# **PHYSICS**

# **Observation of quantum superposition of topological defects in a trapped-ion quantum simulator**

**Zhi-Jie Chen[g1](#page-0-0) [†,](#page-0-1) Yu-Kai Wu[1](#page-0-0)[,2](#page-0-2) [†](#page-0-1), Shi-Jiao Li[1](#page-0-0) , Quan-Xin Mei[3](#page-0-3) , Bo-Wen Li[3](#page-0-3) , Gang-Xi Wan[g1](#page-0-0) , Yue Jian[g1](#page-0-0) , Bin-Xiang Q[i1](#page-0-0) , Zi-Chao Zhou[1,](#page-0-0)[2](#page-0-2) , Pan-Yu Ho[u1](#page-0-0),[2](#page-0-2) , Lu-Ming Duan[1](#page-0-0)[,2](#page-0-2)[,4](#page-0-4) [\\*](#page-0-5)**

**Topological defects are discontinuities of a system protected by global properties, with wide applications in mathematics and physics. While previous experimental studies mostly focused on their classical properties, it has been predicted that topological defects can exhibit quantum superposition. Despite the fundamental interest and potential applications in understanding symmetry-breaking dynamics of quantum phase transitions, its experimental realization still remains a challenge. Here, we report the observation of quantum superposition of topological defects in a trapped-ion quantum simulator. By engineering long-range spin-spin interactions, we observe a spin kink splitting into a superposition of kinks at different positions, creating a "Schrodinger kink" that manifests nonlocality and quantum interference. Furthermore, by preparing superposition states of neighboring kinks with different phases, we observe the propagation of the wave packet in different directions, thus unambiguously verifying the quantum coherence in the superposition states. Our work provides useful tools for nonequilibrium dynamics in quantum Kibble-Zurek physics.**

**INTRODUCTION**

<span id="page-0-8"></span><span id="page-0-7"></span><span id="page-0-6"></span>Topological defects are low-dimensional irregular structures of a system that are protected by global properties and cannot be created or destroyed by local perturbations (*[1](#page-4-0)*). Apart from the fundamental mathematical interest, topological defects also play important roles in various fields of physics such as domain walls in ferromagnetic materials (*[2](#page-4-1)*), vortices in high-temperature superconductors (*[3](#page-4-2)*), magnetic monopoles in grand unified theories (*[4](#page-4-3)*), and cosmic strings in cosmology (*[5](#page-4-4)*). Topological defects can naturally appear when a system is quickly driven through a continuous phase transition, which is known as the Kibble-Zurek mechanism (*[6](#page-4-5)*–*[9](#page-4-6)*), because the relaxation time diverges at the transition point in the thermodynamic limit and becomes slower than the quench dynamics at any finite rate.

<span id="page-0-20"></span><span id="page-0-18"></span><span id="page-0-17"></span><span id="page-0-15"></span><span id="page-0-14"></span><span id="page-0-13"></span><span id="page-0-12"></span><span id="page-0-11"></span><span id="page-0-9"></span>The Kibble-Zurek theory has also been advanced to the quantum regime (*[10](#page-4-7)*–*[13](#page-4-8)*) and has recently been demonstrated in the experiment (*[14](#page-4-9)*–*[17](#page-4-10)*). In particular, it has been predicted that topological defects can be in quantum superposition states (*[18](#page-4-11)*, *[19](#page-4-12)*), with their coherent oscillation governed by the property of the quantum phase transition. However, although quantum superposition has been tested in a wide range of physical systems from elementary particles to mesoscopic and macroscopic objects like ensembles of cold atoms (*[20](#page-5-0)*), large organic molecules (*[21](#page-5-1)*), mechanical oscillators (*[22](#page-5-2)*), and superconducting quantum circuits (*[23](#page-5-3)*), its demonstration for topological defects remains an experimental challenge. This is because, while topological defects can be viewed as localized quasiparticles, their detection requires measuring global properties of the system and is thus subjected to decoherence and state-preparationand-measurement (SPAM) errors of the whole system. In previous experiments, only the density of topological defects is concerned, while the phase coherence between different sites has not been certified. Dziarmaga *et al.* (*[18](#page-4-11)*) proposed to observe this phase coherence

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>1</sup>Center for Quantum Information, Institute for Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China. <sup>2</sup> Hefei National Laboratory, Hefei 230088, PR China. <sup>3</sup>HYQ Co., Ltd., Beijing 100176, PR China. <sup>4</sup>New Cornerstone Science Laboratory, Beijing 100084, PR China.

<span id="page-0-5"></span>\*Corresponding author. Email: [lmduan@tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:lmduan@​tsinghua.​edu.​cn)

<span id="page-0-1"></span>†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Copyright © 2024 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

in a spin chain as shown in [Fig. 1A](#page-1-0), where a topological defect (a spin kink) initialized in the middle of the chain can propagate to the left or right. Analogous to a double-slit experiment, the wave packets of the kink moving to the left or the right overlap on the *L* sites in the middle, resulting in an interference fringe of the probability distribution of the kink location (blue curve in [Fig. 1B](#page-1-0)). However, this scheme is still challenging for experiments, as the decoherence rate increases linearly with *L*, bringing the overlapped region into a mixture of two symmetry-breaking states.

<span id="page-0-24"></span><span id="page-0-23"></span><span id="page-0-22"></span><span id="page-0-21"></span><span id="page-0-16"></span><span id="page-0-10"></span>Here, we report on the observation of quantum superposition of topological defects in a trapped-ion quantum simulator (*[24](#page-5-4)*). Among the various physical platforms for quantum simulation, trapped ions have the advantages of high-fidelity state preparation and detection (*[25](#page-5-5)*–*[27](#page-5-6)*) and long qubit coherence time (*[28](#page-5-7)*). Enabled by individual control of the ion qubits, we initialize the spin chain with a single kink in the middle. We then engineer long-range spinspin interactions (*[24](#page-5-4)*) along with a transverse field to coherently split the single kink, allowing us to observe the quantum interference from the superposed spin kink. Furthermore, we directly prepare the ions in a superposition of two neighboring kinks and observe the directional propagation of the wave packet depending on the relative phase between the two kinks. This unambiguously verifies the quantum coherence in the prepared state. Our work provides useful tools for examining the quantum dynamics of superposed topological defects in quantum Kibble-Zurek physics (*[18](#page-4-11)*, *[19](#page-4-12)*).

#### <span id="page-0-19"></span>**RESULTS**

#### **Experimental scheme**

<span id="page-0-25"></span><span id="page-0-4"></span>We confine a chain of either 20 or  $21^{171}Yb^+$  ions in a blade-style linear Paul trap. The choice of an even or odd number of ions is determined by our initial state of a spin kink located at the central one or two sites as described below. The details of the experimental apparatus can be found in our previous works (*[17](#page-4-10)*, *[29](#page-5-8)*). We encode spin states in two clock states,  $|\uparrow\rangle \equiv |S_{1/2}, F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle \equiv |S_{1/2},$  $F = 0$ ,  $m_F = 0$ ). In each experimental trial, ions are first Doppler cooled by a near-resonance 370-nm laser, and then the transverse



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1. Coherent dynamics of topological defects.** (**A**) A spin chain is initialized in a configuration with a topological defect under the ferromagnetic Ising interaction (represented by black arrows in the upper panel). A kink appears in the middle of two ferromagnetic phases with opposite spin orientations and can propagate to the left or the right under a weak transverse field. Consequently, the spin chain evolves into a nonlocal superposition state, where the kink is simultaneously located at different positions, separated by *L* sites. (**B**) The dynamics can be understood using a quasiparticle picture. The Ising interaction acts as a potential (purple curve) that confines the kink (blue balls), while the weak transverse field acts as kinetic energy, driving the kink to neighboring sites. As the system evolves into a superposed kink state shown in the lower panel, the wave packets of the two components interfere and create fringes (blue curve), analogous to the double-slit experiment.

modes are sideband cooled by counterpropagating global 355-nm Raman laser beams to near the ground states (*[25](#page-5-5)*, *[29](#page-5-8)*). All the ions are prepared in ∣↓⟩ by optical pumping. The qubit states are detected simultaneously by using a global resonant laser and an imaging charge-coupled device (CCD). The detection error is ~2 to 3%, increasing from central to edge ions.

To observe the dynamics of topological defects, we apply bichromatic Raman laser beams to generate a transverse-field Ising model Hamiltonian (*[17](#page-4-10)*)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
H = -\sum_{i < j} I_{ij} \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^j + g \sum_i \sigma_z^i \equiv H_{xx} + H_z \tag{1}
$$

where *Jij* denotes the Ising coupling strength between the *i*th and *j*th spins and is governed by the phonon modes of the ion chain and the laser parameters (*[24](#page-5-4)*). By setting the laser detuning above the highest transverse phonon mode, we obtain roughly a power-law decay  $J_{ij} \approx J_0/|i-j|^\alpha$  with  $J_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [1,2]$  typically. The minus sign of the coupling strength is obtained by considering the highest eigenstates of the Ising interaction as the ground states. A uniform transverse field can be generated by shifting the frequencies of the blue- and the red-detuned components of the bichromatic laser in the same direction (*[29](#page-5-8)*).

When *g* = 0, the two ground states of [Eq. 1](#page-1-1) are  $|+\rangle^{\otimes N}$  and  $|-\rangle^{\otimes N}$ , where *N* is the ion number and  $|\pm\rangle \equiv (|\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . When these two spin orientations coexist, a domain wall or a spin kink appears at their interface, leading to higher energy. For an Ising model with homogeneous nearest-neighbor interactions, the increased energy will be a constant 2*J*0 independent of the kink location (*[18](#page-4-11)*). Here, because of the long-range interaction, the increased energy  $V_n = 2\sum_{i \leq n, j > n} J_{ij}$  is site dependent, which can be regarded as a potential

for the spin kink defined on a dual lattice with *N* − 1 sites, as sketched in [Fig. 1B](#page-1-0).

In the following, we focus on states with a single kink in the form of  $|n\rangle$  ≡  $|+\rangle^{\otimes n}$   $|-\rangle^{\otimes (N-n)}$  (*n* = 1, …, *N* − 1), which can be prepared by an individual 370-nm laser together with global microwave and Raman laser pulses (see Materials and Methods). The Ising interaction can be expressed as  $H_{xx}^{(1)} = \sum_n V_n |n\rangle\langle n|$ , where the superscript "(1)" represents the single-kink subspace. The transverse field *Hz* can flip all the spins independently, potentially creating more spin kinks with even higher energy. However, in the weak driving regime with  $g \ll J_0$ , such off-resonant excitations are largely suppressed, and we are left with the terms  $\sigma_z^n$  and  $\sigma_z^{n+1}$  coupling  $|n\rangle$  to  $|n-1\rangle$ and  $|n + 1\rangle$ , respectively. As a consequence, when restricting to the single-kink subspace, the effect of the transverse field can be approximated by a hopping Hamiltonian

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
H_z^{(1)} = g \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} |n\rangle\langle n+1| + h.c
$$
 (2)

Combining  $H_{xx}^{(1)}$  and  $H_z^{(1)}$ , a spin kink initially localized in the middle of the chain can propagate to the left or right, resulting in an interference pattern in the middle as shown in the lower panel of [Fig. 1B.](#page-1-0) Because of the long-range Ising interaction *Jij* and thus the nonuniform kink potential  $V_n$ , the wave packet will bounce back before it can propagate far away from the center. Therefore, it is desirable to use a longer ion chain for a wider flat potential in the middle, allowing the spin kink to travel further away and making quantum interference more pronounced. In addition, using a longer ion chain suppresses edge effects from affecting the dynamics of the kink in the middle. This is because, at the boundaries, the energy required to create a new topological defect is halved, making it more vulnerable to off-resonant excitations. However, increasing the ion number also leads to larger decoherence and SPAM errors, potentially making the observation of quantum interference more difficult. To verify the quantum coherence of the spin kink, it is sufficient to allow the wave packet to propagate by a few sites.

## **Calibrating the kink potential**

To calibrate the effective potential *Vn*, we calculate the interaction coefficients *Jij* using experimental parameters including the carrier Rabi frequency of each ion and the phonon mode parameters, which are calibrated independently in experiments (see Materials and Methods).

Specifically, we set the detunings of the bichromatic Raman laser from the carrier transition  $|\uparrow\rangle \leftrightarrow |\downarrow\rangle$  to be  $\delta \pm \mu$ . The common detuning δ of the two tones determines the transverse field strength with  $\delta = 2g = 2\pi \times 100$  Hz. We set the differential detuning  $\mu =$  $ω<sub>COM</sub> + 3η<sub>COM</sub>Ω<sub>c</sub>$ , where  $Ω<sub>c</sub>$  is the carrier Rabi frequency of the central ion, and  $\omega_{COM} = 2\pi \times 3.16$  MHz and  $\eta_{COM} = 0.08$  are the frequency and Lamb-Dicke parameter of the center-of-mass (COM) mode, respectively. Such a large detuning from all the transverse motional sidebands ensures that they are only virtually excited with an excitation number bounded by about 0.1 (*[24](#page-5-4)*). The calculated *Jij* matrix for 21 ions is shown as a heatmap in [Fig. 2A](#page-2-0), with *J*max ≡ max<sub>*ij*</sub>{ $J_{ij}$ } = 2 $\pi$  × 184 Hz. For the experiment with 20 ions,  $J_{\text{max}}$  =  $2\pi \times 155$  Hz is smaller because of the slightly larger ion spacing, while the laser intensity remains unchanged.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>In principle, the *Jij* coefficients can also be measured directly in the experiment by the coherent imaging spectroscopy method (*[30](#page-5-9)*). However, measuring all the  $O(N^2)$  coefficients requires  $O(N)$  frequency



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2. Characterization of the Ising interaction and the effective potential of the topological defect.** (**A**) The heatmap of the theoretical spin-spin coupling coefficients  $J_{ii}$  in a 21-ion chain, which is calculated from independently calibrated experimental parameters. (**B**) Measured spin-flip energies (blue dots) versus the calculated values (red dots) using the *Jij* matrix in (A). Error bars of the experimental data represent 1 SD. The error band of the theoretical results accounts for a laser frequency fluctuation of ±2π× 500 Hz. (**C**) The effective potential of the kink calculated from the *Jij* matrix. We have redefined the zero point of the potential at the center.

scans and sequentially preparing states with more spin flips. Here, for simplicity, we follow our previous work (*[17](#page-4-10)*) to partially verify the calculated *J<sub>ij</sub>*'s in the experiment. We initialize the spins in  $|-\rangle^{\otimes N}$ and measure the spin-flip energy  $\Delta E_i = 2 \sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij}$  for all ions ([30](#page-5-9)). We implement the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{probe}} = H_{xx} + B(t) \sum_i \sigma_y^i$  where a weak probe field  $B(t) = B_p \sin(\omega_p t)$  ( $B_p \ll J_0$ ) is generated by a third tone in the Raman laser resonant with the carrier transition (*[17](#page-4-10)*). By scanning ω*p* and measuring individual spin states, we obtain Δ*Ei* for each spin. The experimental results (blue dots in [Fig. 2B](#page-2-0)) agree with the theoretical predictions (red dots), in particular for the central ions, indicating the validity of the calculated *Jij* matrix and the effective potential *Vn* shown in [Fig. 2C.](#page-2-0)

# **Quantum interference of superposed kink states**

We observe the coherent dynamics of a single kink in a 20-ion chain and compare the experimental results to numerical simulations. The experimental pulse sequence is shown in [Fig. 3A.](#page-2-1) We initialize the spin chain in  $| \uparrow \rangle^{\otimes 10} | \downarrow \rangle^{\otimes 10}$  and then apply a global Raman laser  $\pi/2$ pulse to rotate it into  $|n = 10\rangle = |+\rangle^{\otimes 10} |-\rangle^{\otimes 10}$ . The system is evolved under the Hamiltonian (*[1](#page-4-0)*) for various times *t*. Last, we rotate the spins back to the  $\sigma$ <sub>z</sub> basis for single-qubit detection.

Ideally, the number of topological defects is conserved during the evolution. However, because of experimental imperfections and decoherence, we observe that more than one kink can appear and that the average number of kinks grows as the evolution time increases. We postselect measured spin configurations with a single kink and normalize the obtained probability distribution  $p(n; t)$ (*n* = 1, 2, …, 19) of the kink being at the *n*th site after an evolution time of *t*.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 3. Observation of superposed topological defects.** (**A**) Experimental pulse sequence. After initializing the spin chain with a kink in the σ*z* basis, we apply a global  $\pi/2$  pulse to rotate it into the  $\sigma_x$  basis. Then, the system is evolved for various times *t* under the transverse-field Ising Hamiltonian. Last, we apply the second π/2 pulse and the state detection. (**B**) Numerical simulation and (**C**) experimental results of the dynamics of a single kink initialized at the center of a 20-ion chain. We perform measurements at *J*max*t*/π = 0,0.31,0.62,1.09, with *J*max = 2π × 155 Hz. (**D** to **G**) Population distribution of the kink at different sites *p*(*n*) for different evolution times. Blue dots are experimental data with 1-SD error bars. Red dots are simulated data, connected by red dashed lines as the guides to the eye. The red error band accounts for a theoretical shift of  $\pm 2\pi \times 15$  Hz in the Raman laser frequency.

The heatmap for the measured probabilities  $p(n; t)$  is shown in [Fig. 3C](#page-2-1) and is in good agreement with the numerical simulation based on the effective single-kink Hamiltonian  $H_{xx}^{(1)} + H_z^{(1)}$  as displayed in [Fig. 3B.](#page-2-1) In particular, an interference pattern is observed as the wave packet propagates to the left and right. The dynamics can be seen more clearly from the data measured at distinct time points in [Fig. 3 \(D to G\)](#page-2-1) with  $J_{\text{max}}t/\pi = 0$ , 0.31, 0.62, 1.09. The experimental data (blue dots) are plotted together with the simulation results (red dots) and an error band (red shaded regions) that represents a frequency drift of  $\Delta g = \pm 2\pi \times 15$  Hz for the Raman lasers [our scheme is less sensitive to frequency drifts in the phonon fre-quency ([29](#page-5-8))]. When  $t = 0$  [\(Fig. 3D](#page-2-1)), we obtain  $p(n; t = 0) \approx \delta_{n,10}$  as expected. Small populations at neighboring sites are primarily due to imperfections in state preparation. As the evolution time *t* grows, the wave packet spreads to the left and the right, and we observe a broader distribution *p*(*n*; *t*) in [Fig. 3 \(E and F\)](#page-2-1). However, distinct from the classical diffusion of a wave packet (see the Supplementary Materials for more details), here, the quantum wave packet can demonstrate an interference pattern from the superposition of the left- and right-going components. In [Fig. 3G](#page-2-1) with *J*max*t* = 1.09π, we clearly see two peaks in  $p(n; t)$  at  $n = 9$  and  $n = 11$ , with a suppressed probability at  $n = 10$  due to the destructive interference. For this  $N = 20$  case, the two wave packets will start to bounce back at a longer evolution time. For a longer ion chain, theoretically, the wave packet can propagate farther away from the center, and it is possible to observe more interference fringes.

## **Directional propagation of superposed kink states**

Last, we directly verify the quantum coherence of the superposed kink by showing that the different initial phases in the superposition states can lead to the propagation of the kink in different directions. For this purpose, we consider a chain of  $N = 21$  ions with  $N - 1 =$ 20 sites for the spin kink. The experimental sequence is similar to that in [Fig. 3A](#page-2-1), while the ions are prepared in a superposition state ( $|n=10\rangle \pm i |n=11\rangle$ )/ $\sqrt{2}$  before the Ising evolution (see Materials and Methods). According to [Eq. 2,](#page-1-2) after a short evolution time Δ*t*, each localized kink can propagate to the neighboring sites with a relative amplitude of −*ig*Δ*t*. Therefore, when we initialize the kink at two adjacent sites with a relative phase of  $\pm \pi/2$ , it will result in constructive interference in one direction and destructive interference in the other direction, hence the directional propagation of the wave packet.

Similar to Fig. 3, we measure the kink population  $p(n; t)$  at  $J_{\text{max}}t/\pi$  = 0, 0.36, 0.73, 1.09 in [Fig. 4](#page-3-0). Again, we compare the experimental results (blue dots with error bars) with numerical simulations (red dots with error bands). We observe that the wave packet of the single kink moves to the left for an initial phase of  $\pi/2$  [\(Fig. 4A\)](#page-3-0) while to the right with a phase of  $-\pi/2$  ([Fig. 4B\)](#page-3-0). We note that the experimental results for the initial phase of −π/2 show larger deviations from the simulation results compared to the  $\pi/2$  phase because of larger crosstalk errors in state preparation (see Materials and Methods).

# **DISCUSSION**

<span id="page-3-1"></span>In summary, we observe the nonequilibrium quantum dynamics of a single spin kink in a one-dimensional ion chain. We verify the quantum coherence of a superposed spin kink by observing the quantum interference pattern and the directional propagation controlled by the initial phase. More intriguing phenomena may be observed by preparing more spin kinks (*[31](#page-5-10)*, *[32](#page-5-11)*), including more ions, and increasing the evolution time. The last two are currently limited by the laser intensity and the coherence time of our apparatus, which will be improved in future upgrades. The approach may also be extended to the recently developed two-dimensional trapped-ion simulator (*[33](#page-5-12)*) for exploring coherent dynamics of other types of topological defects.

# <span id="page-3-2"></span>**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Topological-defect state preparation**

<span id="page-3-3"></span>We prepare initial topological defect states by using a Ramsey interferometry sequence, where an individual addressing laser pulse is embedded to cause an ac Stark shift on selected ions (*[34](#page-5-13)*). The individual addressing laser beam is at 370 nm and detuned ~43.6 GHz from the  $S_{1/2} \rightarrow P_{1/2}$  transition. This beam addresses one ion at a time, and the beam pointing is controlled by the radio frequency (rf) of an acousto-optic deflector. Figure S1 shows the rf 's to address each ion in the 21-ion chain. The pulse duration to realize an  $R_z(\pi)$ rotation is further calibrated for each ion. This individual addressing beam has a half width at half maximum of ~1.3 μm compared to the



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 4. Directional propagation of a superposed topological defect.** Time evolution of an initial superposed kink with a relative phase (**A**) π/2 or (**B**) −π/2 at various times *t*. Blue dots are experimental data with 1-SD error bars. Red dots are simulated data connected by red dashed lines as the guides to the eye, while the red error band accounts for a shift of  $\pm 2\pi \times 15$  Hz in the Raman laser frequency. Whether the superposed kink propagates to the left or right depends on the initial phase of the superposition state, verifying its quantum coherence. The vertical dashed lines denote the initial location of the kink.

ion spacing ranging from 4.7 to 8.0 μm. This can cause cross-talk errors, defined as the probability of changing the qubit state of a spectator ion during individual addressing operations. Cross-talk errors are more pronounced when addressing central ions compared to edge ions. Because of beam aberration, the cross-talk error is asymmetric and is measured to be about 2.5% for the left side and about 5% for the right side when addressing central ions. In the experiment, we choose to address the ions on the right side to minimize state preparation errors caused by cross-talk. The cross-talk error to the left side when addressing the first target ion is smaller, while the cross-talk error to the right side can be compensated by adjusting the duration of the next pulse and so on.

To prepare the ion chain with a kink in the middle of the 20-ion chain, we first apply a global microwave  $\pi/2$  pulse followed by the individual addressing pulses to implement the  $R_z(\pi)$  rotation for the right 10 ions. The second microwave  $\pi/2$  pulse brings the right 10 ions to  $| \downarrow \rangle$  while the left 10 ions to  $| \uparrow \rangle$ . A Raman laser  $\pi/2$  pulse can be applied to prepare the ions in the desired single-kink state  $|n=$  $|10\rangle = |+\rangle^{\otimes 10}|-\rangle^{\otimes 10}$  while maintaining the phase coherence with the  $\sigma_x$  basis of the Ising interaction. To mitigate the error due to the <span id="page-4-13"></span>inhomogeneous laser intensity, we use the composite BB1 pulse (*[35](#page-5-14)*) for the global  $\pi/2$  pulses.

To prepare a 21-ion chain in a superposition state with two  $\frac{1}{2}$  reighboring kinks,  $(|n=10\rangle \pm i | n=11\rangle)/\sqrt{2} = \pm i |+\rangle^{\otimes 10} | \mp y\rangle |-\rangle^{\otimes 10}$ , where  $|\pm y\rangle = (|\uparrow\rangle \pm i|\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . We first prepare the ions in  $|+\rangle^{\otimes 10}$ ∣−⟩⊗11, similar to the 20-ion chain preparation. We further apply an additional  $R_z(\pi/2)$  or  $R_z(3\pi/2)$  rotation on the 11th ion using the individual addressing beam, thus preparing the 11th ion in  $| \pm y \rangle$ . Note that the  $R_z(3\pi/2)$  pulse is longer and causes larger cross-talk errors on nearby ions than the  $R_z(\pi/2)$  rotation, which is reflected in the experimental results shown in [Fig. 4](#page-3-0).

## **Calculation of the effective spin-spin interaction**

The Ising coupling coefficients are calculated by using  $J_{ij}$  =  $\Omega_i \Omega_j \sum_k \eta_k^2 b_{ik} b_{jk} \omega_k / (\mu^2 - \omega_k^2)$  from the experimental parameters ( $17, 24$  $17, 24$  $17, 24$ ). The carrier Rabi frequencies  $\Omega_i$  of each ion under the global Raman laser beams are obtained by preparing all ions in the ∣↓⟩ state, driving the carrier transition by the Raman lasers, and measuring the qubit states after various evolution times *t*. The experiments are repeated 200 times, and the average transition probability of each ion is calculated and shown as heatmaps in fig. S2A for a 20-ion chain and in fig. S2D for a 21-ion chain. The Rabi oscillation for each ion is fitted to yield the Rabi frequency  $\Omega_i$ , which is represented by blue dots in fig. S2 (B and E). The Rabi frequencies follow a Gaussian distribution (red curves) with the full width at half maximum fitted to be ~143 μm.

The phonon mode frequencies  $\omega_k$  are measured from the bluesideband spectrum as shown in fig. S2 (C and F) for the two ion crystals, respectively. We first cool all the transverse modes near the ground states by sideband cooling and initialize all the ions in  $|\downarrow\rangle$ . Then, we apply a weak global Raman pulse near the blue motional sideband at a variable frequency with a fixed duration, and last, we perform the fluorescence detection. In this calibration, the scattered photons are counted by a photomultiplier. The photon counts of 100 experimental trials are compared to a threshold of one to obtain the probability that at least one ion has been successfully excited. The probabilities are plotted versus the detuning from the carrier transition  $\Delta\omega$  in fig. S2 (C and F) for a 20-ion and a 21-ion chain, respectively.

These measured phonon mode frequencies, together with a rough estimation of the ion spacings from the image of the ion chain on the CCD camera, are then used to fit more accurate ion spacings (*[29](#page-5-8)*). These data further allow us to calculate the normal mode vectors *bik*, the Lamb-Dicke parameters  $\eta_k$ , and lastly the  $J_{ii}$  matrix shown in [Fig. 2A](#page-2-0).

# **Numerical simulation in single-kink subspace**

The numerical simulations in the main text are performed for a quasiparticle restricted in the single-kink subspace under the Hamiltonian

$$
H^{(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} V_n |n\rangle\langle n| + g \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} (|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n+1\rangle\langle n|)
$$
 (3)

where the effective potential is given by  $V_n = 2\sum_{i \leq n, j > n} J_{ij}$ . This is a quantum system with a total dimension of *N* − 1 and can be computed efficiently even for a large *N*.

It is worthwhile to compute the energy gap between the single-kink subspace and the other multikink states that can be reached by the Hamiltonian evolution. Specifically, here, we consider the excitation energy when applying  $\sigma_z^i$  on  $|n\rangle$  with  $i \neq n$ ,  $n + 1$ , which is given by

$$
\Delta E(n, i) = \begin{cases} 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{N}\right)J_{ij} & (i < n) \\ 2\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{i-1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\right)J_{ij} & (i > n+1) \end{cases} \tag{4}
$$

For simplicity, here, we use the power-law fitting  $J_{ij} \approx J_0 / |i - j|^{\alpha}$ with  $\alpha \approx 1.3$ . As expected, such energies are smallest when the kink is near the boundary ( $n \approx 0$  or  $n \approx N - 1$ ), but for  $n \approx N/2$  as in our experiment, the energy gap is larger than 3*J*0. Therefore, by setting  $g = J_0/3 = 2\pi \times 50$  Hz in this experiment, the probability of escaping from the single-kink subspace due to the off-resonant excitation will be small. In the experiment, we attribute the main error sources for the leakage outside the single-kink subspace to the decoherence of the system.

#### **Supplementary Materials**

**This PDF file includes:** Supplementary Note Figs. S1 to S3

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>1. N. D. Mermin, The topological theory of defects in ordered media. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 591–648 [\(1979\)](#page-0-6).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>2. B. D. Cullity, C. D. Graham, *Introduction to Magnetic Materials* (Wiley-IEEE Press, ed. 2, 2008).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>3. G. Blatter, M. V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, V. M. Vinokur, Vortices in high-temperature superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 1125–1388 ([1994](#page-0-7)).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>4. G. Hooft, Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories. *Nucl. Phys. B* **79**, 276–284 ([1974](#page-0-8)).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>5. A. Vilenkin, E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>6. T. W. Kibble, Topology of cosmic domains and strings. *J. Phys. A: Math Gen.* **9**, 1387 [\(1976\)](#page-0-9).
- 7. T. W. Kibble, Some implications of a cosmological phase transition. *Phys. Rep.* **67**, 183–199 (1980).
- 8. W. H. Zurek, Cosmological experiments in superfluid helium? *Nature* **317**, 505–508 (1985).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>9. W. H. Zurek, Cosmological experiments in condensed matter systems. *Phys. Rep.* **276**, 177–221 [\(1996\)](#page-0-10).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>10. W. H. Zurek, U. Dorner, P. Zoller, Dynamics of a quantum phase transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 105701 [\(2005\)](#page-0-11).
- 11. B. Damski, The simplest quantum model supporting the Kibble-Zurek mechanism of topological defect production: Landau–Zener transitions from a new perspective. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 035701 (2005).
- 12. J. Dziarmaga, Dynamics of a quantum phase transition: Exact solution of the quantum ising model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 245701 (2005).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>13. A. Polkovnikov, Universal adiabatic dynamics in the vicinity of a quantum critical point. *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 161201(R) [\(2005\)](#page-0-12).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>14. L. W. Clark, L. Feng, C. Chin, Universal space-time scaling symmetry in the dynamics of Bosons across a quantum phase transition. *Science* **354**, 606–610 [\(2016](#page-0-13)).
- 15. M. Anquez, B. Robbins, H. Bharath, M. Boguslawski, T. Hoang, M. Chapman, Quantum Kibble-Zurek mechanism in a spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 155301 (2016).
- 16. A. Keesling, A. Omran, H. Levine, H. Bernien, H. Pichler, S. Choi, R. Samajdar, S. Schwartz, P. Silvi, S. Sachdev, P. Zoller, M. Endres, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, M. D. Lukin, Quantum Kibble-Zurek mechanism and critical dynamics on a programmable Rydberg simulator. *Nature* **568**, 207–211 (2019).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>17. B.-W. Li, Y.-K. Wu, Q.-X. Mei, R. Yao, W.-Q. Lian, M.-L. Cai, Y. Wang, B.-X. Qi, L. Yao, L. He, Z.-C. Zhou, L.-M. Duan, Probing critical behavior of long-range transverse-field Ising model through quantum Kibble-Zurek mechanism. *PRX Quant.* **4**, 010302 ([2023](#page-0-14)).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>18. J. Dziarmaga, W. H. Zurek, M. Zwolak, Non-local quantum superpositions of topological defects. *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 49–53 [\(2012\)](#page-0-15).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>19. J. Dziarmaga, M. M. Rams, W. H. Zurek, Coherent many-body oscillations induced by a superposition of broken symmetry states in the wake of a quantum phase transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 260407 [\(2022\)](#page-0-16).
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>20. T. Kovachy, P. Asenbaum, C. Overstreet, C. A. Donnelly, S. M. Dickerson, A. Sugarbaker, J. M. Hogan, M. A. Kasevich, Quantum superposition at the half-metre scale. *Nature* **528**, 530–533 [\(2015\)](#page-0-17).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>21. Y. Y. Fein, P. Geyer, P. Zwick, F. Kiałka, S. Pedalino, M. Mayor, S. Gerlich, M. Arndt, Quantum superposition of molecules beyond 25 kDa. *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 1242–1245 [\(2019](#page-0-18)).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>22. B. Schrinski, Y. Yang, U. von Lüpke, M. Bild, Y. Chu, K. Hornberger, S. Nimmrichter, M. Fadel, Macroscopic quantum test with bulk acoustic wave resonators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 133604 [\(2023\)](#page-0-19).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>23. A. J. Berkley, H. Xu, R. C. Ramos, M. A. Gubrud, F. W. Strauch, P. R. Johnson, J. R. Anderson, A. J. Dragt, C. J. Lobb, F. C. Wellstood, Entangled macroscopic quantum states in two superconducting qubits. *Science* **300**, 1548–1550 [\(2003](#page-0-20)).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>24. C. Monroe, W. C. Campbell, L.-M. Duan, Z.-X. Gong, A. V. Gorshkov, P. W. Hess, R. Islam, K. Kim, N. M. Linke, G. Pagano, P. Richerme, C. Senko, N. Y. Yao, Programmable quantum simulations of spin systems with trapped ions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 025001 [\(2021\)](#page-0-21).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>25. D. Leibfried, R. Blatt, C. Monroe, D. Wineland, Quantum dynamics of single trapped ions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 281–324 [\(2003](#page-0-22)).
- 26. T. P. Harty, D. T. C. Allcock, C. J. Ballance, L. Guidoni, H. A. Janacek, N. M. Linke, D. N. Stacey, D. M. Lucas, High-fidelity preparation, gates, memory, and readout of a trapped-ion quantum bit. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 220501 (2014).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>27. F. A. An, A. Ransford, A. Schaffer, L. R. Sletten, J. Gaebler, J. Hostetter, G. Vittorini, High fidelity state preparation and measurement of ion hyperfine qubits with *I* >1/2. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 130501 [\(2022\)](#page-0-23).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>28. P. Wang, C.-Y. Luan, M. Qiao, M. Um, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Yuan, M. Gu, J. Zhang, K. Kim, Single ion qubit with estimated coherence time exceeding one hour. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 233 [\(2021\)](#page-0-24).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>29. Q.-X. Mei, B.-W. Li, Y.-K. Wu, M.-L. Cai, Y. Wang, L. Yao, Z.-C. Zhou, L.-M. Duan, Experimental realization of the Rabi-Hubbard model with trapped ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 160504 ([2022](#page-0-25)).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>30. C. Senko, J. Smith, P. Richerme, A. Lee, W. C. Campbell, C. Monroe, Coherent imaging spectroscopy of a quantum many-body spin system. *Science* **345**, 430–433 [\(2014](#page-1-3)).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>31. R. Verdel, F. Liu, S. Whitsitt, A. V. Gorshkov, M. Heyl, Real-time dynamics of string breaking in quantum spin chains. *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 014308 [\(2020\)](#page-3-1).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>32. E. R. Bennewitz, B. Ware, A. Schuckert, A. Lerose, F. M. Surace, R. Belyansky, W. Morong, D. Luo, A. De, K. S. Collins, O. Katz, C. Monroe, Z. Davoudi, A. V. Gorshkov, Simulating meson scattering on spin quantum simulators. arXiv:2403.07061 [quant-ph] (2024).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>33. S.-A. Guo, Y.-K. Wu, J. Ye, L. Zhang, W.-Q. Lian, R. Yao, Y. Wang, R.-Y. Yan, Y.-J. Yi, Y.-L. Xu, B.-W. Li, Y.-H. Hou, Y.-Z. Xu, W.-X. Guo, C. Zhang, B.-X. Qi, Z.-C. Zhou, L. He, L.-M. Duan, A site-resolved two-dimensional quantum simulator with hundreds of trapped ions. *Nature* **630**, 613–618 [\(2024](#page-3-2)).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>34. A. C. Lee, J. Smith, P. Richerme, B. Neyenhuis, P. W. Hess, J. Zhang, C. Monroe, Engineering large stark shifts for control of individual clock state qubits. *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 042308 ([2016](#page-3-3)).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>35. K. R. Brown, A. W. Harrow, I. L. Chuang, Arbitrarily accurate composite pulse sequences. *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 052318 [\(2004\)](#page-4-13).

#### **Acknowledgments**

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology (2021ZD0301601), the Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program, and the Ministry of Education of China. L.-M.D. acknowledges, in addition, support from the New Cornerstone Science Foundation through the New Cornerstone Investigator Program. Y.-K.W. acknowledges, in addition, support from the Tsinghua University Dushi program. P.-Y.H. acknowledges the start-up fund from Tsinghua University. **Author contributions:** L.-M.D. proposed and supervised the project. Z.-J.C., P.-Y.H., S.-J.L., Q.-X.M., B.-W.L., Y.J., G.-X.W., B.-X.Q., and Z.-C.Z. carried out the experiment. Y.-K.W. did the associated theory and data analyses. Y.-K.W., Z.-J.C., P.-Y.H., and L.-M.D. wrote the manuscript. **Competing interests:** Q.-X.M. and B.-W.L. are affiliated with HYQ Co. Y.-K.W., Q.-X.M., B.-W.L., Y.J., B.-X.Q., Z.-C.Z., and L.-M.D. hold shares with HYQ Co. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in this paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

Submitted 23 July 2024 Accepted 13 September 2024 Published 18 October 2024 10.1126/sciadv.adr9527