



# The ageing central nervous system in multiple sclerosis: the imaging perspective

 Massimo Filippi,<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>
Paolo Preziosa,<sup>1,2,5</sup> Frederik Barkhof,<sup>6,7</sup> Olga Ciccarelli,<sup>8,9</sup> Andrea Cossarizza,<sup>10</sup>
Nicola De Stefano,<sup>11</sup> Claudio Gasperini,<sup>12</sup> Ruth Geraldes,<sup>13,14</sup>
Cristina Granziera,<sup>15,16,17</sup> Lukas Haider,<sup>7,18</sup> Hans Lassmann,<sup>19</sup> Monica Margoni,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Giuseppe Pontillo,<sup>6,7,20</sup> Stefan Ropele,<sup>21</sup>
Àlex Rovira,<sup>22</sup>
Jaume Sastre-Garriga,<sup>23</sup> Tarek A. Yousry<sup>24</sup> and Maria A. Rocca<sup>1,2,5</sup>, on behalf of the MAGNIMS Study Group

The interaction between ageing and multiple sclerosis is complex and carries significant implications for patient care. Managing multiple sclerosis effectively requires an understanding of how ageing and multiple sclerosis impact brain structure and function. Ageing inherently induces brain changes, including reduced plasticity, diminished grey matter volume, and ischaemic lesion accumulation. When combined with multiple sclerosis pathology, these age-related alterations may worsen clinical disability. Ageing may also influence the response of multiple sclerosis patients to therapies and/or their side effects, highlighting the importance of adjusted treatment considerations. MRI is highly sensitive to age- and multiple sclerosis-related processes. Accordingly, MRI can provide insights into the relationship between ageing and multiple sclerosis, enabling a better understanding of their pathophysiological interplay and informing treatment selection. This review summarizes current knowledge on the immunopathological and MRI aspects of ageing in the CNS in the context of multiple sclerosis. Starting from immunosenescence, ageing-related pathological mechanisms and specific features like enlarged Virchow-Robin spaces, this review then explores clinical aspects, including late-onset multiple sclerosis, the influence of age on diagnostic criteria, and comorbidity effects on imaging features. The role of MRI in understanding neurodegeneration, iron dynamics and myelin changes influenced by ageing and how MRI can contribute to defining treatment effects in ageing multiple sclerosis patients, are also discussed.

- 1 Neuroimaging Research Unit, Division of Neuroscience, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, 20132 Milan, Italy 2 Neurology Unit, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, 20132 Milan, Italy
- 3 Neurorehabilitation Unit, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, 20132 Milan, Italy
- 4 Neurophysiology Service, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, 20132 Milan, Italy
- 5 Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, 20132 Milan, Italy
- 6 Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 7 Queen Square Institute of Neurology and Centre for Medical Image Computing, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, UK
- 8 Queen Square MS Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, UCL, London WC1N 3BG, UK
- 9 NIHR (National Institute for Health and Care Research) UCLH (University College London Hospitals) BRC (Biomedical Research Centre), London WC1N 3BG, UK
- 10 Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences for Children and Adults, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, 42121 Modena, Italy
- 11 Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, University of Siena, 53100 Siena, Italy
- 12 Department of Neurosciences, S Camillo Forlanini Hospital Rome, 00152 Rome, Italy
- 13 Clinical Neurology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford University Foundation Trust, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

Received April 18, 2024. Revised June 10, 2024. Accepted June 23, 2024. Advance access publication July 24, 2024

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

- 14 Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK
- 15 Department of Neurology, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
- 16 Research Center for Clinical Neuroimmunology and Neuroscience Basel (RC2NB), University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
- 17 Translational Imaging in Neurology (ThINk) Basel, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
- 18 Department of Biomedical Imaging and Image Guided Therapy, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria 19 Center for Brain Research, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- 20 Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University "Federico II", 80138 Naples, Italy
- 21 Department of Neurology, Medical University of Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria
- 22 Neuroradiology Section, Department of Radiology, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, 08035 Barcelona, Spain
- 23 Neurology Department and Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalunya (Cemcat), Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08035 Barcelona, Spain
- 24 Lysholm Department of Neuroradiology, UCLH National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Neuroradiological Academic Unit, UCL Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 3BG, UK

Correspondence to: Massimo Filippi, MD, FEAN, FAAN

Neuroimaging Research Unit, Division of Neuroscience, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute Via Olgettina, 60, 20132 Milan, Italy

E-mail: filippi.massimo@hsr.it

Keywords: multiple sclerosis; ageing; MRI; comorbidities; diagnosis; progression

#### Introduction

Multiple sclerosis is an inflammatory, demyelinating and neurodegenerative disease characterized by the progressive accumulation of CNS damage.<sup>1</sup> On the other hand, as individuals age, their brains tend to show alterations, including limited plasticity, intra- and extracellular protein accumulation, reduced grey matter (GM) volume, increased white matter (WM) abnormalities and ischaemic lesions.<sup>2</sup> In patients with multiple sclerosis, the interplay between the disease and ageing is complex and has substantial implications as it may determine cumulative and potentiation effects that exacerbate the pathophysiological changes observed in both conditions separately.

By acting in parallel, these two factors may contribute to the overall cumulative burden of CNS pathology. The physiological neurodegenerative phenomena occurring with ageing can be compounded by the inflammatory, demyelinating and neurodegenerative processes of multiple sclerosis, leading to a greater overall impact on brain health. Age-related decline in neuroplasticity and regenerative capacity may exacerbate the neuronal damage and functional impairments caused by multiple sclerosis. This detrimental potentiation effect means that older patients with multiple sclerosis might experience more severe disease progression and disability compared to younger individuals with the same disease duration. Conversely, multiple sclerosis can accelerate ageing-related features. Chronic inflammation, demyelination and neurodegeneration associated with multiple sclerosis may lead to premature brain ageing. This accelerated ageing can manifest as earlier onset of age-related cognitive decline, increased brain atrophy, and other neurodegenerative changes typically seen in older adults.

Understanding the interplay between ageing and multiple sclerosis mechanisms is crucial for effective management of patients. This is particularly relevant because the proportion of patients experiencing a clinical onset of multiple sclerosis at an advanced age has increased in recent years.<sup>3-5</sup> Furthermore, patients with multiple sclerosis are more likely to reach an older

age due to early diagnosis and early initiation of effective treatments, as both ageing and multiple sclerosis affect brain structure and function and their combination may have detrimental additive and even multiplicative effects. Ageing may also influence the management of patients with multiple sclerosis as it is associated with increased risk of treatment side effects and lower occurrence of clinical relapses and new lesions on MRI scans,<sup>6</sup> thus emphasizing the need for age-adjusted treatment considerations.<sup>7</sup>

MRI is highly sensitive to age- and multiple sclerosis-related processes and it plays a crucial role in tracking disease progression, CNS damage accumulation, and treatment efficacy. Accordingly, MRI can provide insights into the relationship between ageing and multiple sclerosis, enabling a better understanding of the underlying pathophysiological processes and their interplay, and guiding treatment.

An international meeting within the Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Multiple Sclerosis (MAGNIMS) network (https://www. magnims.eu/) was held on the 10 November 2023, which involved neurologists, immunologists, pathologists, physicists and (neuro) radiologists with expertise in multiple sclerosis and MRI (Supplementary material) to summarize the most recent knowledge on the immunopathological and neuroimaging aspects of ageing in the CNS in the context of multiple sclerosis. The key aspects discussed in the meeting included the most recent evidence regarding immunosenescence, ageing-related pathological mechanisms, and specific features like enlarged Virchow-Robin spaces and glymphatic system dysfunction. Clinical aspects, including late-onset multiple sclerosis, the influence of age on diagnostic criteria, and comorbidity effects on imaging features were also reviewed. Finally, the role of MRI in understanding neurodegeneration, iron dynamics and myelin changes influenced by ageing and how MRI can contribute to defining treatment effects in ageing multiple sclerosis patients were examined.

Experts provided a summary related to each topic (see Supplementary Table 1 for search strategy and selection criteria).

A group consensus was reached during the meeting and summarized in a first draft, which was circulated among the speakers and additional experts in the field for critical discussion and revision.

## Immunopathology of ageing CNS in multiple sclerosis

#### Senescence of the immune system

Ageing is characterized by an irreversible physiological decline in immunological defence that is caused by several immune modifications resulting, among others, in the exacerbation of the severity of chronic diseases.<sup>8,9</sup> Numerous causal determinants of age-related changes that occur in many cell types at both the molecular and cellular levels have been described, and the characteristics of many of them resemble the immune changes that occur in patients with multiple sclerosis.<sup>9</sup>

Immunological ageing is characterized by phenotypical and functional changes in different cell populations, including myeloid cells as well as T and B lymphocytes, which can assume the socalled senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) that indicates the onset of senescent cells that become able to secrete high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, along with a variety of molecules able to modulate immune response, including growth factors, proteases, exosomes containing enzymes, microRNA, DNA fragments, among others. In turn, SASP phenotype can maintain a chronic, sterile, low-grade inflammation that develops in the absence of overt infections and has been defined as 'inflammageing'.<sup>10-12</sup> This is a systemic phenomenon, the trigger of which has not been yet clarified, but in which both endogenous and exogenous factors, namely genetics, infections and the environment, including diet, play a crucial role. Similarly, in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis inherited susceptibility accounts for about one-third of the overall disease risk, while factors such as infections, nutrition, smoking and vitamin D levels can facilitate the onset of the disease in genetically vulnerable persons.

Starting from cells belonging to innate immunity, inflammageing causes and maintains cell activation.

Ageing microglia often exhibit dystrophic morphology, characterized by retracted and less complex processes.<sup>9,13-15</sup> These changes are thought to impair their surveillance capabilities. Moreover, phagocytic activity of microglia declines with age, reducing their efficiency in clearing cellular debris and damaged cells. Additionally, aged microglia show a dysregulated response to injury and disease, often leading to an exaggerated inflammatory response, with the adoption of a more pro-inflammatory phenotype. Consequently, in patients with multiple sclerosis, the aged CNS environment may promote persistent microglia activation not only in chronic active lesions, but also in the normal-appearing WM.

Ageing is also associated with an increase in the density of CNS-associated macrophages (CAMs), which include meningeal, choroid plexus and perivascular macrophages.<sup>14</sup> Such changes might impact their roles in maintaining CNS homeostasis and immune surveillance. Similar to microglia, CAMs also tend towards a pro-inflammatory state during ageing and to show reduced efficiency in clearing debris and maintaining the blood-brain barrier.

With ageing, T lymphocytes increasingly display markers related to T helper (Th) 1 and Th17 activity, as well as changes in cytotoxicity and decreased regulatory capability.<sup>11</sup> Also, inflammageing creates a microenvironment that predisposes to the development of neurodegenerative diseases, with progressive dysfunction and degeneration of neurons in the CNS. Similarly, in multiple sclerosis, the inflammation that is triggered by the first autoimmune reaction in the CNS is capable of causing an imbalance between the autoinflammatory and autoregulatory capabilities of CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes that infiltrate the CNS itself. In turn, they become able to activate microglia, astrocytes and monocytes present in the microenvironment, promoting neuro-inflammation. Of note, this phenomenon seems to be self-limiting, as focal inflammatory lesions become less frequent with the age and the duration of multiple sclerosis, even if demyelinated lesions can remain chronically active. This could suggest that inflammatory processes, i.e. cells of the innate immunity, trigger modifications of the microenvironment that cause irreversible damage to the cells present in that area, whose functional alterations (such as those affecting energy metabolism, mitochondrial functionality, intercellular communications, among others<sup>8</sup>) cause and maintain degenerative processes and the eventual onset of new demyelinated lesions in the absence of strictly inflammatory molecules and cells.

During ageing, thymic involution and stem cell exhaustion lead to complex remodelling of key immune functions that can be identified by measuring the so-called 'immune risk phenotype'. This includes a CD4:CD8 ratio of <1, poor T-cell proliferative responses, increased number of late differentiated CD8+ cells, low B cell numbers, and cytomegalovirus-seropositivity.<sup>16,17</sup> These changes reflect the decreased effectiveness in protecting the host from external and internal threatens, such as different types of pathogens, or the accumulation of damage that disturb cellular homeostasis and cause either degeneration at the organelle or cell level, and eventually lead to the onset of autoimmune phenomena. Such phenomena can be controlled, at least in part, by regulatory T lymphocytes (Tregs, both CD4+ and CD8+), whose role in physiological ageing is still controversial, <sup>17,18</sup> but which have a fundamental role in counteracting autoimmunity and maintaining tolerance but display decreased functionality during inflammageing. In multiple sclerosis, the number of these cells seems to remain unchanged, whereas their functional suppressive capabilities are decreased and their tendency to produce Th1-type inflammatory molecules is increased.<sup>19,20</sup> As a result, autoimmune clones and the phenomena that follow the initial damage and lead to neurodegeneration are no longer controllable.

Concerning B cells, besides becoming plasma cells that produce antibodies, they exert other critical regulatory functions in activating or suppressing immune responses. With age, they can secrete inflammatory molecules such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin 6 (IL-6), produce autoantibodies (i.e. anti-DNA, not necessarily correlated to an autoimmune disease) and expand clones after chronic viral infections such as those by Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) or cytomegalovirus (CMV).<sup>21</sup> In the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis, such cells play a pivotal role and indeed several studies have demonstrated the presence of self-reacting, immunoglobulin-producing B cell clones in the CSF, meninges and brain. Thus, anti-CD20 therapies, which spare plasma cells but deplete B lymphocytes, are indeed extremely effective in treating multiple sclerosis and, interestingly, the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 produced by plasma cells has a protective value when present in multiple sclerosis lesions.

Finally, in the non-coding part of the genome of human senescent cells, the most recently integrated endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), i.e. HERVK (HML-2), are unlocked to transcribe viral genes and produce retrovirus-like particles (RVLPs), which become a message to elicit senescence phenotypes in young cells. The activation of ERVs was recently described in tissues and serum from aged donors, and indeed the repression of ERV activity ameliorates cellular senescence and degeneration of different tissues and, in turn, ageing of the individuals,<sup>22</sup> likely opening a new chapter in the search of strategies to improve immune performances during ageing.

### Pathological mechanisms and ageing in multiple sclerosis

Improvements in general healthcare and multiple sclerosis treatment have increased life expectancy of patients with multiple sclerosis during the last decades. In a Norwegian study including 1388 multiple sclerosis patients with onset from 1953 to 2012, the standardized mortality ratios (SMR) of multiple sclerosis relative to the general populations dropped gradually from 3.1 for disease onset during 1953-74, to 2.6 for disease onset during 1975-96 and 0.7 for disease onset during 1997-2012.23 Similarly, in a Danish study including 18 847 patients with definite or probable multiple sclerosis and onset from 1950 to 1999, the SMR of multiple sclerosis relative to the general populations dropped gradually from 4.48 in the 1950–59 onset cohort to 1.80 in the 1990–99 onset cohort.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, mean age of death gradually increased from 50.6 years in patients that died between 1950 and 1959 to 65.4 years in those that died between 2000 and 2009.24 This has also been confirmed by a recent systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study, which showed an 11.5% global decrease in age-standardized death rates in 2016 compared to 1990.<sup>25</sup>

This implies that most patients reach an age at which age-related health problems may interfere with the disease process. This interference may happen coincidentally or through the direct interaction of disease-specific mechanisms and ageing-related brain damage.

Multiple sclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease of the CNS leading to demyelination and neurodegeneration. Inflammation is dominated by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and B-cell infiltrates, entering the CNS in active lesions but residing within the brain and spinal cord as tissue resident memory cells associated with progressive tissue damage.<sup>26,27</sup> Demyelination and neurodegeneration are induced by a cascade of microglia activation, oxidative injury and mitochondrial dysfunction, resulting in a state of metabolic energy deficiency.<sup>28</sup>

There are no qualitative differences in the multiple sclerosis pathology between different forms or stages of the disease. Thus, the entire spectrum of multiple sclerosis typical alterations can be seen in the brain and spinal cord of patients who died during the relapsing or the progressive stage. However, systematic studies, based on a large patient cohort and lesion sample, revealed major quantitative differences.<sup>29,30</sup> Active lesions with massive macrophage infiltration are mainly seen in the early disease stages but are rare in patients with progressive disease. Chronic active lesions and, more specifically, the slowly expanding lesions slowly increase with disease duration and peak at the transition stage between relapsing and progressive disease, while the extent of remyelination remains similar throughout all disease stages. A gradual increase in incidence with a peak in the progressive stage of the disease is also seen for cortical lesions and diffuse injury in the normal appearing WM.<sup>31</sup>

In the early stages of the disease, new multiple sclerosis lesions can arise at any sites in the brain and spinal cord, but with disease progression they tend to accumulate in the periventricular WM and subpial layers of the cortex,<sup>32</sup> and lateral or posterior columns of the spinal cord. Pathological changes associated with disease progression consist of gradual chronic expansion for years of pre-

existing lesions<sup>29,30,33</sup> in WM and GM, and slow accumulation of diffuse inflammation and neurodegeneration in the normal appearing WM or GM.

Recent genetic studies have identified four potential candidate genes associated with disease severity in multiple sclerosis,<sup>34</sup> which may also play a role in disease progression. Zink finger protein 386 mediates transcriptional repression of unintegrated viral DNA (possibly EBV and HERV-W), dysferlin and dynamin 3 are involved in the repair of cell membrane damage, whereas phosphatidylinositol glycan anchor (GPI) biosynthesis class C protein is important for the expression of GPI anchored membrane proteins. Thus, the latter three may be involved in the repair of damaged cells or cell processes.<sup>35</sup>

Progressive brain damage in multiple sclerosis can be augmented by mechanisms related to ageing, disease duration or the accumulation of brain damage. Oxidative injury and mitochondrial dysfunction also propagate brain damage in ageing and in age-related vasculo-ischaemic diseases, <sup>36</sup> and this is further amplified by age-related accumulation of iron within the human brain.<sup>37,38</sup> Similarly, microglia activation is prominent in age-related neurodegeneration<sup>39</sup> and susceptibility to neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease, is in part associated with polymorphisms in genes linked to microglia function.<sup>40,41</sup> Chronic brain inflammation may induce misfolded proteins in neurons, which may contribute to neurodegeneration.<sup>42</sup> Finally, remyelination capacity decreases with ageing and chronic brain inflammation.<sup>43,44</sup>

Thus, comorbidities with vascular and neurodegenerative diseases are likely to enhance clinical disease and neurodegeneration in ageing multiple sclerosis patients. As mentioned above, this is particularly relevant for vasculo-ischaemic diseases,<sup>45</sup> which share molecular mechanisms with disease progression in multiple sclerosis. In contrast to experimental studies, which suggest that demyelination propagates amyloid deposition<sup>46</sup> and that amyloid- $\beta$ oligomers are toxic for myelin,<sup>47</sup> no significant difference was noted in the development and phenotype of Alzheimer's disease associated neuropathology between patients with long-lasting progressive multiple sclerosis and age-matched controls.<sup>48</sup> However, the data also document the occurrence of Alzheimer's disease in ageing multiple sclerosis patients and this emerging co-pathology may amplify cognitive disabilities.

## Enlarged Virchow-Robin spaces and glymphatic impairment

Perivascular or Virchow-Robin spaces are fluid, or extracellular matrix filled spaces (areas) between the basement membranes of the astrocytic feed processes and the brain endothelium of arteries, capillaries and veins of the CNS (Fig. 1).<sup>49</sup>

Perivascular spaces are involved in brain waste clearance processes, by allowing CSF entry from the subarachnoid space into the peri-arterial compartment. This process is facilitated by aquaporin 4 (AQP4)-dependent fluid transfer to the brain interstitial fluid. Additionally, an intramural peri-arterial drainage pathway has been suggested, transporting debris from the interstitium against the arterial blood flow direction, into the smooth musculature of subarachnoidal arteries (Fig. 1).<sup>50</sup>

Under normal conditions, perivascular spaces in the deep WM are not visualized on brain MRI scans using standard clinical protocols at 1.5 and 3 T. However, enlarged perivascular spaces (ePVS) become more prevalent with age and are associated with a broad range of neurological conditions.<sup>51</sup> Different mechanisms for



Figure 1 The ageing perivascular compartment in multiple sclerosis. Perivascular spaces (PVS), which are implicated in brain waste removal, are involved in ageing and multiple sclerosis (MS) at different levels. CSF, produced in the choroid plexus, exchanges with brain interstitial fluid. In addition to the established CSF exit pathways along the spinal subarachnoid space, cranial nerves and arachnoid granulations, a portion of CSF flows into the brain parenchyma via the periarterial space. This flow is part of the glymphatic drainage pathway, illustrated along the arteries and through pial fenestrations. Concurrently, protein degradation products are conveyed within the muscularis of arteries, moving counter to the direction of blood flow, into the subarachnoid arteries. This process is part of the intramural peri-arterial drainage pathway, represented in blue along the artery (A). At the arterial and arteriolar level, cross-sectional views reveal that the perivascular space comprises the astrocytic end-feet processes (including their corresponding basement membrane), the pia mater (which becomes increasingly fenestrated closer to the capillary level), smooth muscle cells and the endothelium. The CSF-filled subarachnoid spaces are also evident along veins and venules, where the layers of smooth muscle cells are largely absent (B). Age-related factors, such as atherosclerosis/arteriolosclerosis, elastin dysfunction and periarterial collagen deposition, have been implicated in vascular stiffness, diminished debris transport capacity, and an increased barrier to oxygen delivery. In multiple sclerosis, perivascular changes include collagen deposition and perivenous inflammatory infiltrates that come into contact with CSF (C).

perivascular space enlargement have been suggested in the context of multiple sclerosis, including perivenous inflammation, brain atrophy, expansion of perivascular extracellular matrix and features of brain ageing, such as cerebral small vessel disease (cSVD), including debris accumulation and arterial tortuosity.<sup>52</sup>

Perivenous inflammation is a key feature of multiple sclerosis lesions and in histopathological sections, perivenous inflammatory infiltrates in lesions can reach counts of >200 cells on an axial section [mean = 40.9, standard deviation (SD) = 36.7],<sup>53</sup> suggesting that this feature could be visualized with MRI. Furthermore, systemic inflammation has repeatedly been associated with perivascular space enlargement across several neurological conditions<sup>54</sup> and multiple sclerosis cohorts. Among individuals with high disease activity, correlations with gadolinium-enhancing lesions have been reported.<sup>55</sup> By contrast, peri-arteriolar extracellular matrix depositions and cSVD features in ePVS have been identified in multiple sclerosis.<sup>56</sup> though without histological validation in active multiple sclerosis.

The decrease of CSF clearance<sup>57</sup> and reduction in diffusivity along perivascular spaces in multiple sclerosis have been shown to be pronounced within the first 4 years, correlating with higher WM lesion volume, brain volume loss and worse disability.<sup>58</sup> Reduced clearance of CSF-derived toxic molecules may lead to gradients of tissue injury along CSF surfaces.<sup>59</sup>

cSVD is known to correlate with age<sup>60</sup> and is increased in multiple sclerosis.<sup>45</sup> cSVD-related WM lesions are associated with, and grow around, ePVS in both normal ageing<sup>61</sup> and multiple sclerosis.<sup>56</sup> The decreasing diagnostic accuracy of the 'central vein sign' (CVS) with age and presence of ePVS<sup>62</sup> highlight the limited specificity of MRI for WM lesions in older multiple sclerosis patients, likely hindering our understanding of age- and cSVD-related brain involvement in multiple sclerosis, its progression and therefore the applicability of diagnostic criteria.

Overall, while data on the contribution of vascular ageing to tissue damage in multiple sclerosis remain limited, there is evidence supporting the hypothesis of an initial inflammation associated with (potentially perivenous) perivascular space enlargement. This is followed by depositions of extracellular matrix components in the perivascular space, decreased perivascular diffusivity in early disease stages and accelerated periarteriolar cSVD, associated with brain atrophy and global WM lesion burden.

# Clinical aspects of ageing CNS in multiple sclerosis

#### Late-onset multiple sclerosis

While multiple sclerosis is typically diagnosed in young adulthood, recent epidemiological studies have revealed that 5–20% of patients experience their first symptom at older ages.<sup>4,5,63,64</sup> This condition is commonly referred as late-onset multiple sclerosis (Table 1).<sup>4,63,64</sup> At present, there is no unified consensus on the cutoff of age at onset for defining late-onset multiple sclerosis, <sup>64</sup> however, the majority of authors consider it as late-onset multiple sclerosis forms of the disease with a clinical presentation after the age of 50.<sup>4,63-68</sup>

#### Table 1 Clinical and pathological features of late-onset multiple sclerosis

Definition	No unified consensus on the cut-off of age at onset	
	Generally considered as those cases with disease onset after 50 years of age	
Possible underlying pathophysiological mechanisms	More limited overt inflammatory activity	
	More severe neurodegenerative phenonema (e.g. neuro-axonal loss)	
	Less efficient remyelination capacity	
	More limited CNS reserve and neuroplasticity	
Symptoms at clinical presentation	High frequency of spinal cord involvement	
	High proportion of progressive forms	
Disease course	More severe disease course and faster disability progression	
	Significantly shorter time to reach clinically-relevant milestones of disability	
	Lower prevalence of clinical relapses and new white matter lesions	
Cognitive impairment	Impairment in visual learning and memory	
Comorbidities	High incidence of diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia	
	High prevalence of depression	

Several studies have attempted to delineate the most common clinical features at initial presentation, disease course and progression of these patients.<sup>65,67,69,70</sup> Compared to adult-onset multiple sclerosis, late-onset multiple sclerosis is commonly associated with a more severe disease course and faster disability progression,<sup>71</sup> with a significantly shorter time to reach clinically relevant milestones of disability,<sup>72,73</sup> a higher proportion of progressive disease clinical phenotypes<sup>65,70</sup> and lower frequency of inflammatory relapses.<sup>67</sup> Several factors may contribute to explain these differences. First, in patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis, the involvement of the spinal cord at clinical onset is typically more frequent than in younger age classes, partially explaining the worse outcome.<sup>5,71</sup> Second, young multiple sclerosis patients exhibit some capability to compensate for pathological changes during the early inflammatory stages, such as through remyelination. However, in the ageing multiple sclerosis brain, compensatory reserve declines, ultimately resulting in a faster disease progression in elderly multiple sclerosis.<sup>4</sup>

A recent work that explored the histopathological differences in multiple sclerosis patients by age of onset revealed that patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis had fewer actively demyelinating WM lesions (including both active or chronic active) and less leptomeningeal and perivascular inflammation compared to adult-onset multiple sclerosis patients.<sup>74</sup> However, both groups had a similar volume of cortical lesions, which represented a greater proportion of the total lesion volume in patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis.<sup>74</sup> Neuron density was also similar in both groups except in the cingulate gyrus and the thalamus, where patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis had significantly lower density.74 Differently from patients with adult-onset multiple sclerosis, no significant association between thalamic neuron density and demyelination or inflammation was found in patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis. Moreover, an older onset was characterized by an already reduced neuron density in the pons and thalamus. These findings suggest that a later onset of the disease may be preceded by a prolonged prodromal phase with lower inflammatory demyelinating activity compared to adult-onset multiple sclerosis, culminating in a more neurodegenerative form of the disease at breakthrough.<sup>74</sup>

Additionally, 'inflammageing' may contribute to brain tissue damage, promoting the accumulation of clinical disability.<sup>10</sup> Indeed, recent findings suggest that microglia already assume an activated state during biological ageing,<sup>75</sup> thus possibly promoting a receptive setting for the development of pathogenic microglia following multiple sclerosis onset. This chronically inflamed environment could be poorly conducive to remyelination and could contribute to a more rapid development of irreversible disability.<sup>9</sup>

Finally, as in the general population, ageing in multiple sclerosis patients is accompanied by the development and accumulation of comorbidities. Rising incidence of diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia has been described in multiple sclerosis patients, with an upward trend associated with advancing age.<sup>76</sup> These comorbidities interact with multiple sclerosis pathology, potentially complicating disease diagnosis, treatment management and prognosis, as discussed later.<sup>4</sup>

Regarding the cognitive profile of patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis, some studies have demonstrated a comparable frequency and pattern of cognitive deficits between this group and patients with adult-onset multiple sclerosis.<sup>67</sup> On the contrary, other studies have shown more pronounced cognitive deficits in late-onset multiple sclerosis compared to younger patients.<sup>77</sup> These deficits include impairment in visual learning and memory domains,<sup>53</sup> and a higher prevalence of depressive symptoms.<sup>65</sup> These differences may be attributed to the presence of comorbidities and age-related neurodegeneration.<sup>78,79</sup> One study found severe cortical, cerebellar and brainstem atrophy in patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis with cognitive impairment.<sup>77</sup>

Taken together, the clinical and cognitive profiles of patients with late-onset multiple sclerosis suggest a form of the disease that is characterized by pronounced neurodegenerative processes and a high degree of cognitive impairment. These considerations suggest that diagnosis, monitoring and treatment of late-onset multiple sclerosis present unique challenges.

#### Multiple sclerosis diagnostic criteria in aged patients

The current diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis, i.e. the 2017 revision of the McDonald criteria,<sup>80</sup> have been validated primarily using data from adult patients under 50 years of age with a typical clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) suggestive of multiple sclerosis and no comorbidities. However, healthy individuals older than 50 years often exhibit incidental T<sub>2</sub>-hyperintense WM lesions in the brain, possibly due to age-related comorbidities.<sup>81,82</sup> These lesions may be indistinguishable from multiple sclerosis demyelinating lesions, they may substantially contribute to the overall WM lesion burden in multiple sclerosis patients, and they may be included in the count required to define the fulfilment of dissemination in space (DIS) criteria.<sup>80</sup>

Periventricular lesions and 'capping' increase with age, especially in subjects with cSVD (Fig. 2).<sup>83,84</sup> The requirement for three instead of one periventricular lesions needed to demonstrate periventricular involvement improved the specificity, reduced sensitivity, but had a marginal impact on accuracy of the 2017 McDonald criteria for DIS in CIS patients older than 40–45 years.<sup>35,85</sup> As a consequence, looking for more than one periventricular lesion may be prudent in older patients with multiple sclerosis, certainly those with cerebrovascular risk factors.<sup>80,86</sup>

Lesions close to the cortex increase with ageing,<sup>81</sup> but the impact of age on fulfilling the criterion for cortical/juxtacortical involvement for DIS has not yet been explored. However, lesions associated with cSVD usually spare the cortex and juxtacortical U-fibres (Fig. 2) as these regions receive dual blood supply, superficially from cortical penetrating arteries as well as from deeper vessels that ascend from medullary arteries. Therefore, a meticulous assessment of juxtacortical/cortical lesions is crucial for distinguishing multiple sclerosis from other comorbidities, especially in older patients.

Pontine lesions can occur with ageing but they are typically located in the central portions of the pons and medial lemniscus, a distribution characteristic for cSVD (Fig. 2)<sup>82,87,88</sup> as these regions correspond to vascular border zones, supplied by different penetrating arteries arising from the basilar and superior cerebellar arteries.<sup>89</sup> Conversely, peripheral pontine lesions are more specific for multiple sclerosis.<sup>82</sup> Therefore, in older multiple sclerosis patients, especially with cerebrovascular risk factor, peripheral pontine involvement and lesions abutting the fourth ventricle may be useful to discriminate multiple sclerosis-related lesions from those due to other comorbidities (Fig. 2).

Spinal cord lesions are not observed with normal ageing or with age-related comorbidities.<sup>90-92</sup> Moreover, even though spinal cord arteriolosclerosis has been observed and may contribute to spinal WM pallor and myelin abnormalities, focal microinfarcts and cerebral amyloid angiopathy were not observed within the spinal cord parenchyma.<sup>93</sup> Consequently, evaluating spinal cord involvement is crucial, especially in older multiple sclerosis patients, for both diagnostic and prognostic purposes.

Among potential diagnostic MRI markers under investigation, a proportion of WM lesions with the CVS (between 35% and 50%) on susceptibility-based imaging or having at least three or six CVS-positive lesions (3- or 6-lesion rule) may help distinguish multiple sclerosis from other conditions (Fig. 2).<sup>94-99</sup> However, a significantly lower proportion of CVS-positive WM lesions occurs with ageing, with older multiple sclerosis patients (i.e.  $\geq$ 50 years) having a significantly lower percentage of CVS-positive lesions compared to younger multiple sclerosis patients (61.5% versus 77.5%).<sup>62</sup> Despite this, age had a minimal effect on fulfilling the different aforementioned CVS criteria, as most multiple sclerosis patients satisfied the different criteria.<sup>62</sup>

Paramagnetic rim lesions (PRLs) (Fig. 2), i.e. lesions showing a paramagnetic rim on susceptibility-based images, are specific to multiple sclerosis, can differentiate multiple sclerosis from other neurological conditions and may predict conversion from CIS to multiple sclerosis.<sup>100</sup> A recent meta-analysis estimated that the pooled prevalence of PRLs at lesion level was 9.8%, but this showed a significant decrease with advancing age. However, at the patient level, the pooled prevalence of PRLs was 40.6%, and this prevalence was not influenced by age.<sup>101</sup> Accordingly, although the total number of PRLs decreases with age, the proportion of multiple sclerosis patients with at least one PRL seems stable throughout the lifespan, thus limiting the impact of ageing on this candidate diagnostic marker.

#### Comorbidities: effects on imaging features

There are several reasons why the effect of vascular comorbidities on the ageing multiple sclerosis population needs to be considered. First, vascular comorbidities, such as hypertension and hyperlipidaemia, are often present at multiple sclerosis onset but become even more frequent 5 years after multiple sclerosis diagnosis.<sup>102</sup> These comorbidities increase with age (i.e. hypertension occurs in >50% of people with multiple sclerosis over the age of 60 years) and are associated with brain atrophy, WM lesions and cognitive changes, even in people without multiple sclerosis.<sup>103</sup> The interaction between comorbidities and multiple sclerosis may explain variability in clinical outcomes; for instance, people with multiple sclerosis who have vascular comorbidities might need a walking aid sooner and may take less time for treatment escalation than those without these comorbidities.<sup>104</sup> Dual pathology or potentiation of multiple sclerosisrelated damage may explain these negative outcomes. In fact, systemic vascular disease showed a stronger association with cSVD in people with multiple sclerosis compared with those without, and the burden of cSVD linked with multiple sclerosis inflammatory activity.45 Vascular damage may lead to neuronal loss, as suggested by studies showing that permanent T1-hypointense lesions tend to occur in areas of low cerebral perfusion.<sup>105</sup> In addition, treatments for vascular comorbidities may affect multiple sclerosis imaging outcomes (i.e. people with multiple sclerosis on anti-diabetic drugs showed lower T<sub>2</sub>-hyperintense lesion volume than those not on these treatments).<sup>106</sup>

There have been several cross-sectional and longitudinal studies looking at the effect of vascular comorbidities on MRI outcomes (Table 2).<sup>107,108</sup> Most studies are small (mainly on CIS or relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis), with heterogeneous definitions of comorbidity, and often not considering comorbidity treatments or smoking status. Overall, combined vascular scores are associated with a faster brain parenchymal fraction loss. A similar effect was seen for hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and diabetes.<sup>107,108</sup> In secondary progressive multiple sclerosis, vascular comorbidities are associated with a decrease in normalized whole brain volume.<sup>109</sup> Discrepant effects of vascular comorbidities on global T<sub>2</sub>-hyperintense lesion volume and contrast-enhancing lesions have been reported<sup>107</sup> and vascular comorbidities do not appear to affect conversion from CIS into clinically definite multiple sclerosis in young patients.<sup>110</sup> In face of a new T<sub>2</sub>-hyperintense WM lesion in a multiple sclerosis patient with vascular comorbidities, one could scrutinize its shape and topography. Each vascular comorbidity may affect T<sub>2</sub> 'multiple sclerosis-like lesions', such as Dawson fingers, juxtacortical lesions<sup>81</sup> or lesions with CVS<sup>62</sup> differently (i.e. dyslipidaemia is associated with a higher proportion of juxtacortical lesions and hypertension is associated with a lower proportion CVS-positive WM lesions). Vascular comorbidities do not associate with lesions in the peripheral pons, typically affected in multiple sclerosis, but may increase the likelihood of lesions occurring in topographies usually affected by cSVD (i.e. central pons).82

## MRI to investigate pathophysiology in ageing multiple sclerosis patients

#### Ageing and brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis

While age is often treated as a mere confounding variable in neuroimaging-based brain volumetric analyses, the effects of ageing and multiple sclerosis on brain atrophy are closely entangled (Fig. 3). The relationship between age and brain volume is influenced by the disease and encodes disease-related information. Conversely, age is a fundamental modifier of multiple sclerosis clinical course and correlates with the outcomes that define treatment response.<sup>9</sup> Understanding the complex interaction between MS

#### Ageing and cSVD



Figure 2 Summary of the typical lesional MRI findings in multiple sclerosis compared to ageing and cerebral small vessel disease. Typical multiple sclerosis (MS) lesions include (A) periventricular lesions, (B) juxtacortical and cortical lesions, (C) white matter (WM) lesions showing the central vein sign (CVS), (E) paramagnetic rim lesion (PRLs), (F) infratentorial lesions mainly located at the periphery, close to the CSF, and (G) spinal cord lesions. Typical lesions occurring with ageing and cerebral small vessel disease (cSVD) include (H) subcortical WM lesions, (I) deep WM lesions, (J) periventricular lesions and 'capping', (K) cortical microinfarcts, (L) central pontine lesions and (L) no spinal cord lesions. See text for further details.

brain ageing and neurodegeneration and disentangling their overlapping imaging patterns and underlying mechanisms are the topics of increasing research interest.

Normal ageing-related brain volume loss appears around the age of 30, with rates of ~0.2% per year, and accelerates after the age of 50–60 up to 0.5% per year (5% per decade).<sup>120</sup> Against this background, multiple sclerosis is associated with disease-specific volume loss (i.e. atrophy in excess of normal ageing), which starts very early in the disease course, tends to follow specific spatial-temporal patterns, and is linked to poor clinical outcomes.<sup>121,122</sup> Divergence from normal brain charts is observable as early as the preclinical phase, especially for the thalamus, with normal and multiple sclerosis lifespan trajectories of brain volume change

tending to align in the elderly.<sup>123</sup> Indeed, the proportion of brain atrophy that is attributable to ageing increases over time, while that attributable to multiple sclerosis pathology might decrease with age.<sup>124</sup> Interestingly, a connection between ageing and multiple sclerosis-related brain atrophy has been demonstrated beyond the purely chronological level: shorter leucocyte telomere length, considered a marker of biological senescence, is associated with brain atrophy independent of chronological age and disease duration, suggesting that biological ageing may contribute to neurological injury in multiple sclerosis.<sup>125</sup>

By flipping the classical paradigm of normative modelling, individual deviations from normal ageing trajectories can also be measured as the difference between neuroimaging-based age predictions

#### Table 2 Summary of the effects of vascular comorbidities on MRI outcomes

Comorbidity	WM lesions	Gd-enhancing lesions	Brain volume	Reference(s)
Hypertension	+/-	?	+	Geraldes et al., <sup>81</sup> Pichler et al., <sup>110</sup>
51			(lower BPF, GM and cortical GM	Jakimovski et al., <sup>111</sup> Kappus et al., <sup>112</sup>
			volume loss, lateral ventricle	Lorefice et al. <sup>113</sup>
			enlargement)	
Hyperlipidaemia	+	+/-	+/	Lorefice et al., <sup>113</sup> Fitzgerald et al., <sup>114</sup>
				Weinstock-Guttman et al. <sup>115</sup>
Diabetes	_	?	+	Salter et al., <sup>108</sup> Lorefice et al., <sup>113</sup> Fitzgerald
			(lower BPF, GM volume, cortical	et al. <sup>114</sup>
			GM volume)	
Ischaemic heart	?	?	+	Kappus et al. <sup>112</sup>
disease			(GM and cortical GM volume loss)	
Obesity	+/-	-	+/-	Fitzgerald et al., <sup>114</sup> Manuel Escobar et al., <sup>116</sup>
	(T <sub>1</sub> -hypointense lesion			Ben-Zacharia et al., <sup>117</sup> Galioto et al. <sup>118</sup>
	volume +, not			
	T <sub>2</sub> -hyperintense			
	lesion volume)			
Grouped vascular	+	?	+	Marrie et al. <sup>119</sup>
comorbidity			(Higher Framingham risk scores—	
			reduced BPF loss over time)	
Count of comorbid conditions	+	?	+/-	Pichler et al., <sup>110</sup> Fitzgerald et al. <sup>114</sup>

+ = the presence of the vascular risk factor (VRF)/VRF score was reported to influence the imaging outcome; +/- = some studies reported that the presence of the VRF/VRF score influences the imaging outcome but not others; - = no association was reported between the presence of the VRF/VRF score and the imaging outcome; ? = insufficient evidence; BPF = brain parenchymal fraction; Gd = gadolinium; GM = grey matter; WM = white matter.

relying on machine-learning techniques and chronological age.<sup>126</sup> Using the brain-age paradigm, various studies have consistently demonstrated that the brains of patients with multiple sclerosis tend to look older than healthy controls on MRI, revealing premature/accelerated ageing.<sup>127,128</sup> The brain-predicted age difference, proposed as an age-adjusted global measure of brain health, emerged as a promising biomarker in multiple sclerosis, and it correlates with disability scores both cross-sectionally and longitudinally.<sup>127</sup> However, while the brain-age paradigm offers a window into brain ageing in multiple sclerosis, it may miss disease-specific effects.<sup>127</sup>

In summary, the interaction between disease-specific and age-related brain volume changes remains complex and not completely understood, representing a crucial area for future research.

Moreover, brain age is currently derived globally for the entire brain. In the future, determining brain age for each individual brain parcel could be useful, as brain atrophy associated with multiple sclerosis is non-random and it affects some regions more than others.

#### Quantification of iron abnormalities

Iron accumulation in the CNS occurs during physiological ageing as well as in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative disorders like multiple sclerosis.<sup>129</sup> When ferrous iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>)-content increases in the CNS—originating for example, from micro-haemorrhages or degeneration of oligodendrocytes and myelin—reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced that provoke metabolic dysfunction, oxidative stress and glutamate Ca<sup>2+</sup> excitotoxicity.<sup>130</sup> Therefore, quantifying iron presence is fundamental to assess the extent of neurodegeneration that occurs in ageing and multiple sclerosis.

MRI exploits 'magnetic susceptibility  $(\chi)$ ' to assess the presence of iron in the CNS as this metal has the property to strongly increase the local magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility can be acquired using gradient-echo (GRE) or echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequences, which provide images that can be reconstructed using  $T_2^*$  mapping (when multi-echo data are available), susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) or quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM).

Applying QSM, it was possible to understand that iron specifically accumulates in some brain regions during the ageing process.<sup>131</sup> According to the majority of QSM studies, there is an important iron increase in the putamen with less evidence available for the caudate, substantia nigra and other deep WM nuclei. In the cortex, most studies point to iron accumulation that is especially evident in the frontal-parietal cortex,<sup>131</sup> with one study showing that layer five in the motor cortex has a particular vulnerability to age-related QSM/iron increase.<sup>132</sup>

It is also important to consider that different iron-sensitive quantitative MRI measures (i.e. quantitative  $T_2$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_2^*$  and maps derived from  $T_2^*$  data such as QSM) show peaks at different ages.<sup>133</sup> This points to the need to carefully interpret imaging studies using measures that are sensitive to iron accumulation in the CNS.

In multiple sclerosis patients, iron is stored in oligodendrocytes and myelin in the normal appearing WM and GM, whereas it is also found in microglia/macrophages and astrocytes in active and chronic active lesions.<sup>37</sup> In contrast to healthy controls, iron appears to decrease with age in the subcortical WM of people with multiple sclerosis,<sup>37</sup> although it is relatively increased in the peri-plaque tissue.<sup>37</sup> Similarly, iron transport (Hephaestin) and oxidation (Ceruloplasmin) are increased in surrounding multiple sclerosis lesions.<sup>37</sup>

Interestingly, iron in the basal ganglia appears to increase more over time in CIS versus multiple sclerosis patients (as measured with  $T_2^*$  data)<sup>134</sup> and people with progressive multiple sclerosis exhibit more iron in the basal ganglia than people with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.<sup>135</sup> However, the thalamus shows a peculiar behaviour with progressive iron decrease—which is more pronounced in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis versus relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.<sup>136</sup>—even after



Figure 3 Schematic representation of the interplay between the effects of multiple sclerosis-related neurodegeneration and ageing on brain atrophy. Both ageing and multiple sclerosis (MS) are associated with brain atrophy, with partially overlapping patterns (blue arrow). Rather than being simply additive, the effects of ageing and multiple sclerosis on brain atrophy are linked by a complex interaction (red arrow): the relationship between age and brain volume is influenced by multiple sclerosis and encodes disease-related information; ageing shapes multiple sclerosis-related brain atrophy by modifying the disease course and the response to treatment. Created with http://www.biorender.com/.

correction for atrophy.<sup>137</sup> Last, as previous mentioned, PRLs—a special lesion subtype that shows an iron accumulation at the edge—appear to decrease with age and disease duration.<sup>101</sup>

#### Quantification of myelin damage and repair

Assessing myelin damage and repair in vivo with MRI has been an ambitious goal for decades. The composition and architecture of myelin and its corresponding electromagnetic properties open the door for several quantitative magnetic resonance techniques. This includes relaxation time mapping, myelin water fraction (MWF) mapping, magnetization transfer (MT) imaging, inhomogeneous MT, and the assessment of molecular diffusion.<sup>138</sup> Latest developments include higher order diffusion models<sup>139</sup> and magnetic susceptibility source separation, which is based on the diamagnetic properties of myelin.<sup>140</sup> Not all of the proposed methods are readily available for clinical application because of long acquisition times, extensive postprocessing requirements or limited sequence availability. Nevertheless, their validation is a fundamental prerequisite before being used as a specific magnetic resonance biomarker for myelin. When considering all post-mortem validation studies carried out to date, the best evidence regarding sensitivity and specificity is given for MWF and MT ratio (MTR), in particular when both the number of tissue samples included in these studies and the correlation factor are taken into account.<sup>141</sup> However, care should be taken when extrapolating results from validation studies without considering fixation effects, measurement temperature and magnetic field strengths.

Relevant insights into magnetic resonance measures for myelin do not only come from validation studies but also from observations in longitudinal clinical and pre-clinical studies. Several studies have used MTR to track lesion evolution over time in multiple sclerosis. These studies have shown that the extent of demyelination and remyelination is the same in new and chronic lesions and that remyelination is incomplete in most lesions.<sup>142</sup> This also suggests that completely demyelinated lesions, which are common in histopathology, represent lesions that must have undergone multiple episodes of demyelination and incomplete remyelination. While longitudinal studies on MWF in multiple sclerosis lesions are rare, they also highlight the dynamic changes of lesions, with only 11% of silent lesions showing no change over a period of 2 years.<sup>143</sup> Inhomogeneous MTR is believed to be particularly sensitive to highly restricted protons in lipid chains, making it more specific to the phospholipid bilayer of myelin compared to other MT imaging methods and MWF.<sup>144,145</sup> Inhomogeneous MTR has been found to be reduced in WM lesions and normal-appearing WM compared with control WM, and reduced in WM lesions compared with normal-appearing WM.<sup>146,147</sup>

Considering myelin changes in the ageing brain also raises the question of how ageing *per se* affects magnetic resonance measures of myelin content and integrity.<sup>148</sup> The most relevant MRI feature that changes with age is an increase in water content that begins around age 50 and is associated with prolonged  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation times.<sup>149</sup> While changes in relaxation times are not expected to impact quantitative myelin measurements, subtle loss of microstructure and increased perivascular space have been shown to be a significant cause of underestimation of MWF in the ageing brain.<sup>150</sup> This may also be true for the MTR, but it is not yet proven.

# MRI to measure treatment effect in the ageing multiple sclerosis patient

MRI parameters, typically the presence of new/newly-enlarging  $T_2\mbox{-hyperintense}$  and gadolinium (Gd)-enhancing WM lesions on

follow-up scans, are central in the definition of treatment response scores in patients with multiple sclerosis.<sup>151</sup> However, group-level treatment efficacy<sup>6</sup> shows a decreasing trend with increasing age, probably due to less MRI-visible inflammation.<sup>152</sup> Conversely, older patients tend to show incidental T<sub>2</sub> WM hyperintensities, mostly of vasculo-ischaemic origin.<sup>153</sup> Therefore, the question arises as to whether monitoring the appearance of new lesions in follow-up scans is the most appropriate way to assess treatment response in the ageing patient. Unfortunately, no studies have focused on the definition of treatment response in patients older than 55 years, but lessons can be learned from discontinuation studies mostly targeting older populations and from *post hoc* analyses of randomized controlled trials, as well as real-world studies looking at the specific impact of age on treatment effect on MRI inflammatory markers.

The recent treatment discontinuation DISCOMS trial<sup>154</sup> included stable (no relapse or new MRI lesions in the previous 3 years) multiple sclerosis patients older than 55 years of age (for a median age of 62/63 years for both trial arms) who were randomized to discontinue or maintain their disease-modifying drug. New T2-hyperintense WM lesions were observed in 3.9% of patients treated (10.7% in discontinued patients) over the 24 months of the study; this figure is much lower than that observed in treatment response studies,<sup>151,155</sup> which is ~50%. Nonetheless, caution should be exercised as these figures are not directly comparable due to relevant design differences. Of note, in the DISCOMS trial, the presence of comorbidities did not increase the risk of new T<sub>2</sub>-hyperintense WM lesions, indicating that the use of specific markers to detect new multiple sclerosis lesions (i.e. PRLs or lesions with the CVS) may not be needed. A post hoc analysis of the natalizumab trials<sup>156</sup> looking at the impact of age on treatment effect has also shown that older age is associated with a lower prevalence and degree of focal inflammatory activity in both the placebo and in the interferon and natalizumab-treated arms. Unfortunately, no patients older than 55 years were included in these trials, but such a trend may likely be maintained beyond that age. Again, a recent real-world study cohort including 30% of patients beyond 40 years of age found that older age was associated with lower risk of MRI activity over follow-up in treated patients.<sup>157</sup>

In summary, even though age is associated with a lower risk of MRI-measured inflammatory activity, a higher risk of disease progression is observed with increasing age in multiple sclerosis patients. Such trends are also observed in treated patients, thus monitoring active inflammation to assess treatment efficacy and effectiveness does not seem to be advisable. Other MRI parameters (e.g. brain volume changes and slowly expanding lesions) should be studied in this age group to make sure that the pathological underpinnings of treatment response are adequately gauged.

#### **Conclusions**

Peculiar immunological and pathological changes as well as a higher prevalence of comorbidities occurs with ageing. These factors may have substantial detrimental effects on disease evolution in addition to multiple sclerosis-related pathology in older patients. As the prevalence of ageing multiple sclerosis patients is constantly increasing, it is fundamental to investigate the clinical, immunopathological and MRI features of ageing in multiple sclerosis. The application of different MRI techniques that are sensitive and specific to the different pathological processes of multiple sclerosis may offer a substantial and clinically relevant contribution to allow a timely and accurate diagnosis in this peculiar population, limiting the risk of misdiagnosis, as well as to optimize monitoring of treatment to improve the clinical evolution of ageing multiple sclerosis patients. A deeper understanding of the evolving dynamic pathophysiological processes that may be peculiar of an older age may also contribute to the identification of new potential targets for future neuroprotective therapeutic strategies.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper reports the conclusions of the MAGNIMS workshop: 'Imaging the ageing CNS in multiple sclerosis', which was held in Milan, Italy, on 10 November 2023, and chaired by Prof. Massimo Filippi and Prof. Maria A. Rocca (see Supplementary material for details).

#### Funding

No funding was received towards this work.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in relation to this work. Potential conflicts of interest outside the submitted work are as follows: M.F. is Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Neurology, Associate Editor of Human Brain Mapping, Neurological Sciences and Radiology; received compensation for consulting services from Alexion, Almirall, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi; speaking activities from Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Chiesi Italia SpA, Eli Lilly, Genzyme, Janssen, Merck-Serono, Neopharmed Gentili, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, and TEVA; participation in Advisory Boards for Alexion, Biogen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Sanofi-Aventis, Sanofi-Genzyme, Takeda; scientific direction of educational events for Biogen, Merck, Roche, Celgene, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme; he receives research support from Biogen Idec, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Roche, the Italian Ministry of Health, the Italian Ministry of University and Research, and Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla. P.P. received speaker honoraria from Roche, Biogen, Novartis, Merck Serono, Bristol Myers Squibb and Genzyme; he has received research support from Italian Ministry of Health and Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla. F.B. acts in Steering committee or Data Safety Monitoring Board member for Biogen, Merck, ATRI/ACTC and Prothena. Consultant for Roche, Celltrion, Rewind Therapeutics, Merck, IXICO, Jansen, Combinostics. Research agreements with Merck, Biogen, GE Healthcare, Roche. Co-founder and shareholder of Queen Square Analytics LTD. F.B. is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at UCLH. O.C. is NIHR Research Professor (RP-2017-08-ST2-004); over the last 2 years she has been a member of independent DSMB for Novartis, gave a teaching talk in a Merck local symposium, and contributed to an Advisory Board for Biogen; she is Deputy Editor of Neurology, for which she receives an honorarium; she has received research grant support from the MS Society of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the NIHR UCLH Biomedical Research Centre, the Rosetree Trust, the National MS Society, and the NIHR-HTA.

A.C. is supported by FISM, Bando Ricerca COVID, Project 2021/ C19-R-Single/011. N.D.S. is Associate Editor of *Neurological Sciences*; received compensation for speaking activities from Biogen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi-Genzyme; participation in Advisory Boards for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck, Roche, and Sanofi-Genzyme; receives research support from the Italian Ministry of Health, the Italian Ministry of University and Research, and Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla; co-founder of SIENA Imaging. C.G. has received speaker honoraria and/or travel expenses for attending meeting from Bayer Schering Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis, Merck, Biogen, Novartis and Almirall. R.G. has received support for scientific meetings and courses and honoraria for advisory work from Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Janssen, MIAC. The university hospital Basel and the research center for clinical neuroimmunology and neuroscience (RC2NB), as the employers of C.G., have received the following fees which were used exclusively for research support: advisory boards and consultancy fees from Actelion, Novartis, Genzyme-Sanofi, GeNeuro, Hoffmann La Roche, Merck and Siemens Healthineers; speaker fees from Biogen, Hoffmann La Roche, Teva, Novartis, Janssen, Merck and Genzyme-Sanofi; and research grants from Hoffmann La Roche, GeNeuro, Genzyme, Novartis and Biogen. CG is supported by the Swiss National Fund n. PP00P3\_206151, the Hasler Foundation and the Stiftung zur Förderung der gastroenterologischen und allgemeinen klinischen Forschung. H.L. has received honoraria for lectures from Novartis, Biogen, ROCHE, Merck, and Sanofi-Aventis. M.M. reports grants and personal fees from Sanofi-Genzyme, Merck-Serono, Novartis and Almiral. She was awarded a MAGNIMS-ECTRIMS fellowship in 2020. G.P. was supported by MAGNIMS/ECTRIMS research fellowship program in 2020 and ESNR research fellowship program in 2021. A.R. serves/ed on scientific advisory boards for Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme, Synthetic MR, TensorMedical, Roche, and Biogen, and has received speaker honoraria from Bayer, Sanofi-Genzyme, Merck-Serono, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd, Novartis, Roche, Bristol-Myers and Biogen, is CMO and co-founder of TensorMedical, and receives research support from Fondo de Investigación en Salud (PI19/00 950 and PI22/01589) from Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain. J.S-G. declares fees from Sanofi, Biogen, Celgene, Merck, Biopass, Novartis and Roche and receives research support from Fondo de Investigation en Salud (PI19/00950), from Instituo de Salud Carlos III, Spain. M.A.R. received consulting fees from Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Roche; and speaker honoraria from AstraZaneca, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Bromatech, Celgene, Genzyme, Horizon Therapeutics Italy, Merck Serono SpA, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi and Teva. She receives research support from the MS Society of Canada, the Italian Ministry of Health, the Italian Ministry of University and Research, and Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla. She is Associate Editor for Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders

#### Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Brain online.

#### **Appendix 1**

Collaborators: Ludwig Kappos, Gabriele De Luca, Menno Schoonheim.

The authors and collaborators are members of the MAGNIMS Study Group (www.magnims.eu), a group of European clinicians and scientists with an interest in undertaking collaborative studies using MRI methods in multiple sclerosis. The network is independent of any other organization and by the time the workshop this work is based upon was run by a steering committee whose members were: M. A. Rocca, J. Sastre-Garriga, F. Barkhof, O. Ciccarelli, N. de Stefano, M. Filippi, Claudio Gasperini, L. Kappos, Gabriele De Luca, C. Enzinger, À. Rovira, M. Schoonheim, and T. Yousry.

- 1. Filippi M, Bar-Or A, Piehl F, et al. Multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4:43.
- Lee J, Kim H-J. Normal aging induces changes in the brain and neurodegeneration progress: Review of the structural, biochemical, metabolic, cellular, and molecular changes. Front Aging Neurosci. 2022;14:931536.
- 3. Marrie RA, Yu N, Blanchard J, Leung S, Elliott L. The rising prevalence and changing age distribution of multiple sclerosis in Manitoba. *Neurology*. 2010;74:465-471.
- Vaughn CB, Jakimovski D, Kavak KS, et al. Epidemiology and treatment of multiple sclerosis in elderly populations. Nat *Rev Neurol.* 2019;15:329-342.
- Prosperini L, Lucchini M, Ruggieri S, et al. Shift of multiple sclerosis onset towards older age. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2022;93:1137-1139.
- Weideman AM, Tapia-Maltos MA, Johnson K, Greenwood M, Bielekova B. Meta-analysis of the age-dependent efficacy of multiple sclerosis treatments. Front Neurol. 2017;8:577.
- Macaron G, Larochelle C, Arbour N, et al. Impact of aging on treatment considerations for multiple sclerosis patients. *Front Neurol.* 2023;14:1197212.
- Lopez-Otin C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. Hallmarks of aging: An expanding universe. Cell. 2023;186: 243-278.
- Graves JS, Krysko KM, Hua LH, Absinta M, Franklin RJM, Segal BM. Ageing and multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol*. 2023;22:66-77.
- 10. Cevenini E, Monti D, Franceschi C. Inflamm-ageing. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2013;16:14-20.
- Franceschi C, Bonafe M, Valensin S, et al. Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000;908:244-254.
- Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A. Inflammaging: A new immune-metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018;14:576-590.
- Antignano I, Liu Y, Offermann N, Capasso M. Aging microglia. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2023;80:126.
- Yoo H-J, Kwon M-S. Aged microglia in neurodegenerative diseases: Microglia lifespan and culture methods. Front Aging Neurosci. 2021;13:766267.
- Streit WJ, Sammons NW, Kuhns AJ, Sparks DL. Dystrophic microglia in the aging human brain. Glia. 2004;45:208-212.
- 16. Boren E, Gershwin ME. Inflamm-aging: Autoimmunity, and the immune-risk phenotype. Autoimmun Rev. 2004;3:401-406.
- 17. Dejaco C, Duftner C, Schirmer M. Are regulatory T-cells linked with aging? Exp Gerontol. 2006;41:339-345.
- Pinti M, Appay V, Campisi J, et al. Aging of the immune system: Focus on inflammation and vaccination. Eur J Immunol. 2016; 46:2286-2301.
- Dominguez-Villar M, Baecher-Allan CM, Hafler DA. Identification of T helper type 1-like, Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in human autoimmune disease. Nat Med. 2011;17:673-675.
- Venken K, Hellings N, Thewissen M, et al. Compromised CD4+ CD25(high) regulatory T-cell function in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis is correlated with a reduced frequency of FOXP3-positive cells and reduced FOXP3 expression at the single-cell level. *Immunology*. 2008;123: 79-89.
- Claes N, Fraussen J, Vanheusden M, et al. Age-associated B cells with proinflammatory characteristics are expanded in a proportion of multiple sclerosis patients. J Immunol. 2016;197:4576-4583.
- Liu X, Liu Z, Wu Z, et al. Resurrection of endogenous retroviruses during aging reinforces senescence. Cell. 2023;186: 287-304.e26.

- 23. Lunde HMB, Assmus J, Myhr KM, Bo L, Grytten N. Survival and cause of death in multiple sclerosis: A 60-year longitudinal population study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2017;88:621-625.
- Koch-Henriksen N, Laursen B, Stenager E, Magyari M. Excess mortality among patients with multiple sclerosis in Denmark has dropped significantly over the past six decades: A population based study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2017;88:626-631.
- GBD 2016 Multiple Sclerosis Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of multiple sclerosis 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18:269-285.
- van Nierop GP, van Luijn MM, Michels SS, et al. Phenotypic and functional characterization of T cells in white matter lesions of multiple sclerosis patients. Acta Neuropathol. 2017; 134:383-401.
- 27. Machado-Santos J, Saji E, Troscher AR, et al. The compartmentalized inflammatory response in the multiple sclerosis brain is composed of tissue-resident CD8+ T lymphocytes and B cells. Brain. 2018;141:2066-2082.
- Mahad DH, Trapp BD, Lassmann H. Pathological mechanisms in progressive multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* 2015;14:183-193.
- 29. Frischer JM, Weigand SD, Guo Y, et al. Clinical and pathological insights into the dynamic nature of the white matter multiple sclerosis plaque. *Ann Neurol.* 2015;78:710-721.
- Luchetti S, Fransen NL, van Eden CG, Ramaglia V, Mason M, Huitinga I. Progressive multiple sclerosis patients show substantial lesion activity that correlates with clinical disease severity and sex: A retrospective autopsy cohort analysis. Acta Neuropathol. 2018;135:511-528.
- Kutzelnigg A, Lucchinetti CF, Stadelmann C, et al. Cortical demyelination and diffuse white matter injury in multiple sclerosis. Brain. 2005;128(Pt 11):2705-2712.
- Haider L, Zrzavy T, Hametner S, et al. The topograpy of demyelination and neurodegeneration in the multiple sclerosis brain. Brain. 2016;139(Pt 3):807-815.
- Dal-Bianco A, Grabner G, Kronnerwetter C, et al. Long-term evolution of multiple sclerosis iron rim lesions in 7 T MRI. Brain. 2021;144:833-847.
- International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium; MultipleMS Consortium. Locus for severity implicates CNS resilience in progression of multiple sclerosis. Nature. 2023;619: 323-331.
- Filippi M, Preziosa P, Meani A, et al. Performance of the 2017 and 2010 revised McDonald criteria in predicting MS diagnosis after a clinically isolated syndrome: A MAGNIMS study. Neurology. 2022;98:e1-e14.
- Feng S, Yang M, Liu S, He Y, Deng S, Gong Y. Oxidative stress as a bridge between age and stroke: A narrative review. J Intensive Med. 2023;3:313-319.
- Hametner S, Wimmer I, Haider L, Pfeifenbring S, Bruck W, Lassmann H. Iron and neurodegeneration in the multiple sclerosis brain. Ann Neurol. 2013;74:848-861.
- 38. Hallgren B, Sourander P. The effect of age on the non-haemin iron in the human brain. J Neurochem. 1958;3:41-51.
- Ritzel RM, Li Y, Jiao Y, et al. Brain injury accelerates the onset of a reversible age-related microglial phenotype associated with inflammatory neurodegeneration. Sci Adv. 2023;9:eadd1101.
- Enduru N, Fernandes B, Bahrami S, Dai Y, Andreassen OA, Zhao Z. Genetic overlap between Alzheimer's disease and immune-mediated diseases: An atlas of shared genetic determinants and biological convergence. Mol Psychiatry. 2024;29: 2447-2458.
- Sobue A, Komine O, Yamanaka K. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: Microglial signature and their relevance to disease. *Inflamm Regen.* 2023;43:26.

- 42. Schattling B, Engler JB, Volkmann C, et al. Bassoon proteinopathy drives neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22:887-896.
- Kuhlmann T, Moccia M, Coetzee T, et al. Multiple sclerosis progression: Time for a new mechanism-driven framework. Lancet Neurol. 2023;22:78-88.
- Rawji KS, Neumann B, Franklin RJM. Glial aging and its impact on central nervous system myelin regeneration. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2023;1519:34-45.
- 45. Geraldes R, Esiri MM, Perera R, et al. Vascular disease and multiple sclerosis: A post-mortem study exploring their relationships. *Brain*. 2020;143:2998-3012.
- Depp C, Sun T, Sasmita AO, et al. Myelin dysfunction drives amyloid-beta deposition in models of Alzheimer's disease. Nature. 2023;618:349-357.
- Chen P, Guo Z, Zhou B. Disease-associated oligodendrocyte: New player in Alzheimer's disease and CNS pathologies. *J Integr Neurosci.* 2023;22:90.
- Dal Bianco A, Bradl M, Frischer J, Kutzelnigg A, Jellinger K, Lassmann H. Multiple sclerosis and Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol. 2008;63:174-183.
- Virchow R. Ueber die Erweiterung kleinerer Gefäfse. Archiv für Pathologische Anatomie und Physiologie und für Klinische Medicin. 1851;3:427-462.
- Engelhardt B, Carare RO, Bechmann I, Flugel A, Laman JD, Weller RO. Vascular, glial, and lymphatic immune gateways of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;132:317-338.
- Bown CW, Carare RO, Schrag MS, Jefferson AL. Physiology and clinical relevance of enlarged perivascular spaces in the aging brain. *Neurology*. 2022;98:107-117.
- Brown R, Benveniste H, Black SE, et al. Understanding the role of the perivascular space in cerebral small vessel disease. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2018;114:1462-1473.
- 53. Nicholas R, Magliozzi R, Marastoni D, et al. High levels of perivascular inflammation and active demyelinating lesions at time of death associated with rapidly progressive multiple sclerosis disease course: A retrospective postmortem cohort study. Ann Neurol. 2023;95:706-719.
- Horsburgh K, Wardlaw JM, van Agtmael T, et al. Small vessels, dementia and chronic diseases—Molecular mechanisms and pathophysiology. Clin Sci (Lond). 2018;132:851-868.
- 55. Wuerfel J, Haertle M, Waiczies H, et al. Perivascular spaces-MRI marker of inflammatory activity in the brain? *Brain*. 2008;131(Pt 9):2332-2340.
- Ineichen BV, Cananau C, Platten M, et al. Dilated Virchow-Robin spaces are a marker for arterial disease in multiple sclerosis. EBioMedicine. 2023;92:104631.
- Schubert JJ, Veronese M, Marchitelli L, et al. Dynamic (11)C-PiB PET shows cerebrospinal fluid flow alterations in Alzheimer disease and multiple sclerosis. J Nucl Med. 2019;60:1452-1460.
- Carotenuto A, Cacciaguerra L, Pagani E, Preziosa P, Filippi M, Rocca MA. Glymphatic system impairment in multiple sclerosis: Relation with brain damage and disability. *Brain*. 2022; 145:2785-2795.
- Pardini M, Brown JWL, Magliozzi R, Reynolds R, Chard DT. Surface-in pathology in multiple sclerosis: A new view on pathogenesis? Brain. 2021;144:1646-1654.
- Francis F, Ballerini L, Wardlaw JM. Perivascular spaces and their associations with risk factors, clinical disorders and neuroimaging features: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Stroke. 2019;14:359-371.
- 61. Barnes A, Ballerini L, Valdes Hernandez MDC, et al. Topological relationships between perivascular spaces and progression of white matter hyperintensities: A pilot study in a sample of the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Front Neurol. 2022;13:889884.

- 62. Guisset F, Lolli V, Bugli C, et al. The central vein sign in multiple sclerosis patients with vascular comorbidities. *Mult Scler*. 2021; 27:1057-1065.
- 63. Noseworthy J, Paty D, Wonnacott T, Feasby T, Ebers G. Multiple-sclerosis after age 50. Neurology. 1983;33:1537.
- 64. D'Amico E, Patti F, Zanghi A, Chisari CG, Lo Fermo S, Zappia M. Late-onset and young-onset relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: Evidence from a retrospective long-term follow-up study. *Eur J Neurol.* 2018;25:1425-1431.
- 65. Polliack ML, Barak Y, Achiron A. Late-onset multiple sclerosis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2001;49:168-171.
- 66. Reich DS, Lucchinetti CF, Calabresi PA. Multiple Sclerosis. New Engl J Med. 2018;378:169-180.
- Mirmosayyeb O, Brand S, Barzegar M, et al. Clinical characteristics and disability progression of early- and late-onset multiple sclerosis compared to adult-onset multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9:1326.
- Jakimovski D, Dujmic D, Hagemeier J, et al. Late onset multiple sclerosis is associated with more severe ventricle expansion. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2020;46:102588.
- Martinelli V, Rodegher M, Moiola L, Comi G. Late onset multiple sclerosis: Clinical characteristics, prognostic factors and differential diagnosis. *Neurol Sci.* 2004;25(Suppl 4):S350-S355.
- Naseri A, Nasiri E, Sahraian MA, Daneshvar S, Talebi M. Clinical features of late-onset multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mult Scler Relat Dis. 2021;50:102816.
- Lorefice L, Ferraro OE, Fenu G, et al. Late-onset multiple sclerosis: Disability trajectories in relapsing-remitting patients of the Italian MS registry. J Neurol. 2024;271:1630-1637.
- Alroughani R, Akhtar S, Ahmed S, Behbehani R, Al-Hashel J. Is time to reach EDSS 6.0 faster in patients with late-onset versus young-onset multiple sclerosis? PLoS One. 2016;11: e0165846.
- Lotti CBD, Oliveira ASB, Bichuetti DB, de Castro I, Oliveira EML. Late onset multiple sclerosis: Concerns in aging patients. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2017;75:451-456.
- 74. Knowles S, Middleton R, Cooze B, et al. Comparing the pathology, clinical, and demographic characteristics of younger and older-onset multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2024;95:471-486.
- 75. Fernandez A, Quintana E, Velasco P, et al. Senescent accelerated prone 8 (SAMP8) mice as a model of age dependent neuroinflammation. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2021;18:75.
- Marrie RA, Fisk J, Tremlett H, et al. Differing trends in the incidence of vascular comorbidity in MS and the general population. Neurol Clin Pract. 2016;6:120-128.
- Calabrese M, Gajofatto A, Gobbin F, et al. Late-onset multiple sclerosis presenting with cognitive dysfunction and severe cortical/infratentorial atrophy. *Mult Scler J.* 2015;21:580-589.
- Pagnotti RB, Hua LH, Miller JB. Cognition and disease characteristics in adult onset versus late onset multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler J. 2022;28:933-941.
- Roth AK, Denney DR, Burns JM, Lynch SG. Cognition in older patients with multiple sclerosis compared to patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment and healthy older adults. *Neuropsychology*. 2018;32:654-663.
- Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. Lancet Neurol. 2018;17:162-173.
- Geraldes R, Jurynczyk M, Dos Passos G, et al. Distinct influence of different vascular risk factors on white matter brain lesions in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020;91:388-391.
- Geraldes R, Jurynczyk M, Dos Passos GR, et al. The role of pontine lesion location in differentiating multiple sclerosis from vascular risk factor-related small vessel disease. *Mult Scler*. 2021;27:968-972.

- Del Brutto OH, Mera RM, Costa AF, Silva P, Del Brutto VJ. Dawson fingers in older adults with cerebral small vessel disease: A population study. *Eur Neurol.* 2020;83:421-425.
- Nyquist PA, Bilgel M, Gottesman R, et al. Age differences in periventricular and deep white matter lesions. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2015;36:1653-1658.
- Arrambide G, Tintore M, Auger C, et al. Lesion topographies in multiple sclerosis diagnosis: A reappraisal. *Neurology*. 2017;89: 2351-2356.
- Filippi M, Preziosa P, Banwell BL, et al. Assessment of lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis: Practical guidelines. Brain. 2019;142:1858-1875.
- Erbay SH, Brewer E, French R, et al. T2 hyperintensity of medial lemniscus is an indicator of small-vessel disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2012;199:163-168.
- Hakky MM, Erbay KD, Brewer E, Midle JB, French R, Erbay SH. T2 hyperintensity of medial lemniscus: Higher threshold application to ROI measurements is more accurate in predicting small vessel disease. J Neuroimaging. 2013;23:345-351.
- Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12: 822-838.
- Agosta F, Lagana M, Valsasina P, et al. Evidence for cervical cord tissue disorganisation with aging by diffusion tensor MRI. Neuroimage. 2007;36:728-735.
- Thorpe JW, Kidd D, Kendall BE, et al. Spinal cord MRI using multi-array coils and fast spin echo. I. Technical aspects and findings in healthy adults. *Neurology*. 1993;43:2625-2631.
- Lycklama a Nijeholt GJ, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, et al. MR of the spinal cord in multiple sclerosis: Relation to clinical subtype and disability. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1997;18:1041-1048.
- Buchman AS, Leurgans SE, Nag S, et al. Spinal arteriolosclerosis is common in older adults and associated with parkinsonism. Stroke. 2017;48:2792-2798.
- Castellaro M, Tamanti A, Pisani AI, Pizzini FB, Crescenzo F, Calabrese M. The use of the central vein sign in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020;10:1025.
- Maggi P, Absinta M, Grammatico M, et al. Central vein sign differentiates Multiple Sclerosis from central nervous system inflammatory vasculopathies. Ann Neurol. 2018;83:283-294.
- Sinnecker T, Clarke MA, Meier D, et al. Evaluation of the central vein sign as a diagnostic imaging biomarker in multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76:1446-1456.
- Cortese R, Prados Carrasco F, Tur C, et al. Differentiating multiple sclerosis from AQP4-neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder and MOG-antibody disease with imaging. *Neurology*. 2023;100:e308-e323.
- Daboul L, O'Donnell CM, Amin M, et al. A multicenter pilot study evaluating simplified central vein assessment for the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2024;30:25-34.
- Solomon AJ, Watts R, Ontaneda D, Absinta M, Sati P, Reich DS. Diagnostic performance of central vein sign for multiple sclerosis with a simplified three-lesion algorithm. *Mult Scler*. 2018; 24:750-757.
- 100. Clarke MA, Pareto D, Pessini-Ferreira L, et al. Value of 3T susceptibility-weighted imaging in the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2020;41:1001-1008.
- 101. Ng Kee Kwong KC, Mollison D, Meijboom R, et al. The prevalence of paramagnetic rim lesions in multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2021;16: e0256845.
- 102. Marrie RA. Comorbidity in multiple sclerosis: Implications for patient care. Nat Rev Neurol. 2017;13:375-382.

- 103. Geraldes R, Esiri MM, DeLuca GC, Palace J. Age-related small vessel disease: A potential contributor to neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis. *Brain Pathol.* 2017;27:707-722.
- 104. Petruzzo M, Reia A, Maniscalco GT, et al. The Framingham cardiovascular risk score and 5-year progression of multiple sclerosis. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28:893-900.
- 105. Narayana PA, Zhou Y, Hasan KM, Datta S, Sun X, Wolinsky JS. Hypoperfusion and T1-hypointense lesions in white matter in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2014;20:365-373.
- 106. Negrotto L, Farez MF, Correale J. Immunologic effects of metformin and pioglitazone treatment on metabolic syndrome and multiple sclerosis. JAMA Neurol. 2016;73:520-528.
- 107. Marrie RA, Fisk JD, Fitzgerald K, et al. Etiology, effects and management of comorbidities in multiple sclerosis: Recent advances. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1197195.
- 108. Salter A, Kowalec K, Fitzgerald KC, Cutter G, Marrie RA. Comorbidity is associated with disease activity in MS: Findings from the CombiRx trial. Neurology. 2020;95:e446-e456.
- 109. Williams T, John N, Calvi A, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis: A cross-sectional analysis from the MS-STAT2 randomized controlled trial. Eur J Neurol. 2023;30:2769-2780.
- 110. Pichler A, Khalil M, Langkammer C, et al. The impact of vascular risk factors on brain volume and lesion load in patients with early multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2019;25:48-54.
- 111. Jakimovski D, Gandhi S, Paunkoski I, et al. Hypertension and heart disease are associated with development of brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis: A 5-year longitudinal study. *Eur J Neurol*. 2019;26:87-e8.
- 112. Kappus N, Weinstock-Guttman B, Hagemeier J, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors are associated with increased lesion burden and brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2016;87:181-187.
- 113. Lorefice L, Frau J, Coghe G, et al. Assessing the burden of vascular risk factors on brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis: A case- control MRI study. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2019;27:74-78.
- 114. Fitzgerald KC, Damian A, Conway D, Mowry EM. Vascular comorbidity is associated with lower brain volumes and lower neuroperformance in a large multiple sclerosis cohort. Mult Scler. 2021;27:1914-1923.
- 115. Weinstock-Guttman B, Zivadinov R, Mahfooz N, et al. Serum lipid profiles are associated with disability and MRI outcomes in multiple sclerosis. J Neuroinflammation. 2011;8:127.
- 116. Manuel Escobar J, Cortese M, Edan G, et al. Body mass index as a predictor of MS activity and progression among participants in BENEFIT. Mult Scler. 2022;28:1277-1285.
- 117. Ben-Zacharia AB, Janal MN, Brody AA, Wolinsky J, Lublin F, Cutter G. The effect of body mass Index on brain volume and cognitive function in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: A CombiRx secondary analysis. J Cent Nerv Syst Dis. 2021;13: 11795735211042173.
- 118. Galioto R, Berenholz O, Wang Z, Conway DS, Planchon SM, Rao SM. Does obesity exacerbate brain lesion volume and atrophy in patients with multiple sclerosis? Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2020; 46:102502.
- 119. Marrie RA, Patel R, Figley CR, et al. Higher Framingham Risk Scores are associated with greater loss of brain volume over time in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2021;54: 103088.
- 120. Bethlehem RAI, Seidlitz J, White SR, et al. Brain charts for the human lifespan. Nature. 2022;604:525-533.
- Eshaghi A, Marinescu RV, Young AL, et al. Progression of regional grey matter atrophy in multiple sclerosis. Brain. 2018; 141:1665-1677.

- 122. Eshaghi A, Prados F, Brownlee WJ, et al. Deep gray matter volume loss drives disability worsening in multiple sclerosis. Ann
- 123. Coupe P, Planche V, Mansencal B, et al. Lifespan neurodegeneration of the human brain in multiple sclerosis. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2023;44:5602-5611.

Neurol. 2018:83:210-222.

- 124. Azevedo CJ, Cen SY, Jaberzadeh A, Zheng L, Hauser SL, Pelletier D. Contribution of normal aging to brain atrophy in MS. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm. 2019;6:e616.
- 125. Krysko KM, Henry RG, Cree BAC, et al. Telomere length is associated with disability progression in multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 2019;86:671-682.
- 126. Cole JH, Franke K. Predicting age using neuroimaging: Innovative brain ageing biomarkers. *Trends Neurosci.* 2017;40:681-690.
- 127. Cole JH, Raffel J, Friede T, et al. Longitudinal assessment of multiple sclerosis with the brain-age paradigm. Ann Neurol. 2020;88:93-105.
- 128. Kaufmann T, van der Meer D, Doan NT, et al. Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns of apparent aging of the brain. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22:1617-1623.
- 129. Moller HE, Bossoni L, Connor JR, et al. Iron, myelin, and the brain: Neuroimaging meets neurobiology. Trends Neurosci. 2019;42:384-401.
- 130. Zierfuss B, Wang Z, Jackson AN, Moezzi D, Yong VW. Iron in multiple sclerosis—Neuropathology, immunology, and realworld considerations. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2023;78:104934.
- 131. Madden DJ, Merenstein JL. Quantitative susceptibility mapping of brain iron in healthy aging and cognition. *Neuroimage*. 2023;282:120401.
- Northall A, Doehler J, Weber M, Vielhaber S, Schreiber S, Kuehn E. Layer-specific vulnerability is a mechanism of topographic map aging. Neurobiol Aging. 2023;128:17-32.
- 133. Chen X, Lu P, Ocampo-Pineda M, et al. Normative trajectories of R1, R2\*, and susceptibility values of the healthy human brain cortex. In: Proceedings of International Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine; 2024:0576.
- 134. Khalil M, Langkammer C, Pichler A, et al. Dynamics of brain iron levels in multiple sclerosis: A longitudinal 3T MRI study. *Neurology*. 2015;84:2396-2402.
- 135. Elkady AM, Cobzas D, Sun H, Blevins G, Wilman AH. Progressive iron accumulation across multiple sclerosis phenotypes revealed by sparse classification of deep gray matter. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2017;46:1464-1473.
- 136. Schweser F, Raffaini Duarte Martins AL, Hagemeier J, et al. Mapping of thalamic magnetic susceptibility in multiple sclerosis indicates decreasing iron with disease duration: A proposed mechanistic relationship between inflammation and oligodendrocyte vitality. *Neuroimage*. 2018;167:438-452.
- 137. Pontillo G, Petracca M, Monti S, et al. Unraveling deep gray matter atrophy and iron and myelin changes in multiple sclerosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2021;42:1223-1230.
- 138. van der Weijden CWJ, Biondetti E, Gutmann IW, et al. Quantitative myelin imaging with MRI and PET: An overview of techniques and their validation status. *Brain.* 2023;146: 1243-1266.
- 139. Lakhani DA, Schilling KG, Xu J, Bagnato F. Advanced multicompartment diffusion MRI models and their application in multiple sclerosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2020;41:751-757.
- 140. Shin HG, Lee J, Yun YH, et al.  $\chi$ -separation: Magnetic susceptibility source separation toward iron and myelin mapping in the brain. *Neuroimage*. 2021;240:118371.
- 141. Mancini M, Karakuzu A, Cohen-Adad J, Cercignani M, Nichols TE, Stikov N. An interactive meta-analysis of MRI biomarkers of myelin. Elife. 2020;9:e61523.

- 142. Brown RA, Narayanan S, Arnold DL. Imaging of repeated episodes of demyelination and remyelination in multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014;6:20-25.
- 143. Kitzler HH, Wahl H, Kuntke P, et al. Exploring in vivo lesion myelination dynamics: Longitudinal myelin water imaging in early multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2022;36:103192.
- 144. Alsop DC, Ercan E, Girard OM, et al. Inhomogeneous magnetization transfer imaging: Concepts and directions for further development. NMR Biomed. 2023;36:e4808.
- 145. York EN, Thrippleton MJ, Meijboom R, Hunt DPJ, Waldman AD. Quantitative magnetization transfer imaging in relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Brain Commun. 2022;4:fcac088.
- 146. Zhang L, Wen B, Chen T, et al. A comparison study of inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) and magnetization transfer (MT) in multiple sclerosis based on whole brain acquisition at 3.0 T. Magn Reson Imaging. 2020;70:43-49.
- 147. Van Obberghen E, McHinda S, le Troter A, et al. Evaluation of the sensitivity of inhomogeneous magnetization transfer (ihMT) MRI for multiple sclerosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2018;39:634-641.
- 148. Faizy TD, Thaler C, Broocks G, et al. The myelin water fraction serves as a marker for age-related myelin alterations in the cerebral white matter—A multiparametric MRI aging study. *Front Neurosci.* 2020;14:136.
- 149. Hagiwara A, Fujimoto K, Kamagata K, et al. Age-related changes in relaxation times, proton density, myelin, and tissue volumes in adult brain analyzed by 2–dimensional quantitative synthetic magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest Radiol.* 2021;56:163-172.

- 150. Zhou L, Li Y, Sweeney EM, et al. Association of brain tissue cerebrospinal fluid fraction with age in healthy cognitively normal adults. Front Aging Neurosci. 2023;15:1162001.
- 151. Gasperini C, Prosperini L, Tintore M, et al. Unraveling treatment response in multiple sclerosis: A clinical and MRI challenge. *Neurology*. 2019;92:180-192.
- 152. Koch MW, Mostert J, Zhang Y, et al. Association of age with contrast-enhancing lesions across the multiple sclerosis disease spectrum. *Neurology*. 2021;97:e1334-e1342.
- 153. Debette S, Schilling S, Duperron M-G, Larsson SC, Markus HS. Clinical significance of magnetic resonance imaging markers of vascular brain injury: A systematic review and metaanalysis. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76:81-94.
- 154. Corboy JR, Fox RJ, Kister I, et al. Risk of new disease activity in patients with multiple sclerosis who continue or discontinue disease-modifying therapies (DISCOMS): A multicentre, randomised, single-blind, phase 4, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 2023;22:568-577.
- 155. Rio J, Rovira A, Tintore M, et al. Disability progression markers over 6–12 years in interferon-beta-treated multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler.* 2018;24:322-330.
- 156. Strijbis EM, Coerver E, Mostert J, et al. Association of age and inflammatory disease activity in the pivotal natalizumab clinical trials in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2023;94:792-799.
- 157. Coerver E, Janssens S, Ahmed A, et al. Association between age and inflammatory disease activity on magnetic resonance imaging in relapse onset multiple sclerosis during long-term follow-up. *Eur J Neurol.* 2023;30:2385-2392.