Causality between immunocytes and polymyositis A Mendelian randomization analysis

Ni Yang, MD^a, Chang Li, MM^b, Ruhui Liu, MB^b, Xianghua Qi, MD^c, Xing Qian, MB^{b,*}

Abstract

Polymyositis is a prominent subgroup of idiopathic inflammatory myopathy, considered to have an autoimmune etiology. However, research exploring the condition between immunocytes and polymyositis remains limited, indicating the need for further investigation to unravel these intricate associations. We employed bidirectional Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to ascertain causality between 731 immunocytes and polymyositis. We also compared the positive immunocytes with dermatomyositis. Our primary analytical method was inverse variance weighted, supplemented by 4 other MR techniques. Additionally, Cochran Q test was performed to assess heterogeneity, MR-Egger to appraise pleiotropy, and MR-PRESSO to identify and eliminate potential outliers. Furthermore, the leave-one-out test evaluated the impact of each instrumental variable (IV) on the causal effect. The inverse variance weighted results revealed that 10 immunocytes exert a protective effect against polymyositis (P < .05, OR < 1), while 16 immunocytes are connected with an elevated risk of the disease (P < .05, OR > 1). In reverse MR, polymyositis was found to decrease the levels of 2 immune cells (P < .05, OR < 1) and elevate the expression of 5 immune cell phenotypes (P < .05, OR > 1). A complex correlation was found between polymyositis and the immunocyte phenotypes CD8, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45. Additionally, it was discovered that 15 types of immune cells share a causal relationship between polymyositis and dermatomyositis. All analyses demonstrated no heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy (P > .05). Our study provides compelling evidence regarding the intricate causal relationships between immunocytes and polymyositis. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis share common immunocytes' regulatory mechanisms. CD8, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45 may represent potential immune cell markers for polymyositis. These findings hold implications for planning prognosis and therapeutic strategies for polymyositis, offering novel insights for drug development.

Abbreviations: AC = absolute cell counts, DC = dendritic cell, GWAS = genome-wide association studies, IV = instrumental variable, IVW = inverse variance weighted, MR = Mendelian randomization, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.

Keywords: causality association, immunocytes, Mendelian randomization, polymyositis

1. Introduction

Polymyositis, a prominent subset of idiopathic inflammatory myopathy with presumed autoimmune etiology, primarily affects adults, and targets systemic skeletal muscles.^[1] Its clinical presentation typically includes symmetrical proximal limb and trunk muscle weakness of varying severity, elevated muscle-related kinases persisting for weeks to months, often accompanied by extra-muscular complications such as interstitial lung diseases, arthritis, and malignancies.^[2] With an incidence rate of 2 per 100,000 individuals, polymyositis predominantly affects women.^[3] However, due to its rarity and lack of distinct clinical features, misdiagnosis is common.^[4] Histologically, polymyositis is characterized by fiber size variability and scattered necrotic and regenerating fibers. Moreover, the identification of 2 different infiltrates of inflammatory cellular has suggested the participation of 2 immune-mediated pathways in the inflammation of muscle fibers: one contains infiltrates that are mainly located in the endomysium around the non-necrotic muscle fibers, known as endomysial infiltrates, and the other involves perimysium surrounding blood vessels, named perivascular infiltrates.^[5] Macrophages and activated CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes make up the majority of these infiltrates; non-necrotic muscle fibers expressing major histocompatibility complex class I are occasionally invaded as well.^[6] Initial reports employing immunohistochemistry to characterize inflammatory cells in muscle biopsies of myositis individuals revealed the presence

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

All data in our study were acquired from publicly available datasets. Ethical approval was obtained for each cohort, and informed consent was derived from all enrollments before their involvement.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

* Correspondence: Xing Qian, Qingdao Haici Traditional Chinese Medicine Medical Group North Campus (Qingdao Hongdao People's Hospital), Preventive Medicine Department, Jinan, 266100, China (email: 1003941165@qq.com).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000040254

^a Department of First Clinical Medical College, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China, ^b Qingdao Haici Traditional Chinese Medicine Medical Group North Campus (Qingdao Hongdao People's Hospital), Preventive Medicine Department, Jinan, China, ^c Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China.

Copyright © 2024 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Yang N, Li C, Liu R, Qi X, Qian X. Causality between immunocytes and polymyositis: A Mendelian randomization analysis. Medicine 2024;103:43(e40254).

Received: 22 August 2024 / Received in final form: 5 October 2024 / Accepted: 8 October 2024



of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, as well as B cells within the inflammatory infiltrates, suggesting a role for adaptive immunity in pathogenesis.^[7]

The precise pathogenesis of polymyositis remains elusive, although external factors such as medications, infections, and malignancies, in conjunction with genetic predispositions, are believed to contribute.^[8] Research indicates a heightened susceptibility to polymyositis among individuals with specific human leukocyte antigen profiles.^[9] The presence of various immune cells including T cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, B cells, and plasma cells within muscle tissue, along with autoantibodies detected in up to 80% of patients, suggests an immune-mediated pathogenesis, which significantly implicates therapeutic approaches.[10,11] Glucocorticoids are commonly used as first-line therapy, albeit their utility is constrained by frequent adverse effects.^[5] Combining glucocorticoids with steroid-sparing immunosuppressive agents can mitigate initial glucocorticoid dosages required for inducing remission, reduce the recurrence risk in the period of glucocorticoid tapering, and alleviate glucocorticoid-related adverse effects.^[6] However, the quest for treatment modalities for polymyositis with minimal side effects and proven efficacy remains ongoing. Therefore, further investigation is warranted to unravel the intricate interplay between immunocytes and polymyositis, elucidating disease mechanisms and laying the groundwork for adjunctive diagnosis and potential therapeutic interventions.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are instrumental in analyzing genetic variations across large cohorts, pinpointing genetic positioning, and enriching our comprehension of the intricate genetic determinants of diseases.^[12] Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis leverages genetic variations sourced from GWAS databases to evaluate observed causal relationships.^[13] Unlike traditional observational studies, MR proves robust in identifying causal connections between instrumental variables (IVs) and diseases.^[14] Therefore, our objective is to elucidate the causality between immunocytes and polymyositis through bidirectional MR analysis, facilitating comprehension of the intricate involvement of immune cells in polymyositis. In addition, since polymyositis and dermatomyositis are both idiopathic inflammatory myopathies with similar clinical manifestations,^[15] we also compared the immune cells with the same causal relationship between polymyositis and dermatomyositis to promote understanding of the immune regulatory mechanisms between these 2 diseases.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

We explored the causal relationship between 7 categories of immunocytes and polymyositis using bidirectional MR analysis, which employs genetic variation as the proxy for risk factors. This necessitates valid IVs that adhere to 3 crucial assumptions: (1) IVs selected should exhibit a strong association with immunocytes (or polymyositis in reverse MR analysis); (2) there should be no association between IVs and the occurrence of the outcome or any other potential confounders linking immunocytes and polymyositis; and (3) IVs should not affect the outcome by means of paths other than exposure.^[16] Our research followed the STROBE principle (Supplementary File 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/ N813). The overall design is depicted in Figure 1.

2.2. Sources of GWAS data for polymyositis and immunocyte phenotypes

The investigation on immunocytes in this study drew upon GWAS data, encompassing more than 20 million variants across 731 immunocyte characteristics observed in 3757 individuals from Sardinia.^[17] Flow cytometry was employed to analyze the relative counts of 539 immune traits, encompassing 118 absolute cell counts (AC), 389 median fluorescence intensity values of surface antigens, and 32 morphological parameters. It also examined relative counts, comprising 192 levels of cells in peripheral blood using flow cytometry. The cell traits were classified into different panels within the database, including mature B cell panel, dendritic cell (DC) panel, mature T cell panel, monocyte panel, myeloid cell panel, TBNK cell panel (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and Treg panel. Genome-wide interpolation and adjustment for multiple tests were performed concerning the Sardinian sequence and Minimac software.

The GWAS statistics concerning polymyositis were derived from the FinnGen R10 database, encompassing 244 patients (150 females) and 399,355 healthy individuals. All patients met the diagnostic criteria for polymyosis in ICD-10M33.2, and the median age at the first event was 55.94 years old.

The GWAS statistical data on dermatomyositis comes from the FinnGen R11 database, which includes 459 patients (282 females) and 341,375 healthy participants. All patients met the diagnostic criteria for dermatomyositis in ICD-10M33, with a median age of 56.07 years at first onset.

Panel	Exposure	ID	nsnp		OR(95%CI)	Pval
B cell	IgD- CD38dim AC	ebi-a-GCST90001438	17		0.63(0.446 to 0.898)	0.010
cDC	CD11c on granulocyte	ebi-a-GCST90002090	22	HEH I	0.69(0.543 to 0.877)	0.002
cDC	CD80 on CD62L+ myeloid DC	ebi-a-GCST90002036	26	HEH	0.82(0.719 to 0.942)	0.005
Maturation stages of T cell	CD8 on EM CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002056	24	HEH .	0.71(0.554 to 0.905)	0.006
Maturation stages of T cell	CD45RA- CD4+ %CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90001535	30	+=-	0.82(0.669 to 0.999)	0.049
Monocyte	CD40 on monocytes	ebi-a-GCST90001985	29	HER	0.90(0.813 to 0.987)	0.026
TBNK	CD45 on CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90001916	13 ►		0.58(0.389 to 0.854)	0.006
TBNK	HLA DR+ NK AC	ebi-a-GCST90001648	17		0.71(0.536 to 0.943)	0.018
TBNK	SSC-A on HLA DR+ NK	ebi-a-GCST90002077	28	HE	0.84(0.716 to 0.989)	0.036
Treg	CD8 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002118	13		0.67(0.468 to 0.954)	0.026
B cell	CD27 on IgD- CD38dim	ebi-a-GCST90001804	31		1.16(1.011 to 1.334)	0.034
B cell	PB/PC %B cell	ebi-a-GCST90001404	26		1.23(1.003 to 1.512)	0.047
B cell	CD19 on sw mem	ebi-a-GCST90001740	27		1.36(1.041 to 1.771)	0.024
B cell	Unsw mem AC	ebi-a-GCST90001398	20		1.40(1.043 to 1.871)	0.025
B cell	CD38 on IgD+ CD24-	ebi-a-GCST90001811	16		1.55(1.094 to 2.190)	0.014
cDC	CD86+ myeloid DC AC	ebi-a-GCST90001464	21		1.18(1.008 to 1.386)	0.039
Maturation stages of T cell	CD8 on naive CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002055	16		1.37(1.001 to 1.870)	0.050
Myeloid cell	CD33dim HLA DR+ CD11b- %CD33dim HLA DR+	ebi-a-GCST90001528	24	HEH	1.15(1.045 to 1.263)	0.004
Myeloid cell	CD14 on CD33dim HLA DR+ CD11b+	ebi-a-GCST90002021	19		1.24(1.007 to 1.537)	0.043
Myeloid cell	CD33- HLA DR- AC	ebi-a-GCST90001522	21		1.39(1.130 to 1.710)	0.002
TBNK	FSC-A on NKT	ebi-a-GCST90001976	20		1.48(1.100 to 1.980)	0.009
Treg	CD45RA on resting Treg	ebi-a-GCST90002102	29	HEH	1.16(1.047 to 1.281)	0.004
Treg	CD39+ CD8br AC	ebi-a-GCST90001672	24		1.21(1.008 to 1.458)	0.041
Treg	CD4 on CD39+ CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90002061	27		1.32(1.055 to 1.641)	0.015
Treg	CD28 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90001893	17		1.43(1.010 to 2.024)	0.044
Treg	CD28- CD8br AC	ebi-a-GCST90001687	14		2.20(1.383 to 3.487)	0.001
Pval<0.05 was considered	statistically significant.		0 0	.5 1 1.5 2 2	5	

Figure 2. Forest plot showed the causal effects of immunocytes on polymyositis. Panel = the included immunocytes were divided into different panels, including B cell panel (mature B cell panel), cDC panel (dendritic cell panel), maturation stages of T cell panel, monocyte panel (single-cell panel), myeloid cell panel, TBNK panel (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and Treg panel; Exposure = the specific types of immunocytes; ID = specific types of immunocytes numbered in the database; nsnp = the number of SNPs included.

2.3. Screening of IVs

IVs were considered significant if their association with each phenotype reached a threshold of 1×10^{-5} , while adhering to corresponding linkage disequilibrium arguments of $r^2 < 0.001$ and kb = 10,000.^[18] The LD Trait Tool on the LDlink website was utilized to validate the inclusion of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) loci and to exclude potential confounders associated with the SNPs, such as estrogen receptor + breast cancer.^[19] Additionally, palindromic SNPs were excluded, and the significance of IVs was further confirmed by selecting SNPs with F-statistics exceeding 10.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The TwoSampleMR package in R 4.3.2 conducted our analysis, employing 5 common methods: inverse variance weighted (IVW),^[20] weighted median, simple mode,^[21] weighted mode,^[22] and MR-Egger regression, with IVW as the major analytical method supplemented by others. Sensitivity analyses were performed to address potential pleiotropy.

Heterogeneity was assessed using IVW(Q) and MR-Egger(Q), while horizontal pleiotropy was explored through the intercept measurement of MR-Egger.^[23] The MR pleiotropic residuals and outliers method^[24] (NbDistribution = 10,000) was employed to identify potential outliers in MR analysis.^[23] After outlier removal, heterogeneity was reassessed, and the influence of each SNP on the general outcomes was evaluated using leave-one-out analysis. *P* < .05 was considered statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Causal effects of immunocytes on polymyositis

In this investigation, the GWAS data of 731 immunocyte phenotypes were screened for IVs, all exhibiting F-statistics exceeding 10, thus eliminating concerns regarding weak instrumental variable bias. Detailed information about the SNPs identified as positive IVs is provided in Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N816

Figure 2 illustrates the results obtained through the genetically predicted IVW method for 7 categories of immune cells about polymyositis. Notably, 10 immune cell traits demonstrated protective roles in the occurrence of polymyositis (OR < 1, P < .05): B cell panel: IgD- CD38dim AC; cDC panel: CD11c on granulocyte and CD80 on CD62L+ myeloid DC; maturation stages of T cell panel: CD8 on effector memory CD8+ T cell and CD45RA-CD4+ %CD4+; monocyte panel: CD40 on monocytes; TBNK panel: CD45 on CD4+, HLA-DR+ NK AC, and SSC-A on HLA-DR+ NK; Treg panel: CD8 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8+ T cell. While 16 traits exhibited a correlation with increased polymyositis development (OR > 1, P < .05): B cell panel: CD27 on IgD- CD38dim, PB/PC %B cell, CD19 on switched memory B cell, unswitched memory B cell AC, and CD38 on IgD+ CD24-; cDC panel: CD86+ myeloid DC AC; maturation stages of T cell panel: CD8 on naive CD8+ T cell; myeloid cell panel: CD33dim HLA-DR+, CD11b- %CD33dim HLA-DR+, CD14 on CD33dim HLA-DR+ CD11b+, and CD33- HLA-DR- AC; TBNK panel: FSC-A on NKT; Treg panel: CD45RA on resting Treg, CD39+ CD8+ T cell AC, CD4 on CD39+ CD4+, CD28 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8+ T cell, and CD28- CD8+ T cell AC. Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww. com/MD/N816 presents the outcomes derived from 5 MR analysis methods, and Supplementary File 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N814 includes scatter plots corresponding to the 26 data points above.

Among the positive results mentioned above, 14 immune cell phenotypes showed the same causal relationship in dermatomyositis (Fig. 3). In detail, CD80 on CD62L+ myeloid DC in the cDC panel, CD40 on monocytes in the monocyte panel, CD45 on CD4+, HLA-DR+ NK AC, and SSC-A on HLA DR+

Panel	Exposure	ID	nsnp		OR(95%CI)	Pval
B cell	IgD- CD38dim AC	ebi-a-GCST90001438	17	H=	0.79(0.611 to 1.021)	0.072
cDC	CD11c on granulocyte	ebi-a-GCST90002090	22	HEH	0.84(0.705 to 1.009)	0.062
cDC	CD80 on CD62L+ myeloid DC	ebi-a-GCST90002036	26	HER	0.89(0.797 to 0.995)	0.041
Maturation stages of T cell	CD8 on EM CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002056	24	H	0.87(0.718 to 1.061)	0.172
Maturation stages of T cell	CD45RA- CD4+ %CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90001535	30	Here a	0.89(0.765 to 1.026)	0.106
Monocyte	CD40 on monocytes	ebi-a-GCST90001985	29	HILH	0.90(0.842 to 0.973)	0.007
TBNK	CD45 on CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90001916	13 <	+= 1	0.64(0.459 to 0.886)	0.007
TBNK	HLA DR+ NK AC	ebi-a-GCST90001648	18		0.79(0.645 to 0.966)	0.022
TBNK	SSC-A on HLA DR+ NK	ebi-a-GCST90002077	28	HE	0.89(0.793 to 0.996)	0.042
Treg	CD8 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002118	14		0.80(0.607 to 1.050)	0.107
B cell	CD27 on IgD- CD38dim	ebi-a-GCST90001804	31	HEH .	1.13(1.025 to 1.238)	0.013
B cell	PB/PC %B cell	ebi-a-GCST90001404	26	elere	1.06(0.932 to 1.200)	0.384
B cell	CD19 on sw mem	ebi-a-GCST90001740	27		1.15(0.959 to 1.383)	0.131
B cell	Unsw mem AC	ebi-a-GCST90001398	20		1.26(1.019 to 1.560)	0.033
B cell	CD38 on IgD+ CD24-	ebi-a-GCST90001811	17		1.26(1.001 to 1.579)	0.049
cDC	CD86+ myeloid DC AC	ebi-a-GCST90001464	21	HEH	1.19(1.058 to 1.335)	0.004
Maturation stages of T cell	CD8 on naive CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90002055	16		1.08(0.845 to 1.391)	0.525
Myeloid cell	CD33dim HLA DR+ CD11b- %CD33dim HLA DR+	ebi-a-GCST90001528	24	1994	1.07(0.978 to 1.164)	0.145
Myeloid cell	CD14 on CD33dim HLA DR+ CD11b+	ebi-a-GCST90002021	19	→ ■→→	1.18(0.977 to 1.422)	0.086
Myeloid cell	CD33- HLA DR- AC	ebi-a-GCST90001522	21		1.22(1.049 to 1.419)	0.010
TBNK	FSC-A on NKT	ebi-a-GCST90001976	20		1.28(1.030 to 1.583)	0.026
Treg	CD45RA on resting Treg	ebi-a-GCST90002102	29	- 10-1	1.09(1.009 to 1.185)	0.030
Treg	CD39+ CD8br AC	ebi-a-GCST90001672	24	→ =→	1.17(1.023 to 1.336)	0.022
Treg	CD4 on CD39+ CD4+	ebi-a-GCST90002061	27		1.22(1.051 to 1.426)	0.009
Treg	CD28 on CD28+ CD45RA- CD8br	ebi-a-GCST90001893	17		1.26(0.974 to 1.620)	0.079
Treg	CD28- CD8br AC	ebi-a-GCST90001687	13		1.37(0.972 to 1.929)	0.073
Pval<0.05 was considered	statistically significant.	÷	0.	5 1 1.5 2 2.	5	

Figure 3. Forest plot showed the causal effects of immunocytes on dermatomyositis. Panel = the included immunocytes were divided into different panels, including B cell panel (mature B cell panel), cDC panel (dendritic cell panel), maturation stages of T cell panel, monocyte panel (single-cell panel), myeloid cell panel, TBNK panel (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and Treg panel; Exposure = the specific types of immunocytes; ID = specific types of immunocytes numbered in the database; nsnp = the number of SNPs included.

NK in the TBNK panel have protective effects on both diseases. However, CD27 on IgD- CD38dim, unswitched memory B cell AC, and CD38 on IgD+ CD24- in B cell panel, CD86+ myeloid DC AC in cDC panel, CD33- HLA-DR- AC in myeloid cell panel, FSC- A on NKT in TBNK panel, CD45RA on resting Treg, CD39+ CD8+ T cell AC, and CD4 on CD39+ CD4+ in Treg panel showed increased risks of developing both diseases.

3.2. Causal effects of polymyositis on immunocytes

Applying identical criteria, we incorporated 6 qualified SNPs connected with polymyositis as exposure IVs. Explicit information concerning eligible SNPs is available in Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N816.

Figure 4 illustrates the outcomes obtained through the genetically predicted IVW method regarding the impact of polymyositis on 7 categories of immune cells. Specifically, polymyositis demonstrated the capacity to decrease the levels of 2 immune cell types (OR < 1, P < .05): B cell panel: CD28 on secreting CD4 regulatory T cell and myeloid cell panel: CD45 on CD33-HLA-DR-. However, polymyositis can simultaneously elevate the levels of another 5 immunocytes (OR > 1, P < .05): myeloid cell panel: CD45 on CD33- HLA-DR-, CD20 on IgD- CD38+ B cell, and HLA DR+ CD8+ T cell %lymphocyte; TBNK panel: HLA-DR+ CD8+ T cell %T cell and CD11b on CD33dim HLA DR-; Treg panel: HLA-DR on CD33- HLA DR+. After applying Bonferroni correction, none of the results achieved statistical significance. Table S4, Supplemental Digital Content, http:// links.lww.com/MD/N816 presents the results derived from 5 MR analysis methods, with Supplementary File 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N815 containing scatter plots corresponding to the aforementioned 7 data points.

Following the criteria, 3 qualified SNPs associated with dermatomyositis were included as exposure IVs (Table S5,

Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/ N816). We found that both polymyositis and dermatomyositis can reduce the expression of CD28 on secreting CD4 regulatory T cell in B cell panel (Fig. 5).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses showed no heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy for all positive results, confirming the credibility of causally robust findings (Tables S6–S9, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N816). Additionally, both the leave-one-out and funnel plots consistently suggested the reliability of the data (Supplementary Files 2 and 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/N814 http://links.lww.com/MD/N815).

4. Discussion

Our study examined the causality between 731 immunocyte phenotypes and polymyositis using bidirectional MR analysis. Our findings revealed evidence suggesting the influence of 26 immunocytes on the pathogenesis of polymyositis, accompanying the abnormal production of 7 immune cells in the blood associated with polymyositis. 15 immunocytes have the same causal relationship between polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Besides, we discovered complex participation of immune cell markers CD8+ Tcell, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45 in polymyositis.

Our results indicated that 10 immune cell phenotypes exhibit a protective effect against polymyositis, while 16 are associated with increased risk. Among these, CD11c on granulocytes, CD40 on monocytes, and HLA-DR+ NK AC demonstrated protective effects against polymyositis. The constitutive activation

Panel	Outcome	ID	nsnp		OR(95%CI)	Pval
B cell	CD28 on secreting CD4 regulatory T cell	ebi-a-GCST90001887	6	1	0.95(0.916 to 0.991)	0.017
Myeloid cell	CD45 on CD33- HLA DR-	ebi-a-GCST90002045	6		0.93(0.874 to 0.984)	0.013
Myeloid cell	CD20 on IgD- CD38+ B cell	ebi-a-GCST90001756	6		1.07(1.010 to 1.140)	0.022
Myeloid cell	HLA DR+ CD8+ T cell %lymphocyte	ebi-a-GCST90001629	6		1.07(1.006 to 1.133)	0.030
TBNK	HLA DR+ CD8+ T cell %T cell	ebi-a-GCST90001628	6		1.05(1.008 to 1.087)	0.019
TBNK	CD11b on CD33dim HLA DR-	ebi-a-GCST90002095	6		1.05(1.007 to 1.085)	0.021
Treg	HLA DR on CD33- HLA DR+	ebi-a-GCST90002112	6		1.06(1.012 to 1.102)	0.013
Pval<0.05 was	s considered statistically significant.		0.9	1 1.1 1.	.2	
			<pre> protective factor </pre>	→ risk factor		

Figure 4. Forest plot showed the causal effects of polymyositis on immunocytes. Panel = the included immunocytes were divided into different panels, including B cell panel (mature B cell panel), cDC panel (dendritic cell panel), maturation stages of T cell panel, monocyte panel (single-cell panel), myeloid cell panel, TBNK panel (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and Treg panel; Outcome = the specific types of immunocytes; ID = specific types of immunocytes numbered in the database; nsnp = the number of SNPs included.

Panel	Outcome	ID	nsn	p	OR(95%Cl)	Pval
B cell	CD28 on secreting CD4 regulatory T cell	ebi-a-GCST90001887	3		0.92(0.865 to 0.983)	0.012
Myeloid cell	CD45 on CD33- HLA DR-	ebi-a-GCST90002045	3	⊢	1.01(0.924 to 1.094)	0.898
Myeloid cell	CD20 on IgD- CD38+ B cell	ebi-a-GCST90001756	3		1.01(0.932 to 1.104)	0.745
Myeloid cell	HLA DR+ CD8+ T cell %lymphocyte	ebi-a-GCST90001629	3		0.93(0.821 to 1.061)	0.291
TBNK	HLA DR+ CD8+ T cell %T cell	ebi-a-GCST90001628	3		0.94(0.821 to 1.070)	0.340
TBNK	CD11b on CD33dim HLA DR-	ebi-a-GCST90002095	3	► •	→ 1.04(0.894 to 1.212)	0.604
Treg	HLA DR on CD33- HLA DR+	ebi-a-GCST90002112	3		0.90(0.790 to 1.028)	0.123
Pval<0.05 wa	s considered statistically significant.			0.8 0.9 1 1.1	1.2	

Figure 5. Forest plot showed the causal effects of dermatomyositis on immunocytes. Panel = the included immunocytes were divided into different panels, including B cell panel (mature B cell panel), cDC panel (dendritic cell panel), maturation stages of T cell panel, monocyte panel (single-cell panel), myeloid cell panel, TBNK panel (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and Treg panel; Outcome = the specific types of immunocytes; ID = specific types of immunocytes numbered in the database; nsnp = the number of SNPs included.

of CD11c advances neutrophil maturation and its release under stable-state conditions.^[25] CD40 signaling speeds up T lymphocyte-dependent B cell multiplication and immunoglobulin isotype switching.^[26] Additionally, CD40 ligation on monocytes and dendritic cells enhances their survival, cytokine and enzyme secretion, monocyte tumoricidal activity, and nitric oxide synthesis.^[27] Furthermore, the interaction connecting CD40 and its ligand has significant implications for the function of antigenpresenting cells as well as T cells.^[28] HLA-DR+ NK cells are capable of producing proinflammatory cytokines, degranulating, and proliferating easily in reply to stimuli.^[29] The protective immune cell phenotype may exert its effects through these aforementioned mechanisms. Several immune cell phenotypes exhibited the ability to increase the risk of polymyositis, such as PB/PC %B cells, CD86+ myeloid DCs, and CD28 on Treg cells. The proportion of B cells in peripheral blood and spleen is expressed as PB/PC %B cells, it participates in immune regulation and controls the immune response intensity.^[30] Dendritic cells (DCs), particularly myeloid DCs, play a crucial role in T cell function and the connection between congenital and adaptive immunity. Plasmacytoid DCs circulating display an unformed phenotype featured with low CD86 expression, while myeloid DCs notably rise throughout chronic infection.^[31] Muscle infiltrates in polymyositis patients are mostly CD28null T cells,^[32] which exhibit cytotoxicity to autologous muscle cells.^[33] Additionally, the increase of CD8+ CD28 circulating T cells is characteristic of systemic inflammation in myositic dermatomyositis.[34]

We observed through reverse MR analysis that polymyositis can cause abnormalities in the production of 7 types of immune cells, with 2 showing decreases and 5 showing increases. For example, we noted a downregulation of CD28 expression on secreting CD4 regulatory T cells and an upregulation of CD20 on IgD- CD38+ B cells. CD28 is essential in the generation of regulatory T cells in the periphery, controlling their differentiation from CD4 non-Tregs via IL-2 production.^[35] On the other hand, CD20, by associating with the B cell receptor,^[36] functions as an ion channel,^[37] and may trigger intracellular signaling pathways resulting in cell cycle arrest, homotypic adhesion, apoptosis, or even lysosome-mediated cell death.^[38-41] Rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody targeting CD20, has been utilized in treating refractory inflammatory myopathies,^[42] yet the precise mechanism of its action warrants further investigation.

Furthermore, our study reveals the possible complex involvement of CD8+ T cell, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45 in polymyositis. Notably, an elevated presence of CD8 on naive CD8+ T cell is linked to an increased risk of polymyositis, whereas CD8 on Effector Memory CD8+ T cell demonstrates a protective effect against the disease. CD8+ T cells act as an essential part of adaptive immunity, engaging in the combat and regulation of various malignancies along with intracellular infections.^[43] Naive CD8+ T cells' activation triggers a comprehensive program of proliferation and differentiation, vielding effector and memory CD8+ T cells.^[44] Effector memory T cells, characterized by immediate effector function,^[45] typically exhibit tissue-specific homing markers and integrins.^[46] In a steady state, it can enter nonlymphoid tissues through circulation,^[47] facilitating their recruitment to infection sites for rapid control.^[48] Studies have demonstrated that juvenile dermatomyositis syndrome is marked by a significant reduction in circulating CD8+ T cells, while these cells are notably infiltrated within interfascicular muscle tissue and around blood vessels.^[49] These infiltrating CD8+ T cells target major histocompatibility complex class I-expressing muscle cells by secreting cytotoxic effector molecules in conditions such as polymyositis and

inclusion-body myositis.^[50] These findings align to some extent with our results. Our research has identified potential roles for various CD8+ T cell subsets, including effector memory CD8+ T cells, CD8+ CD28+ CD45RA- T cells, naive CD8+ T cells, CD28+ CD45RA- CD8+ T cells, and CD28- CD8+ T cells in polymyositis, though the specific mechanisms underlying these roles remain to be further investigated. Moreover, CD33dim, HLA-DR, and CD11b represent progranulocytes, late myelocytes, and proto-single cells, respectively, derived from normal myeloid cell lines.^[51] HLA-DR expression in myofibers serves as a typical biomarker for anti-synthetase-related myopathy,[52] while in polymyositis, muscle fibers produce and express HLA-DR molecules, potentially contributing to inflammatory responses alongside lymphocytes.^[53] Immunohistochemical staining in experimental autoimmune myositis indicates muscle fiber infiltration involving CD11b+ cells.[54] Additionally, elevated mRNA levels of CD11b in blood neutrophils are observed in amyopathic dermatomyositis with active interstitial lung disease.^[55] Furthermore, CD45 molecules on CD4+ T cells for mankind are utilized as markers of naive and memory T cells, with CD45 isoform expression transitioning CD45RA into CD45RO upon sensitization with particular internal antigens, and the sensitivity of CD4+ T cells to multiply spur appears to be partly regulated by CD45 isoform expression.^[56] Previous clinical observations identified that patients with recurrent lung adenocarcinoma receiving treatment with combined monoclonal antibodies often experience progressive neuromuscular ventilation deficits. This condition is characterized by atrophy of type II muscle fibers and inflammatory myopathy, which involves a mixed infiltration of CD8+ and CD4+ lymphocytes, suggesting potential targets for lymphocyte infiltration in polymyositis.[57] The immune-mediated assault on skeletal muscle is primarily driven by CD8+ T cells, and CD4+ T cells also contribute to this process.^[58] Moreover, T cells lacking CD28, including both CD4+ and CD8+ subsets, have demonstrated cytotoxic effects on autologous muscle cells in patients with polymyositis.[33] Nevertheless, the precise mechanisms governing these interactions warrant further investigation. Considering these findings, CD8+ T cell, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45 may represent potential immune cell markers for polymyositis, likely exerting their effects through the aforementioned mechanisms. However, the specific underlying mechanism remains unclear, necessitating further exploration.

Additionally, we have identified several novel findings in polymyositis, including the potential roles of IgD- CD38dim B cells, CD80 on CD62L+ myeloid dendritic cells, SSC-A on HLA DR+ natural killer cells, CD27 on IgD- CD38dim B cells, plasma blast–plasma cell %B cells, CD19 on switched memory B cells, unswitched memory B cells, CD38 on IgD+ CD24- B cells, CD14 on CD33dim HLA-DR+ CD11b+ cells, FSC-A on natural killer cells, CD39+ CD8+ T cell absolute count, and CD4 on CD39+ CD4+ T cells. These findings have not been previously reported and require further validation in clinical practice.

This study utilized bidirectional two-sample MR analyses, leveraging a substantial cohort from genomic studies characterized by robust statistical power and ample sample sizes. Our conclusions stem from a thorough exploration of genetic-level causal relationships, employing multiple MR analyses for both causal inference and result validation. As a result, the study's findings maintain their robustness, remaining impervious to the influences of horizontal pleiotropy and confounding factors.

Nevertheless, our study encounters certain limitations. Primarily, our selection of IVs was predicated on a significance level of $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$, potentially incorporating IVs with weaker correlations albeit facilitating a more extensive evaluation of the connection between immunocyte phenotypes and polymyositis. Additionally, our dataset predominantly comprised European populations, prompting questions about the generalizability of our findings to other regional demographics and thereby constraining the applicability of our results. Furthermore, while we

employed the Q test and Egger intercept to statistically assess and mitigate heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy, these methods do not offer absolute assurance of their absence in a clinical context. In addition, although our research results did not reach a significant level after Bonferroni correction, due to numerous classifications (731 immunocytes), this method also has the drawback of being too conservative, which may result in many "real" effects not being discovered.^[59] Therefore, our results provide a more comprehensive picture of the potential immune cells associated with polymyositis. Ultimately, comprehensive clinical trials are indispensable for elucidating the intricate causality between individual immunocytes and polymyositis, alongside their underlying mechanisms of influence.

5. Conclusion

Our research signified a causal link between various immunocytes and polymyositis utilizing a synthetical bidirectional two-sample MR analysis. This underscores the intricate interplay between the immunocyte phenotypes and polymyositis, in which CD8, CD33dim, HLA-DR, CD11b, and CD45 may represent potential immune cell markers for polymyositis, paving the way for novel avenues of research into the biological mechanisms of polymyositis and facilitating disease prevention and early treatment.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to all participants in the IEU Open GWAS Project investigations, and the academic researchers for offering the publicly available data.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Ni Yang, Xianghua Qi. Data curation: Xing Qian. Formal analysis: Ni Yang, Chang Li. Investigation: Chang Li, Ruhui Liu. Software: Ni Yang, Chang Li. Validation: Ni Yang. Writing – original draft: Ni Yang. Writing – review & editing: Chang Li, Xing Qian.

References

- Stenzel W, Goebel HH, Aronica E. Review: immune-mediated necrotizing myopathies--a heterogeneous group of diseases with specific myopathological features. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2012;38:632–46.
- [2] Dalakas MC. Polymyositis, dermatomyositis, and inclusion-body myositis. N Engl J Med. 1991;325:1487–98.
- [3] Angum F, Khan T, Kaler J, Siddiqui L, Hussain A. The prevalence of autoimmune disorders in women: a narrative review. Cureus. 2020;12:e8094.
- [4] Van der Meulen MFG, Bronner IM, Hoogendijk JE, et al. Polymyositis: an overdiagnosed entity. Neurology. 2003;61:316–21.
- [5] Venalis P, Lundberg IE. Immune mechanisms in polymyositis and dermatomyositis and potential targets for therapy. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2014;53:397–405.
- [6] Sasaki H, Kohsaka H. Current diagnosis and treatment of polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Mod Rheumatol. 2018;28:913–21.
- [7] Engel AG, Arahata K. Monoclonal antibody analysis of mononuclear cells in myopathies. II: Phenotypes of autoinvasive cells in polymyositis and inclusion body myositis. Ann Neurol. 1984;16:209–15.
- [8] Kumar S, Kumar N, Panda PK, Jandrasupalli KK. Burkholderiaassociated polymyositis. BMJ Case Rep. 2024;17:e255782–3.
- [9] Qin L, Lin H, Zhang G, et al. Screening and validation of differentially expressed genes in polymyositis. Heliyon. 2024;10:e24537.
- [10] Hengstman GJD, Brouwer R, Vree Egberts WTM, et al. Clinical and serological characteristics of 125 Dutch myositis patients. Myositis specific autoantibodies aid in the differential diagnosis of the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. J Neurol. 2002;249:69–75.

- [11] Marguerie C, Bunn CC, Beynon HLC, et al. Polymyositis, pulmonary fibrosis and autoantibodies to aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase enzymes. Q J Med. 1990;77:1019–38.
- [12] Breeze CE, Haugen E, Reynolds A, et al. Integrative analysis of 3604 GWAS reveals multiple novel cell type-specific regulatory associations. Genome Biol. 2022;23:13.
- [13] Sekula P, Del Greco FM, Pattaro C, Köttgen A. Mendelian randomization as an approach to assess causality using observational data. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27:3253.
- [14] Weith M, Beyer A. The next step in Mendelian randomization. Elife. 2023;12:e86416.
- [15] Findlay AR, Goyal NA, Mozaffar T. An overview of polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Muscle Nerve. 2015;51:638–56.
- [16] Zheng J, Baird D, Borges MC, et al. Recent developments in mendelian randomization studies. Curr Epidemiol Rep. 2017;4:330–45.
- [17] Orrù V, Steri M, Sidore C, et al. Complex genetic signatures in immune cells underlie autoimmunity and inform therapy. Nat Genet. 2020;52:1036–45.
- [18] Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015;526:68.
- [19] Xu YC, Wang JX, Chu YR, et al. Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue and risk of breast cancer: Mendelian randomization study in European and East Asian populations. Front Oncol. 2023;13:1254132.
- [20] Burgess S, Small DS, Thompson SG. A review of instrumental variable estimators for Mendelian randomization. Stat Methods Med Res. 2017;26:2333–55.
- [21] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016;40:304–14.
- [22] Hartwig FP, Smith GD, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46:1985.
- [23] Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. Eur J Epidemiol. 2017;32:377–89.
- [24] Ong JS, MacGregor S. Implementing MR-PRESSO and GCTA-GSMR for pleiotropy assessment in Mendelian randomization studies from a practitioner's perspective. Genet Epidemiol. 2019;43:609–16.
- [25] Hou L, Voit RA, Shibamura-Fujiogi M, et al. CD11c regulates neutrophil maturation. Blood Adv. 2023;7:1312–25.
- [26] van Kooten C, Banchereau J. Functional role of CD40 and its ligand. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 1997;113:393–9.
- [27] Stout RD, Suttles J. The many roles of CD40 in cell-mediated inflammatory responses. Immunol Today. 1996;17:487–92.
- [28] Van Kooten G, Banchereau J. CD40–CD40 ligand. J Leukoc Biol. 2000;67:2–17.
- [29] Erokhina SA, Streltsova MA, Kanevskiy LM, Grechikhina MV, Sapozhnikov AM, Kovalenko EI. HLA-DR-expressing NK cells: effective killers suspected for antigen presentation. J Leukoc Biol. 2021;109:327–37.
- [30] Zhou F, Du G, Xie J, et al. RyRs mediate lead-induced neurodegenerative disorders through calcium signaling pathways. Sci Total Environ. 2020;701:134901.
- [31] Malta FM, Bruno FR, Carvalho KI, et al. HCV viremia drives an increment of CD86 expression by myeloid dendritic cells. J Med Virol. 2013;85:1919–24.
- [32] Fasth AER, Dastmalchi M, Rahbar A, et al. T cell infiltrates in the muscles of patients with dermatomyositis and polymyositis are dominated by CD28null T cells. J Immunol. 2009;183:4792–9.
- [33] Pandya JM, Venalis P, Al-Khalili L, et al. CD4+ and CD8+ CD28(null) T Cells are cytotoxic to autologous muscle cells in patients with polymyositis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016;68:2016–26.
- [34] Cassius C, Branchtein M, Battistella M, et al. Increased CD8+CD28circulating T cells and high blood interferon score characterize the systemic inflammation of amyopathic dermatomyositis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2021;85:755–8.
- [35] Guo F, Iclozan C, Suh WK, Anasetti C, Yu XZ. CD28 controls differentiation of regulatory T cells from naive CD4 T cells. J Immunol. 2008;181:2285–91.
- [36] Walshe CA, Beers SA, French RR, et al. Induction of cytosolic calcium flux by CD20 is dependent upon B cell antigen receptor signaling. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:16971–84.

- [37] Bubien JK, Zhou LJ, Bell PD, Frizzell RA, Tedder TF. Transfection of the CD20 cell surface molecule into ectopic cell types generates a Ca2+ conductance found constitutively in B lymphocytes. J Cell Biol. 1993;121:1121–32.
- [38] Deans JP, Li H, Polyak MJ. CD20-mediated apoptosis: signalling through lipid rafts. Immunology. 2002;107:176–82.
- [39] Chan HTC, Hughes D, French RR, et al. CD20-induced lymphoma cell death is independent of both caspases and its redistribution into triton X-100 insoluble membrane rafts. Cancer Res. 2003;63:5480–9.
- [40] Cragg MS, Glennie MJ. Antibody specificity controls in vivo effector mechanisms of anti-CD20 reagents. Blood. 2004;103:2738–43.
- [41] Ivanov A, Beers SA, Walshe CA, et al. Monoclonal antibodies directed to CD20 and HLA-DR can elicit homotypic adhesion followed by lysosome-mediated cell death in human lymphoma and leukemia cells. J Clin Invest. 2009;119:2143–59.
- [42] Barsotti S, Cioffi E, Tripoli A, et al. The use of rituximab in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: description of a monocentric cohort and review of the literature. Reumatismo. 2018;70:78–84.
- [43] Kaech SM, Ahmed R. Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation: initial antigen encounter triggers a developmental program in naïve cells. Nat Immunol. 2001;2:415–22.
- [44] Van Stipdonk MJB, Lemmens EE, Schoenberger SP. Naïve CTLs require a single brief period of antigenic stimulation for clonal expansion and differentiation. Nat Immunol. 2001;2:423–9.
- [45] Marshall DR, Turner SJ, Belz GT, et al. Measuring the diaspora for virus-specific CD8+ T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001;98:6313–8.
- [46] Masopust D, Vezys V, Marzo AL, Lefrançois L. Preferential localization of effector memory cells in nonlymphoid tissue. Science. 2001;291:845–9.
- [47] Wakim LM, Gebhardt T, Heath WR, Carbone FR. Cutting edge: local recall responses by memory T cells newly recruited to peripheral nonlymphoid tissues. J Immunol. 2008;181:5837–41.
- [48] Turner SJ, Bennett TJ, La Gruta NL. CD8+ T-Cell Memory: the why, the when, and the how. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2021;13: a038661.
- [49] Mizuno K, Yachie A, Nagaoki S, et al. Oligoclonal expansion of circulating and tissue-infiltrating CD8+ T Cells with killer/effector phenotypes in juvenile dermatomyositis syndrome. Clin Exp Immunol. 2004;137:187–94.
- [50] Huang PL, Hou MS, Wang SW, Chang CL, Liou YH, Liao NS. Skeletal muscle interleukin 15 promotes CD8+ T-cell function and autoimmune myositis. Skelet Muscle. 2015;5:33.
- [51] Fei Y, Yu H, Wu Y, Gong S. The causal relationship between immune cells and ankylosing spondylitis: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2024;26:24.
- [52] Aouizerate J, De Antonio M, Bassez G, et al. Myofiber HLA-DR expression is a distinctive biomarker for antisynthetase-associated myopathy. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2014;2:154.
- [53] Inukai A, Kuru S, Liang Y, et al. Expression of HLA-DR and its enhancing molecules in muscle fibers in polymyositis. Muscle Nerve. 2000;23:385–92.
- [54] Kojima T, Tanuma N, Aikawa Y, Shin T, Sasaki A, Matsumoto Y. Myosin-induced autoimmune polymyositis in the rat. J Neurol Sci. 1997;151:141–8.
- [55] Zou J, Chen J, Yan Q, Guo Q, Bao C. Serum IL8 and mRNA level of CD11b in circulating neutrophils are increased in clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis with active interstitial lung disease. Clin Rheumatol. 2016;35:117–25.
- [56] Seki I, Suzuki M, Miyasaka N, Kohsaka H. Expression of CD45 isoforms correlates with differential proliferative responses of peripheral CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Immunol Lett. 2010;129:39–46.
- [57] John S, Antonia SJ, Rose TA, et al. Progressive hypoventilation due to mixed CD8+ and CD4+ lymphocytic polymyositis following tremelimumab-durvalumab treatment. J Immuno Ther Cancer. 2017; 5:54.
- [58] Waclawik AJ, Fadic R, Lotz BP, et al. CD8 and CD4 T cellmediated polymyositis complicating the HTLV-1 associated myelopathy. Quantitative evaluation of corticosteroid treatment. Acta Neurol Scand. 1996;94:115–9.
- [59] Armstrong RA. When to use the Bonferroni correction. Ophthalmic Physiol Optics. 2014;34:502–8.