# DNA sequence around the Escherichia coli unc operon

Completion of the sequence of a 17 kilobase segment containing *asnA, oriC, unc, glmS* and *phoS* 

John E. WALKER,\* Nicholas J. GAY, Matti SARASTE† and Alex N. EBERLE‡ Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QH, U.K.

(Received 13 August 1984/Accepted 29 August 1984)

The nucleotide sequence is described of a region of the Escherichia coli chromosome extending from  $oriC$  to phoS that also includes the loci gid, unc and glmS. Taken with known sequences for asnA and phoS this completes the sequence of a segment of about 17 kilobases or 0.4min of the E. coli genome. Sequences that are probably transcriptional promoters for unc and phoS can be detected and the identity of the unc promoter has been confirmed by experiments in vitro with RNA polymerase. Upstream of the promoter sequence is an extensive region that appears to be non-coding. Conserved sequences are found that may serve to concentrate RNA polymerase in the vicinity of the unc promoter. Hairpin loop structures resembling known rhoindependent transcription termination signals are evident following the unc operon and glmS. The glmS gene encoding the amidotransferase, glucosamine synthetase, has been identified by homology with glutamine 5-phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase.

The Escherichia coli unc operon, encoding the eight subunits of ATP synthase, is found near min 83 in the 100min linkage map close to the single origin of bidirectional DNA replication, oriC (Bachman, 1983). The region between oriC and unc is potentially of interest because of its proximity to the origin of replication. Earlier it had been suggested that an outer membrane protein binding at or near the origin of replication might be encoded in this region of the chromosome, or alternatively that the DNA segment to which the outer membrane protein is thought to bind might lie between oriC and unc (Wolf-Watz & Norquist, 1979; Wolf-Watz & Masters, 1979). The phenotypic marker het has been used for this trait (see Bachman, 1983). Recently two DNA segments have been proposed to bind to the membrane protein; one overlaps oriC, the other lies within the unc operon (Wolf-Watz, 1984). A second phenotypic trait gid (glucose-inhibited division) has also been associated with the region between oriC and

Abbreviations used: kb, kilobases; bp, base pairs.

\* To whom correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed.

t Present address: Department of Medical Chemistry, University of Helsinki, Finland.

<sup>t</sup> Present address: Research Department (ZLF), Kantonsspital, Hebelstrasse 20, CH-4031 Basel, Switzerland. construction of strains carrying a deletion of oriC and part of gid and with an insertion of transposon TnlO in asnA. This oriC deletion strain can be maintained by replication of an integrated Fplasmid. Various oriC minichromosomes were integrated into the oriC deletion strain by homologous recombination. It was observed that integrated minichromosomes carrying an intact gidA gene displayed a 30% higher growth rate on glucose media compared with ones in which gidA was partly or completely absent. A protein of 7OkDa has been associated with gid A (von Meyenberg & Hansen, 1980). Insertion of transposon TnlO in gidA also influences expression of a 25 kDa protein, the gene for which maps between  $gidA$ and unc. Therefore, it has been proposed that the 70kDa and 25 kDa proteins are co-transcribed; gidB has been used to designate the gene for the 25kDa protein (von Meyenberg et al., 1982). The DNA sequence of this region of the E. coli

unc. This phenotype was designated following the

genome is described here. It confirms the presence of two genes that would encode proteins of the sizes determined for the gidA and gidB gene products. They possibly comprise a single transcriptional unit. These genes are followed by an extensive region of DNA that appears to be non-coding. It contains within it the transcriptional promoter of



(a) Extent of E. coli chromosome in transducing phage  $\lambda A \sin 5$  (black) with genetic markers. (b) Restriction sites (redrawn from Futai & Kanazawa, 1980):  $\downarrow$ , BamH1;  $\heartsuit$ , PstI;  $\Diamond$ , HindIII;  $\nabla$ , EcoRI. (c) Location of sub-cloned fragments.

the unc operon. We have described the DNA sequence of the *unc* operon previously and parts of it have been independently determined. The operon contains nine genes. (For a review see Walker et al., 1984.)

Wealso describe here the DNAsequence ofabout 2.2kb beyond the *unc* operon that joins the sequence of the unc operon to that of phoS. It contains two genes which may be co-transcribed. The genetic markerglmS corresponding to the amidotransferase glucosamine-6-phosphate synthetase maps in this region (Wu & Wu, 1971; Bachman, 1983). The protein sequence predicted from the DNA sequence of the second of these two reading frames has striking homology with another amidotransferase, 5-phosphoribosylamine :glutamine pyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase, the product of  $purF$  in both E. coli (Tso et al., 1982a) and Bacillus subtilis (Makaroff et al., 1983). On this basis glmS has been assigned to this second reading frame. The first of these two open reading frames (called here EcoURF-1) remains unidentified. As discussed elsewhere (N. J. Gay, V. L. J. Tybulewicz & J. E. Walker, unpublished work), the DNA sequence in this region also shows that the transposable element Tn7 inserts into <sup>a</sup> structure in the DNA sequence that appears to be the rho-independent transcriptional terminator for glmS. Taken with published DNA sequences for asnA (Nakamura et al., 1981), oriC (Meijer et al., 1979; Sugimoto et al., 1979), the Tn7 insertion site (Lichtenstein & Brenner, 1982) and phoS (Magota et al., 1984; Surin et al., 1984), the sequences presented here complete the sequence of a segment of approx. 17kb or 0.4min of the E. coli genome.

### Materials and methods

#### Cloning and preparation of DNA

The lysogen bacteriophage  $\lambda Asn5$  contains about 26kb of the E. coli chromosome including the genetic loci asn, oriC, unc andgimS (Kanazawaetal., 1980). Phage was grown up and DNA extracted from it as described previously (Gay & Walker, 1981a). The region from oriC to the beginning of the *unc* operon was cloned into bacteriophage M13. Three such recombinants that together cover this region (see Fig. 1), namely M13mp3.NH4, M13mp7.NB4 and M13mp3.NHl.5, were isolated and replicative form was prepared as described previously (Gay & Walker, 1981a,b). A region extending from the beginning of  $uncD$  to the  $glmS$ region is contained in a 4.5kb EcoRI fragment (called R2) that had earlier been subcloned into the plasmid pACYC184 (Gay & Walker, 1981a). This recombinant plasmid is pNR2. Its preparation has been described in earlier work (Gay & Walker, 1981a). A PstI-EcoRI fragment (extending from nucleotide 10679 to nucleotide 13556) was prepared as described by Saraste et al. (1981). It was then self-ligated, sonicated and, after end repair (Deininger, 1983), blunt-end-ligated into Ml3mp8 (Messing & Vieira, 1982) under standard conditions, and finally used to transfect E. coli JM1O1.

Details of other methods concerning transformation and transfection have been given before (Walker & Gay, 1983). Single-stranded M13 templates for DNA sequencing were prepared under standard conditions. DNA sequences were determined by the dideoxy chain termination method (Sanger et al., 1977) using a 17-nucleotide-







P T N U I S I T D G Q I F L E T N L F N A G I R P A U N P G I S U S R U G G A A<br>TTCCGACCGACCGACTIACCGATGGGTCAGATCTICCTCCCGAAACCACCTGTICACCCCCGGGTTCGTCCTGCCCGGGGGTATTTCCGTATCCCGTGTTGTGGTGCGG<br>2210



1984



Vol. 224



M R<br>ATGCGT

Fig. 2. Nucleotide sequence of E. coli DNA from oriC to phoS and the amino acid sequences it codes for Transcription and translation are from left to right. Some of the more important control regions are marked. Each gene is marked above the proposed points for initiation of translation. Proposed ribosome-binding sites (Shine and Dalgarno sequences) are underlined. Promoter sequences are boxed and labelled  $-10$  and  $-35$ . The point of initialong synthetic primer complementary to a region adjacent to linker sequence of M13mp7 (Duckworth et al., 1981). In the final stages of the work the modifications described by Biggin et al. (1983) were employed. Sequences were compiled and analysed using computer programs described by Staden (1982a,b, 1984).

### Transcriptional studies

E. coli RNA polymerase was <sup>a</sup> gift from Dr. A. A. Travers. For the preparation of run-off transcripts in vitro the following conditions were employed (Travers, 1981). Reactions contained the following ingredients: 0.04M-Tris/HCl (pH 7.9),  $0.01$  M-MgCl<sub>2</sub>,  $0.075$  M-KCl,  $0.6$  mM-2-mercaptoethanol, O.1mM-EDTA, 2mM-ATP, 1mM-GTP, 25 mM-CTP,  $4 \mu$ M-[ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]UTP (50 mCi/mmol; Amersham International) and 5nM-DNA fragment. Reaction mixtures were preincubated for 5min at 32°C and RNA synthesis was started by addition of RNA polymerase holoenzyme (100 nM). The reactions were performed at  $32^{\circ}$ C for 30min and terminated by the addition of an equal volume of formamide dye mix. The products were separated by polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis in the presence of urea and the gels autoradiographed. For end-labelling of RNA transcripts with  $[y^{-32}P]GTP$  or ATP (14 Ci/mmol) the reaction conditions were as above except that the nucleotide concentrations were 0.05 mm for ATP and GTP and 0.125mM for GTP and UTP.

### Results and discussion

### Determination of the DNA sequence

The DNA sequences described in Fig. <sup>2</sup> were determined by the dideoxy chain termination procedure (Sanger et al., 1977) coupled with cloning into bacteriophage M1<sup>3</sup> (Messing & Vieira, 1982). Three different strategies were applied at various stages of the work. Initially the sequence of the 2.5kb EcoRI fragment, RI, and much of the sequence of the 4.5kb EcoRI fragment, R2, were determined with a random shotgun strategy employing restriction enzymes with 4bp recognition sequences (Sanger et al., 1982). However, it proved to be difficult to isolate sufficient clones covering part of R2 (because of unfavourable distribution of suitable restriction enzyme sites). Therefore, the sequence of this region was completed by cloning fragments generated by sonication of part of R2 (represented by the 2.9kb  $PstI-EcoRI$  fragment NP3.2; see Fig. 1). The method of generating a random set of clones has generally superseded the earlier restrictiondigest shotgun strategy.

A different directed sequencing strategy was used to determine the sequence between *ori*C and the EcoRI site in uncA. Existing information about restriction enzyme sites was used to prepare a series of primary M13 subclones, M13mp7.NB4, M13mp3.NHl.5 and M13mp3.NH4, as shown in detail in Fig. 1. Sequences were determined directly from these subclones in both orientations of the DNA. These sequences were then analysed with the computer program CUTSIT (Staden, 1984) for the presence of further 6bp restriction enzyme recognition sites. The purified inserts from the primary subclones were then digested with the appropriate restriction enzyme and cloned into the appropriate M13 vector, thereby generating further simple mixtures of clones from which recombinants could be isolated to extend the preexisting sequences, and also to provide a reverse orientation sequence of the original data. Thus, the sequence was built up in an orderly and rapid manner in both orientations, as summarized in Fig. 3. The sequence of 14.5kb presented in Fig. 2 extends from the minimal origin of replication to the beginning of  $phoS$ . Nucleotides 1-420 were not determined in the present work but are taken from published data (Sugimoto et al., 1979; Meijer et al., 1979). No formal overlap has been determined through the BamHI site near base 420, but it is likely from restriction analysis and transcription/ translation experiments in vitro that this alignment is correct (see Lother & Messer, 1981). Therefore, the sequence of bases 1-420 has been included for completeness since it contains the coding region of the first four amino acids of gidA and its transcriptional promoter.

The other extremity of the sequence lies within a sequence determined by Lichtenstein & Brenner (1982) around the unique site of insertion of the transposon Tn7. This sequence (as independently verified here) overlaps that of phoS encoding the phosphate-repressible periplasmic phosphate-

tion of transcription of unc is marked 1. Some inverted repeated sequences that could form stable hairpin loop structures are overscored with arrows. Nucleotides 1-420 (Meijer et al., 1979; Sugimoto et al., 1979) were not sequenced in the present work and no overlap has been determined through the BamH1 site marked in the region of nucleotide 420. In the present work the sequence was determined up to base 14520 (and beyond). Surin et al. (1984) have described the sequence from base 14233 onwards and Magota et al. (1984) sequenced from base 14242 onwards. The site of insertion of transposon, Tn7, was defined by N. J. Gay, V. L. J. Tybulewicz & J. E. Walker (unpublished work).



Fig. 3. Summary of clones used to establish the sequence

They are aligned with restriction and gene maps of the region. The scale is in kilobases. Restriction sites:  $\downarrow$ , BamH1;  $\Diamond$ , HindIII;  $\nabla$ , EcoRI;  $\downarrow$ , PstI. Horizontal arrows represent extent of the sequences determined and their orientations.

binding protein (Magota et al., 1984; Surin et al., 1984).

The central portion of the sequence is taken up by the unc operon which has been presented previously in fragmentary form. However, the sequence presented in Fig. 2 contains two corrections of the sequences presented by Gay & Walker (1981a) and Saraste et al. (1981). The sequence of nucleotides 7076-7084 in unc was previously incorrectly presented as ATGCTGCAA (encoding Met-Leu-Gly) (Gay & Walker, 1981a). This is now corrected to CGTGCTGCA (encoding Arg-Ala-Ala). In their sequence of uncC, Kanazawa et al. (1982b) found an additional C residue corresponding to position 10454 in the present sequence. We have now re-examined the sequencing gels and find that a C residue is clearly present at this position. This changes the C-terminal amino sequence of the  $\varepsilon$  subunit of ATP synthase from Leu-Ser-Ser (Saraste et al., 1981) to Val-Ile-Glu and extends it by five additional amino acids. The sequence in Fig. 2 differs in more than 40 other positions from sequences of the unc operon presented by Kanazawa et al. (1981a,b) and Mabuchi et al. (1981). These differences have been listed by Gay (1981) with detailed experimental documentation of the present sequence where these differences occur. Nucleotides 3832-6331 have also been determined independently by Nielsen et al. (1981) and their sequence is in complete agreement with this part of the sequence presented here.

### Identification of genes

The identification of H+-ATPase subunits from protein sequences (Sebald & Hoppe, 1982; Walker et al., 1982) has been described previously.

Open reading frames (potential genes) in the rest of the sequence presented in Fig. 2 were identified with the aid of the general sequence analysis program, ANALYSEQ (Staden, 1984). One option in this program searches for potential genes by comparison of the codon usage along the sequence with the codon usage of a 'standard' E. coli gene. As a further help to delineating genes the program also plots the distribution of start and stop codons on the same diagram. This analysis predicts the presence of two genes (corresponding to gidA and gidB) upstream of the unc operon and two further genes downstream of unc (Fig. 4).

### Protein initiation and termination sites

Table <sup>1</sup> lists the proposed start points for translation. With the exception of the genes for the eight subunits of ATP synthase (*uncB-uncC*) these have not been rigorously established; they have been chosen by finding an ATG or GTG preceded by the best 'Shine and Dalgarno' (SD) sequence (Shine & Delgarno, 1974). All of the genes except for EcoURF-1 (which uses TGA) terminate with a TAA codon. Tandem stop codons occur twice, in uncE (TAGTAA) and glmS (TAATAA). It has been estimated previously that approx. 13% of all E. coli genes contain termination signals TAG or



Fig. 4. Gene predictions for the DNA in the vicinity of the unc operon by using the codon preference method of Staden & McLachlan (1982)

This is <sup>a</sup> function of ANALYSEQ (Staden, 1984). The x-axis represents the DNA sequence and the probability of coding is plotted in the  $\gamma$  direction. The method assumes that codon preferences for neighbouring genes are similar and hence that the codon usage of known genes from the same organism can be used as standard. In the present calculation the codon usage of the ATPase  $\beta$ -subunit (uncD) was employed for this purpose. Probabilities of coding are calculated by sliding a window of 35 codons along the sequence one codon at a time. For each position of the window, the codons in each of the three reading frames of the DNA are compared with the standard and the corresponding probabilities of coding calculated. Probabilities are plotted for each reading frame, one above the other, every five codons. A solid horizontal line at the mid point of <sup>a</sup> reading frame (at 50% probably) indicates which of the three frames is most likely to be coding. Initiation codons ATG, GTG are marked as vertical bars along the base of each plot, and termination codons as vertical bars along the 50% level.

TAA in tandem with <sup>a</sup> second nonsense triplet (Lu & Rich, 1971). It is thought that the feature ensures that readthrough ('leakiness') does not occur.

### Possible secondary structure

The sequence has not been searched exhaustively for potential secondary structures. However, it was noticed that such structures were present in intergenic (and interoperonic) regions. They are indicated in Fig. 2. Two of them (following uncC and glmS) are followed by runs of T residues characteristic of rho-independent transcription termination sites. The significance of the other structures remains obscure. Brusilow et al. (1982) have discussed the possible role of other secondary structures in the regulation of translation of the unc operon.

### Transcription of the genes

A notable aspect of the region of the E. coli chromosome from oriC to phoS is that all genes are transcribed in the same direction, away from oriC.

The following section describes features in the sequence that may serve as transcriptional promoters. They have been identified by visual inspection of the non-coding sequences before genes for the presence of sequences resembling canonical promoters (Pribnow, 1978). Some of these features have been studied experimentally. For example, the gidA promoter is probably the weak promoter (indicated in Fig. 2) described by Lother & Messer  $(1981)$ . The intergenic region between gidA and gidB contains a potential secondary structure of unknown significance (see Fig. 2) and canonical promoter sequences are not apparent in this region, consistent with the co-transcription of the two genes. The region following gidB does not evidently contain a typical rho-independent terminator, although it may be assumed from transcriptional studies of unc that the transcription of gidA and B does terminate in this region.

unc. The region preceding uncI contains a canonical promoter sequence from which transcription would start 73 bp upstream of uncI (Gay



and the initiation codons boxed.



& Walker, 1981 $b$ ). In order to verify the presence of an active promoter, run-off transcripts with RNA polymerase were made from various fragments prepared from M13 replicative forms of various clones covering nucleotides 3165-6200. These experiments demonstrate that the proposed promoter is the only active promoter in vitro in the proximal region of the unc operon. Fig. 5 shows the products of transcription in vitro of a 240bp Sau3A-HindIII fragment (SU6) (nucleotides 3395-3634) compared with that of a synthetic gene (SSU2) containing the tRNA<sup>Tyr</sup> promoter (Ryan et al., 1979). The product of SSU2 is known to be 142 bases in length. A single major transcript with <sup>a</sup> mobility slightly greater than the SSU2 transcript is produced by the unc promoter fragment. The length of this transcript predicted from the DNA sequence is 135 bases. Thus, the experimentally determined size corresponds well with the prediction.

To localize further the transcription initiation base, the transcription reactions in vitro were performed with  $[y^{-32}P]GTP$  and  $[y^{-32}P]ATP$ . Under these conditions radioactive label can only be incorporated into the initiating base. Both the SSU2 and SU6 fragments directed the synthesis of labelled products of the expected mobilities in the presence of  $[y^{-32}P]GTP$  but not with  $[y^{-32}P]ATP$ . The SSU2 transcript is known to initiate with G (Ryan et al., 1979). This experiment confirmed the



Fig. 5. Transcription in vitro from the unc promoter  $[\alpha$ -32P]UTP-labelled products of transcription in vitro directed by  $(a, b)$  SU6 containing the unc promoter and  $(c, d)$  SSU2 (synthetic) tyrT promoter fragments.

transcription initiation nucleotide proposed in Fig. 2. This conclusion has also been reached independently by Porter et al. (1983) and Kanazawa et al. (1982 $a$ ). It is also consistent with Tn10 insertions in this region described by von Meyenburg et al. (1982) and DNAase footprinting experiments (Kanazawa et al., 1982a). Other weak promoters also occur between the unc promoter and uncB, but their significance, if any, is unclear (see Porter et al., 1983).

Table 2. Sizes of proteins encoded in the sequence References: (a), Hansen et al. (1981); (b), Brusilow et al. (1983).

	Size		
Protein	From DNA (Da)	From gels (kDa)	Reference
gidA	69366	70	(a)
gidB	23399	25	(a)
uncI	14072	14	(b)
uncB	30304	23	(a)
uncE	8256	8.5	(a)
uncF	17232	18	(a)
uncH	19332	20	(a)
uncA	55328	58	(a)
uncG	31578	31	(a)
uncD	50326	52	(a)
uncC	15069	12	(a)
ecoURF-1	49163		
glmS	66867		

It is also notable that in the extensive non-coding region preceding uncl and upstream of the unc promoter other conserved elements are to be found (see Fig. 2). These could conceivably act as RNA polymerase binding sites and serve to concentrate the enzyme in the vicinity of a major promoter. Such sequences have been demonstrated upstream of the E. coli tyrT promoter by Travers et al.  $(1983)$ (Travers, 1984). Some support for this proposal comes from the re-examination of the footprinting data of Kanazawa et al. (1982a). In their experiments it is apparent that protection was obtained in regions in which two of the proposed locators lie; these are the regions nucleotides 3397-3419 and 3429-3457 (see Fig. 2). Transcription of the uncI operon appears to terminate after uncC (see above). Other intergenic non-coding sequences are to be found in the operon. Before and after uncE are sequences homologous to each other and to the  $-10$  region of the *trp* promoter (Pribnow, 1978) but their significance is unclear.

EcoURF-J and glmS. A number of weak promoter sequences are indicated by ANALYSEQ upstream of EcoURF-1 (results not shown). However, in the absence of experimental data it is not possible to decide whether or not EcoURF-1 and gimS are co-transcribed. However, transcription of the region appears to terminate after glmS (see Fig. 2) before phoS. A potential promoter for phoS (see Fig. 2) has been pointed out by Surin et al. (1984).

### The gidA and gidB proteins

The DNA sequence of gidA encodes a protein predicted to have a molecular mass of 69 286 Da in agreement with the size determined for the product of linked transcription and translation in vitro of



Fig. 6. Comparison of protein sequence of glucosamine synthetase (glmS) with glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase (pur F) from (a) E. coli and (b) B. subtilis by using DIAGON (Staden, 1982a) In the calculation a window of 25 and a score of 280 were employed.

this region (see Table 2). The predicted molecular mass for the gidB protein is similarly in accord with earlier estimates (Table 2). Both proteins appear to have a content and distribution of hydrophilic amino acids that would suggest that they are soluble globular proteins (Kyte & Doolittle, 1982). Runs of hydrophobic amino acids that have been associated with spans buried in membranes are conspicuously absent. So it seems unlikely that either gidA or gidB has properties that would suggest that either might correspond to a component of the outer membrane binding the origin of replication. The phenotype of gidA and gidB, glucose inhibition of division, is rather vague and the biochemical function of the gene products remains unclear.

In an attempt to gain some insight, the protein sequences have been compared with those of a

Table 3. Amino acid sequence in region of putative active site of glucosamine synthetase and other amidotransferases Abbreviations and references:  $g/m\tilde{S}$ , glucosamine synthetase; (a), PRPP-AT, glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase from E. coli (Tso et al., 1982a,b); (b), PRPP-AT from Bacillus subtilis (Vollmer et al., 1983; Makaroff et al., 1983); (c), CPS, small subunit of E. colicarbamoyl phosphate synthetase (Piette et al., 1984); (d) CPS from yeast (Nyunoya & Lusty, 1984); (e), ASII, anthranilate synthetase component II from E. coli (Yanofsky et al., 1981); (f) ASII from Serratia marcescens (Tso et al., 1980); (g), PABSII, p-aminobenzoate synthetase from E. coli (Kaplan & Nichols, 1983); (h), ASII from Pseudomonas putida (Kawamura et al., 1978); (i) ASII from Neurospora crassa (Schechtman & Yanofsky, 1983); (j), FGAR-AT, formylglycinamide ribonucleotide amidotransferase from Salmonella typhimurium (Dawid et al., 1963); (k), FGAR-AT from chicken (Ohnoki et al., 1977). \*Denotes sites of reaction with inactivating alkylating agents (see the text). Identities and conservative substitutions are boxed.



t In glucosamine synthetase it is not known whether the initiator methionine is removed by post-translational processing, as in both species of PRPP-AT.

wide range of other proteins (Doolittle, 1981) using the rapid search technique described by Wilbur & Lipman (1983). However, no significant homologies were detected.

### Identification of the glmS gene

Glucosamine synthetase (encoded in glmS) catalyses the formation of glucosamine 6-phosphate from fructose 6-phosphate and glutamine. Thus, it belongs to the family of amidotransferases that catalyse transfer of an amide group from glutamine to <sup>a</sup> substrate to form <sup>a</sup> new C-N bond. Three reactions in purine and two in pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis have been identified in which glutamine is a nitrogen donor. Also glutamine is a substrate for reactions leading to synthesis of anthranilate, p-aminobenzoate, histidine, asparagine, glutamate, glutaminyl-tRNA and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (Buchanan, 1973). Mutants in *glm*S are characterized by being unable to synthesize cell walls and have a requirement for exogenous glucosamine. Little is known about the chemical characteristics of the enzyme except that the glutamine analogue, 6-diazo-5-Oxo-L-norleucine, is a potent inhibitor (Ghosh et al., 1960). This property is also shared with other amidotransferases. In all cases in which the reaction site has been identified inhibition results from reaction of the analogue with an active site cysteine residue. In two amidotransferases, anthranilate synthase component II (Kawamura et al., 1978) and glutamine: phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase in E. coli and B. subtilis (Vollmer et al., 1983; Tso et al., 1982b) this cysteine residue has been identified in the sequence of the protein.

## E. coli DNA sequence from oriC to phoS



Fig. 7. Alignment of protein sequence of glucosamine synthetase with those of glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferases (PRPP-AT) from E. coli and B. subtilis

N-Terminal segments of the proteins are shown. They correspond to homologous regions detected with DIAGON (see Fig. 6). Conservative substitutions and identities are boxed; -, a deletion; \*, sites of reaction of glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase with 6-diazo-5-oxonorleucine (Tso et al., 1982b; Vollmer et al, 1983). The E. coli and B. subtilis purF products are synthesized as precursors extended one and eleven residues respectively at their N-termini (Tso et al., 1982a; Makaroff et al., 1983).





Other amidotransferases have also been shown to be inactivated by alkylation of a cysteine residue with other reagents. Thus, experiments have been performed to identify the sites of reaction of azaserine with S. typhimurium formylglycinamide: ribonucleotide amidotransferase (Dawid et al., 1963) and of iodoacetic acid with the chicken enzyme (Ohnoki et al., 1977), and of L-2-amino-4 oxo-5-chloropentanoic acid (another glutamine analogue) with anthranilate synthase component II (Kawamura et al., 1978). From these studies and by comparison of the protein sequences of this family of proteins it appears that amidotransferases fall into two distinct groups (labelled A and B in Table 3) exemplified by carbamoyl phosphate synthetase and glutamine: phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferases (Nyunoya & Lusty, 1984). Comparison of the protein sequences predicted from the two open reading

frames following the unc operon with these two groups demonstrates a strong homology between glutamine: phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase and the second of the two putative E. coli proteins (Figs. 6 and 7), but not the first. Particularly significant is the region of homology at the N-terminal regions of these two proteins containing a cysteine residue. This is known to be the site of reaction of 6-diazo-5-oxonorleucine with glutamine: phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase. Therefore, it is concluded that the second gene is that for glucosamine synthetase, glmS, and also that the conserved cysteine residue is likely to be the site of reaction of 6-diazo-5 oxonorleucine with this enzyme.

The first of the two reading frames following uncC is not evidently related to the protein sequence of any known protein (Doolittle, 1981) and its function remains unknown.

#### Gene organization

The organization of genes in the sector of the  $E$ . coli chromosome near unc is summarized in Fig. 8. At present it represents the most extensive sequence determined in the E. coli chromosome.

We thank A. A. Travers for discussion and for <sup>a</sup> gift of RNA polymerase, F. Sanger for advice and encouragement and C. J. Lusty for the preprintofa paper. M. S. was supported by an EMBO long-term Fellowship, A. N. E. by the Swiss National Foundation and N. J. G. by a Postdoctoral Fellowship. Table 3 was adapted from Nyunoya & Lusty (1984).

### References

- Bachman, B. J. (1983) Microbiol. Rev. 47, 180-230
- Biggin, M. D., Gibson, T. J. & Hong, G. F. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80, 3963-3965
- Brusilow, W. S. A., Klionsky, D. J. & Simoni, R. D. (1982) J. Bacteriol. 151, 1363-1371
- Brusilow, W. S. A., Porter, A. C. G. & Simoni, R. D. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 155, 1265-1270
- Buchanan, J. M. (1973) Adv. Enzymol. 39, 91-183
- Dawid, I. B., French, T. C. & Buchanan, J. M. (1963) J. Biol. Chem. 238, 2178-2185
- Deininger, P. L. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 129, 216-222
- Doolittle, R. F. (1981) Science 214, 149-159
- Duckworth, M. L., Gait, M. J., Goelet, P., Hong, G. F., Singh, M. & Titmas, R. C. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 1691-1706
- Futai, M. & Kanazawa, H. (1980) Curr. Top. Bioenerg. 10, 181-215
- Gay, N. J. (1981) Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge University
- Gay, N. J. & Walker, J. E. (1981a) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 2187-2194
- Gay, N. J. & Walker, J. E. (1981b) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 3919-3926
- Ghosh, S., Blumenthal, H. J., Davidson, E. & Roseman, S. (1960) J. Biol. Chem. 235, 1265-1273
- Hansen, F. G., Nielsen, J., Riise, E. & von Meyenburg, K. (1981) Mol. Gen. Genet. 183, 463-472
- Kanazawa, H., Tamura, F., Mabuchi, K., Miki, T. & Futai, M. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77, 7005-7009
- Kanazawa, H., Kayano, T., Mabuchi, K. & Futai, M. (1981a) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 103, 604-612
- Kanazawa, H., Mabuchi, K., Kayano, T., Noumi, T., Sekiya, T. & Futai, M. (1981b) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 103, 613-620
- Kanazawa, H., Kayano, T., Kiyasu, T. & Futai, M. (1982a) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 105, 1257- 1264
- Kanazawa, H., Mabuchi, K. & Futai, M. (1982b) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 107, 568-575
- Kaplan, J. B. & Nichols, B. P. (1983) J. Mol. Biol. 168, 451-468
- Kawamura, M., Keim, P. S., Goto, Y., Zalkin, H. & Heinrikson, R. L. (1978) J. Biol. Chem. 253, 4659-4668
- Kyte, J. & Doolittle, R. F. (1982) J. Mol. Biol. 157, 105- 132
- Lichtenstein, C. & Brenner, S. (1982) Nature (London) 297, 601-603
- Lother, H. & Messer, W. (1981) Nature (London) 294, 376-378

Lu, P. & Rich, A. (1971) J. Mol. Biol. 58, 513-531

- Mabuchi, K., Kanazawa, H., Kayano, T. & Futai, M. (1981) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 102, 172-179
- Magota, K., Otsuji, N., Miki, T., Horinchi, T., Tsunasawa, S., Kondon, J., Shinagawa, H. & Nakata, A. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 157, 909-917
- Makaroff, C. A., Zalkin, H., Switzer, R. L. & Vollmer, S. J. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 10586-10593
- Meijer, M., Bech, E., Hansen, H. C., Bergman, H. E. N., Messer, W., von Meyenburg, K. & Schaller, H. (1979) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, 580-584
- Messing, J. & Vieira, J. (1982) Gene 19, 269-276
- Nakamura, M., Yamada, M., Hirota, Y., Sugimoto, K., Oka, A. & Takanami, M. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 4669-4676
- Nielsen, J., Hansen, F. G., Hoppe, J., Friedl, P. & von Meyenburg, K. (1981) Mol. Gen. Genet. 184, 33-39
- Nyunoya, H. & Lusty, C. J. (1984) J. Biol. Chem., 259, 9790-9798
- Ohnoki, S., Hong, B. S. & Buchanan, J. M. (1977) Biochemistry 16, 1070-1076
- Piette, J., Nyunoya, H., Lusty, C. J., Cunin, R., Weyens, G., Crabeel, M., Charlier, D., Glansdorff, N. & Pierard, A. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 4134-4138
- Porter, A. C. G., Brusilow, W. S. A. & Simoni, R. D. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 155, 1271-1278
- Pribnow, D. (1978) in Biological Regulation and Development, vol. <sup>1</sup> (Goldberger, R. F., ed.), pp. 219-277, Plenum Press, New York
- Ryan, M. J., Ramamoorthy, B., Brown, E. L., Fritz, H. J. & Khorana, H. G. (1979) J. Biol. Chem. 254, 5802-5816
- Sanger, F., Coulson, A. R., Hong, G. F., Hill, D. F. & Petersen, G. B. (1982) J. Mol. Biol. 162, 729-773
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Natt. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74, 5463-5467
- Saraste, M., Eberle, A., Gay, N. J., Runswick, M. J. & Walker, J. E. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 5287-5296
- Schechtman, M. G. & Yanofsky, C. (1983) J. Mol. Appl. Genet. 2, 83-99
- Sebald, W. & Hoppe, J. (1982) Curr. Top. Bioenerg. 12, 1- 64
- Shine, J. & Dalgarno, L. (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71, 1342-1346
- Staden, R. (1982a) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 2951-2961
- Staden, R. (1982b) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 4731-4751
- Staden, R. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 521-538
- Staden, R. & McLachlan, A. D. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 141-156
- Sugimoto, K., Oka, A., Sugisaki, H., Takanami, M., Nishimura, A., Yasuda, Y. & Hirota, Y. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, 575-579
- Surin, B. P., Jans, D. A., Fimmel, A. L., Shaw, D. C., Cox, G. B. & Rosenberg, H. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 157, 772-778
- Travers, A. A. (1981) J. Mol. Biol. 141, 91-97
- Travers, A. A. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 2605-2618
- Travers, A. A., Lamond, A. T., Mace, H. A F. & Berman, M. L. (1983) Cell 35, 265-273
- Tso, J. Y., Hermodson, M. A. & Zalkin, H. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 1451-1457
- Tso, J. Y., Zalkin, H., van Cleemput, M., Yanofsky, C. & Smith, J. M. (1982a) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 3525-3531
- Tso, J. Y., Hermodson, M. A. & Zalkin, H. (1982b) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 3532-3536
- Vollmer, S. J., Switzer, R. L., Hermodson, M. A., Bower, S. G. & Zalkin, H. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 10582- 10585
- von Meyenburg, K. & Hansen, F. G. (1980) ICN-UCLA Symp. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 137-159
- von Meyenburg, K., Jørgensen, B. B., Neilsen, J. & Hansen, F. G. (1982) Mol. Gen. Genet. 188, 240-248
- Walker, J. E., Auffret, A. D., Came, A., Gurnett, A., Hanisch, P., Hill, D. & Saraste, M. (1982) Eur. J. Biochem. 123, 253-260
- Walker, J. E. & Gay, N. J. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 97, 195-218
- Walker, J. E., Saraste, M. & Gay, N. J. (1984) Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg. Rev. 768, 164-200
- Wilbur, W. J. & Lipman, D. J. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80, 726-730
- Wolf-Watz, H. (1984) J. Bacteriol. 157, 968-970
- Wolf-Watz, H. & Masters, M. (1979) J. Bacteriol. 140, 50-58
- Wolf-Watz, H. & Norqvist, A. (1979) J. Bacteriol. 140, 43-49
- Wu, H. C. & Wu, T. C. (1971) J. Bacteriol. 105,455-466
- Yanofsky, C., Platt, T., Crawford, I. P., Nichols, B. P., Christie, G. E., Horowitz, H., van Cleemput, M. & Wu, A. M. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 6647-6668