REVIEW



Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for autoimmune diseases of the central nervous system: a systematic literature review

Agni M. Konitsioti^{1,5} · Harald Prüss² · Sarah Laurent^{1,5} · Gereon R. Fink^{1,3,5} · Christoph Heesen⁴ · Clemens Warnke^{1,5}

Received: 13 July 2024 / Revised: 13 August 2024 / Accepted: 16 August 2024 / Published online: 14 September 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Importance B-cell-targeting monoclonal antibodies have demonstrated safety and efficacy in multiple sclerosis or antiaquaporin-4 IgG positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. However, these therapies do not facilitate drug-free remission, which may become possible with cell-based therapies, including chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells. CAR T-cell therapy holds promise for addressing other antibody-mediated CNS disorders, e.g., MOG-associated disease or autoimmune encephalitis.

Objective To provide an overview of the current clinical knowledge on CAR T-cell therapy in central nervous system autoimmunity.

Evidence review We searched PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and clinicaltrials.gov using the terms 'CAR T cell' and 'multiple sclerosis/MS' or 'neuromyelitis optica/spectrum diseases/NMOSD' or 'MOG-associated disease/MOGAD 'or' autoimmune encephalitis' or 'neuroimmunology'.

Findings An ongoing phase I clinical trial has indicated the safety and benefits of anti-BCMA CAR T cells in 12 patients with AQP4-IgG seropositive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. Case reports involving two individuals with progressive multiple sclerosis and one patient with stiff-person syndrome demonstrated a manageable safety profile following treatment with anti-CD19 CAR T cells. Recruitment has commenced for two larger studies in MS, and a phase I open-label basket study is underway to evaluate BCMA-directed CAR T cells in various antibody-associated inflammatory diseases, including MOG-associated disease. Preclinical research on NMDA receptor antibody autoimmune encephalitis treated with chimeric autoantibody receptor T cells generated promising data.

Conclusions and relevance There is minimal evidence of the benefits of CAR T-cell therapy in individuals with central nervous system-directed autoimmunity. Nevertheless, multicenter controlled clinical trials with a manageable safety profile appear feasible and are warranted due to very promising case experiences.

MS

Multiple sclerosis

Abbreviations

CAR RA SLE	Chimeric antigen receptor Rheumatoid arthritis Systemic lupus erythematosus	MOGAD mAbs	 Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein anti- body disease Monoclonal antibodies
Agni Magni.ko	M. Konitsioti onitsioti@uk-koeln.de	³ Cognit and M Germa	tive Neuroscience, Institute of Neuroscience edicine (INM3), Research Center Jülich, Jülich, uny
clemen	ns.warnke@uk-koeln.de	⁴ Depart Hambu	ment of Neurology, University Medical Center urg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg, Germany
¹ Depar Str. 62	tment of Neurology, University of Cologne, Kerpener 2, 50937 Cologne, Germany	⁵ Facult Germa	y of Medicine, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, my
2 -			•

² Department of Neurology and Experimental Neurology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

NMOSD	Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disease
CNS	Central nervous system
BCMA	B-cell maturation antigen
ICANS	Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity
	syndrome
CRS	Cytokine release syndrome
AChR	Acetylcholine receptor
MuSk	Muscle-specific kinase receptor
IgG	Immunoglobulin G
NMDAR	N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor
AE	Autoimmune encephalitis
SPS	Stiff-person syndrome
OCBs	Oligoclonal bands
CAAR T	Chimeric autoantibody receptor T
IVIG	Intravenous immunoglobulins
NMDAR	N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor
LGI1	Leucine-rich glioma-inactivated protein 1
GABABR	Gamma-aminobutyric acid type B receptor
EDSS	Expanded disability status scale
BTKI	Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor
DMT	Disease-modifying therapy

Introduction

The role of the B-cell lineage in central nervous system autoimmunity

Autoreactive B cells play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of several autoimmune diseases [1]. Besides other antigen-presenting cells such as dendritic cells, B cells present self-peptides through the major histocompatibility complex, thereby activating autoreactive T cells [1, 2]. This collaboration of autoreactive T and B cells perpetuates chronic inflammation [3]. In addition, in autoimmune diseases where a pathogenic antibody defines the disease, B-cell-derived plasmablasts and plasma cells produce these autoantibodies [4]. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting the B-cell lineage, initially developed for B-cell malignancies, have emerged as a widely utilized treatment modality for various autoimmune disorders. mAbs directed toward the CD20 antigen, such as rituximab [5, 6], ocrelizumab [7], ofatumumab [8], or ublituximab [9], have demonstrated a favorable safety profile and efficacy in multiple sclerosis (MS). More recently, in aquaporin-4 (AQP4) positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD), the anti-CD19 antibody inebilizumab [10] as well as the IL6-receptor blocking agent satralizumab [11], which interferes with B-cell activation, have demonstrated efficacy. These studies in MS and NMSOD confirm the significant role of the B-cell lineage in central nervous system (CNS) autoimmunity. Although anti-CD20 mAbs have proven effective in reducing relapse rates and inflammatory activity in relapsing forms of MS, their impact on disability progression, as measured by the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), remains limited. Treatments for autoimmune encephalitis (AE) utilizing mAbs have become common practice [12], but have not received official approval. Similarly, for myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody disease (MOGAD), approved therapies are yet to be developed.

Limitations of B-cell-targeting monoclonal antibodies in treating CNS autoimmunity

mAbs targeting the B-cell lineage are designed as continuous therapies, without established de-escalation or cessation strategies making long-lasting, drug-free remission currently unrealistic. One explanation why continuous therapy is necessary is that-while peripheral circulating autoreactive B cells are effectively depleted by mAbs-their counterparts residing in remote lymphatic organs, such as the brain and the spinal cord, may evade the depletion [13]. TBX21-high memory B cells, which drive chronic inflammation, are primarily found in tissues close to the site of inflammation, exhibit a double-negative phenotype (CD19-negative, CD20negative) enabling them to escape depletion [14]. Similarly, the primary source of autoantibodies in autoimmune diseases-whether from B-cell-derived plasmablasts or long-lived plasma cells—remains uncertain [15]. The lack of CD20 or CD19 expression on a proportion of longlived plasma cells may allow them to evade current mAb therapies, thus potentially reducing their effectiveness. Additionally, the blood-brain barrier poses a significant physical barrier, complicating the effective biodistribution of mAbs within the CNS [16]. Other challenges, such as macrophage phagocytic defects, a scarcity of natural killer cells, and restricted vascular access, further hinder the ability of mAbs to induce antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis and cytotoxicity [17].

Clinicians have been trying, e.g., by autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (aHSCT), to develop strategies to reboot the immune system [18] to achieve prolonged disease remission and permanent discontinuation of immunosuppressive drugs. Although high rates of freedom of disease activity (clinical relapses and MRI activity) are observed in persons with MS following aHSCT, the evidence for progressive forms of MS remains less convincing [19, 20]. Besides, considerable possible risks and long-term toxicity of lymphoablative therapy, e.g., loss of ovarian function and infertility [21], treatment-related mortality [20, 22], and the occurrence of malignancies [23], may limit the broad use of aHSCT in CNS autoimmunity.



Fig.1 A CAR T-cell structure: first-generation CARs contain an intracellular signaling domain of CD3 zeta chain alone; second-generation CARs includes a single co-stimulatory domain (CD28 or 4-1BB); third-generation CARs combine two of the co-stimulatory domains; fourth generation CARs with transgene to express cytokines

CAR T cells and their use in non-CNS autoimmune disease and pre-clinical models

CAR T-cell therapy targeting B cells has emerged as a revolutionary approach in the treatment of various malignancies. Its potential application in autoimmune diseases is currently under intense investigation and has entered earlier-stage clinical trials. For detailed information on the structure, antigen targets, clinical applications, and safety of CAR T cells, please refer to textbox 1 and Fig. 1.

Starting with rheumatic diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [24, 25], pemphigus vulgaris [26], systemic sclerosis [25], refractory antisynthetase syndrome [27, 28] and inflammatory myositis [29], a growing number of case reports and case series have demonstrated that anti-CD19 CAR T cells improve clinical scores, can reduce autoantibodies, and may achieve long-lasting remissions (most extended relapse-free remission 29 months for SLE cases [29]), making CAR T cells prime candidates for a superior intervention compared to standard treatments. A recent prospective open-label, non-randomized phase 1b/2a study [30] using mRNA-transduced CAR T cells targeting BCMA provided the first reassuring data for the treatment of refactory myasthenia gravis, and thus of a neuroimmunological autoimmune disease outside the CNS. In the same indication, case reports with CAR T cells targeting BCMA [31], CD19 [32], or bispecific CAR T cells targeting both CD19

[48]; bispecific/dual-CAR T cells: CAR T cells recognizing two targets simultaneously on target cells; split signal CARs: The two split structures of the CAR-T cell are assembled and activated in the presence of a specific particular molecule. **B** CAR T cells—the application in clinical practice

and BCMA [33] have shown an association with decreases in all measures of disease severity, serologic remission, a favorable safety profile, and suppression of disease activity for up to 18 months [30, 34].

Unlike B-cell-targeting mAbs, CAR T cells are autonomous, self-amplifying effector cells and do not require natural killer cells, macrophages, or the complement system to perform [14]. Their ability to penetrate tissues, particularly the brain, and deplete otherwise inaccessible B cells that drive chronic, tissueresident inflammation may explain their rapid and longlasting therapeutic effects as reported so far in oncological [35] and SLE [29] patients.

Moreover, no long-term B-cell aplasia has been observed after CAR T-cell treatment. On the contrary, B cells generally reconstitute within 4–18 months after administration [29, 31, 33]. Analysis of the reconstituted B cells from peripheral blood has shown that preexisting memory B cells and plasmablasts disappear, indicating a switched naive B-cell phenotype and, therefore, a reboot of the B-cell compartment [31, 36]. Vaccination antibody titers were also found to be maintained in most reported cases with anti-CD19 CAR T-cell administration [24, 29, 32].

Regarding the potential application of CAR T cells for treating CNS autoimmunity, case reports document the successful use in CNS leukemia [37] and primary CNS lymphoma [38] with anti-CD19 CAR T cells. These



Fig. 2 Mechanism of action of three B-cell depleting therapies. *mAb* monoclonal antibody, *CAR T* chimeric antigen receptor T cell, *CAAR T* chimeric autoantibody receptor T cell, *MuSK* muscle-specific kinase

reports highlight the CAR T cells' favorable biodistribution properties to cross the blood-brain barrier and deeply deplete B cells in both the periphery and the remote CNS compartment. However, the substantially disrupted blood-brain barrier in CNS malignancies may facilitate the CAR T cells expansion, and it remains uncertain whether such expansion will be as effective in autoimmune diseases of the CNS.

While significant successes have been observed with anti-CD19 and anti-BCMA CAR T cells, these strategies result in broad B-cell depletion for several months. To avoid general immunosuppression, researchers are developing precisiontargeted approaches for autoreactive B-cell subpopulations expressing autoantibodies on their surface. These T cells are genetically modified with a chimeric receptor containing the target antigen of the autoantibodies as an extracellular binding domain, known as chimeric autoantibody receptor (CAAR) T cells [39] (Fig. 2). In an experimental NMDAR AE model [40] and a myasthenia gravis mouse model [41], NMDAR CAAR T cells and MuSK CAAR T cells were administered. The data from the autoimmune myasthenia gravis mouse model contributed to an investigational new drug application and phase 1 clinical study design for the treatment of MuSK autoantibody-positive myasthenia gravis [41].

Textbox 1: CAR T cells—structure, antigen targets, application in clinical practice, and safety

CAR T cells—structure

CAR T cells are autologous T cells and consist of four main components: (i) an extracellular target antigenbinding domain directed toward the desired target (mainly derived from an antibody fragment), (ii) a hinge region, (iii) a transmembrane domain, and (iv) one or several intracellular signaling domains, which activate CAR T cells after antigen binding to the extracellular domain [50] (Fig. 1A). This combination enables T-cell activation upon contact with the target cell antigen, bypassing antigen-presenting cells. The evolution of CAR from first to fourth generation includes the addition of co-stimulatory domains to assure proper expansion and activation of CAR T cells and target cell killing as well as co-expression of additional transgenes for cytokine secretion [48]. Recent advancements include the development of dual-targeting (bispecific) CAR T cells and split signal CARs, which become activated in the presence of a specific small molecule [51].

CAR T cells-antigen targets for autoimmune disease

CD19-directed CAR T-cell therapy is most widely used among various antigen targets. CD19 is a promising target as it is highly specific for the B-cell lineage and is expressed widely across different B-cell differentiation stages, including plasmablasts and a larger proportion of plasma cells (suppl. table 1) [52]. Another promising target is the B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA), primarily expressed on plasmablasts and plasma cells, including long-lived plasma cells. Bispecific CAR T cells that simultaneously target B cells through CD19 and plasmablasts through BCMA have recently been developed and applied to treat refractory myasthenia gravis [33].

CAR T cells—their application in clinical practice

Leukocytes are initially collected from peripheral blood through apheresis to manufacture CAR T cells. Subsequently, lymphocytes are transduced with a retro- or lentiviral vector encoding the CAR, followed by in vitro expansion. Alternative non-viral methods for gene transduction, e.g., with CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing, are also under investigation [53]. For optimal expansion and persistence of CAR T cells, lymphodepleting chemotherapy (typically cyclophosphamide and fludarabine) is administered before CAR T-cell infusion. The induced lymphopenia results in compensatory proliferation of CAR T cells and the formation of a new memory phenotype [54]. After lymphodepleting chemotherapy, CAR T cells are infused, where they further expand and eliminate the targeted B cells (Fig. 1B).

CAR T cells—safety

Conventional CAR T-cell engineering relies on DNA to express the CAR. The DNA is integrated permanently into the T-cell genome and replicates with each cell division [55]. This post-infusion proliferation of CAR T cells, sometimes referred to as a "living drug", can lead to unpredictable pharmacokinetics and characteristic adverse events, such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) [56]. In oncology, ICANS typically manifests a few days following the onset of CRS and is observed in 20-60% of patients treated with either anti-CD19 or anti-BCMA CAR T cells [57]. Early symptoms include dysgraphia, speech impairment, tremor, cognitive impairment, and fatigue, which require consistent monitoring. In more severe cases, epileptic seizures, increased intracranial pressure, and even coma can occur [14]. The pathophysiology of this condition is not well understood, but there is evidence that endothelial activation and disruption of the blood-brain barrier are involved [36]. CRS rates, including milder forms of the condition, range from around 40-90% across all therapeutic cell products, with 10-30% of patients developing severe (grade > 2) CRS, which can lead to life-threatening and lethal events [58]. Treatment of CRS and ICANS includes antipyretics, glucocorticoids, and IL-6 receptor blockade with tocilizumab [59]. Thus far, CAR T-cell-mediated toxicity was less frequently observed in autoimmune diseases compared to hematological malignancies. A likely reason is the substantially lower quantity of targeted B cells in autoimmune disorders compared to B-cell-derived malignancies [60]. To address the unregulated CAR T-cell proliferation, transient mRNA-based CAR T-cell therapy has recently emerged as an alternative approach and has already been tested in refractory MG cases [30], delivering CAR-encoding mRNA into T cells without permanently altering their genomes. This approach allows for the temporal expression of CARs without the risk of genotoxicity and long-term elimination of specific cell types. The mRNA CAR-activity is, however, only temporary, necessitating repeated administration (see supplementary Fig. 2). Furthermore, the impact of the CAR-encoding mRNA on the risk of CRS is less certain as the CRS is also influenced by various characteristics of the host and the target cells.

Aim and methods

Overall, considering the limited effects of approved mAbs on disease progression in MS discussed above, the putative requirement for life-long therapy currently without a de-escalation strategy despite cumulating risks, and the toxicity of rescue therapies such as aHSCT, it is warranted to explore newer cell-based therapies. Owing the promising findings of the use of CAR T cells in non-CNS autoimmune disease and pre-clinical models of CNS autoimmune disease, in this review, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of current research on CAR T-cell therapies for refractory, progressive or relapsing immune-mediated diseases of the CNS, including MS, NMOSD, MOGAD, and some subentities of AE. We will address the challenges and prospects of targeting compartmentalized immunity in the brain and spinal cord and discuss upcoming CAR T-cell clinical trial programs for these conditions.

The systematic review was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines. We searched PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, and PsycINFO for articles published from December 2016 to July 2024, using the search terms 'CAR T cell' and 'multiple sclerosis/MS' or 'neuromyelitis optica/spectrum diseases/NMOSD' or 'mog-associated disease/MOGAD ' or 'autoimmune encephalitis' or "neuroimmunology". Also, we screened clinicaltrials. gov for registered clinical trials using the same terms. We restricted our search to articles published in English. The search was done by one reviewer (AK), checked by a second reviewer (CW). We included pre-clinical and clinical studies, mechanistic studies, case reports, case series, and reviews on CAR T-cell therapy into our references. Articles cited in the studies or review articles were also considered.

Results

Fifty-four articles and twelve registered clinical trials were screened and assessed for eligibility. After exclusion of articles not closely related to the topic and after removing of duplicates (PRISMA flow diagram, suppl. Figure 1), 19 articles and 9 clinical trials were selected for inclusion. Among these, four articles focused on the use of CAR-T cells in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) or MS, three in NMOSD, and two in AE or an animal model of AE. Additionally, ten articles discussed the use of CAR T cells in neuroimmunology in general. We identified two ongoing clinical trials on NMOSD, four on MS, and three basket trials including patients with various autoimmune diseases, such as MS, MOGAD, and NMOSD. For details on the rationale for using CAR T cells in MS, NMOSD, MOGAD, and AE, please see textbox 2. A summary of registered clinical trials and case reports using different CAR T cells for treating neurological autoimmune diseases of the CNS is shown in Table 1, including the number of participants per study.

Text box 2 Rationale for CAR T-cell therapy in different immune-mediated diseases of the central nervous system

Why CAR T cells in MS?

Over the past decades, the armamentarium of diseasemodifying therapies (DMTs) in MS has substantially increased due to the conceptual progress recognizing the significant pathogenic role of not only T cells but also B cells in MS. Following first evidence for possible efficacy using rituximab in phase II clinical studies for relapsing and progressive forms of MS [5, 6] newer B-cell-depleting monoclonal antibodies directed toward CD20 [7, 9, 61] were approved as DMT and have shown convincing efficacy in reducing inflammatory disease activity on MRI and clinically (relapses) while displaying an acceptable safety profile. Despite these advances, effects on disability progression have been modest. A majority of persons with relapsing MS will develop a progressive form of MS, and a smaller proportion of individuals will primarily manifest with a progressive disease course [62]. Disability progression in MS can occur independently of acute clinical relapses and inflammatory MRI activity [63]. It has been proposed that progressive disease is linked to compartmentalized chronic smoldering neuroinflammation in the CNS that remains undetectable on the conventional MRI and may be inaccessible to therapy with mAbs [64]. Ectopic B-cell lymphoid follicles resembling tertiary lymphoid structures in the CNS may drive this smoldering compartmentalized neuroinflammation [65]. Such B-cell follicles may associate with continuous cytokine [66] and antibody secretion, possibly contributing to the detection of oligoclonal bands (OCBs) restricted to the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). In a study where 123 patients with secondary progressive MS were examined postmortem, the presence of these structures was associated with increased diffuse meningeal and subpial inflammation, which correlated with cortical demyelination [65]. Age at onset of disease, time to progression, time to wheelchair use, and age at death were significantly different in these cases compared to those without B-cell follicle-like structures. The hypothesis that mAbs are insufficient in targeting tissue-resident B cells is further substantiated by the observation that, despite sustained depletion of B cells in both the periphery and CSF, OCBs continue to persist in the CSF during therapy with mAbs, albeit at lower levels [67]. Optimal clinical management of MS may thus require addressing both acute and smoldering neuroinflammation to prevent disease progression and improve or at least stabilize longterm disability outcomes. This has led to starting clinical studies with novel B-cell-directed therapies such as CNSpenetrant inhibitors targeting Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTKI). There are six BTKI currently in phase II or III trials for treating MS, mainly focusing on relapsing, but also in progressive MS [68]. However, already the first compound failed to reach the primary endpoint in a phase III clinical study (NCT04338061). Other innovative alternatives, e.g., modified CD20 mAbs designed to reach the CNS compartment via receptor-mediated transcytosis, are in early phase clinical development (NCT05704361). CAR T cells, considering their ability to migrate into all compartments and achieve deeper B-cell depletion and lasting effects, are a promising novel therapeutic strategy currently being explored for MS.

Why CAR T cells in NMOSD?

NMOSD encompasses a group of rare, autoimmunemediated diseases of the CNS that primarily affect the optic nerves, spinal cord, and brainstem, and to a

reports using different CAR T cell	types for the treatment of	of autoimmune disease	s of the CNS				
Registered clinical trials and case n	eports using different C	AR T-cell types for the	treatment of neur	ological autoimmune o	liseases of the CNS		
Title	Participants	Indication	NCT Number	Location	Status	Target antigen	Primary endpoints
NMOSD Treatment of Relapsed and/ or Refractory AQP4-IgG Seropositive NMOSD by Tandem CAR T Cells Targeting CD19 and CD20, phase I study ^b	No information provided	AQP4-IgG seropositive NMOSD	NCT03605238	Beijing, Beijing, China	Withdrawn (recruitment failure)	CD19 and CD20	- Occurrence of AEs from baseline to 12 months post- infusion
Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of CAR-T Cells in the Treatment of R/R NMOSD, phase I, singlearm, open-label, single-center study ^b	Enrollment: $n = 9$	Relapsed and/ or Refractory NMOSD	NCT05828212	Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	Recruiting	CD19	 DLT from baseline to 28 days post- infusion AE and SAE from admission to end of follow-up, up to 2 years Maximum tolerable dose
SW	- - ;				:		
Study of KYV-101, an Autologous Fully Human Anti-CD19 CAR T-cell Therapy, in Subjects with Refractory Primary and Secondary Progressive MS (KYSA-7), phase II, open-label, randomized, multicenter study ^a	Estimated Enrollment: n = 120	Primary and secondary progressive MS	NCT06384976	Location not provided	Not yet recruiting	CD19	Efficacy of KYV-101 from baseline to 12 months post- infusion by rating disability on the EDSS scale
A Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, Efficacy, and Drug Levels of CC-97540 in Participants with Relapsing or Progressive Forms of MS, phase I, multicenter, single-arm study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: <i>n</i> = 98	Relapsing and progressive MS	NCT06220201	United States, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, United Kingdom	Recruiting	CD19 ^A	Up to week 104 post- infusion: - Number of par- ticipants with AEs, SAEs and AESIs - Number of partici- pants with laboratory test result abnormali- ties - Number of partici- pants with imaging abnormalities - Number of partici- pants with DLTs - Recommended Phase 2 dose based on the invidence of DUTe

Table 1 (a) Registered clinical trials and case reports using different CAR T-cell types for the treatment of autoimmune diseases of the CNS (b)Published results from clinical trials and case

🖄 Springer

Table 1 (continued)							
Registered clinical trials and case 1	reports using different CA	AR T-cell types for the	treatment of neuro	logical autoimmune d	liseases of the CNS		
Title	Participants	Indication	NCT Number	Location	Status	Target antigen	Primary endpoints
A Study of Anti-CD19 CAR T-cell Therapy in Subjects with Non-relapsing and Progressive Forms of MS, a phase I, open- Label, single Center Study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: $n = 12$	Progressive MS	NCT06138132	Palo Alto, California, United States	Recruiting	CD19	Frequency of DLT at each dose level up to 12 months post- infusion
A Study of KYV-101, a CD19 CAR T Cell Therapy, in Participants with Treatment Refractory Progressive Multiple Sclerosis, phase I, open-label, Single Center Study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: <i>n</i> = 10	Progressive MS	NCT06451159	University of California, San Francisco, United States	Recruiting	CD19	- The presence of CAR-T cells in CSF following their peak expansion in peripheral blood up to 48 weeks post- infusion - Incidence and severity of AEs and DLTs up to 48 weeks post- infusion
Basket trials							
A Study of C-CAR168 in the Treatment of Autoimmune Diseases Refractory to Standard Therapy, phase I, multicenter, open-label study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: $n = 30$	NMOSD RRMS Mediated Necrotizing Myopathy SLE	NCT06249438	Shanghai, Shanghai, China	Recruiting	CD20 and BCMA	 Incidence of AEs throughout the first 24 months post- infusion Recommended dose of C-CAR168 based on DLTs rates and overall safety profile
Safety and Efficacy of CT103A Cells for Relapsed/Refractory Antibody-associated Inflammatory Diseases of the Nervous System, early phase I, open-label study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: $n = 36$	MS, AQP4-IgG seropositive NMOSD, MOGAD, AE, CIDP, IIM, MG, POEMS Syndrome	NCT04561557	Wuhan, Hubei, China	Recruiting, first results published (see below)	BCMA	 DLT from baseline to 3 months post- infusion Incidence and severity of AEs up to 2 years post- infusion
Universal CAR-T Cells in Patients with Refractory Autoimmune Diseases of the Nervous System, early phase I, open-label, Single Center Study ^b	Estimated Enrollment: <i>n</i> = 25	MS, NMOSD, MG, CIDP	NCT06485232	Beijing, China	Not yet recruiting	CD19 and BCMA	 Incidence of DLTs the first 28 days after infusion Incidence of AEs and SAEs up to 12 months after infusion

Published results							
Title	Participants	Indication	Target antigen	Primary endpoints	Exploratory outcomes	Adverse events	Laboratory results
Clinical trials Anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy (CT103A) in relapsed or refrac- tory AQP4-IgG seropositive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: phase I trial interim results, CARTiNNS, 01/2023, from trial NCT04561557, ^b [46]	n = 12	AQP4-IgG seroposi- tive NMOSD	BCMA	 DLT after CT103A cells infusion up to 3 months post- infusion Incidence and sever- ity of AEs up to 2 years post-infusion 	 No relapse reported in 11 of 12 patients in 255 months. (92%) at 5.5 months. All corticosteroids and immunosuppressants were discontinued. Disabilities and quality-of-life outcomes improved in all patients 	Grade 1–2 CRS reported in all patients. Grade 3 or higher AEs occurred includ- ing neutropenia (n = 12), anemia (n = 5), and thrombo- cytopenia $(n = 3)$. Infections $(n = 7)$, including 3 with serious CMV infec- tions and 1 with pneumonia	 Serum AQP4-IgG for all participants decreased after infusion, and was negative by 12 weeks in 7/10 patients (70%), and by 6 months in 5/6 patients (83%). Serum BCMA levels reduced below the lower limit of detection within 1-month post-infusion and returned to baseline levels by 6 months. Significant decrease in total serum immunoglobin after infusion in all patients
Case studies CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy in two patients with MS, Hamburg, Ger- many, 01/2024, ^e [44]	2 = 7	SM	CD 19	1	 Pat. 1: EDSS score increased to 6.0 before returning to baseline (4.5) by day 29 and remaining stable. Walking distance increased from 400 m at baseline to 700 m at day 100 post-infusion. Pat. 2: EDSS remained stable. Other neurological other neurological assessments includ- ing timed 25-ft walk, 9-hole peg test, and 6-min walking distance matched pre- infusion scores in both patients 	Pat. 1: CRS grade 1, with facial and neck swelling and transient increase in transami- nases. Pat. 2: transient increase of transami- nases (CTCAE grade 3) which improved with ursodeoxycholic acid. No other adverse events occurred	 Pat. 1: OCBs decreased from 13 to 6 on day 14 after infusion and were sustained through day 64. Pat. 2: Number of OCBs and intrathecal immunoglobulin levels remained stable The residual B-cell population of patient 1 was depleted by day 2 post-infusion and has not reconstituted as of day 100. B-cell population of Pat. 2 was already depleted prior to CAR T-cell infusion. Reduced serum already depleted prior to CAR T-cell infusion. Reduced serum immunoglobulin G was observed in both patients at day 14 post- infusion

 Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)							
Published results							
Title	Participants	Indication	Target antigen	Primary endpoints	Exploratory outcomes	Adverse events	Laboratory results
Successful use of anti-CD19 CAR T cells in severe treat ment-refractory stiff-person syn- drome, Germany, 06/2024. ^e [47]	<i>n</i> = 1	SPS	CD19	1	 Reduced pain and leg stiffness in a 5-month follow-up. Walking speed increase over 100%. Daily walking distance improvement from < 50 m to > 6 km within 3 months cGABAergic medication (benzodiazepines) was reduced by 40%. No immunotherapy was administered post-CAR T cell infusion 	 - Grade 2 CRS with fever (maximum of 38.3 °C) and transient hypotension successfully treated with paracetamol, dexamethasone, and tocilizumab. - Sore throat and cervical lymph node swelling were observed on day + 9 after CAR T-cell infusion. - Transient increase of transaminases (spon- taneously reversible) 	 - Anti-GAD65 titers in serum decreased from 1:3.200 at baseline to 1:1.000 at day + 56 and to 1:320 by day + 144. - B-cell levels were low following previous immunotherapy and remained low
Results from Clinica cells + autoimmune er <i>MS</i> multiple sclerosii associated disease, <i>SI</i> <i>ICANS</i> immune effec idiopathic inflammatt limiting toxicity, <i>SAE</i> ^ NEX-T CAR T cells response [49] ^{a-e} Quality Rating Sch without randomization respected authorities;	ITrials.gov: CAR T ncephalitis: 1 result (d s, <i>RRMS</i> relapsing–re 25 stiff-person syndro tor cell-associated nel ry myopathy, <i>EDSS</i> serious adverse event ; a process designed t teme for Studies and n; prospective compa case reports.	cells + multiple sclero luplicate) emitting MS, <i>MG</i> my ome, <i>CAR</i> chimeric ant urotoxicity syndrome, expanded disability at is, <i>AESIs</i> adverse event is, <i>AESIs</i> adverse event to shorten manufacturi to shorten manufacturi other Evidence: ^a Proj arative cohort trial, ^o C	sis: 7 results, CAR T c asthenia gravis, <i>NMOSD</i> igen receptor, <i>BCMA</i> B-c <i>CSF</i> cerebrospinal fluid, atus scale, <i>OCB</i> oligoclo is of special interest ing time and improve the ing time and improve the perly powered and condu	cells + NMOSD = 5 results \cdot neuromyelitis optica spec cell maturation antigen, AQ SLE systemic lupus eryth anal bands, $CTCAE$ comm- potency and phenotypic at potency and phenotypic at tected randomized clinical t sepective cohort study, ⁴ Cc	(3 duplicates), CAR T itrum disorders, MOGAD P4 Aquaporin-4, IgG imm ematosus, CIPD chronic i on terminology criteria fc in terminology criteria fc iributes of the CAR T-cel iributes of the CAR T-cel irial; systematic review wi se series with or withou	cells+MOG=1 result myelin oligodendrocyt nunoglobulin G, <i>CRS</i> cy nflammatory demyelina ir adverse events, <i>AE</i> ad drug product to enhanc th meta-analysis, ^b Well- intervention; cross-sect	(duplicate), and CAR T e glycoprotein antibody- tokine release syndrome, img polyneuropathy, <i>IIM</i> iverse events, <i>DLT</i> dose- e depth and durability of designed controlled trial donal study, ^e Opinion of

6535

lesser extend supratentorial brain parenchyma. In most NMOSD patients, AQP4-IgG are detected [69], which play a crucial role in the pathogenesis and serve as a key diagnostic marker. Disability progression in individuals with NMOSD is primarily driven by exacerbations with acute new symptoms from which patients often recover less effectively compared to MS patients. NMOSD patients are at high risk of experiencing additional attacks within the first year after disease onset, making early therapy initiation crucial for the disease course [70]. Currently, four immunotherapies are approved for AOP4-IgG-positive NMOSD: eculizumab (complement protein C5 inhibitor) [71], inebilizumab (anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody) [10], ravulizumab (complement protein C5 inhibitor) [72], and satralizumab (monoclonal anti-IL-6 receptor antibody) [73]. Treatment recommendations for AQP4-IgG-negative NMOSD rely on expert opinions and comprise classical immunosuppressive therapies, such as azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, or the mAbs rituximab [74] and tocilizumab [75]. Recent studies have shown that discontinuation of immunosuppressive therapies in AQP4-IgG-positive or -negative NMOSD patients, even if previously stable, is associated with an increased risk of attacks in the following 12 months [76] Thus, treatment discontinuation is currently not recommended. Besides, a subset of patients does not respond sufficiently to current therapies and may suffer from recurrence, severe sequelae, and death, making the "living drug" concept of CAR T-cell therapy promising particularly for preventing severe relapses in patients with NMOSD.

Why CAR T cells in MOGAD?

MOGAD is commonly linked with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, optic neuritis, or transverse myelitis, and less frequently with cerebral cortical encephalitis, brainstem presentations, or cerebellar presentations. The disease can manifest as either a monophasic or relapsing course. Utilizing MOG-IgG cell-based assays is crucial for achieving diagnostic accuracy in MOGAD cases. Disease flares in MOGAD are generally treated with highdose corticosteroids or a combination of intravenous corticosteroids and plasma exchange/immune adsorption in patients with severe attacks. In relapsing MOGAD, periodic infusions of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg), oral corticosteroids (OC), azathioprine, or mycophenolate mofetil may be all considered for maintenance treatment [77]. In contrast to other antibody-mediated conditions, including AQP4-positive NMOSD, the efficacy of rituximab in MOGAD appears to be only partial, around one-third of patients experience relapses despite complete B-cell depletion [78]. In a recent meta-analysis,

the combined results for relapse rate and adverse events, and annualized relapse rate and adverse events showed that IVIG and OC were the most effective and tolerable therapies [77]. Small case series suggest that tocilizumab might be effective in patients with MOGAD refractory to other immunosuppressive treatments [79]. Currently, two compounds are in clinical studies to treat MOGAD, namely the IL6-receptor blocker satralizumab (NCT05271409), and the neonatal Fc receptor blocking agent rozanolixizumab (NCT05063162). All current therapies are off-label with limited efficacy and safety data for this indication. Despite being among the most effective treatments, the long-term use of IVIGs and OC is challenging due to high costs, frequent hospital visits, and supply shortages for IVIG, and the risk of severe side effects for OC. Therefore, there is a significant need for alternative long-term therapeutic strategies.

Why CAR T cells in AE?

Autoimmune encephalitis (AE) encompasses a diverse group of inflammatory autoimmune disorders affecting the brain parenchyma with potential involvement of the meninges and spinal cord [80]. Suggested mechanisms that may trigger AE include tumors (paraneoplastic) and infections (parainfectious). Only AE with defined autoantibodies targeting surface proteins will be discussed here [4]. Among these, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) AE and leucine-rich glioma-inactivated protein 1 (LGI1) AE are the most prevalent, followed by gamma-aminobutyric acid type B receptor (GABABR) AE [80]. The localized accumulation of CD20 + B cells and CD138 + plasma cells observed in brain tissue biopsies from individuals with NMDAR AE suggests that autoantibodies may originate from activated immune cells infiltrating the CNS [81]. During the acute phase of AE, high-dose steroids represent the preferred firstline immunotherapy, followed by a combination of steroids and intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) and plasma exchange/immune adsorption. In cases where pulsed steroid regimes prove ineffective, transitioning to second-line immunotherapy is warranted. In NMDAR AE cases, possible benefits of rituximab, cyclophosphamide, bortezomib (proteasome inhibitor), and tocilizumab were claimed in several case studies [80, 82]. Ocrelizumab, ofatumumab, and daratumumab (IgG1 monoclonal antibody toward CD38) [83–85] have only been studied in individual cases or small series. For further AE such as LGI1 or CASPR2 AE, rituximab, ofatumumab, and tocilizumab were applied off-label [80]. The use of daratumumab in CASPR2 AE was associated with serious adverse reactions, including death [83, 84]. Additionally, daratumumab and tocilizumab have shown therapeutic effects in individual case studies on serum and cerebrospinal fluid-negative and no-antibodyspecified AE, respectively [80]. A phase III randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled multicenter basket study, currently underway (NCT05503264), aims to assess the efficacy, safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of satralizumab in patients with NMDAR and LGI1 AE. Inebilizumab (NCT04372615) and Bortezomib (NCT03993262) are both being evaluated for AE in phase IIb, double-blind, randomized-controlled trials that are currently recruiting. At present, there is no approved therapy for AE. Although the aforementioned medications have clinical utility, they are all off-label treatments with insufficiently proven benefits. Some patients may experience progressive disease if not treated early and aggressively, particularly those with LGI1 AE, and other may necessitate extended stays in intensive care units, often required in NMDAR AE. CAR T-cell therapy emerges as a highly promising modality for AE, potentially depleting B cells within the CNS compartment that catalyze the intrathecal synthesis of pathogenic antibodies.

First experience in applying CAR T cells for treatment of multiple sclerosis

Preclinical data on anti-CD19 CAR T cells in MS are inconsistent. One study explored their use in a spontaneous opticospinal model of EAE that previously showed lack of disease protection with anti-CD20 mAb therapy. The model associates with meningeal B-cell aggregates considered to drive secondary disease progression in human MS. As expected, after the application of anti-CD19 CAR T cells, effective B-cell depletion and sustained reduction of meningeal aggregates were shown. However, in this model, clinical scores worsened. This was explained by the authors by a possible immunomodulatory function of the meningeal aggregates in this specific animal model [42]. Conversely and in response to this publication, another study using a B-cell-dependent induced EAE model found that anti-CD19 CAR T cells ameliorated EAE and effectively depleted B cells in peripheral tissues and the CNS [43]. This study is promising, but the first study exposes that a varying immunopathology in different models of EAE can lead to conflicting results, not allowing firm conclusions with regards to the clinical application in persons with MS.

Nevertheless, clinician scientists from the University Medical Center Hamburg, Germany, have recently reported the first clinical application of CD19 CAR T cells in two patients with progressive forms of MS [44]. The treatment exhibited an acceptable safety profile with stable clinical MS symptoms observed over a 100-day follow-up period. Both patients had previously received ocrelizumab before initiating CAR T-cell therapy. Notably, CAR T-cell expansion in the CSF was observed in both cases without clinical signs of early neurotoxicity. This finding is particularly relevant as CAR T-cell expansion in the CSF has previously been reported only in the context of ICANS in patients with lymphoma [45]. In one case, intrathecal antibody production in the CSF decreased significantly, with CSF-restricted oligoclonal bands (OCBs) reducing from 13 to 6 by day 14 post-infusion, highlighting CAR T-cell therapy's potential to penetrate immune compartments inaccessible to systemic administration of B-celldepleting mAbs. Conversely, the number of OCBs and intrathecal immunoglobulin levels in the other patient remained unchanged on day 14 of therapy. An ongoing phase 2 clinical trial aims to evaluate anti-CD19 CAR T cells in 120 patients with progressive MS (NCT06384976) and is expected to provide further insights into efficacy and safety. Additionally, a phase I study aims to include 98 individuals with relapsing or progressive MS (NCT06220201, Table 1).

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD)

An ongoing open-label phase I clinical trial, assessing the safety of BCMA CAR T-cell therapy in 12 patients with refractory AQP4-IgG positive NMOSD, reported first results in January 2023 [46]. CAR T-cell therapy demonstrated a manageable safety profile and promising therapeutic potential over a median follow-up of 5.5 months. All patients exhibited a decrease in the EDSS score, with four patients improving from being restricted to a wheelchair or bed to walking with or without assistance. Additionally, nine patients showed improvement in bowel and bladder function. Eleven patients experienced no relapses, and, overall, reported improvements in disability and quality-of-life measures. AQP4 antibodies in sera of 11 individuals declined in titer levels. However, all patients experienced grade 1-2 CRS and grade 3 or higher adverse events, such as neutropenia, anemia, and thrombocytopenia. No neurologic toxic effects, ICANS, or dose-limiting toxicity were observed. Post-infusion anti-drug antibodies were reported in three patients, with unclear relevance for potential re-exposure. A notable limitation of the study was the unavailability of newly approved therapies (eculizumab/ravulizumab, satralizumab, and inebilizumab) in China at the trial's commencement, i.e., no participants had received these treatments (Table 1).

MOG antibody-associated disease (MOGAD)

No registered therapies for MOGAD are available so far, and CAR T cells have not yet been investigated. The ongoing early phase I, open-label basket study evaluating the safety and efficacy of BCMA-directed CAR T cells for antibody-associated inflammatory diseases of the CNS, including MOGAD, may provide first insights into the potential role of CAR T cells in treating this condition (Table 1).

Autoimmune encephalitis

The efficacy and safety of CAR T-cell therapy targeting universal markers in AE in humans have not yet been extensively documented. A recently published case study described the administration of CAR T cells to a patient with treatment-refractory stiff-person syndrome (SPS) with anti-GAD65 (glutamic acid decarboxylase) antibodies in both CSF and serum, which specifically target GABAergic inhibitory pathways. The patient showed remarkable improvement in leg stiffness, daily walking distance, walking speed, pain, and fatigue over a 5-month follow-up period. Additionally, GABAergic medication was reduced by 40%, and no further immunotherapy was required following CAR T-cell infusion [47].

Reincke et al. [40] developed NMDAR-specific chimeric autoantibody receptor T cells (NMDAR CAAR T) and demonstrated through in vitro experiments that NMDAR CAAR T cells were activated and secreted interferon-gamma and granzyme B, leading to specific lysis of target cells even in the presence of high titers of NMDAR autoantibodies. In a passive transfer mouse model with immunodeficient mice lacking natural killer cells and lymphocytes, CAAR T-cell treatment reduced NMDAR autoantibody-producing target cells and eliminated autoantibodies in serum and in the brain without evidence of toxicity or adverse effects. The in vivo experiment only assessed the efficacy and off-target effects of CAAR T within 20 days and did not investigate the duration of its effect [40], nevertheless providing the rationale for initiation of a phase I clinical trial and offering valuable insights for designing CAAR T cells also for other forms of AE and further autoantibody-mediated diseases.

Current challenges of CAR T-cell therapy in neuroimmunological disorders of the CNS

The application of CAR T-cell therapy in patients with autoimmune diseases presents several challenges. Individuals often have a history of glucocorticoid and other immunosuppressive treatments that may negatively impact T-cell quantity and quality, potentially complicating the retrieval of an adequate number of functional T cells. Nevertheless, preliminary data from case series including patients with rheumatic diseases [24–28] suggest that this may not be a significant issue in CNS-directed autoimmunity.

Regarding availability, CAR T cells have the advantage of persisting and self-amplifying in the body, providing

sustained effects, whereas mAbs require multiple administrations due to their limited half-life. While lower pricing mAbs such as rituximab are widely available, CAR T cells can only be applied in expert centers. Besides, considering that to date it takes several weeks to produce the individualized cell therapy, the treatment may not be an option for rapidly progressing cases unless bridging therapy is available. To address this limiting factor, local on site-production is needed, and the future development of allogeneic "off-the-shelf" and "universal CAR T cells" is discussed [48].

With regards to a possible target population for the use of CAR T cells in clinical studies, an unmet need can be clearly defined for persons with MS who exhibit (rapid) EDSS progression despite the use of approved highefficacy disease-modifying therapy. Therefore, the first cases published, most phase I studies (NCT06138132, NCT06451159), and the ongoing phase 2 clinical trial (NCT06384976) focus on persons with progressive forms of MS. However, while in NMOSD, MOGAD, and AE, disease-defining autoantibodies can be monitored, an ideal surrogate biomarker to monitor treatment response is lacking. Monitoring OCBs and conducting CSF analysis-along with MRI and serum neurofilamentsappear important within pivotal clinical trials, especially in progressive forms where MRI-detectable inflammatory activity is scarce. However, to date, it remains unclear if OCB reduction or reversion to negativity associates with clinical response, and the necessity for repeated CSF testing makes this approach challenging.

Another important aspect is that CAR T-cell therapy in MS has so far only been tested in two individuals with progressive MS without high inflammatory activity. In general, persons with progressive forms of MS pretreated, e.g., with CD20-directed mAbs demonstrate minimal signs of inflammation (no relapses, minimalto-no MRI inflammatory activity). Added effects on disease progression may be small and readouts, such as the EDSS score, insensitive in capturing relevant changes during the observational period of phase II/III clinical trials. Furthermore, symptoms and further progression may result from permanent organ damage, which limits symptom reversibility and increases the risk of study failure even after successful "reprogramming" of initially causal autoimmunity following the CAR T-cell treatment. Therefore, earlier application of CAR T cells for treating of autoimmune CNS diseases may be crucial to minimize the risk of permanent organ damage. However, the availability of approved and effective immunotherapies complicates the early use of CAR T cells, feasible as experimental therapy only after several registered treatments have failed, particularly in MS and AQP4-positive NMOSD.

The safety profile of CAR T-cell treatment for highly inflammatory, CNS-targeted autoimmune disorders like relapsing MS is still unclear while it is well established for several effective mAbs approved for MS or AQP4-positive NMOSD. Therefore, randomized-controlled studies may first target, e.g., persons with relapsing forms of MS with ongoing inflammatory disease activity (relapses and/or MRI activity) despite anti-CD20 therapy. Follow-up of this patient subpopulation after a single course of CAR T-cell therapy will then be needed to better understand if prolonged remissions with acceptable long-term risks are achievable. If this could be shown, a broader indication in early, also treatment-naive inflammatory forms of MS would be a next possible step. Overall, strong patient involvement appears advisable when designing such clinical studies, particularly in inflammatory active early MS where patients may benefit most. Even though approved mAbs may effectively control inflammatory disease activity, a significant proportion of MS patients is likely to opt for taking considerable risks if a new therapy offers the hope for a cure or at least long-term treatment-free absence of MS-related disease activity and progression.

Conclusion

CAR T-cell therapy holds promise as a novel treatment avenue for refractory and relapsing autoimmune CNS diseases where discontinuation of treatment is not recommended. The "living drug" concept underlying CAR T-cell therapy offers the potential for preventing severe relapses. Its migratory capability within the CNS compartment allows for more effective depletion of B cells responsible for the intrathecal synthesis of pathogenic autoantibodies. Currently, the data on the application in individuals with CNS-directed autoimmunity are scarce, and class 1 evidence for efficacy is lacking. However, the available evidence suggests that CAR T-cell therapy is feasible, well-tolerated, and comes with the promise of longer treatment-free remissions and a potential reboot of the B-cell compartment. Further multicenter clinical trials with larger sample sizes are warranted to assess its clinical efficacy, safety, long-term effects, the optimal design, including lymphoablative therapy, dosing, technical demands, and costs. Additionally, identifying the optimal targets for CAR T cells in autoimmune CNS diseases with multiple antigens remains a challenge. Given that B-cell-directed mAbs have demonstrated efficacy in several autoimmune CNS diseases, investigator-initiated and industry-funded basket studies appear to be a reasonable approach to generating higher quality data for treatmentrefractory MS or AQP4-positive NMOSD, and for individuals with MOGAD and AE who choose to participate in a study rather than receiving off-label therapy. The prospect of antibody-specific cell depletion with CAAR T cells without associated extensive B-cell depletion raises hope for even more promising therapies.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-024-12642-4.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest C.W. has received institutional honoraria or grant support from Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme, Alexion, Janssen, Merck, Biogen, Hexal, and Roche. A.M.K. has received a study grant from Novartis.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Bonasia CG, Abdulahad WH, Rutgers A, Heeringa P, Bos NA (2021) B cell activation and escape of tolerance checkpoints: recent insights from studying autoreactive B cells. Cells 10(5):1190. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10051190
- Zamvil SS, Hauser SL (2021) Antigen presentation by B cells in multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 384(4):378–381. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/nejmcibr2032177
- Shah K, Leandro M, Cragg M et al (2024) Disrupting B and T-cell collaboration in autoimmune disease: T-cell engagers versus CAR T-cell therapy? Clin Exp Immunol. https://doi.org/10.1093/cei/ uxae031
- Prüss H (2021) Autoantibodies in neurological disease. Nat Rev Immunol 21(12):798–813. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41577-021-00543-w
- Hauser SL, Waubant E, Arnold DL et al (2008) B-cell depletion with rituximab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 358(7):676–688. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa0706383
- Hawker K, O'Connor P, Freedman MS et al (2009) Rituximab in patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis: results of a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled multicenter trial. Ann Neurol 66(4):460–471. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21867
- Montalban X, Hauser SL, Kappos L et al (2017) Ocrelizumab versus placebo in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 376(3):209–220. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1606468
- Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Cohen JA et al (2020) Ofatumumab versus teriflunomide in multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 383(6):546– 557. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1917246
- Steinman L, Fox E, Hartung HP et al (2022) Ublituximab versus teriflunomide in relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 387(8):704–714. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2201904

- Cree BAC, Bennett JL, Kim HJ et al (2019) Inebilizumab for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (N-MOmentum): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled phase 2/3 trial. Lancet 394(10206):1352–1363. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0140-6736(19)31817-3
- Yamamura T, Kleiter I, Fujihara K et al (2019) Trial of satralizumab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. N Engl J Med 381(22):2114–2124. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1901747
- 12. Titulaer MJ, McCracken L, Gabilondo I et al (2013) Treatment and prognostic factors for long-term outcome in patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: an observational cohort study. Lancet Neurol 12(2):157–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(12)70310-1
- Thurlings RM, Teng O, Vos K et al (2010) Clinical response, pharmacokinetics, development of human anti-chimaeric antibodies, and synovial tissue response to rituximab treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 69(2):409. https://doi. org/10.1136/ard.2009.109041
- Haghikia A, Schett G, Mougiakakos D (2024) B cell-targeting chimeric antigen receptor T cells as an emerging therapy in neuroimmunological diseases. Lancet Neurol 23(6):615–624. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(24)00140-6
- Zografou C, Vakrakou AG, Stathopoulos P (2021) Short- and long-lived autoantibody-secreting cells in autoimmune neurological disorders. Front Immunol 12:686466. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2021.686466
- Kouhi A, Pachipulusu V, Kapenstein T, Hu P, Epstein AL, Khawli LA (2021) Brain disposition of antibody-based therapeutics: dogma, approaches and perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 22(12):6442. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126442
- Gong Q, Ou Q, Ye S et al (2005) Importance of cellular microenvironment and circulatory dynamics in B cell immunotherapy. J Immunol 174(2):817–826. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol. 174.2.817
- Snowden JA (2016) Rebooting autoimmunity with autologous HSCT. Blood 127(1):8–10. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2015-11-678607
- Bayas A, Berthele A, Blank N et al (2023) Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis: a position paper and registry outline. Ther Adv Neurol Disord 16:17562864231180730. https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286423 1180730
- Burt RK, Han X, Quigley K, Helenowski IB, Balabanov R (2022) Real-world application of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in 507 patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 269(5):2513–2526. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-021-10820-2
- Lambertini M, Mastro LD, Pescio MC et al (2016) Cancer and fertility preservation: international recommendations from an expert meeting. BMC Med 14(1):1. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12916-015-0545-7
- Muraro PA, Pasquini M, Atkins HL et al (2017) Long-term outcomes after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol 74(4):459. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.5867
- Danylesko I, Shimoni A (2018) Second malignancies after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Curr Treat Options Oncol 19(2):9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11864-018-0528-y
- Mougiakakos D, Krönke G, Völkl S et al (2021) CD19-targeted CAR T cells in refractory systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 385(6):567–569. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2107725
- Bergmann C, Müller F, Distler JHW et al (2023) Treatment of a patient with severe systemic sclerosis (SSc) using CD19-targeted CAR T cells. Ann Rheum Dis 82(8):1117–1120. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/ard-2023-223952

- Lee J, Lundgren DK, Mao X et al (2020) Antigen-specific B-cell depletion for precision therapy of mucosal pemphigus vulgaris. J Clin Investig 130(12):6317–6324. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci13 8416
- 27. Müller F, Boeltz S, Knitza J et al (2023) CD19-targeted CAR T cells in refractory antisynthetase syndrome. Lancet 401(10379):815–818. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(23) 00023-5
- Pecher AC, Hensen L, Klein R et al (2023) CD19-targeting CAR T cells for myositis and interstitial lung disease associated with antisynthetase syndrome. JAMA 329(24):2154–2162. https://doi. org/10.1001/jama.2023.8753
- Müller F, Taubmann J, Bucci L et al (2024) CD19 CAR T-cell therapy in autoimmune disease: a case series with follow-up. N Engl J Med 390(8):687–700. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2308 917
- 30. Granit V, Benatar M, Kurtoglu M et al (2023) Safety and clinical activity of autologous RNA chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy in myasthenia gravis (MG-001): a prospective, multicentre, open-label, non-randomised phase 1b/2a study. Lancet Neurol 22(7):578–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(23)00194-1
- Tian DS, Qin C, Dong MH et al (2024) B cell lineage reconstitution underlies CAR-T cell therapeutic efficacy in patients with refractory myasthenia gravis. EMBO Mol Med 16(4):966–987. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44321-024-00043-z
- Haghikia A, Hegelmaier T, Wolleschak D et al (2023) Anti-CD19 CAR T cells for refractory myasthenia gravis. Lancet Neurol 22(12):1104–1105. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(23) 00375-7
- Zhang Y, Liu D, Zhang Z et al (2024) Bispecific BCMA/CD19 targeted CAR-T cell therapy forces sustained disappearance of symptoms and anti-acetylcholine receptor antibodies in refractory myasthenia gravis: a case report. J Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-024-12367-4
- Chahin N, Sahagian G, Feinberg MH et al (2024) Twelve-month follow-up of patients with generalized myasthenia gravis receiving BCMA-directed mRNA cell therapy. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10. 1101/2024.01.03.24300770
- Cappell KM, Kochenderfer JN (2023) Long-term outcomes following CAR T cell therapy: what we know so far. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 20(6):359–371. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41571-023-00754-1
- Schett G, Mackensen A, Mougiakakos D (2023) CAR T-cell therapy in autoimmune diseases. Lancet 402(10416):2034–2044. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(23)01126-1
- Htun KT, Gong Q, Ma L et al (2021) Successful treatment of refractory and relapsed CNS acute lymphoblastic leukemia with CD-19 CAR-T immunotherapy: a case report. Front Oncol 11:699946. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.699946
- Choquet S, Soussain C, Azar N et al (2024) CAR T-cell therapy induces a high rate of prolonged remission in relapsed primary CNS lymphoma: real-life results of the LOC network. Am J Hematol. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.27316
- Ellebrecht CT, Bhoj VG, Nace A et al (2016) Reengineering chimeric antigen receptor T cells for targeted therapy of autoimmune disease. Science 353(6295):179–184. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aaf6756
- Reincke SM, von Wardenburg N, Homeyer MA et al (2023) Chimeric autoantibody receptor T cells deplete NMDA receptorspecific B cells. Cell 186(23):5084-5097.e18. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2023.10.001
- Oh S, Mao X, Manfredo-Vieira S et al (2023) Precision targeting of autoantigen-specific B cells in muscle-specific tyrosine kinase myasthenia gravis with chimeric autoantibody receptor T cells. Nat Biotechnol 41(9):1229–1238. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41587-022-01637-z

- 42. Mitsdörffer M, Liberto GD, Dötsch S et al (2021) Formation and immunomodulatory function of meningeal B-cell aggregates in progressive CNS autoimmunity. Brain 144(6):awab093. https:// doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab093
- Gupta S, Simic M, Sagan SA et al (2023) CAR-T cell-mediated B-cell depletion in central nervous system autoimmunity. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 10(2):e200080. https://doi.org/10. 1212/nxi.000000000200080
- Fischbach F, Richter J, Pfeffer LK et al (2024) CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in two patients with multiple sclerosis. Med. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medj.2024.03.002
- Berger SC, Fehse B, Akyüz N et al (2022) Molecular monitoring of T-cell kinetics and migration in severe neurotoxicity after real-world CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. Haematologica 108(2):444–456. https://doi.org/10.3324/haema tol.2022.281110
- 46. Qin C, Tian DS, Zhou LQ et al (2023) Anti-BCMA CAR T-cell therapy CT103A in relapsed or refractory AQP4-IgG seropositive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: phase 1 trial interim results. Signal Transduct Target Ther 8(1):5. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41392-022-01278-3
- Faissner S, Motte J, Sgodzai M et al (2024) Successful use of anti-CD19 CAR T cells in severe treatment-refractory stiff-person syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci 121(26):e2403227121. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.2403227121
- Zhao J, Lin Q, Song Y, Liu D (2018) Universal CARs, universal T cells, and universal CAR T cells. J Hematol Oncol 11(1):132. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0677-2
- Verma M, Obergfell K, Topp S, Panier V, Wu J (2023) The nextgeneration CAR-T therapy landscape. Nat Rev Drug Discov 22(10):776–777. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-023-00140-7
- Dotti G, Gottschalk S, Savoldo B, Brenner MK (2014) Design and development of therapies using chimeric antigen receptorexpressing T cells. Immunol Rev 257(1):107–126. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/imr.12131
- Tahmasebi S, Elahi R, Esmaeilzadeh A (2019) Solid tumors challenges and new insights of CAR T cell engineering. Stem Cell Rev Rep 15(5):619–636. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12015-019-09901-7
- Mei HE, Wirries I, Frölich D et al (2015) A unique population of IgG-expressing plasma cells lacking CD19 is enriched in human bone marrow. Blood 125(11):1739–1748. https://doi.org/ 10.1182/blood-2014-02-555169
- Dimitri A, Herbst F, Fraietta JA (2022) Engineering the nextgeneration of CAR T cells with CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing. Mol Cancer 21(1):78. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-022-01559-z
- Neelapu SS (2019) CAR-T efficacy: is conditioning the key? Blood 133(17):1799–1800. https://doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2019-03-900928
- 55. Amini L, Silbert SK, Maude SL et al (2022) Preparing for CAR T cell therapy: patient selection, bridging therapies and lymphodepletion. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 19(5):342–355. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41571-022-00607-3
- Brudno JN, Kochenderfer JN (2019) Recent advances in CAR T-cell toxicity: mechanisms, manifestations and management. Blood Rev 34:45–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2018.11.002
- 57. Cacheux CL, Couturier A, Sortais C et al (2024) Features and outcomes of patients admitted to the ICU for chimeric antigen receptor T cell-related toxicity: a French multicentre cohort. Ann Intensiv Care 14(1):20. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13613-024-01247-9
- Bellal M, Malherbe J, Damaj G, Cheyron DD (2024) Toxicities, intensive care management, and outcome of chimeric antigen receptor T cells in adults: an update. Crit Care 28(1):69. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13054-024-04851-0

- Chohan KL, Siegler EL, Kenderian SS (2023) CAR-T cell therapy: the efficacy and toxicity balance. Curr Hematol Malig Rep 18(2):9–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11899-023-00687-7
- 60. Grant SJ, Grimshaw AA, Silberstein J et al (2022) Clinical presentation, risk factors, and outcomes of immune effector cellassociated neurotoxicity syndrome following chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy: a systematic review. Transplant Cell Ther 28(6):294–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtct.2022.03.006
- 61. Kappos L, Wolinsky JS, Giovannoni G et al (2020) Contribution of relapse-independent progression vs relapse-associated worsening to overall confirmed disability accumulation in typical relapsing multiple sclerosis in a pooled analysis of 2 randomized clinical trials. JAMA Neurol 77(9):1132–1140. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamaneurol.2020.1568
- Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA et al (2014) Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. Neurology 83(3):278–286. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.00000000000560
- Tur C, Carbonell-Mirabent P, Cobo-Calvo Á et al (2023) Association of early progression independent of relapse activity with long-term disability after a first demyelinating event in multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol 80(2):151–160. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamaneurol.2022.4655
- Attfield KE, Jensen LT, Kaufmann M, Friese MA, Fugger L (2022) The immunology of multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Immunol 22(12):734–750. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00718-z
- Howell OW, Reeves CA, Nicholas R et al (2011) Meningeal inflammation is widespread and linked to cortical pathology in multiple sclerosis. Brain 134(9):2755–2771. https://doi.org/10. 1093/brain/awr182
- 66. Li R, Rezk A, Miyazaki Y et al (2015) Proinflammatory GM-CSF-producing B cells in multiple sclerosis and B cell depletion therapy. Sci Transl Med. 7(310):310ra166. https://doi.org/10. 1126/scitranslmed.aab4176
- 67. Süße M, Konen FF, Schwenkenbecher P et al (2022) Decreased intrathecal concentrations of free light chains kappa in multiple sclerosis patients taking very high effective disease-modifying treatment. Diagnostics 12(3):720. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagn ostics12030720
- Airas L, Bermel RA, Chitnis T et al (2024) A review of Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors in multiple sclerosis. Ther Adv Neurol Disord 17:17562864241233040. https://doi.org/10.1177/17562 864241233041
- Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL et al (2015) International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. Neurology 85(2):177–189. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl. 000000000001729
- Kümpfel T, Giglhuber K, Aktas O et al (2024) Update on the diagnosis and treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) – revised recommendations of the Neuromyelitis Optica Study Group (NEMOS): part II—Attack therapy and longterm management. J Neurol 271(1):141–176. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00415-023-11910-z
- Pittock SJ, Fujihara K, Palace J et al (2021) Eculizumab monotherapy for NMOSD: data from PREVENT and its open-label extension. Mult Scler J 28(3):480–486. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 13524585211038291
- Pittock SJ, Barnett M, Bennett JL et al (2023) Ravulizumab in aquaporin-4-positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. Ann Neurol 93(6):1053–1068. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26626
- 73. Yamamura T, Weinshenker B, Yeaman MR et al (2022) Long-term safety of satralizumab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) from SAkuraSky and SAkuraStar. Mult Scler Relat Disord 66:104025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2022.104025
- 74. Damato V, Evoli A, Iorio R (2016) Efficacy and safety of rituximab therapy in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Neurol 73(11):1342. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1637

- 75. Zhang C, Zhang M, Qiu W et al (2020) Safety and efficacy of tocilizumab versus azathioprine in highly relapsing neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (TANGO): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet Neurol 19(5):391–401. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(20)30070-3
- Kim SH, Jang H, Park NY et al (2021) Discontinuation of immunosuppressive therapy in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder with aquaporin-4 antibodies. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 8(2):e947. https://doi.org/10.1212/nxi.000000000 000947
- 77. Wang X, Kong L, Zhao Z et al (2022) Effectiveness and tolerability of different therapies in preventive treatment of MOG-IgG-associated disorder: a network meta-analysis. Front Immunol 13:953993. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.953993
- Whittam DH, Karthikeayan V, Gibbons E et al (2020) Treatment of MOG antibody associated disorders: results of an international survey. J Neurol 267(12):3565–3577. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-020-10026-y
- Elsbernd PM, Hoffman WR, Carter JL, Wingerchuk DM (2021) Interleukin-6 inhibition with tocilizumab for relapsing MOG-IgG associated disorder (MOGAD): a case series and review. Mult Scler Relat Disord 48:102696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard. 2020.102696
- 80. Shang H, Shen X, Yu X, Zhang J, Jia Y, Gao F (2024) B-cell targeted therapies in autoimmune encephalitis: mechanisms,

clinical applications, and therapeutic potential. Front Immunol 15:1368275. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1368275

- Zrzavy T, Endmayr V, Bauer J et al (2021) Neuropathological variability within a spectrum of NMDAR-encephalitis. Ann Neurol 90(5):725–737. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26223
- Nissen MS, Ryding M, Meyer M, Blaabjerg M (2020) Autoimmune encephalitis: current knowledge on subtypes, disease mechanisms and treatment. CNS Neurol Disord - Drug Targets 19(8):584–598. https://doi.org/10.2174/18715273196662007081 33103
- Ratuszny D, Skripuletz T, Wegner F et al (2020) Case report: daratumumab in a patient with severe refractory anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. Front Neurol 11:602102. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fneur.2020.602102
- Scheibe F, Ostendorf L, Reincke SM et al (2020) Daratumumab treatment for therapy-refractory anti-CASPR2 encephalitis. J Neurol 267(2):317–323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-019-09585-6
- Scheibe F, Ostendorf L, Prüss H et al (2022) Daratumumab for treatment-refractory antibody-mediated diseases in neurology. Eur J Neurol 29(6):1847–1854. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15266