# **Comments to the Published Article**

# Comment on 'Postoperative analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided, low-volume C5-6 root block in combination with erector spinae plane block in complex shoulder surgeries'

Dear Editor,

We read the article by Kulkarni *et al.*,<sup>[1]</sup> recently published in the Indian Journal of Anaesthesia, and we would like to highlight some of the concerns.

Our primary concern in the manuscript is regarding the objective and outcome of the study. Authors have hypothesised that a combination of a low dose of C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> root block and an erector spinae plane block (ESPB) can minimise the adverse effects of an interscalene brachial plexus block and obtain good postoperative analgesia.<sup>[1]</sup> However, as per the literature, ultrasound-guided interscalene block at  $C_5$ – $C_6$  nerve roots using as low as 0.9 ml of 0.5% ropivacaine can effectively achieve the desired analgesia for shoulder surgery.[2] Regarding the phrenic nerve block, 20 ml of local anaesthesia (LA) can cause 100% phrenic nerve involvement, and 5 ml can cause up to 45%.[2,3] So, giving 7 ml of 0.375% ropivacaine theoretically carries almost a 50% chance of phrenic nerve involvement. Thus, the authors using 7 ml of LA to block the C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> roots and expecting a selective block (sparing  $\mathrm{C_4}$  and above nerve roots) is a bit optimistic. A dye study could help prove this. A risk versus benefit ratio should be assessed before considering high-risk options like root block, especially when our goal is only postoperative analgesia instead of intraoperative anaesthesia. A safer option with equal analgesia efficacy in the form of a selective suprascapular nerve block (anterior or posterior approach) and ESPB can be opted for. [4,5]

Our other concern in the manuscript is related to the small sample size, which was not defined for the outcome; thus, its results cannot be extrapolated to routine anaesthesia practice. So, the authors' concluding statement should be interpreted judiciously. Another concern in the index study is regarding timing and patient positioning during block placement.[1] The methodology shows that the blocks were placed twice – the  $C_5-C_6$  root block after induction of anaesthesia and ESPB at the end of surgery.[1] We think both blocks could have been administered simultaneously after the induction of anaesthesia. This would have contributed towards intraoperative anaesthesia and perioperative analgesia along with decreased opioid consumption. They could have added adjuvants like dexamethasone or dexmedetomidine to prolong the analgesic action. The shoulder surgeries are usually performed in the lateral or supine position. In routine practice, orthopaedic surgeons generally avoid high-risk and multiple blocks. So, it is surprising to imagine how the surgeon could agree to a prone positioning (for ESPB) after completion of the surgery with shoulder support/bandage in place.

# Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

### **ORCID**

Amiya Kumar Barik: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7953-9927

Chitta Ranjan Mohanty: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8525-2084

Mantu Jain: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3848-4277 Rakesh Vadakkethil Radhakrishnan: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1274-2354

# Amiya K. Barik, Chitta Ranjan Mohanty<sup>1</sup>, Mantu Jain<sup>2</sup>, Rakesh Vadakkethil Radhakrishnan<sup>3</sup>

Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, Departments of 

<sup>1</sup>Trauma and Emergency, <sup>2</sup>Orthopedics, All India Institute of Medical 
Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, <sup>3</sup>College of Nursing, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Chitta Ranjan Mohanty, Department of Trauma and Emergency, All India Institute of Medical Science, Bhubaneswar - 751 019, Odisha, India. E-mail: drchitta8@gmail.com

> Submitted: 12-May-2024 Revised: 05-Jun-2024 Accepted: 18-Jun-2024 Published: 16-Aug-2024

## **REFERENCES**

 Kulkarni M, D'souza NJ, Diwan S. Postoperative analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided, low-volume C5–6 root block

- in combination with erector spinae plane block in complex shoulder surgeries. Indian J Anaesth 2024;68:583-4.
- El-Boghdadly K, Chin KJ, Chan VWS. Phrenic nerve palsy and regional anesthesia for shoulder surgery: Anatomical, physiologic, and clinical considerations. Anesthesiology 2017;127:173-91.
- Riazi S, Carmichael N, Awad I, Holtby RM, McCartney CJ. Effect
  of local anaesthetic volume (20 vs 5 ml) on the efficacy and
  respiratory consequences of ultrasound-guided interscalene
  brachial plexus block. Br J Anaesth 2008;101:549-56.
- Mohanty CR, Gupta A, Radhakrishnan RV, Singh N, Patra SK. Ultrasound-guided low-volume anterior suprascapular nerve block for reduction of anterior shoulder dislocation in the emergency department: A case series. Turk J Emerg Med 2023;23:254-7.
- Barik AK, Mohanty CR, Radhakrishnan RV, Shaji IM. Interscalene brachial plexus block for reduction of shoulder dislocation: Safety concerns in the emergency department. J Emerg Med 2024;66:260-1.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick response code	
	Website: https://journals.lww.com/ijaweb
	DOI: 10.4103/ija.ija_502_24

How to cite this article: Barik AK, Mohanty CR, Jain M, Radhakrishnan RV. Comment on 'Postoperative analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided, low-volume C5–6 root block in combination with erector spinae plane block in complex shoulder surgeries'. Indian J Anaesth 2024;68:845-6.

© 2024 Indian Journal of Anaesthesia | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow