

Stefan Wimmer, Jaime Sánchez-Barriga, Philipp Küppers, Andreas Ney, Enrico Schierle, Friedrich Freyse, Ondrej Caha, Jan Michalička, Marcus Liebmann, Daniel Primetzhofer, Martin Hoffman, Arthur Ernst, Mikhail M. Otrokov, Gustav Bihlmayer, Eugen Weschke, Bella Lake, Evgueni V. Chulkov, Markus Morgenstern, Günther Bauer, Gunther Springholz,* and Oliver Rader*

Ferromagnetic topological insulators exhibit the quantum anomalous Hall effect, which is potentially useful for high-precision metrology, edge channel spintronics, and topological qubits. The stable 2+ state of Mn enables intrinsic magnetic topological insulators. MnBi₂Te₄ is, however, antiferromagnetic with 25 K Néel temperature and is strongly n-doped. In this work, p-type MnSb₂Te₄, previously considered topologically trivial, is shown to be a ferromagnetic topological insulator for a few percent Mn excess. i) Ferromagnetic hysteresis with record Curie temperature of 45-50 K, ii) out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy, iii) a 2D Dirac cone with the Dirac point close to the Fermi level, iv) out-of-plane spin polarization as revealed by photoelectron spectroscopy, and v) a magnetically induced bandgap closing at the Curie temperature, demonstrated by scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS), are shown. Moreover, a critical exponent of the magnetization $\beta \approx 1$ is found, indicating the vicinity of a quantum critical point. Ab initio calculations reveal that Mn-Sb site exchange provides the ferromagnetic interlayer coupling and the slight excess of Mn nearly doubles the Curie temperature. Remaining deviations from the ferromagnetic order open the inverted bulk bandgap and render MnSb₂Te₄ a robust topological insulator and new benchmark for magnetic topological insulators.

1. Introduction

The quantum anomalous Hall effect (QAHE) offers quantized conductance and lossless transport without the need for an external magnetic field.^[1] The idea to combine ferromagnetism with topological insulators for this purpose^[2-4] has fuelled the materials science.^[5,6] It led to the experimental discovery of the QAHE in Cr- and V-doped (Bi, Sb)₂Te₃^[7-11] with precise quantized values of the Hall resistivity down to the sub-part-per-million level.^[12-15] The stable 3+ configuration of V or Cr substitutes the isoelectronic Bi or Sb^[3,16,17] enabling ferromagnetism by coupling the magnetic moments of the transition metal atoms. Hence, time-reversal symmetry is broken enabling - through perpendicular magnetization - a gap opening at the Dirac point of the topological surface state.^[2-5] This gap hosts chiral edge states with precisely quantized conductivity. However, the

ADVANCED

www.advmat.de

S. Wimmer, A. Ney, G. Bauer, G. Springholz Institut für Halbleiter- und Festkörperphysik Johannes Kepler Universität Altenberger Straße 69, Linz 4040, Austria E-mail: Gunther.Springholz@jku.at J. Sánchez-Barriga, E. Schierle, F. Freyse, E. Weschke, B. Lake, O. Rader Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie Albert-Einstein-Straße 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany E-mail: rader@helmholtz-berlin.de P. Küppers, M. Liebmann, M. Morgenstern II. Institute of Physics B and JARA-FIT RWTH Aachen Unversity 52074 Aachen, Germany

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202102935.

© 2021 The Authors. Advanced Materials published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1002/adma.202102935

F. Freyse Institut für Physik und Astronomie Universität Potsdam Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24/25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany O. Caha Department of Condensed Matter Physics Masaryk University Kotlářská 267/2, Brno 61137, Czech Republic J. Michalička Central European Institute of Technology Brno University of Technology Purkyňova 123, Brno 612 00, Czech Republic D. Primetzhofer Department of Physics and Astronomy Universitet Uppsala Lägerhyddsvägen 1, Uppsala 75120, Sweden M. Hoffman, A. Ernst Institute for Theoretical Physics Johannes Kepler Universität Altenberger Straße 69, Linz 4040, Austria

experimental temperatures featuring the QAHE are between 30 mK^[7,13] and a few K^[18,19] only, significantly lower than the ferromagnetic transition temperatures $T_{\rm C}$ in these systems.^[20] If the temperature of the QAHE could be raised, applications such as chiral interconnects,^[21] edge state spintronics,^[22,23] and metrological standards^[14,15] become realistic.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____

One promising approach is the so-called modulation doping in which the magnetic dopants are located only in certain parts of the topological insulator. This implies strong coupling of the topological surface state to the magnetic moments at a reduced disorder level.^[18,24] Most elegantly, this has been realized for Mn-doped Bi2Te3 and Bi2Se3. The tendency of Mn to substitute Bi is weak, such that Mn doping leads to the spontaneous formation of septuple layers with MnBi2Te4 stoichiometry. These septuple layers are statistically distributed among quintuple layers of pure Bi2Te3 or Bi2Se3 at low Mn concentration^[25,26] and increase in number with increasing Mn concentration.^[25] Eventually, only septuple layers remain when the overall stoichiometry of MnBi₂Te₄ or MnBi₂Se₄^[26,27] is reached. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations found that MnBi₂Te₄ forms ferromagnetic layers with antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling^[28,29] as confirmed by experiments at low temperatures.^[29-32] As a result, MnBi₂Te₄ is an antiferromagnetic topological insulator^[29,33-36] that can exhibit axion states.^[5] The QAHE, however, has only been realized in a limited way: ultra thin flakes consisting of odd numbers of septuple layers exhibited an anomalous Hall effect (AHE) that is nearly quantized. This is caused by the uncompensated ferromagnetic septuple layer without partner. Nevertheless, exact quantization still required a magnetic field.^[37] A ferromagnetic AHE has also been observed for systems with either a larger amount of quintuple layers^[38–40] or via alloying of Sb and Bi in

A Frnst Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics Weinberg 2, 06120 Halle, Germany M. M. Otrokov Centro de Física de Materiales (CFM-MPC) Centro Mixto CSIC-UPV/EHU, San Sebastián/Donostia 20018, Spain M. M. Otrokov IKERBASQUE Basque Foundation for Science Bilbao 48011, Spain G. Bihlmaver Peter Grünberg Institut and Institute for Advanced Simulation Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA 52425 Jülich, Germany E. V. Chulkov Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC) San Sebastián/Donostia 20018, Spain E. V. Chulkov Departamento de Polímeros y Materiales Avanzados: Física, Química y Tecnología, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU San Sebastián/Donostia 20080, Spain E. V. Chulkov Saint Petersburg State University Saint Petersburg 198504, Russia E. V. Chulkov Tomsk State University Tomsk 634050, Russia

 $\rm MnBi_{2-x}Sb_xTe_4~^{[31,32,41]}$ or both. $^{[42,43]}$ Most notably, a nearly quantized AHE has been observed up to 7 K for a $\rm MnBi_2Te_4/Bi_2Te_3$ heterostructure after unconventional counter doping inducing vacancies by electron bombardment. $^{[19]}$

A central drawback of the Bi₂Te₃ and Bi₂Se₃ host materials is their strong n-type doping. In contrast, Sb₂Te₃ is p-doped and much closer to charge neutrality.^[44] Indeed, mixtures of Bi₂Te₃ and Sb_2Te_3 with stoichiometries close to Sb_2Te_3 have been employed for the QAHE using Cr and V doping.^[7-11] Magnetism of dilute Mn-doped Sb₂Te₃ has initially been studied by Dyck et al. obtaining $T_{\rm C} \simeq 2$ K and perpendicular anisotropy.^[45] Later, a higher $T_{\rm C} = 17$ K was reported for 1.5% Mn doping.^[46] Stoichiometric bulk MnSb₂Te₄ provided antiferromagnetism (Néel temperature $T_{\rm N} = 20 \text{ K}$ ^[31,32,47], ferromagnetism^[48] as well as ferrimagnetism^[47,49] ($T_{\rm C} = 25-34$ K), depending on the composition and synthesis conditions. By comparison with scattering methods, it has been conjectured that this is related to Mn-Sb site exchange within the septuple lavers^[42,47,50] which could even lead to spin glass behaviour.[51] DFT calculations found that the perfectly ordered MnSb₂Te₄ is antiferromagnetic^[28] but topologically trivial,^[47,52–55] while Mn–Sb site exchange can render the interlayer coupling ferromagnetic.^[47,50]

Here, epitaxial MnSb₂Te₄ is studied using spin- and angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES), scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and STS, magnetometry, X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) and DFT. All experiments were performed as a function of temperature to pin down the intricate correlation between magnetism and non-trivial band topology essential for the QAHE. It is revealed that the material unites the favorable properties of a topological insulator with its Dirac point close to the Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$ with that of a ferromagnetic hysteresis with out-of-plane anisotropy and record-high $T_{\rm C}$, twice as high as the $T_{\rm N}$ previously reported for antiferromagnetic MnBi₂Te₄ and MnSb₂Te₄.^[31,32] Moreover, temperature dependent STS finds a magnetic gap of 17 meV at $E_{\rm F}$ for 4.3 K that closes exactly at $T_{\rm C}$ as expected for a ferromagnetic topological insulator. Moreover, by combining DFT, STM, Rutherford backscattering, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) it is uncovered that a partial substitution of Sb atoms by Mn is decisive to render MnSb₂Te₄ both ferromagnetic and a topological insulator.

2. Structure

Epitaxial MnSb₂Te₄ films with 200 nm thickness were grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) using an Mn:Sb₂Te₃ flux ratio of 1:1 in order to obtain the desired 1:2:4 stoichiometry of the MnSb₂Te₄ phase (Note S1, Supporting Information). **Figure 1**a shows the cross section of the MnSb₂Te₄ lattice structure revealed by high-resolution high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM). It consists of septuple layers (SL) with stacking sequence Te–Sb– Te–Mn–Te–Sb–Te, Figure 1c, that corresponds to the MnSb₂Te₄ stoichiometry. The composition was verified by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS), indicating a small excess of Mn of 6% in the layers (composition of Mn_{1.06}Sb_{1.94}Te₄, see Figure S1, Supporting Information), which is attributed to the fact that a small amount of Sb₂Te₃ desorbs from the surface during growth. Like with MnBi₂Te₄/Bi₂Te₃,^[56] the exchange







Figure 1. Structural and magnetic properties of epitaxial MnSb₂Te₄. a) Cross-sectional HAADF-STEM image of the septuple layer sequence formed in the MnSb₂Te₄ films on BaF₂ substrates. For clarity, one of the septuple layers is highlighted by red color and denoted as SL. The weak van-der-Waals-like bond between the Te-terminated septuple layers is the natural surface termination. b) STM image of the flat topography of this surface, recorded at T = 4.3 K, sample voltage of 500 mV and current of 200 pA. c) Sketch of the ideal crystal structure as side view and top view. d) SQUID magnetometry as a function of temperature while cooling the sample in 10 mT from 300 K down to 2 K. e) Hysteresis loops probed by SQUID. The insets show a larger *H* range and the temperature dependence. Perpendicular anisotropy can be deduced from the larger out-of-plane signal. f) Temperature-dependent XMCD signal at the Mn L₃-edge and the (0001) Bragg peak position recorded in X-ray scattering geometry at 0 T after field cooling in 0.5 T. g) Corresponding full XMCD spectra recorded with opposite circular polarizations at 10 K. h) Difference of the spectra in (g). Linear extrapolation in (d,f) (green lines) reveals a ferromagnetic Curie temperature $T_c = 46 \pm 2$ K for both experimental probes.

coupling is strongly enhanced in these septuple layers relative to a disordered system where Mn substitutes Bi randomly. 3. Magnetic Properties

The nearly exclusive formation of septuple layers in the entire MnSb₂Te₄ samples is confirmed by high-resolution XRD (Figure S2. Supporting Information), revealing only a minute number of residual quintuple layers. In contrast, STEM and XRD of V-doped (Bi, Sb)₂Te₃ shows quintuple layers only.^[57] This highlights that septuple layers are unfavorable for V³⁺ incorporation but very favorable for Mn as this requires the addition of a charge neutral transition metal²⁺/Te²⁻ bilayer to each quintuple layer, which is possible for Mn^{2+} but not for V^{3+} . In addition, detailed XRD analysis reveals a 10% Mn-Sb site exchange within the septuple layers (see Figure S2, Supporting Information), meaning that Mn does not reside exclusively in the center of the septuple layers but also to a small extent on Sb sites in the adjacent cation layers. The amount of Mn-Sb site exchange is, however, significantly smaller as compared to single crystals reported to be of the order of 30–40%.^[47,49] This is due to the much lower growth temperature of our epilayers of 290 °C compared to 600-650 °C for single crystals. Indeed, STM images of the atomically flat and Te-terminated surface of our MnSb₂Te₄ epilayers (Figure 1b) exhibit triangular features, pointing to defects in the cation layer beneath the surface.^[58,59] These defects occur with an atomic density of 5-10%. Since this is larger than for undoped Sb₂Te₃ films,^[58] the triangles are most likely caused by subsurface Mn atoms on Sb sites, in line with the XRD and RBS results. As shown by DFT below, these defects turn out to be decisive for the ferromagnetic interlayer coupling in MnSb₂Te₄. Similar conjectures have been raised previously.^[42,47,50,51,60]

Figure 1d displays the temperature-dependent magnetization M(T) measured by superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry. The measurements were recorded while cooling the sample from 300 K to 2 K in a field of 10 mT perpendicular (blue) or parallel (red) to the film surface. Most strikingly, the MnSb₂Te₄ epilayers show pronounced ferromagnetic behavior by M(H) hysteresis loops (Figure 1e) with record high $T_{\rm C}$ of 45–50 K (Figure 1d), revealed independently for several samples (Figure S3, Supporting Information). This is significantly higher than the antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic transition temperatures of bulk crystals (Table I, Supporting Information). Moreover, it is twice as large as the $T_{\rm N} \leq 25$ K of MnBi₂Te₄ films grown under the same conditions. It has been crosschecked that the displayed magnetization in M(T) curves is identical to the corresponding hysteresis loops. In particular, the large remanent magnetization observed by the bulk sensitive SQUID measurements excludes that it is caused by uncompensated antiferromagnetically coupled septuple layers only.^[37] However, the M(H) hysteresis curve (Figure 1e) shows a rounded shape that persists up to fields much higher than those typical for domain reversals and it does not saturate up to ± 5 T where the average magnetic moment per Mn atom is of the order of 1–1.5 μ_B , similar to that of bulk MnSb₂Te₄ single crystals.^[31,47,49] This is because very high fields of 60 T are required to fully polarize the system^[61] and is in line with a similar rise of the Hall resistance with applied field in Figure S10, Supporting





Information. This suggests additional types of competing magnetic orders. Indeed, a small kink in M(T) is observed at 20–25 K (Figure S3, Supporting Information), close to the Néel temperature reported earlier for antiferromagnetic MnSb₂Te₄.^[31] This implies that the high-temperature ferromagnetism is most likely accompanied by ferrimagnetism as also supported by the relatively large in-plane hysteresis and magnetization, Figure 1e, in line with observations of competing ferro- and antiferromagnetic order in bulk MnSb₂Te₄.^[40,42,47,48,50,51,61]

Ferromagnetism is fully confirmed by element specific zerofield XMCD recorded in diffraction geometry (see Note S7, Supporting Information). For these measurements, the sample was remanently magnetized at ≈0.5 T and 10 K. From spectra recorded with oppositely circularly polarized light at the (0001) Bragg peak, the intensity difference $C_{+} - C_{-}$ (Figure 1h) was deduced for 0 T with the photon energy tuned to the $Mn-L_3$ resonance (Figure 1g). The asymmetry $(C_+ - C_-)/(C_+ + C_-)$ directly yields the magnetization of the Mn atoms. Its temperature dependence (Figure 1f) impressively confirms the SQUID data with high $T_{\rm C} \simeq 46$ K and unambiguously attributes the ferromagnetism to the Mn atoms. (For magnetotransport data, see Figure S10, Supporting Information.) The record-high $T_{\rm C}$ with magnetic easy axis perpendicular to the surface (crystallographic *c*-axis) as well as the large coercivity (≈ 0.2 T) render the samples very robust ferromagnets. Note that the spin-orbit interaction is crucial for both out-of-plane easy axis and large coercivity.^[25] While it is sufficiently strong to turn the magnetization out of plane in Mn-doped Bi2Te3, the effect in Mndoped Bi₂Te₃ is too weak.^[25,62] The present data reveals that Sb₂Te₃ is sufficiently heavy, that is, spin-orbit coupling sufficiently large, to maintain the perpendicular anisotropy for high Mn content.

To elucidate the origin of the ferromagnetism, DFT calculations are employed (Section S11, Supporting Information). They firstly highlight the differences between MnSb₂Te₄ and MnBi₂Te₄. In both cases, the in-plane Mn coupling within each septuple layer is ferromagnetic. It is unlikely that the observed difference between antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling in MnBi₂Te₄ and ferromagnetic interlayer coupling in MnSb₂Te₄ is caused by the lowered spin-orbit interaction, since it obviously remains large enough to induce a strong out-of-plane anisotropy in both cases. However, the in-plane lattice constant *a* of $MnSb_2Te_4$ is $\approx 2\%$ smaller than for $MnBi_2Te_4$. Hence, we calculated the exchange constants of MnSb₂Te₄ for a determined by XRD in comparison to those for *a* expanded to the value of MnBi₂Te₄ (see Table III, Supporting Information). Although the smaller in-plane lattice constant of MnSb₂Te₄ increases the in-plane exchange constant *I* between nearest neighbors by almost a factor of three, T_N remains barely changed because the enlarged in-plane overlap of the Mn d states concomitantly weakens the already small perpendicular interlayer coupling. However, the energy gain of antiferromagnetism against ferromagnetism becomes as low as 0.6 meV per Mn atom at the XRD lattice constant. This suggests that already small structural changes along the interlayer exchange path can easily induce the transition to ferromagnetic order.

Indeed, a small degree of Mn–Sb site exchange occurs in the setpuple layers, meaning that a small fraction of Mn actually resides on Sb sites. Including this site exchange, our DFT calculations reveal that already 2.5% of Mn on Sb sites and, in turn, 5% of Sb on Mn sites is sufficient to swap the sign of the interlayer exchange constant (see Table III, Supporting Information). This renders $MnSb_2Te_4$ ferromagnetic for a degree of site exchange that agrees well with our XRD analysis and the density of subsurface defects observed by STM (Figure 1b). An even stronger site exchange has been recently reported for bulk $MnSb_2Te_4^{[47,49,51]}$ and $MnSb_{1.8}Bi_{0.2}Te_4$ single crystals,^[32] which corroborates that the Mn–Sb site exchange easily occurs. Thus, we conjecture that $MnSb_2Te_4$ single crystals remain antiferromagnetic^[32] only for negligible Sb–Mn intermixing. It is noted that Mn on Sb sites tends to couple antiferromagnetically to the Mn in the central layer of the septuple layer, while the Mn moments in the center of the septuple layers always couple ferromagnetically to each other.

According to our DFT calculations, however, Mn-Sb site exchange barely increases the transition temperature $(T_{\rm N} = 18 \text{ K} \rightarrow T_{\rm C} = 25 \text{ K}$, see Table III, Supporting Information). This can only be achieved by incorporation of excess Mn in the DFT calculations, while keeping the Mn concentration in the central layer constant. In fact it turns out that already a small Mn excess of 5% residing on the Sb sites strongly increases $T_{\rm C}$ to 44 K, which well reproduces the experimental $T_{\rm C}$ = 45–50 K values. The strong enhancement is caused by the simultaneous strengthening of the intra- and interlayer exchange constants (see Table III, Supporting Information). The conclusion is robust toward charge doping by up to 0.2% Te or Sb vacancies that negligibly changes $T_{\rm C}$ (Note S11, Supporting Information). Note that the excess Mn in the Sb layers is perfectly in line with our RBS results (Figure S1, Supporting Information) indicating a Mn excess of 6% in our samples.

4. Topology and Magnetic Gap

Next, we probe the topological properties of the epitaxial MnSb₂Te₄ layers, recalling that perfectly stoichiometric MnSb₂Te₄ has been predicted to be topologically trivial.^[47,52-54] In particular, it could be turned into a topological insulator only by replacement of more than half of the Sb by Bi^[53] or by compressing the lattice by as much as 3%.^[52] However, as shown by Figure 2, our ARPES data from the MnSb₂Te₄ epilayers reveal the existence of a topological surface state with the dispersion of a Dirac cone along the wave vector parallel to the surface \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} . Varying the photon energy (Figure 2a,b and Figure S5, Supporting Information) to tune the electron wave number k_z perpendicular to the surface once through the whole bulk Brillouin zone (Table II, Supporting Information) reveals no dispersion, evidencing the 2D character of the Dirac cone, contrary to the lower-lying 3D bulk bands that strongly disperse with photon energy (Figure 2f, arrows). Moreover, spin-resolved ARPES of the 2D Dirac cone showcases a helical in-plane spin texture at \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} away from the $\overline{\Gamma}$ zone center, that is, it exhibits the characteristic reversal of spin orientation with the sign of \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} (Figure 2g,i, Figure S4, Supporting Information). This spin chirality is a key signature of a topological surface state. In addition, a pronounced out-of-plane spin polarization of about 25% occurs in the remanently magnetized sample at the $\overline{\Gamma}$ zone center in the vicinity of $E_{\rm F}$, which reverses its sign when the sample is





Figure 2. Topological properties of $MnSb_2Te_4$ revealed by ARPES. a) ARPES maps along $E(k_{\parallel,x})$ for five different photon energies hv displayed at the deduced k_z values. b) Same data as (a) displayed as $(k_{\parallel,x}, k_z)$ maps via interpolation featuring negligible dispersion along k_z . The k_z range covers the whole Brillouin zone. c) Full 3D representation of the surface Dirac cone with $k_{\parallel,x}$ pointing along the $\overline{\Gamma} - \overline{K}$ direction. d) Energy-momentum dispersion of the Dirac cone (left) and corresponding momentum-distribution curves (right) recorded at hv = 23 eV. The dashed, red lines along maxima result from fits to the data (Note S8, Supporting Information). They extrapolate to a Dirac point 20 meV above the Fermi level. e) Constant-energy cuts of the Dirac cone. f) ARPES maps along $E(k_{\parallel,x})$ at different photon energies featuring a strong dispersion of a bulk valence band (blue arrows) with photon energy (i.e., k_2). g,i) Spin-resolved ARPES at k_{\parallel} as marked in (h), hence, crossing the surface Dirac cone. Left: spectra for the two spin channels at one $k_{\parallel,x}$. Right: spin polarization for both $k_{\parallel,x}$ that is, $\pm k_{\parallel,x}$. g) In-plane spin direction S_y perpendicular to k_{\parallel} . i) Out-of-plane spin direction S_z . Data for S_x : Figure S4, Supporting Information). Right: energy distribution curves showing where (g,i,j) were measured. j) Spin-resolved ARPES recorded at $\overline{\Gamma}$ and 30 K, and showcasing an out-of-plane spin polarization that reverses sign with reversal of the sample magnetization (M⁺ and M⁻, right).

magnetized in the opposite direction (Figure 2j). Such out-ofplane spin texture at $\overline{\Gamma}$ is evidence for a magnetic gap opening at the Dirac point.^[63] Combined, the ARPES results demonstrate that MnSb₂Te₄ is a ferromagnetic topological insulator with clear fingerprints of a magnetic gap at $\overline{\Gamma}$. Favorably, the Dirac point of the topological surface state is rather close to $E_{\rm F}$. Indeed, extrapolation of the observed linear bands, deduced by Lorentzian peak fitting (Figure 2d,h), yields a position of the Dirac point $E_{\rm D}$ of 20 ± 7 meV above $E_{\rm F}$ at 300 K (Note S8, Supporting Information).

Since a Dirac point above E_F is not accessible for ARPES, here we employ low temperature STS to directly probe the ferromagnetic gap expected to open up at $T < T_C$. Figure 3a shows a topography STM image of the MnSb₂Te₄ epilayer at 4.3 K together with six STS spectra recorded at different locations on the surface. All spectra consistently reveal a gap at E_F varying, however, significantly in size. Attributing the energy region where $dI/dV \approx 0$ as gap (Note S9, Supporting Information), a full map of the gap size Δ is obtained for a larger surface region (Figure 3b),^[64,65] with the corresponding gap histogram displayed as inset. The gap size varies in the range 0-40 meV with a mean value of 17 meV and a spatial correlation length of 2 nm observed consistently in three distinct areas (Figure S7, Supporting Information). A corresponding set of dI/dV curves recorded along the dashed line in Figure 3b is depicted in Figure 3c and showcases the small-scale bandgap fluctuations. Likely, the spatial variation of Δ is caused by the spatially varying subsurface defect configurations, that is, by the Mn atoms on Sb lattice sites. Indeed, the gap fluctuations appear on the same length scale as the topographic features in Figure 1b. The average gap center position is only 0.6 meV above $E_{\rm F}$ (Figure S7, Supporting Information), which compares well to the value of

www.advmat.de





dI/dV (arb.units)

С





b

Gap Δ (meV) T=4.3 K

Figure 3. Spatial variation of the magnetic energy gap and its closing at T_c . a) Center: STM image of MnSb₂Te₄ recorded at T = 4.3 K after ultrahigh vacuum sample transfer from the MBE system, V = 0.5 V, I = 0.2 nA. Surrounding: dI/dV spectra recorded at the crosses as labelled. The dashed line in the upper left spectrum is the noise threshold used for determination of gap size Δ (Note S9, Supporting Information). b) Spatial map of gap size Δ . Inset: histogram of Δ resulting from three distinct areas, average gap size $\overline{\Delta}$ and standard deviation σ are marked. c) dI/dV spectra (color code) along the magenta dashed line in (b). The yellow line at the bottom of the color code bar marks the threshold for gap determination in (a,b). d) Small dots: Ratio R = [dI/dV(V = 0 mV)] / [dI/dV(V = -50 mV)] deduced from dI/dV(V) curves recorded at different T. The different gray shades correspond to different cooling cycles. Each point belongs to a single position on the sample surface within 1 nm. The error bars represent the standard deviation resulting from multiple curves measured at the same position. Large gray dots: average values of all data at corresponding T implying spatially averaged gap sizes $\overline{\Delta}$ (21 K) = 11 ± 5 meV, $\overline{\Delta}$ (31 K) = 6 ± 1 meV, and $\overline{\Delta}$ (36 K) = 4 ± 1 meV (Note S9, Supporting Information). Gray lines: T-dependence of the ratio R for the marked gap sizes $\overline{\Delta}$ as deduced by convolving the measured dI/dV data at 4.3 K with the Fermi distribution function (Figure S9b, Supporting Information). The area above $\Delta = 0$ meV exhibits such a large R that the existence of a gap can be excluded. The error bars marked on the right result from the variation of the dI/dV curves at 4.3 K and represent a standard deviation (Figure S9b, Supporting Information). Colored squares: gap values deduced from XMCD (yellow, Figure 1f) and SQUID (red, violet, Figure S3, Supporting Information) via $\overline{\Delta}(T) \propto M(T)$.^[70] Insets: selected dI/dV curves belonging to the point

 $E_{\rm D} - E_{\rm F} = 20$ meV determined by ARPES on another sample. The small difference most likely arises from small differences in the growth and surface conditions, but may also be caused by the different measurement temperatures (4.3 K vs 300 K) or larger-scale potential fluctuations.^[66,67]

To prove that the energy gap is of magnetic origin,^[25] the temperature dependence $\Delta(T)$ is probed by STS. This has not been accomplished yet for any magnetic topological insulator because at higher temperatures $k_{\rm B}T \geq \Delta/5$ the STS gap Δ is smeared by the broadening of the Fermi–Dirac distribution, leading to a small non-zero tunneling current at voltages within the bandgap.^[68] A direct deconvolution of the local density of states (LDOS) and the Fermi distribution function would require an assumption on the LDOS shape as function of energy. Such an assumption is impeded due to the significant spatial variation of the dI/dV curves at 4.3 K (Figure 3a–c), as also found consistently for other magnetic topological insulators.^[64,69] Therefore, we developed a new method to derive Δ at elevated temperatures using the ratio between dI/dV at V = 0 mV and dI/dV at V well outside the region of the 4.3 K

bandgap (Note S9, Supporting Information). Figure 3d displays the ratio R = [dI/dV(0 mV)]/[dI/dV(-50 mV)] for a large number of dI/dV spectra recorded at temperatures varying between 4.3 K and 50 K (small dots). Selected dI/dV spectra at 10, 21 and 47 K are shown as insets (more dI/dV data in Figure S8, Supporting Information).

One can see that up to 20 K, the STS ratio *R* is zero and thus dI/dV = 0 nS at zero bias, directly evidencing the persistence of the gap. At higher temperatures the *R* values gradually increase, which is due to the convolution of the temperature broadening effect along with the decreasing magnetic gap size that goes to zero at $T = T_c$. To separate these two effects, we model the temperature dependence of the STS ratio for fixed gap sizes $\Delta(4.3K) = 0$, 5, 10, 15 and 20 meV by convolving the dI/dV curves recorded at 4.3 K (Figure 3a–c) with the Fermi–Dirac distribution (Figure S9, Supporting Information). The corresponding model results are represented by solid gray lines in Figure 3d, where the red line marked with 0 meV indicates how the STS ratio *R* would evolve with temperature when the gap is zero. Clearly, up to T = 47 K, the experimental STS data points *R* are

below this line. This evidences that the gap remains open up to $T_{\rm C}$ whereas for higher temperatures the gap is closed. This directly demonstrates the magnetic origin of the gap^[25] due to the ferromagnetism of the MnSb₂Te₄ system.

Comparing the actual experimental data points with the calculated lines reveals that the gap size continuously decreases as the temperature increases and that it closes rather precisely at $T_{\rm C}$ = 45–50 K in line with the $T_{\rm C}$ obtained by XMCD and SQUID. As described above, the gap size varies spatially across the surface (Figure 3a-c) due to local disorder. Accordingly, at higher temperatures the STS ratios also exhibit a considerable variation depending on where the STS spectra were recorded. For this reason, larger ensembles of data points were recorded at four selected temperatures T = 10, 21, 31, and 36 K within an area of 400 nm². From this, the average gap sizes were deduced as $\overline{\Delta}(21 \text{ K}) = 11 \pm 5 \text{ meV}$, $\overline{\Delta}(31 \text{ K}) = 6 \pm 1 \text{ meV}$, and $\overline{\Delta}(36 \text{ K}) =$ 4 ± 1 meV, represented by the large gray and white dots in Figure 3d. Thus, the gap indeed gradually shrinks as the temperature approaches $T_{\rm C}$ and is closed above, fulfilling precisely the expectations for a ferromagnetic topological insulator.^[25] Note that the different gray shades of the small data points mark different cooling runs starting from an initial elevated temperature. Hence, during cooling the tip slowly drifts across the sample surface while measuring at varying temperature, thus exploring the variations of Δ versus T and spatial position simultaneously. Accordingly, the visible trend of $\Delta(T)$ relies on the sufficient statistics of probed locations to obtain reliable spatially averaged Δ (large dots).

The conclusion that the gap closes at $T_{\rm C}$ is corroborated by comparing the experimental $\overline{\Delta}(T)$ gap evolution with the M(T)magnetization, based on the relation $\overline{\Delta}(T) \propto M(T)$ derived by theory^[70] and previous experiments.^[25] Combining the M(T)data from SQUID and XMCD (Figure 1d,f and Figure S3, Supporting Information) and the low temperature gap $\overline{\Delta}(4.3 \text{ K}) =$ 17 meV from STS (Figure 3b), $\overline{\Delta}(T) = \overline{\Delta}(4.3 \text{ K}) \cdot M(T) / M_0$ is obtained and, thus, straightforwardly $\overline{R}(T)$ from the magnetization data. The results are presented as yellow, blue, and red dots in Figure 3d, demonstrating nice agreement with the STS experiments. This further demonstrates the magnetic origin of the gap. Since the center of the gap (Figure S7, Supporting Information) is close to the Dirac point position observed by ARPES (Figure 2e,h), the gap is attributed to the topological surface state, consistent with the out-of-plane spin polarization near the Dirac point observed in Figure 2j. Such a magnetic gap of a topological surface state close to $E_{\rm F}$ is highly favorable for probing the resulting topological conductivity and its expected quantization.

5. DFT Calculations

To clarify the origin of the discovered ferromagnetic topological insulator, the electronic band structure of $MnSb_2Te_4$ was calculated by various DFT methods, considering different magnetic configurations, including chemical as well as magnetic disorder (Note S11, Supporting Information). As a general result, the ferromagnetic topological insulator phase is only formed by introducing magnetic disorder. Calculating the bulk band structure, the perfect ferromagnetic system without disorder

emerges as a topological Weyl semimetal with a zero bulk bandgap and a Weyl crossing point located along ΓZ at about 5% of the Brillouin zone away from Γ (**Figure 4**f,g, Figures S11 and S12, Supporting Information). While this is in agreement with recent calculations,^[49,54] it obviously disagrees with our STS and ARPES results. On the other hand, the disorder-free antiferromagnetic system is found to be a topological insulator with a bulk bandgap of 120 meV (Figure 4k). This is evidenced by the band inversion at Γ in Figure 4k indicated by the color coding of the spectral function difference between cationic and anionic sites [red (blue): dominating anion (cation) character, see Note S11, Supporting Information]. This color code is also used in Figure 4f-k. Slab calculations of the antiferromagnetic surface band structure (Figure 4d) indeed reveal the topological surface state with a gap at the Dirac point due to time reversal symmetry breaking. Discrepancies to earlier calculations^[52,53] are discussed in Note S11, Supporting Information.

For a more realistic modelling, complex magnetic orders have to be taken into account, deviating from perfect ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic order as implied by our magnetometry results (Figure 1e, Figure S3, Supporting Information). The extreme case of completely disordered local magnetic moments, without net magnetization, showcases a bulk bandgap of 135 meV with nontrivial topology (Figure 4j) as seen from the band inversion around the gap close to Γ . Varying the degrees of magnetic disorder (Figure 4g-i, Figure S12, Supporting Information) shows that already 20% of magnetic disorder breaks up the Weyl point of ferromagnetic MnSb₂Te₄ and opens an inverted bandgap. This topological insulator induced by magnetic disorder is robust against chemical disorder such as the Mn-Sb site exchange that proved to be essential for inducing ferromagnetic order in the system. While a Mn-Sb site exchange of 5% does not affect the band topology, 20% site exchange renders the system trivial (see Figure S11, Supporting Information). The reason is the lowered spin-orbit interaction in the Sb layers. We have also simulated 7-10% Mn-Sb site exchange plus Mn excess and find that the system is still topological. Our results imply that, contrary to previous conclusions,^[47] defect engineering can accomplish simultaneously a nontrival band topology and ferromagnetism with high Curie temperature for the MnSb₂Te₄ system.

To further assess the robustness of the magnetic gap, slab calculations are employed for various magnetic disorder configurations. The simplest case of a purely ferromagnetic slab does not lead to a Dirac cone, since the bulk bandgap vanishes (Figure 4b). The pure antiferromagnetic order, on the other hand, creates a pronounced Dirac cone with magnetic gap of 16 meV, Figure 4d,e, matching the gap size found by STS (Figure 3b). The magnetic gap size turns out to be a rather local property caused by the exchange interaction in near-surface MnSb₂Te₄ septuple layers. As such, the gapped Dirac cone also forms when the surface of an antiferromagnet is terminated by a few ferromagnetic layers (see Figure S13d, Supporting Information). A relatively strong out-of-plane spin polarization at the gap edges (~60%) is found in that case, matching the results of the spin-resolved ARPES measured at 30 K (≈25%) nicely, if one takes into account the temperature dependence of the magnetization (Figure 1d,f). For more random combinations of antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic layers, the Dirac cone with magnetic gap persists,





Figure 4. Theoretical predictions for MnSb₂Te₄. a) Brillouin zone of the bulk (bottom) and the surface (top) of MnSb₂Te₄ with high symmetry points marked. b–e) Band structure from DFT calculations of slab geometries for various magnetic configurations as marked on top. b) Ferromagnetic interlayer coupling calculated for a thick slab. Blue dots: surface states identified via their strength in the top septuple layer. c) Non-collinear interlayer coupling as sketched to the right by a vector model and calculated for a thin slab. The color code marks the out-of-plane spin polarization of the gapped surface-localized Dirac cone on the background of uncolored bulk-like states. d) Antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling calculated for a slab (blue lines) on top of the projected bulk band structure (gray lines). A gapped Dirac cone appears at $\overline{\Gamma}$ in the slab calculation. e) Zoom into (d) with marked size of the magnetic gap in the Dirac cone (topological surface state). f–k) Band structures from DFT calculation of a bulk geometry for magnetic configurations as marked on top. Color represents the spectral function difference between anion and cation sites for each state with red (blue) representing dominating anion (cation) character (Note S11, Supporting Information). f) Ferromagnetic interlayer coupling exhibiting a topologically protected Weyl cone around Γ . g) Zoom into (f). h,i) same as (g) but with magnetic disorder modelled via overlap of collinear spin-up and spin-down states at each Mn site (Note S11, Supporting Information). j) Same as (f), but with full magnetic disorder. (k) Antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling. Note that (h–k) feature an inverted bandgap at Γ as visible by the exchanged colors of the two bands surrounding $E_{\rm F}$ rendering these structures topological insulators.

albeit the bulk bandgap vanishes due to the more extended ferromagnetic portions in the structure (see Figure S13a, Supporting Information). Finally, for a system where the magnetic moments of adjacent septuple layers are continuously tilted with respect to each other, a gapped Dirac cone was also observed (see Figure 4c). Likewise, alternate rotations of adjacent collinearly coupled Mn layers by relative angles $\geq 40^{\circ}$ open a gap in the Weyl cone (Figure S12, Supporting Information). Hence, magnetic disorder turns out to be a decisive tool to accomplish topological insulator properties for MnSb₂Te₄.

Last but not least, it is noted that the slope of the temperature dependent M(T) shows a remarkable linear behavior toward $T_{\rm C}$, described by an effective critical exponent $\beta = 0.7$ –1.2.

This large β apparently persists for about half of the range between T = 0 K and $T_{\rm C}$ (Figure 1d,f). Such large β values do not exist in any classical model ranging from $\beta \simeq 0.125$ for the 2D Ising model to the mean-field value of 0.5. The behavior at the classical critical point may, however, strongly change due to quantum fluctuations, which can lead to $\beta = 1$ in the presence of disorder^[71] as experimentally observed.^[72,73] Note that such disorder is witnessed in our samples by the spatial gap size fluctuations (Figure 3). Moreover, the magnetic phases of MnSb₂Te₄ are energetically very close to each other (Table III, Supporting Information)^[28] which may lead to changes as a function of temperature as suggested by the kink in the M(T)curve (Figure S3, Supporting Information).

www.advmat.de







Epitaxial MnSb₂Te₄ with regularly stacked septuple layers and a slight Mn excess features a robust nontrivial band topology at record-high Curie temperatures up to $T_{\rm C} = 50$ K. DFT band structure calculations, ARPES and STS experiments showcase a 2D Dirac cone of a topological surface state with a magnetic gap of ≈ 17 meV close to the Fermi level. The gap disappears at $T_{\rm C}$ and above, signifying its magnetic origin. This is corroborated by the out-of-plane spin polarization at the Dirac point observed by spin-resolved ARPES. Ferromagnetism is triggered by the modifications of the exchange interactions induced by Mn-Sb site exchange in combination with a slight in-plane contraction. Most importantly, excess Mn on Sb sites significantly enforces the ferromagnetic interactions, leading to a factor of two increase of the Curie temperature. Last but not least, the spin-orbit interaction in MnSb₂Te₄ compared to MnBi₂Te₄ turns out to be still sufficiently large to maintain both the band inversion and the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. This renders MnSb₂Te₄ highly favorable for the quantum anomalous Hall effect and other topology-based device applications as the critical temperature is twice as large as for MnBi₂Te₄ and the Dirac point is close to $E_{\rm F}$ with small spatial variations. Altogether, our results underline that the magnetic properties and interlayer couplings are highly sensitive to the structural disorder in the material and that magnetic disorder is essential to sustain the magnetic topological insulator phase. Indeed, the magnetization features an exotic critical exponent $\beta \approx 1$, which indicates the influence of a quantum critical point, likely merging ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic order.

7. Experimental Section

Details on the sample growth, STEM, RBS, XRD, SQUID magnetometry, resonant scattering and XMCD, ARPES with spin polarimetry, STM and STS, electrical transport measurements, and DFT calculations are provided in the Supporting Information.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ondrej Man for help with lamella preparation for STEM and gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Austrian Science Funds (FWF, 14493-N: P30960-N27), the Impuls- und Vernetzungsfonds der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft (Grant No. HRSF-0067, Helmholtz-Russia Joint Research Group), the CzechNanoLab project LM2018110 funded by MEYS CR, the CEITEC Nano Research Infrastructure, the Swedish Research Council (Project No. 821-2012-5144), the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (Project No. RIF14-0053), the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Project No. PID2019-103910GB-100), Tomsk State University (Project No. 73028629). The work was also funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within SPP1666 Topological Insulators and Germany's Excellence Strategy—Cluster of Excellence Matter and Light for Quantum Computing (ML4Q) EXC



2004/1 — 390534769, and the Graphene Flagship Core 3. G.Bihlmayer gratefully acknowledges computing resources on the supercomputer JURECA at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre.

Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

P.K., J.S.-B., and S.W. contributed equally to this work, which was coordinated by M.M., G.S., and O.R.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

magnetic bandgap, magnetic topological insulators, magnetization, $MnSb_2Te_4,\,Mn-Sb$ site exchange, molecular beam epitaxy

Received: April 18, 2021 Revised: June 29, 2021 Published online: September 1, 2021

- [1] M. Onoda, N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 90, 206601.
- [2] C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008, 101, 146802.
- [3] R. Yu, W. Zhang, H.-J. Zhang, S.-C. Zhang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, Science 2010, 329, 61.
- [4] Z. Qiao, S. A. Yang, W. Feng, W.-K. Tse, J. Ding, Y. Yao, J. Wang, Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 2010, 82, 161414(R).
- [5] Y. Tokura, K. Yasuda, A. Tsukazaki, Nat. Rev. Phys. 2019, 1, 126.
- [6] M. Nadeem, A. R. Hamilton, M. S. Fuhrer, X. Wang, Small 2020, 16, 42.
- [7] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, X. Feng, J. Shen, Z. Zhang, M. Guo, K. Li, Y. Ou, P. Wei, L.-L. Wang, Z.-Q. Ji, Y. Feng, S. Ji, X. Chen, J. Jia, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, Y. Wang, L. Lu, X.-C. Ma, Q.-K. Xue, *Science* **2013**, *340*, 167.
- [8] J. G. Checkelsky, R. Yoshimi, A. Tsukazaki, K. S. Takahashi, Y. Kozuka, J. Falson, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tokura, *Nat. Phys.* 2014, 10, 731.
- [9] X. Kou, S.-T. Guo, Y. Fan, L. Pan, M. Lang, Y. Jiang, Q. Shao, T. Nie, K. Murata, J. Tang, Y. Wang, L. He, T.-K. Lee, W.-L. Lee, K. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *113*, 137201.
- [10] A. Bestwick, E. Fox, X. Kou, L. Pan, K. L. Wang, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2015, 114, 187201.
- [11] A. Kandala, A. Richardella, S. Kempinger, C.-X. Liu, N. Samarth, Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7434.
- [12] C.-Z. Chang, W. Zhao, D. Y. Kim, H. Zhang, B. A. Assaf, D. Heiman, S.-C. Zhang, C. Liu, M. H. W. Chan, J. S. Moodera, *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14*, 473.
- [13] S. Grauer, S. Schreyeck, M. Winnerlein, K. Brunner, C. Gould, L. W. Molenkamp, *Phys. Rev. B* 2015, *92*, 201304(R).

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



- [14] E. J. Fox, I. T. Rosen, Y. Yang, G. R. Jones, R. E. Elmquist, X. Kou, L. Pan, K. L. Wang, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, *Phys. Rev. B* 2018, *98*, 075145.
- [15] M. Götz, K. M. Fijalkowski, E. Pesel, M. Hartl, S. Schreyeck, M. Winnerlein, S. Grauer, H. Scherer, K. Brunner, C. Gould, F. J. Ahlers, L. W. Molenkamp, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2018**, *112*, 072102.
- [16] M. Ye, W. Li, S. Zhu, Y. Takeda, Y. Saitoh, J. Wang, H. Pan, M. Nurmamat, K. Sumida, F. Ji, Z. Liu, H. Yang, Z. Liu, D. Shen, A. Kimura, S. Qiao, X. Xie, *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8913.
- [17] M. Li, C.-Z. Chang, L. Wu, J. Tao, W. Zhao, M. H. Chan, J. S. Moodera, J. Li, Y. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2015**, *114*, 146802.
- [18] M. Mogi, R. Yoshimi, A. Tsukazaki, K. Yasuda, Y. Kozuka, K. S. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tokura, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2015, 107, 182401.
- [19] H. Deng, Z. Chen, A. Wołoś, M. Konczykowski, K. Sobczak, J. Sitnicka, I. V. Fedorchenko, J. Borysiuk, T. Heider, Ł. Pluciński, K. Park, A. B. Georgescu, J. Cano, L. Krusin-Elbaum, *Nat. Phys.* 2020, 17, 36.
- [20] Z. Zhou, Y.-J. Chien, C. Uher, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2005, 87, 112503.
- [21] X. Zhang, S.-C. Zhang, Proc. SPIE 2012, 8373, 837309.
- [22] K. Yasuda, M. Mogi, R. Yoshimi, A. Tsukazaki, K. S. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, F. Kagawa, Y. Tokura, *Science* 2017, *358*, 1311.
- [23] A. C. Mahoney, J. I. Colless, L. Peeters, S. J. Pauka, E. J. Fox, X. Kou, L. Pan, K. L. Wang, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, D. J. Reilly, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 1836.
- [24] D. Xiao, J. Jiang, J.-H. Shin, W. Wang, F. Wang, Y.-F. Zhao, C. Liu, W. Wu, M. H. Chan, N. Samarth, C.-Z. Chang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2018**, *120*, 056801.
- [25] E. D. L. Rienks, S. Wimmer, J. Sánchez-Barriga, O. Caha, P. S. Mandal, J. Růžička, A. Ney, H. Steiner, V. V. Volobuev, H. Groiss, M. Albu, G. Kothleitner, J. Michalička, S. A. Khan, J. Minár, H. Ebert, G. Bauer, F. Freyse, A. Varykhalov, O. Rader, G. Springholz, *Nature* **2019**, *576*, 423.
- [26] J. A. Hagmann, X. Li, S. Chowdhury, S.-N. Dong, S. Rouvimov, S. J. Pookpanratana, K. M. Yu, T. A. Orlova, T. B. Bolin, C. U. Segre, D. G. Seiler, C. A. Richter, X. Liu, M. Dobrowolska, J. K. Furdyna, *New J. Phys.* 2017, *19*, 085002.
- [27] D. S. Lee, T.-H. Kim, C.-H. Park, C.-Y. Chung, Y. S. Lim, W.-S. Seo, H.-H. Park, Cryst. Eng. Comm 2013, 15, 5532.
- [28] S. V. Eremeev, M. M. Otrokov, E. V. Chulkov, J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 709, 172.
- [29] M. M. Otrokov, I. I. Klimovskikh, H. Bentmann, D. Estyunin, A. Zeugner, Z. S. Aliev, S. Gaß, A. U. B. Wolter, A. V. Koroleva, A. M. Shikin, M. Blanco-Rey, M. Hoffmann, I. P. Rusinov, A. Y. Vyazovskaya, S. V. Eremeev, Y. M. Koroteev, V. M. Kuznetsov, F. Freyse, J. Sánchez-Barriga, I. R. Amiraslanov, M. B. Babanly, N. T. Mamedov, N. A. Abdullayev, V. N. Zverev, A. Alfonsov, V. Kataev, B. Büchner, E. F. Schwier, S. Kumar, A. Kimura, L. Petaccia, G. Di Santo, R. C. Vidal, S. Schatz, K. Kißner, M. Ünzelmann, C. H. Min, S. Moser, T. R. F. Peixoto, F. Reinert, A. Ernst, P. M. Echenique, A. Isaeva, E. V. Chulkov, *Nature* 2019, 576, 416.
- [30] J.-Q. Yan, Q. Zhang, T. Heitmann, Z. Huang, K. Y. Chen, J.-G. Cheng, W. Wu, D. Vaknin, B. C. Sales, R. J. McQueeney, *Phys. Rev. Mat.* 2019, *3*, 064202.
- [31] J.-Q. Yan, S. Okamoto, M. A. McGuire, A. F. May, R. J. McQueeney, B. C. Sales, *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100*, 104409.
- [32] Y. Chen, Y.-W. Chuang, S. H. Lee, Y. Zhu, K. Honz, Y. Guan, Y. Wang, K. Wang, Z. Mao, J. Zhu, C. Heikes, P. Quarterman, P. Zajdel, J. A. Borchers, W. Ratcliff, *Phys. Rev. Mat.* **2020**, *4*, 064411.
- [33] M. M. Otrokov, I. P. Rusinov, M. Blanco-Rey, M. Hoffmann, A. Y. Vyazovskaya, S. V. Eremeev, A. Ernst, P. M. Echenique, A. Arnau, E. V. Chulkov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *122*, 107202.
- [34] Y.-J. Hao, P. Liu, Y. Feng, X.-M. Ma, E. F. Schwier, M. Arita, S. Kumar, C. Hu, R. Lu, M. Zeng, Y. Wang, Z. Hao, H.-Y. Sun, K. Zhang, J. Mei,

N. Ni, L. Wu, K. Shimada, C. Chen, Q. Liu, C. Liu, *Phys. Rev. X* 2019, *9*, 041038.

- [35] H. Li, S.-Y. Gao, S.-F. Duan, Y.-F. Xu, K.-J. Zhu, S.-J. Tian, J.-C. Gao, W.-H. Fan, Z.-C. Rao, J.-R. Huang, J.-J. Li, D.-Y. Yan, Z.-T. Liu, W.-L. Liu, Y.-B. Huang, Y.-L. Li, Y. Liu, G.-B. Zhang, P. Zhang, T. Kondo, S. Shin, H.-C. Lei, Y.-G. Shi, W.-T. Zhang, H.-M. Weng, T. Qian, H. Ding, *Phys. Rev. X* **2019**, *9*, 041039.
- [36] Y. J. Chen, L. X. Xu, J. H. Li, Y. W. Li, H. Y. Wang, C. F. Zhang, H. Li, Y. Wu, A. J. Liang, C. Chen, S. W. Jung, C. Cacho, Y. H. Mao, S. Liu, M. X. Wang, Y. F. Guo, Y. Xu, Z. K. Liu, L. X. Yang, Y. L. Chen, *Phys. Rev. X* **2019**, *9*, 041040.
- [37] Y. Deng, Y. Yu, M. Z. Shi, Z. Guo, Z. Xu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, Y. Zhang, *Science* **2020**, 367, 895.
- [38] R. C. Vidal, A. Zeugner, J. I. Facio, R. Ray, M. H. Haghighi, A. U. Wolter, L. T. C. Bohorquez, F. Caglieris, S. Moser, T. Figgemeier, T. R. Peixoto, H. B. Vasili, M. Valvidares, S. Jung, C. Cacho, A. Alfonsov, K. Mehlawat, V. Kataev, C. Hess, M. Richter, B. Büchner, J. van den Brink, M. Ruck, F. Reinert, H. Bentmann, A. Isaeva, *Phys. Rev. X* **2019**, *9*, 041065.
- [39] C. Hu, L. Ding, K. N. Gordon, B. Ghosh, H.-J. Tien, H. Li, A. G. Linn, S.-W. Lien, C.-Y. Huang, S. Mackey, J. Liu, P. V. S. Reddy, B. Singh, A. Agarwal, A. Bansil, M. Song, D. Li, S.-Y. Xu, H. Lin, H. Cao, T.-R. Chang, D. Dessau, N. Ni, *Sci. Adv.* 2020, 6, eaba4275.
- [40] B. Chen, F. Fei, D. Wang, Z. Jiang, B. Zhang, J. Guo, H. Xie, Y. Zhang, M. Naveed, Y. Du, Z. Sun, H. Zhang, D. Shen, F. Song, *arXiv* 2020, 2009, 00039.
- [41] G. Shi, M. Zhang, D. Yan, H. Feng, M. Yang, Y. Shi, Y. Li, Chin. Phys. Lett. 2020, 37, 047301.
- [42] C. Hu, S. Mackey, N. Ni, arXiv 2008.09097, 2020.
- [43] S. Huan, S. Zhang, Z. Jiang, H. Su, H. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Yang, Z. Liu, X. Wang, N. Yu, Z. Zou, D. Shen, J. Liu, Y. Guo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *126*, 246601.
- [44] C. Pauly, G. Bihlmayer, M. Liebmann, M. Grob, A. Georgi, D. Subramaniam, M. R. Scholz, J. Sánchez-Barriga, A. Varykhalov, S. Blügel, O. Rader, M. Morgenstern, *Phys. Rev. B* 2012, *86*, 235106.
- [45] J. S. Dyck, P. Svanda, P. Lostak, J. Horak, W. Chen, C. Uher, J. Appl. Phys. 2003, 94, 7631.
- [46] J. Choi, S. Choi, J. Choi, Y. Park, H.-M. Park, H.-W. Lee, B.-C. Woo, S. Cho, Phys. Status Solidi B 2004, 241, 1541.
- [47] Y. Liu, L.-L. Wang, Q. Zheng, Z. Huang, X. Wang, M. Chi, Y. Wu, B. C. Chakoumakos, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, W. Wu, J. Yan, *Phys. Rev. X* 2021, *11*, 021033.
- [48] W. Ge, P. M. Sass, J. Yan, S. H. Lee, Z. Mao, W. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 2021, 103, 134403.
- [49] T. Murakami, Y. Nambu, T. Koretsune, G. Xiangyu, T. Yamamoto, C. M. Brown, H. Kageyama, *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100*, 195103.
- [50] S. X. M. Riberolles, Q. Zhang, E. Gordon, N. P. Butch, L. Ke, J. Q. Yan, R. J. McQueeney, *Phys. Rev. B* 2021, 104, 064401.
- [51] H. Li, Y. Li, Y.-K. Lian, W. Xie, L. Chen, J. Zhang, Y. Wu, S. Fan, arXiv:2104.00898, 2021.
- [52] D. Zhang, M. Shi, T. Zhu, D. Xing, H. Zhang, J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2019, 122, 206401.
- [53] B. Chen, F. Fei, D. Zhang, B. Zhang, W. Liu, S. Zhang, P. Wang, B. Wei, Y. Zhang, Z. Zuo, J. Guo, Q. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Wu, J. Zong, X. Xie, W. Chen, Z. Sun, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, M. Zhang, X. Wang, F. Song, H. Zhang, D. Shen, B. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 4469.
- [54] C. Lei, S. Chen, A. H. MacDonald, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2020, 117, 27224.
- [55] L. Zhou, Z. Tan, D. Yan, Z. Fang, Y. Shi, H. Weng, Phys. Rev. B 2020, 102, 085114.
- [56] M. M. Otrokov, T. V. Menshchikova, M. G. Vergniory, I. P. Rusinov, A. Y. Vyazovskaya, Y. M. Koroteev, G. Bihlmayer, A. Ernst, P. M. Echenique, A. Arnau, E. V. Chulkov, 2D Mater. 2017, 4, 025082.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



www.advmat.de

- [57] C. L. Richardson, J. M. Devine-Stoneman, G. Divitini, M. E. Vickers, C.-Z. Chang, M. Amado, J. S. Moodera, J. W. A. Robinson, *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 12061.
- [58] Y. Jiang, Y. Y. Sun, M. Chen, Y. Wang, Z. Li, C. Song, K. He, L. Wang, X. Chen, Q.-K. Xue, X. Ma, S. B. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 066809.
- [59] J. Kellner, G. Bihlmayer, V. L. Deringer, M. Liebmann, C. Pauly, A. Giussani, J. E. Boschker, R. Calarco, R. Dronskowski, M. Morgenstern, *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, *96*, 245408.
- [60] Y. Yuan, X. Wang, H. Li, J. Li, Y. Ji, Z. Hao, Y. Wu, K. He, Y. Wang, Y. Xu, W. Duan, W. Li, Q.-K. Xue, *Nano Lett.* **2020**, *20*, 3271.
- [61] Y. Lai, L. Ke, J. Yan, R. D. McDonald, R. J. McQueeney, Phys. Rev. B 2021, 103, 184429.
- [62] J. Sánchez-Barriga, A. Varykhalov, G. Springholz, H. Steiner, R. Kirchschlager, G. Bauer, O. Caha, E. Schierle, E. Weschke, A. A. Ünal, S. Valencia, M. Dunst, J. Braun, H. Ebert, J. Minár, E. Golias, L. V. Yashina, A. Ney, V. Holý, O. Rader, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 10559.
- [63] J. Henk, M. Flieger, I. V. Maznichenko, I. Mertig, A. Ernst, S. V. Eremeev, E. V. Chulkov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 076801.

- [64] I. Lee, C. K. Kim, J. Lee, S. J. L. Billinge, R. Zhong, J. A. Schneeloch, T. Liu, T. Valla, J. M. Tranquada, G. Gu, J. C. S. Davis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2015, *112*, 1316.
- [65] C.-C. Chen, M. L. Teague, L. He, X. Kou, M. Lang, W. Fan, N. Woodward, K.-L. Wang, N.-C. Yeh, *New J. Phys.* 2015, *17*, 113042.
- [66] H. Beidenkopf, P. Roushan, J. Seo, L. Gorman, I. Drozdov, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, A. Yazdani, *Nat. Phys.* **2011**, *7*, 939.
- [67] C. Pauly, C. Saunus, M. Liebmann, M. Morgenstern, Phys. Rev. B 2015, 92, 085140.
- [68] M. Morgenstern, Surf. Rev. Lett. 2003, 10, 933.
- [69] P. Sessi, R. R. Biswas, T. Bathon, O. Storz, S. Wilfert, A. Barla, K. A. Kokh, O. E. Tereshchenko, K. Fauth, M. Bode, A. V. Balatsky, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12027.
- [70] G. Rosenberg, M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 2012, 85, 195119.
- [71] T. R. Kirkpatrick, D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B 2015, 91, 214407.
- [72] D. Fuchs, M. Wissinger, J. Schmalian, C.-L. Huang, R. Fromknecht, R. Schneider, H. v. Löhneysen, *Phys. Rev. B* 2014, *89*, 174405.
- [73] B. C. Sales, K. Jin, H. Bei, J. Nichols, M. F. Chisholm, A. F. May, N. P. Butch, A. D. Christianson, M. A. McGuire, *npj Quant. Mater.* 2017, 2, 33.