A model for the phosphorylation of the $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$ -activated ATPase by phosphate

Rosemary J. FROUD and Anthony G. LEE

Department of Biochemistry, University of Southampton, Bassett Crescent East, Southampton SO9 3TU, U.K.

We have shown that changes in fluorescence intensity for the $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$ -activated ATPase of sarcoplasmic reticulum labelled with fluorescein isothiocyanate following the addition of Ca^{2+} can give the ratio of the two conformations (E1 and E2) of the ATPase. We show that the fluorescence response to Ca^{2+} is unaffected by Mg^{2+} , phosphate or K⁺, implying that these ions bind equally well to the E1 and E2 conformations. A model is presented for phosphorylation of the ATPase by phosphate as a function of pH, Mg^{2+} , K⁺ and Ca^{2+} .

INTRODUCTION

A key step in the mechanism of the $Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+}$ activated ATPase (ATP phosphohydrolase, EC 3.6.1.3) is hydrolytic cleavage of a phosphorylated intermediate. Much useful information about this step has been obtained by studying its reverse, the phosphorylation of the ATPase by phosphate (de Meis, 1981). For the ATPase in sealed vesicles of SR in the absence of external Ca^{2+} , the reaction is represented according to the reaction scheme proposed by de Meis & Vianna (1979), as shown in Scheme 1. It is clear that phosphorylation of the ATPase by phosphate will depend on the E1-E2 transition (step 8) and, in the presence of Ca^{2+} within the SR vesicle, on the transition E2'PCa₂-E1'PCa₂ (step 4).

Most analyses of phosphorylation by phosphate have ignored these steps (Punzengruber et al., 1978; Martin & Tanford, 1981; Suko et al., 1981; de Meis et al., 1982; Inesi et al., 1984; Guillain et al., 1984; Champeil et al., 1985). If the E1-E2 equilibrium were to favour overwhelmingly the E2 conformation (i.e. if the equilibrium constant E1/E2 were less than approx. 0.01) at all pH values, then such analyses would be valid. However, we have shown in the preceding paper (Froud & Lee, 1986) that the ratio E1/E2 is not small and varies from 0.14 to 1.9 with changing pH from 6 to 8. Further, the extent of phosphorylation of ATPase by phosphate is known to depend, not only on the concentration of phosphate, but also on the concentrations of Mg²⁺, K⁺ and H^+ (de Meis, 1981), and it is therefore important to study the effect of these ions on the E1-E2 equilibrium. In the preceding paper (Froud & Lee, 1986) we showed how the E1-E2 equilibrium could be studied by observation of the fluorescence of the ATPase covalently modified with FITC. The same approach is used here to study the effects of Mg^{2+} , K^+ and phosphate on the E1-E2 equilibrium. A model is then presented to describe the steps of phosphorylation.

Table 1. Responses of FITC-labelled ATPase to Ca²⁺ and vanadate

Changes in fluorescence intensity for FITC-labelled ATPase were recorded on addition of Ca²⁺ and vanadate to labelled ATPase in 100 μ M-EGTA/50 mM-Tris/50 mM-maleate buffer, at pH 6, 7 or 8, containing the additions listed.

	pH 6 Fluorescence response (%)		р	H 7	pH 8				
			Fluorescence	e response (%)	Fluorescence response (%)				
Additions to buffer	To Ca ²⁺	To vanadate	To Ca ²⁺	To vanadate	To Ca ²⁺	To vanadate			
100 mм-KCl	_		-5.1	*	-3.1	*			
1 mм-Mg ²⁺	_		- 5.1	15.4					
100 mм-KCl, 5 mм-Mg ²⁺	-8.1	5.4	-4.7	13.2	-2.4	14.3			
100 mм-KCl, 20 mм-Mg ²⁺	-8.2	8.2	-5.2	10.3	-3.2	8.8			
100 mм-KCl, 20 mм-Mg ²⁺ , 20 mм-phosphate		_	-6.1	10.3	—	_			

* No response to vanadate in the absence of Mg²⁺.

Abbreviations used: ATPase, Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺-activated ATPase; FITC, fluorescein 5'-isothiocyanate; SR, sarcoplasmic reticulum.



Scheme 2. Phosphorylation of the E2 conformation of ATPase by phosphate

 P_i represents HPO_4^{2-} and $(HP_i) H_2PO_4^{-}$. K_{22} is the equilibrium constant for $P_i + H^+ \rightleftharpoons HP_i$.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The SR and purified ATPase were prepared from female rabbit (New Zealand White) hindleg muscle as described in the preceding paper. The ATPase was labelled with FITC at a label/protein molar ratio of 0.4:1, incubated for 30 min at 30 °C in 100 μ M-EGTA/50 mM-Tris/50 mM-maleate buffer at the given pH and then cooled at 20 °C for 5 min, and fluorescence intensities were measured, as described in the preceding paper (Froud & Lee, 1986).

Kinetic simulations were carried out by using the FACSIMILE program (Chance *et al.*, 1977) running on an ICL 2976 computer.

RESULTS

In the preceding paper (Froud & Lee, 1986) it was shown that addition of Ca^{2+} to FITC-labelled ATPase or



Scheme 3. Binding of Ca²⁺ to the E2 conformation of ATPase

Binding of Ca^{2+} is competitive with protonation of residue 1, with proton association constant K_{H2} . Binding of Ca^{2+} is non-competitive with protonation of residue 2. For clarity, binding of protons to residue 1 is only indicated for the unprotonated state of residue 2.

SR leads to a decrease in fluorescence intensity and addition of vanadate to an increase, as shown previously by Pick & Karlish (1982). We also showed that it was possible to estimate the ratio of the concentrations of the E1 and E2 conformations of the ATPase from the response to Ca^{2+} . If Mg^{2+} , K^+ or phosphate were to bind differently to the E1 and E2 conformations, then they would change the position of the E1/E2 equilibrium and hence the response to Ca^{2+} . The data in Table 1 show that in fact none of these ions has any significant effect on the fractional response to Ca^{2+} and thus that the E1/E2 equilibrium is unaffected.

DISCUSSION

Any complete scheme for phosphorylation of the ATPase by phosphate must include the E2–E1 equilibrium. It is also known that pH, Mg²⁺ and K⁺ all affect phosphorylation and so must be included. Our scheme for the phosphorylation of the E2 form of the ATPase is shown in Scheme 2. We assume that the binding of phosphate, Mg²⁺ and K⁺ to E1 and E2 are identical, to account for the lack of effect of these ions on the E1-E2 equilibrium (Table 1). Binding of phosphate to E1 was previously suggested by Tanford & Martin (1982). However, whereas the binding of Mg²⁺ and phosphate to E1 to give $E1P_iMg$ leads no further, the formation of $E2P_iMg$ leads to phosphorylation of the ATPase. We assume that binding of K⁺ to E2 is unaffected by binding of phosphate and Mg^{2+} , although the binding constant for K^+ to the phosphorylated form of E2 is different from that to the unphosphorylated form, so that binding of K⁺ affects the equilibrium level of phosphorylation. As in previous schemes, we suggest that the substrates are free phosphate and Mg^{2+} and not an $Mg \cdot P_i$ complex (Punzengruber et al., 1978; Martin & Tanford, 1981; de Meis et al., 1982). Champeil et al. (1985) have suggested that the true substrate could be the $Mg \cdot P_i$ complex. However, since the binding constant of Mg^{2+} to HPO_4^{2-} is considerably greater than that to $H_2PO_4^-$, the

 $-E1Ma - \frac{K_{M2}}{K_{M2}} = E1'Ma - \frac{K_{M3}/2}{K_{M3}/2} = E1'Ma_{M3}$

Scheme 4. Binding of Mg²⁺ to the Ca²⁺-binding sites of the E1 conformation of ATPase

concentration of the $Mg \cdot P_i$ complex would increase rapidly with increasing pH and thus the level of phosphorylation would be expected to increase with increasing pH: the opposite is observed.

In developing a kinetic model for the ATPase we have tried to keep to a minimum the number of postulated interactions in the model, and, in particular, have tried to keep effects at the Ca²⁺-binding sites as distinct as possible from effects at the phosphorylation site. We therefore assume that binding of H⁺ or Ca²⁺ at the Ca²⁺-binding sites has no effect on the binding of phosphate, K^+ or Mg^{2+} to the ATPase. The assumption is reasonable, since these ions bind equally well to the two conformations E1 and E2, which have very different Ca²⁺-binding properties. The assumption could be relaxed but would result in considerable further complexity, not justified from the results described below. We further assume that, although the binding of Ca^{2+} to E2P_iMg and E2PMg affects the rates of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, it has no effect on the equilibrium constant for the phosphorylation step; this assumption is justified below. This then means that Ca²⁺ binds equally well to E2, to E2PMg and to all intermediate forms, again resulting in a considerable simplification of the resulting equations.

We describe binding of $\overline{Ca^{2+}}$ to the E2 form of the ATPase in terms of a scheme (Scheme 3) exactly analogous to that used to describe the binding of Ca^{2+} to E1 (Froud & Lee, 1986). We assume that the two Ca^{2+} -binding sites are identical and independent, so that the binding constants $K_{H11}-K_{H14}$ can be expressed in a form exactly analogous to that used to describe binding to the E1 form (Froud & Lee, 1986):

$$K_{\rm H11} = K_{\rm H3} + K_{\rm H15}$$

$$K_{\rm H12} = K_{\rm H3} K_{\rm H15} / (K_{\rm H3} + K_{\rm H15})$$

$$K_{\rm H13} = K_{\rm H8} + K_{\rm H16}$$

$$K_{\rm H14} = K_{\rm H8} K_{\rm H16} / (K_{\rm H8} + K_{\rm H16})$$

where $K_{\rm H15}$ and $K_{\rm H16}$ are binding constants for the protonation of residue 2 at the site occupied by Ca²⁺ in E2Ca and at the site unoccupied by Ca²⁺ in E2'Ca respectively (see Froud & Lee, 1986). Results obtained at very high concentrations of Mg²⁺ have been interpreted in terms of binding of Mg²⁺ to the Ca²⁺-binding sites in the E1 conformation (Loomis *et al.*, 1982). Assuming that binding of Mg²⁺ at these sites is independent of pH (see below), it can be described as in Scheme 4.

Finally, it is necessary to consider the $E2'PCa_2-E1'PCa_2$ equilibrium (Scheme 5). In the Ca^{2+} -bound form, $E2'PCa_2$ is in equilibrium with $E1'PCa_2$ and, if the



Scheme 5. E2'PCa₂-E1'PCa₂ equilibrium of ATPase



209



Fig. 1 Effect of pH on phosphorylation of ATPase

The experimental data from Inesi *et al.* (1984) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) at a free Mg²⁺ concentration of 30 mm, as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 6.0 (\bigcirc), pH 6.5 (\triangle), pH 7.0 (\triangle), pH 7.5 (\bigcirc) and pH 8.0 (\diamond). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [EP]_{max.} = 6.0 nmol/mg of protein.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for phosphorylation of ATPase at 25 °C

Parameters were obtained by simulation as described in the text.

	Equil con	Forward rate	
Reaction	Symbol	Value	value (s ⁻¹)
$E2 + P_i \rightleftharpoons E2P_i$	<i>K</i> ₁₅	120.0	
$E2 + (HP_i) \rightleftharpoons E2(HP_i)$	K_{15}^{20}	120.0	
$E2 + Mg \rightleftharpoons E2Mg$	K_{16}^{10}	110.0	
$E2P_i + Mg \rightleftharpoons E2P_iMg$	K17	130.0	
$E2(HP_i) + Mg \rightleftharpoons E2(HP_i)Mg$	K_{17}^{-1}	130.0	
$E2 + K \rightleftharpoons E2K$	K_{18}^{-1}	227.6	
$E2P_iMg \rightleftharpoons E2PMg$	K19	5.1	90.6*
$E2KP_1Mg \rightleftharpoons E2PKMg$	K_{20}^{-0}	0.55	65.1*
$E2(HP_i)Mg \rightleftharpoons E2(HP)Mg$	K_{21}^{-1}	18.2	36.4*
$P_i + H^+ \rightleftharpoons HP_i$	$K_{22}^{}$	5 × 106†	
$E^{2}P + Mg \rightleftharpoons E^{2}PMg$	$K_{23}^{}$	6×10^{6}	
$E1'PMgCa_2 \rightleftharpoons E2'PMgCa_2$	K_{24}	0.03	
$E1'PCa_2 \rightleftharpoons E2'PCa_2$	$K_{25}^{}$	2.5×10^{-4}	
$E1 + Mg \rightleftharpoons E1Mg$	K_{M1}	0.5	
E1Mg≓E1′Mg‡	K_{M2}	0.1	
$E1'Mg + Mg \rightleftharpoons E1'Mg_2$	K _{M3}	1.2×10^{3}	
$E1 + P_i \rightleftharpoons E1P_i$	K_{15}	120.0	
$E1 + (HP_i) \rightleftharpoons E1(HP_i)$	K ₁₅	120.0	
$E1 + Mg \rightleftharpoons E1Mg$	K ₁₆	110.0	
$E1P_i + Mg \rightleftharpoons E1P_iMg$	K ₁₇	130.0	
$E1(HP_i) + Mg \rightleftharpoons E1(HP_i)Mg$	K ₁₇	130.0	
E1+K ⇒E1K	<i>K</i> ₁₈	227.6	

* Rate constants for all Ca^{2+} -bound forms 0.05 of the corresponding rates for Ca^{2+} -free forms.

† From Smith & Martell (1976).

[‡] Binding of Mg²⁺ at the Ca²⁺-binding site.



Fig. 2. Phosphorylation of ATPase as a function of phosphate concentration at pH 6

The experimental data from de Meis *et al.* (1982) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 6.0 and free Mg²⁺ concentrations of 10 mm (\diamondsuit), 5.0 mm (\bigstar), 2.5 mm (\bigtriangleup) and 1.0 mm (\bigstar). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [EP]_{max.} = 3.0 nmol/mg of protein.

equilibrium constant for this step greatly favours $E1'PCa_2$, then the level of phosphorylation will increase on addition of Ca^{2+} .

A set of equations can be derived for the equilibrium level of phosphorylation in terms of the model as outlined (see the Appendix).

Consider first the effect of pH on phosphorylation. Inesi et al. (1984) produced a model for the effect of pH on the equilibrium level of phosphorylation involving two H⁺-binding sites per phosphorylation site, with the pKvalues changing on phosphorylation. However, we find that the experimental data of Inesi et al. (1984) fit equally well to a single ionization when the effect of pH on the E1-E2 equilibrium is also taken into account (Fig. 1). This ionization could correspond either to a group on the ATPase or to the phosphate group itself. Since the pKvalue giving the best fit to the data (6.7) is equal to that of phosphate, we have assumed that it is indeed ionization of phosphate that is affecting phosphorylation and not ionization of a group on the ATPase. Measurements with FITC-labelled ATPase failed to detect any ionizations near the ATP-binding site in this pH region (Froud & Lee, 1986). We considered the possibility that HPO_4^{2-} and $H_2PO_4^{-}$ had different binding constants for the ATPase, but found that any differences were too small to be significant. Values for the binding constants of phosphate and Mg²⁺ (Table 2) were obtained by comparing simulations obtained by using the equations in the Appendix to the experimental data on phosphorylation as a function of phosphate and Mg²⁺ concentrations given by de Meis et al. (1982) at pH 6.2 (Fig. 2) and by Punzengruber et al. (1978) at pH 7.0 (Fig.

3). These data also served to define the equilibrium constants for phosphorylation. In the simulations, an important parameter is [EP]_{max.}, the maximum observable level of phosphorylation. This is generally unknown, and studies have suggested that in many SR preparations active ATPase may constitute only 50% of the total protein (Gafni & Boyer, 1984; Coll & Murphy, 1984). As discussed previously (Martin & Tanford, 1981; de Meis et al., 1982; Inesi et al., 1984), this makes less certain the determination of the equilibrium constant for phosphorylation. However, we find that the possible range of values is limited by the requirement that the effect of pH on the effective value of this constant be affected by the effect of pH on the E1-E2 equilibrium ($K_{\rm B}$ term in the Appendix). The value of the equilibrium constant for phosphorylation is also determined by the rate measurements described below. It was not found possible to fit the experimental data on the effects of P_i and Mg^{2+} on phosphorylation as a function of pH assuming different binding constants of phosphate and Mg²⁺ to the E1 and E2 conformations.



Fig. 3. Phosphorylation of ATPase as a function of phosphate concentration at pH 7

The experimental data from Punzengruber *et al.* (1978) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 7.0 at free Mg²⁺ concentrations of 20 mM (\blacksquare), 10 mM (\square), 5.0 mM (\triangle), 3.0 mM (\triangle), 2.0 mM (\blacklozenge), 1.5 mM (\diamondsuit) and 1.0 mM (\bigcirc). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [EP]_{max.} = 3.3 nmol/mg of protein.



Fig. 4. Effect of high concentrations of Mg²⁺ on the phosphorylation of ATPase

The experimental data from Martin & Tanford (1984) show the fractional equilibrium level of phosphorylation ([EP]_{obs.}/[E]) at pH 6.2 and free phosphate concentration of 5 mM as a function of log{free [Mg²⁺] (M)}, where [E] is the molar concentration of ATPase. The curve is a simulation with the parameters in Table 1 and a maximum possible fractional level of phosphorylation ([EP]_{max.}/[E]) of 0.54.





The experimental data from Chaloub & de Meis (1980) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 6.2 and free Mg²⁺ concentration of 10 mM at K⁺ concentrations of 0 mM (\bigcirc), 100 mM (\triangle) and 200 mM (\diamond). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [EP]_{max.} = 2.7 nmol/mg of protein.

Although levels of phosphorylation of the enzyme generally increase with increasing concentrations of Mg^{2+} (see Figs. 2 and 3), at high Mg^{2+} concentrations these levels fall. This has been attributed to the binding of Mg^{2+} to the Ca²⁺-binding sites of the E1 conformation of the ATPase (Loomis *et al.*, 1982; Martin & Tanford, 1984). Fig. 4 shows a fit to the experimental data of Martin & Tanford (1984) in terms of such a model, with the parameters listed in Table 2. It was necessary to assume that binding of Mg^{2+} to these sites was not affected by pH, since otherwise binding constants that give inhibition



Fig. 6. Phosphorylation of ATPase as a function of phosphate concentration in the presence of internal Ca²⁺ in SR vesicles

The experimental data from Prager *et al.* (1979) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 7 for Ca²⁺-loaded vesicles at a free Mg²⁺ concentration of 10 mM (\triangle) and for non-loaded vesicles at free Mg²⁺ concentrations of 20 mM (\triangle), 10 mM (\diamond) and 5 mM (\blacklozenge). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [EP]_{max.} = 4.0 nmol/mg of protein and, for the Ca²⁺-loaded vesicles, [Ca²⁺]_{int.} = 1.7 mM.

at pH 6.2 gave too large inhibitions at pH 8.0. Even so, it was impossible to simulate the large decrease in phosphorylation levels reported to occur at the highest concentrations of Mg²⁺ (Loomis et al., 1982; Martin & Tanford, 1984) without obtaining inhibitions inconsistent with the data of Punzengruber et al. (1978) and Inesi et al. (1984). However, since Loomis et al. (1982) found that up to 40% of the binding of [32P]phosphate was non-specific at high Mg²⁺ concentrations, we believe the simulations to be acceptable. The binding constant for K⁺ was derived by comparison with the data of Chaloub & de Meis (1980) (Fig. 5). We considered the possibility that K^+ could bind to both the protonated and the unprotonated forms $E2P_i$ and $E2(HP_i)$, but the simulations suggest such weak binding of K^+ to E2(HP_i) that it can be ignored.

Effects of Ca^{2+} were determined by comparison with the data of Punzengruber *et al.* (1978), Chaloub & de Meis (1980) and Suko *et al.* (1981). Ca^{2+} binding was described by a scheme (Scheme 3) exactly analogous to that used to describe Ca^{2+} binding to E1 (Froud & Lee, 1986). The Ca^{2+} -binding site is postulated to contain two ionizable groups, with protonation of site 1 being competitive with Ca^{2+} binding, and protonation of site 2 being noncompetitive with Ca^{2+} binding. The binding constant for protons at site 1, K_{H2} , was determined previously by the



Fig. 7. Phosphorylation of ATPase as a function of Mg²⁺ concentration in the presence of internal Ca²⁺ in SR vesicles

The experimental data from Suko *et al.* (1981) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free Mg²⁺ concentration at pH 7 for Ca²⁺-loaded vesicles at free phosphate concentrations of 2.0 mM (Δ), 1.0 mM (Δ) and 0.5 mM (\diamond). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [Ca²⁺]_{int.} = 7.0 mM and [EP]_{max.} = 3.0 nmol/mg of protein.

effect of pH on the E1-E2 equilibrium (Froud & Lee, 1986). Protonations at site 2 have all been put equal to those for site 2 in the E1 conformation, with only the binding constants for Ca²⁺ being different. The effects of Ca²⁺ on the level of phosphorylation then depend upon the binding constant for Ca²⁺ and on the equilibrium constant $\tilde{E2}'PCa_2-E1'PCa_2$. The binding constants for Ca²⁺ can only be determined from these experiments if the internal concentrations of Ca²⁺ within the SR vesicles are known accurately. Unfortunately, this is not so, because the internal Ca²⁺ concentrations are not related in a simple manner to the external concentrations used to load the SR vesicles (Prager et al., 1979), because the internal volumes of the vesicles are not known, and because Ca²⁺ tends to leak from the vesicles (Suko et al., 1981). We have thus chosen to treat the internal concentrations of Ca²⁺ as a variable. The binding constants for Ca²⁺ were then determined from the inhibitory effects of high concentrations of Ca²⁺ on the activity of the ATPase (Gould et al., 1986; Table 2), leaving the values of K_{23} , K_{24} and K_{25} (Scheme 5) to be obtained by simulation. In this scheme it is assumed that there is no significant dissociation of Ca²⁺ from E1'PCa₂ or El'PCa₂Mg, in agreement with much experimental data (Gould et al., 1986). The value of the binding constant for Mg^{2+} to E2P (K_{23}) affects the level of phosphorylation observed at low concentrations of free Mg²⁺. The value of the equilibrium constant K_{25} describing the E2'PCa₂- E1'PCa₂ equilibrium, however, has no effect on the level of phosphorylation if it is equal to or less than the value of the equilibrium constant K_{24} describing



Fig. 8. Effect of K^+ on the phosphorylation of ATPase in the presence of internal Ca^{2+} in SR vesicles

The experimental data from Chaloub & de Meis (1980) show the equilibrium level of phosphoenzyme (nmol/mg of protein) as a function of free phosphate concentration at pH 6.2 and at a free Mg²⁺ concentration of 10 mM for Ca²⁺-loaded vesicles at K⁺ concentrations of 0 mM (\bigcirc), 100 mM (\triangle) and 200 mM (\diamondsuit). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [Ca²⁺]_{int.} = 7.0 mM and [EP]_{max.} = 2.7 nmol/mg of protein.

Table 3.	Comparison	of	observed	and	calculated	rates	of
	dephosphoryl	atio	n of <mark>phosp</mark>	horyla	ated ATPas	e	

	Rate of dephosphorylation (s ⁻¹)							
	<i>K</i> =	= 0	K = 1	00 mм				
pН	Observed*	Calculated	Observed [†]	Calculated				
6.0	3.0-3.8	2.8	14–16	13.8				
6.8	_	_	43	44.2				
7.0	7.9	7.7						
8.0	15.8	15.4	63–73	79 .7				
 * Decay rates calculated from data of Inesi <i>et al.</i> (1984). † Decay rates calculated from data of Inesi & Hill (1983). 								

the E2'PCa₂Mg-E1'PCa₂Mg equilibrium. As described in Gould *et al.* (1986), the value of K_{24} must also be consistent with data on the inhibition of ATPase activity by high concentrations of Ca²⁺. Also, as described in Gould *et al.* (1986), pH is assumed to have no effect on the value of K_{24} . Figs. 6 and 7 show that, despite the very much higher levels of phosphorylation in the presence of internal Ca²⁺, the experimental data can be matched by assuming no effect of Ca²⁺ on the binding of phosphate



Fig. 9. Effect of Mg²⁺ on the rate of phosphorylation of ATPase

The experimental data from Inesi *et al.* (1984) show the dependence of the apparent rate constant of phosphorylation $(k_{obs.})$ on the free Mg²⁺ concentration at pH 6.0 and at a free phosphate concentration of 4 mM. The curve is a simulation with the parameters in Table 1.



Fig. 10. Effect of phosphate on the rate of phosphorylation of ATPase

The experimental data from Inesi *et al.* (1984) show the dependence of the apparent rate constant of phosphorylation $(k_{obs.})$ on the free phosphate concentration at pH 6.0 and at a free Mg²⁺ concentration of 17 mm. The curve is a simulation with the parameters in Table 1.

or Mg^{2+} or on the equilibrium constant for phosphorylation when the equilibrium constant E2'PMgCa₂/ E1'PMgCa₂ considerably favours E1'PMgCa₂. Fig. 8 also shows that the effect of K⁺ in the presence of internal Ca²⁺ can only be matched by assuming no effect of Ca²⁺ on the binding of K⁺.

The concentrations of internal Ca^{2+} assumed in these simulations are reasonable. Thus, for example, Suko *et al.* (1981) have shown that when SR vesicles are incubated with 40 mm-Ca²⁺ the internal Ca²⁺ load is 80 nmol/mg of protein. Prager *et al.* (1979) have shown that approx. 30 nmol of Ca²⁺/mg of protein corresponds to saturable binding, leaving a free internal Ca²⁺ concentration of approx. 50 nmol/mg of protein. The simulations (Figs. 7 and 8) were performed assuming an internal Ca²⁺ concentration of 5.4 mM, corresponding to



Fig. 11. Effect of internal Ca²⁺ in SR vesicles on the rate of phosphorylation of ATPase

The experimental data from Suko *et al.* (1981) show the rate of phosphorylation at pH 7.0 and at a P_i concentration of 1.0 mM and Mg²⁺ concentrations of 5.0 mM (\triangle), 1.0 mM (\triangle), 0.5 mM(\diamond), 0.15 mM(\blacklozenge) and 0.07 mM(\bigcirc). The curves are simulations with the parameters in Table 1 and [Ca²⁺]_{int.} = 5.4 mM and [EP]_{max.} = 4.5 nmol/mg of protein.

an internal volume of $9 \ \mu l/mg$ of protein. This can be compared to published estimates of the internal volume of approx. 1 (Chiu & Haynes, 1980), 4–5 (Duggan & Martonosi, 1970), 5.8 (McKinley & Meissner, 1978) and approx. 10 $\mu l/mg$ protein (Weber *et al.*, 1966).

Rate constants for phosphorylation and dephosphorylation were estimated assuming that binding and release of Mg²⁺ and phosphate are fast enough compared with the rates of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation to be treated by the quasi-equilibrium approach (Wong, 1975). Inesi et al. (1984) and Inesi & Hill (1983) have presented data for the dephosphorylation of E2P at three pH values in the presence and in the absence of K⁺ (Table 3). With values for the binding constants and equilibrium constant for phosphorylation defined by the equilibrium experiments, it is possible to use an iterative procedure to obtain a best fit to the rate data, with the results listed in Table 3 and the rate parameters listed in Table 2. The rates of dephosphorylation of the forms E2PK, E2(HP) and E2P together with the respective equilibrium constants for phosphorylation define the phosphorylation rates. Figs. 9 and 10 illustrate the good agreement between the simulated values of the apparent rate constant $(k_{obs.})$ for phosphorylation as a function of Mg^{2+} and P_i concentrations and the data reported by Inesi *et al.* (1984). The simulated values (not shown) also agree well with the phosphorylation rates reported by Pickart & Jencks (1984).

The data reported by Inesi & Hill (1983) on the rates of dephosphorylation in the presence of K⁺ involved quenching with high concentrations of ATP. As described in the following paper (Gould *et al.*, 1986), there is much evidence that ATP accelerates the rate of E2P hydrolysis. However, the rates reported by Inesi & Hill (1983) are very similar to those reported by Inesi & Kurzmack (1983) and Chaloub & de Meis (1980), in which reactions were quenched with excess phosphate. It therefore appears that under these conditions ATP has no effect on dephosphorylation. A possible explanation is presented in the following paper (Gould *et al.*, 1986).

There is much evidence that Ca^{2+} within SR vesicles lowers the rate of dephosphorylation (de Meis, 1981). Suko *et al.* (1981) have studied the effect of internal Ca^{2+} on the rate of phosphorylation. Reasonable simulations of the experimental data can be obtained by assuming that the rates of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of all Ca^{2+} -bound forms are 0.05 of those of the Ca^{2+} -free form (Fig. 11); agreement cannot be obtained by assuming a zero rate of reaction for the Ca^{2+} -bound forms.

The binding constants presented here for Mg²⁺ and phosphate are very similar to those derived by others (Punzengruber et al., 1978; Martin & Tanford, 1981; de Meis et al., 1982; Inesi et al., 1984). However, the data give no indication of synergy in binding of Mg^{2+} and phosphate of the kind suggested by Martin & Tanford (1981) and de Meis et al. (1982). The values for the equilibrium constants for phosphorylation are, however, different from previous estimates. In our model the effect of K^+ and increasing pH in decreasing the level of phosphorylation of the enzyme follow from differences in binding constants for K⁺ and H⁺ to the phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated ATPase. Thus the binding constant for K⁺ falls 10-fold on phosphorylation, whereas the binding constant for H⁺ increases 3-fold. It is known that the group phosphorylated on the ATPase is an aspartate group (Degani & Boyer, 1973). Our data then suggest a pK_a of 7.25 for the aspartyl phosphate group compared with a pK_a of 6.7 for phosphate in free solution (Table 2). We do not need to postulate any effect of pH or K^+ on the strength of binding of phosphate (as suggested by Pickart & Jencks, 1984) to account for the experimental data.

The phosphorylation reactions have also been studied by measuring medium-P_i oxygen exchange (McIntosh & Boyer, 1983; Guillain et al., 1984). These studies have been interpreted in terms of rates of dissociation of Mg²⁺ and phosphate from the ternary complex E2P, Mg of about 100 and 400 s⁻¹ respectively. These rates are high compared with the rates of dephosphorylation, so that the quasi-equilibrium approach utilized above for dephosphorylation is justified. However, as discussed by Guillain et al. (1984), an 'off' rate for Mg^{2+} dissociation of 100 s⁻¹ and an equilibrium binding constant of approx. 100 mean that for phosphorylation at millimolar concentrations of Mg^{2+} the rate of Mg^{2+} binding can be lower than the rate of phosphorylation. The good agreement between the experimental data of Inesi et al. (1984) on phosphorylation and the simulations obtained by using the quasiequilibrium approach (Figs. 9 and 10) suggest that the rates reported by Guillain et al. (1984) may be underestimates.

We thank the Agricultural and Food Research Council and Science and Engineering Council for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Chaloub, R. M. & de Meis, L. (1980). J. Biol. Chem. 255, 6168-6172
- Champeil, P., Guillain, F., Venien, C. & Gingol, M. P. (1985) Biochemistry 24, 69–81.
- Chance, E. M., Curtis, A. R., Jones, I. P. & Kirby, C. R. (1977) FACSIMILE: A Computer Program for Flow and Chemistry Simulation, H.M.S.O., London
- Chiu, V. C. K. & Haynes, D. H. (1980) J. Membr. Biol. 56, 203-218
- Coll, R. J. & Murphy, A. J. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 14249-14254
- Degani, C. & Boyer, P. D. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248, 8222-8226
- de Meis, L. (1981) The Sarcoplasmic Reticulum, pp. 1–163, John Wiley and Sons, New York
- de Meis, L. & Vianna, A. (1979) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 48, 275-292
- de Meis, L., Otero, A. S., Martins, O. B., Alves, E. W., Inesi, G. & Nakamoto, R. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 4993–4998
- Duggan, P. F. & Martonosi, A. (1970) J. Gen. Physiol. 56, 147-167
- Froud, R. J. & Lee, A. G. (1986) Biochem. J. 237, 197-206
- Gafni, A. & Boyer, P. D. (1984) Biochemistry 23, 4362-4367
- Gould, G. W., East, J. M., Froud, R. J., McWhirter, J. M., Stefanova, H. I. & Lee, A. G. (1986) Biochem. J. 237, 217–227
- Guillain, F., Champeil, P. & Boyer, P. D. (1984) Biochemistry 23, 4754-4761
- Inesi, G. & Hill, T. L. (1983) Biophys. J. 44, 271-280
- Inesi, G. & Kurzmack, M. (1983) in Biomembrane Structure and Function (Chapman, D., ed.), pp. 355–410, Macmillan, London
- Inesi, G., Lewis, D. & Murphy, A. J. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 996–1003
- Loomis, C. R., Martin, D. W., McCaslin, D. R. & Tanford, C. (1982) Biochemistry 21, 151-156.
- Martin, D. W. & Tanford, C. (1981) Biochemistry 20, 4597-4602
- Martin, D. W. & Tanford, C. (1984) FEBS Lett. 177, 146-150
- McIntosh, D. B. & Boyer, P. D. (1983) Biochemistry 22, 2867–2875
- McKinley, D. & Meissner, G. (1978) J. Membr. Biol. 44, 159-186
- Pick, U. & Karlish, S. J. D. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 6120-6126
- Pickart, C. M. & Jencks, W. P. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 1629–1643
- Prager, R., Punzengruber, C., Kolassa, N., Winkler, F. & Suko, J. (1979) Eur. J. Biochem. 97, 239-250
- Punzengruber, C., Prager, R., Kolassa, N., Winkler, F. & Suko, J. (1978) Eur. J. Biochem. 92, 349-359
- Smith, R. & Martell, A. (1976) Critical Stability Constants, vol. 4, p. 108, Plenum Press, New York
- Suko, J., Plank, B., Preis, P., Kolassa, N., Hellmann, G. & Conca, W. (1981) Eur. J. Biochem. 119, 225–236
- Tanford, C. & Martin, D. W. (1982) Z. Naturforsch. C Biosci. 37, 522-526
- Weber, A., Herz, R. & Reiss, I. (1966) Biochem. Z. 345, 329-369
- Wong, J. T.-F. (1975) Kinetics of Enzyme Mechanisms, pp. 117–118, Academic Press, London

APPENDIX

DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS DESCRIBING THE EQUILIBRIUM LEVEL OF PHOSPHORYLATION **OF ATPASE BY PHOSPHATE**

The schemes for phosphorylation described in the main paper above are reducible to the following form:

E2
$$K_{15}$$
 E2P_i K_{17} E2P_i $K_{eff.}$ E2PMg $K_{eff.}$ E2PMg

Effects of pH and Ca²⁺ on the E1-E2 equilibrium, the effects of pH and K⁺ on phosphorylation and the effects of the Ca₂E1P-Ca₂E2P equilibrium are all contained in K_{eff} . In terms of the scheme:

$$\frac{[\text{EP}]}{[\text{EP}]_{\text{max.}}} = \frac{K_{15}K_{17}K_{\text{eff.}}[\text{Mg}][\text{P}_{\text{i}}]}{1 + K_{15}[\text{P}_{\text{i}}] + K_{16}[\text{Mg}] + K_{15}K_{17}[\text{P}_{\text{i}}][\text{Mg}] + K_{15}K_{17}K_{\text{eff.}}[\text{P}_{\text{i}}][\text{Mg}]}$$

where $[EP]_{max}$ is the maximum obtainable concentration of phosphorylated ATPase (equal to the concentration of ATPase for 100%-pure active ATPase). K_{eff} can be written in the form:

$$K_{\rm eff.} = K_{\rm A} K_{\rm B} K_{\rm C}$$

The term K_A describes the effect of pH and K⁺ on phosphorylation (Scheme 2 in the main paper) and is:

$$K_{\rm A} = (K_{19} + K_{21}K_{22}[{\rm H}] + K_{20}K_{18}[{\rm K}]) / (1 + K_{22}[{\rm H}] + K_{18}[{\rm K}])$$

The term K_B describes the effect of pH, the binding of Mg^{2+} to the Ca^{2+} -binding sites of E1 and the binding of Ca^{2+} to the Ca^{2+} -binding sites of E2. For sealed SR vesicles containing Ca^{2+} with no external Ca^{2+} , the following equations describe the relative amounts of the various bound forms of E1 and E2:

$$F_{1} = (1 + 2K_{H1}[H] + K_{H1}^{2}[H]^{2})(1 + 2K_{H3}[H] + K_{H3}^{2}[H]^{2})(1 + 2K_{M1}[Mg] + 2K_{M1}K_{M2}[Mg] + K_{M1}K_{M2}K_{M3}[Mg]^{2})$$

$$F_{2} = (1 + 2K_{H2}[H] + K_{H2}^{2}[H]^{2})(1 + 2K_{H3}[H] + K_{H3}^{2}[H]^{2})$$

$$F_{3} = (1 + K_{H11}[H] + K_{H11}K_{H12}[H]^{2})(2K_{C4}[Ca] + 2K_{C4}K_{H2}[H][Ca])$$

$$F_{4} = (1 + K_{H13}[H] + K_{H13}K_{H14}[H]^{2})(2K_{C4}K_{C5}[Ca] + 2K_{C4}K_{C5}K_{H2}[H][Ca])$$

$$F_{5} = (1 + 2K_{H8}[H] + K_{H8}^{2}[H]^{2})K_{C4}K_{C5}K_{C6}[Ca]^{2}$$

It then follows that:

$$K_{\rm B} = (F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5)/(F_1K_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5)$$

where K_1 is the equilibrium constant for the E2-E1 equilibrium (see Froud & Lee, 1986). Finally, the effect of dissociation of Mg²⁺ from the phosphorylated ATPase and the E2'PCa₂-E1'PCa₂ equilibrium (Scheme 5 in the main paper) give $K_{\rm C}$:

$$K_{\rm C} = 1 + \frac{1}{K_{23}[{\rm Mg}]} + \left(\frac{1}{K_{24}} + \frac{1}{K_{23}K_{25}[{\rm Mg}]}\right) \left(\frac{F_5}{F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5}\right)$$

Here it is assumed that only the fully Ca²⁺-bound form of E2'PCa₂ can transform into E1'PCa₂ (Scheme 5 in the main paper).

REFERENCE

Froud, R. J. & Lee, A. G. (1986) Biochem. J. 237, 197-206

Received 7 November 1985/15 January 1986; accepted 7 March 1986