

8 | Veterinary Microbiology | Research Article

# **Impact of carbon dioxide concentrations on laboratory sensitivity of** *Mycoplasma* **species isolated from dairy cows**

Marit M. Biesheuvel,<sup>[1](#page-11-0)</sup> Kristen Kalbfleisch,<sup>1</sup> Jeroen De Buck,<sup>1</sup> Erik van Engelen,<sup>[2](#page-11-0)</sup> Gerdien van Schaik,<sup>2,3</sup> Paolo Moroni,<sup>4,5</sup> Gloria Gioia,<sup>4</sup> **Diego Nobrega,[1](#page-11-0) Gennerick J. Samera,[6](#page-11-0) Paul J. Adams,[6](#page-11-0) Jermaine R. Walcott,[6](#page-11-0) Herman W. Barkema[1](#page-11-0)**

**AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS** See affiliation list on p. [12.](#page-11-0)

**ABSTRACT** Conventional *Mycoplasma* spp. diagnostics involve culture, often considered the gold standard in diagnostic test evaluation. However, culture protocols lack empirical derivation and primarily adhere to National Mastitis Council recommendations, tracing back to initial cultivation of *Mycoplasma bovis*. Despite a wide range of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) supplementation reported in literature, specific impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on *Mycoplasma* spp. growth remain unexplored. Our objective was to assess the effect of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration on growth detection rates of 24 *Mycoplasma* spp. isolates from dairy cows. These isolates, mainly *M. bovis*, were incubated at 37°C in triplicate and three dilution ranges under three  $CO_2$  conditions: ambient air or 5%  $CO_2$  or 10%  $CO_2$ . Bacterial growth was evaluated on incubation days 3, 5, 7, and 10. When cultured using ambient air,  $log_{10}$ cfu/mL was lower on days 3, 5, and 7 of incubation compared with isolates incubated in the recommended 5% or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , with less variation observed in ambient air compared with 5% or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, by 10 days of incubation, no differences in the detection of observable growth were noted among isolates incubated in ambient air, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , or 10% CO2. Consequently, *Mycoplasma* spp. isolated from dairy cattle demonstrated growth after the recommended 7–10 days of culture, even in the absence of supplemental CO2. Given the expected concentration of *M. bovis* in (sub)clinical samples had similar concentrations to those used in our study, with the majority of isolates being *M. bovis*, we recommend expanding CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ranges in *M. bovis* culture from 10% CO<sub>2</sub> to ambient air when incubating for 10 days. However, the turnaround time could be shortened when incubating with supplemental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

**IMPORTANCE** Current *Mycoplasma* spp. culture protocols lack empirical derivation concerning carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  supplementation and are primarily based on the initial cultivation of *Mycoplasma bovis*. This study indicates that the suitable range for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supplementation is broader than what is currently recommended by the National Mastitis Council for culturing within the specified 7–10 days. No differences in bacterial growth detection rates were observed among ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> supplementation during the 7- and 10-day incubation intervals. These new insights provide evidence supporting the possibility of culturing *Mycoplasma* spp. under ambient air conditions in a laboratory setting.

**KEYWORDS** *Mycoplasma* spp., culture procedures, dairy cows, bacterial growth, carbon dioxide, laboratory sensitivity

*M ycoplasma bovis* was initially identified from a severe case of bovine mastitis in the USA in 1961 [\(1\)](#page-12-0). Since then, *M. bovis* has spread globally through animal movements [\(2\)](#page-12-0). Infections caused by *Mycoplasma* spp. can be diagnosed with conventional bacteriological culture, PCR, and ELISA. Culture is often considered the gold standard and used to validate diagnostic tests [\(3, 4\)](#page-12-0). Currently, protocols for culturing *Mycoplasma* 

**Editor** Siu-Kei Chow, MultiCare Health System, Tacoma, Washington, USA

Address correspondence to Marit M. Biesheuvel, marit.biesheuvel@ucalgary.ca.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Received** 28 April 2024 **Accepted** 19 July 2024 **Published** 20 August 2024

Copyright © 2024 Biesheuvel et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of [the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  license.



spp. in milk samples adhere to recommendations of the National Mastitis Council (NMC), based on the inaugural study in reference [\(1\)](#page-12-0), wherein *M. bovis* was successfully cultured for the first time [\(5\)](#page-12-0). Isolates were obtained after incubating in 10% carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  for 5 days [\(1\)](#page-12-0). However, subsequent studies revealed successful culturing using various  $CO_2$  concentrations, such as 5%  $CO_2$  [\(6, 7\)](#page-12-0), candle jars with elevated  $CO_2$ concentrations [\(8\)](#page-12-0), and as low as the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  present in ambient air (~0.4%) [\(9, 10\)](#page-12-0).

Recently, it was suggested that the range of suitable  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations and incubation periods may be broader than currently acknowledged [\(11\)](#page-12-0). They reported that growth of bovine *Mycoplasma* isolates was not different when incubated in concentrations of 2.7%, 5%, or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Moreover, these authors concluded that 100% of the *M. bovis* laboratory isolates were detected after 3 days of incubation, regardless of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration [\(11\)](#page-12-0).

*Mycoplasma* spp. have been described as facultative [\(12\)](#page-12-0), indicating that they are primarily aerobic but may switch to anaerobic pathways in the absence of oxygen [\(13\)](#page-12-0). Therefore, *M. bovis* has been characterized as relatively insensitive to atmospheric conditions and can be successfully cultured under ambient conditions [\(13\)](#page-12-0), suggesting that CO2 may not be essential for *Mycoplasma* growth and primary isolation. It was also highlighted in reference [\(11\)](#page-12-0) that recommendations for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations lack empirical derivation. Considering that access to a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  incubator is sometimes limited and impractical, it becomes crucial to assess the impacts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration on *Mycoplasma* spp. growth.

To ascertain whether the current recommendations for culturing *Mycoplasma* spp. isolated from dairy cows should be revised, a comprehensive evaluation of various culture protocols under standardized circumstances is imperative. Our specific objectives were to (1) assess the detection rates of *Mycoplasma* spp. laboratory isolates collected from clinically and subclinically diseased dairy cows (mastitis, arthritis, and respiratory disease) after incubation under a range of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations, including ambient air, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and (2) compare the impacts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations on growth rate at various incubation time points.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Isolates**

The study used 17 *Mycoplasma* laboratory isolates obtained from the Quality Milk Production Services, Animal Health Diagnostic Center, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. DNA of each isolate was purified, and the identity was confirmed at Quality Milk Promotion Services through a multitarget PCR assay that enabled identifying *M. bovis*  at the species level and discriminating *Mycoplasma* from *Acholeplasma* at the genus level [\(14\)](#page-12-0). *Mycoplasma* isolates not identified as *M. bovis* were submitted for Sanger sequencing of PCR products. Freshly cultured isolates were then shipped in 15% glycerol and pleuropneumonia-like organisms (PPLO) broth to the University of Calgary. Upon arrival, isolates were immediately inoculated in Mycoplasma Broth (Cat#R102, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA) and incubated for 3 days at  $37^{\circ}$ C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, at which time glycerol stocks were created using a final concentration of 30% glycerol [\(15\)](#page-12-0).

In addition to the 17 laboratory isolates, 28 *M*. *bovis* PCR-positive samples (swabs, tissues, milk, and fluids) were acquired from the Applied Genomic Centre at Kwantlen Polytechnic University (KPU) (Surrey, BC, Canada). These samples (swabs, tissues, milk, and fluids) had been stored frozen at −20°C from 1 month to >3 years before being shipped frozen overnight to the University of Calgary. Upon arrival, samples were thawed and cultured according to the NMC Guidelines for milk, the guidelines outlined in Clinical Veterinary Microbiology (CVM) [\(16\)](#page-12-0) and procedures outlined in reference [\(17\)](#page-12-0) (swabs, tissues, and fluids). Mycoplasma Broth (Cat# R102, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA) and PPLO Agar produced in house following a formulation used by Royal GD (Deventer, the Netherlands, Supplementary Materials A) were used for culturing (paragraph 2.2). *M. bovis* was isolated from seven samples and used for this experiment. Identification

of the seven pure *M. bovis* cultures was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and Illumina WGS at KPU. Identification by 16S was performed using primers 27F and 1492R [\(18\)](#page-12-0). Additional custom primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) targeting the nucleoside monophosphate kinase *nmpk* gene (nmpk-F: 5′-TCCTTTGCCAACACCAGGAG-3′; nmpk-R: 5′-AATCCTGCCGGAGTTATGCC-3′) were used to further verify *M. bovis* identity. PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Cat# F530L, Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, United States) with cycle conditions of 98°C for 30 seconds, 35 cycles at 98°C for 10 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension of 72°C for 10 minutes. PCR products were visualized with agarose gel electrophoresis using a GelDoc imaging system (Cat# 10000076955, Bio-Rad Laboratories, California, United States) to verify band sizes and subsequently cleaned using ExoSAP-IT Express (Cat# 75001.200.UL, Applied Biosystems, Massachusetts, United States) for Sanger sequencing with nmpk-F, 27F, and 1492R. Finally, BLAST using the NCBI *nt* database was used to verify identity of *M. bovis*.

Prior to library preparation, samples were normalized to starting concentrations of 30 ng per sample. Samples were prepared using transposome-mediated reaction Illumina Tagmentation (Illumina, California, United States, Cat # 20060059). Libraries were amplified, indexed, and subsequently cleaned. Sizes of libraries were validated using the Agilent 4150 TapeStation System (Agilent, California, United States, Cat # G2992AA). Quantification of libraries was performed using both the Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, Cat # Q33238) and NEB Illumina Library Quantification qPCR Kit (Illumina, California, United States, Cat # E7630S). Libraries were then diluted to 4 nM and pooled to prepare for sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq System (Illumina, California, United States, Cat # SY-410-1003) using v2 (2  $\times$  250) chemistry (Illumina, California, United States, Cat # MS-102-2002). MLST sequence types were identified using [mlst \(github.com/tseemann/mlst\) in conjunction with the PubMLST website \(https://](https://pubmlst.org/) pubmlst.org/) developed in reference [\(19\)](#page-12-0) at the University of Oxford.

In total, 24 isolates were used in this study. Both laboratory isolates and samples received from Cornell University ( $n = 17$ ) and KPU ( $n = 7$ ) were only available as they were successfully isolated or confirmed positive during routine procedures carried out by their respective research groups. Consequently, isolates and samples did not arrive at our laboratory in a single shipment. We collected isolates and samples over several months until we reached a sufficient number to draw meaningful conclusions, and additional shipments did not alter our results and conclusions.

### **Culture procedures**

PPLO agar was produced in-house, following a formulation used by Royal GD (Deventer, the Netherlands; Supplementary Materials A), based on NMC guidelines and the CVM. Agar was not supplemented with dextrose and free DNA as specified in NMC, but not in CVM. For each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and each isolate, 100  $\mu$ L of one glycerol stock from each strain was inoculated in triplicate into 4.0 mL of Mycoplasma Broth (Cat# R102, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA). A negative control broth culture was also prepared. Adapting procedures outlined in reference [\(11\)](#page-12-0) after 3 days of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 1–2 mL of each triplicate was centrifuged at 5,000 $\times$  g for 10 minutes to obtain a cell pellet, which was resuspended in PPLO Selective Broth to achieve a standardized OD<sub>540</sub> of 0.2 ± 0.05. Triplicates were serially diluted, and 10 µL of the 10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup>, and 10−6 dilutions was spread onto 60-mm PPLO plates before incubation in ambient air, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for 10 days (Fig. 1). A negative control plate was prepared for each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and temperature were monitored using the visual display on a Heracell 150i incubator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with an error rate of  $\pm 0.3\%$  for CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Colonies, characterized by a "fried egg" appearance, were counted for all plates using a stereomicroscope (15× magnification) after 3, 5, 7, and 10 days of incubation.



FIG 1 Outline of experimental design to assess impacts of ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on bacterial growth of 24 *Mycoplasma* spp. isolates cultured in triplicates per treatment. "Created wit[h BioRender.com."](https://biorender.com/)

## **Statistical analyses**

Colony-forming units (cfu) per milliliters per isolate were calculated for all plates within a countable range (25–250 colonies) [\(20–22\)](#page-12-0). A log-10 transformation was applied to these calculated values to ensure a normal distribution. Subsequently, mean  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL was determined for each isolate on each counting day (3, 5, 7, and 10 days), based on available data from the triplicates. At each day of incubation (3, 5, 7, and 10 days), a linear regression model was employed to compare the mean  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL between CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (four models total).

Bacterial growth within each triplicate was characterized as either observable (number of counted colonies  $> 1$ ) or non-observable (number of counted colonies = 0). Subsequently, bacterial growth for each isolate was defined as requiring at least one of the triplicates to exhibit observable growth. For each of the three dilution ranges separately, the observable growth across isolates was compared on each counting day  $(3, 5, 7,$  and 10 days) for the three CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>), using a logistic regression model.

Finally, a sensitivity analysis was conducted, employing two additional methods to ascertain bacterial growth for each isolate. In the first method, bacterial growth was defined as requiring ≥2 triplicates to exhibit observable growth. In the second method, bacterial growth was defined as necessitating observable growth in all three triplicates.

Statistical significance was determined at  $P \le 0.05$ . All data cleaning, descriptive statistics, and analyses were performed using STATA/SE Version 16.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

## **Descriptive statistics**

Among the 24 isolates, 20 (83%) were identified as *M. bovis* and 2 (9%) as *Mycoplasma canadense*, and there was 1 (4%) isolate of each *Mycoplasma alkalescens* and *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium*. The 24 isolates were obtained from composite milk samples (*N* = 8; 33%), 5 (21%) from milk quarter samples, 4 (17%) from joint aspirate samples, 3 (13%) from a lung sample, and 2 (8%) each from ear swab and bulk tank milk. Of the 20 *M*. *bovis*  isolates, 11 (55%) were obtained from milk samples. The remaining nine isolates (45%) were obtained from ear swabs ( $N = 2$ ), joint aspirate ( $N = 4$ ), and lung tissue ( $N = 3$ ). The total 24 isolates originated from samples collected on at least 17 unique farms. The characteristics of the 24 isolates are presented in Table 1.

#### **Colony-forming units per milliliters**

In general, the raw colony counts displayed an expected 10-fold reduction across the dilutions (Supplementary Materials B). Median colony-forming units per milliliter per dilution were calculated for each isolate based on the available colony count. Cultures with <25 or >250 colonies were not included due to unquantified counts (no growth or too numerous to count). Table 2 presents median colony-forming units per milliliter data. However, it should not be interpreted as a growth curve due to the methodology employed. Growth (cfu/mL) estimation was conducted per dilution, and plates deemed too numerous to count were excluded from this estimation. Therefore, no statistical tests were deployed. Median colony-forming units per milliliter estimated in dilution 10−4 after 3 days of incubation in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, were 7.4  $*$  10<sup>3</sup>, 1.5  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, and 1.3  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, respectively. After 10 days of incubation, these values were 1.3  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, 1.5  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, and 1.8 \* 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/mL. For dilution 10<sup>-5</sup>, median colony-forming units per milliliter after 3

Isolate	Farm	Sample	<b>Species</b>	Sequencing type
1855	5	Joint aspirate	Mycoplasma bovis	69
18Y-3	18	<b>Bulk tank milk</b>	Mycoplasma bovis	60
18Y-4	19	<b>Bulk tank milk</b>	Mycoplasma bovis	60
3596	13	Lung	Mycoplasma bovis	27
3698	12	Lung	Mycoplasma bovis	
3699	4	Lung	Mycoplasma bovis	69
3745	7	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovis	
3752	9	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovis	45
3757	$\overline{2}$	Milk quarter	Mycoplasma bovis	
3771	18	Milk quarter	Mycoplasma bovis	
3775	17	Milk quarter	Mycoplasma canadense	
3778	1	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovis	45
3890	15	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovis	
3891	18	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovigenitalium	
3893	14	Milk composite	Mycoplasma alkalescens	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
3894	11	Milk quarter	Mycoplasma bovis	
3895	8	Milk quarter	Mycoplasma bovis	60
3896	16	Milk composite	Mycoplasma bovis	
3898	17	Milk composite	Mycoplasma canadense	
ES41223 3	<b>NA</b>	Ear swab	Mycoplasma bovis	
JF41223 1	<b>NA</b>	Joint aspirate	Mycoplasma bovis	65
JF41223_4	<b>NA</b>	Joint aspirate	Mycoplasma bovis	65
KS41223 1	<b>NA</b>	Joint aspirate	Mycoplasma bovis	65
RE41223 1	<b>NA</b>	Ear swab	Mycoplasma bovis	65

**TABLE 1** Isolate characteristics (farm, sample type, *Mycoplasma* spp., and sequencing type) of the 24 isolates<sup>a,b</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>*NA = Not Available

<sup>*b*</sup> - = MLST type could not be identified





*<sup>a</sup>*Number of observations used for estimating cfu/mL change per incubation day within each dilution due to no quantified counts for plates that were too numerous to count.

 $bD =$  dilution; NG = no growth.

days of incubation in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> were 9.4  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, 6.3  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, and 1.1  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, respectively. After 10 days of incubation, these values were 9.7  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, 9.2  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, and 1.1 \* 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/mL. For dilution 10<sup>-6</sup>, median colony-forming units per milliliter after 3 days of incubation in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> were no growth, 2.9  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, and 3.0  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, respectively. After 10 days of incubation, these values were 6.8  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, 3.5  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, and 3.0  $*$  $10<sup>5</sup>$  cfu/mL (Table 2).

When using all dilutions to obtain an estimated cfu/mL per isolate, there was less pronounced variation in growth (cfu/mL) when incubated in ambient air compared with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2). Median colony-forming unit per milliliter for 10% CO<sub>2</sub> was consistent throughout the incubation period, being 1.2  $*$  10<sup>5</sup> on day 3 to 1.3  $*$  10<sup>5</sup> on days 5, 7, and 10. Median colony-forming units per milliliter for 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  increased from 5.6  $*$  10<sup>4</sup> on day 3 to 1.1  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, 1.0  $*$  10<sup>5</sup>, and 1.0  $*$  10<sup>5</sup> on days 5, 7, and 10. Median colony-forming units per milliliter for ambient conditions increased from  $1.4 * 10<sup>4</sup>$  on 3 days of incubation to 3.2  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, 5.5  $*$  10<sup>4</sup>, and 5.5  $*$  10<sup>4</sup> on days 5, 7, and 10 of incubation.

The overall bacterial growth of isolates, as determined by  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL to ensure normality, based on results of all dilutions, was lower after 3, 5, and 7 days of incubation when cultured in ambient air compared with isolates incubated in 5% or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> ( $P =$ 0.04, *P* = 0.01, and *P* = 0.03 or *P <* 0.001, *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.01, respectively). After 10 days of incubation,  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL did not differ between isolates incubated in ambient air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> ( $P = 0.22$ ), whereas  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL was lower for ambient air compared with 10% CO<sub>2</sub>  $(P < 0.01)$ .

Estimation of cfu/mL was conducted for isolates obtained from the four distinct sample types: ear swabs, joint aspirate, lung tissue, and milk sample. Median cfu/mL in milk samples followed a similar pattern as previously described (Fig. 3). For isolates obtained from the four joint aspirate samples, median cfu/mL was consistently higher when incubated in 10% CO<sub>2</sub> (2.2, 2.6, 2.7, and 2.7  $*$  10<sup>5</sup> cfu/mL on days 3, 5, 7, and 10) compared with 5%  $CO_2$  (1.7, 2.3, 2.9, and 2.9  $*$  10<sup>4</sup> cfu/mL on days 3, 5, 7, and 10) and ambient air (5.7  $*$  10<sup>3</sup>, 1.6, 1.8, and 1.8  $*$  10<sup>4</sup> cfu/mL on days 3, 5, 7, and 10) (Fig. 3). Median colony-forming units per milliliter were higher for non-*bovis* isolates when incubated in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> compared with 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and ambient air (Fig. 4).



**FIG 2** Growth (cfu/mL) for isolates incubated in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> after 3, 5, 7, or 10 days of incubation.

## **Growth detection**

There were no differences in detection of observable growth (absence of growth vs. presence of at least one colony) among isolates incubated in ambient air, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> for all three dilutions on day 10 (Table 3). Regarding dilution 10<sup>-4</sup>, there were no differences in detection of observable growth on any incubation days. For dilution 10−5, a difference in the detection of observable growth was noted between ambient air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on 3 days of incubation (*P* = 0.02; *P* = 0.02). For dilution 10<sup>-6</sup>, differences in the detection of observable growth emerged between ambient air and 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on 3 days of incubation ( $P = 0.04$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) and between ambient air and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on 7 days of incubation ( $P = 0.04$ ).

For method 2 (requiring ≥2 of the triplicates to exhibit growth), observable growth in dilutions 10−4, 10−5, and 10−6 after 3 days of incubation was lower using ambient air compared with 5% CO2 and 10% CO2 (day 3 in 10−4: *P =* 0.001; *P =* 0.001; day 3 in 10−5: *P <*  0.001; *P <* 0.001; day 3 in 10−6: *P <* 0.01; *P <* 0.001) (Supplementary Materials C). However, there were no significant differences at 5, 7, or 10 days of incubation.

For method 3 (requiring all triplicates to exhibit growth), observable growth in dilutions 10−4, 10−5, and 10−6 after 3 days of incubation was lower when using ambient air compared with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on 3 days of incubation (day 3 in 10<sup>-4</sup>: *P* < 0.001; *P* < 0.001; day 3 in 10<sup>-5</sup>: *P* = 0.001; *P* < 0.001; day 3 in 10<sup>-6</sup>: *P* = 0.03; *P* < 0.001) (Supplementary Materials C). This difference persisted after 5 days of incubation for dilution 10−6 for ambient air compared with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> ( $P = 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.01$ , respectively). However, there were no significant differences on 7 or 10 days of incubation.



**FIG 3** Growth (cfu/mL) for isolates obtained in ear swabs, joint aspirate, lung tissue, and milk samples incubated in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> after 3, 5, 7, or 10 days of incubation.

## **DISCUSSION**

Current recommendations for culturing *Mycoplasma* spp. from laboratory isolates obtained from dairy cow samples were systematically examined to determine the impacts of varying percentages of supplemented  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on growth. Firstly, consistently lower  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL were observed for isolates incubated in ambient air compared with those in 5%  $CO_2$  or 10%  $CO_2$  across most time points. Additionally, the variability of colony-forming units per milliliter was markedly lower in isolates incubated in ambient conditions, displaying more homogeneity than isolates incubated under 5% and especially 10% CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2). This suggests that *Mycoplasma* spp. exhibit sensitivity to supplemental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  during incubation. Established knowledge suggests that many anaerobic bacteria experience enhanced growth in the presence of small amounts of supplementary  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(23\)](#page-12-0). Notable size differences were identified during counting, with colonies incubated in ambient air being smaller than those incubated in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> (Supplementary Materials D). It is acknowledged that counting such small colonies may result in varied counts from day to day. Consequently, this may lead to an underestimation of the number of colonies counted in ambient cultures compared with those in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and could explain why the estimated colony-forming unit per milliliter was consistently lower. Unfortunately, time restrictions prevented measurements of colony size. Therefore, accurately comparing these measures between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration was not feasible.





**FIG 4** Growth (cfu/mL) for isolates identified as *Mycoplasma bovis* (*N* = 20) and non-*bovis Mycoplasma* (*N* = 4) incubated in ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> after 3, 5, 7, or 10 days of incubation.

Secondly, there was a difference in observable growth at 3 days of incubation, revealing a lower detection rate for isolates incubated in ambient air when compared with those in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, no differences were observed at 7 and 10 days of incubation across all tested dilution ranges. This suggests that, adhering to the recommended incubation period of at least 7 days by the NMC, there was no significant divergence in detection rates among cultures incubated in ambient, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. These results were in line with an earlier study where no significant differences in growth detection in laboratory isolates were reported for *Mycoplasma* spp. cultures incubated in candle jars, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , or 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(11\)](#page-12-0). Increased growth rates on days 3 and 5 of incubation could be supported by the fact that elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations (5%) are present in mammalian tissues [\(24\)](#page-12-0), possibly favoring these conditions over the absence of supplemental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, since there was no difference in detection on 10 days of incubation, perhaps, other factors have a more impactful role in *Mycoplasma*  spp. growth, e.g., glycerol and cholesterol availability [\(25\)](#page-12-0), cell membrane components, and potentially pH [\(26\)](#page-12-0). Additionally, because the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration has been known to affect the minimum inhibitory concentration of other bacteria [\(27, 28\)](#page-12-0), it would be of interest to perform a similar study in the future to elucidate if there would be any variation in *Mycoplasma* MIC data as a result of chosen incubation conditions.

It is essential to emphasize that these findings are derived from pure isolates, rather than original specimens, such as positive milk. Additionally, these results are primarily relevant to *M. bovis*, as obtaining meaningful data from isolates of other species





was challenging due to their limited availability and the high prevalence of *M. bovis*  species. Consequently, it was not feasible to accurately assess species and sample type differences. Nonetheless, given that *M. bovis* is the most prevalent *Mycoplasma* spp. in dairy herds globally [\(29\)](#page-12-0), our findings remain of significant importance. Distinctive properties among bovine *Mycoplasma* spp. have been elucidated, such as differences in the ability to obtain acids from glucose, arginine catabolism, film and spot production, and aerobic tetrazolium reduction, which have been described among *Mycoplasma bovirhinis*, *Mycoplasma dispar*, *M. alkalescens*, *Mycoplasma arginini*, *M. canadense*, *M. bovigenitalium*, and *M. bovis* [\(13\)](#page-12-0) The extent to which these differences impact diagnostic culture performance related to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supplementation remains unclear. This study's findings regarding growth detection remained unchanged even when excluding the four non-*bovis Mycoplasma* species from the analysis (results not shown). Additionally, when considering the various sample types, there is a suggestion that joint aspirate may respond differently to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supplementation than milk samples. In our study, joint aspirate samples demonstrated the highest colony-forming units per milliliter when incubated in 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, it is important to note that only four samples were included, and therefore, more extensive research is warranted before a robust conclusion can be drawn, and results can be generalized to other *Mycoplasma* species and sample types.

On average, a *M. bovis* culture-positive individual milk sample contains between 5 \*  $10<sup>4</sup>$  and 8  $*$  10<sup>8</sup> cfu/mL as described in reference [\(30\)](#page-12-0). For cows in the prodromal stage of clinical and subclinical mastitis, this is estimated to be  $10^3-10^6$  cfu/mL [\(31\)](#page-12-0), and for cows with clinical mastitis, the estimated rates of *Mycoplasma* spp. excretion increase to  $10<sup>5</sup> - 10<sup>8</sup>$  cfu/mL [\(32\)](#page-12-0). In this study, OD standardization and a dilution range were applied to mimic low-positive *Mycoplasma* spp. samples and test whether supplementary CO<sub>2</sub> had an impact on low-positive samples. In our dilution ranges (10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup>, and 10<sup>-6</sup>), median colony-forming unit per milliliter for all treatments on each of the incubation days was estimated to be between  $10<sup>4</sup>$  and  $10<sup>5</sup>$ , respectively, mimicking samples of cows with subclinical mastitis.

The lowest colony-forming unit per milliliter in our study was estimated to be 3.6  $*$  10<sup>3</sup> (ambient air, 3 days of incubation) and the highest to be 2.0  $*$  10<sup>6</sup> (10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10 days of incubation). Lowest colony-forming units per milliliter were mainly observed on 3 days of incubation and highest on 10 days of incubation which was in line with the 0.17  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL increase when incubated for 7 days, as described in reference [\(11\)](#page-12-0). However, in this study, a clear increase in  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL was only observed under ambient

conditions, extending up to 7 days, whereas the  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL for 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> remained consistent throughout the incubation period. This suggests that proliferation of *Mycoplasma* spp. colonies occurred within the initial 7 days when cultured under ambient conditions, necessitating extended incubation intervals.

Various types of agars are widely used, with PPLO and *Mycoplasma* agar being two common variants [\(5\)](#page-12-0). In this study, the PPLO agar formulation deviated slightly from the NMC formulation, utilizing ampicillin instead of penicillin and omitting dextrose and free DNA, as outlined in CVM [\(16\)](#page-12-0). Ampicillin, a semi-synthetic penicillin, demonstrates efficacy against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms, in contrast to penicillin that targets only Gram-positive organisms [\(33\)](#page-12-0). Consequently, the anticipated impact of ampicillin versus penicillin on colony growth was deemed negligible. Dextrose (omitted in our study), typically added as an energy source to promote bacterial growth, may not have a significant role for *M. bovis* and *M. agalactiae* either. These species are known to eschew glucose fermentation and prefer non-sugar carbon sources, relying instead on organic acids, lactate, and pyruvate for their energy needs [\(34, 35\)](#page-12-0). PPLO agar is largely based on peptones, which are water-soluble protein hydrolysates [\(36\)](#page-12-0), and yeast, containing >10% carbohydrates [\(37\)](#page-12-0). *Mycoplasma* spp. largely utilize (forms of) carbohydrates as the energy source for growth as specified above. Moreover, horse serum contains cholesterol, protein, and glucose. Despite the tendency to avoid glucose fermentation, it remains a viable option as an alternative energy source for promoting growth. Therefore, potential differences in growth effect due to utilizing a slight modification in agar preparation are deemed negligible.

Isolates obtained for this study were resuscitated by incubating them for 3 days at  $37^{\circ}$ C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> before the start of the experiment to ensure live cultures. This selection criteria bias our study toward *Mycoplasma* isolates that are proven to grow when incubated in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Our aim was to compare ambient conditions to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supplementation, and therefore, it was chosen not to obtain isolates from protocols that deviated from the NMC to limit bias toward non-conventional ambient conditions.

A similar study was conducted in 2018, also aimed to evaluate growth of *Mycoplasma*  spp. under varying conditions, including incubation in candle jars,  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>, and 10%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(11\)](#page-12-0). It was hypothesized that the range of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  might be broader than what was used in this study. While no differences in growth detection rate were observed after 7 days of incubation, detection rates were higher for 5% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> on 3 or 5 days of incubation. These findings suggest potential implications for enhancing efficiency in diagnostic laboratories by supplementing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , potentially reducing turnaround times, and improving economics. Conversely, the ability to culture *Mycoplasma* spp. without supplemental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  presents opportunities for veterinary practices or larger dairy farms to conduct in-house or on-farm culturing. However, validating the results of this standardized laboratory experiment warrants a more comprehensive study to better reflect real-world scenarios. Such a study should include multiple *Mycoplasma* species and field samples collected from diverse individual cows and farms, expressing a broad spectrum of clinical and subclinical symptoms. Additionally, although a growth curve was not established in this study, which could have provided valuable insights, standardizing OD measurements for *Mycoplasma* broth cultures poses significant challenges due to their insufficient turbidity. As a result, many diagnostic laboratories lack a standardized OD protocol. In our study, we successfully employed the OD methodology described after centrifuging our samples.

Nevertheless, this study serves a proof-of-concept for culturing *Mycoplasma* spp. under ambient air conditions.

#### **Conclusions**

Lower bacterial growth (log<sub>10</sub> cfu/mL) was detected in *Mycoplasma* spp. cultures after 3, 5, and 7 days of incubation in ambient air compared with isolates incubated in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. This difference retained significance for  $log_{10}$  cfu/mL on 10 days of incubation between ambient air and  $10\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. However, no significant differences in growth

<span id="page-11-0"></span>detection rates of *Mycoplasma* spp. cultured under ambient air, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, or 10% CO<sub>2</sub> were observed after 7 days of incubation. Consequently, from a diagnostic perspective, we concluded that *Mycoplasma* spp. and, particularly, *M. bovis* demonstrate growth even in the absence of supplemental  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  but may require a longer incubation. Therefore, we recommend expanding the utilization of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in *M. bovis* culture procedures to a range including ambient air to 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  while maintaining incubation for a duration of 7-10 days, because 10% CO<sub>2</sub> was inferior to 5% CO<sub>2</sub> tension.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was funded by the Industrial Research Chair in Infectious Diseases of Dairy Cattle, funded by Canada's Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) Industrial Research Chair Program (Ottawa, ON, Canada), with industry contributions from Alberta Milk (Edmonton, AB, Canada), the Dairy Farmers of Canada (Ottawa, ON, Canada), Westgen Endowment Fund (Milner, BC, Canada), the BC Dairy Association (Burnaby, BC, Canada), Lactanet (Guelph, ON, Canada), SaskMilk (Regina, SK, Canada), Dairy Farmers of Manitoba (Winnipeg, MB, Canada), and MSD Animal Health (Kirkland, QC, Canada).

All authors contributed to editing this manuscript, have read the final version, and agreed upon its content. M.B. is the primary author of this manuscript, managed the project, did the analyses, and wrote the initial draft. K.K. led the procedures in the laboratory and helped in writing the manuscript. J.D.B., E..v.E., G.G., and D.N. provided their expertise on microbiology and culture procedures. G.v.S., E.v.E., and H.B. were involved in providing the initial idea. P.M., G.G., P.A., G.J.S., and J.R.W. provided the isolates. G.J.S. did the MLST typing, and H.B. had the supervisory role and provided input on writing the manuscript.

#### **AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada <sup>2</sup>Royal GD, Deventer, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Population Health Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Quality Milk Production Services, Animal Health Diagnostic Center, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

<sup>5</sup>Dipartimento Medicina Veterinaria e Scienze Animali, Universita' Degli Studi di Milano, Lodi, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Applied Genomic Centre, Kwantlen Polytechnic University, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada

#### **AUTHOR ORCIDs**

Marit M. Biesheuvel **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8157-3043 Jeroen De Buck **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4824-9580 Gloria Gioia http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1767-9497 Diego Nobrega **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9821-1436

## **ADDITIONAL FILES**

The following material is available [online.](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00946-24)

#### Supplemental Material

**Supplemental material (Spectrum00946-24-s0001.docx).** Tables S1 to S6; Fig. S1. **Supplemental data (Spectrum00946-24-s0002.xlsx).** Raw colony count.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- 1. HaleHH, HelmboldtCF, PlastridgeWN, StulaEF. 1962. Bovine mastitis caused by a *Mycoplasma* species. Cornell Vet 52:582–591.
- 2. Nicholas RAJ, Ayling RD. 2003. *Mycoplasma bovis*: disease, diagnosis, and control. Res Vet Sci [74:105–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-5288(02)00155-8) 5288(02)00155-8
- 3. Murai K, Lehenbauer TW, Champagne JD, Glenn K, Aly SS. 2014. Costeffectiveness of diagnostic strategies using quantitative real-time PCR and bacterial culture to identify contagious mastitis cases in large dairy herds. Prev Vet Med [113:522–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.01.001) 2014.01.001
- 4. Salina A, Timenetsky J, Barbosa MS, Azevedo CM, Langoni H. 2020. Microbiological and molecular detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* in milk [samples from bovine clinical mastitis. Pesq Vet Bras](https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-5150-pvb-6259) 40:82–87. https:// doi.org/10.1590/1678-5150-pvb-6259
- 5. Middleton JR, Fox LK, Pighetti G. 2017. Laboratory handbook of bovine mastitis. 3rd ed. National Mastitis Council, New Prague, MN.
- 6. Szacawa E, Niemczuk K, Dudek K, Bednarek D, Rosales R, Ayling R. 2015. *Mycoplasma bovis* infections and co-infections with other *Mycoplasma*  spp. with different clinical manifestations in affected cattle herds in [eastern region of Poland. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy](https://doi.org/10.1515/bvip-2015-0049) 59:331-338. https://doi. org/10.1515/bvip-2015-0049
- 7. Vähänikkilä N, Pohjanvirta T, Haapala V, Simojoki H, Soveri T, Browning GF, Pelkonen S, Wawegama NK, Autio T. 2019. Characterisation of the course of *Mycoplasma bovis* infection in naturally infected dairy herds. Vet Microbiol 231:107–115.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.03.007>
- 8. Hazelton MS, Sheehy PA, Bosward KL, Parker AM, Morton JM, Dwyer CJ, Niven PG, House JK. 2018. Short communication: shedding of *Mycoplasma bovis* and antibody responses in cows recently diagnosed with clinical infection. J Dairy Sci [101:584–589. https://doi.org/10.3168/](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13512) jds.2017-13512
- 9. Aebi M, Bodmer M, Frey J, Pilo P. 2012. Herd-specific strains of *Mycoplasma bovis* in outbreaks of mycoplasmal mastitis and pneumonia. Vet Microbiol 157:363–368.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.01.006>
- 10. Biesheuvel MM, Ward C, Penterman P, van Engelen E, van Schaik G, Deardon R, Barkema HW. 2024. Within-herd transmission of *Mycoplasma bovis* infections after initial detection in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 107:516– 529.<https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2023-23407>
- 11. Lowe JL, Fox LK, Enger BD, Progar AA, Gay JM. 2018. Effect of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration on the cultivation of bovine *Mycoplasma* species. J Dairy Sci [101:4660–4666. https://doi.org/10.3168/](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13758) jds.2017-13758
- 12. Caswell JL, Archambault M. 2007. *Mycoplasma bovis* pneumonia in cattle. Anim Health Res Rev [8:161–186. https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466252307001351) S1466252307001351
- 13. Gourlay RN, Howard CJ. 1979. Edited by TullyJGand WhitcombRF. The mycoplasmas II: human and animal mycoplasmas. Academic Press, Inc, New York.
- 14. Gioia G, Werner B, Nydam DV, Moroni P. 2016. Validation of a mycoplasma molecular diagnostic test and distribution of mycoplasma species in bovine milk among New York State dairy farms. J Dairy Sci 99:4668–4677.<https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10724>
- 15. Sery A, Sidibe CAK, Kone M, Sacko B, Awuni J, Amafu W, Niang M. 2021. Impact of various preservation and storage methods on the viability of *Mycoplasma* [field strains isolated in Mali. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.21.465280) 1101/2021.10.21.465280
- 16. Markey B, Leonard F, Archambault M, Cullinane A, Maguire D. 2013. Clinical veterinary microbiology. Elsevier Health Sciences, London.
- 17. Nicholas R, Baker S. 1998. Recovery of Mycoplasmas from animals, p 37– 43. In *Mycoplasma* protocols. Vol. 104. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- 18. Heuer H, Krsek M, Baker P, Smalla K, Wellington EM. 1997. Analysis of actinomycete communities by specific amplification of genes encoding 16S rRNA and gel-electrophoretic separation in denaturing gradients. Appl Environ Microbiol [63:3233–3241. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.8.](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.8.3233-3241.1997) 3233-3241.1997
- 19. Jolley KA, Maiden MCJ. 2010. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. BMC Bioinformatics 11:595. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-595>
- 20. Breed RS, Dotterrer WD. 1916. The number of colonies allowable on satisfactory agar plates. J Bacteriol 1:321-331. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.1.3.321-331.1916>
- 21. Maturin L, Peeler JT. 2001. BAM: aerobic plate count. US Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD, USA.
- 22. Wise K. 2006. Preparing spread plate protocols. American Society for [Microbiology. Available from: https://asm.org/Protocols/Preparing-](https://asm.org/Protocols/Preparing-Spread-Plates-Protocols)Spread-Plates-Protocols
- 23. Reilly S. 1980. The carbon dioxide requirements of anaerobic bacteria. J Med Microbiol 13:573–579.<https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-13-4-573>
- 24. Cummins EP, Selfridge AC, Sporn PH, Sznajder JI, Taylor CT. 2014. Carbon dioxide-sensing in organisms and its implications for human disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 71:831–845.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1470-6>
- 25. Erno H, Plastridge WN, Tourtellotte ME. 1967. *Mycoplasma*: nutritional [studies of laboratory strains. Acta Vet Scand](https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03547837) 8:111–122. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/BF03547837
- 26. ShepardMC, LuncefordCD. 1965. Effect of pH on human *Mycoplasma*  strains. J Bacteriol [89:265–270. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.89.2.265-270.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.89.2.265-270.1965) 1965
- 27. Johnson MM, Hill SL, Piddock LJV. 1999. Effect of carbon dioxide on testing of susceptibilities of respiratory tract pathogens to macrolide and azalide antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:1862–1865.<https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.43.8.1862>
- 28. Batard E, Juvin ME, Jacqueline C, Bugnon D, Caillon J, Potel G, Drugeon HB. 2005. Influence of carbon dioxide on the MIC of telithromycin for *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: an *in vitro*-*in vivo* study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:464–466.<https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.1.464-466.2005>
- 29. González RN, Wilson DJ. 2003. Mycoplasmal mastitis in dairy herds. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract [19:199–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-0720(02)00076-2) s0749-0720(02)00076-2
- 30. Cai HY, Bell-Rogers P, Parker L, Prescott JF. 2005. Development of a realtime PCR for detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* in bovine milk and lung samples. J Vet Diagn Invest 17:537-545. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 104063870501700603
- 31. Arcangioli MA, Chazel M, Sellal E, Botrel MA, Bezille P, Poumarat F, Calavas D, Le Grand D. 2011. Prevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* udder infection in dairy cattle: preliminary field investigation in southeast France. N Z Vet J [59:75–78. https://doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2011.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2011.552856) 552856
- 32. Pfutzner H, Sachse K. 1996. *Mycoplasma bovis* as an agent of mastitis, pneumonia, arthritis and genital disorders in cattle. Rev Sci Tech 15:1477–1494.<https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.15.4.987>
- 33. Sharma T, Bhende M, Rishi P, Rishi E. 2013. Comparative study between penicillin and ampicillin, p 291–291. In The sankara nethralaya atlas of fundus fluorescein angiography. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd.
- 34. Masukagami Y, De Souza DP, Dayalan S, Bowen C, O'Callaghan S, Kouremenos K, Nijagal B, Tull D, Tivendale KA, Markham PF, McConville MJ, Browning GF, Sansom FM. 2017. Comparative metabolomics of *Mycoplasma bovis* and *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* reveals fundamental differences in active metabolic pathways and suggests novel gene annotations. mSystems [2:e00055-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00055-17) 00055-17
- 35. Miles RJ, Wadher BJ, Henderson CL, Mohan K. 1988. Increased growth yields of *Mycoplasma* spp. in the presence of pyruvate. Lett Appl Microbiol [7:149–151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1988.tb01266.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1988.tb01266.x) x
- 36. Davami F, Baldi L, Rajendra Y, Wurm MF. 2014. Peptone supplementation of culture medium has variable effects on the productivity of CHO cells. Int J Mol Cell Med 3:146–156.
- 37. Tao Z, Yuan H, Liu M, Liu Q, Zhang S, Liu H, Jiang Y, Huang D, Wang T. 2023. Yeast extract: characteristics, production, applications and future [perspectives. J Microbiol Biotechnol](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.2207.07057) 33:151–166. https://doi.org/10. 4014/jmb.2207.07057