Meier analysis (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)G and H) but also correlated with lymph node and distal metastasis, as well as advanced pathological stage (Table [1\)](#page-9-0). Univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that higher LOC101927668 expression was an independent risk factor for the poorer survival of CRC patients (Table [2](#page-10-0)). Examination of LOC101927668 in normal colonic epithelial and CRC cell lines by using FISH and RT-qPCR unveiled the upregulated expression of LOC101927668 in CRC cell lines compared to normal counterparts (Fig. [2I](#page-8-0)-K). In interphase cells, although LOC101927668 was predominantly localized in the nucleus, a small fraction of fuorescent signals was also detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. [2I](#page-8-0) and J). During mitosis, however, LOC101927668 signals were primarily found in the cytoplasm, such

(See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Identifcation and functional exploration of aberrant SCNA-induced LOC101927668 in CRC through multi-omics integration analysis. **A** Schematic representation of the analytical pipeline for the identifcation and functional exploration of aberrant SCNA-induced lncRNAs in CRC, utilizing a multidimensional data cohort. DE lncRNAs (mRNAs): diferentially expressed lncRNAs (mRNAs). **B** Distribution of focal copy number gain and loss events with high frequency observed in 9 CRC patients (≥2/9). **C** Venn diagram illustrating the number of overlapping lncRNAs that were both overexpressed or downregulated and concurrently located within regions of copy number gain or loss. **D** Heatmaps depicting the expression abundance of 24 deregulated SCNA-driven lncRNAs with at least 2 indicative probes, LOC101927668 was highlighted with a red box. **E** Construction of the lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network of LOC101927668 with corresponding mRNA transcripts using Cytoscape. **F** KEGG analysis elucidating the functional annotations of deregulated genes signifcantly correlated with LOC101927668







<span id="page-8-0"></span>



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 1** Correlations between LOC101927668 expression and clinicopathological characteristics in CRC patients

Statistical significance was determined by Pearson χ<sup>2</sup>-test, P-values in bold were statistically significant

translocation indicating LOC101927668 was dissociated from mitotic chromosomes and returned to the newly formed nuclei in daughter cells (highlighted by gray arrows in Fig. [2](#page-8-0)J). Furthermore, LOC101927668 expression was signifcantly higher in CRC cells with chr7p21.1 copy number amplifcation than those with relatively normal copy number arrangements (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)K and L, Fig. S4). Consistent with the fndings in CRC cell lines, the probes of LOC101927668 were mainly detected in the nuclei of cancerous tissues rather than normal colon epithelia by RNA ISH or FISH assays (Fig. [2M](#page-8-0), Fig. S5).

# **LOC101927668 promoted CRC cell proliferation and metastasis in vitro**

To assess the biological functions of LOC101927668, the entire transcript (NCBI Refseq: NR\_110114.1) was integrated into HCT116 and RKO cell genomes to establish CRC cells with stable overexpression of LOC101927668, achieved through vector construction, virus packaging, lentivirus infection, and puromycin selection. LOVO cells with stable downregulation of LOC101927668 were generated using shRNA introduction. Following the assessment of expression efficiencies in stably transfected CRC cells (Fig. S6), a series of assays including CCK8, fow cytometry, and EDU assays were conducted to evaluate the impact of LOC101927668 on CRC cell proliferation and cell cycle. As depicted in Fig. [3A](#page-10-1) and Fig. S7A-S7C, the overexpression of LOC101927668 promoted the proliferation of HCT116 and RKO cells, whereas its knockdown attenuated the growth capacity of LOVO cells. Cell cycle analysis indicated that the pro-proliferative impact of LOC101927668 on CRC cells was attributed, at least partially, to its facilitation of a higher proportion of cells entering the S phase (Fig. [3C](#page-10-1) and D, Fig. S7B). Stronger reproductive capacity was observed in cells with higher LOC101927668 expression, as examined by colony formation assay (Fig. [3](#page-10-1)G and H, Fig. S7D). Furthermore, accelerated migratory and invasive capabilities were observed in HCT116 and RKO cells overexpressing LOC101927668 compared to control cells, as evidenced by wound healing and transwell assays; conversely, LOC101927668-depleted LOVO cells exhibited the opposite efects (Fig.3I-3L, Fig. S7E).

# **LOC101927668 interrupted p53 signaling by attenuating RBM47 expression**

To elucidate the underlying mechanism by which LOC101927668 promoted colorectal cancer progression, RNA sequencing was employed to identify diferentially expressed genes in LOC101927668-overexpressed RKO cells compared to control cells. Overexpression of LOC101927668 resulted in the upregulation of 1245 genes and the downregulation of 1652 genes ( $|FC| \ge 1.5$ , *P*<0.05, Fig. [4A](#page-12-0)). Functional enrichment analysis of these genes using KEGG and GO analyses revealed a signifcant impact of LOC101927668 on the p53 signaling pathway (Fig.  $4B$  $4B$ ). The expression of all genes in the p53 signaling pathway (KEGG entry: hsa04115) was extracted and visualized using a Circos heatmap to elucidate the impact of LOC101927668 on p53 signaling (Fig. [4C](#page-12-0)). Subsequent qPCR validation in RKO and HCT116 cells with



<span id="page-10-0"></span>

*HR* hazard ratio, *CI* confdence interval. *P*-values were calculated using Cox proportional hazard regression analysis; those in bold indicated statistical signifcance

enforced expression of LOC101927668 confrmed these findings (Fig. S8). The mRNA and protein levels of p53 and p21 (also named as CDKN1A), two key components of the p53 pathway, were signifcantly downregulated in RKO and HCT116 cells with elevated LOC101927668, and upregulated in LOC101927668-silenced LOVO cells (Fig. [4D](#page-12-0)-G).

Since p53 transcript abundance could be regulated at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels, factors involved in p53 transcriptional regulation or post-transcriptional modifcation may serve as intermediates in LOC101927668-mediated changes in p53 expression. Based on this assumption, upregulation of LOC101927668 would alter the expression of these intermediate factors, subsequently afecting p53 mRNA expression. Therefore, well-known p53 transcription factors such as AP1, NF- $\kappa$ B, HOXA5, and RBM47 [\[11,](#page-22-8) [46](#page-23-10)], as well as proteins involved in p53 alternative splicing or mRNA stability, such as SRSF3 and CPEB2 [\[47](#page-23-11), [48](#page-23-12)], were considered as potential intermediates. Additionally, predicted p53 transcription factors and mRNA-binding proteins identifed through online bioinformatics tools

(PROMO  $[49]$  $[49]$  and RBPmap  $[50]$  $[50]$  $[50]$ ) were also included as candidates. The expression of these candidates was examined in RNA sequencing data of LOC101927668-overexpressing RKO cells compared to control cells. Among the genes showing significant expression differences ( $|FC| \geq 2$ , *P*<0.05), RBM47, a validated p53 transcription factor [\[11](#page-22-8)], was identifed (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)H). Subsequent qPCR and Western blot analyses confrmed that LOC101927668 overexpression signifcantly suppressed RBM47 expression, while its knockdown increased RBM47 expression at both mRNA and protein levels in CRC cells (Fig. [4I](#page-12-0)-L). Additionally, RBM47 expression was notably lower in CRC specimens compared to paired noncancerous tissues in our cohort (Fig. [4M](#page-12-0)), as well as in TCGA and GEO datasets (Fig. S9A-S9E). Reduced RBM47 expression was signifcantly associated with poorer survival in both our cohort and GSE40967 (Fig. [4N](#page-12-0), Fig. S9F). A signifcant negative correlation between LOC101927668 and RBM47 was observed not only in our paired tissue samples but also in several GEO datasets (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)O, Fig. S9G-S9K). The elevated LOC101927668 expression in patients with chr7p21.1 amplifcation, coupled with its

(See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Impact of LOC101927668 on CRC cell proliferation and metastasis. **A**, **B** Assessment of cell proliferative ability through CCK8

in LOC101927668-overexpressing HCT116 cells or LOC101927668-depleted LOVO cells. **C**, **D** Analysis of cell cycle distribution by fow cytometry following LOC101927668 overexpression in HCT116 cells and LOC101927668 knockdown in LOVO cells. **E**, **F** EdU corporation assays were performed in LOC101927668 stably overexpressed or depleted CRC cells. **G**, **H** Colony formation assays were conducted to evaluate the reproductive capacity of CRC cells with overexpressed or silenced LOC101927668. **I-L** Evaluation of cell motility via wound healing assays (**I**, **J**) and transwell assays (**K, L**) subsequent to LOC101927668 overexpression in HCT116 cells and LOC101927668 depletion in LOVO cells. Data are presented as mean±SD of at least three independent experiments. \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001



**Fig. 3** (See legend on previous page.)

negative correlation with both RBM47 and p53 in tissue samples from nine CRC patients examined by qPCR, further supported the existence of a regulatory axis involving CNV-LOC101927668-RBM47-p53 in vivo (Fig. S10).

# **LOC101927668 regulated RBM47 expression by modulating its mRNA stability**

To investigate whether the proliferative and metastatic effects induced by ectopically overexpressed LOC101927668 were dependent upon RBM47/p53 signaling, LOVO cells with depleted LOC101927668 were transfected with a plasmid containing efective RBM47 shRNA (shRBM47 $_1$ ). The expression efficiency of RBM47 shRNAs was validated prior to experimentation (Fig. S11). Rescue assays, encompassing cell viability assessments using CCK8, EDU incorporation analysis, and colony formation assay, demonstrated that the attenuated pro-proliferative efect resulting from reduced LOC101927668 expression was partially restored upon RBM47 depletion (Fig. [5A](#page-14-0)-C). Furthermore, the attenuated cell motility observed following LOC101927668 knockdown was partially rescued upon downregulation of RBM47, as demonstrated by wound healing and transwell assays (Fig. [5D](#page-14-0) and E). Additionally, the upregulated expression of p53 and p21 resulting from LOC101927668 knockdown was attenuated following RBM47 depletion, observed at both the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. [5](#page-14-0)F and G). These findings suggest that  $LOC101927668$  may exert pro-tumorigenic roles, at least in part, through the inactivation of the RBM47/p53 signaling pathway.

To elucidate the regulatory mechanism of LOC101927668 on RBM47 expression, we initially assessed the impact of LOC101927668 on RBM47 promoter activity. Nearly unchanged luciferase activity suggested that LOC101927668 modulated RBM47 expression at the post-transcriptional level (Fig. [5H](#page-14-0)). Subsequently, we observed a similar degradation rate of RBM47 protein in the presence or absence of LOC101927668 overexpression, by employing cycloheximide

(ChX) to inhibit protein synthesis (Fig. [5](#page-14-0)I). To further explore the infuence of LOC101927668 on RBM47 mRNA stability, we blocked new RNA synthesis using actinomycin D in CRC cells overexpressing or silencing LOC101927668. Compared with the control group, the degradation rate of RBM47 mRNA was signifcantly accelerated when LOC101927668 was upregulated and decelerated when LOC101927668 was silenced, suggesting that LOC101927668 regulated the level of RBM47 by modulating its mRNA stability. RN7SL1 was detected as a negative control (Fig. [5J](#page-14-0) and K).

# **The interaction between LOC101927668 and hnRNPD resulted in the decreased mRNA stability of RBM47**

To facilitate RBM47 destruction, LOC101927668 was hypothesized to bind to a protein with RNA degradation capacity. This protein might translocate from the nucleus to the cytoplasm upon interaction with LOC101927668, leading to the degradation of RBM47 mRNA. By employing bioinformatic analysis of the RBM47 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) with the ARED-Plus database  $[51]$  $[51]$ , a sequence containing AU-rich elements (AREs) was identified within the RBM47 transcript's 3'UTR. These AREs are recognized as targets for mRNA stabilization or degradation by ARE-binding proteins (ARE-BPs) [[51–](#page-23-15)[53](#page-23-16)]. By using the catRAPID omics tool to predict LOC101927668 RNA-binding proteins [\[54](#page-23-17)], eight established ARE-BPs potentially interacting with LOC101927668 were identifed (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)A). After thorough analysis of their function, intracellular localization, and potential binding motifs, several ARE-BPs meeting the selection criteria were identifed (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)A). HnRNPD, an ARE-BP primarily expressed in the nucleoplasm, capable of translocating to the cytoplasm to destabilize mRNA [\[55](#page-23-18)], was subsequently examined due to its highest z-score and minimum *P* value of potential binding motifs (Fig. [6A](#page-16-0)).

Thein vivo RNA–protein pull-down assay followed by mass spectrometry confirmed our inference. The

(See figure on next page.)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 4** LOC101927668 dampens the p53 signaling pathway by downregulating RBM47 expression. **A** Volcano plot depicting the diferential gene expression profle in LOC101927668-overexpressing RKO cells compared to control cells, as determined by RNA sequencing analysis. **B** KEGG analysis uncovering the enriched pathways among the diferentially expressed genes (DEGs) in LOC101927668-overexpressing RKO cells in comparison to control cells. **C** Expression of genes involved in the p53 signaling pathway (KEGG hsa04115) in LOC101927668-overexpressing RKO cells compared to control cells, elucidated via mRNA profling analysis. **D**, **E** Assessment of p53 and p21 expression levels via RT-qPCR (**D**) and Western blot (**E**) in RKO and HCT116 cells overexpressing LOC101927668, relative to their respective control cells. **F**, **G** Evaluation of p53 and p21 expression levels through RT-qPCR (**F**) and Western blot (**G**) analyses in LOVO cells depleted of LOC101927668, relative to their control counterparts. **H** Heatmap illustrating the expression levels of genes potentially regulating p53 in this study with |Fold change|≥2 and *P*<0.05, as derived from RNA sequencing data. RBM47 was highlighted with a red box. **I**, **J** Analysis of RBM47 expression through RT-qPCR (**I**) and Western blot (**J**) subsequent to LOC101927668 overexpression in RKO and HCT116 cells. **K**, **L** Assessment of RBM47 expression levels via RT-qPCR (**K**) and Western blot (**L**) following LOC101927668 knockdown in LOVO cells. M. RBM47 expression in 92 paired CRC tissues and corresponding adjacent normal tissues analyzed by RT-qPCR. N. Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival in 92 CRC patients stratifed by RBM47 expression. O. The correlation between LOC101927668 and RBM47 expression in 92 CRC patients assessed through Pearson correlation analysis. Data are presented as mean±SD of at least three independent experiments. \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001



**Fig. 4** (See legend on previous page.)

top-ranking protein for each band was identifed, with hnRNPD receiving the highest score in the $\sim$ 37 kDa band (Fig.  $6B$ ). The in vitro RNA pull-down assay followed by Western blot analysis additionally validated the direct interaction between LOC101927668 and hnRNPD (Fig.  $6C$ ). The RIP assay was further conducted to enrich all RNAs binding with hnRNPD in HCT116 cells overexpressing LOC101927668 and LOVO cells with depleted LOC101927668. Following reverse transcription, the cDNA was subjected to qPCR to detect LOC101927668 expression subsequently. Compared to the nonspecifc anti-IgG group, the anti-hnRNPD group showed a significant increase in LOC101927668 levels, with the quantity of LOC101927668 positively correlated with its cellular abundance (Fig.  $6D$  and E). This reaffirmed the association between hnRNPD and LOC101927668. According to the spatial secondary structure of LOC101927668 and its predicted binding motifs with hnRNPD, the LOC101927668 sequence was segmented into four fragments for truncated expression clone construction, each distinguished by a different color. The anticipated binding sites were recognized at 292–297 and 306–311 bp of the second truncated fragment (Fig. [6F](#page-16-0)). Subsequent RNA pull-down assays confrmed the binding of hnRNPD with LOC101927668 within the predicted domain spanning 196–373 bp (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)G).

Furthermore, the direct interaction between LOC101927668 and RBM47 was confrmed through RIP assays, where RBM47 expression signifcantly changed in the anti-hnRNPD group, correlating with LOC101927668 expression in cells with either overexpressed or depleted LOC101927668, compared to the control group (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)H and I). The results from LOC101927668 FISH and hnRNPD IF, in combination with RBM47 RNA FISH and hnRNPD IF, indicated that in HCT116 cells with normal LOC101927668 expression, hnRNPD was situated in the nucleus, and LOC101927668 was predominantly nuclear with some presence in the cytoplasm. While in cells overexpressing LOC101927668, a portion of both LOC101927668 and hnRNPD were observed to shift into the cytoplasm (Fig. [6J](#page-16-0)). In control groups, approximately 2.3% of cells exhibited

translocation of the LOC101927668-hnRNPD complex from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, while this proportion increased to nearly 15% when LOC101927668 was overexpressed (Fig. S12A). Additionally, the probe intensity of RBM47 mRNA decreased signifcantly with LOC101927668 upregulation, along with an increased proportion of cells showing co-localization of RBM47 mRNA and hnRNPD in the cytoplasm (Fig. [6K](#page-16-0) and Fig. S12B). These findings suggest that overexpressed LOC101927668 binds to hnRNPD, triggering its translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, where hnRNPD facilitates the decay of RBM47 mRNA.

In addition, hnRNPD knockdown using siRNA signifcantly increased the mRNA and protein expression of RBM47 (Fig. [6L](#page-16-0) and M). To further investigate whether hnRNPD was bound to the ARE domain of RBM47, potentially leading to RBM47 transcript decay, a dual-luciferase reporter assay was conducted. The predicted RBM47 3'UTR ARE site, identifed using the ARED-Plus database [[51](#page-23-15)], along with two 200 bp flanking sequences at both ends, was inserted into the 3'UTR region of the PGL3-control plasmid. Additionally, a corresponding mutation plasmid was generated based on the principles of  $A > C$  and  $U > G$  substitutions (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)N). The luciferase activity of the vector carrying wild-type RBM47 3'UTR (WT) was lower than that of the mutant type (MUT). Upon hnRNPD siRNA treatment, a partial restoration of luciferase activity of RBM47 3'UTR WT was observed, while no change was detected for RBM47 3'UTR MUT (Fig. [6O](#page-16-0)). Nuclear/cytoplasmic protein separation and extraction revealed hnRNPD's predominant localization in the nucleus of CRC cells, while RBM47 was detected in both the nucleus and cytoplasm. Ectopic overexpression of LOC101927668 led to decreased RBM47 expression in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments, with a slight reduction in hnRNPD levels within the nucleus (Fig. S12C). Moreover, RNA stability testing showed that hnRNPD depletion decelerated RBM47 mRNA degradation (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)P). SihnRNPD-2, selected for the subsequent rescue experiment due to its superior knockdown efficiency, partially

<sup>(</sup>See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Regulation of RBM47 expression by LOC101927668 through modulation of mRNA stability. **A-C** Cell proliferative ability was assessed in LOVO cells with or without RBM47 knockdown upon LOC101927668 depletion using CCK8 (**A**), EdU (**B**), and colony formation assays (**C**). **D**, **E** Evaluation of cell motility via wound healing assay (**D**) and transwell assay (**E**) in LOC101927668-depleted LOVO cells with or without RBM47 knockdown. **F-G** Evaluation of RBM47, p53, and p21 expression levels using RT-qPCR (**F**) and Western blot (**G**) in LOC101927668-depleted LOVO cells with or without RBM47 knockdown. **H** Construction of the RBM47 promoter luciferase reporter plasmid (upper half), and luciferase activity detection in HEK293T cells co-transfected with pGL4.17-RBM47 promoter plasmid and LOC101927668 overexpression or a control vector (lower half ). **I** HCT116 cells overexpressing LOC101927668 and their control counterparts were treated with cycloheximide (CHX) for the specifed duration. RBM47 levels were subsequently measured by Western blot analysis. **J**, **K** The mRNA stability of RBM47 in LOC101927668-overexpressing HCT116 cells (**J**) and LOC101927668-knockdown LOVO cells (**K**) was quantifed by RT-qPCR after treatment with actinomycin D for the indicated durations, groups with statistical significance were presented using asterisk. Data are presented as mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001



**Fig. 5** (See legend on previous page.)

reversed the accelerated degradation of RBM47 caused by overexpressed LOC101927668 (Fig. [6Q](#page-16-0)). Subsequent Western blot analysis confrmed that hnRNPD knockdown partially restored the attenuated RBM47/p53 signaling pathway resulting from ectopic overexpression of LOC101927668. Importantly, this rescue efect depended on the interaction between hnRNPD and the second fragment of LOC101927668 containing the binding domains (Fig. [6](#page-16-0)R).

# **LOC101927668 facilitated CRC cell proliferation and metastasis by regulating RBM47 in vivo**

To investigate the role of LOC101927668 in tumorigenesis in vivo, we conducted subcutaneous implantation of HCT116 cells stably overexpressing LOC101927668 and LOVO cells with stable depletion of LOC101927668 in nude mice. The results revealed that, compared with the corresponding control group, mice bearing enhanced LOC101927668 demonstrated signifcantly accelerated tumor growth (Fig. [7A](#page-18-0)-C), while tumors in mice with depleted LOC101927668 were signifcantly reduced (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)F-H). The overexpression or knockdown efficiency of LOC101927668 was confrmed in tumor samples from mouse xenograft models through RT-qPCR, accompanied by corresponding changes in RBM47 expression by Western blot analyses (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)D and I). Furthermore, IHC staining provided additional verifcation of the impact of LOC101927668 on tumor proliferation by detecting Ki67, as well as its suppressive efect on RBM47 expression (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)E and J).

Subsequently, we employed both a subcutaneous implantation model and an orthotopic hepatic metastatic xenograft mouse model by splenic injection to investigate the infuence of LOC101927668 and RBM47 on the development and metastasis of CRC. In the subcutaneous tumor model, the attenuated tumor growth resulting

from LOC101927668 knockdown was partially restored upon depletion of RBM47 (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)K). Expression of RBM47, p53 and p21 was assessed through RT-qPCR and Western blot in relevant groups (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)N). Consistent with the fndings in subcutaneous tumors, the attenuation of tumor metastasis due to downregulated LOC101927668 expression could be partially reversed by decreased RBM47 expression. This was confirmed by luminescence observation of mouse livers and liver tissues harvested upon sacrifce (Fig. [7O](#page-18-0)), as well as by assessing the expression of key molecules in the RBM47/p53 signaling pathway via qPCR and Western blot (Fig. [7](#page-18-0)R). Histological assessment, utilizing HE staining and IHC analysis of liver metastasis samples, revealed notable fndings. Specifcally, knockdown of LOC101927668 attenuated the metastatic potential of LOVO cells, whereas decreased expression of RBM47 exacerbated the formation of metastatic nodules, resulting in increased size and number. The proliferative efficiency of metastatic nodules, as indicated by Ki67 staining, exhibited an inverse correlation with RBM47 expression across the analyzed groups (Fig. [7S](#page-18-0)).

# **Discussion**

CNV, a hallmark of genome instability, is pivotal in cancer pathogenesis, with amplifed genomic regions often harboring oncogenic genes, while deleted segments frequently encompass tumor suppressor genes [\[56,](#page-23-19) [57\]](#page-23-20). In our cohort, the CNVs identifed in nine individuals with

#### (See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Fig. 6** The interaction between LOC101927668 and hnRNPD led to a reduction in the mRNA stability of RBM47. **A** The screening and ranking of ARE-binding proteins interacting with LOC101927668 were performed through integrative analysis utilizing several bioinformatic tools. **B** Protein complexes obtained from the in vivo RNA pull-down assay were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized using silver staining. Specifc bands were excised and analyzed via mass spectrometry, with the top-ranking proteins presented along with their respective scores. **C** In vitro RNA pull-down assays were conducted using either sense or antisense probes specifc to LOC101927668, the level of hnRNPD was detected via Western blot subsequently. **D**, **E** The interaction between endogenous LOC101927668 and hnRNPD was assessed in LOC101927668-overexpressing HCT116 cells (**D**) and LOC101927668-knockdown LOVO cells (**E**) using RIP followed by RT-qPCR analysis. **F** LOC101927668 was segmented into four parts based on its secondary structure. The predicted binding sites between LOC101927668 and hnRNPD were identifed within the second truncated fragment of LOC101927668. **G** Left panel: Schematic representation of truncated LOC101927668. Right upper panel: Confrmation of fragment sizes of full-length LOC101927668 (FL), antisense-LOC101927668, and various truncated isoforms via PCR. Right lower panel: Immunoblotting of hnRNPD in RNA pull-down extracts by using the LOC101927668 fragments mentioned above. **H**, **I** The interaction between endogenous RBM47 and hnRNPD was evaluated in LOC101927668-overexpressing HCT116 cells (**H**) and LOC101927668-knockdown LOVO cells (**I**) using RIP followed by RT-qPCR analysis. **J**, **K** LOC101927668 ISH combined with hnRNPD IF (**J**), and RBM47 RNA ISH alongside hnRNPD IF (**K**) were conducted in HCT116 cells with and without LOC101927668 overexpression. Grey arrows indicating specifc RNA–protein complexes. **L**, **M** The expression levels of RBM47 mRNA and protein were assessed via RT-qPCR (**L**) and Western blot (**M**) subsequent to transfection of HCT116 cells with hnRNPD siRNA. **N** Schematic depiction of pGL3-control plasmids containing either the wild-type or mutated AU-rich element (ARE) sequence from the RBM47 3'UTR. **O** The dual luciferase reporter assay was utilized to evaluate the luciferase activity of HCT116 cells transfected with the indicated reporter plasmids and hnRNPD siRNA. **P** The mRNA stability of RBM47 in HCT116 cells treated with hnRNPD siRNA was quantifed by RT-qPCR after treatment with actinomycin D for the indicated durations. RN7SL1 was used as a negative control. **Q** The mRNA stability of RBM47 in HCT116 cells with or without hnRNPD knockdown upon LOC101927668 overexpression was quantifed using RT-qPCR following treatment with actinomycin D for the specifed durations. RN7SL1 was used as a negative control. **R** RBM47, p53, and p21 protein levels were assessed via Western blot in cells with or without hnRNPD siRNA, following overexpression of full-length (FL), the second truncated fragment (Δ2), as well as its mutated form of LOC101927668 (Δ2-mut). Data are presented as mean±SD of at least three independent experiments. \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001



**Fig. 6** (See legend on previous page.)

CRC closely resembled previous research fndings [\[19](#page-22-17), [58\]](#page-23-21). Amplifcation events were notably frequent in chromosomal regions 7p, 8, 12p, 20, and Xp, while deletion events predominated in regions such as chromosomes 3, 5q, 8p, 14q, 15q, 16p, 17p, 18q, and 22q. It is noteworthy that 4.8–9.5% of the human genome is comprised of CNVs [[59\]](#page-23-22), whereas protein-coding genes constitute only 2% of the entire human genome  $[60]$  $[60]$  $[60]$ . Given that up to 90% of the human genome is transcriptionally active  $[61]$  $[61]$ , it is conceivable that noncoding RNAs, harboring signifcant tumorigenic functionalities, may originate from regions altered by CNVs. Indeed, aberrant CNV-induced lncRNAs exert signifcant infuences on tumor development [\[18\]](#page-22-16). For example, MAT1, a CNV-associated lncRNA, drives uveal melanoma by inhibiting the interaction between the MLL protein complex and the tumor suppressor PCDH20 promoter. This disrupts H3K4 trimethylation, impairing PCDH20 transcription [\[62](#page-23-25)]. Although previous studies have elucidated the functions of numerous dysregulated lncRNAs infuenced by CNVs, there remains a signifcant gap in our understanding of CNV-associated lncRNAs.

Based on our analytical pipeline, we identifed LOC101927668, which exhibited signifcant overexpression attributed to copy number gain of chr7p21.1 in CRC tissues, as the focus of our current investigation. Moreover, LOC101927668 was found overexpressed in other malignancies as well, such as lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, and papillary renal cell carcinoma (Fig. S3). Chromosome 7 amplifcation has also been reported in these tumors  $[63-65]$  $[63-65]$ . This consistent overexpression and copy number amplifcation across various cancer types suggest that SCNA-induced LOC101927668 overexpression may play a broader oncogenic role beyond CRC. Additionally, LOC101927668 overexpression in CRC patients without 7p21.1 amplifcation indicates that its upregulation is not solely due to copy number amplifcation; transcriptional regulation likely plays a signifcant role as well. Since LOC101927668 expression remained consistent in CRC patients regardless of KRAS and BRAF mutation status (Fig. S13A), we investigated several transcription factors, including MACC1, E2F3, and SIX2, known for their oncogenic roles in CRC tumorigenesis  $[66-68]$  $[66-68]$ . These factors were not only highly expressed in CRC tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues but also exhibited a strong correlation with LOC101927668 expression (Fig. S13B-S13D). Further studies are required to unravel the underlying mechanisms.

HnRNPD, also known as AU-binding factor 1 (AUF1), serves as an ARE-BP pivotal in governing mRNA degradation processes. As the inaugural member of the translation and turnover regulatory (TTR)-RBP family, hnRNPD exhibits dynamic intracellular localization, shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm [[69,](#page-23-30) [70](#page-23-31)]. Its selective affinity for specific target transcripts can vary across diferent cellular contexts and tissue types [[55,](#page-23-18) [71](#page-23-32)]. HnRNPD is a multifunctional protein exerting regulatory infuence on diverse nuclear processes, encompassing telomere maintenance, transcriptional activation, and alternative splicing [[71,](#page-23-32) [72](#page-23-33)]. In the cytoplasm, hnRNPD selectively binds to AU-rich sequences within mRNA molecules. Its impact on downstream target transcripts can either facilitate mRNA decay or augment their stability and translation [\[55](#page-23-18)]. Prior research has elucidated that lncRNAs can modulate the mRNA stability of specifc target genes by interacting with hnRNPD, thereby exerting a notable infuence on tumor initiation and progression. Linc01354 has been shown to activate the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway by stabilizing β-catenin mRNA through its interaction with hnRNPD, consequently promoting the proliferation and metastasis of CRC cells [[73\]](#page-23-34). Additionally, a separate investigation revealed that the interaction between lncRNA THOR

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Fig. 7** LOC101927668 played a crucial role in promoting CRC cell proliferation and metastasis by targeting RBM47 in vivo. **A-E** Subcutaneous implantation model of HCT116 cells stably overexpressing LOC101927668 or its control vector. (**A**) Macroscopic appearance of tumors and (**B**) tumor weight analysis upon sacrifce of the mice. (**C**) Tumor growth curves recorded during the experiment. (**D**) Analysis of LOC101927668 and RBM47 expression in tumor tissues via RT-qPCR and Western blot. (**E**) HE sections and representative IHC staining of RBM47 and Ki67 in diferent groups of tumor tissues. **F-J** Subcutaneous implantation model of LOVO cells with stable LOC101927668 depletion. (**F**) Macroscopic appearance of tumors, (**G**) tumor weight analysis, and (**H**) growth curves were presented. (**I**) Analysis of LOC101927668 and RBM47 expression via RT-qPCR and Western blot, alongside (**J**) histological examination and representative IHC staining of RBM47 and Ki67. **K**-**N** Subcutaneous implantation model of LOVO cells with stable LOC101927668 depletion and RBM47 knockdown. (**K**) Macroscopic appearance of tumors, (L) tumor weight analysis, and (M) growth curves were shown. (**N**) Expression of LOC101927668 and important molecules in RBM47/p53 signaling were detected in tumor tissues via RT-qPCR and Western blot. O-S. Orthotopic liver xenograft tumor model of LOVO cells with stable depletion of LOC101927668 and knockdown of RBM47. Macroscopic images depicting the morphological changes in mouse livers (**O**) and body weight of mice (**P**) upon sacrifce. (**Q**) Representative two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) bioluminescence imaging of mice with the quantifcation of two-dimensional bioluminescence imaging. (**R**) Detection of LOC101927668 and important molecules in RBM47/p53 signaling via RT-qPCR and Western blot. (**S**) HE sections and representative IHC staining of RBM47 and Ki67 in diferent groups of liver metastatic tissues. Data are presented as mean±SD of at least three independent experiments. \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001

<sup>(</sup>See fgure on next page.)



**Fig. 7** (See legend on previous page.)



<span id="page-20-0"></span>Fig. 8 Schematic representation illustrating the pathway by which LOC101927668 recruits hnRNPD protein to modulate the p53 signaling axis via facilitating the degradation of RBM47 mRNA, ultimately promoting CRC progression

and hnRNPD led to hnRNPD stabilization and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway, thereby facilitating the progression of breast cancer [\[74\]](#page-23-35).

In interphase cells, both LOC101927668 RNA and hnRNPD protein were primarily localized in the nucleus, with RBM47 nascent and mature mRNA distributed in nucleus and cytoplasm. While during mitosis, LOC101927668, hnRNPD, and RBM47 were all translocated to the cytoplasm (Fig. S14). It is well known that during interphase, hundreds to thousands of coding and noncoding RNAs are retained in the nucleus to regulate chromatin structure and gene expression [\[75](#page-23-36)]. However, during cell division, nuclear RNA transcription is signifcantly reduced, and many nascent transcripts and components of the transcription machinery are removed from mitotic chromosomes to facilitate chromosome segregation into daughter cells [\[76](#page-23-37)]. As shown in Fig. S14, no matter the sublocation of LOC101927668 and RBM47 in interphase, they became difusely distributed in the cytoplasm during mitosis.

Additionally, previous studies have reported that hnRNP proteins disperse throughout the cell when the nuclear envelope breaks down in mitosis, but return to the newly formed nuclei as the nuclear envelope of the daughter cells is assembled  $[77, 78]$  $[77, 78]$  $[77, 78]$  $[77, 78]$ . Therefore, we hypothesize that LOC101927668-induced attenuation of RBM47/p53/p21 signaling through hnRNPD recruitment primarily occurs during interphase. The translocation of hnRNPD, followed by the degradation of RBM47 mRNA, is largely stimulated by overexpressed LOC101927668. Throughout mitosis, LOC101927668 and hnRNPD are detatched from mitotic chromatin and relocated to the cytoplasm, later returning to the newly formed nuclei to resume their regulatory activities after mitosis.

Our fndings reveal a novel mechanism in which copy number amplifcation-induced overexpression of LOC101927668 interacts with hnRNPD, leading to their translocation to the cytoplasm. In the cytoplasm, hnRNPD binds to the ARE motif within the 3' UTR of RBM47 mRNA, destabilizing it and ultimately disrupting the p53 signaling pathway (Fig. [8\)](#page-20-0). Given its widespread overexpression and potential role in promoting tumor growth, LOC101927668 presents itself as a promising therapeutic target. Inhibiting LOC101927668 could suppress tumor progression and enhance the efficacy of existing treatments. Clinical strategies might involve the development of small molecule inhibitors, antisense oligonucleotides, or RNA interference technologies specifcally designed to target LOC101927668. Future research should focus on understanding the precise mechanisms by which LOC101927668 contributes to tumorigenesis in diferent cancer types, as well as on the development

and testing of potential therapeutic agents targeting this lncRNA in clinical settings.

#### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, our study proposes that dysregulation of LOC101927668, induced by CNV, promotes the progression of CRC by interacting with hnRNPD. This interaction inhibits RBM47 expression at the post-transcriptional level, consequently attenuating the RBM47/  $p53/p21$  signaling axis (Fig. [8](#page-20-0)). This study not only elucidates the mechanism underlying RBM47 inactivation but also underscores a promising therapeutic target for cancer treatment. Inhibition of the elevated expression of LOC101927668 to restore RBM47 activity represents a distinctive and promising therapeutic strategy.

#### **Abbreviations**



#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-024-03193-7) [org/10.1186/s13046-024-03193-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-024-03193-7).

Supplementary Material 1.

#### **Authors' contributions**

ZW, HBH, and CZ conceived and performed the experiments, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. CW conducted bioinformatic data analysis and experiments with the assistance of ZW. JD and PX performed experiments and analyzed data. XQ, KW and HH contributed with tissue sample acquisition. XY, YH and BJ provided scientifc support. ZW and XS conceived the project, analyzed data, revised the manuscript, acquired funding and provided supervision. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by the grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (82073357, 81872022, and 81972678), and Science Foundation of Peking University Cancer Hospital (JC202405).

#### **Availability of data and materials**

RNA sequencing data were deposited into the Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession number GSE268122 and are available at the following URL: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?&acc](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?&acc=GSE268122)=GSE268122.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China (No. 2020KT127).

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no conficts of interest in this work.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Key laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery IV, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, No.52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, 100142 Beijing, China. <sup>2</sup> Key laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Clinical Laboratory, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, No.52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, 100142 Beijing, China. <sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Holistic Integrative Management of Gastrointestinal Cancers, Beijing Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery IV, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, No.52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, 100142 Beijing, China.

#### Received: 28 May 2024 Accepted: 17 September 2024 Published online: 30 September 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-22-0"></span>1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019;69(1):7–34.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>2. Kocarnik JM, Shiovitz S, Phipps AI. Molecular phenotypes of colorectal cancer and potential clinical applications. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf ). 2015;3(4):269–76.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>3. Mitchell SF, Parker R. Principles and properties of eukaryotic mRNPs. Mol Cell. 2014;54(4):547–58.
- 4. Sternburg EL, Karginov FV. Global approaches in studying RNA-binding protein interaction networks. Trends Biochem Sci. 2020;45(7):593–603.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>5. Gerstberger S, Hafner M, Tuschl T. A census of human RNA-binding proteins. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15(12):829–45.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>Shao Y, Chen C, Shen H, He BZ, Yu D, Jiang S, et al. GenTree, an integrated resource for analyzing the evolution and function of primate-specifc coding genes. Genome Res. 2019;29(4):682–96.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>7. Shivalingappa PKM, Sharma V, Shiras A, Bapat SA. RNA binding motif 47 (RBM47): emerging roles in vertebrate development, RNA editing and cancer. Mol Cell Biochem. 2021;476(12):4493–505.
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>8. Vanharanta S, Marney CB, Shu W, Valiente M, Zou Y, Mele A, et al. Loss of the multifunctional RNA-binding protein RBM47 as a source of selectable metastatic traits in breast cancer. Elife. 2014;3:3.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>9. Sakurai T, Isogaya K, Sakai S, Morikawa M, Morishita Y, Ehata S, et al. RNAbinding motif protein 47 inhibits Nrf2 activity to suppress tumor growth in lung adenocarcinoma. Oncogene. 2016;35(38):5000–9.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>10. Rokavec M, Kaller M, Horst D, Hermeking H. Pan-cancer EMT-signature identifes RBM47 down-regulation during colorectal cancer progression. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):4687.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>11. Radine C, Peters D, Reese A, Neuwahl J, Budach W, Janicke RU, et al. The RNA-binding protein RBM47 is a novel regulator of cell fate decisions

by transcriptionally controlling the p53–p21-axis. Cell Death Difer. 2020;27(4):1274–85.

- <span id="page-22-10"></span>12. Wei Y, Zhang F, Zhang Y, Wang X, Xing C, Guo J, et al. Post-transcriptional regulator Rbm47 elevates IL-10 production and promotes the immunosuppression of B cells. Cell Mol Immunol. 2019;16(6):580–9.
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>13. Mattick JS, Amaral PP, Carninci P, Carpenter S, Chang HY, Chen LL, et al. Long non-coding RNAs: defnitions, functions, challenges and recommendations. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2023;24(6):430–47.
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>14. Statello L, Guo CJ, Chen LL, Huarte M. Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22(2):96–118.
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>15. Badowski C, He B, Garmire LX. Blood-derived lncRNAs as biomarkers for cancer diagnosis: the Good, the Bad and the Beauty. NPJ Precis Oncol. 2022;6(1):40.
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>16. Conrad DF, Pinto D, Redon R, Feuk L, Gokcumen O, Zhang Y, et al. Origins and functional impact of copy number variation in the human genome. Nature. 2010;464(7289):704–12.
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>17. Hu X, Feng Y, Zhang D, Zhao SD, Hu Z, Greshock J, et al. A functional genomic approach identifes FAL1 as an oncogenic long noncoding RNA that associates with BMI1 and represses p21 expression in cancer. Cancer Cell. 2014;26(3):344–57.
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>18. Xu Y, Wu T, Li F, Dong Q, Wang J, Shang D, et al. Identifcation and comprehensive characterization of lncRNAs with copy number variations and their driving transcriptional perturbed subpathways reveal functional signifcance for cancer. Brief Bioinform. 2020;21(6):2153–66.
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>19. Liu H, Gu X, Wang G, Huang Y, Ju S, Huang J, et al. Copy number variations primed lncRNAs deregulation contribute to poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Aging (Albany NY). 2019;11(16):6089–108.
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>20. Tyagi N, Roy S, Vengadesan K, Gupta D. Multi-omics approach for identifying CNV-associated lncRNA signatures with prognostic value in prostate cancer. Noncoding RNA Res. 2024;9(1):66–75.
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>21. Liu T, Liu Y, Su X, Peng L, Chen J, Xing P, et al. Genome-wide transcriptomics and copy number profling identify patient-specifc CNV-lncRNAmRNA regulatory triplets in colorectal cancer. Comput Biol Med. 2023;153: 106545.
- <span id="page-22-20"></span>22. Redon R, Ishikawa S, Fitch KR, Feuk L, Perry GH, Andrews TD, et al. Global variation in copy number in the human genome. Nature. 2006;444(7118):444–54.
- <span id="page-22-21"></span>23. Han H, Wang S, Meng J, Lyu G, Ding G, Hu Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA PART1 restrains aggressive gastric cancer through the epigenetic silencing of PDGFB via the PLZF-mediated recruitment of EZH2. Oncogene. 2020;39(42):6513–28.
- <span id="page-22-22"></span>24. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003;13(11):2498–504.
- <span id="page-22-23"></span>25. Pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. [https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) [pheatmap/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html).
- 26. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS. 2012;16(5):284–7.
- <span id="page-22-24"></span>27. Enrichplot: visualization of functional enrichment result. [https://bioco](https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/enrichplot.html) [nductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/enrichplot.html](https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/enrichplot.html).
- <span id="page-22-25"></span>28. Hong Y, Downey T, Eu KW, Koh PK, Cheah PY. A "metastasis-prone" signature for early-stage mismatch-repair profcient sporadic colorectal cancer patients and its implications for possible therapeutics. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2010;27(2):83–90.
- 29. Tsukamoto S, Ishikawa T, Iida S, Ishiguro M, Mogushi K, Mizushima H, et al. Clinical signifcance of osteoprotegerin expression in human colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(8):2444–50.
- 30. Lin G, He X, Ji H, Shi L, Davis RW, Zhong S. Reproducibility Probability Score–incorporating measurement variability across laboratories for gene selection. Nat Biotechnol. 2006;24(12):1476–7.
- <span id="page-22-26"></span>31. Marisa L, de Reynies A, Duval A, Selves J, Gaub MP, Vescovo L, et al. Gene expression classifcation of colon cancer into molecular subtypes: characterization, validation, and prognostic value. PLoS Med. 2013;10(5): e1001453.
- <span id="page-22-27"></span>32. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7): e47.
- 33. Davis S, Meltzer PS. GEOquery: a bridge between the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and BioConductor. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(14):1846–7.
- 34. Colaprico A, Silva TC, Olsen C, Garofano L, Cava C, Garolini D, et al. TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(8): e71.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>35. Dplyr : A Grammar of Data Manipulation. [https://cran.r-project.org/web/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/dplyr/index.html) [packages/dplyr/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/dplyr/index.html).
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>36. Ggplot2. Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics.<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html>.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>37. Mermel CH, Schumacher SE, Hill B, Meyerson ML, Beroukhim R, Getz G. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confdent localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. Genome Biol. 2011;12(4):R41.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>38. Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, Venkatesan K, Margolin AA, Kim S, et al. The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature. 2012;483(7391):603–7.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>39. Olshen AB, Venkatraman ES, Lucito R, Wigler M. Circular binary segmentation for the analysis of array-based DNA copy number data. Biostatistics. 2004;5(4):557–72.
- 40. Domcke S, Sinha R, Levine DA, Sander C, Schultz N. Evaluating cell lines as tumour models by comparison of genomic profles. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2126.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>41. Peter VL, Silje HN, Ole CL, Hege GR, Inga HR, Wei S, et al. Allelespecifc copy number analysis of tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(39):16910–5.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>42. Mayakonda A, Lin DC, Assenov Y, Plass C, Koeffler HP. Maftools: efficient and comprehensive analysis of somatic variants in cancer. Genome Res. 2018;28(11):1747–56.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>43. Bigagli E, De Filippo C, Castagnini C, Toti S, Acquadro F, Giudici F, et al. DNA copy number alterations, gene expression changes and disease-free survival in patients with colorectal cancer: a 10 year follow-up. Cell Oncol (Dordr). 2016;39(6):545–58.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>44. Thean LF, Low YS, Lo M, Teo YY, Koh WP, Yuan JM, et al. Genome-wide association study identifed copy number variants associated with sporadic colorectal cancer risk. J Med Genet. 2018;55(3):181–8.
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>45. Du Z, Fei T, Verhaak RG, Su Z, Zhang Y, Brown M, et al. Integrative genomic analyses reveal clinically relevant long noncoding RNAs in human cancer. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013;20(7):908–13.
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>46. Hollstein M, Hainaut P. Massively regulated genes: the example of TP53. J Pathol. 2010;220(2):164–73.
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>47. Tang Y, Horikawa I, Ajiro M, Robles AI, Fujita K, Mondal AM, et al. Downregulation of splicing factor SRSF3 induces p53beta, an alternatively spliced isoform of p53 that promotes cellular senescence. Oncogene. 2013;32(22):2792–8.
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>48. Di J, Zhao G, Wang H, Wu Y, Zhao Z, Zhu B, et al. A p53/CPEB2 negative feedback loop regulates renal cancer cell proliferation and migration. J Genet Genomics. 2021;48(7):606–17.
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>49. Messeguer X, Escudero R, Farre D, Nunez O, Martinez J, Alba MM. PROMO: detection of known transcription regulatory elements using speciestailored searches. Bioinformatics. 2002;18(2):333–4.
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>50. Paz I, Kosti I, Ares M Jr, Cline M, Mandel-Gutfreund Y. RBPmap: a web server for mapping binding sites of RNA-binding proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(Web Server issue):W361–7.
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>51. Bakheet T, Hitti E, Khabar KSA. ARED-Plus: an updated and expanded database of AU-rich element-containing mRNAs and pre-mRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D218–20.
- 52. Schoenberg DR, Maquat LE. Regulation of cytoplasmic mRNA decay. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(4):246–59.
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>53. Sidali A, Teotia V, Solaiman NS, Bashir N, Kanagaraj R, Murphy JJ, et al. AU-Rich Element RNA Binding Proteins: At the Crossroads of Post-Transcriptional Regulation and Genome Integrity. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;23(1):96.
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>54. Armaos A, Colantoni A, Proietti G, Rupert J, Tartaglia GG. catRAPID omics v2.0: going deeper and wider in the prediction of protein-RNA interactions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(W1):W72–9.
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>55. Gratacos FM, Brewer G. The role of AUF1 in regulated mRNA decay. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2010;1(3):457–73.
- <span id="page-23-19"></span>56. Pos O, Radvanszky J, Buglyo G, Pos Z, Rusnakova D, Nagy B, et al. DNA copy number variation: Main characteristics, evolutionary signifcance, and pathological aspects. Biomed J. 2021;44(5):548–59.
- <span id="page-23-20"></span>57. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1):31–46.
- <span id="page-23-21"></span>58. Lin CH, Lin JK, Chang SC, Chang YH, Chang HM, Liu JH, et al. Molecular profle and copy number analysis of sporadic colorectal cancer in Taiwan. J Biomed Sci. 2011;18(1): 36.
- <span id="page-23-22"></span>59. Zarrei M, MacDonald JR, Merico D, Scherer SW. A copy number variation map of the human genome. Nat Rev Genet. 2015;16(3):172–83.
- <span id="page-23-23"></span>60. Ezkurdia I, Juan D, Rodriguez JM, Frankish A, Diekhans M, Harrow J, et al. Multiple evidence strands suggest that there may be as few as 19,000 human protein-coding genes. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23(22):5866–78.
- <span id="page-23-24"></span>61. Guh CY, Hsieh YH, Chu HP. Functions and properties of nuclear lncRNAsfrom systematically mapping the interactomes of lncRNAs. J Biomed Sci. 2020;27(1):44.
- <span id="page-23-25"></span>62. Pan H, Wang H, Zhang X, Yang F, Fan X, Zhang H. Chromosomal instability-associated MAT1 lncRNA insulates MLL1-guided histone methylation and accelerates tumorigenesis. Cell Rep. 2022;41(11): 111829.
- <span id="page-23-26"></span>63. Wang S, Zhou Z, Wang S, Guo R, Ma Z, Zhao D, et al. Pathologic nodal metastasis assessment using tumour-derived molecular features in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Clin Transl Med. 2024;14(4): e1638.
- 64. Harada T, Chelala C, Bhakta V, Chaplin T, Caulee K, Baril P, et al. Genomewide DNA copy number analysis in pancreatic cancer using high-density single nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Oncogene. 2008;27(13):1951–60.
- <span id="page-23-27"></span>65. Hemmer S, Wasenius VM, Knuutila S, Franssila K, Joensuu H. DNA copy number changes in thyroid carcinoma. Am J Pathol. 1999;154(5):1539–47.
- <span id="page-23-28"></span>66. Stein U, Walther W, Arlt F, Schwabe H, Smith J, Fichtner I, et al. MACC1, a newly identifed key regulator of HGF-MET signaling, predicts colon cancer metastasis. Nat Med. 2009;15(1):59–67.
- 67. Yang X, Li G, Tian Y, Wang X, Xu J, Liu R, et al. Identifying the E2F3-MEX3A-KLF4 signaling axis that sustains cancer cells in undifferentiated and proliferative state. Theranostics. 2022;12(16):6865–82.
- <span id="page-23-29"></span>68. Fang ZX, Li CL, Wu Z, Hou YY, Wu HT, Liu J. Comprehensive analysis of the potential role and prognostic value of sine oculis homeobox homolog family in colorectal cancer. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2022;14(11):2138–56.
- <span id="page-23-30"></span>69. Pullmann R Jr, Kim HH, Abdelmohsen K, Lal A, Martindale JL, Yang X, et al. Analysis of turnover and translation regulatory RNA-binding protein expression through binding to cognate mRNAs. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(18):6265–78.
- <span id="page-23-31"></span>70. Zhang W, Wagner BJ, Ehrenman K, Schaefer AW, DeMaria CT, Crater D, et al. Purifcation, characterization, and cDNA cloning of an AU-rich element RNA-binding protein, AUF1. Mol Cell Biol. 1993;13(12):7652–65.
- <span id="page-23-32"></span>71. White EJ, Matsangos AE, Wilson GM. AUF1 regulation of coding and noncoding RNA. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2017;8(2):e1393.
- <span id="page-23-33"></span>72. Moore AE, Chenette DM, Larkin LC, Schneider RJ. Physiological networks and disease functions of RNA-binding protein AUF1. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2014;5(4):549–64.
- <span id="page-23-34"></span>73. Li J, He M, Xu W, Huang S. LINC01354 interacting with hnRNP-D contributes to the proliferation and metastasis in colorectal cancer through activating Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2019;38(1):161.
- <span id="page-23-35"></span>74. Hu H, Zhang H, Xing Y, Zhou Y, Chen J, Li C, et al. The lncRNA THOR interacts with and stabilizes hnRNPD to promote cell proliferation and metastasis in breast cancer. Oncogene. 2022;41(49):5298–314.
- <span id="page-23-36"></span>75. Bohmdorfer G, Wierzbicki AT. Control of Chromatin Structure by Long Noncoding RNA. Trends Cell Biol. 2015;25(10):623–32.
- <span id="page-23-37"></span>76. Blower MD, Wang W, Sharp JA. Diferential nuclear import regulates nuclear RNA inheritance following mitosis. Mol Biol Cell. 2023;34(4):ar32.
- <span id="page-23-38"></span>77. Pinol-Roma S, Dreyfuss G. hnRNP proteins: localization and transport between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. Trends Cell Biol. 1993;3(5):151–5.
- <span id="page-23-39"></span>78. He DC, Martin T, Penman S. Localization of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein in the interphase nuclear matrix core flaments and on perichromosomal flaments at mitosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88(17):7469–73.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.