WJG

World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2024 October 21; 30(39): 4281-4294

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i39.4281

Novelty: Grade B, Grade B, Grade

Creativity or Innovation: Grade B,

Scientific Significance: Grade A,

P-Reviewer: Kumar R; Yang L

Revised: September 13, 2024

Accepted: September 20, 2024

Published online: October 21, 2024

Processing time: 155 Days and 9.8

Grade B, Grade C

Grade B, Grade B

Received: May 9, 2024

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Comparison of clinical characteristics and prognostic factors in two site-specific categories of ampullary cancer

Jing-Zhao Zhang, Zhi-Wei Zhang, Xin-Yi Guo, Deng-Sheng Zhu, Xiao-Rui Huang, Ming Cai, Tong Guo, Ya-Hong Yu

Jing-Zhao Zhang, Zhi-Wei Zhang, Xin-Yi Guo, Deng-Sheng Zhu, Xiao-Rui Huang, Ming Cai, Tong Specialty type: Gastroenterology Guo, Ya-Hong Yu, Department of Biliopancreatic Surgery, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical and hepatology College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430000, Hubei Province, Provenance and peer review: China Unsolicited article; Externally peer Co-first authors: Jing-Zhao Zhang and Zhi-Wei Zhang. reviewed. Co-corresponding authors: Tong Guo and Ya-Hong Yu. Peer-review model: Single blind Corresponding author: Ya-Hong Yu, MD, Professor, Department of Biliopancreatic Surgery, Peer-review report's classification Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Scientific Quality: Grade B, Grade No. 1095 Jie Fang Avenue, Wuhan 430000, Hubei Province, China. yuyahong615@sina.com C, Grade C

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Ampullary cancer is a relatively rare malignant tumor in the digestive system. Its incidence has increased in recent years. As for now, its biological characteristics have not been fully clarified. Recent studies have primarily focused on the histological classification and genetic changes, but there are fewer investigations into the differences among site-specific subgroups. The clinicopathological characteristics of ampullary cancer occurring in different positions have not been elucidated. Furthermore, the role of adjuvant therapy in the treatment of patients with ampullary cancer remains controversial.

AIM

To study the clinicopathological features of the two site-specific subgroups of ampullary cancer and explore the factors affecting prognosis.

METHODS

A total of 356 patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled. Patients were divided into ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC) and duodenal papilla cancer (DPC) based on the gross and microscopic findings. Baseline data, admission examination results, and perioperative outcomes were collected and analyzed. The Kaplan-Meier curve was used for survival analysis. Univariate and multivariate analysis was performed to explore the independent risk factors affecting the overall survival (OS) of both groups.



Hours

С

RESULTS

The preoperative total bilirubin level in patients with AVC was significantly higher than those with DPC (P = 0.04). The OS for patients with DPC was 58.90 ± 38.74 months, significantly longer than 44.31 ± 35.90 months for patients with AVC (P < 0.01). The independent risk factors affecting the OS of AVC included: Preoperative albumin level (P = 0.009), total bilirubin level (P = 0.017), and number of positive lymph nodes (P = 0.005). For DPC, risk factors included: Age (P = 0.004), tumor size (P = 0.023), number of positive lymph nodes (P = 0.010) and adjuvant treatment (P = 0.020). Adjuvant therapy significantly improved the OS rate of patients with DPC, but not for those with AVC.

CONCLUSION

Patients with AVC had a shorter OS compared to those with DPC. The prognosis factors and the role of adjuvant therapy of two groups were different.

Key Words: Ampullary cancer; Prognosis; Risk factors; Overall survival; Adjuvant therapy

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Ampullary cancer research is crucial due to its increasing incidence. This study differentiates ampullary cancer types based on its primary site, showing that ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC) patients have shorter overall survival than duodenal papilla cancer (DPC) patients. Prognosis for AVC may be affected by serum albumin, total bilirubin, and positive lymph nodes. For DPC patients, age, tumor size, and lymph node positivity are linked to worse outcomes, with adjuvant therapy potentially improving prognosis. The findings offer doctors valuable insights for managing both cancer types.

Citation: Zhang JZ, Zhang ZW, Guo XY, Zhu DS, Huang XR, Cai M, Guo T, Yu YH. Comparison of clinical characteristics and prognostic factors in two site-specific categories of ampullary cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2024; 30(39): 4281-4294 **URL**: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i39/4281.htm **DOI**: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i39.4281

INTRODUCTION

The ampulla of Vater was first described in 1543 by Dr Wackwitz[1]. Cancer at this site is classified into distinct categories according to recently refined definitions: It qualifies as ampullary cancer if it is centered on the ampulla/major papilla and complies with, one of four recognized categories. On the contrary, it is deemed non-ampullary if more than 75% of the tumor mass is located beyond the ampulla[2]. There are obvious differences in the molecular biological characteristics of tumors originating from different areas around the ampulla[3,4]. Compared to cancers of the distal bile duct and pancreatic head, ampullary cancer typically affords a relatively satisfactory overall survival (OS) rate[5,6]. Despite of its low overall incidence which is less than 1% of all digestive system tumors[7-9], its prevalence has been increasing, posing a growing threat to public health[9].

Currently, for treatment for ampullary cancer, a comprehensive approach is typically used, including surgery, adjuvant therapy, neoadjuvant therapy and targeted therapy. Radical pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) is prescribed for non-metastatic cases[10]. Adjuvant regimens are mainly selected based on different histological subtypes; and pancreatobiliary type usually follows protocols for cholangiocarcinoma or pancreatic cancer, whereas intestinal type might be treated similarly to colon cancer. Survival rates vary markedly across different studies. For ampullary cancer, the fiveyear survival rate ranges from 10% to 75% in the localized stage and 4.7% in the metastatic stage[7,11,12]. To date, the specific clinicopathological and biological traits of ampullary cancer remain insufficiently understood, and standardized diagnosis and treatment guidelines have yet to be established.

According to previous refined definitions[2], ampullary cancer is divided into four types based on the primary site: Intra-ampullary papillary-tubular neoplasm with invasion (Intra-AMP), ampullary-ductal, periampullary-duodenal and ampullary-not otherwise specified (NOS). Clinical characteristics and long-term survival outcomes differ among these classes. This study aims to consolidate the Intra-AMP and ampullary-ductal categories under term "ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC)", while designating the remaining as "duodenal papilla cancer (DPC)". By analyzing the data from our center, we intend to provide more detailed insights into the clinicopathological characteristics and biological traits of site-specific ampullary cancer as well as prognosis factors in OS, thereby aiding in the optimization of clinical diagnostics and therapeutic strategies for ampullary cancer.

Zaishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 The flowchart of case selection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and data collection

A total of 1702 patients who underwent PD at the Department of Bilio-pancreatic Surgery, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, from October 2011 to April 2022, were retrospectively collected from the electronic medical record system. We excluded patients according to the following criteria: (1) Those with benign and malignant lesions at the distal bile duct, pancreas, and non-papillary parts of the duodenum; (2) Those with a history of malignant tumors in other parts of the body; (3) Those who died within 30 days after radical PD; (4) Those with missing key data or lost to follow-up; (5) Those with undetermined pathological results; and (6) Those with benign lesions of the ampulla and duodenal papilla. After the application of the exclusion criteria, a total of 356 patients diagnosed with ampullary cancer remained. They were subsequently categorized into two groups: 145 patients with AVC and 211 patients with DPC. The case selection process is depicted in Figure 1.

The collected data encompassed demographic characteristics, relevant preoperative laboratory test results, perioperative metrics, postoperative complications, use of adjuvant therapy, and OS of patients. Additional details obtained from postoperative pathology included tumor type, tumor size, status of surgical margin, TNM stage (according to the 8th edition American Joint Committee on Cancer), and the number of positive lymph nodes. The follow-up deadline was April 1, 2023, with OS calculated from the initial surgery date to the date of death from any cause.

Definition of AVC and DPC

Ampullary cancer was classified into four groups according to a previous study[2]. In our study, AVC, including Intra-AMP and ampullary-ductal groups from prior definitions, was identified when tumor tissue was mainly located in the ampullary duct or at the end of the common bile duct (CBD) and the opening of the pancreatic duct. DPC was defined as tumor tissue on the duodenal surface near or originating from the duodenal papilla, comprising two groups: Periampullary-duodenal and ampullary- NOS. All surgeries were performed by skilled surgeons, and pathology results were reviewed by seasoned senior pathologists. The preliminary differentiation between AVC and DPC is based on preoperative imaging examinations, such as abdominal enhanced computed tomography scans, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), in which EUS and ERCP can be used to clearly distinguish the type of cancer before surgery. The postoperative pathological examination is the gold standard to determine the type of ampullary cancer.

Zaishidene® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Calculation of relevant inflammatory indicators

Inflammatory markers, including the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and prognostic nutritional index (PNI) were derived with the formulas below: NLR = neutrophil count ($\times 10^{9}/L$)/lymphocyte count (× $10^{9}/L$). PLR = blood platelet count (× $10^{9}/L$)/lymphocyte count (× $10^{9}/L$). PNI = serum albumin (g/L) + 5 × lymphocyte count (× $10^{9}/L$).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD or median with interquartile range, according to their distribution. Qualitative variables were reported as frequencies and percentages. Categorical variables were compared according to the number of samples in the group using Pearson χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. For the comparison of continuous variables, independent sample t-tests or Kruskal-Wallis H tests were employed, depending on whether the samples adhered to a normal distribution. We considered P < 0.05 to be statistically significant. Survival outcomes regarding OS between categories were evaluated using the Kaplan Meier method and compared by the log-rank test. The receiver operating characteristic curve was used to calculate optimal cutoff values for predictors, transforming continuous variables into categorical variables in two groups. Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to estimate hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for OS, and variables with P < 0.05 were included in the multivariate Cox analysis. The method of mean interpolation was used to fill in missing values. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 29.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

RESULTS

Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics

The median age was 59 (range: 52-64) for patients with AVC, which was 58 (range: 52-64) for those with DPC. There was no statistical difference in age (P = 0.78) between the two groups. The sex distribution comprised 51.7% males (n = 75) and 48.3% females (n = 70) in the AVC group, and 50.2% males (n = 106) and 49.8% females (n = 105) in the DPC group, with no significant variance in sex ratios between the two cancers (P = 0.78). Preoperative data analysis revealed a notably higher bilirubin level in AVC patients upon admission compared to that in those with DPC (117.19 ± 112.85 vs 88.57 ± 93.8 μ mol/L, P= 0.04), while no statistically meaningful difference was found in neutrophil and lymphocyte counts, platelet count, albumin level, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists score. The inflammation markers calculated based on preoperative lab results NLR, PLR, and PNI revealed no significant statistical difference between the two conditions. These demographic and clinicopathological characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Perioperative outcomes

The average duration of surgery for the AVC group was 353.57 ± 998.74 minutes, slightly longer than 339.45 ± 107.36 minutes for the DPC group. Both groups showed comparable results regarding intraoperative blood loss and length of postoperative hospital stay. The majority of surgeries achieved negative surgical margins, with only 3 AVC patients and 2 DPC patients presenting with positive margins. The maximum tumor diameter and the number of positive lymph nodes were slightly higher in the DPC group compared to those in the AVC group (1.9 ± 1.71 cm vs 1.7 ± 1.5 cm; 0.82 ± 2.6 vs 0.62 ± 1.2 , respectively), yet these differences did not reach statistical significance (P= 0.59 and P = 0.83, respectively). Delayed gastric emptying, a significant postoperative complication, was observed in 62.8% of AVC patients and 57.8% of DPC patients. Other complications such as pancreatic fistula, postoperative bleeding, and infection occurred at relatively low frequencies, with no significant difference between groups. Approximately 20% of patients in both groups received postoperative adjuvant therapy, involving diverse regimens such as tegafur, gimeracil, oteracil potassium capsule (either as monotherapy or combination with oxaliplatin and gemcitabine), gemcitabine monotherapy or combination with capecitabine, albumin-paclitaxel, and oxaliplatin monotherapy. Remarkably, the OS time significantly differed between the groups, with DPC patients demonstrating a superior survival rate (58.90 ± 38.74 months) compared to AVC patients (44.31 ± 35.90 months). Detailed perioperative outcomes are presented in Table 2.

Kaplan-Meier survival curves

The survival analysis indicated that AVC patients had a lower OS rate compared to their DPC counterparts (Figure 2). The 5-year survival rate for patients with AVC was 63%, while the 5-year survival rate for those with DPC was higher, at 76%. Following surgical intervention, the average survival time for patients with AVC cancer was 93.6 months, compared to 107.5 months for patients with DPC. Overall, AVC presented a worse prognosis than DPC. Specifically, AVC patients with preoperative albumin levels > 38.45 g/L, preoperative total bilirubin levels \leq 28.4 µmol/L, and \leq 1 positive lymph node exhibited relatively improved OS (Figure 3). Conversely, DPC patients aged > 60 years, with tumor size > 2.35 cm, and \geq 2 lymph nodes, and those who did not receive adjuvant therapy, showed poorer OS (Figure 4).

Outcomes of univariate and multivariate analysis

The univariate analysis incorporated all potential factors affecting the OS of AVC and DPC patients. Significant factors for AVC included lymphocyte count (*P* = 0.048, HR = 1.812, 95% CI: 1.006-3.262), platelet count (*P* = 0.03, HR = 2.083, 95% CI: 1.074-4.039), preoperative albumin (P = 0.045, HR = 1.840, 95% CI: 1.015-3.336), total bilirubin level upon admission (P = 0.045, HR 0.035, HR = 0.440, 95%CI: 0.205-0.945), and the number of positive lymph nodes (P = 0.009, HR = 0.407, 95%CI: 0.208-



Table 1 Demographic data and clinical outcomes of ampulla of Vater cancer and duodenal papilla cancer, <i>n</i> (%)			
Variables	AVC (<i>n</i> = 145)	DPC (<i>n</i> = 211)	<i>P</i> value
Age, year	59 (52-64)	58 (52-64)	0.78
≤ 60	88 (60.7)	125 (59.2)	
> 60	57 (39.3)	86 (40.8)	
Sex			0.78
Male	75 (51.7)	106 (50.2)	
Female	70 (48.3)	105 (49.8)	
BMI, kg/m ²			0.50
< 18.5	11 (8.1)	24 (12.1)	
18.5-24	96 (71.1)	133 (67.2)	
≥ 24	28 (20.8)	41 (20.7)	
Diabetes			0.74
Yes	9 (6.2)	15 (7.1)	
No	136 (93.8)	196 (92.9)	
Neutrophil count, × 10 ⁹ /L	4.17 ± 2.3	4.15 ± 2.3	0.9
Lymphocyte count, × $10^9/L$	1.34 ± 0.4	1.36 ± 0.5	0.88
Blood platelet count, × $10^9/L$	259.89 ± 97.7	251.42 ± 90.8	0.28
Albumin, g/L	35.83 ± 4.80	36.46 ± 4.5	0.35
Tbil, μmol/L	117.19 ± 112.9	88.57 ± 93.8	0.04 ^a
ALT, U/L	138.34 ± 136.5	128.97 ± 109.9	0.77
AST, U/L	112.73 ± 110.1	100.39 ± 84.7	0.93
CA19-9, U/mL	433.35 ± 1405.4	499.65 ± 1807.2	0.61
NLR	3.49 ± 2.42	3.59 ± 3.28	0.86
PLR	215.32 ± 116	205.33 ± 103.43	0.55
PNI	41.99 ± 7.1	42.67 ± 7.34	0.39
ASA			0.10
Ι	12 (8.3)	26 (12.3)	
Ш	101 (69.7)	155 (73.5)	
III	32 (22.1)	30 (14.2)	

 $^{a}P < 0.05$

BMI: Body mass index; Tbil: Total bilirubin; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio; PNI: Prognostic nutritional index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; AVC: Ampulla of Vater cancer; DPC: Duodenal papilla cancer.

0.796). For DPC, statistically significant variables included age, preoperative lymphocyte count, preoperative albumin level, total bilirubin level upon admission, PLR, PNI, serum CA19-9 level, N stage, TNM stage in the 8th edition of AJCC, tumor size, the number of positive lymph nodes, and adjuvant therapy. Multivariate analysis, focusing on variables with P < 0.05, identified independent risk factors for AVC and DPC prognosis. For AVC, these were preoperative albumin level, admission bilirubin level upon admission, and the number of positive lymph nodes. For DPC, significant factors included age, tumor size, the number of positive lymph nodes, and adjuvant treatment. The outcomes of these analysis are detailed in Tables 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The accurate determination of a tumor's origin site is pivotal in selecting appropriate treatment strategies and in prognosis evaluations. The ampulla of Vater represents a complex anatomical area encompassing various compartments,



Saishideng® WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Zhang JZ et al. Comparison of two site-specific ampullary cancer

Table 2 Perioperative outcomes of ampulla of Vater cancer and duodenal papilla cancer, <i>n</i> (%)				
Variables	AVC (<i>n</i> = 145)	DPC (<i>n</i> = 211)	P value	
Duration of surgery, min	350 (290-420)	324.5 (256.3-413)	0.16	
Intraoperative blood loss, mL	275 (100-400)	275 (100-500)	0.76	
Postoperative hospital stay, day	22.32 ± 9.8	21.96 ± 9.5	0.72	
Surgical margin			0.67	
Negative	142 (97.9)	209 (99.1)		
Positive	3 (2.1)	2 (0.9)		
TNM stage			0.58	
Ι	68 (62.4)	126 (68.1)		
П	31 (28.4)	46 (24.9)		
Ш	10 (9.2)	13 (7.0)		
Tumor size, cm	1.7 ± 1.5	1.9 ± 1.7	0.59	
Number of positive lymph nodes			0.83	
≤1	118 (84.3)	166 (83.4)		
≥2	22 (15.7)	33 (16.6)		
Delayed gastric emptying			0.35	
Yes	91 (62.8)	122 (57.8)		
No	54 (37.2)	89 (42.2)		
Pancreas fistula			0.74	
Yes	43 (29.7)	66 (31.3)		
No	102 (70.3)	145 (68.7)		
Postoperative hemorrhage			0.67	
Yes	8 (5.5)	14 (6.6)		
No	137 (94.5)	197 (93.4)		
Postoperative infection			0.87	
Yes	5 (3.4)	8 (3.8)		
No	140 (96.6)	203 (96.2)		
Adjuvant therapy			0.72	
Yes	28 (19.3)	44 (20.9)		
No	117 (80.7)	167 (79.1)		
Overall survival, month	44.3 ± 35.9	58.9 ± 38.7	< 0.01 ^a	

 $^{a}P < 0.05.$

TNM: Tumor node metastasis; AVC: Ampulla of Vater cancer; DPC: Duodenal papilla cancer.

each lined with distinct types of epithelia and presenting a multifaceted environment. Despite their proximal locations, significant disparities exist in the biological properties and tumor behaviors among tumors emanating from different periampullary tissues. This differentiation holds even for tumors within the same organ, exemplified by the clinical-pathological and molecular variances observed between tumors in the pancreatic head *vs* those in the pancreatic body and tail[13,14]. Prior investigations have revealed that, in comparison to pancreatic head cancers, KRAS and SMAD4 genetic alterations were markedly more prevalent in pancreatic body/tail cancers, indicating a greater malignancy in the latter[15]. These insights underscored the significance of tumor location as a critical aspect of research endeavors. Vo *et al* [16] categorized ampullary tumors into four distinct groups, showcasing significant variations in tumor size, depth of invasion, and T stage. However, this classification scheme proves to be rather cumbersome for clinical application. Building upon this, we simplified the classification into two subtypes based on gross and microscopic observations: AVC and DPC, facilitating a comparative study utilizing data from our center.

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate Co	ox regression analysis of a	mpulla of Vater cancer		
Characteristics	Univariate		Multivariate	
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value
Sex (male/female)	1.079 (0.600-1.938)	0.800		
Age (≤ 60/> 60), year	0.877 (0.485-1.586)	0.664		
BMI, kg/m ²				
< 18.5		Reference		
18.5-24	1.256 (0.298-5.298)	0.756		
> 24	1.876 (0.414-8.494)	0.414		
Diabetes (yes/no)	1.048 (0.253-4.348)	0.949		
Neutrophil count (< 5.39/> 5.39), × 10^9 /L	2.434 (0.961-6.168)	0.061		
Lymphocyte count (< 1.21/> 1.21), × 10^9 /L	1.812 (1.006-3.262)	0.048 ^a	1.849 (0.969-3.529)	0.062
Blood platelet count (< 165/> 165), × 10^9 /L	2.083 (1.074-4.039)	0.030 ^a	1.791 (0.893-3.591)	0.101
Albumin (≤ 38.45/> 38.45), g/L	1.840 (1.015-3.336)	0.045 ^a	2.387 (1.247-4.569)	< 0.01 ^a
ALT (≤ 283/> 283), U/L	0.369 (0.114-1.192)	0.096		
AST (≤ 277/> 277), U/L	0.437 (0.106-1.803)	0.252		
Tbil ($\leq 28.4 /> 28.4$), µmol/L	0.440 (0.205-0.945)	0.035 ^a	0.343 (0.143-0.825)	0.017 ^a
NLR ($\leq 5.90 / > 5.90$)	0.181 (0.025-1.315)	0.091		
PLR (≤ 186.6/> 186.6)	0.737 (0.408-1.332)	0.312		
PNI (≤ 44.6/> 44.6)	0.664 (0.363-1.214)	0.183		
CA19-9 ($\leq 37.54/> 37.54), U/mL$	1.258 (0.690-2.291)	0.454		
ASA				
Ι		Reference		
П	0.536 (0.223-1.288)	0.163		
III	0.633 (0.225-1.786)	0.388		
T stage				
T1		Reference		
T2	1.641 (0.811-3.321)	0.168		
Т3	1.354 (0.392-4.679)	0.632		
N stage				
N0		Reference		
N1	1.389 (0.642-3.005)	0.404		
N2	1.524 (0.355-6.529)	0.571		
TNM stage				
Ι		Reference		
П	1.142 (0.516-2.526)	0.743		
III	2.413 (0.959-6.072)	0.061		
Duration of surgery ($\leq 368 / > 368$), min	0.853 (0.471-1.544)	0.599		
Intraoperative blood loss (280 \leq /> 280), mL	0.895 (0.464-1.726)	0.741		
Tumor size (≤ 1.99/> 1.99), cm	0.475 (0.210-1.072)	0.073		
Number of positive lymph nodes ($\leq 1/\geq 2$)	0.407 (0.208-0.796)	< 0.01 ^a	0.375 (0.189-0.745)	< 0.01 ^a



Baisbideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Adjuvant therapy (yes/no) 0.988 (0.475-2.053)	0.974
---	-------

$^{a}P < 0.05$

BMI: Body mass index; Tbil: Total bilirubin; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio; PNI: Prognostic nutritional index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; AVC: Ampulla of Vater cancer; DPC: Duodenal papilla cancer.



Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival between ampulla of Vater cancer and duodenal papilla cancer.

This research is a single-center, observational study focusing on individuals who underwent radical PD and were subsequently diagnosed with ampulla of Vater or DPC *via* postoperative pathology and follow-up examinations. comparison of baseline data revealed that the bilirubin levels in patients with AVC were substantially higher than those in patients with DPC (117.19 ± 112.85 *vs* 88.57 ± 93.80 µmol/L, P = 0.04). Furthermore, the average survival duration of DPC patients exceeded that of AVC patients (58.90 ± 38.74 *vs* 44.31 ± 35.90 months, P < 0.01), with 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates for AVC standing at 91%, 83%, and 74% respectively, compared to 94%, 86%, and 83% for DPC.

Tumors in AVC primarily localize within the ampullary duct. As these tumors enlarge, they can obstruct the communal outflow pathways of the bile and pancreatic ducts early on, leading to symptoms like jaundice, cholangitis, and potentially pancreatitis. Conversely, DPC generally originates from the duodenal mucosa. With progressive growth and submucosal invasion, these tumors may compress the CBD and the shared channel opening, thus precipitating jaundice. Consequently, jaundice symptoms in patients with DPC tend to manifest later and are less severe compared to those with AVC.

Ampullary cancer can be divided into two types based on immunohistochemical characteristics: The intestinal type and the pancreatobiliary type. The intestinal type predominantly expresses intestinal immune markers such as CDX2, MUC2, and CK20. On the contrary, the pancreatobiliary type typically exhibits positive staining for markers including MUC1, MUC5AC, and CK7, analogous to pancreatic cancer and cholangiocarcinoma[17,18]. Studies have shown that cases identified as pancreatobiliary whether purely pancreatobiliary or mixed with predominantly pancreatobiliary features exhibit shorter OS compared to those classified as purely intestinal or mixed with predominantly intestinal traits [19,20]. In our study, OS for patients with ampullary cancer was significantly shorter than that for patients with DPC. This outcome may be attributed to the predominance of pancreatobiliary and mixed histological types in the former group. Previous studies often overlooked detailed classification of ampullary cancer's histological subtypes, resulting in limited data availability. Thus, additional research is necessary for further exploration and verification.

Ampullary carcinoma exhibits significant heterogeneity. In addition to immunohistochemical typing, advancements in sequencing technology and genomics have further elucidated the biological characteristics of ampullary tumors at the molecular level. Recent studies[21-23] indicate that the frequency of KRAS mutations is relatively high in pancreatobiliary subtypes, while mutations in the PI3/AKT pathway are more prevalent in intestinal subtypes. However, the patterns of genomic alterations across different subtypes still necessitate research and verification using large sample sizes, and the prognostic implications of these alterations in various histological subtypes of ampullary carcinoma remain to be fully established. Future investigations should integrate clinical pathological typing, histological classification, and genetic changes to identify new therapeutic targets for ampullary carcinoma, ultimately leading to the development of more personalized diagnosis and treatment strategies aimed at enhancing the consistency of treatment outcomes.

Previous studies investigating the prognostic factors affecting OS in ampullary cancer seldom included subgroup analysis based on site-specific conceptual groupings. In contrast, our study divided cases into two subgroups according to the aforementioned classifications, enabling a more precise and meticulous evaluation of prognostic factors. Our findings indicate distinct differences in prognostic risk factors between the two subgroups, despite both being forms of ampullary cancer. While the number of lymph node involvements is a common prognosis factor for both, distinct

Raishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 4 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of duodenal papilla cancer				
Characteristics	Univariate		Multivariate	
Characteristics	HR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	HR (95%CI)	P value
Sex (male/female)	1.226 (0.698-2.154)	0.478		
Age (≤ 60/> 60), year	0.461 (0.262-0.811)	0.007 ^a	0.372 (0.189-0.733)	0.004 ^a
BMI, kg/m ²				
< 18.5		Reference		
18.5-24	0.693 (0.306-1.569)	0.380		
> 24	0.375 (0.126-1.120)	0.079		
Diabetes (yes/no)	3.832 (0.529-27.767)	0.184		
Neutrophil count (< 2.815/> 2.815), × $10^9/{\rm L}$	1.751 (0.991-3.096)	0.054		
Lymphocyte count ($\leq 1.395 / > 1.395$), $\times 10^9 / L$	2.910 (1.486-5.698)	0.002 ^a	2.446 (0.932-6.418)	0.069
Blood platelet count (< 196.5/> 196.5), × 10^9 /L	1.556 (0.870-2.782)	0.136		
Preoperative albumin (\leq 32.5/ $>$ 32.5), g/L	2.579 (1.431-4.647)	0.002 ^a	2.266 (0.666-7.711)	0.191
ALT (≤114.5/>114.5), U/L	0.633 (0.361-1.109)	0.110		
AST (≤ 51.5 /> 51.5), U/L	0.575 (0.287-1.153)	0.119		
Tbil (< 27.25/> 27.25), μ mol/L	0.354 (0.166-0.755)	0.007 ^a	0.634 (0.248-1.619)	0.341
NLR (≤ 3.21/> 3.21)	0.663 (0.376-1.171)	0.157		
PLR (≤ 184.92/> 184.92)	0.539 (0.306-0.949)	0.032 ^a	0.734 (0.334-1.614)	0.442
PNI (≤ 36.98/> 36.98)	2.333 (1.216-4.476)	0.011 ^a	0.315 (0.072-1.379)	0.125
CA19-9 (≤ 143.9/> 143.9), U/mL	0.414 (0.235-0.731)	0.002 ^a	0.808 (0.389-1.678)	0.567
ASA				
Ι		Reference		
Π	0.540 (0.220-1.290)	0.160		
III	0.630 (0.230-1.790)	0.380		
T stage				
T1		Reference		
T2	1.740 (0.949-3.189)	0.073		
T3	2.601 (0.610-11.088)	0.196		
N stage				
N0		Reference		
N1	2.154 (1.128-4.113)	0.020 ^a	0.800 (0.201-3.189)	0.752
N2	3.123 (0.942-10.354)	0.063	0.365 (0.038-3.554)	0.386
TNM stage				
Ι	-	Reference		
П	1.948 (1.001-3.790)	0.050	1.973 (0.598-6.516)	0.265
Ш	4.344 (1.856-10.165)	0.001 ^a	3.247 (0.402-26.228)	0.269
Duration of surgery, min (≤ 351/> 351)	1.791 (0.982-3.266)	0.058		
Intraoperative blood loss, mL (305 \leq /> 305)	0.802 (0.454-1.414)	0.445		
Tumor size (< 2.35/> 2.35), cm	0.463 (0.263-0.816)	0.008 ^a	0.436 (0.213-0.893)	0.023 ^a
Number of positive lymph nodes ($\leq 1/\geq 2$)	0.446 (0.230-0.865)	0.017 ^a	0.328 (0.141-0.767)	0.010 ^a
Adjuvant therapy (yes/no)	0.253 (0.079-0.815)	0.021 ^a	0.233 (0.068-0.797)	0.020 ^a



^aP value < 0.05.

BMI: Body mass index; Tbil: Total bilirubin; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio; PNI: Prognostic nutritional index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists.



Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the impact of three risk factors on the overall survival of ampulla of Vater cancer. A: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of preoperative albumin on the overall survival of ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC); B: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of preoperative total bilirubin on the overall survival of AVC; C: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of the number of positive lymph node on the overall survival of AVC. Tbil: Total bilirubin.

independent risk factors were identified: Preoperative albumin and bilirubin levels in AVC, and age, tumor size, and adjuvant treatment in DPC. Such distinctions point to novel insights.

Serum albumin is an important bioactive substance involved in human metabolism, and its clinical use in indicating inflammatory processes, nutritional status, and prognosis in cancer patients has been widely described[24,25]. It can also be used in conjunction with the triceps skinfold sum to predict mortality in cancer patients effectively[26]. In our study, pre-admission serum albumin level emerged as an independent risk factor for OS in patients with DPC. Patients with an albumin level \leq 38.45 g/L faced a 1.387 times greater risk of mortality compared to those with level > 38.45 g/L. However, this association was not observed in patients with AVC.

Serum bilirubin level is crucial in testing liver function. Elevated bilirubin levels are generally associated with disease progression and poorer prognosis[27,28]. Our study demonstrates that, for patients with AVC, high serum total bilirubin levels independently risk OS. Patients with lower bilirubin levels exhibited approximately a 65% reduced mortality risk compared to those with higher levels (P = 0.017, HR = 0.343, 95%CI: 0.143-0.825). This correlation was not observed in patients with DPC. Notably, serum bilirubin levels upon admission were significantly lower in patients with DPC than in those with AVC, indicating a lesser impact on liver function. Although the univariate analysis showed statistical significance for total bilirubin levels, the multivariate Cox regression results, which included numerous variables, might have bias. Further research is necessary to determine whether total bilirubin levels have prognosis significance in DPC patients.



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the impact of four risk factors on the overall survival of duodenal papilla cancer. A: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of age on the overall survival of duodenal papilla cancer (DPC); B: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of tumor size on the overall survival of DPC; C: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of the number of positive lymph nodes on the overall survival of DPC; D: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the impact of adjuvant therapy on the overall survival of DPC.

Compared with earlier studies, our center's data revealed improved survival outcomes for both AVC and DPC, perhaps due to our strategy of treating hyperbilirubinemia before performing PD. Current evidence robustly supports using ERCP as the primary approach for preoperative biliary drainage, with metal stents proving superior to plastic ones [29-31]. The management of preoperative jaundice, especially in the context of PD, is critical as it significantly influences surgical results and postoperative complications[30].

Furthermore, lymph node involvement is a well-known prognosis factor in malignant tumors. We categorized patients based on the number of positive lymph nodes, revealing that individuals with ≤ 1 positive node had over a 60% lower mortality risk in both AVC and DPC subgroups compared to those with ≥ 2 positive nodes. The significance of lymph node count as an independent risk factor for OS in both cancer types aligns with findings from previous studies[32,33]. Our research varied from the traditional TNM staging by using 1 as a threshold for grouping, which underscored differences in OS between groups and might inform future clinical staging of ampullary cancer. However, the limited sample size constrained detailed lymph node group analysis; thus, larger datasets are needed for further exploration.

In this study, 72 patients underwent a complete regimen of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. Our findings indicate that adjuvant chemotherapy confers a notable survival advantage in patients with DPC (P = 0.02, HR = 0.233, 95% CI: 0.068-0.797), establishing it as an independent prognostic factor for OS in DPC. However, this benefit was not observed in patients with AVC. Adjuvant therapy constitutes a critical component of the comprehensive management strategy for ampullary cancer, though its effectiveness remains a point of contention. Despite numerous studies[34-36] revealing no significant advantage of adjuvant chemotherapy in enhancing the OS rates of ampullary cancer patients, a study conducted by the Mayo Clinic highlighted the positive impact of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with advanced (stage IIB or higher) ampullary cancer[37]. This study reported that adjuvant therapy led to a roughly 55% decrease in mortality risk (P = 0.03, HR = 0.45, 95% CI: 0.22-0.93), translating to an almost 12-month survival benefit. Additionally, another retrospective study[38] corroborated the survival advantage of adjuvant therapy in individuals with ampullary cancer, with more pronounced benefits in those presenting with high-risk factors such as advanced T and N stages, poor

tumor differentiation, and positive resection margins. The 2023 NCCN Guidelines for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Management of Ampullary Cancer^[39] endorse the role of adjuvant therapy, offering guidance on chemotherapy options and presenting a vital benchmark for the standardized care of ampullary cancer. The adjuvant chemotherapy protocols employed in this investigation varied widely over an extended period. The initial choice of chemotherapy regimens did not take histological subtypes into account, and not all patients completed their chemotherapy within the same institution, potentially skewing the evaluation of therapeutic benefits. Nonetheless, this study demonstrates the utility of adjuvant chemotherapy for certain patient cohorts, contributing meaningful insights to the ongoing discourse on adjuvant treatment strategies for ampullary cancer, particularly about site-specific subgroup classifications.

No prognosis significance was attributed to the TNM stage in the multivariable analysis conducted in this study, possibly due to the limited sample size and the potential bias introduced by variable interactions. Further research, involving large-scale, multi-center studies, is requisite to delve into the histological subclassifications, investigate histological biomarker differences between subgroups, and elucidate the disparate survival determinants in ampullary cancer.

Although this study is a single-center retrospective analysis with a limited sample size, it holds clinical and scientific significance. Our research enhances the understanding of the clinical pathological characteristics of ampullary cancer, enriches the existing literature, and serves as a reference for developing standardized diagnostic and treatment protocols for this condition, as well as for the application and selection of postoperative adjuvant therapies. In the future, we should conduct multicenter and large-sample prospective studies that incorporate immunohistochemical indicators and integrate molecular analysis.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, AVC demonstrates shorter OS compared to DPC. There were significant differences in prognosis factors and the efficacy of adjuvant therapy between the two groups. However, adjuvant therapy appears to offer greater benefits to patients with DPC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge all patients who contributed to this study.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhang JZ and Zhang ZW have contributed equally to this work; Zhang JZ was responsible for to data curation, conceptualization, methodology, and writing the original draft; Zhang ZW wrote the original draft and performed formal data analysis; Guo XY and Zhu DS completed case collection, follow-up and preliminary data compilation; Huang XR revised the manuscript and provided clinical advice. Guo T and Yu YH were contributed equally to supervision, funding acquisition, writing-review and editing. First, the corresponding author, as the primary individual responsible for the article, oversees the selection of the topic, research design, and content review. Both Professor Yu YH and Dr Guo T shared these responsibilities throughout the study's implementation. They jointly agreed on the topic selection, collaboratively formulated the research plan, and provided equally significant support in executing the plan. Second, upon completion of the manuscript, both authors contributed unique insights regarding the depth of the content and the rigor of the conclusions. They collaboratively assisted in the correction and editing processes and finalized the preparation of the relevant submission materials. Their contributions were equivalent in importance. Third, during the ethical review of this article, both authors jointly prepared the necessary materials, demonstrating the ethical soundness of this study, which successfully passed the ethical approval process. This collaboration ensured the smooth progression of the research. Fourth, both authors provided equally crucial assistance in securing funding for this study. Given the substantial contributions made by both individuals to this article, they are designated as co-corresponding authors.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

Informed consent statement: The acquisition and use of these clinical data were approved by the Institutional Review Board. After review by the ethics committee, a waiver of informed consent was granted for this subject.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Country of origin: China

ORCID number: Jing-Zhao Zhang 0009-0003-0192-4969; Zhi-Wei Zhang 0000-0002-8790-1332; Xin-Yi Guo 0009-0003-9794-0591; Deng-Sheng Zhu 0009-0006-1382-5580; Xiao-Rui Huang 0000-0001-8588-0948; Ming Cai 0000-0003-0001-0316; Tong Guo 0000-0002-8586-4777; Ya-Hong Yu 0000-0002-1562-3812.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Chen YX

REFERENCES

- Wackwitz W. [Abraham Vater (1684-1751)]. Anat Anz 1985; 160: 77-79 [PMID: 3907414] 1
- Adsay V, Ohike N, Tajiri T, Kim GE, Krasinskas A, Balci S, Bagci P, Basturk O, Bandyopadhyay S, Jang KT, Kooby DA, Maithel SK, 2 Sarmiento J, Staley CA, Gonzalez RS, Kong SY, Goodman M. Ampullary region carcinomas: definition and site specific classification with delineation of four clinicopathologically and prognostically distinct subsets in an analysis of 249 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 2012; 36: 1592-1608 [PMID: 23026934 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e31826399d8]
- Apurva, Abdul Sattar RS, Ali A, Nimisha, Kumar Sharma A, Kumar A, Santoshi S, Saluja SS. Molecular pathways in periampullary cancer: 3 An overview. Cell Signal 2022; 100: 110461 [PMID: 36096460 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2022.110461]
- Erdmann JI, Eskens FA, Vollmer CM, Kok NF, Groot Koerkamp B, Biermann K, van Eijck CH. Histological and Molecular Subclassification 4 of Pancreatic and Nonpancreatic Periampullary Cancers: Implications for (Neo) Adjuvant Systemic Treatment. Ann Surg Oncol 2015; 22: 2401-2407 [PMID: 25503345 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-014-4267-4]
- Westgaard A, Pomianowska E, Clausen OP, Gladhaug IP. Intestinal-type and pancreatobiliary-type adenocarcinomas: how does ampullary 5 carcinoma differ from other periampullary malignancies? Ann Surg Oncol 2013; 20: 430-439 [PMID: 22956064 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-012-2603-0
- Tol JA, Brosens LA, van Dieren S, van Gulik TM, Busch OR, Besselink MG, Gouma DJ. Impact of lymph node ratio on survival in patients 6 with pancreatic and periampullary cancer. Br J Surg 2015; 102: 237-245 [PMID: 25529117 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9709]
- Albores-Saavedra J, Schwartz AM, Batich K, Henson DE. Cancers of the ampulla of vater: demographics, morphology, and survival based on 7 5,625 cases from the SEER program. J Surg Oncol 2009; 100: 598-605 [PMID: 19697352 DOI: 10.1002/jso.21374]
- Satake T, Morizane C, Rikitake R, Higashi T, Okusaka T, Kawai A. The epidemiology of rare types of hepatobiliary and pancreatic cancer 8 from national cancer registry. J Gastroenterol 2022; 57: 890-901 [PMID: 36161533 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-022-01920-5]
- 9 Ramai D, Ofosu A, Singh J, John F, Reddy M, Adler DG. Demographics, tumor characteristics, treatment, and clinical outcomes of patients with ampullary cancer: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cohort study. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 2019; 65: 85-90 [PMID: 30488680 DOI: 10.23736/S1121-421X.18.02543-6]
- Nagino M, Hirano S, Yoshitomi H, Aoki T, Uesaka K, Unno M, Ebata T, Konishi M, Sano K, Shimada K, Shimizu H, Higuchi R, Wakai T, 10 Isayama H, Okusaka T, Tsuyuguchi T, Hirooka Y, Furuse J, Maguchi H, Suzuki K, Yamazaki H, Kijima H, Yanagisawa A, Yoshida M, Yokoyama Y, Mizuno T, Endo I. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of biliary tract cancers 2019: The 3rd English edition. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2021; 28: 26-54 [PMID: 33259690 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.870]
- Rostain F, Hamza S, Drouillard A, Faivre J, Bouvier AM, Lepage C. Trends in incidence and management of cancer of the ampulla of Vater. 11 World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 10144-10150 [PMID: 25110442 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i29.10144]
- 12 Tang N, Chen ZY, Yang Z, Shang HZ, Shi GJ. Development and verification of prognostic nomogram for ampullary carcinoma based on the SEER database. Front Oncol 2023; 13: 1197626 [PMID: 37313462 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1197626]
- Birnbaum DJ, Bertucci F, Finetti P, Birnbaum D, Mamessier E. Head and Body/Tail Pancreatic Carcinomas Are Not the Same Tumors. 13 Cancers (Basel) 2019; 11 [PMID: 30965637 DOI: 10.3390/cancers11040497]
- Crippa S, Aleotti F, Longo E, Belfiori G, Partelli S, Tamburrino D, Di Salvo F, De Cobelli F, Romano L, Arcidiacono PG, Petrone MC, 14 Zamboni G, Lena MS, Doglioni C, Falconi M. Main Duct Thresholds for Malignancy Are Different in Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms of the Pancreatic Head and Body-Tail. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 20: 390-399.e7 [PMID: 33385536 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.12.028
- Zhang X, Feng S, Wang Q, Huang H, Chen R, Xie Q, Zhang W, Wang A, Zhang S, Wang L, Yao M, Ling Q. Comparative genomic analysis 15 of head and body/tail of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma at early and late stages. J Cell Mol Med 2021; 25: 1750-1758 [PMID: 33452856 DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.16281]
- Vo NP, Nguyen HS, Loh EW, Tam KW. Efficacy and safety of adjuvant therapy after curative surgery for ampullary carcinoma: A systematic 16 review and meta-analysis. Surgery 2021; 170: 1205-1214 [PMID: 33902926 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2021.03.046]
- Chu PG, Schwarz RE, Lau SK, Yen Y, Weiss LM. Immunohistochemical staining in the diagnosis of pancreatobiliary and ampulla of Vater 17 adenocarcinoma: application of CDX2, CK17, MUC1, and MUC2. Am J Surg Pathol 2005; 29: 359-367 [PMID: 15725805 DOI: 10.1097/01.pas.0000149708.12335.6a]
- da Silveira Santos JPL, Machado CJ, Junior EP, Rodrigues JBSR, Vidigal PT, Resende V. Immunohistochemical Predictors for Intestinal and 18 Pancreatobiliary Types of Adenocarcinoma of The Ampulla of Vater. J Gastrointest Surg 2018; 22: 1171-1178 [PMID: 29736668 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-018-3797-7]
- 19 Reid MD, Balci S, Ohike N, Xue Y, Kim GE, Tajiri T, Memis B, Coban I, Dolgun A, Krasinskas AM, Basturk O, Kooby DA, Sarmiento JM, Maithel SK, El-Rayes BF, Adsay V. Ampullary carcinoma is often of mixed or hybrid histologic type: an analysis of reproducibility and clinical relevance of classification as pancreatobiliary versus intestinal in 232 cases. Mod Pathol 2016; 29: 1575-1585 [PMID: 27586202 DOI: 10.1038/modpathol.2016.124]
- Shin DW, Kim S, Jung K, Jung JH, Kim B, Ahn J, Kim J, Hwang JH, Lee JC. Impact of histopathological type on the prognosis of ampullary 20 carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Surg Oncol 2023; 49: 306-315 [PMID: 36272870 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2022.10.001]
- Chakraborty S, Ecker BL, Seier K, Aveson VG, Balachandran VP, Drebin JA, D'Angelica MI, Kingham TP, Sigel CS, Soares KC, Vakiani E, 21



Wei AC, Chandwani R, Gonen M, Shen R, Jarnagin WR. Genome-Derived Classification Signature for Ampullary Adenocarcinoma to Improve Clinical Cancer Care. Clin Cancer Res 2021; 27: 5891-5899 [PMID: 34433650 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1906]

- 22 Kumari N, Singh RK, Mishra SK, Krishnani N, Mohindra S, L R. Identification of PI3K-AKT signaling as the dominant altered pathway in intestinal type ampullary cancers through whole-exome sequencing. J Pathol Transl Med 2021; 55: 192-201 [PMID: 33677956 DOI: 10.4132/jptm.2021.01.23]
- Perkins G, Svrcek M, Bouchet-Doumenq C, Voron T, Colussi O, Debove C, Merabtene F, Dumont S, Sauvanet A, Hammel P, Cros J, André 23 T, Bachet JB, Bardier A, Douard R, Meatchi T, Peschaud F, Emile JF, Cojean-Zelek I, Laurent-Puig P, Taieb J. Can we classify ampullary tumours better? Clinical, pathological and molecular features. Results of an AGEO study. Br J Cancer 2019; 120: 697-702 [PMID: 30837681 DOI: 10.1038/s41416-019-0415-8]
- 24 Yin L, Song C, Cui J, Wang N, Fan Y, Lin X, Zhang L, Zhang M, Wang C, Liang T, Ji W, Liu X, Li W, Shi H, Xu H; Investigation on Nutrition Status and Clinical Outcome of Common Cancers (INSCOC) Group. Low fat mass index outperforms handgrip weakness and GLIMdefined malnutrition in predicting cancer survival: Derivation of cutoff values and joint analysis in an observational cohort. Clin Nutr 2022; 41: 153-164 [PMID: 34883304 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2021.11.026]
- Xu H, Zheng X, Ai J, Yang L. Hemoglobin, albumin, lymphocyte, and platelet (HALP) score and cancer prognosis: A systematic review and 25 meta-analysis of 13,110 patients. Int Immunopharmacol 2023; 114: 109496 [PMID: 36462339 DOI: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109496]
- Yin L, Cui J, Lin X, Li L, Li N, Fan Y, Zhang L, Liu J, Chong F, Lu Z, Wang C, Liang T, Liu X, Deng L, Yang M, Yu J, Wang X, Cong M, Li 26 Z, Weng M, Yao Q, Jia P, Guo Z, Li W, Song C, Shi H, Xu H. Triceps skinfold-albumin index significantly predicts the prognosis of cancer cachexia: A multicentre cohort study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2023; 14: 517-533 [PMID: 36567070 DOI: 10.1002/jcsm.13156]
- Xu J, An S, Lu Y, Li L, Wu ZQ, Xu HG. Preoperative alpha fetoprotein, total bilirubin, fibrinogen, albumin, and lymphocytes predict 27 postoperative survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Med 2023; 12: 13319-13328 [PMID: 37156623 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.6030]
- Wyld L, Gutteridge E, Pinder SE, James JJ, Chan SY, Cheung KL, Robertson JF, Evans AJ. Prognostic factors for patients with hepatic 28 metastases from breast cancer. Br J Cancer 2003; 89: 284-290 [PMID: 12865918 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601038]
- Tol JA, van Hooft JE, Timmer R, Kubben FJ, van der Harst E, de Hingh IH, Vleggaar FP, Molenaar IQ, Keulemans YC, Boerma D, Bruno 29 MJ, Schoon EJ, van der Gaag NA, Besselink MG, Fockens P, van Gulik TM, Rauws EA, Busch OR, Gouma DJ. Metal or plastic stents for preoperative biliary drainage in resectable pancreatic cancer. Gut 2016; 65: 1981-1987 [PMID: 26306760 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308762]
- Saxena P, Kumbhari V, Zein ME, Khashab MA. Preoperative biliary drainage. Dig Endosc 2015; 27: 265-277 [PMID: 25293587 DOI: 30 10.1111/den.12394]
- Dumonceau JM, Tringali A, Papanikolaou IS, Blero D, Mangiavillano B, Schmidt A, Vanbiervliet G, Costamagna G, Devière J, García-Cano 31 J, Gyökeres T, Hassan C, Prat F, Siersema PD, van Hooft JE. Endoscopic biliary stenting: indications, choice of stents, and results: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Clinical Guideline - Updated October 2017. Endoscopy 2018; 50: 910-930 [PMID: 30086596 DOI: 10.1055/a-0659-9864]
- Sakata J, Shirai Y, Wakai T, Yokoyama N, Sakata E, Akazawa K, Hatakeyama K. Number of positive lymph nodes independently affects 32 long-term survival after resection in patients with ampullary carcinoma. Eur J Surg Oncol 2007; 33: 346-351 [PMID: 17097846 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2006.10.008]
- Zhou J, Zhang Q, Li P, Shan Y, Zhao D, Cai J. Prognostic relevance of number and ratio of metastatic lymph nodes in resected carcinoma of 33 the ampulla of Vater. Chin J Cancer Res 2013; 25: 735-742 [PMID: 24385702 DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.1000-9604.2013.12.03]
- Kang J, Lee W, Shin J, Park Y, Kwon JW, Jun E, Song KB, Lee JH, Hwang DW, Park SY, Kim SC. Controversial benefit of 5-fluorouracil/ 34 leucovorin-based adjuvant chemotherapy for ampullary cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2022; 407: 1091-1097 [PMID: 35013798 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-021-02414-3]
- de Jong EJM, van der Geest LG, Besselink MG, Bouwense SAW, Buijsen J, Dejong CHC, Koerkamp BG, Heij LR, de Hingh IHJT, Hoge C, 35 Kazemier G, van Laarhoven HWM, de Meijer VE, Stommel MWJ, Tjan-Heijnen VCG, Valkenburg-van Iersel LBJ, Wilmink JW, Geurts SME, de Vos-Geelen J; Dutch Pancreatic Cancer Group. Treatment and overall survival of four types of non-metastatic periampullary cancer: nationwide population-based cohort study. HPB (Oxford) 2022; 24: 1433-1442 [PMID: 35135724 DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2022.01.009]
- Ecker BL, Vollmer CM Jr, Behrman SW, Allegrini V, Aversa J, Ball CG, Barrows CE, Berger AC, Cagigas MN, Christein JD, Dixon E, 36 Fisher WE, Freedman-Weiss M, Guzman-Pruneda F, Hollis RH, House MG, Kent TS, Kowalsky SJ, Malleo G, Salem RR, Salvia R, Schmidt CR, Seykora TF, Zheng R, Zureikat AH, Dickson PV. Role of Adjuvant Multimodality Therapy After Curative-Intent Resection of Ampullary Carcinoma. JAMA Surg 2019; 154: 706-714 [PMID: 31141112 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2019.1170]
- Jin Z, Hartgers ML, Sanhueza CT, Shubert CR, Alberts SR, Truty MJ, Muppa P, Nagorney DM, Smyrk TC, Hassan M, Mahipal A. Prognostic 37 factors and benefits of adjuvant therapy after pancreatoduodenectomy for ampullary adenocarcinoma: Mayo Clinic experience. Eur J Surg Oncol 2018; 44: 677-683 [PMID: 29506768 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2018.02.008]
- Nassour I, Hynan LS, Christie A, Minter RM, Yopp AC, Choti MA, Mansour JC, Porembka MR, Wang SC. Association of Adjuvant Therapy 38 with Improved Survival in Ampullary Cancer: A National Cohort Study. J Gastrointest Surg 2018; 22: 695-702 [PMID: 29127604 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-017-3624-6]
- Chiorean EG, Chiaro MD, Tempero MA, Malafa MP, Benson AB, Cardin DB, Christensen JA, Chung V, Czito B, Dillhoff M, Donahue TR, 39 Dotan E, Fountzilas C, Glazer ES, Hardacre J, Hawkins WG, Klute K, Ko AH, Kunstman JW, LoConte N, Lowy AM, Masood A, Moravek C, Nakakura EK, Narang AK, Nardo L, Obando J, Polanco PM, Reddy S, Reyngold M, Scaife C, Shen J, Truty MJ, Vollmer C, Wolff RA, Wolpin BM, Rn BM, Lubin S, Darlow SD. Ampullary Adenocarcinoma, Version 1.2023, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2023; 21: 753-782 [PMID: 37433437 DOI: 10.6004/jnccn.2023.0034]



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

