# Article

# The FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription complex regulates the ratio of glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation in neural stem cells

Yuhan Lou<sup>1,†</sup>, Litao Wu<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Wanlin Cai<sup>3</sup>, Huan Deng<sup>1</sup>, Rong Sang<sup>1</sup>, Shanshan Xie<sup>1</sup>, Xiao Xu<sup>1</sup>, Xin Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Wu<sup>1</sup>, Man Xu<sup>1</sup>, Wanzhong Ge <sup>D1</sup>, Yongmei Xi <sup>D1,3,\*</sup>, and Xiaohang Yang<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Women's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, China

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Genetics, Center for Genetic Medicine, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Yiwu 322000, China

 $^{\dagger}\,$  These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* Correspondence to: Xiaohang Yang, E-mail: xhyang@zju.edu.cn; Yongmei Xi, E-mail: xyyongm@zju.edu.cn

#### **Edited by Zhen-Ge Luo**

Defects in the FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription (FACT) complex, a histone chaperone composed of SSRP1 and SUPT16H, are implicated in intellectual disability. Here, we reveal that the FACT complex promotes glycolysis and sustains the correct cell fate of neural stem cells/neuroblasts in the *Drosophila* 3rd instar larval central brain. We show that the FACT complex binds to the promoter region of the estrogen-related receptor (*ERR*) gene and positively regulates *ERR* expression. ERR is known to act as an aerobic glycolytic switch by upregulating the enzymes required for glycolysis. Dysfunction of the FACT complex leads to the downregulation of *ERR* transcription, resulting in a decreased ratio of glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation (G/O) in neuroblasts. Consequently, neuroblasts exhibit smaller cell sizes, lower proliferation potential, and altered cell fates. Overexpression of *ERR* or suppression of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in neuroblasts increases the relative G/O ratio and rescues defective phenotypes caused by dysfunction of the FACT complex. Thus, the G/O ratio, mediated by the FACT complex, plays a crucial role in neuroblast cell fate maintenance. Our study may shed light on the mechanism by which mutations in the FACT complex lead to intellectual disability in humans.

Keywords: FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription complex, neural stem cell, Ssrp, ERR, glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation

#### Introduction

Intellectual disability (ID), also known as mental retardation, is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by limitations in intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviors. The etiologies of ID are often linked to genetic and/or environmental factors that impact neurodevelopment (Vissers et al., 2016). In a clinical whole-exome sequencing study focusing on neurodevelopmental disorders, four patients with *de novo* missense variants of *SUPT16H* and one patient with a *de novo* deletion of *SUPT16H* were identified (Bina et al., 2020). The ClinVar database also documents a patient with neurodevelopmental disorders and

epilepsy carrying a segment deletion containing *SSRP1*. Notably, SUPT16H and SSRP1 are two subunits of the heterodimeric FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription (FACT) complex in mammalian cells (Gurova et al., 2018). These observations suggest that defects in the FACT complex could be a genetic cause of ID. However, the mechanistic role of the FACT complex in neurode-velopment remains unknown.

While the FACT complex is implicated in the regulation of DNA replication, repair, and RNA transcription (Winkler et al., 2011), it is recognized as a histone chaperone. Within the FACT complex, SSRP1 binds to DNA through its DNA-binding motif, high mobility group (HMG)-box (Zhang et al., 2015), and SUPT16H interacts with histones and DNA (Winkler et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2020). More specifically, the FACT complex functions to dissociate one histone H2A–H2B dimer from the nucleosome, facilitating the passage of RNA polymerase II during transcription and subsequently reassembling the nucleosome

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Brain Connectome and Manipulation, The Brain Cognition and Brain Disease Institute, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518000, China

Received April 17, 2023. Revised February 8, 2024. Accepted April 29, 2024. © The Author(s) (2024). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology*, CEMCS, CAS.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(Orphanides et al., 1999; Belotserkovskaya et al., 2004; Winkler et al., 2011; McCauley et al., 2022). Therefore, the FACT complex is defined as a transcriptional elongation factor (Orphanides et al., 1999). It was reported that disruption of Ssrp1 could lead to embryonic lethality in a murine model (Cao et al., 2003). In zebrafish embryos, loss of Ssrp1a leads to defective DNA synthesis, impaired RNA transcription, and a prolonged S-phase of the cell cycle (Koltowska et al., 2013). The FACT complex is highly expressed in undifferentiated cells, while its expression level decreases as the cells undergo differentiation (Fazzio et al., 2008; Garcia et al., 2011). Thus, the FACT complex likely plays a crucial role in maintaining the undifferentiated state of cells.

Drosophila is a unique model for studying central nervous system (CNS) development. In Drosophila, Ssrp and dre4 are ortholog genes of SSRP1 and SUPT16H, respectively. Ssrp and dre4 are highly expressed in the developing CNS (https:// flybase.org/). Loss-of-function mutations in Ssrp or dre4 caused developmental arrest at the larval stage (Sliter and Gilbert, 1992). It has been reported that clones of homozygous Ssrp mutant cells in compound eyes are far smaller than their wildtype counterparts, suggesting that Ssrp is required for normal cell proliferation (Quiquand et al., 2021). During the 3rd instar larval stage, neuroblasts (NBs) maintain an invariant size (10–11  $\mu$ m in diameter) and volume after every asymmetric division, and the glucose metabolism in NBs primarily depends on glycolysis (Ito and Hotta, 1992; Homem et al., 2013, 2014). Starting from the early pupal stage, the main mode of glucose metabolism switches to oxidative phosphorylation, and NBs 'shrink' after asymmetric division (Homem et al., 2014). When the transcription factor Prospero enters the nucleus, these shrunken NBs undergo the final symmetrical division and produce two equal-sized daughter cells, terminating the NB cell fate (White and Kankel, 1978; Truman and Bate, 1988; Southall and Brand, 2009; Homem et al., 2014). Consequently, all NBs exit the cell cycle at the late stage of pupation, and no NBs are detectable in adult brains (Fernandez-Hernandez et al., 2013). Thus, changes in NB cell size are closely associated with cell fate alteration.

In this study, we reveal that the FACT complex is required for the maintenance of NB cell fate and correct brain development. *Ssrp* knockdown causes transcriptional downregulation of the estrogen-related receptor (*ERR*) gene (Eichner and Giguère, 2011; Tennessen et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2013; Kovalenko et al., 2019), leading to NB shrinkage and proliferation defect, smaller NB lineage size, and prolonged NB cell cycle. Overexpression of *ERR* or suppression of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation largely rescues these phenotypes. Changes in the metabolic rate of glycolysis or oxidative phosphorylation alter the ratio of glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation (G/O) inside cells, which plays an important role in the maintenance of NB cell fate. Our data provide critical insight into the possible pathogenesis of human ID involving FACT complex mutations.

### Results

# *Dysfunction of the FACT complex leads to neurodevelopmental defects associated with small-sized NBs*

By searching the ClinVar database, we identified that the FACT complex genes *SSRP1* and *SUPT16H* are associated with human ID. To verify the potential link between the FACT complex and ID-related disease, we investigated *Drosophila* neurodevelopment in an RNAi line driven by *worniu*-GAL4 targeting the *Ssrp* coding region. The efficiency of *Ssrp* RNAi-mediated knockdown was confirmed by western blot analysis (Figure 1A). Adult *Drosophila* with *Ssrp* knockdown exhibited severe locomotion defects lying down on the bottom of the vials (Supplementary Video S1) and expired within 5 days after eclosion (median survival time = 3 days), a far shorter lifespan than that of wild-type *Drosophila* (median survival time = 57.5 days; Supplementary Figure S2).

There are two subtypes of NBs in the Drosophila central brain at the larval stage (Figure 1B). Since type I NBs represent the clear majority of the total neural stem cell population (Homem and Knoblich, 2012), we focused on this type of NBs in our study. The 3rd instar larval brains were triple-labeled with anti-Ssrp, anti-Deadpan (Dpn, a stem cell marker; San-Juan and Baonza, 2011), and phalloidin, which demarked the boundary of the cells. We examined NBs under a confocal microscope and found that the physical size of NBs after Ssrp knockdown tended to be smaller than that of their wild-type counterparts (Figure 1C and D). Quantitative analysis revealed that the average diameter of Ssrp-knockdown NBs was  $8-9 \mu$ m, which was smaller than that of wild-type NBs (10–11  $\mu$ m) (Figure 1E). Overall, the relative volume of NBs after Ssrp knockdown decreased by  $\sim$ 34% (Figure 1F). Confocal images also revealed that the Ssrp protein was located in the nuclei of NBs (Figure 1D), which was consistent with previous reports (Shimojima et al., 2003; Swenson et al., 2016).

To eliminate the possibility of RNAi off-target effects, Ssrp or mCD8GFP was overexpressed in Ssrp-knockdown Drosophila (Figure 1D-F; Supplementary Figure S3). As expected, the reduced NB size by Ssrp knockdown was rescued by overexpression of Ssrp (Figure 1D-F). In addition, overexpression of mCD8GFP concurrent to Ssrp knockdown and Ssrp knockdown alone resulted in similar NB sizes (Figure 1D–F), indicating that the efficiency of GAL4 expression was not the rate-limiting factor, even with two UAS-elements in our study. We further employed the genetic mutant line Ssrp<sup>G2947</sup>, which contained a Pelement inserted in the 5'UTR of Ssrp (Supplementary Figure S4). The Ssrp protein was undetectable in the NBs of homozygous Ssrp<sup>G2947</sup> Drosophila, as determined by western blotting and immunofluorescence staining (Figure 1G and H), and the Ssrp<sup>G2947</sup> homozygotes died at the 2nd instar larval stage (48 h after egg laying). In Ssrp<sup>G2947</sup> mutant brains, the average diameter of the NBs was  $6-7 \mu m$ , which was identical to that of Ssrp-knockdown NBs (6–7  $\mu$ m) but smaller than that of wild-type NBs (8–9  $\mu$ m) at the same developmental stage (2nd instar, 48 h after egg laying) (Figure 1I and J). Thus, the phenotype of small NB size was not due to any Ssrp RNAi-mediated off-target effects.



**Figure 1** Dysfunction of the FACT complex leads to smaller NBs. (**A**) Western blot analysis of the Ssrp protein level in the 3rd instar larval brains of wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown flies. (**B**) Simplified diagram of *Drosophila* 3rd instar larval CNS showing different types of NBs and their locations. CB, central brain; OL, optic lobe; VNC, ventral nerve cord. (**C**) Measurement of NB diameters. (**D**) Confocal images of NBs in the 3rd instar larval brains labeled with anti-Dpn (red), anti-Ssrp (white), and phalloidin (green). Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m. (**E** and **F**) Statistical analyses of the NB diameters (**E**) and relative volumes (**F**) in **D**. (**G**) Western blot analysis of the Ssrp protein level in the 2nd instar larval brains of wild-type flies and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> mutants. (**H**) Confocal images of NBs in the 2nd instar larval brains labeled with anti-Ssrp (white), and phalloidin (green). Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m. (**I** and **J**) Statistical data of the NB diameters (**I**) and relative volumes (**J**) in **H**. The data were plotted as mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; ns, no significant difference.

As the FACT complex is a heterodimer composed of Ssrp and Dre4 (Shimojima et al., 2003), we examined whether Ssrp acts together with Dre4 to fulfill its functions in brain development. We found that knockdown of *dre4* recapitulated the phenotypes mediated by *Ssrp* RNAi, such as small NB size, severe locomotion defects, and shorter lifespan (Figure 1D–F; Supplementary Video S1 and Figure S2), suggesting that Ssrp regulates the NB size as well as other phenotypes based on its role as a member of the FACT complex.

# Ssrp deficiency in the FACT complex inhibits NB proliferation and reduces NB lineage size

To investigate whether dysfunction of the FACT complex affects the proliferation of NBs, we carried out a standard EdU incorporation experiment. Fewer EdU signals were detected in NBs with *Ssrp* knockdown (Figure 2A and B), indicative of the disrupted cell cycle progression, while overexpression of *Ssrp* restored the EdU signals (Supplementary Figure S5A and B). Similarly, fewer EdU signals were detected in *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> mutant



**Figure 2** Deficiency of Ssrp causes NB proliferation defects and lineage shrinkage. (**A**) Confocal images of brain lobes in the 3rd instar larvae of wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown flies labeled with EdU (red) and anti-Dpn (green). CB, central brain. Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. (**B**) Statistical analyses of EdU fluorescence intensities in **A**. (**C**) Confocal images of brain lobes in the 2nd instar larvae of wild-type flies and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> mutants labeled with EdU (red) and anti-Dpn (green). Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. (**D**) Statistical analyses of the numbers of EdU<sup>+</sup> NBs in **C**. (**E**) Confocal images of NB lineages in the 3rd instar larval brains of wild-type flies, *Ssrp*-knockdown flies, and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> mutants labeled with anti-Dpn (red) and mCD8GFP (green). Scale bar, 10  $\mu$ m. (**F**) Statistical analyses of the average numbers of progeny cells per NB lineage in **E**. The data were plotted as mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

brains (Figure 2C and D). In addition, the brain lobes of *Ssrp*-knockdown larvae were smaller than those of wild-type larvae (Figure 2A; Supplementary Figure S6A and B). These results suggested that *Ssrp* deficiency in the FACT complex disrupts the NB cell cycle, which in turn affects brain development. As expected, overexpression of *Ssrp* in *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* restored their brain lobe sizes (Supplementary Figure S6B), walking ability, and lifespans (median survival time = 59 days) similar to those of their wild-type counterparts (Supplementary Video S1 and Figure S2).

To determine NB proliferation potential, we performed the clonal expansion analysis, where a single NB and its progeny cells were labeled with mCD8GFP (outlining cell membranes). In *Ssrp*-knockdown brains and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> mutant brains, smaller clone sizes of the NB lineages were shown via mosaic analyses (Figure 2E). The average numbers of progeny cells per NB lineage of wild-type, *Ssrp*-knockdown, and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> flies were 72, 38, and 26, respectively (Figure 2F). Furthermore, in pupal brains (24 h after pupa formation, early pupal stage), the number of Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs was higher in *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* than in their wild-type counterparts (Supplementary Figure S7), suggesting a defect in NB cell fate termination.

# *The FACT complex regulates the expression of the aerobic glycolytic switch ERR during brain development*

It has been reported that NB sizes become smaller at the early pupal stage due to decreased levels of glycolysis and increased levels of oxidative phosphorylation (Homem et al., 2014). ERR acts as an aerobic glycolytic switch, which triggers and coordinates the transcriptional upregulation of nearly every single gene associated with glycolysis (Eichner and Giguère, 2011; Tennessen et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2013; Kovalenko et al., 2019). Thus, we wondered whether ERR level is affected by dysfunction of the FACT complex in the brain. Indeed, the transcriptional level of ERR was significantly downregulated in Ssrp-knockdown larval brains (Figure 3A). This result was further validated in Drosophila Schneider 2 (S2) cells upon Ssrp knockdown (Figure 3B), suggesting that ERR functions downstream of the FACT complex. Moreover, ERR overexpression in Ssrp-knockdown NBs in the larval brain completely rescued both NB size (Figure 3C and D) and brain lobe size (Figure 3E and F). Notably, ERR overexpression in wild-type NBs did not alter the cell size (Supplementary Figure S8). Overexpression of ERR in Ssrp-knockdown Drosophila significantly improved their lifespans (median survival time = 32.5 days; Supplementary Figure S2) and partially restored their walking ability (Supplementary Video S2).

An increased number of unterminated Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs were observed in *Ssrp*-knockdown pupal brains (Supplementary Figure S7). To examine whether these abnormal NBs remained in adult brains, young adult fly brains (1 day after eclosion) were dissected and stained for Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs (Figure 3G). No Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs were detected in wild-type adult brains, whilst many Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs were found in the adult central brains of *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* (Figure 3H and I), suggesting that some NBs fail to be terminated upon dysfunction of the FACT complex and survive into adulthood. *ERR* overexpression in *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* significantly reduced the number of remaining Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs (Figure 3H and I), indicating that the termination of NB cell fate was partially restored. These findings support the proposal that the FACT complex controls *ERR* expression to regulate glycolysis in the brain.

# The FACT complex binds to the promoter region of ERR and positively regulates its expression

It is known that Ssrp contains an HMG-box DNA-binding motif (Winkler et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2020). To examine whether the FACT complex directly binds to the ERR promoter to regulate *ERR* expression, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) in the Drosophila S2 cell line. We assumed that the 2-kb DNA fragment prior to the 5'UTR of the ERR gene region was the promoter and contained target sequences for the FACT complex. The anti-Ssrp antibody was able to pull down the genomic DNA sequence, which was recognized by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) primers to lie  $\sim$ 1.3 kb upstream of the 5'UTR of the ERR gene (Figure 4A), indicating the direct binding of Ssrp. Furthermore, in S2 cells transfected with plasmids containing this 2-kb promoter sequence, the anti-Ssrp antibody pulled down the promoter DNA fragment recognized with the same primers more effectively (7-fold enrichment compared to the control IgG, Figure 4A), confirming that the FACT complex binds directly to the promoter of *ERR* to regulate its expression.

Then, the dual-luciferase assay in S2 cells was employed, where Renilla luciferase was used as the experimental reporter and firefly luciferase was the control. To map the Ssrp target site(s) on the ERR promoter region, five dual-luciferase reporter constructs (psi-CHECK-2) were made, containing serial deletions within the ERR promoter (Figure 4B). S2 cells were transfected with dual-luciferase reporter constructs together with Ssrp dsRNA (Figure 4C). Luciferase activity was measured 48 h after transfection. We found that the relative luciferase activity representing ERR expression was reduced by half in S2 cells with the 2-kb *ERR* promoter in the presence of *Ssrp* dsRNA (Figure 4D), consistent with the previous observation that *Ssrp* knockdown reduced ERR expression. Similar results were observed when the first (a in Figure 4B) or the last (d in Figure 4B) 500-bp DNA fragment was deleted (Figure 4D). Sequential deletion of the second (b in Figure 4B) or the third (c in Figure 4B) 500-bp fragment within the *ERR* promoter only led to  $\sim$ 5% or 20% reduction in luciferase activity, respectively (Figure 4D), suggesting that this 1-kb fragment, especially the second (b in Figure 4B) 500-bp fragment, is required for effective transcription of ERR. Based on ChIP and dual-luciferase assay data, we conclude that the FACT complex, or Ssrp, directly binds to the 1-kb fragment of ERR promoter, occurring 500 bp prior to the 5'UTR, to promote ERR transcription.

## The FACT complex controls the intracellular G/O ratio

It has been reported that the glucose metabolic pathway affects NB cell fate (Homem et al., 2014). Glycolysis is



**Figure 3** Overexpression of *ERR* rescues defective phenotypes in *Ssrp*-knockdown flies. (**A**) Relative mRNA expression levels of *Ssrp* and *ERR* in the 3rd instar larval brains of wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown flies driven by *elav*-GAL4, as determined by qPCR (n = 3). (**B**) Relative mRNA expression levels of *Ssrp* and *ERR* in wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown S2 cells (n = 3). (**C**) Confocal images of NBs in the 3rd instar larval brains labeled with anti-Dpn (red) and phalloidin (green). Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m. (**D**) Statistical analyses of the NB diameters in **C**. (**E**) Images of brain lobes in the 3rd instar larvae. Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m. (**F**) Statistical data of the brain lobe diameters in **E**. (**G**) Schematic diagram of the adult fly brain showing the positions of the central brain and optic lobes. (**H**) Confocal images of adult fly brains (1 day after eclosion) labeled with anti-Dpn (red) and mCD8GFP (green). Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs remained in adult brains are indicated by white arrows. Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. (**I**) Statistical analyses of the number of Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs in **H**. The data were plotted as mean ± SEM (**A**, **B**, **D**, **F**) or mean ± SD (**I**). \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; \*\*\**P* < 0.001; \*\**P* < 0.01; ns, no significant difference.

accompanied by NB self-renewal, whereas oxidative phosphorylation facilitates cell differentiation. It is possible that ERR acts as a controller to balance these two pathways in cells. Lower ERR levels in *Ssrp*-knockdown NBs could lead to lower glycolysis levels and, thus, a low G/O ratio.

To explore whether dysfunction of the FACT complex alters oxidative phosphorylation status, we labeled mitochondria with

Mito-Tracker RED in *Drosophila* S2 cells. Confocal microscopy demonstrated that the punctate mitochondrial signals in *Ssrp*-knockdown cells were significantly stronger than in the control wild-type cells (Figure 5A and B), indicating extra mitochondria emerging in the absence of Ssrp. Increased number of mitochondria usually suggests the higher level of oxidative phosphorylation and more ATP production in cells. Indeed, higher ATP



**Figure 4** The FACT complex binds to the *ERR* promoter to promote *ERR* expression. (**A**) Enrichment of DNA fragments within the *ERR* promoter region with or without a plasmid containing the 2-kb promoter sequence, as determined by ChIP–qPCR (n = 3). (**B**) Constructions of dual-luciferase reporter plasmids (psi-CHECK-2) containing various 500-bp deletions within the *ERR* promoter (2 kb upstream of the 5'UTR of the *ERR* gene region). (**C**) Western blot analysis showing the decreased Ssrp protein level in *Ssrp*-knockdown S2 cells. (**D**) Statistical data of the relative luciferase activities in wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown S2 cells transfected with five dual-luciferase reporter constructs, respectively (n = 3). The data were plotted as mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001; \*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*P < 0.01; ns, no significant difference.

levels were detected in *Ssrp*-knockdown S2 cells (Figure 5C). By using the ATP-SPARK method, which employs a GFP-based ATP reporter to show visible fluorescent droplets proportionally to the ATP level within cells (Zhang et al., 2018), we observed bright fluorescent droplets in larval NBs with *Ssrp* knockdown or *dre4* knockdown but not in wild-type larval NBs (Figure 5D), suggesting that ATP levels were increased in NBs upon dysfunction of the FACT complex. These results indicate that when glycolysis is suppressed in FACT complex-deficient flies, oxidative phosphorylation is upregulated, leading to a low G/O ratio.

# Suppression of oxidative phosphorylation rescues defective phenotypes in FACT complex-deficient flies

Mitochondrial fusion is usually accompanied by a high level of oxidative phosphorylation (Mishra and Chan, 2016). We assumed that knocking down *Opa1* or *Marf*, the gene responsible for mitochondrial fusion (Sênos Demarco et al., 2019), would suppress oxidative phosphorylation. As expected, knockdown of *Opa1* or *Marf* in *Ssrp*-knockdown NBs completely restored NB sizes (Figure 6A and B), indicating that suppression of oxidative phosphorylation by preventing mitochondrial fusion restored NB sizes in FACT complex-deficient flies.

Similarly, knocking down the genes encoding subunits of protein complexes in the mitochondrial electron transfer chain, e.g. complex III (*Ucrh*) or complex IV (*Cox2*) also restored NB sizes in FACT complex-deficient flies (Figure 6C and D). The possible explanation was that the suppression of oxidative phosphorylation in *Ssrp*-knockdown NBs effectively raises the G/O ratio, which is critical for maintaining normal NB sizes. Notably, inhibition of oxidative phosphorylation in wild-type NBs (increased G/O ratio) led to even larger NB sizes, supporting the correlation between the G/O ratio and NB sizes (Figure 6A–D).

We next examined whether suppression of oxidative phosphorylation reduces the number of Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs in *Ssrp*-knockdown adult brains. Since knockdown of *Opa1* or *Marf* led to pupal lethality, we only studied the young adult flies with *Ucrh* knockdown or *Cox2* knockdown. In the adult brains, no remaining Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs were detected in *Drosophila* with *Ucrh* (complex III) knockdown, and the number of Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs decreased significantly upon *Cox2* (complex IV) knockdown (Figure 6E and F), suggesting that the termination of NB cell fate was largely restored.

In addition, suppression of either electron transfer or mitochondrial fusion did not rescue the smaller brain lobe sizes in *Ssrp*-knockdown larvae (Supplementary Figure S6), indicating that NB proliferation was not restored. Inhibition of electron transfer in *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* significantly improved their lifespans (median survival time = 45.5 days by *Ucrh* knockdown or 45 days by *Cox2* knockdown; Supplementary Figure S2). These flies also partially regained their walking ability (Supplementary Video S3).

Taken together, our data indicate that the FACT complex is involved in the maintenance of glycolysis levels in NBs. *Ssrp* knockdown leads to decreased *ERR* expression, resulting in a lower glycolysis level and a higher oxidative phosphorylation level, which brings down the cellular G/O ratio in NBs and causes defective NB phenotypes. Suppression of oxidative phosphorylation in *Ssrp*-knockdown NBs reverses the G/O ratio and restores NB sizes.

### Discussion

The FACT complex is a heterodimeric complex composed of SSRP1/Ssrp and SUPT16H/Dre4, with known involvement in the regulation of DNA replication, DNA repair, and RNA transcription (Winkler et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020). Although several genetic mutations of SSRP1 and SUPT16H have been implicated in clinical cases associated with ID (Bina et al., 2020), the potential pathological mechanism has so far remained unclear. Our study using the



**Figure 5** *Ssrp* knockdown leads to increased oxidative phosphorylation. (**A**) Confocal images of wild-type and *Ssrp*-knockdown S2 cells labeled with Mito-Tracker RED (red/white/black) and phalloidin (green). Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. (**B**) Statistical analyses of the numbers of Mito-Tracker RED spots in **A**. (**C**) ATP concentration in S2 cells (n = 3). (**D**) Confocal images of the 3rd instar larval brains labeled with Dpn (red) and GFP-based ATP-SPARK (green/gray). A typical NB (within the yellow dashed frame) is enlarged to give a better view (within the white frame). Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. The data were plotted as mean  $\pm$  SD (**B**) or mean  $\pm$  SEM (**C**). \*\*\**P* < 0.001; \*\**P* < 0.01.

*Drosophila* brain as a model reveals that the FACT complex controls the cellular G/O ratio in NBs, thereby affecting brain development.

At the *Drosophila* 3rd larval stage, the glucose metabolism in NBs is primarily through glycolysis (Homem et al., 2014). Under normal development, NBs maintain an invariant diameter and

volume after every asymmetric division. However, at the early pupal stage, oxidative phosphorylation becomes dominant, and the renewed NBs begin to shrink after asymmetric divisions (Homem et al., 2014). Linking these two factors, the G/O ratio could be critical for the maintenance of NB size. Indeed, our data provide evidence that cell size and glucose metabolic status are



**Figure 6** Suppression of either mitochondrial fusion or electron transfer in complex III/IV rescues defective phenotypes in *Ssrp*-knockdown flies. (**A** and **C**) Confocal images of NBs in the 3rd instar larval brains labeled with anti-Dpn (red) and phalloidin (green). Scale bar, 5  $\mu$ m. (**B** and **D**) Statistical analyses of the NB diameters in **A** and **C**, respectively. (**E**) Confocal images of adult fly brains (1 day after eclosion) labeled with anti-Dpn (red) and mCD8GFP (green). Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs remained in adult brains are indicated by white arrows. Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. (**F**) Statistical analyses of the numbers of Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs in **F**. The data were plotted as mean  $\pm$  SEM (**B** and **D**) or mean  $\pm$  SD (**F**). \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; \*\*\**P* < 0.001; ns, no significant difference.



**Figure 7** The role of the FACT complex in maintaining the cell fate of NBs during brain development. The FACT complex in NBs upregulates ERR and promotes glycolysis, resulting a high G/O ratio, to maintain the normal neural stem cell properties. Dysfunction of the FACT complex in NBs causes downregulation of ERR, resulting in a switch to oxidative phosphorylation and a low G/O ratio, which leads to smaller NB sizes, poor proliferation potential, and altered cell fates. During neurogenesis, any defects in NB cell properties likely lead to defective neurodevelopment and ID.

coupled in NBs, and NB sizes are tightly controlled by the G/O ratio.

In our study, dysfunction of the FACT complex reduced transcriptional levels of *ERR*. The FACT complex directly interacted with the *ERR* promoter, facilitating *ERR* expression as a transcription elongation factor. A 1-kb DNA fragment, 500 bp prior to the 5'UTR of the *ERR* gene region, provided the potential binding site for the FACT complex, and the second 500-bp fragment (b in Figure 4B) exhibited more effective Ssrp regulatory effects in agreement with the search results in the JASPAR database that a DNA sequence of TCGTCGCTTTTCGT, the potential HMG binding motif, was identified within this 500-bp region.

It has been reported that SSRP1 is highly expressed in many cancers, including colorectal cancer (Wang et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019), prostate cancer, lung cancer (Bi et al., 2019), bladder cancer (Song et al., 2021), breast cancer (Koman et al., 2012), malignant melanomas (Yu et al., 2021), hepatocellular carcinomas (Luo et al., 2021), and gliomas (Liao et al., 2017). High levels of SSRP1 in tumors are consistent with the consensus that glycolysis is dominant in cancer cells (Warburg effect) (Koppenol et al., 2011). Our study reveals that the FACT complex in NBs promotes glycolysis during neurodevelopment.

In S2 cells, dysfunction of the FACT complex increased mitochondrion number, accompanied by a higher ATP level, indicating elevated oxidative phosphorylation. This implies that when glycolysis is suppressed by *Ssrp* knockdown, oxidative phosphorylation can be upregulated by an innate mechanism inside cells. In other words, it is possible that multiple regulators are involved in the balancing of the G/O ratio in wild-type larval NBs.

Dysfunction of the FACT complex in the larval brain reduced NB proliferation potential. Overexpression of *ERR* restored both NB sizes and larval brain lobe sizes, whilst suppression of oxidative

phosphorylation only restored NB sizes, but not brain lobe sizes, indicating that the NB proliferation potential was not restored. The possible explanation is that a sufficient level of glycolysis is required to generate the intermediate metabolites necessary for mitosis, while suppression of oxidative phosphorylation alone could not provide such metabolites, thus not restoring the ability of proliferation.

Dysfunction of the FACT complex altered the NB cell fate and disrupted the normal termination of NBs at the early pupal stage. Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs were detected in the adult brains of *Ssrp*-knockdown flies, indicating a prolonged NB cell cycle. Overexpression of *ERR* or suppression of oxidative phosphorylation in *Ssrp*-knockdown *Drosophila* reduced Dpn<sup>+</sup> NBs in the adult brains. This implies that the G/O ratio also affects NB cell fate and lifespan.

In conclusion, our study reveals a potential mechanism by which the FACT complex maintains the cell fate of NBs during brain development (Figure 7). In wild-type NBs, the FACT complex upregulates ERR and promotes glycolysis, resulting in a high G/O ratio, which ensures the normal neural stem cell properties of NBs and normal neurodevelopment. In NBs where the FACT complex is dysfunctional, ERR is downregulated, resulting in a metabolic switch from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation and thus a low G/O ratio. Consequently, the NBs exhibit smaller cell sizes, poor proliferation potential, and altered cell fates. During neurogenesis, any defects in NB cell properties would lead to defective neurodevelopment and ID. Our data shed light on the possible pathogenesis of ID disease caused by FACT complex mutations.

# Materials and methods

#### Fly stocks and genetics

All flies were kept on standard corn medium at 25°C. The following fly stocks were used:  $w^{1118}$  (THJ025, Tsinghua

Drosophila Center), UAS-Dicer2, worniu-GAL4 (from our lab), UAS-mCD8GFP, worniu-GAL4 (from our lab), elav-GAL4 (from our lab), *If/CyO-GFP* (from our lab), *Ssrp* RNAi (THU2221, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup>/CyO (29686, Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center), *dre4* RNAi (THU1558, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), UAS-Ssrp (F001903, Zurich ORFeome Project), UAS-ERR (83690, Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center), *ERR* RNAi (THU2474, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), Opa1 RNAi (THU0811, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), *Marf* RNAi (TH02740.N, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), *Ucrh* RNAi (TH04741.N, Tsinghua Drosophila Center), and *Cox2* RNAi (TH04769.N, Tsinghua Drosophila Center).

Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) was performed as previously described (Lee and Luo, 2001; Sang et al., 2022). For *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup> clones, the fly line with the genotype of *FRT42D tub*-GAL80/*CyO*;*hsFLP*,*elav*-GAL4,UAS-*mCD8GFP* was used as the tool. *FRT42D Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup>/*CyO-GFP* was obtained by homologous recombination of lines *FRT42D* (1802, Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center) and *Ssrp*<sup>G2947</sup>/*CyO-GFP*. The MARCM clones were GFP-labeled. For FLP-out mosaic analysis, the fly line with the genotype of *hsFLP*;*act*>*y*<sup>+</sup>>GAL4,UAS-*mCD8GFP* was crossed with RNAi lines (Germani et al., 2018). Both MARCM clone and FLP-out clone analyses were carried out upon a 45-min heat-shock treatment at 37°C for *Drosophila* at 36 h after egg laying.

#### Antibody generation

A fusion protein containing 283 amino-acid residues from the C-terminus of Ssrp was used to generate a polyclonal antibody in rabbits (Supplementary Figure S1). The fusion protein sequence was cloned into a pGEX-4T-1 vector and expressed in *Escherichia coli*. After purification, the fusion protein was used to generate antisera with the standard protocol.

# Immunofluorescence staining

Larval and adult brains at different stages were dissected in Schneider's Drosophila Medium (Gibco). Samples were fixed for 15 min in phosphate-buffered saline (10 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and 175 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature (Wu et al., 2018; Sang et al., 2022). The samples were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin and incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and then washed three times. The commercial secondary antibodies were added to the samples for 1-h incubation, or phalloidin was added for 20-min incubation at room temperature. Anti-fade Mounting Medium (P0126, Beyotime) was used to prevent the bleaching of the fluorescent signals. For the EdU incorporation experiment, Click-iT EdU Imaging Kits (Alexa Fluor 555) (C10338, Invitrogen) were used for live samples incubated with EdU for 1 h before fixing. For Mito-Tracker staining, S2 cells were mixed with Mito-Tracker RED (9082, Cell Signaling Technology) for 40 min. The images were obtained using an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope and processed using ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop.

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Ssrp (1:2000, generated in this study), guinea pig anti-Dpn (1:1000, a gift from Yu Cai, Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory), and chicken anti-GFP (1:1000, ab13970, Abcam). All commercial secondary antibodies were from the Jackson Laboratory. F-actin was stained with Alexa Fluor<sup>™</sup> 488 phalloidin (A12379, Invitrogen) at 1:2000.

## Western blotting

The 3rd instar larval brains were collected and homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, and 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)] containing cOmplete<sup>TM</sup> protease inhibitor cocktail (4693132001, Roche) at 4°C for 30 min. S2 cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer at room temperature for 5 min. The samples were subjected to SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. Rabbit anti- $\alpha$ -Actin (1:1000, 23660-1-AP, Proteintech) and rabbit anti-Ssrp (1:2000) were used as primary antibodies, with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:5000, Abcam) as the secondary antibody.

#### qPCR

RNA was extracted using the TRIzol Kit (Invitrogen). A HiScript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (R211-01, Vazyme) was used for reverse transcription according to the manufacturer's protocol. qPCR was carried out in the Bio-Rad CFX-96 PCR System with ChamQ SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Q311-02, Vazyme) in 96-well plates. The following primers were used: *rp49* forward: 5'-GCTAAGCTGTCGCACAAA-3'; *rp49* reverse: 5'-TCCGGTGGGCAGCATGTG-3'; *ERR* forward: 5'-ACTAATGGGCATGCTCAAGGA-3'; and *ERR* reverse: 5'-TATCTTGACATCGCACAGCG-3'.

# S2 cell culture and transfection

Drosophila S2 cells were cultured in Schneider's medium (21720-001, Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (10099-141, Gibco) at 25°C. *Ssrp* dsRNA was transcribed from a cDNA fragment containing the C-terminal 400 bp of *Ssrp* with MEGAscript® RNAi Kit (AM1626, Invitrogen). S2 cells were transfected with the *Ssrp* dsRNA using Effectene Transfection Reagent (301425, QIAGEN).

### ATP assay

S2 cells (cultured in 6-well plates) were collected into centrifuge tubes. An ATP Assay Kit (S0026, Beyotime) was used for ATP concentration measurement according to the manufacturer's protocol.

### ChIP analysis

ChIP analysis was performed using Sonication ChIP Kits (RK20258, ABclonal). S2 cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde at 25°C for 10 min. All ChIP experimental steps followed the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. DNA was purified by the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). The

pulled-down material and input DNA were prepared for qPCR analysis with the following primers around the *ERR* promoter region: forward: 5'-GTGGCACACCTTGAGCTTGC-3'; reverse: 5'-GAGGCTACAGCCTGTACAGCCT-3'.

# Dual-luciferase assay

The dual-luciferase assay was carried out in S2 cells. psi-CHECK-2, a dual-luciferase reporter construct containing firefly and Renilla luciferase, was employed in this assay. A 2-kb DNA fragment prior to the 5'UTR of the *ERR* gene region was cloned into psi-CHECK-2 as an *ERR* promoter. Four additional fragments with 500-bp sequential deletions within the 2-kb *ERR* promoter were cloned into psi-CHECK-2, respectively. S2 cells were transfected with individual constructs, with or without *Ssrp* dsRNA. After 48 h, S2 cells were lysed for luciferase activity using a Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (E1910, Promega).

#### Survival rate analysis

Adult flies were kept in vials with the standard medium at 25°C. Three vials, each containing 10 adult flies, were used. The experiment was repeated three times. Surviving flies were counted every 24 h. The data were processed using Gehan–Breslow–Wilcoxon test in GraphPad Prism.

#### Locomotion assay

Groups of 10 female flies were placed in empty vials, and the vials were tapped to bring the flies to the bottom of the vial. The motor abilities of the flies were then evaluated based on videos.

#### Statistical analysis

The data of survival rate analysis were processed using the Gehan–Breslow–Wilcoxon test in GraphPad Prism. The other statistical data were processed using unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test in GraphPad Prism.

#### Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology* online.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Chris Wood from the College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, for English language support. We thank Wei Yin, Li Liu, and Sanhua Fang from the Core Facilities, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, for their technical support. We thank Qiang Zhang and Hai Huang for plasmids and flies with ATP-SPARK. We thank Yongfeng Jin and Haiyang Dong for the S2 cell line. We thank Xing Zhang for his help with the ChIP assay. We are grateful to Tsinghua *Drosophila* Center, Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, and Zurich ORFeome Project for providing the fly stocks.

### Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC1004904 and 2013CB945601).

Conflict of interest: none declared.

**Author contributions:** Y.L., L.W., X.Y., and Y.X. conceived the project and designed the experiments. Y.L., L.W., W.C., H.D., and R.S. performed the experiments. Y.L. and S.X. analysed the data. Y.L., X.X., Xin Yuan, C.W., M.X., and W.G. contributed to the project discussion and coordination. Y.L. wrote the initial manuscript. Y.L., L.W., X.Y., Y.X., C.W., and H.D. revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### References

- Belotserkovskaya, R., Saunders, A., Lis, J.T., et al. (2004). Transcription through chromatin: understanding a complex FACT. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1677*, 87–99.
- Bi, L., Xie, C., Yao, M., et al. (2019). The histone chaperone complex FACT promotes proliferative switch of  $G_0$  cancer cells. Int. J. Cancer 145, 164–178.
- Bina, R., Matalon, D., Fregeau, B., et al. (2020). De novo variants in SUPT16H cause neurodevelopmental disorders associated with corpus callosum abnormalities. J. Med. Genet. *57*, 461–465.
- Cai, Q., Lin, T., Kamarajugadda, S., et al. (2013). Regulation of glycolysis and the Warburg effect by estrogen-related receptors. Oncogene *32*, 2079–2086.
- Cao, S., Bendall, H., Hicks, G.G., et al. (2003). The high-mobility-group box protein SSRP1/T160 is essential for cell viability in day 3.5 mouse embryos. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23, 5301–5307.
- Eichner, L.J., and Giguère, V. (2011). Estrogen related receptors (ERRs): a new dawn in transcriptional control of mitochondrial gene networks. Mitochondrion 11, 544–552.
- Fazzio, T.G., Huff, J.T., and Panning, B. (2008). An RNAi screen of chromatin proteins identifies Tip60–p400 as a regulator of embryonic stem cell identity. Cell *134*, 162–174.
- Fernandez-Hernandez, I., Rhiner, C., and Moreno, E. (2013). Adult neurogenesis in Drosophila. Cell Rep. *3*, 1857–1865.
- Garcia, H., Fleyshman, D., Kolesnikova, K., et al. (2011). Expression of FACT in mammalian tissues suggests its role in maintaining of undifferentiated state of cells. Oncotarget *2*, 783–796.
- Germani, F., Bergantinos, C., and Johnston, L.A. (2018). Mosaic analysis in Drosophila. Genetics 208, 473–490.
- Gurova, K., Chang, H.W., Valieva, M.E., et al. (2018). Structure and function of the histone chaperone FACT—resolving FACTual issues. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene Regul. Mech. *1861*, 892–904.
- Homem, C.C., and Knoblich, J.A. (2012). Drosophila neuroblasts: a model for stem cell biology. Development *139*, 4297–4310.
- Homem, C.C., Reichardt, I., Berger, C., et al. (2013). Long-term live cell imaging and automated 4D analysis of drosophila neuroblast lineages. PLoS One 8, e79588.
- Homem, C.C.F., Steinmann, V., Burkard, T.R., et al. (2014). Ecdysone and mediator change energy metabolism to terminate proliferation in Drosophila neural stem cells. Cell 158, 874–888.
- Ito, K., and Hotta, Y. (1992). Proliferation pattern of postembryonic neuroblasts in the brain of Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. *149*, 134–148.
- Koltowska, K., Apitz, H., Stamataki, D., et al. (2013). Ssrp1a controls organogenesis by promoting cell cycle progression and RNA synthesis. Development 140, 1912–1918.
- Koman, I.E., Commane, M., Paszkiewicz, G., et al. (2012). Targeting FACT complex suppresses mammary tumorigenesis in Her2/neu transgenic mice. Cancer Prev. Res. 5, 1025–1035.
- Koppenol, W.H., Bounds, P.L., and Dang, C.V. (2011). Otto Warburg's contributions to current concepts of cancer metabolism. Nat. Rev. Cancer 11, 325–337.
- Kovalenko, E.V., Mazina, M.Y., Krasnov, A.N., et al. (2019). The Drosophila nuclear receptors EcR and ERR jointly regulate the expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 112, 103184.

- Lee, T., and Luo, L. (2001). Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for Drosophila neural development. Trends Neurosci. 24, 251–254.
- Liao, J., Tao, X., Ding, Q., et al. (2017). SSRP1 silencing inhibits the proliferation and malignancy of human glioma cells via the MAPK signaling pathway. Oncol. Rep. 38, 2667–2676.
- Liu, Y., Zhou, K., Zhang, N., et al. (2020). FACT caught in the act of manipulating the nucleosome. Nature *577*, 426–431.
- Luo, G., Xu, J., Xia, Z., et al. (2021). SSRP1 is a prognostic biomarker correlated with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell infiltration in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Biomed. Res. Int. 2021, 9409836.
- Martin, B.J.E., Chruscicki, A.T., and Howe, L.J. (2018). Transcription promotes the interaction of the FAcilitates Chromatin Transactions (FACT) complex with nucleosomes in saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 210, 869–881.
- McCauley, M.J., Morse, M., Becker, N., et al. (2022). Human FACT subunits coordinate to catalyze both disassembly and reassembly of nucleosomes. Cell Rep. 41, 111858.
- Mishra, P., and Chan, D.C. (2016). Metabolic regulation of mitochondrial dynamics. J. Cell Biol. *212*, 379–387.
- Orphanides, G., Wu, W., and Lane, W. (1999). The chromatin-specific transcription elongation factor FACT comprises human SPT16 and SSRP1 proteins. Nature 400, 184–288.
- Quiquand, M., Rimesso, G., Qiao, N., et al. (2021). New regulators of Drosophila eye development identified from temporal transcriptome changes. Genetics *217*, iyab007.
- Sang, R., Wu, C., Xie, S., et al. (2022). Mxc, a Drosophila homolog of mental retardation-associated gene NPAT, maintains neural stem cell fate. Cell Biosci. *12*, 78.
- San-Juan, B.P., and Baonza, A. (2011). The bHLH factor deadpan is a direct target of Notch signaling and regulates neuroblast self-renewal in Drosophila. Dev. Biol. *352*, 70–82.
- Sênos Demarco, R., Uyemura, B.S., D'Alterio, C., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial fusion regulates lipid homeostasis and stem cell maintenance in the Drosophila testis. Nat. Cell Biol. 21, 710–720.
- Shimojima, T., Okada, M., Nakayama, T., et al. (2003). Drosophila FACT contributes to Hox gene expression through physical and functional interactions with GAGA factor. Genes Dev. *17*, 1605–1616.
- Sliter, T.J., and Gilbert, L.I. (1992). Developmental arrest and ecdysteroid deficiency resulting from mutations at the dre4 locus of Drosophila. Genetics *130*, 555–568.

- Song, H., Zeng, J., Lele, S., et al. (2021). APE1 and SSRP1 is overexpressed in muscle invasive bladder cancer and associated with poor survival. Heliyon 7, e06756.
- Southall, T.D., and Brand, A.H. (2009). Neural stem cell transcriptional networks highlight genes essential for nervous system development. EMBO J. 28, 3799–3807.
- Swenson, J.M., Colmenares, S.U., Strom, A.R., et al. (2016). The composition and organization of Drosophila heterochromatin are heterogeneous and dynamic. eLife *5*, e16096.
- Tennessen, J.M., Baker, K.D., Lam, G., et al. (2011). The Drosophila estrogenrelated receptor directs a metabolic switch that supports developmental growth. Cell Metab. *13*, 139–148.
- Truman, J.W., and Bate, M. (1988). Spatial and temporal patterns of neurogenesis in the central nervous system of Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. *125*, 145–157.
- Vissers, L.E., Gilissen, C., and Veltman, J.A. (2016). Genetic studies in intellectual disability and related disorders. Nat. Rev. Genet. 17, 9–18.
- Wang, Q., Jia, S., Jiao, Y., et al. (2019). SSRP1 influences colorectal cancer cell growth and apoptosis via the AKT pathway. Int. J. Med. Sci. 16, 1573– 1582.
- White, K., and Kankel, D.R. (1978). Patterns of cell division and cell movement in the formation of the imaginal nervous system in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 65, 296–321.
- Winkler, D.D., Muthurajan, U.M., Hieb, A.R., et al. (2011). Histone chaperone FACT coordinates nucleosome interaction through multiple synergistic binding events. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 41883–41892.
- Wu, D., Wu, L., An, H., et al. (2018). RanGAP-mediated nucleocytoplasmic transport of Prospero regulates neural stem cell lifespan in Drosophila larval central brain. Aging Cell 18, e12854.
- Wu, W., He, K., Guo, Q., et al. (2019). SSRP1 promotes colorectal cancer progression and is negatively regulated by miR-28-5p. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 23, 3118–3129.
- Yu, Y., Gao, Y., and Yu, Y. (2021). SSRP1 worsens malignant melanoma progression by activating MAPKs pathway. Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci. 51, 783– 789.
- Zhang, Q., Huang, H., Zhang, L., et al. (2018). Visualizing dynamics of cell signaling in vivo with a phase separation-based kinase reporter. Mol. Cell 69, 334–346.e4.
- Zhang, W., Zeng, F., Liu, Y., et al. (2015). Crystal structure of human SSRP1 middle domain reveals a role in DNA binding. Sci. Rep. *5*, 18688.

Received April 17, 2023. Revised February 8, 2024. Accepted April 29, 2024.

© The Author(s) (2024). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Journal of Molecular Cell Biology, CEMCS, CAS.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.