Structure of a full-length cDNA clone for the prepro α 2(I) chain of human type ^I procollagen

Comparison with the chicken gene confirms unusual patterns of gene conservation

Helena KUIVANIEMI, Gerard TROMP, Mon-Li CHU and Darwin J. PROCKOP*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University, Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia, PA 19107, U.S.A.

A cDNA clone from ^a human placental library was found to consist of an essentially full-length cDNA of 4.6 kb for the preproa2(I) chain of type ^I procollagen. Nucleotide sequencing of the ⁵'-end of the cDNA provided a sequence of 1617 nucleotide residues and codons for 539 amino acid residues not previously defined. Comparison of the complete structure of the $preprox(1)$ cDNA with previously reported sequences for the chicken pro α 2(I) gene indicated that 83% of 1366 total amino acid residues were conserved. In the α -chain domain 84% of 1014 amino acid residues were conserved. Also, there was conservation of the previously noted preference for U and C in the third position of codons for glycine, proline and alanine. One major difference between the human and the chicken prepro α 2(I) chain was that the human chain contained 21 fewer proline residues, an observation that probably explains why the triple helix of human type ^I procollagen unfolds at temperatures that are $1-2$ °C lower. In parallel experiments, sequencing of intron-exon boundaries for nine exons of genomic subclones confirmed and extended previous observations that the pro α 2(I) gene, like other genes from fibrillar collagens, has an unusual 54-base pattern of exon sizes that is highly conserved through evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Type ^I collagen provides the fibrous network that maintains the structural integrity of most tissues in vertebrates and in many other multicellular organisms (for reviews see Prockop & Kivirikko, 1984; Cheah, 1985). The protein is a heterotrimer of two α 1(I) and one α 2(I) chains, and it is first synthesized as a procollagen comprised of two $prox1(I)$ and one $prox2(I)$ chains. Large parts of the primary structure of the α 1(I) and α 2(I) chains of type I collagen are now known. Most of the initial data were generated by Edman degradation of peptide fragments (see Kang et al., 1967; Fietzek et al., 1972; Piez, 1976; Dixit *et al.*, 1978; Hofmann *et al.*, 1978; Galloway, 1982), but, because analysis of the protein is far more difficult, most of the recent data were derived from nucleotide sequencing of DNA clones (Bernard et al., 1983a,b; Boedtker et al., 1985; Dickson et al., 1985). However, it has been difficult to obtain a complete amino acid sequence for an $\alpha(I)$ or pro $\alpha(I)$ chain from a single species. The most complete sequence came from the analysis of ^a combination of cDNA and genomic clones for the $prox2(I)$ chain from chicken, an analysis that lacks only the coding sequences of two exons that contain 36 codons (Boedtker et al., 1985). Also, apparently because of the high $G+C$ content of the relatively long mRNAs, no full-length cDNA is available for a pro α 1(I) or pro α 2(I) chain of type I procollagen.

In the present paper we describe the first full-length

cDNA clone coding for a prepro α 2(I) chain. Nucleotide sequencing of the cDNA has permitted detailed comparison of evolutionary differences between the human and chicken procollagen gene. Also, since the full-length cDNA developed here is for the human prepro $\alpha \overline{2}(I)$ chain, the clone provides both a probe and structural information that will be of great use in current attempts to define mutations in type ^I procollagen genes that produce heritable disorders of connective tissue such as osteogenesis imperfecta and Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (see Prockop & Kivirikko, 1984; Byers & Bonadio, 1985; Prockop & Kuivaniemi, 1986).

In the text of the present paper amino acid positions are numbered by the standard convention in which the first glycine residue of the triple-helical domain of an α chain is number 1. The numbers for the α 2(I) chain can be converted into those of the human prepro α 2(I) chain in Fig. ¹ by adding 90.

Preliminary reports of this work were presented at the East Coast Connective Tissue Society Meeting, Wood Hole, MA, U.S.A., in March 1987.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

cDNA and genomic clones

A cDNA library from human placenta was obtained from ^a commercial source (catalogue no. HL 1008; Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.). The library was

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

These sequence data have been submitted to the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under the accession number Y00724.

Fig. 1. Partial restriction map of the cloned cDNA for the preproa2(I) chain (Hp 2010)

Also shown, the relative sizes of two clones previously reported (Bernard et al., 1983a) for the human pro α 2(I) chain (Hf-1131 and Hf-32). Symbols: ATG, start site of translation; STH, start of the triple-helical domain; ETH, end of triple-helical domain; TAA, end of translation; A, AvaI site; E, EcoRI site; M, MstII site; N, NcoI site; P, PvuII site; Ps, PstI site; R, RsaI site; X, XhoI site. The asterisk indicates a variable PvuII site that was found in Hp-2010 and in the two clones of genomic allele sequenced here, but not in a short cDNA clone for the pro α 2 chain (Hf-15; M.-L. Chu & M. Bernard, unpublished work). As noted in the text, regions not sequenced in both directions were confirmed by analysis of genomic subclones.

prepared with cDNA that was not size-selected, that was inserted into the bacteriophage vector λ gtll, and that was amplified before distribution. It contained 106 independent clones with an average size of 1.8 kb and a range of sizes of 0.8-3.6 kb. The probe used to screen the library was a 2 kb HindIII-HindIII fragment that was a subclone of the $prox(1)$ genomic DNA obtained from a human bacteriophage library (clone NJ-3; Myers et al., 1983). The 2 kb HindIII–HindIII fragment was subcloned into plasmid pBR322 from clone NJ-3 by Mr. Bruce Vogel.

One series of genomic subclones contained exons $10-12$ of the pro α 2(I) gene and the other contained exons 25-30. The first series was prepared with 10 kb EcoRI-EcoRI fragments of genomic DNA from ^a proband with atypical Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (Sippola et al., 1984; de Wet et al., 1986). The fragments were cloned into the bacteriophage vector Charon 4A, the clones were screened with a 2 kb Hindlll-HindIll fragment from the $prox(1)$ genomic clone NJ-3, and a 2.3 kb BamHI-EcoRI fragment was subcloned into M13 for nucleotide sequencing. The normal allele was dis-

Fig. 2. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence of the cDNA clone for the pro α 2(I) chain

The nucleotides are numbered from the start site for transcription (Dickson *et al.*, 1985) and the amino acids from the first amino acid residue of the preproa2(I) chain. The ²³⁷⁹ bp nucleotide sequences from the ⁵'-end of the cDNA clone are indicated in the second line. The overlap with previously published sequences from Hf-32 (Bernard et al., 1983a) starts at position 2002. The first 7 bp shown here are from the EcoRI linker with which the clone was inserted into λ gtl 1 vector. The amino acid sequence encoded for by the clone is indicated in the third line. Top line: nucleotide sequences for the chicken preproa2(I) chain where they are known and differ from the human sequence. Lines two and three: nucleotide and amino acid sequences of Hp-2010 defined here. Line four: amino acid sequences encoded for by the chicken preproa2(I) cDNA and genomic clones reported previously (Boedtker et al., 1985). Line five: amino acid sequences of the bovine α 2(I) chain that was defined by Edman degradation of peptide fragments (see Galloway, 1982). Symbols; -, identical amino acid; ---, missing nucleotide residues in the human or chicken cDNA; + 119 a possible start site for translation that ends in a stop codon after 11 nucleotide residues; + 136, start site for translation; vertical lines, beginnings of exons indicated; + , cleavage site for signal peptidase; V, cleavage site for procollagen N-proteinase; \downarrow , beginning of α chain domain. The coding sequences found in exon 16 from the chicken gene are not known. The amino acid residues encoded by exon 24 of the chicken gene are known by Edman degradation of peptide fragments, but the nucleotide residues are not known (see Galloway, 1982).

 $\sim 10^{11}$

T GC T C
GGT GAC CGG GCC GAA GCT GGG GCT GCT GCT GCT GCT
Gly Asp Arg Gly Glu Ala Gly Ala Ala Gly Pro Ala
_ _ _ _ _ Gly _ Pro _ _ _ _ GGT CCT GCT GGT CCT CGG GGA AGC CCT GGT GM CGT GGC GAG GTC GGT Gly Pro Ala Gly Pro Arg Gly Ser Pro Gly Glu Arg Gly Glu Val Gly - - - - Ala - - Ile - - - Pro - - Ile Arg - - - CCT GCT
Pro Ala
- Val
- Val E37 T 'T GT
GGC CCC AAC GGA TTT GCT GGT CCG GCT GCT GCT GCT GGT GCCCCC AAG Gly Phe Ala Gly Arol Gly Ala Ala Gly
- - Ser - - - - - - Pro - - - -A CCA ACA G T TT G A A T T A
GGT GTT GTT GGT CCC ACA GGC CCC GTT GGA GCT GCT GGC
Cly Val Val Gly Pro Thr - Ala Ile - - le - - Ser -
- Pro - - - Gln - - - - - - - - - -G T C G CAA CCG GGT GCT AAA GGA GAA Gln Pro Gly Ala Lys Gly Glu E38 C A CC<u>A_GCT</u>
Pro Ala
- Pro
- Pro C T N CCT G A A
AGA GGA GGC AAA GGG CCT AAG GGT GAA AAC
Arg Gly Cly Lys Gly Pro Lys Gly Glu Asn
- - Pro - - - Val - - Gln
- - Thr - - - Val - - Gln human chicken bovine 720 chicken human human chicken bovine chicken human human chicken bovine 2385 750 2431 765 1988

tinguished from the abnormal allele by the presence of a 19 bp deletion in the abnormal allele that produced an abnormally spliced mRNA, whereas the normal allele produced mRNA that was normally spliced (H. Kuivaniemi, C. Sabol, G. Tromp, M. Sippola-Thiele & D. J. Prockop, unpublished work). The second series of genomic subclones was prepared with 6 kb Hindlll-HindIII fragments of genomic DNA from ^a proband with a lethal variant of osteogenesis imperfecta (de Wet et al., 1983, 1986). The fragments were cloned into the bacteriophage vector Charon 21A, and the clones were screened with a 3.6 kb HindIII-EcoRI fragment from the $prox(1)$ genomic clone NJ-3. A series of $\overline{3.6}$ kb HindIII–EcoRI fragments from positive clones were subcloned into M13 for nucleotide sequencing. The normal sequences for genomic DNA covering exons 25-30 and their flanking sequences were distinguished from the abnormal ones by the fact that the abnormal allele had a single base mutation and gave rise to an abnormally spliced mRNA (G. Tromp & D. J. Prockop, unpublished work).

Nucleotide sequencing of the cDNA and genomic clones

The cDNA library was first plated on superconfluent cultures with about 100000 clones on each of ten 15 cmdiameter Petri dishes. Twenty-three positive clones were plaque-purified. Fragments of Hp-2010 were subcloned into Ml3mpl8 and M13mpl9, and the nucleotide sequencing with the dideoxy method was carried out by using universal primers (Sanger et al., 1977; Messing, 1983). Each fragment was sequenced at least three times. The sequences were first defined by using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I, but most of the sequences were verified by using ^a modified T7 DNA polymerase (Sequenase, as supplied by U.S. Biochemical Corp.; Tabor & Richardson, 1987) and the dGTP analogue dITP. Use of the T7 DNA polymerase was essential to establish some of the sequences because of the high $C+G$ content of the cDNA. The genomic subclones in M13 were sequenced with the same procedures except that the subclones containing exons 10-12 were sequenced first with primers specific for sequences in the exons and then with primers specific for adjacent regions of the intervening sequences (H. Kuivaniemi, C. Sabol, G. Tromp, M. Sippola-Thiele & D. J. Prockop, unpublished work).

Other procedures

All the DNA probes were labelled by nick-translation with $\lceil \alpha^{32}P \rceil dCTP$. Standard procedures were used for Northern-blot analysis of total RNA from normal human skin fibroblasts and for Southern-blot analysis of genomic fragments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Restriction map and nucleotide sequence of the cDNA

The cDNA library from human placenta was screened with a 2 kb HindIII-HindIII fragment from the 5'-end of the human gene for the $prox(1)$ chain of type I procollagen (Myers et al., 1983). Twenty-three positive clones were plaque-purified and the inserts were analysed by digestion with $EcoRI$. Four of the clones had inserts ranging from 3.6 to 4.8 kb. By Northern blot analysis, all four cDNAs hybridized (results not shown) to the mRNAs of three different sizes previously demonstrated to be specific for the $prox(1)$ chain of type I procollagen (Myers et al., 1983). The 4.6 kb clone Hp-2010 was selected for detailed analysis. When used as a probe for Southern blot analysis, it hybridized to all the expected fragments from two genomic clones for the $prox(1)$ gene (NJ-1 and NJ-3 in Myers et al., 1983; results not shown).

The ³'-end of the cDNA clone Hp-2010 had the same restriction-endonuclease map as previously reported cDNAs from the 3'-end of the $prox(1)$ gene (Bernard et al., 1983a). These previously analysed cDNAs covered the codons for amino acid residues 533 to 1014 of the α chain domain, the C-terminal telopeptide, all the 243 amino acid residues of the C-propeptide, and the 3'-nontranslated region of the mRNA. In total, they included ²⁴⁶⁸ bp of the mRNA and codons for ⁷⁴⁰ amino acid residues. Here we determined 1617 nucleotide residues and the codons for 539 amino acid residues not previously defined (Figs. ¹ and 2). In addition, we re-examined the sequence of 425 bp that overlapped the 5'-end of the previously published cDNA sequences (Bernard et al., 1983a) and 327 bp defined by sequencing genomic clones containing exons 1, 4, 5 and 6 (Dickson et al., 1985). As indicated in Fig. 1, over 80% of the base-pairs were sequenced in both directions. The regions not sequenced in both directions were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing of the corresponding exons in genomic subclones (see below).

The data revealed several minor differences from previously reported sequences. In regions analysed in cDNAs (Bernard et al., 1983a) there was a single base difference that converted an alanine codon for amino acid position 653 of the α 2(I) chain into a codon for glycine. In the sequenced exons from the 5'-end of the gene (Dickson et al., 1985), there was a single base difference that converted a proline codon in amino acid position 59 of the prepropeptide into a codon for threonine. These two differences may or may not be variants in the gene structure. Also, the data defined ^a G and a T residue in the ⁵'-non-translated region that were previously ambiguous (Dickson et al., 1985). In addition, the data suggested the possible presence of a new polymorphic site (Tsipouras et al., 1983; Grobler-Rabie et al., 1985; Sykes et al., 1986) for cleavage of the gene by PvuII in exon 25 (Fig. 1). The site was present in a previously isolated genomic clone for the $prox(1)$ gene (clone NJ-3; Myers et al., 1983). It was present in subclones of both alleles from the proband with a lethal variant of osteogenesis imperfecta (de Wet et al., 1983). It was found in the DNA clone Hp-2010. However, it was not found in Hf-15, ^a cDNA clone of about 0.8 kb that was isolated from a human skin fibroblast library (M.-L. Chu & M. Bernard, unpublished work).

Conservation of amino acid sequences

The data as a whole made it possible to make a detailed comparison (Fig. 2 and below) of the nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the human prepro α 2(I) chain and the chicken preproa $2(I)$ chain (Boedtker et al., 1985). About 83 $\%$ of the 1366 of the amino acid residues in the total human chain were identical with the chicken

Table 1. Common amino acid substitutions between human and chicken preproz2(I) chains

Changes indicated account for 90 out of 227 amino acid substitutions

chain. In the α -chain domain 84% of the 1014 amino acids residues were identical.

Most of the amino acid differences were conservative substitutions. Ninety (40%) of 227 differences in the $preprox2(I)$ chains were accounted for by five residues: alanine, serine, proline, valine and threonine (Table 1). The most striking difference in amino acids between the human and the chicken chain was that the human chain contained 21 fewer proline residues in the triple-helical domain. Of these, 14 were in the Yaa-position and therefore are likely to be converted into 4-hydroxyproline during procollagen biosynthesis (see Prockop & Kivirikko, 1984). The terminal stability of the collagen triple helix depends in large part on its content of proline and hydroxproline residues. Therefore the difference in imino acids probably explains why the triple helix of the chicken type I procollagen unfolds with a T_m ('melting' temperature) of about 42 °C whereas human type ^I procollagen has a T_m that is 1-2 °C lower (Hayashi et al., 1979; Peltonen et al., 1980).

Conservation of codon usage between the human and chicken proax2(I) cDNAs

Previous reports indicated an unusual preference for U or C in the third base of codons for glycine, proline and alanine in cDNAs for human and chicken α 1(I) and α 2(I) chains (Bernard et al., 1983a,b; Boedtker et al., 1985). As indicated in Table 2, the same preference was largely maintained when the data for the whole human α 2(I) chain were analysed. Of note was that there was a greater preference in the human α 2(I) sequence for U in the third position of codons for proline that were in the Yaaposition of the repeating -Gly-Xaa-Yaa- sequence of the collagen α -helix than in the total proline codons. The preference for U in the third position of the Yaa-position proline codons is probably explained by the avoidance of

Fig. 3. Nucleotide sequences of the exon/intron boundaries of nine exons and the lariat-loop sites for the ⁵' intervening sequences

Symbols: +, nucleotides that are identical with the consensus sequence; -, nucleotides that lack identity with the consensus sequence; numbers in parentheses, number of bases between those shown. The normal consensus sequence is PyNPyTPuAPy (Ruskin et al., 1984).

Table 2. Codon usage in α 2(I) domain of chicken and human type I procoliagen

Data for the chicken α 2(I) chain are from Boedtker et al. (1985). No nucleotide sequence data are available for exons 16 and 24 from the chicken gene. These exons contain 36 amino acid residues in the human $prox(1)$ gene and include ten proline, 12 glycine and three alanine residues (see Fig. 2).

C-G dinucleotide sequences (Bird, 1986; Brown & Bird, 1986) when the Yaa-position proline is followed by glycine (GGN).

Conservative 54 bp pattern in exons of $prox(1)$ gene

In parallel experiments, nucleotide sequencing was carried out on two series of genomic subclones for the human $prox(1)$ gene. Data were generated for nine exons not previously sequenced (Fig. 3). Seven of the exons were 54 bp, one was 45 bp and one was 99 bp. These exons were previously reported to have the same sizes in the chicken $prox2(I)$ gene (Boedtker et al., 1985). Therefore the results extend previous observations indicating that the $prox2(I)$ gene, like other genes for fibrillar collagens (Ohkubo et al., 1980; Vogeli et al., 1980; Yamada et al., 1980; Dickson et al., 1985; Boedtker et al. 1985; Cheah, 1985), has an unusual 54 bp pattern of exon sizes and that the pattern is highly conserved through evolution. The data presented in Fig. ³ also provide the nucleotide sequences of the exon/intron boundaries and the sites for lariat-loop formation in nine of the intervening sequences. The boundary sequences and the sites for the lariat loop are, in general, homologous with similar sequences in other genes (Mount, 1982; Ruskin et al., 1984; Reed & Maniatis, 1985; Padgett et al., 1986).

The work presented here was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Research Grant AM-38188 and by a grant from the March of Dimes-Birth Defects Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Bernard, M. P., Myers, J. C., Chu, M.-L., Ramirez, F., Eikenberry, E. F. & Prockop, D. J. (1983a) Biochemistry 22, 1139-1145
- Bernard, M. P., Chu, M.-L., Myers, J. C., Ramirez, F., Eikenberry, E. F. & Prockop, D. J. (1983b) Biochemistry 22, 5213-5223
- Bird, A. P. (1986) Nature (London) 321, 209-213
- Boedtker, H., Finer, M. & Aho, S. (1985) Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 460, 85-116
- Brown, W. R. A. & Bird, A. P. (1986) Nature (London) 322, 477-481
- Byers, P. H. & Bonadio, J. F. (1985) in Genetic and Metabolic Diseases in Pediatrics (Lloyd, J. & Scriver, C. R., eds.), pp. 56-90, Butterworths, London
- Cheah, K. S. E. (1985) Biochem. J. 229, 287-303
- de Wet, W. J., Pihlajaniemi, T., Myers, J., Kelly, T. E. & Prockop, D. J. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 7721-7728
- de Wet, W., Sippola, M., Tromp, G., Prockop, D., Chu, M.-L. & Ramirez, F. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 3857-3862
- Dickson, L. A., de Wet, W., Di Liberto, M., Weil, D. & Ramirez, F. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 3427-3438
- Dixit, S. N., Seyer, J. M., Kang, A. H. & Gross, J. (1978) Biochemistry 17, 5719-5722
- Fietzek, P. P., Wendt, P., Kell, I. & Kuhn, K. (1972) FEBS Lett. 26, 74-76
- Galloway. D. (1982) in Collagen in Health and Disease (Weiss, J. B. & Jayson, M. I. V., eds.), pp. 528-557, Churchill-Livingstone, Edinburgh
- Grobler-Rabie, A. F., Wallis, G., Brebner, D. K., Beighton, P., Bester, A. J. & Mathew, C. G. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1745-1748
- Hayashi, T., Curran-Patel, S. & Prockop, D. J. (1979) Biochemistry 18, 4182-4187
- Hofmann, H., Fietzek, P. P. & Kuhn, K. (1978) J. Mol. Biol. 125, 137-165
- Kang, A. H., Bornstein, P. & Piez, K. A. (1967) Biochemistry 6, 788-795
- Messing, J. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 101, 20-78
- Mount, S. M. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 459-472
- Myers, J. C., Dickson, L. A., de Wet, W., Bernard, M. P., Chu, M.-L., Di Liberto, M., Pepe, G., Sangiorgi, F. 0. & Ramirez, F. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 10128-10135
- Ohkubo, H., Vogeli, G., Mudryj, M., Avvedimento, V. E., Sullivan, M., Pastan, I. & de Crombrugghe, B. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77, 7059-7063
- Padgett, R. A., Grabowski, P. J., Konarska, M. M., Seiler, S.
- & Sharp, P. A. (1986) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 55, 1119-1150 Peltonen, L., Palotie, A., Hayashi, T. & Prockop, D. J. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77, 162-166
- Piez, K. A. (1976) in Biochemistry of Collagen (Ramachandran, G. N. & Reddi, A. H., eds.), pp. 1-44, Plenum Press, New York
- Prockop, D. J. & Kivirikko, K. I. (1984) N. Engl. J. Med. 311, 376-386
- Prockop, D. J. & Kuivaniemi, H. (1986) Rheumatology 10, 246-271
- Reed, R. & Maniatis, T. (1985) Cell 41, 95-105
- Ruskin, B., Krainer, A. R., Maniatis, T. & Green, M. R. (1984) Cell 38, 317-331
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74, 5463-5467
- Sippola, M., Kaffe, S. & Prockop, D. J. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 14094-14100
- Sykes, B., Wordsworth, P., Ogilvie, D., Anderson, J. & Jones, N. (1986) Lancet ii, 69-72
- Tabor, S. & Richardson, C. C. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 4767-4771
- Tsipouras, P., Myers, J. C., Ramirez, F. & Prockop, D. J. (1983) J. Clin. Invest. 72, 1262-1267

Vogeli, G., Ohkubo, H., Avvedimento, V. E., Sullivan, M., Yamada, Y., Mudryj, M., Pastan, I. & de Crombrugghe, B. (1980) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 45, 777-783

Yamada, Y., Avvedimento, V. E., Mudryj, M., Ohkubo, H., Vogeli, G., Irani, M., Pastan, I. & de Crombrugghe, B. (1980) Cell 22, 887-892

Received 21 September 1987/23 November 1987; accepted 5 February 1988