## RESEARCH

## **Open Access**

# Systematic perturbations of SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, and ASH1L reveal their distinct contributions to H3K36 methylation



Gerry A. Shipman<sup>1,2†</sup>, Reinnier Padilla<sup>1,2†</sup>, Cynthia Horth<sup>1,2</sup>, Bo Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, Eric Bareke<sup>1,2</sup>, Francisca N. Vitorino<sup>3</sup>, Joanna M. Gongora<sup>3</sup>, Benjamin A. Garcia<sup>3</sup>, Chao Lu<sup>4</sup> and Jacek Majewski<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Gerry A. Shipman and Reinnier Padilla contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: jacek.majewski@mcgill.ca

<sup>1</sup> Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 1B1, Canada <sup>2</sup> McGill University Genome Centre, Montreal, QC H3A 0G1, Canada

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, USA

## Abstract

**Background:** Methylation of histone 3 lysine 36 (H3K36me) has emerged as an essential epigenetic component for the faithful regulation of gene expression. Despite its importance in development and disease, how the molecular agents collectively shape the H3K36me landscape is unclear.

**Results:** We use mouse mesenchymal stem cells to perturb the H3K36me methyltransferases (K36MTs) and infer the activities of the five most prominent enzymes: SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, and ASH1L. We find that H3K36me2 is the most abundant of the three methylation states and is predominantly deposited at intergenic regions by NSD1, and partly by NSD2. In contrast, H3K36me1/3 are most abundant within exons and are positively correlated with gene expression. We demonstrate that while SETD2 deposits most H3K36me3, it may also deposit H3K36me2 within transcribed genes. Additionally, loss of SETD2 results in an increase of exonic H3K36me1, suggesting other (K36MTs) prime gene bodies with lower methylation states ahead of transcription. While NSD1/2 establish broad intergenic H3K36me2 domains, NSD3 deposits H3K36me2 peaks on active promoters and enhancers. Meanwhile, the activity of ASH1L is restricted to the regulatory elements of developmentally relevant genes, and our analyses implicate PBX2 as a potential recruitment factor.

**Conclusions:** Within genes, SETD2 primarily deposits H3K36me3, while the other K36MTs deposit H3K36me1/2 independently of SETD2 activity. For the deposition of H3K36me1/2, we find a hierarchy of K36MT activities where NSD1 > NSD2 > NSD 3 > ASH1L. While NSD1 and NSD2 are responsible for most genome-wide propagation of H3K36me2, the activities of NSD3 and ASH1L are confined to active regulatory elements.

**Keywords:** SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, ASH1L, H3K36 methylation, Epigenetics, Transcription



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

## Background

Epigenetic control of gene expression relies on a fine balance between the deposition and maintenance of a myriad of chromatin modifications. The amino terminal tail of histone 3 is a heavily post-translationally modified region of the nucleosome, with the various modifications having diverse roles and permutations that maintain a balance between heterochromatin, euchromatin, and open chromatin regions. Methylation at the lysine 36 position of histone 3 (H3K36) is known to be associated with transcriptionally active regions of the genome. The highest methylation state, H3K36me3, is a mark of actively transcribed gene bodies [1, 2]. More recently, the intermediate methylation state, H3K36me2, has garnered increased interest, with evidence supporting its importance in preventing compaction of intergenic regions by restricting expansion of antagonistic silencing marks, such as H3K27me2/3, thereby maintaining a balance between gene expression and silencing [3, 4]. The lowest methylation state, H3K36me1, has received limited attention [5, 6], and its significance remains unclear.

Several constituents governing the deposition of H3K36 methylation (H3K36me) are known. H3K36me3, which exists almost entirely within transcribed gene bodies, is deposited by transcriptional coupling of the methyltransferase SETD2 to the elongating RNA polymerase II complex (RNAPII) [7, 8]. In simple eukaryotes, such as yeast, the presence of H3K36me3 itself has been shown to be important for repressing cryptic transcription, facilitating histone turnover, and regulating pre-mRNA splicing [9–11]. In contrast, H3K36 mono- and di-methylation are the products of at least four other histone methyltransferases, NSD1/2/3, and possibly ASH1L. While all four of these enzymes have demonstrated H3K36 methyltransferase activity, they appear to have nonredundant functional properties, and their implications in genetic disease and cancer are markedly different. NSD1 loss of function mutations have been identified in most patients with Sotos syndrome, a developmental overgrowth disorder, and also in a subgroup of HPV-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). Here, loss of NSD1 results in depletion of intergenic H3K36me2, and a concomitant decrease of H3K27ac and DNA methylation (DNAme), thereby reducing the activity of associated cis-regulatory elements (CREs) and the expression of their putative target genes [12– 15]. In contrast, NSD2 truncating and missense variants have been causally associated with Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome, a genetic disorder characterized by intellectual and developmental delay [16-18]. Translocation-mediated overexpression and hyperactive variants of NSD2 and NSD3 have been identified in several types of cancer, notably in multiple myeloma, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and lung squamous cell carcinoma, with elevated H3K36me2 being implicated in tumour progression and survival outcomes [19–23]. As an H3K36 methyltransferase, ASH1L remains relatively understudied. However, nonsense and missense variants have been linked with autism spectrum disorder and brain development, and its overexpression has been identified in both anaplastic thyroid cancer and acute leukemia [24–26].

H3K36me is an essential epigenetic modification for the establishment and maintenance of gene regulatory programs, and impacts the deposition of many other histone marks, as well as DNAme [27–30]. Clearly, there are considerable pathological consequences when it is aberrantly regulated, underscoring the necessity to better understand its functional significance. Here, we use a mouse mesenchymal stem cell model to dissect the roles of the most well-established H3K36 methyltransferases (K36MTs) in the deposition and maintenance of this modification. Mesenchymal stem cells, which are multipotent, can be induced into oncogenic transformation [31–33]. In this study, we use CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing to assemble a panel of single and combinatorial knockout cell lines, allowing us to deconstruct the individual contributions of each methyltransferase to the overall H3K36me landscape. Our work provides new insights into the distinct and overlapping genomic preferences of lysine 36 methyltransferases and consolidates existing knowledge in the context of a structured analysis.

## Results

#### H3K36 methylation states have distinct distribution patterns

In order to characterize the effects of individual K36MTs in shaping the H3K36me landscape, we first sought to describe the deposition patterns of H3K36me in wild-type C3H10T1/2 mouse mesenchymal stem cells (mMSCs). Therefore, we used liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (MS) to quantify the genome-wide abundance and chromatin immunoprecipitation with sequencing (ChIP-seq) to profile the genomic distribution of all three H3K36me states. To support that each H3K36-targeted antibody is specific for their respective methylation states throughout our study, we performed quantitative antibody-based assays, specifically using Drosophila spike-in and computing ChIP-Rx, and found they were consistent with MS values (Fig. 1a, Fig. 1b). Importantly, each of the H3K36me states exhibit unique distribution profiles (Fig. 1a). Consistent with previous observations in this cell type, we find that H3K36me2 is broadly distributed both within genes and intergenic regions (IGRs), while H3K36me3 is restricted specifically to actively transcribed genes (Fig. 1a) [4, 11]. Interestingly, we find that H3K36me1 is also found predominantly within genes, with lower levels found in IGRs (Fig. 1a). As quantified by MS, H3K36me2 is the most abundant of the three methylation states, marking approximately 30% of all H3 peptides (Fig. 1b). In comparison, H3K36me1 and H3K36me3 occupy approximately 14 and 7% of H3 peptides, respectively (Fig. 1b).

To assess the global distribution and abundance of H3K36me within IGRs, we centered ChIP-seq signals on these regions to generate aggregate profiles. Expectedly, we find that H3K36me3 is essentially absent and confined to flanking genic regions (Fig. 1c). In contrast, the levels of H3K36me2 are greater within IGRs, reflecting its functional importance within these regions (Fig. 1c) [4], while low levels of H3K36me1 are also found within IGRs.

Upon examination of genome browser coverage tracks within transcribed genes, we find that the levels of H3K36me1 and H3K36me2 are highest in 5' regions, plateau within the first intron, and then decrease towards the 3' end, where they are replaced by H3K36me3 (Fig. 1d). To closely examine the gene body distribution patterns of H3K36me, we divided actively transcribed genes into expression quantiles and assessed the differences of individual H3K36me marks within introns and exons across varying levels of gene expression (Fig. 1e). We find that the bulk enrichment of H3K36me1 and H3K36me3 signals are greater in exons compared to introns, whereas the inverse relationship is true for H3K36me2 (Additional file 1: Fig. S1a, Fig. S1b). Although the 5' to 3' profiles of H3K36me1 and H3K36me2 are similar, they correlate differently with gene





expression levels: H3K36me1 and H3K36me3 are positively correlated with gene expression whereas H3K36me2 is not, likely reflecting the conversion efficiency of H3K36me2 to H3K36me3 within the exons of highly transcribed genes (Additional file 1: Fig. S1b).

In summary, the dynamics of the three methylation states within gene bodies are influenced by the levels of transcription: H3K36me1/2 decrease from 5' to 3' in gene bodies where they are replaced by H3K36me3; H3K36me1/3 are positively correlated with gene expression, whereas H3K36me2 is not; and H3K36me2 is the most abundant methylation state and is broadly distributed in IGRs, whereas H3K36me1/3 are predominantly found within genes.

#### Individual and combined H3K36 methyltransferase contributions to bulk H3K36me

Each of the most well-established K36MTs-SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, and ASH1Lhave been shown biochemically to exhibit methyltransferase activity specific for H3K36 via their catalytic Su(var)3-9, Enhancer-of-zeste and Trithorax (SET) domains [9, 34-36]. However, their distinct contributions to the overall abundance of the three H3K36me states are currently unclear. To better understand and decompose these contributions, we used CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing to establish a panel of single and combinatorial knockout mMSC lines, targeting the SET domain of each K36MT (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). We used MS and Western blots to quantify the overall changes to bulk levels of H3K36me across different conditions. As described, H3K36me3 is the least abundant methylation state in parental cells, marking approximately 7% of all H3 peptides (Fig. 1b), and consistent with previous reports in mMSCs, it is almost entirely deposited by SETD2 [33]. As shown by MS, cells lacking functional SETD2 (SETD2-KO) have at least a sixfold-reduction of H3K36me3 in comparison to parental cells (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a). Interestingly, in SETD2-KO cells, the levels of H3K36me1/2 increase relative to parental cells, which is consistent with fewer H3K36 residues being upgraded to higher orders of methylation (Fig. 2a).

To investigate the individual contributions of each K36MT to the global levels of H3K36me1/2, we generated individual NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, and ASH1L knockout cells, with three biological replicates for each cell line (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Strikingly, ablation of NSD3 and ASH1L appears to have no discernible effect on the global abundance of H3K36me1/2 (Fig. 2a). While both of these enzymes have previously been reported to deposit these modifications [35, 36], these results suggest that their effects may be restricted to specific loci or masked by the effects of other K36MTs, such as NSD1 or NSD2. In contrast, loss of NSD1 results in the greatest depletion of both H3K36me1/2, especially H3K36me2 which is reduced more than twofold, while in NSD2-KO cells, H3K36me2 levels are reduced by approximately 12% (Fig. 2a).

Further decreases of H3K36me2 are observed in multi-knockout conditions. The concurrent loss of NSD1 and NSD2 (DKO) in mMSCs leads to a threefold reduction in global H3K36me2 levels (Fig. 2a/b, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a, Fig. S3b), which is consistent with previous studies [33]. In triple knockout cells lacking NSD1/2-SETD2 (hereafter referred to as TKO), bulk levels of H3K36me2 are further reduced, suggesting that SETD2 may also contribute to the deposition of this mark (Fig. 2a/b, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a, Fig. S3b). Similar to SETD2-KO cells, TKO cells are nearly devoid of H3K36me3. Ablation of NSD3 in NSD1/2/3-SETD2 quadruple knockout cells and subsequently ASH1L in NSD1/2/3-SETD2-ASH1L quintuple knockout cells (hereafter referred to as QKO and QuiKO, respectively) results in a total loss of H3K36me2



**Fig. 2** Distinct alterations in H3K36me global abundance are found following single and combinatorial K36MT knockouts. **a** Barplots of genome-wide changes to H3K36me1/2/3 in various conditions as quantified by MS. Individual dots denote biological replicates within a condition (n = 3 per condition, except for QuiKO where an outlier was omitted for H3K36me1). Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. **b** Quantitative Western blots demonstrating changes to H3K36me1 (left) and H3K36me2 (right) in the various knockout conditions relative to parental cells. Immunoblot and protein gel cropped for relevant regions. Full total protein loading gel used for quantification of relative intensity illustrated in Additional file 1: Fig. S3b. **c** Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of depth-normalized log2 H3K36me ChIP-Seq signal over input based on 10 kilobase (kb) binned signals (after scaling and centering). Bins with raw read counts consistently lower than 100 across all samples were excluded. Each data point denotes a biological replicate. The colors represent the different conditions. Circles represent the parental and single-KO samples, and triangles represent the multiple-KO samples and H3K36M-OE

(Fig. 2a/b), and these observations are further supported by ChIP-Rx (Additional file 1: Fig. S3a).

We have previously explored and characterized mMSCs overexpressing the H3K36M oncohistone (H3K36M-OE), a mutation frequently identified in chondroblastoma patients, and found that one of the primary effects of this mutation is global H3K36 hypomethylation [33]. Comparing the effects of this mutation to our combinatorial knockouts, we find that the lowest levels of H3K36me are observed in the H3K36M-OE, QKO, and QuiKO cell lines, confirming that this mutation exerts a global effect on the activity of multiple K36MTs (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a, Fig. S3b). Indeed,

principal component analysis (PCA) of the three methylation states reveals that cells with multiple K36MT deletions cluster more closely with cells overexpressing the H3K36M mutation (Fig. 2c, Additional file 1: Fig. S3c). Interestingly, for H3K36me2, the NSD1-KO samples also cluster more closely with the multi-knockout and H3K36M-OE samples, supporting that NSD1 deposits the bulk of H3K36me2. In comparison, the other individual knockout conditions cluster more closely with parental cells (Fig. 2c, Additional file 1: Fig. S3c).

Overall, as it pertains to the global abundance of the three H3K36me states in mMSCs, SETD2 deposits nearly all H3K36me3, while NSD1 is responsible for the majority of bulk H3K36me1/2 levels. In comparison, NSD2 also deposits modest amounts of H3K36me1/2, while NSD3 and ASH1L have the smallest contributions.

#### H3K36me1 is primarily associated with gene bodies

In both disease and development, nearly all previous investigations of H3K36me and its respective writers have focused on the higher orders of methylation, H3K36me2/3, with H3K36me1 remaining comparatively understudied. As revealed by MS and ChIP-seq, the global abundance of H3K36me1 is significantly reduced in both NSD1/2-DKO and H3K36M-OE cells (Fig. 2a, Fig. 3a). In QKO and QuiKO cells, H3K36me1 is virtually absent. However, close analysis of the ChIP-seq profiles demonstrates that the distribution pattern of the extremely low levels of remaining H3K36me1 closely resembles that of parental cells (Fig. 2b, Fig. 3a). Given that the five most well-established K36MTs are ablated in the QuiKO condition, this intriguingly suggests that there may be another K36MT capable of depositing this modification.

Interestingly, in SETD2-KO cells, the global abundance of H3K36me1 increases (Fig. 2a/b, Fig. 3a). We hypothesized that the increase of H3K36me1 after loss of SETD2 is, at least in part, due to mono-methylated peptides no longer being upgraded to higher orders of methylation. Since SETD2 is known to act primarily within gene bodies, we assessed whether the increase of H3K36me1 occurs primarily within genes or IGRs. Indeed, in comparing the genic to intergenic ratios of H3K36me1 signal between parental and SETD2-KO cells, we find that the increase of H3K36me1 occurs predominantly within genes (Fig. 3b). Subsequent in-depth analysis of the changes within gene bodies reveals that the increase of H3K36me1 occurs primarily within exons in comparison to introns (Fig. 3c). Overall, these results support that when the activity of SETD2 is lost, the cascade of methylation from H3K36me1 to H3K36me3 is disrupted primarily in genes, and more specifically, within exons, leading to an increase of H3K36me1 in these regions. Furthermore, these results suggest that other K36MTs may prime gene bodies with H3K36me1 in advance of SETD2 activity, which is tightly associated with transcription.

#### Intergenic H3K36me2 is predominantly deposited by NSD1 and in part by NSD2 in mMSCs

We and others have previously found that one of the predominant effects of the H3K36M mutation is a marked reduction of intergenic H3K36me2, an effect that can be largely recapitulated from the combined loss of NSD1 and NSD2 (Fig. 4a) [33]. In this context, however, the individual contributions of NSD1 and NSD2 to the intergenic H3K36me2 landscape in mMSCs remain unclear. Therefore, we evaluated changes to



**Fig. 3** Effects of K36MT ablations and H3K36M-OE on H3K36me1 distribution patterns within genes. **a** Genome-browser tracks of MS-normalized H3K36me1 signal showing depletion in H3K36M-OE and multiple-KO conditions, and an increase in SETD2-KO cells. The two bottom tracks illustrate that despite NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO and NSD1/2/3-SETD2-ASH1L-QuiKO displaying reduced amounts of H3K36me1, the distribution patterns remain similar to that observed in parental cells. For each cell line, three replicates (n = 3) were merged. ChIP-seq signals were MS normalized and represent mean local frequency of the relevant modification. **b** Boxplots of H3K36me1 genic to intergenic ratios, indicating that the increase in SETD2-KO cells is found primarily within genic regions (n = 3 per condition). **c** Boxplots showing the exon versus intron H3K36me1 signal in parental and SETD2-KO cells, indicating increased signal at exons following SETD2-KO (n = 3 per condition). In the boxplots for **b** and **c**, boxes span the lower (first quartile) and upper quartiles (third quartile), median is indicated with a center line and whiskers extend to a maximum of 1.5 times the interquartile range

intergenic H3K36me2 in both NSD1-KO and NSD2-KO cells. Consistent with reports in other cell types [4, 15], loss of NSD1 results in the greatest depletion of intergenic H3K36me2, reducing it nearly twofold (Fig. 4a, Fig. 4b). In contrast, the loss of NSD2 also reduces intergenic H3K36me2, although to a much lower extent (Fig. 4a, Fig. 4b). Comparing these results to NSD1/2-DKO cells, we report that while both NSD1-KO and NSD2-KO cells have an effect on intergenic H3K36me2, neither condition alone can recapitulate the depletion observed in DKO cells, suggesting that NSD1 and NSD2 may propagate this mark in IGRs through an additive effect, with NSD1 playing the predominant role (Fig. 4a, Fig. 4b). In comparing the effects of the other K36MTs, no discernible changes to intergenic H3K36me2 are observed in SETD2-KO, NSD3-KO, or ASH1L-KO cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S4a).

Intergenic H3K36me2 domains span megabases and are essential components of the gene regulatory network, often demarcating enhancers and other CREs [15]. Therefore, it is worth noting that while most of the individual K36MTs do not exert a global effect on the broad intergenic distribution of H3K36me2, the distribution



**Fig. 4** Intergenic H3K36me2 decreases following NSD1-KO and is further reduced in H3K36M-OE and multi-knockout conditions. **a** Genome-browser tracks of H3K36me2 signal centered on intergenic regions (n = 9551) flanked by genic regions, highlighting reduced intergenic signal following loss of NSD1, more pronounced reductions in NSD1/2-DKO and H3K36M-OE cells, and the most significant depletions in subsequent multi-knockout conditions. For each cell line, three replicates (n = 3) were merged, except for DKO and K36M-OE where two replicates were merged. ChIP-seq signals were MS normalized and represent mean local frequency of the relevant modification. **b** Heatmaps showing H3K36me2 (input- and depth-normalized only) enrichment patterns  $\pm 20$  kb flanking IGRs, summarizing the H3K36me2 trends for multiple cell lines at IGRs. **c** H3K36me2 "peakiness scores", representing the average ChIP signal in the top 1% of 1-kb bins over the total signal, indicating more punctate distributions of H3K36me2 in multiple-KO conditions. Individual data points represent biological replicates for each condition (n = 3 per condition, except for DKO and K36M-OE where n = 2). Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

does become confined to smaller regions upon loss of NSD1 (Fig. 4a, Fig. 4c), supporting that NSD1 is the predominant K36MT in these regions and that the other K36MTs may act with more specificity. Indeed, even in the absence of NSD1, the combined catalytic activities of the other K36MTs are insufficient to rescue the loss of these broad H3K36me2 domains (Fig. 4a, Fig. 4b). The H3K36me2 signal is further attenuated in DKO cells and becomes progressively more punctate in subsequent multi-knockout conditions (i.e. in TKO, QKO, and QuiKO cells). To visualize this, we generated "peakiness" scores for all KO conditions, which represent the average H3K36me2 ChIP signal in the top 1% of 1-kb bins and where a higher score indicates a more punctate distribution (Fig. 4c, Additional file 1: Fig. S4b).

Taken together, NSD1 is consistently the primary K36MT responsible for the establishment and maintenance of broad intergenic H3K36me2 domains, while in mMSCs where it is expressed less, NSD2 also significantly contributes to the deposition of this mark (Additional file 1: Fig. S4c).

## Genic H3K36me distribution patterns are highly dependent on SETD2 occupancy

In the presence of the H3K36M mutation, which affects all K36MTs including SETD2, the profile of H3K36me2 within genes exhibits a shift towards 3' regions and becomes elevated within exons, as compared to introns (Fig. 5a-d). In NSD1/2-DKO cells, we found a similar trend, where although the preference for the 5' region of genes remains unchanged, the absence of NSD1/2 results in a remarkable change in the dependence on expression levels: H3K36me2 is now positively correlated with gene activity (Fig. 5b,c). While the distribution of H3K36me2 in the highly transcribed genes of DKO cells remains higher within introns than exons, in lowly transcribed genes there is now greater signal in exons compared to introns, similar to the distribution pattern of H3K36me3 (Fig. 1e), which may result from reduced occupancy of SETD2 in genes transcribed at lower frequencies (Fig. 5b). The observed distinction between the highly and lowly transcribed genes in DKO cells may be attributed to the increased prominence of SETD2 as the primary K36MT for H3K36me2 in genic regions, leading to the conversion of H3K36me2 to H3K36me3, specifically in highly transcribed genes. In genes transcribed with less frequency, however, SETD2 may primarily deposit H3K36me2 without further conversion.

Furthermore, in SETD2-KO cells, H3K36me2 is no longer converted to H3K36me3, and a slight positive shift in the correlation between H3K36me2 and gene expression is observed (Fig. 5b, Fig. 5c). In contrast, the individual knockouts of ASH1L, NSD1, NSD2, and NSD3 do not change the dependency of H3K36me2 on gene expression (Additional file 1: Fig. S5a). Nonetheless, the strong positive correlations between H3K36me2 and gene expression quantiles observed in H3K36M-OE, NSD1/2-DKO, and SETD2-KO cells are consistently observed when assessing genes on a genome-wide scale without segregating them based on quantiles, and in this context the overall signal demonstrates an exonic enrichment in comparison to introns (Fig. 5d, Additional file 1: Fig. S5b).

#### NSD3-mediated H3K36me2 is targeted to active regulatory regions

Although depletion of NSD1, NSD2, and SETD2 results in a progressive loss of H3K36me2, with NSD1/2 predominantly affecting intergenic regions, and SETD2 affecting gene-bodies, a non-negligible amount of H3K36me2 still remains in TKO cells (Fig. 2a, Fig. 6a). The profile of H3K36me2 becomes progressively more punctate, starting from megabase size euchromatic domains in parental cells, and ending with kilobase size broad "peaks" in the TKO cells (Fig. 6a). Close examination of the distribution in TKO cells reveals that most of these residual peaks are centered on TSS and enhancer regions (Fig. 6b, Fig. 6c). Adding more epigenetic information, we note that the majority of these broad peaks straddle regions of open chromatin, as demonstrated by ATAC-seq and the presence of H3K27ac (Fig. 6b, Fig. 6c).

In a wildtype context, it is currently unknown where NSD3 exerts its catalytic activity and to what extent. As previously described, in NSD3-KO cells there is almost no discernible change to the distribution or bulk levels of H3K36me2 (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Fig. S4a). Therefore, we hypothesized that the effects of NSD3 may be concealed by the activity of other K36MTs. In QKO cells, lacking NSD1/2/3-SETD2, nearly all remaining H3K36me2 is depleted (Fig. 6a), indicating that the residual peaks remaining



Fig. 5 Genic H3K36me2 distribution patterns are altered following SETD2-KO, NSD1/2-DKO, and H3K36M-OE. **a** Genome-browser tracks of MS-normalized H3K36me2 signal (merged replicates, n = 3 per condition) showing changes in genic distribution following specific K36MT knockouts. **b** Zoomed in aggregate view of H3K36me2 distribution in gene bodies across conditions, highlighting changes in exonic versus intronic signal and dependence on gene expression. Transcripts with at least 50,000 bp and 6 exons were used. An aggregate of MS-normalized H3K36me2 signals (merged replicates per condition, n = 3) from the first three exons and the last three exons are shown. Expression quantiles were calculated based on normalized expression counts from an average of parental replicates. Expression quantile 4 comprises transcripts with the highest expression whereas expression quantile 0 comprises transcripts with zero counts. **c** Correlation plots of depth-normalized H3K36me2 signal and gene expression quantiles, indicating a shift to significant positive correlations between H3K36me2 and gene expression following SETD2-KO, NSD1/2-DKO, and H3K36M-OE. Pearson's correlation coefficient (Pearson r) is given as well as the p-value of the linear correlation, which indicates whether the linear correlation between H3K36me2 signal within gene bodies and gene expression quantiles is significant for a given sample. ChIP-seq signals were normalized by dividing by the total alignments (CPM) to clearly visualize the differences in correlation across cell lines with gene expression and not intended to indicate differences in total H3K36me2 abundances. d Boxplots of mean H3K36me2 signal at exons versus introns, indicating a trend towards greater signal at exons compared to introns following SETD2-KO, NSD12-DKO, and H3K36M-OE. Boxes span the lower (first guartile) and upper guartiles (third quartile), median is indicated with a center line and whiskers extend to a maximum of 1.5 times the interquartile range

in TKO cells are deposited by NSD3. We used genomic element annotations and carried out enrichment analysis to characterize the NSD3-deposited H3K36me2 regions [37]. Confirming our previous observations, we find that the strongest functional H3K36me2



Fig. 6 NSD3 deposits H3K36me2 specifically at active promoters and enhancers. a Genome-browser tracks displaying MS-normalized H3K36me2 signals where the distribution becomes progressively more punctate in multiple-KO conditions. b Genome-browser tracks showing NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO (TKO) H3K36me2 signal at active enhancer regions, which are identified by the presence of ATAC-seq and H3K27ac peaks. c Genome-browser tracks displaying H3K36me2 signal for TKO at active promoter regions, which are identified by the presence of ATAC-seq and H3K27ac peaks. d Overlap enrichment results of Ensembl annotations with bins found in TKO but not in QKO (i.e. identified as NSD3-catalyzed H3K36me2). The size of the dots corresponds to the number of bins overlapping the corresponding annotation. \*\*\*\* represents p-value < 1e - 4 whereas \*\*\* represents p-value < 1e - 3 based on Fisher's exact test of bins overlapping a specific class of annotated regions versus a background of all bins in TKO. Only the top four most significant annotated regions are shown. e Heatmaps showing H3K36me2 (input- and depth-normalized as well as MS-scaled) signal centered on transcription start sites (TSS) in inactive (n = 18,290) and active promoters (n = 18,290) as well as H3K36me2 signal centered on inactive (n = 1758) and active enhancers (n = 1758), illustrating the loss of H3K36me2 signal following NSD3-KO. For **a**, **b**, **c**, and **e**, at least two replicates (n = 2) were merged for each ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq track. ChIP-seq signals were MS normalized and represent mean local frequency of the relevant modification. ATAC-seq signals were normalized by library depth

enrichment categories are at enhancer and promoter-flanking regions (Fig. 6d). After centering MS-normalized H3K36me2 signal on annotated enhancers and TSS', we find that the signal is abolished following loss of NSD3 in QKO cells (Fig. 6e). Thus, it appears that the residual H3K36me2 found in TKO cells is deposited by NSD3 and its activity is targeted specifically to active promoters and enhancers.

This finding implicates NSD3 in the targeted deposition of H3K36me2 around active regulatory elements (Fig. 6b–e). Because of the broad distribution of H3K36me2 in parental cells, this role only becomes evident in the absence of more catalytically active K36MTs. Indeed, in NSD3-KO cells, it appears that a compensatory effect may exist, where one or more of the other K36MTs may act to deposit H3K36me2 in regions where NSD3 would generally localize. Thus, while NSD3 is able to deposit substantial levels of H3K36me2, its mode of activity differs from NSD1/2: NSD1/2 establish broad H3K36me2 domains both within genes and IGRs, while NSD3 establishes more localized broad peaks centered on promoters and enhancers.

# ASH1L selectively deposits H3K36me2 at the regulatory elements of developmentally relevant genes

Previous studies of ASH1L have demonstrated that it is capable of depositing H3K36me2 and that it has been found to be enriched at the promoters of certain developmentally important genes [36, 38]. However, its global contributions to H3K36me2 and the precise genomic regions at which it exerts its catalytic activity remains to be elucidated. As described, we depleted ASH1L in parental mMSCs, and detected no discernible changes to the bulk levels or distribution of H3K36me2 (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Fig. S4a). The QKO cells, lacking NSD1/2/3 and SETD2, exhibit extremely low levels of H3K36me2, reduced by nearly  $30 \times$  compared to parental cells (Fig. 2a); however, we detected a few (n = 119) clearly defined broad (10-70 kb) peaks remaining across the genome (Fig. 7a). These peaks are again centered on regions of open chromatin accessibility, as determined by ATAC-seq, with approximately 60 promoter and 20 deep intergenic sites (Fig. 7a, Fig. 7b). Based on our previous findings, we hypothesized that these remaining peaks are deposited by ASH1L, and indeed, depletion of ASH1L in the QuiKO cells results in a total loss of all remaining H3K36me2 (Fig. 2a, Fig. 7a). It is remarkable that ASH1L exhibits such high specificity for a few selected regulatory regions. To identify possible associations between ASH1L and known DNA binding factors, we carried out motif analysis under the ATAC-seq peaks within the ASH1L-associated regions. We identified enrichment of binding motifs for several developmentally important transcription factors (Fig. 7c). Using mMSC RNA-seq data [39], we find that PBX2 is the only one of these transcription factors that is expressed, implicating PBX2 as the best candidate for recruiting ASH1L to these regions (Fig. 7c, Additional file 1: Fig. S6a). Overall, in mMSCs, ASH1L appears to be the least prolific of the K36MTs and exhibits high specificity for its recruitment to regulatory elements.

## Discussion

Over the past decade, a growing body of evidence has demonstrated that H3K36me is essential for the establishment and maintenance of gene regulatory programs [6]. Chromosomal abnormalities and loss or gain of function mutations affecting the writers



**Fig. 7** ASH1L-mediated H3K36me2 deposition is targeted to regulatory elements of developmentally relevant genes. **a** Genome-browser tracks of MS-normalized H3K36me2 signal for QKO and QuiKO, depicting a region of punctate peaks remaining in QKO cells that are depleted upon subsequent loss of ASH1L. Zooming into one of the peaks shows that they are still quite broad (10–70 kb). Three replicates were merged (n=3) for each ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq track. H3K36me2 ChIP-seq signals were MS normalized and represent mean local frequency of the relevant modification. ATAC-seq signals were normalized by library depth. **b** Pie chart depicting the distribution of remaining H3K36me2 peaks in QKO cells. Overlapping peaks from three biological replicates were exclusively used in the analysis. **c** Enriched motifs found in open chromatin regions (as marked by ATAC-seq peaks) within H3K36me2 domains in QKO cells, indicating potential recruitment factors for ASH1L

of these marks leads to many different diseases and cancer, underscoring the need to understand how the family of H3K36 methyltransferases regulate their deposition. Our study provides new insights into the distinct genomic preferences of the K36MTs—SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, and ASH1L—and helps to consolidate a wealth of previous observations (Fig. 8).

As has been previously reported, SETD2 is the dominant H3K36me3-catalyzing enzyme in mammals, but still possesses the ability to deposit H3K36me1/2 [11] (Fig. 8). Here, we find that in the absence of SETD2, the levels of H3K36me3 decrease significantly and its genic enrichment disappears. At the same time, the genic distribution of the lower H3K36me2 modification loses its intronic versus exonic enrichment and becomes more correlated with transcription—reflecting its SETD2-independent deposition patterns. This observation highlights the coupling of SETD2 to the phosphorylated RNAPII complex and the transcriptional dependence of its catalytic products. 5'



**Fig. 8** The K36MTs have distinct genomic preferences in the deposition of H3K36me. **a** SETD2 couples to the phosphorylated RNAPII complex (RNA Pol II) and deposits H3K36me3 at exons with higher enrichment in 3' regions where exon density becomes higher. This takes place at the expense of H3K36me2, which display the opposite 5' to 3' and exon/intron trends. **b** NSD1 is recruited to active CpG islands and then spreads outwards into both gene bodies and intergenic regions, depositing broad H3K36me2 domains. **c** NSD2 may have a specific affinity for depositing H3K36me2 within genes, while also depositing broad intergenic H3K36me2 domains. **d** NSD3 spreads outwards from CpG-rich regulatory elements and deposits narrower H3K36me2 peaks. **e** ASH1L primarily deposits H3K36me2 at the promoters and enhancers of specific genes. Created with BioRender.com

genic regions generally contain long, rapidly transcribed introns, not allowing SETD2 sufficient time to catalyze the final H3K36me3 step and resulting in predominantly H3K36me1/2 marked nucleosomes [40]. The co-transcriptional process of pre-mRNA splicing slows down the RNAPII complex, thereby allowing more H3K36me3 to be deposited at exons and towards the 3<sup>'</sup> ends of genes, as exon density becomes higher [41–43]. This takes place at the expense of H3K36me1/2, which display the opposite 5<sup>'</sup>

to 3' and exon/intron trends. All of the above trends become more pronounced in the absence of NSD1/2, where SETD2 remains the dominant K36MT within gene bodies. Finally, the absence of SETD2 results in an overall increase of H3K36me1/2, reflecting the ability of other enzymes to deposit these two marks and their ineffectiveness in catalyzing the last methylation step.

NSD1 is a demonstrated agent of intergenic H3K36me2 deposition. In HNSCC and mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs), loss of NSD1 results in a near complete depletion of intergenic H3K36me2 [4, 15]. Here, in mMSCs, we also find NSD1 to significantly affect intergenic H3K36me2 levels, demonstrating the largest decrease among all individual K36MT KOs. The effects of NSD1 depletion on H3K36me1/3 are much less pronounced. We find that the decrease in H3K36me2 is largest in intergenic regions, however, comparing the single NSD1-KO to NSD1/2-DKO shows that this intergenic depletion is not complete and that in this cell type, NSD2 and perhaps other enzymes, play a notable role in the deposition of H3K36me2. A recent study demonstrates that NSD1 is recruited to active CGIs, implying that NSD1-associated H3K36me1/2 may then spread outwards into both gene bodies and intergenic regions (Fig. 8) [44]. Within gene bodies, it is then upgraded to H3K36me3 by providing H3K36me1/2 as the initial substrate.

NSD2 is known to be able to create broad domains of H3K36me2, but this has primarily been shown in the context of oncogenic overexpression [23]. The catalytic properties of NSD2 under normal physiological conditions have not been well characterized. While NSD2 deposits H3K36me1/2, we find that the individual knockout of NSD2 results in a notable decrease of all H3K36 methylation levels, with the largest fractional decrease occurring for H3K36me3, followed by H3K36me2/1. The depletion of H3K36me2/1 is less pronounced than that observed in NSD1-KO cells, suggesting that similar to other model systems, NSD1 is the dominant H3K36me1/2 methyltransferase operating in euchromatic intergenic regions. However, in mMSCs, it appears that both NSD1 and NSD2 cooperate to produce the intergenic distribution of H3K36me1/2 and the results of individual KOs, as compared to the NSD1/2-DKO is consistent with their additive effect. Interestingly, NSD2-KO appears to result in a slightly greater decrease of H3K36me3, as compared to NSD1-KO. This is consistent with published data showing enrichment of NSD2 within actively transcribed gene bodies [45], leading to the possibility that NSD2 is particularly effective in depositing H3K36me1/2 within genes, possibly—more specifically than NSD1—acting as a priming substrate for the deposition of H3K36me3 by SETD2 (Fig. 8).

As expected, the NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO cells exhibit very low levels of all three H3K36 methylation marks. However, levels of H3K36me1/2 in particular remain detectable, and a clear enrichment signal is detectable by ChIP-seq. In the case of H3K36me2, there is a remarkable change in the distribution of the signal: while in parental cells H3K36me2 is broadly distributed, and in NSD1/2-DKO cells the signal is mostly restricted to active gene bodies, in TKO cells the signal becomes constrained to far narrower peaks centered on open chromatin and surrounding enhancer and promoter regions. Although the individual KO of NSD3 has little discernible effect on H3K36 methylation, we propose that the other K36MTs can compensate for its absence, and its activity only

becomes discernible in the TKO background. As predicted, further deletion of NSD3, creating a QKO cell line, results in a near total depletion of all H3K36me levels. Previous work shows that the short isoform of NSD3 is recruited to active regulatory elements by BRD4 [46], and it is likely that the same is true of the full-length isoform of NSD3. Our results are consistent with those observations, suggesting that NSD3, like NSD1, spreads outwards from CpG-rich regulatory elements. However, NSD3 is not able to create very broad euchromatic H3K36me2 domains, resulting in the formation of much narrower peaks (Fig. 8).

Finally, we find ASH1L to be the least prolific, and most specific K36MT. Similar to NSD3, the individual knockout of ASH1L has no detectable effect on H3K36me levels and distribution, implying that in this cell type, other K36MTs can compensate for its loss. By comparing the QKO to the QuiKO cells, we show that ASH1L is only responsible for depositing H3K36me2 at the promoters and enhancers of approximately 100 developmentally related genes, and its ability to spread the modification is even lower than that of NSD3 (Fig. 8). It remains to be seen what mechanisms govern the recruitment of ASH1L to those specific regions. TFBS analysis suggests that specific transcription factors, particularly from the PBX family may be involved.

Our results illuminate some of the intricate dependencies governing the K36MTs and the deposition of H3K36 methylation. The overall outcomes depend on several key variables: genomic recruitment and the distribution of K36MTs; their ability to spread and read existing modifications through their PWWP domains; their catalytic activities; and finally, expression levels in various cellular contexts (Additional file 1: Fig. S4c). Many of these parameters are being continually refined. As it pertains to the levels of expression in our system, we explored the possibility that the absence of some K36MTs may result in attempts at compensation and upregulation of others. We found no general evidence supporting compensatory effects (Additional file 1: Fig. S6b), except for an approximately twofold upregulation of NSD2 in NSD1-KO cells. Although this increase appears unable to functionally compensate for the loss of NSD1—NSD1-KO cells exhibit the largest decrease in intergenic H3K36me2 of all individual KOs (Additional file 1: Fig. S4a) and are most similar to multiple KOs (Fig. 2c)—it is intriguing and warrants further investigation of its mechanisms in mMSCs and other model systems.

In this study, we find a hierarchy of K36MT activities pertaining to the deposition of H3K36me1/2, with NSD1 > NSD2 > NSD3 > ASH1L. We find that in contrast to mESCs, the function of NSD1 is less prominent and NSD2 contributes significantly to the normal deposition of H3K36me2 in mMSCs. SETD2 retains its position as the dominant H3K36me3 methyltransferase. Finally, trace levels of H3K36me1 remain in the QuiKO cells, and the distribution patterns largely mirror those observed in parental cells. Other protein families outside of the scope of this study have also been implicated in the deposition of H3K36me, and the residual H3K36me1 in QuiKO cells indicates that another enzyme may be responsible for its deposition, albeit at extremely low levels.

H3K36 methylation also has profound impacts on the deposition of other histone marks and DNAme. In the context of active transcription, H3K36me2/3 coexist with marks such as H3K4me1/3, H3K27ac, and DNAme either within genes and/or at CREs. Conversely, H3K36me2/3 tend not to coexist with marks that define constitutive or facultative heterochromatin, such as H3K9me3 and H3K27me3, respectively. In the

presence of mutations affecting the deposition of H3K36 methylation, such as H3K36M, the downstream consequences affect the establishment and maintenance of all these marks, and in turn result in aberrant gene expression [4, 14, 15, 33]. Therefore, through better understanding the regulation of H3K36 methylation, our work helps pave the way for future studies to further define these and other relationships that exist between epigenetic marks.

#### Conclusions

Here, we identify both unique and overlapping roles by the family of K36MTs in their regulation of H3K36me. Our findings demonstrate that within transcribed genes, SETD2 deposits H3K36me3 and possibly H3K36me2, while the other K36MTs are capable of depositing H3K36me1/2 independently of SETD2 activity. In most cell types, NSD1 is responsible for most propagation of intergenic H3K36me2; however, in mMSCs, NSD2 also contributes to its deposition in these regions. We also identified that the catalytic products of NSD3 are primarily focused on active promoters and enhancers and that the activity of ASH1L is even more restricted to specific developmental genes and their regulatory elements. Given their implications in both development and disease, our study helps to illuminate how the family of K36MTs collectively regulate the deposition of H3K36me. Despite the overlap in the regions where each K36MT exerts its catalytic functions, they each have unique properties in the propagation of these marks.

## **Materials and methods**

## Cell culture, CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing, and generation of stable cell lines

C3H10T1/2 mouse mesenchymal stem cells (ATCC) were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and supplemented with 1% GlutaMax. Drosophila S2 cells were cultured in Schneider's Drosophila medium (ThermoFisher) containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS. All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination. To generate knockout cell lines,  $3.5 \times 10^5$  C3H10T1/2 cells were nucleofected with ribonucleoprotein (RNP)-mediated CRISPR-Cas9 using the Alt-R CRISPR-Cas9 System (IDT) and Lonza Amaxa® SE Cell Line 4D Nucleofector kit (V4XC-1032, program CA-133). Synthetic crRNA guides were designed (Additional File 2: Table S1) and combined with Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA, ATTO 550 (IDT) and coupled to Alt-R<sup>®</sup> S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3 following the IDT protocol "Delivery of ribonucleoprotein complexes using the Lonza<sup>®</sup> Nucleofector System" prior to nucleofection. The transfected cells were incubated for 48 h. Single ATTO 550-positive cells were then sorted into 96-well plates. Individual clones were then expanded and validated by MiSeq sequencing using specific primers for target loci (Additional File 2: Table S1). Three biological clones were chosen and expanded for each knockout cell line and used in all subsequent assays. C3H10T1/2 cells overexpressing the H3K36M mutation were provided by the lab of Dr. Chao Lu (Stanford University), and C3H10T1/2 NSD1/2-DKO and C3H10T1/2 NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO cells were provided by the lab of Dr. C. David Allis (Rockefeller University).

## **Crosslinking and ChIP-seq**

Approximately 20 million mMSC cells per cell line were used. Crosslinking was performed in 150-mm cell culture plates using 1% formaldehyde (Sigma) at room

temperature with gentle rocking for 10 min. The crosslinking reaction was quenched using 1.25 M Glycine with gentle rocking at room temperature for 5 min. Fixed cell preparations were washed with ice-cold PBS, scraped, and then washed twice more with ice-cold PBS. Crosslinked pellets were resuspended in 500 µl cell lysis buffer (5 mM pH 8.5 PIPES, 85 mM KCl, 1% (v/v) IGEPAL CA-630, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM phenylarsine oxide, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet) and incubated for 30 min on ice. Samples were centrifuged and pellets were resuspended in 500 µl of nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM pH 8.0 Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% (w/v) SDS, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM phenylarsine oxide, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet) and incubated for 30 min on ice. Sonication of lysed nuclei was performed using the BioRuptor UCS-300 at maximum intensity for 75–90 cycles (10 s on, 20 s breaks). Sonication efficiency to achieve fragments between 150 and 500 bp was evaluated using gel electrophoresis on a reversed-crosslinked and purified aliquot from each sample. After sonication, chromatin was diluted to reduce SDS levels to 0.1% and concentrated using Nanosep 10 K OMEGA (Pall) columns. Prior to the chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) reaction, 2% sonicated Drosophila S2 cell chromatin was spiked into each sample for quantification of total levels of histone marks. The ChIP reactions were performed using the Diagenode SX-8G IP-Star Compact and Diagenode automated iDeal ChIP-seq Kit for Histones. Dynabeads Protein A (Invitrogen) were washed, then incubated with specific antibodies (Additional File 3: Table S2), 1.5 million cells of sonicated cell lysate, and protease inhibitors for 10 h, followed by a 20-min wash cycle using the provided wash buffers (Diagenode Immunoprecipitation Buffers, iDeal ChIP-seq Kit for Histones). Reverse cross-linking was then performed using 5 M NaCl at 65  $^\circ\!C$  for 4 h. ChIP samples were then treated with 2  $\mu l$  RNase Cocktail at 65 °C for 30 min followed by 2 μl Proteinase K at 65 °C for 30 min. Samples were then purified with QIAGEN MinElute PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) as per the manufacturer's protocol. In parallel, input samples (chromatin from about 50,000 cells) were reverse crosslinked and DNA was isolated following the same protocol. Library preparation was performed using the Kapa Hyper Prep library preparation reagents following the manufacturer's protocol (Kapa Hyper Prep Kit, Roche 07962363001). ChIP libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 at 50-bp single reads or NovaSeq 6000 at 100-bp single reads.

## Visualization

Unless otherwise stated, figures were created using ggplot2 [47] v3.3.0. Coverage/alignment tracks were visualized using pyGenomeTracks [48] v3.2.1.

### ChIP-seq processing and analysis

Publicly available NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO H3K27ac ChIP-seq data was used in this study [39].

Reads were processed as described [4], where they were aligned using BWA [49] to a combined reference of mm10 and dm6 and afterwards filtered using a cut-off of MAPQ < 3 using Samtools [50]. Samclip v.0.2 (samtools view -h in.bam | samclip –ref ref. fa | samtools sort > out.bam) was used to filter contaminated sequences for H3K36me2

NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO and NSD1/2/3-SETD2-ASH1L-QuiKO replicates prior to alignment [51].

Raw tag counts were binned into windows using bedtools [52] v2.29.0 as previously described [15] in different sized bins (1 kb and 10 kb). deepTools [53] v3.3.1 was used to normalize ChIP signals either by dividing the total alignments (in millions) (bamCoverage -b \$BAM -o \$OUTPUT.bigWig -normalizeUsing CPM -centerReads -e 200) or additionally taking the log2 ratio of ChIP signals by those of the input (bamCompare -b1 \$BAM ChIP -b \$BAM Input -o OUTPUT.bigWig -e 200 -centerReads -bs 200 -black-ListFileName \$BL\_bed -normalizeUsing CPM -scaleFactorsMethods None). PCA and hierarchical clustering based on Pearson's correlation matrix were generated using the log2 ratio of ChIP signals over input values from 10-kb bins. To generate merged coverage tracks, following CPM normalization as indicated above, replicates were merged in a stepwise fashion using bigwigCompare from deepTools with parameters "-b1 rep1 -b2 rep2 \$outdir -operation mean -bs 200 -o \$merged.step1.cpm.bw" and "-b1 merged. step1.cpm.bw -b2 rep3 \$outdir -operation mean -bs 200 -o \$merged.final.cpm.bw". A normalization factor was computed by multiplying the genome-wide modification percentage values obtained from mass spectrometry (these values were averaged per condition) by the total number of bins and dividing by the total signal (in CPM) for a given bigWig file, which consists of merged replicates. This normalization factor was then multiplied to the depth-normalized signal (in CPM) for each merged sample to generate MS-normalized coverage tracks. The ENCODE blacklist [54] was used.

Gene body distribution plots were generated using computeMatrix using genes with at least 50,000 bp width and an exon count of at least 6. Furthermore, to avoid low gene counts, only the top 50th percentile (mean counts>0.012 after normalization using DESeq2 and adjusted for gene length). Only the first and last three exon/intron pairs are shown in the plots. Annotated promoters were defined as 1000 bp upstream of the transcription start sites. The depth-normalized mean signal found in each annotation was calculated using computeMatrix with parameters "scale-regions -R \$feature.bed -S \$sample.bw -bl \$BL\_bed -b 0 -a 0 -m 1000 -bs 5 -samplesLabel \$sample\_name". Correlation plots depicting the relationship between H3K36me2 and gene expression quantiles were created by plotting the average depth-normalized H3K36me signal within gene bodies, taking into account the exclusion criteria mentioned above. This was plotted against the average gene expression signal within each quantile.

Enrichment matrices for aggregate plots and heatmaps were generated using computeMatrix from deepTools for intergenic regions (scale-regions –regionBodyLength 20,000 –beforeRegionStartlength 20,000 –afterRegionStartLength 20,000 –binSize 1000), for promoters (reference-point -R \$Promoters -bl \$BL\_bed –referencePoint center –binSize 50 -a 3000 -b 3000 –missingDataAsZero –skipZeros) and for enhancers (reference-point -R \$Enhancers -bl \$BL\_bed –referencePoint center –binSize 50 -a 3000 -b 3000 –missingDataAsZero –skipZeros). Genic regions were taken as the union of any intervals having the "gene" annotation in Ensembl and intergenic regions thus defined as the complement of genic ones. The ratio of intergenic enrichment over neighboring genes was calculated as previously described [15]. The exon-to-intron ratio was determined by utilizing the deepTools plotEnrichment tool to quantify H3K36me levels in annotated exons and introns sourced from Ensembl. Subsequently, the counts were normalized based on sample library depth and total length of annotations. Active enhancers were identified as those containing parental/wildtype ATAC-seq peaks, while inactive enhancers lacked such peaks. To ensure equal numbers of active and inactive enhancers, random sampling without replacement was performed, resulting in a sample size of n=1758 for active enhancers and n=1758 for inactive enhancers. computeMatrix using the parameters "reference-point -R \$inactive\_enhancers \$active\_enhancers -bl \$BL\_bed -referencePoint center -binSize 50 -a 3000 -b 3000 -missingDataAsZero -skipZeros -samplesLabel \$samples\_label -o \$mat.gz". The same parameters for computeMatrix were used to generate heatmaps for inactive and active promoters.

"Peakiness" scores were computed as the average read-depth normalized coverage of the top 1% most covered 1-kb windows across the genome, excluding those overlapping blacklisted regions, as previously described [4].

Ten-kilobase bins with H3K36me2 signal in NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO not found in NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO were used for overlap enrichment analysis. Overlap enrichment was determined with all the bins as the background set as implemented in LOLA [55] v1.16.0 for Ensembl [37] 97 annotations (genes and regulatory build [56]).

SPAN [57] v1.1, a semi-supervised peak-caller, was used to call peaks for NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO samples (java -Xmx8G -jar span.jar analyze -t \$ChIP.bam -c \$Control. bam –cs \$mm10.chrom.sizes -p \$Results.peak). ChIPseeker was applied (with options: genomicAnnotationPriority = c("Intergenic", "Promoter", "Exon", "Intron"), tssRegion = c(-1000, 1000)) to obtain the genomic feature distribution for the intersect of peaks from NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO replicates [58].

Motifs were obtained using HOMER [59] v4.11 for ATAC-seq peaks within H3K36me2 peaks in the intersection of peaks from NSD1/2/3-SETD2-QKO replicates.

To generate genome-wide correlation plots between genes and H3K36me2 signal, we utilized featureCounts [60] (version 1.5.3) to count H3K36me2 reads within exons, which were then aggregated for all exons belonging to their corresponding genes. Subsequently, the raw counts underwent normalization using DESeq2's median of ratios method [61], scaled according to the total length of exons for each gene and log transformed after removing any normalized read counts equal to zero.

ChIP-Rx was processed as previously described [33]. ChIP-Rx was calculated for each sample by dividing the ratio of the total ChIP-seq reads mapping to the mm10 over the total ChIP-seq reads mapping to dm6 over the ratio of the total input reads mapping to mm10 over the total input reads mapping to dm6 (see below). See Additional File 4: Table S3 for calculated ChIP-Rx values.

$$ChIP - Rx = \frac{ratio(ChIP)}{ratio(Input)}$$
  $ratio(X) = \frac{\# of X reads mapped to mm10 genome}{\# of X reads mapped to dm6 genome}$ 

## ATAC-seq

ATAC-Seq library preparation was performed according to the Omni-ATAC protocol [62]. Fifty thousand C3H10T1/2 cultured cells were resuspended in 1 ml of cold ATAC-seq resuspension buffer (RSB; 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, and 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> in water). Cells were centrifuged at 500 rcf for 5 min in a pre-chilled (4 °C) fixed-angle

centrifuge. After centrifugation, supernatant was aspirated and cell pellets were then resuspended in 50 µl of ATAC-seq RSB containing 0.1% IGEPAL, 0.1% Tween-20, and 0.01% digitonin by pipetting up and down three times. This cell lysis reaction was incubated on ice for 3 min. After lysis, 1 ml of ATAC-seq RSB containing 0.1% Tween-20 (without IGEPAL and digitonin) was added, and the tubes were inverted to mix. Nuclei were then centrifuged for 10 min at 500 rcf in a pre-chilled (4 °C) fixed-angle centrifuge. Supernatant was removed and nuclei were resuspended in 50 µL transposition mix (2 × TD Buffer, 100 nM final transposase, 16.5 µL PBS, 0.5 µL 1% digitonin, 0.5 µL 10% Tween-20, 5 µL H<sub>2</sub>O) (Illumina Tagment DNA Enzyme and Buffer Small Kit, 20,034,197). Transposition reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in a thermomixer with shaking at 1000 rpm. Reactions were cleaned up with DNA Clean and Concentrator 5 columns (Zymo Research). Illumina Nextera DNA Unique Dual Indexes Set C (Illumina, 20,027,215) were added and amplified (12 cycles) using NEBNext 2 × MasterMix. Sequencing of the ATAC-Seq libraries was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system using 100-bp paired-end sequencing.

## ATAC-seq processing and analysis

Publicly available parental/wildtype ATAC-Seq data from Rajagopalan et al. [39] was used to distinguish between inactive and active enhancers. Raw reads were trimmed and filtered for quality using Trimmomatic v0.39 [63]. Trimmed reads were mapped to the mm10 genome assembly using Bowtie2 v2.5.1 [64], and non-uniquely mapping reads were removed. Afterwards, the reads were adjusted by shifting all positive-strand reads 4 bp downstream and all negative-strand reads 5 bp upstream to the center of the reads on the transposase binding event. Peak calling was performed on each replicate using MACS2 v2.2.6 [65] with "-extsize 200 -shift -100 –nomodel" parameters. To find a set of reproducible peaks across replicates, we calculated the irreproducible discovery rate (IDR) [66] and excluded peaks with an IDR greater than 0.05 across every pair of replicates. Subsequently, the ENCODE blacklist [54] was used to filter the peaks. Coverage tracks were generated using bamCoverage with parameters "-b \$BAM -o \$OUTPUT. bigWig –normalizeUsing CPM –centerReads -e 200 –minMappingQuality 5 -bs 200".

#### **RNA-seq processing and analysis**

Publicly available RNA-seq data in mMSC was used for this study, specifically three replicates of parental C3H10T1/2 mouse mesenchymal stem cells [39]. Raw reads were aligned to mm10 genome build using STAR version 2.5.3a [67]. Afterwards, feature-Counts [60] (version 1.5.3) was used to count exonic reads from the GTF annotation (GENCODE version from UCSC). Expression was normalized using DESeq2's median of ratios [61] and divided by the aggregate length of exons per gene (reads per kilobases). GENCODE VM25 gene annotation was used to filter for transcripts that are at least 50,000 bp and have at least 6 exons. Genes consisting of these transcripts were categorized into five groups based on their expression levels, which was calculated by taking the average of parental (wildtype) replicates. After filtering for genes below the 50th percentile (normalized counts greater than 0.01), the remaining genes were divided into four quantiles and hence four groups of genes based on expression level. A fifth group of genes were designated that had zero expression. The transcripts for each of these genes

were then further subdivided into 10 kb upstream of the promoter, promoter region, the first three exon/intron pairs, the last three exon/introns pairs, and lastly, 10 kb down-stream of the last exon. Enrichment matrices were then generated for each feature and for each gene expression quantile using deepTools' computeMatrix (computeMatrix scale-regions -R \$Feature.bed -S \$Sample.bigWig -bl \$BL.bed -b 0 -a 0 -m 1000 -bs 5 -o \$output.mat.gz).

For obtaining "Active promoters" and "Inactive promoters", the remaining genes after filtering for zero reads were divided into three quantiles. The four groups were randomly downsampled to the group with the lowest number of genes. Finally, promoters were obtained using GenomicRanges::promoters() v3.17 [68] for these four groups of genes. Here, the "Active promoters" are referred to as an aggregate of the top two groups with the highest gene expression and hence most active promoters, "Inactive promoters. To ensure equal numbers of active and inactive promoters, random sampling without replacement was performed, resulting in a sample size of n = 18,290 for active promoters and n = 18,290 for inactive promoters.

To compare levels of H3K36 methyltransferases gene expression across KO cell lines, gene expression was normalized using DESeq2's FPKM function with total exon length as input for each gene, with the parameters: DESeq2::fpkm(dds,robust = TRUE). Afterwards, multiple *t*-tests were performed comparing each KO cell line to the parental (PA) cell line, and the *p*-values were adjusted using a false discovery rate (FDR) correction.

# Histone acid extraction, histone derivatization, and analysis of post-translational modifications by nano-LC–MS

Four million cells from each clonal mMSC line were collected and frozen at -80 °C. Thawed pellets were lysed in nuclear isolation buffer (15 mM Tris pH 7.5, 60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM CaCl2, 250 mM sucrose, 10 mM sodium butyrate, 0.1% (v/v) beta-mercaptoethanol, commercial phosphatase, and protease inhibitor cocktail tablets) containing 0.3% NP-40 alternative on ice for 5 min. Nuclei were subsequently washed twice in the same buffer without NP-40, and pellets were resuspended using gentle vortexing in chilled 0.4 N H2SO4, followed by a 3-h incubation while rotating at 4 °C. After centrifugation, supernatants were collected and proteins were precipitated in 20% TCA overnight at 4 °C, washed with 0.1% HCl (v/v) acetone once, followed by two washes with acetone alone. Histones were resuspended in deionized water. Acidextracted histones (20  $\mu$ g) were resuspended in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0), derivatized using propionic anhydride, and digested with trypsin as previously described [15]. After the second round of propionylation, the resulting histone peptides were desalted using C18 Stage Tips, dried using a centrifugal evaporator and reconstituted using 0.1% formic acid in preparation for LC-MS analysis. Nanoflow liquid chromatography was performed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vanquish Neo UHPLC equipped with an Easy-Spray<sup>™</sup> PepMap<sup>™</sup> Neo nano-column (2 µm, C18, 75 µm × 150 mm). Buffer A was 0.1% formic acid and Buffer B was 0.1% formic acid in 80% acetonitrile. Peptides were resolved using at room temperature with a mobile phase consisting of a linear gradient from 1 to 45% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in 100% acetonitrile) in solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) over 85 min and then 45 to 98% solvent B over 5 min at a flow rate

of 300 nL/min. The HPLC was coupled online to an Orbitrap Exploris 240 (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer operating in the positive mode using a Nanospray Flex Ion Source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1.9 kV. A full MS scan was acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer across 350–1050 m/z at a resolution of 120,000 in positive profile mode with an auto maximum injection time and an AGC target of 300%. Parallel reaction monitoring experiments were followed for monitoring the targeted peptides based on the inclusion list. Targeted ions were fragmented using HCD fragmentation. These scans typically used an NCE of 30, an AGC target standard, and an auto maximum injection time. Raw files were analyzed using EpiProfile 2.0 [69] and Skyline [70]. The area for each modification state of a peptide was normalized against the total signal for that peptide to give the relative abundance of the histone modification. See Additional File 5: Table S4 and Additional File 6: Table S5 for raw m/z data per modification and calculated MS values, respectively.

## Western blotting

For each C3H10T1/2 Western blot sample, 1 million cells were collected and counted using the automated Countess II cell counter (ThermoFisher). Each cell pellet was washed with PBS. For histone marks, each pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of 1X Laemmli lysis buffer, 1:100 proteinase inhibitor cocktail, and 0.1 mM PMSF (Laemmli 6X stock contains 0.35 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 10% SDS, 20% beta-mercaptoethanol, 0.04% bromophenol blue, in water). Samples were then sonicated using the Bioruptor UCD-300 for 10 cycles at max intensity (30 s on, 20 s breaks), and ran on stain-free TGX 4-15% gradient pre-cast gels (Bio-Rad, 4,568,084). Semi-dry electrotransfer onto a PVDF membrane was completed using the trans-blot Turbo Transfer system (Bio-Rad, Trans-blot® Turbo RTA Mini LF PVDF Transfer Kit, 1,704,274). PVDF membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in TBSt, and probed overnight with the respective primary antibody (Additional File 3: Table S2). Following washes with TBSt, membranes were incubated for 1 h with anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in 2% BSA-TBSt. Imaging was completed using the ECL Clarity or Clarity Max solutions (Bio-Rad, 1,705,060, 1,705,062). Relative intensities quantified using the Bio-Rad Image Lab<sup>™</sup> Software (RRID:SCR\_014210).

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03415-3.

- Additional file 1: Supplementary figures.
- Additional file 2: CRISPR gRNA and validation primer sequences.
- Additional file 3: Antibodies and relevant manufacturer information.
- Additional file 4: Calculated ChIP-Rx values.
- Additional file 5: Raw mass spectrometry m/z data.
- Additional file 6: Calculated mass spectrometry values.
- Additional file 7: Peer review history.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all members of the J.M., B.G., and C.L. groups for their input and feedback. We thank the McGill University Genome Centre for their expertise in sample quality verification and sequencing services. We thank the group of C.L. for generation of C3H10T1/2 cells overexpressing the H3K36M mutation and Dr. Daniel Weinberg for earlier work

that generated many of the resources that became the core of this research. We owe an unmeasurable debt of gratitude to Dr. David Allis who, although no longer with us, continues to inspire our investigations of chromatin biology.

#### **Review history**

The review history is available as Additional file 7.

#### Peer review information

Tim Sands was the primary editor of this article and managed its editorial process and peer review in collaboration with the rest of the editorial team.

#### Authors' contributions

G.S. and R.P. contributed equally to this work. Study design, G.S., R.P., and J.M. Writing (original draft), G.S., R.P., and J.M. Laboratory experiments, G.S. Bioinformatic analyses, R.P. and B.H. Data processing, R.P. and E.B. Mass spectrometry and subsequent analysis, F.V. and J.G. Supervision, J.M., B.G., and C.L. Funding acquisition, J.M., B.G., and C.L. All author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

J.M., B.G., and C.L. are supported by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant P01-CA196539. C.L. is supported by the following NIH grants: R35GM138181 and R01CA266978. B.G. is supported by the St. Jude Chromatin Consortium grant and NIH grant R01HD106051. G.S. was supported by the Gershman Memorial and Kangles Fellowships through the McGill University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences. R.P. is supported by the Fonds de Recherche du Québec Santé.

#### Availability of data and materials

The publicly available NSD1/2-SETD2-TKO H3K27ac ChIP-seq data, Parental ATAC-seq and Parental RNA-seq data were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GSE160266 [71]. Raw mass spectrometry data is available in the MassIVE Repository (#MSV000093049) [72]. Newly generated mMSC sequencing data (ChIP-Seq and ATAC-Seq) are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GSE160266 [73]. All code used to perform the analyses presented here can be accessed in the GitHub repository: (https://github.com/padilr1/GenomeBiol\_H3K36me\_Shipm an) [74] and in Zenodo: (https://zenodo.org/doi/https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13839936) [75], under the GNU General Public License V3.0.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Received: 22 September 2023 Accepted: 1 October 2024 Published online: 10 October 2024

#### References

- 1. Pokholok DK, Harbison CT, Levine S, Cole M, Hannett NM, Lee TI, Bell GW, Walker K, Rolfe PA, Herbolsheimer E, et al. Genome-wide map of nucleosome acetylation and methylation in yeast. Cell. 2005;122:517–27.
- Edmunds JW, Mahadevan LC, Clayton AL. Dynamic histone H3 methylation during gene induction: HYPB/Setd2 mediates all H3K36 trimethylation. EMBO J. 2008;27:406–20.
- Streubel G, Watson A, Jammula SG, Scelfo A, Fitzpatrick DJ, Oliviero G, McCole R, Conway E, Glancy E, Negri GL, et al. The H3K36me2 Methyltransferase Nsd1 demarcates PRC2-Mediated H3K27me2 and H3K27me3 domains in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell. 2018;70:371–379,e375.
- Chen H, Hu B, Horth C, Bareke E, Rosenbaum P, Kwon SY, Sirois J, Weinberg DN, Robison FM, Garcia BA, et al. H3K36 dimethylation shapes the epigenetic interaction landscape by directing repressive chromatin modifications in embryonic stem cells. Genome Res. 2022;32:825–37.
- Wagner EJ, Carpenter PB. Understanding the language of Lys36 methylation at histone H3. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012;13:115–26.
- 6. Huang C, Zhu B. Roles of H3K36-specific histone methyltransferases in transcription: antagonizing silencing and safeguarding transcription fidelity. Biophys Rep. 2018;4:170–7.
- Li B, Howe L, Anderson S, Yates JR, Workman JL. The Set2 histone methyltransferase functions through the phosphorylated carboxyl-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:8897–903.
- Schaft D, Roguev A, Kotovic KM, Shevchenko A, Sarov M, Neugebauer KM, Stewart AF. The histone 3 lysine 36 methyltransferase, SET2, is involved in transcriptional elongation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31:2475–82.
- Strahl BD, Grant PA, Briggs SD, Sun ZW, Bone JR, Caldwell JA, Mollah S, Cook RG, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Allis CD. Set2 is a nucleosomal histone H3-selective methyltransferase that mediates transcriptional repression. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22:1298–306.

- 10. Carrozza MJ, Li B, Florens L, Suganuma T, Swanson SK, Lee KK, Shia WJ, Anderson S, Yates J, Washburn MP, Workman JL. Histone H3 methylation by Set2 directs deacetylation of coding regions by Rpd3S to suppress spurious intragenic transcription. Cell. 2005;123:581–92.
- 11. Molenaar TM, van Leeuwen F. SETD2: from chromatin modifier to multipronged regulator of the genome and beyond. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2022;79:346.
- 12. Kurotaki N, Imaizumi K, Harada N, Masuno M, Kondoh T, Nagai T, Ohashi H, Naritomi K, Tsukahara M, Makita Y, et al. Haploinsufficiency of NSD1 causes Sotos syndrome. Nat Genet. 2002;30:365–6.
- 13. Network CGA. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015;517:576–82.
- Papillon-Cavanagh S, Lu C, Gayden T, Mikael LG, Bechet D, Karamboulas C, Ailles L, Karamchandani J, Marchione DM, Garcia BA, et al. Impaired H3K36 methylation defines a subset of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nat Genet. 2017;49:180–5.
- Farhangdoost N, Horth C, Hu B, Bareke E, Chen X, Li Y, Coradin M, Garcia BA, Lu C, Majewski J. Chromatin dysregulation associated with NSD1 mutation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cell Rep. 2021;34: 108769.
- Lozier ER, Konovalov FA, Kanivets IV, Pyankov DV, Koshkin PA, Baleva LS, Sipyagina AE, Yakusheva EN, Kuchina AE, Korostelev SA. De novo nonsense mutation in WHSC1 (NSD2) in patient with intellectual disability and dysmorphic features. J Hum Genet. 2018;63:919–22.
- 17. Boczek NJ, Lahner CA, Nguyen TM, Ferber MJ, Hasadsri L, Thorland EC, Niu Z, Gavrilova RH. Developmental delay and failure to thrive associated with a loss-of-function variant in WHSC1 (NSD2). Am J Med Genet A. 2018;176:2798–802.
- Derar N, Al-Hassnan ZN, Al-Owain M, Monies D, Abouelhoda M, Meyer BF, Moghrabi N, Alkuraya FS. De novo truncating variants in WHSC1 recapitulate the Wolf-Hirschhorn (4p16.3 microdeletion) syndrome phenotype. Genet Med. 2019;21:185–8.
- Lauring J, Abukhdeir AM, Konishi H, Garay JP, Gustin JP, Wang Q, Arceci RJ, Matsui W, Park BH. The multiple myeloma-associated MMSET gene contributes to cellular adhesion, clonogenic growth, and tumorigenicity. Blood. 2008;111:856–64.
- Kuo AJ, Cheung P, Chen K, Zee BM, Kioi M, Lauring J, et al. NSD2 links dimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 36 to oncogenic programming. Mol Cell. 2011;44(4):609–20.
- 21. Jaffe JD, Wang Y, Chan HM, Zhang J, Huether R, Kryukov GV, et al. Global chromatin profiling reveals NSD2 mutations in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2013;45(11):1386–91.
- Li W, Tian W, Yuan G, Deng P, Sengupta D, Cheng Z, et al. Molecular basis of nucleosomal H3K36 methylation by NSD methyltransferases. Nature. 2021;590(7846):498–503.
- 23. Sengupta D, Zeng L, Li Y, Hausmann S, Ghosh D, Yuan G, et al. NSD2 dimethylation at H3K36 promotes lung adenocarcinoma pathogenesis. Mol Cell. 2021;81(21):4481–92.e9.
- Satterstrom FK, Kosmicki JA, Wang J, Breen MS, De Rubeis S, An JY, Peng M, Collins R, Grove J, Klei L, et al. Large-scale exome sequencing study implicates both developmental and functional changes in the neurobiology of autism. Cell. 2020;180:568–584.e523.
- Xu B, Qin T, Yu J, Giordano TJ, Sartor MA, Koenig RJ. Novel role of ASH1L histone methyltransferase in anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. J Biol Chem. 2020;295:8834–45.
- Zhu L, Li Q, Wong SH, Huang M, Klein BJ, Shen J, Ikenouye L, Onishi M, Schneidawind D, Buechele C, et al. ASH1L links histone H3 lysine 36 dimethylation to MLL leukemia. Cancer Discov. 2016;6:770–83.
- 27. Yuan W, Xu M, Huang C, Liu N, Chen S, Zhu B. H3K36 methylation antagonizes PRC2-mediated H3K27 methylation. J Biol Chem. 2011;286:7983–9.
- Li Y, Chen X, Lu C. The interplay between DNA and histone methylation: molecular mechanisms and disease implicationsT. EMBO Rep. 2021;22: e51803.
- Weinberg DN, Rosenbaum P, Chen X, Barrows D, Horth C, Marunde MR, Popova IK, Gillespie ZB, Keogh MC, Lu C, et al. Two competing mechanisms of DNMT3A recruitment regulate the dynamics of de novo DNA methylation at PRC1-targeted CpG islands. Nat Genet. 2021;53:794–800.
- Shirane K, Lorincz M. Epigenetic mechanisms governing female and male germline development in mammals. Sex Dev. 2022;16:365–87.
- 31. Reznikoff CA, Brankow DW, Heidelberger C. Establishment and characterization of a cloned line of C3H mouse embryo cells sensitive to postconfluence inhibition of division. Cancer Res. 1973;33:3231–8.
- 32. Tang QQ, Otto TC, Lane MD. Commitment of C3H10T1/2 pluripotent stem cells to the adipocyte lineage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:9607–11.
- Lu C, Jain SU, Hoelper D, Bechet D, Molden RC, Ran L, Murphy D, Venneti S, Hameed M, Pawel BR, et al. Histone H3K36 mutations promote sarcomagenesis through altered histone methylation landscape. Science. 2016;352:844–9.
- Rayasam GV, Wendling O, Angrand PO, Mark M, Niederreither K, Song L, Lerouge T, Hager GL, Chambon P, Losson R. NSD1 is essential for early post-implantation development and has a catalytically active SET domain. EMBO J. 2003;22:3153–63.
- Li Y, Trojer P, Xu CF, Cheung P, Kuo A, Drury WJ, Qiao Q, Neubert TA, Xu RM, Gozani O, Reinberg D. The target of the NSD family of histone lysine methyltransferases depends on the nature of the substrate. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:34283–95.
- Tanaka Y, Katagiri Z, Kawahashi K, Kioussis D, Kitajima S. Trithorax-group protein ASH1 methylates histone H3 lysine 36. Gene. 2007;397:161–8.
- 37. Yates AD, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, Azov AG, Bennett R, Bhai J, et al. Ensembl 2020. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48:D682–8.
- Miyazaki H, Higashimoto K, Yada Y, Endo TA, Sharif J, Komori T, Matsuda M, Koseki Y, Nakayama M, Soejima H, et al. Ash11 methylates Lys36 of histone H3 independently of transcriptional elongation to counteract polycomb silencing. PLoS Genet. 2013;9: e1003897.

- Rajagopalan KN, Chen X, Weinberg DN, Chen H, Majewski J, Allis CD, Lu C. Depletion of H3K36me2 recapitulates epigenomic and phenotypic changes induced by the H3.3K36M oncohistone mutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118: 118.
- Fong N, Saldi T, Sheridan RM, Cortazar MA, Bentley DL. RNA Pol II dynamics modulate co-transcriptional chromatin modification, CTD phosphorylation, and transcriptional direction. Mol Cell. 2017;66:546–57.
- Nojima T, Gomes T, Grosso ARF, Kimura H, Dye MJ, Dhir S, Carmo-Fonseca M, Proudfoot NJ. Mammalian NET-Seq reveals genome-wide nascent transcription coupled to RNA processing. Cell. 2015;161:526–40.
- 42. Kim S, Kim H, Fong N, Erickson B, Bentley DL. Pre-mRNA splicing is a determinant of histone H3K36 methylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(33):13564–9.
- Nepal C, Andersen JB. Alternative promoters in CpG depleted regions are prevalently associated with epigenetic misregulation of liver cancer transcriptomes. Nat Commun. 2023;14:2712.
- 44. Sun Z, Lin Y, Islam MT, Koche R, Hedehus L, Liu D, Huang C, Vierbuchen T, Sawyers CL, Helin K. Chromatin regulation of transcriptional enhancers and cell fate by the Sotos syndrome gene NSD1. Mol Cell. 2023;83:2398–2416.e2312.
- 45. Tanaka H, Igata T, Etoh K, Koga T, Takebayashi SI, Nakao M. The NSD2/WHSC1/MMSET methyltransferase prevents cellular senescence-associated epigenomic remodeling. Aging Cell. 2020;19: e13173.
- Shen C, Ipsaro JJ, Shi J, Milazzo JP, Wang E, Roe JS, Suzuki Y, Pappin DJ, Joshua-Tor L, Vakoc CR. NSD3-short is an adaptor protein that couples BRD4 to the CHD8 chromatin remodeler. Mol Cell. 2015;60:847–59.
- 47. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. New York, NY: Use R! Springer; 2009. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-0-387-98141-3.
- Lopez-Delisle L, Rabbani L, Wolff J, Bhardwaj V, Backofen R, Grüning B, Ramírez F, Manke T. pyGenomeTracks: reproducible plots for multivariate genomic datasets. Bioinformatics. 2021;37:422–3.
- Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1754–60.
- Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021;10:giab008.
- 51. Seemann T. Samclip.https://github.com/tseemann/samclip. 2020.
- 52. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:841–2.
- Ramírez F, Dündar F, Diehl S, Grüning BA, Manke T. deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:W187–191.
- Amemiya HM, Kundaje A, Boyle AP. The ENCODE blacklist: identification of problematic regions of the genome. Sci Rep. 2019;9:9354.
- Sheffield NC, Bock C. LOLA: enrichment analysis for genomic region sets and regulatory elements in R and Bioconductor. Bioinformatics. 2016;32:587–9.
- 56. Zerbino DR, Wilder SP, Johnson N, Juettemann T, Flicek PR. The ensembl regulatory build. Genome Biol. 2015;16:56.
- 57. Shpynov O, Dievskii A, Chernyatchik R, Tsurinov P, Artyomov MN. Semi-supervised peak calling with SPAN and JBR genome browser. Bioinformatics. 2021;37:4235–7.
- Yu G, Wang LG, He QY. ChlPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChlP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:2382–3.
- Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass CK. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010;38:576–89.
- 60. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:923–30.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:550.
- 62. Corces MR, Trevino AE, Hamilton EG, Greenside PG, Sinnott-Armstrong NA, Vesuna S, Satpathy AT, Rubin AJ, Montine KS, Wu B, et al. An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen tissues. Nat Methods. 2017;14:959–62.
- 63. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114–20.
- 64. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9:357–9.
- 65. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM, Brown M, Li W, Liu XS. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9: R137.
- 66. Li QH, Brown JB, Huang HY, Bickel PJ. Measuring reproducibility of high-throughput experiments. Ann Appl Stat. 2011;5(3):1752–79.
- 67. Dobin A, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:15–21.
- Lawrence M, et al. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. PLoS Comput Biol. 2013;9:e1003118.
  Yuan ZF, Sidoli S, Marchione DM, Simithy J, Janssen KA, Szurgot MR, Garcia BA. EpiProfile 2.0: a computational platform for processing epi-proteomics mass spectrometry data. J Proteome Res. 2018;17:2533–41.
- MacLean B, Tomazela DM, Shulman N, Chambers M, Finney GL, Frewen B, Kern R, Tabb DL, Liebler DC, MacCoss MJ. Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:966–8.
- Lu, C., Chen, X., Chen, H. Depletion of H3K36me2 recapitulates epigenomic and phenotypic changes induced by the H3.3K36M oncohistone mutation. GSE160266. National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI-GEO). 2021. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE160266.
- Vitorino, F.N., Gongora, J.M., Garcia, B.A. Systematic perturbations of SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3 and ASH1L reveal their distinct contributions to H3K36 methylation. MSV000093049. Mass Spectrometry Interactive Virtual Environment (MassIVE). 2024. https://massive.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/dataset.jsp?task=1dc5f6891e9c426480a5c31ac2fc67 02.

- 73. Shipman, G.A., Padilla, R., Horth, C., Hu, B., Bareke, E., Vitorino, F.N., Gongora, J.M., Garcia, B.A., Lu, C., Majewski, J. Systematic perturbations of SETD2, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3 and ASH1L reveal their distinct contributions to H3K36 methylation. GSE243566. National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI-GEO). 2024. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE243566.
- 74. Padilla R, Shipman GA. GenomeBiol\_H3K36me\_Shipman. GitHub. 2024. https://github.com/padilr1/GenomeBiol\_ H3K36me\_Shipman/tree/v1.0.0.
- 75. Padilla, R., Shipman, G.A. GenomeBiol\_H3K36me\_Shipman. Zenodo. 2024. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13839 936.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.