DOI: 10.1111/cas.16341

### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Cancer Science WILEY**

# **Prognosis prediction of PDAC via detection of** *O***-glycan altered extracellular vesicles in perioperative sera**

**Sho Uemur[a1](#page-0-0)** | **Yasuaki Kab[e2,3](#page-0-1)** | **Minoru Kitag[o1](#page-0-0)** | **Sachiko Matsud[a1](#page-0-0)** | **Yuta Ab[e1](#page-0-0)** | **Yasushi Hasegaw[a1](#page-0-0)** | **Shutaro Hor[i1](#page-0-0)** | **Masayuki Tanak[a1](#page-0-0)** | **Yutaka Nakan[o1](#page-0-0)** | **Yasunori Sato[4](#page-0-2)** | **Makoto Itonaga[5](#page-0-3)** | **Masayuki Ono[6](#page-0-4)** | **Tatsuya Kawakami[6](#page-0-4)** | **Makoto Suemats[u2](#page-0-1)** | **Yuko Kitagaw[a1](#page-0-0)**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>1 Department of Surgery, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

<span id="page-0-1"></span>2 Department of Biochemistry, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

3 Department of Biochemistry, Kochi University Medical School, Nankoku, Kochi, Japan

<span id="page-0-2"></span>4 Department of Biostatistics, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

<span id="page-0-3"></span>5 Healthcare Division, Jvckenwood Corporation, Yokohama, Japan

<span id="page-0-4"></span>6 Future Creation Research Laboratory, Jvckenwood Corporation, Yokohama, Japan

#### **Correspondence**

Yasuaki Kabe, Department of Biochemistry, Keio University School of Medicine, 35 Shinano-machi Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan. Email: [ykabe@z3.keio.jp](mailto:ykabe@z3.keio.jp)

Minoru Kitago, Department of Surgery, Keio University School of Medicine, 35 Shinano-machi Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160- 8582, Japan. Email: [dragonpegasus@keio.jp](mailto:dragonpegasus@keio.jp)

#### **Funding information**

JSPS KAKENHI, Grant/Award Number: 18K06921, 19K18132 and 24K11897; Moonshot Research and Development, Grant/Award Number: JPMJPS2022; joint research agreement with JVCKENWOOD Corporation, Grant/Award Number: 2021122; JST ERATO Suematsu Gas Biology Project; AMED-CREST, Grant/ Award Number: JPgm0710010

#### **Abstract**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a fatal malignancy due to the difficulty in diagnosis and poor prognosis because of the high recurrence rate, necessitating reliable biomarkers to improve the diagnosis and prognosis. However, the existing markers have limitations. We previously identified extracellular vesicles (EVs) recognized by O-glycan-binding lectins (*Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin [ACA]) as a novel diagnostic biomarker for PDAC using an EV-counting system (ExoCounter). This retrospective study analyzed changes in ACA-positive EVs in perioperative PDAC serum and its association with prognosis using ExoCounter. Absolute EV levels in the pre- and postoperative sera of 44 patients who underwent curative pancreatectomy for PDAC were quantified using ExoCounter. The carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels declined in most samples postoperatively, and presented no correlation with poor prognosis. In contrast, ACA-positive EVs increased in serum at 7 days postoperatively in 27 of 44 patients (61.4%). We therefore divided participants with ACA-positive EVs before and after surgery into elevation and decline groups. The overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) of patients with higher ACA-positive EVs were significantly shorter than those with lower ACA-positive EVs (26.1 months vs. not reached, *P*= 0.018; 11.9 vs. 38.6 months, *P*= 0.013). Multivariable analysis revealed that ACApositive EV elevation in postoperative serum was an independent prognostic factor for poor OS (hazard ratio [HR] = 3.891, *P*= 0.023) and RFS (HR = 2.650, *P*= 0.024). The detection of ACA-positive EVs in perioperative serum may be used to predict the prognosis of PDAC in the early postoperative period.

#### **KEYWORDS**

extracellular vesicles, lectin, liquid biopsy, O-glycan, pancreatic cancer

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2024 The Author(s). *Cancer Science* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japanese Cancer Association.

# **1**  | **INTRODUCTION**

Despite progress in medical and health sciences, the prognosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) remains poor. The 5-year survival rate of patients with PDAC is approximately 10%, which is mainly attributable to aggressive tumor behavior and delay in clini-cal detection.<sup>[1](#page-8-0)</sup> Although surgical resection is the only curative treatment for PDAC, only 10%–20% of patients have resectable disease at initial presentation.<sup>[1,2](#page-8-0)</sup> Furthermore, 80% of patients experience disease recurrence postoperatively,<sup>[3](#page-9-0)</sup> and the 5-year survival rate after resection for PDAC is approximately 20%.<sup>[4](#page-9-1)</sup> In recent years, multidisciplinary treatment, including preoperative treatment and surgery, has become a focal point for improving the diagnosis and prognosis of PDAC. $5-7$  Identifying a reliable biomarker is crucial for determining surgical indications, evaluating treatment efficacy, and predicting prognosis.

The most commonly used serum biomarker for detecting pancreatic cancer and predicting its prognosis is carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9). The CA19-9 levels are elevated in 69%–92% of patients with PDAC, $^8$  $^8$  and preoperative elevation in CA19-9 levels can predict the long-term prognosis. $9,10$  In addition, several liquid biopsy biomarkers have been evaluated to facilitate prognosis prediction,  $11$  but the prognosis for PDAC has not improved. Overall, there is dearth of reliable biological markers to guide adjuvant therapy and determine the prognosis of PDAC.

In PDAC, liquid biopsy analysis of cell-free DNA, circulating tumor cells, and extracellular vesicles (EVs) from patients' blood samples, ascites fluid, saliva, or urine has been widely used for the detection of cancer-related mutations, disease diagnosis at an early stage, and monitoring the treatment response. $12-17$  EVs, measuring 40–160 nm in diameter, are membranous vesicles with a lipid bilayer that are actively released by most cells and circulate stably in body fluids. $18$  EVs contain genetic biomaterials, such as nucleic acids and proteins, and contribute to intercellular communication. $18-21$  EVs via are superior to other biomarkers, such as circulating tumor cells and DNA, for liquid biopsy assessment because of the abundance of EVs in biological fluids, inherent copious biological information from living cells, and innate stability conferred by the lipid bilayer. $21-23$ Several studies have suggested that quantitative determination of EVs in blood samples would be clinically helpful for the diagnosis and prognostication of cancer.<sup>[24,25](#page-9-9)</sup>

Despite the importance of EV analysis, accurate quantification of EVs is encumbered by their small size and abundance of contaminants such as lipids and protein aggregates in body fluids. We developed an EV counting system, ExoCounter (JVC), by combining the properties of nanobeads with optical disc technology.<sup>[26](#page-9-10)</sup> This system facilitated detection of the absolute number of specific EVs in serum, and we successfully detected a specific elevation in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive EVs in sera obtained from patients with ovarian and breast cancer.<sup>[26](#page-9-10)</sup> Recently, we showed that O-glycan altered EVs, which are recognized by *Agaricus bisporus* aggulutinin or *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin (ACA) lectins, were significantly elevated in PDAC sera in the early stage.<sup>[15](#page-9-11)</sup> In that study,

 **BEMURA ET AL. | 3719 CANCEL SCIENCE** - WILEY  $\frac{13719}{2719}$ 

the O-glycan altered EVs were reduced in the postoperative sera compared with those in the preoperative sera; however, the relationship between the change in their perioperative levels and prognosis, such as recurrence or survival after surgery, remains unclear.

In this study, we aimed to develop a system to predict the poor postoperative prognosis of PDAC by analyzing changes in ACApositive EVs in pre- and postoperative sera.

# **2**  | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **2.1**  | **Patients**

The data of patients who underwent curative pancreatectomy for PDAC at Keio University Hospital between January 2016 and March 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. Invasive ductal adenocarcinoma was confirmed histologically in all patients. Patients who underwent R2 resection and those who did not undergo pre- and postoperative serum sampling were excluded. This study is a retrospective study and lacks randomization and blinding.

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Keio University School of Medicine (No. 20170086) and conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

### **2.2**  | **Clinicopathological characteristics**

This study analyzed preoperative clinical variables such as sex, age, presence of diabetes mellitus, treatment with neoadjuvant therapy, and surgical procedure. The postoperative variables analyzed were operative time, blood loss, and complications graded according to the Clavien-Dindo classification.

The pathological stage was determined according to the eighth edition of the Union for international cancer control (UICC) TNM classification. R0 resection was characterized by the absence of residual tumor, R1 resection by microscopically positive margins, and R2 resection showed some remnant gross tumor. The histologically evaluated prognostic pathological parameters included tumor size, lymph node metastasis, lymphatic infiltration, venous infiltration, intrapancreatic nerve infiltration, distal bile duct invasion, duodenal invasion, serosal invasion, retropancreatic tissue invasion, portal vein invasion, arterial invasion, extrapancreatic nerve plexus inva-sion, and invasion of other organs.<sup>[27](#page-9-12)</sup>

#### **2.3**  | **Serum sample collection**

Preoperative serum samples (12 mL) were collected 1 day before or on the day of the operation. Postoperative serum samples (12 mL) were obtained 7 days after the operation or on the day before hospital discharge if patients showed no signs of inflammation, such as high fever and elevated levels of inflammatory indicators in blood **3720 <sup>|</sup>**  UEMURA et al.

tests. ACA-positive EVs were measured using pre- and postoperative serum samples. The CA19-9 serum levels were measured in the first postoperative outpatient blood sample as well as in other general blood tests. The first postoperative outpatient visit was usually held approximately 2 weeks after discharge from the hospital.

Blood samples were collected in Venoject II tubes (Terumo) and processed on the same day as sample collection. To separate serum from peripheral blood cells, the samples were centrifuged at 1900*g* at 4°C for 10 min, and the sera were stored at −80°C.

# **2.4**  | **Antibody and lectin**

The anti-cluster of differentiation 9 (anti-CD9) antibody (clone 12A12, Cosmo Bio Co.) and the ACA lectin (EY Laboratories) were used for analysis.

# **2.5**  | **Preparation of antibody-conjugated nanobeads**

Carboxylated affinity magnetic nanobeads (Formyl Glycine beads) were purchased from Tamagawa Seiki (Nagano). The beads were incubated with 200 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride and N-hydroxysuccinimide in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) ( $pH = 7.4$ ) for 4h at room temperature. The beads were washed with 50 mM acetate buffer (pH = 5.2), followed by incubation with 1.0 g/L anti-CD9 antibody in acetate buffer overnight at 4°C. The beads were then incubated with 1 M ethanolamine in PBS for 5 h at 4°C. The antibody-conjugated beads were washed and stored in 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinnethanesulfon ic acid (HEPES) buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH = 7.9]), 50 mM KCl, 1 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 0.1% Tween 20 at 4°C.

# **2.6**  | **Isolation of EVs from serum**

The EVs were isolated using size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using qEV single 70-nm columns (IZON). The qEV column was equilibrated with 4 mL of PBS. Thereafter, serum samples (100 μL) centrifuged at 2000*g* at 4°C for 5 min were applied to the column. The column was loaded with 1.1 mL PBS (discarded) and the EV fraction was eluted with 600 μL of PBS.

# **2.7**  | **Quantification of EVs with ExoCounter**

The EV fractions from pre- and postoperative sera were analyzed with ExoCounter using the following protocol. An optical disc was attached to a removal plate containing 16 wells for injecting samples. Each well was coated with 5 μg/mL of lectin (ACA) or antibody (anti-CD9 antibody) in carbonate buffer ( $pH = 9.6$ ) for 30 $min$ at 37°C. After washing with PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T),

the disc was incubated with a blocking solution in PBS-T (ACA:1% Carbo-free blocking solution; Vector Laboratories, Inc.) or anti-CD9 antibody:0.1% skim milk for 30 min at 37°C.

The EV fraction (50 μL sample) was incubated for 2 h at 37°C, followed by washing three times with PBS-T. Subsequently, approximately  $1.6\times10^8$  anti-CD9-antibody conjugated beads in blocking solution (0.1% casein in PBS-T for ACA or 0.1% skim milk in PBS-T for anti-CD9 antibody) were incubated for 2 min under a magnetic field. Each well was first washed with PBS-T and then with deionized water. The disc was dried in a thermostatic oven at 37°C for 15 min, and the ACA positive- or CD9 positive-EVs were quantified with ExoCounter. The counts of ACA-positive EVs from the pre- and postoperative samples were normalized to the counts of the CD9 positive EVs from the same samples.

### **2.8**  | **Statistical analysis**

For the patient characteristics, summary statistics were constructed using frequencies and proportions for categorical data, and means and standard deviations (SDs) or medians and ranges for continuous variables. We compared patient characteristics using chi-squared or Fisher's exact test for categorical outcomes and *t*-tests for continuous variables, as appropriate.

For time-to-event outcomes, the lengths of time to a first event were compared using the log-rank test, while the Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the absolute risk of each event for each group. Moreover, hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by the Cox proportional hazards model. To identify pre- and postoperative variables associated with overall survival (OS), multivariable analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazard model with a stepwise selection procedure. The stepwise procedure was set to a threshold of 0.05 for inclusion and 0.05 for exclusion.

A *P* value <0.01 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were initially performed by using the IBM SPSS statistics version 27.0 (IBM Japan).

# **3**  | **RESULTS**

### **3.1**  | **Patient characteristics**

A total of 128 patients underwent curative resection at our institution between January 2016 and March 2020. Eighty-four patients were excluded because of incomplete pre- and postoperative serum samples or lack of informed consent; the remaining 44 patients were enrolled in this study.

The patients' background characteristics are summarized in Table [1](#page-3-0). The median age of all patients was 72 years and 28 patients (63%) were men. Fourteen patients (32%) received neoadjuvant treatment and 38 patients (86%) underwent adjuvant chemotherapy after resection. The median hospitalization duration was 22 days and <span id="page-3-0"></span>**TABLE 1** Clinicopathological characteristics of patients in the two groups.



Abbreviations: ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; DP, distal pancreatectomy; EV, extracellular vesicle; PD, pancreatoduodenectomy; TP, total pancreatectomy.

12 patients (27%) experienced postoperative morbidity. The serum levels of CA19-9 were >100 (U/mL) in 18 (41%) and three (7%) patients pre- and postoperatively, respectively.

(Group 1) and the ACA-positive EV decline group (Group 2). The waterfall plot of the change in the ratio of ACA-positive EVs during operation identified 27 patients (27/44, 61.4%) in Group 1 and 17 patients (17/44, 38.6%) in Group 2 (Figure [3C](#page-6-0)).

# **3.2**  | **Analysis of changes in ACA-positive EVs between pre- and postoperative PDAC sera using ExoCounter**

To evaluate ACA-positive EVs, an analysis was performed using the experimental method schematically depicted in Figure [1](#page-4-0). The EV fraction of PDAC sera from pre- and postoperative patients was obtained using SEC purification. The EVs isolated with SEC were validated using nanoparticle tracking analysis and scanning trans-mission electron microscopy (Figure [2A,B\)](#page-5-0). The purified EVs were quantified using the exosome-counting system, ExoCounter, which can measure the absolute number of EVs by detecting the FG bead– EV complexes on the optical disc using an optical disc drive. The ACA-positive EVs were counted using ACA-coated discs and anti-CD9 antibody conjugated FG beads. We also analyzed CD9/CD9 double-positive EVs, and the counts of ACA-positive EVs were normalized to the counts of CD9/CD9 double-positive EVs. Based on this protocol, we evaluated the changes in ACA-positive EVs and CA19-9 levels between pre- and postoperative PDAC serum samples (Figure [3A,B](#page-6-0)). While the CA19-9 levels were significantly lower in the postoperative sera compared to the preoperative samples (*P*= 0.031), no significant difference was detected between the preoperative ACA-positive EVs (P=0.961). Examination of the changes in ACA-positive EVs in each serum sample led to stratification into two groups: the postoperative ACA-positive EV elevation group

# **3.3**  | **Increased ACA-positive EVs in postoperative sera were highly correlated with poor prognosis**

The demographic and clinical characteristics of both groups are presented in Table [1](#page-3-0). All factors, including resection status, lymph node metastasis, and pathological stage, did not differ significantly between Groups 1 and 2. We performed a prognostic follow-up of the two groups. The mean time duration of followup was 27.6 (range 0.4–56.1) months. The OS was significantly shorter in Group 1 than that in Group 2 according to the log-rank test (HR 3.452, 95% CI 1.155–10.321, *P*= 0.018; Figure [4A](#page-7-0)). The median OS was 26.1 months in Group 1 and not reached in Group 2. The 1- and 3-year OS rates were 81.5% and 37.6% in Group 1 and 94.1% and 74.2% in Group 2, respectively. Recurrence-free survival (RFS) was also significantly shorter in Group 1 than in Group 2 according to the log-rank test (HR 2.735, 95% CI 1.195– 2.626, *P*= 0.013; Figure [4B\)](#page-7-0). The median RFS was 11.9 months in Group 1 and 38.6 months in Group 2. The 1- and 3-year RFS rates were 50.0% and 23.1% in Group 1, and 81.6% and 56.5% in Group 2, respectively. In contrast, the CA19-9 levels did not differ significantly concerning the OS and RFS between Groups 1 and 2 (Figure [4C,D](#page-7-0)).

Univariable analysis of various factors, including pathological features, identified only ACA-positive EV elevation in **3722 | IN/LI EV <b>DOMOOL COOMOO** 

**FIGURE 1** Experimental scheme of this study. The EV fraction from pre- and postoperative sera was purified using SEC in 44 patients with PDAC. ACApositive and CD9-positive EVs were then measured using the ExoCounter. The ACA-positive EV counts from pre- and postoperative samples were normalized to the CD9-positive EV counts from the same sample. We evaluated the changes in ACA-positive EVs between pre- and postoperative PDAC sera, classifying them into the postoperative ACA-positive EV elevation group (Group 1) and the decline group (Group 2). Prognostic analyses, including overall survival and recurrencefree survival, were conducted for these two groups. ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CD9, cluster of differentiation 9; EV, extracellular vesicle; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; SEC, size exclusion chromatography.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

postoperative sera as a significant prognostic factor for poor OS and RFS (Table [2](#page-7-1)).

Multivariable analysis of ACA-positive EV elevation and perioperative CA19-9 decline revealed that ACA-positive EV elevation in postoperative sera was an independent prognostic factor for poor OS and RFS (Table [3](#page-8-1)) (HR 3.891, 95% CI 1.209–12.519, *P*= 0.023; HR 2.650, 95% CI 1.134–6.192, *P*= 0.024). Thus, ACA-positive EV elevation in postoperative sera could be useful for predicting poor prognosis in the early postoperative period.

### **4**  | **DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrates that the change in the ratio of ACApositive EVs in the total EVs from sera sampled before and after surgery is a prognostic factor in patients with PDAC. The increase in ACA-positive EVs after resection is an independent biomarker for poor OS and RFS. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to focus on changes in specific EVs among the total EVs obtained from pre- and postoperative PDAC sera.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**FIGURE 2** (A) The EVs in PDAC serum (orange line) and purified EVs in PDAC serum by size exclusion chromatography (qEV column) (blue line) were analyzed using NTA. (B) The purified EVs were observed using STEM. EV, extracellular vesicle; NTA, nanoparticle tracking analysis; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; qEV, ; STEM, scanning transmission electron microscopy.



200 30.0kV 0.0mm x100k TE

CA19-9 ranks among the most frequently used diagnostic markers for PDAC. Furthermore, CA19-9 is often used as a marker for determining chemotherapeutic efficacy and predicting prognosis, including the evaluation of recurrence after PDAC treatment. $28-32$ Several studies have reported that the CA19-9 level in preoperative sera serves as a useful predictive marker of poor survival.<sup>[28,29](#page-9-13)</sup> Other studies have shown that CA19-9 in postoperative sera is also a predictive marker of recurrence. $30,31$  However, the use of CA19-9 as a predictive prognostic marker is beset by limitations. CA19-9 cannot be detected in patients with Lewis-antigen negative pancreatic cancer, who comprise approximately 10% of the total population.<sup>33-38</sup> We previously showed that ACA-positive EVs could be detected in the sera of Lewis-negative patients.<sup>[15](#page-9-11)</sup> Furthermore, in many cases, the serum CA19-9 levels immediately drop to normal values following curative resection, indicating that CA19-9 cannot be used for prognosis in the early post-surgical period.<sup>39-41</sup> In our study, almost all CA19-9 levels decreased immediately postoperatively, while elevation of ACA-positive EVs was observed in some patients, which was highly corelated with poor prognosis, indicating that the change in ACA-positive EVs might be more sensitive to recurrence than CA19-9 levels in the immediate postoperative period.

The reason underlying the persistence of ACA-positive EVs in some patients and immediate decline in the CA19-9 levels in serum postoperatively remains unclear. One possibility is that the EVs are released from residual or potentially metastatic tumors due to tumor manipulation during surgery. Badovinac et al. reported that the total EV concentration in plasma was elevated postoperatively for PDAC, $42$  supporting this hypothesis. However, other studies have reported that the release of circulating tumor cells was higher due to the surgical procedures involved in pan-creatoduodenectomy than those in distal pancreatectomy.<sup>[43,44](#page-10-2)</sup> In our study, there was no significant difference in the surgical

**3724 | AZILLEY CORROL COLORED CONTRACT CONTRACT AL. CONTRACT AL. CONTRACT AL.** 

**FIGURE 3** Comparison of ACApositive EVs (A) and CA19-9 levels (B) between pre- and postoperative PDAC sera. ACA-positive EV counts were normalized to CD9-positive EV counts. The box plot indicates the 25th to 75th percentiles, with medians represented by colored bars. *P* values were calculated using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Raw data for the comparison of ACA-positive EVs (A) and CA19-9 levels (B) between preand postoperative PDAC sera are shown. The blue line represents cases with decreased postoperative levels compared to preoperative samples, while the red line represents cases with increased levels. (C) Waterfall plot showing the change ratio of ACA-positive EVs between preand postoperative sera. Samples were classified into postoperative ACA-positive EV elevation (Group 1) and decline groups (Group 2). ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19–9; CD9, cluster of diffentiation 9; EV, extracellular vesicle; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

procedure between Groups 1 and 2. Another possibility may be the release of cancer-specific EVs from the remnant tumor cells. In our study, there was no significant difference in the change in ACA-positive EVs between patients who underwent R0 and R1 resection. Minimal residual disease (MRD) is defined as a small number of residual tumor cancer cells in the body after curative treatment, which cannot be detected by clinical examination, imaging, or pathological examination. $45-47$  Although it is difficult to evaluate MRD, ACA-positive EV may reflect MRD perioperatively. In summary, although the cause underlying the elevation of ACA-positive EVs immediately after curative resection is unclear, ACA-positive EVs may be elevated by the surgical manipulation of PDAC lesions with poor prognosis.

The prediction of poor prognosis using ACA-positive EVs may support the decision to institute individualized follow-up regimens.

For example, in patients with elevated ACA-positive EVs, blood tests and imaging are performed more frequently to confirm the diagnosis of recurrence more quickly.

Additionally, our data may contribute to the selection of ad-juvant treatment strategies following surgery.<sup>[6](#page-9-16)</sup> Generally, S-1 adjuvant treatment for 1 year is strongly recommended in Japan, based on the results of the JASPAC 01 trial.<sup>[48](#page-10-4)</sup> A recent study showed that S-1 treatment did not prevent recurrence in pa-tients with non-normalized postoperative CA19-9 levels.<sup>[40](#page-10-5)</sup> For patients with unresectable, recurrent, or metastatic PDAC, more aggressive treatments such as gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel or folinic acid + fluorouracil + irinotecan hydrochloride + oxaliplatin (FOLFIRINOX) are recommended, $49,50$  which may improve prevention of recurrence in high-risk patients, including those with elevated ACA-positive EVs.

 $0.18$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**FIGURE 4** Kaplan–Meier survival analyses with the change in the ACApositive EVs in PDAC sera (A) Comparison of the overall survival (OS) between Groups 1 and 2. (B) Comparison of the recurrence-free survival (RFS) between Groups 1 and 2. (C, D) Kaplan–Meier survival analyses with the change in CA19-9 levels in PDAC sera. (C) Comparison of the OS between Groups 1 and 2. (D) Comparison of the RFS between Group 1 and 2. Survival curves and *P* values were calculated using the log-rank test. ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; EV, extracellular vesicle; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**TABLE 2** Univariable analysis of clinicopathological variables in relation to recurrence-free and overall survival.



Abbreviations: ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CD, Clavien–Dindo; CI, confidence interval.

# **3726 <sup>|</sup>**  UEMURA et al.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**TABLE 3** Multivariable analysis of clinicopathological variables in relation to recurrence-free and overall survival.





Abbreviations: ACA, *Amaranthus caudatus* agglutinin; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CI, confidence interval; EV, extracellular vesicle.

Several studies have suggested that alterations in Oglycosylation are closely associated with multiple steps in carcinogenesis and tumor progression, including in breast cancer.  $51,52$ The O-glycosylation enzyme, N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase (GALNT) 6 reportedly contributes to disease pathogenesis and af-fects prognosis in breast cancer.<sup>[53,54](#page-10-8)</sup> In PDAC, several aberrations in O-glycosylation have been shown to influence cancer regulation.<sup>55,56</sup> Zhang et al. reported that GALNT3 plays a crucial role in distinct phenotypes and metastatic behavior in PDAC cell lines.<sup>[57](#page-10-10)</sup> Our previous study revealed that glycans stained with Agaricus bisporus Agglutinin (ABA) or ACA were present in PDAC, pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia, and acinar-to-ductal metaplasia lesions.<sup>15</sup> These findings suggest that changes in O-glycans contribute to the malignant progression of PDAC, although the correlation between these changes and PDAC prognosis requires

This study had several limitations. First, this was a single-center, retrospective analysis, therefore the possibility of selection bias cannot be excluded. Moreover, the sample size of this study was small, which could have attenuated the statistical accuracy in some aspects. Future studies should incorporate a large population of patients with PDAC. Second, in this study, blood tests were performed only at two time points, that is, pre- and postoperatively. Performing blood tests at several postoperative points may help confirm the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy and the tumor extent.

In conclusion, this study showed that the change in O-glycan altered EVs between the pre- and postoperative sera could have potential clinical utility as a biomarker for predicting the survival and recurrence of PDAC postoperatively.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

further investigation.

**Sho Uemura:** Conceptualization; formal analysis; investigation; software; validation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Yasuaki Kabe:** Conceptualization; methodology; project administration; software; writing – review and editing. **Minoru Kitago:** Conceptualization; formal analysis; funding acquisition; investigation; writing – review and editing. **Sachiko Matsuda:** Conceptualization; project administration. **Yuta Abe:** Data curation. **Yasushi Hasegawa:** Data curation. **Shutaro Hori:** Data curation. **Masayuki Tanaka:** Data curation. **Yutaka Nakano:** Funding acquisition; investigation. **Yasunori Sato:** Formal analysis. **Makoto Itonaga:** Methodology; validation. **Masayuki Ono:** Methodology; validation. **Tatsuya Kawakami:** Methodology; validation. **Makoto** 

**Suematsu:** Supervision; visualization. **Yuko Kitagawa:** Supervision; visualization.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to thank Editage ([http://www.editage.com/\)](http://www.editage.com/) for the English language editing.

#### **FUNDING INFORMATION**

This research was funded by AMED-CREST (to Y.K., Grant No: JPgm0710010) and JSPS KAKENHI (to Y.K., Grant No: 18K06921). This study was funded partly by the JST ERATO Suematsu Gas Biology Project (until March 2015). This work was also funded by JST [Moonshot R&D, Grant Number JPMJPS2022]. This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (to Y.N., Grant No: 19K18132 and to M.K., Grant No: 24K11897). This research was supported by a joint research agreement with JVCKENWOOD Corporation (approval no. 2021122).

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

Yuko Kitagawa is an editorial board member of *Cancer Science*. The other authors have no conflict of interest.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

Approval of the research protocol by an Institutional Reviewer Board: This study was approved by Keio University Hospital (approval no. 20170086) and was registered in the University Hospital Medical Information Network [\(http://www.umin.ac.jp;](http://www.umin.ac.jp) registration number ID 000017751).

Informed Consent: This retrospective, observational study was conducted after obtaining informed content from patients.

Registry and the Registration No. of the study/trial: This study was approved by Keio University Hospital (approval no. 20170086). Animal Studies: This study did not constitute animal investigations.

#### **ORCID**

*Sho Uemur[a](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4512-4355)* <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4512-4355> *Minoru Kitag[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4909-1199)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4909-1199> *Yutaka Nakano* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3228-3474> *Masayuki On[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8047-2934)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8047-2934>

#### **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2020;70(1):7-30. doi[:10.3322/caac.21590](https://doi.org//10.3322/caac.21590)

- 2. Vincent A, Herman J, Schulick R, Hruban RH, Goggins M. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9791):607-620. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org//10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62307-0) [S0140-6736\(10\)62307-0](https://doi.org//10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62307-0)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>3. Tempero MA, Uchida E, Takasaki H, Burnett DA, Steplewski Z, Pour PM. Relationship of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 and Lewis antigens in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1987;47(20):5501-5503.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>4. Matsumoto I, Murakami Y, Shinzeki M, et al. Proposed preoperative risk factors for early recurrence in patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma after surgical resection: a multicenter retrospective study. *Pancreatology*. 2015;15(6):674-680. doi:[10.1016/j.pan.2015.07.008](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.pan.2015.07.008)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>5. Endo Y, Kitago M, Kitagawa Y. Evidence and future perspectives for neoadjuvant therapy for resectable and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: a scoping review. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2024;16(9):1632. doi:[10.3390/cancers16091632](https://doi.org//10.3390/cancers16091632)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>6. Kitago M, Endo Y, Aiura K, et al. Adjuvant 5-fluorouracil and portal vein infusion chemotherapy followed by gemcitabine for pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Med*. 2024;13(14):e7459. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org//10.1002/cam4.7459) [cam4.7459](https://doi.org//10.1002/cam4.7459)
- 7. Endo Y, Kitago M, Aiura K, et al. Efficacy and safety of preoperative 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, and mitomycin C in combination with radiotherapy in patients with resectable and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: a long-term follow-up study. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2019;17(1):145. doi:[10.1186/s12957-019-1663-0](https://doi.org//10.1186/s12957-019-1663-0)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>8. O'Brien DP, Sandanayake NS, Jenkinson C, et al. Serum CA19-9 is significantly upregulated up to 2 years before diagnosis with pancreatic cancer: implications for early disease detection. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2015;21(3):622-631. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.](https://doi.org//10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1690) [CCR-14-1690](https://doi.org//10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1690)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>9. Imaoka H, Shimizu Y, Senda Y, et al. Post-adjuvant chemotherapy CA19-9 levels predict prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a retrospective cohort study. *Pancreatology*. 2016;16(4):658-664. doi:[10.1016/j.pan.2016.02.001](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.pan.2016.02.001)
- 10. Dong Q, Yang XH, Zhang Y, et al. Elevated serum CA19-9 level is a promising predictor for poor prognosis in patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a pilot study. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2014;12:171. doi:[10.1186/1477-7819-12-171](https://doi.org//10.1186/1477-7819-12-171)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>11. Nakano Y, Kitago M, Matsuda S, et al. KRAS mutations in cell-free DNA from preoperative and postoperative sera as a pancreatic cancer marker: a retrospective study. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;118(5):662-669. doi:[10.1038/bjc.2017.496](https://doi.org//10.1038/bjc.2017.496)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>12. Kitago M, Koyanagi K, Nakamura T, et al. mRNA expression and BRAF mutation in circulating melanoma cells isolated from peripheral blood with high molecular weight melanoma-associated antigen-specific monoclonal antibody beads. *Clin Chem*. 2009;55(4):757-764. doi:[10.1373/clinchem.2008.113225](https://doi.org//10.1373/clinchem.2008.113225)
- 13. Takai E, Totoki Y, Nakamura H, et al. Clinical utility of circulating tumor DNA for molecular assessment in pancreatic cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:18425. doi:[10.1038/srep18425](https://doi.org//10.1038/srep18425)
- 14. Gao Y, Zhu Y, Yuan Z. Circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA provide new insights into pancreatic cancer. *Int J Med Sci*. 2016;13(12):902-913. doi[:10.7150/ijms.16556](https://doi.org//10.7150/ijms.16556)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>15. Yokose T, Kabe Y, Matsuda A, et al. O-glycan-altered extracellular vesicles: a specific serum marker elevated in pancreatic cancer. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;12(9):2469. doi[:10.3390/](https://doi.org//10.3390/cancers12092469) [cancers12092469](https://doi.org//10.3390/cancers12092469)
- 16. Street JM, Barran PE, Mackay CL, et al. Identification and proteomic profiling of exosomes in human cerebrospinal fluid. *J Transl Med*. 2012;10:5. doi:[10.1186/1479-5876-10-5](https://doi.org//10.1186/1479-5876-10-5)
- 17. Shinozaki M, O'Day SJ, Kitago M, et al. Utility of circulating B-RAF DNA mutation in serum for monitoring melanoma patients receiving biochemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13(7):2068-2074. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2242](https://doi.org//10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2242)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>18. Kalra H, Drummen GP, Mathivanan S. Focus on extracellular vesicles: introducing the next small big thing. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016;17(2):170. doi[:10.3390/ijms17010170](https://doi.org//10.3390/ijms17010170)
- 19. Colombo M, Raposo G, Théry C. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2014;30:255-289. doi[:10.1146/](https://doi.org//10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122326) [annurev-cellbio-101512-122326](https://doi.org//10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122326)
- 20. Cai X, Janku F, Zhan Q, Fan JB. Accessing genetic information with liquid biopsies. *Trends Genet*. 2015;31(10):564-575. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.tig.2015.07.001) [tig.2015.07.001](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.tig.2015.07.001)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>21. Yu W, Hurley J, Roberts D, et al. Exosome-based liquid biopsies in cancer: opportunities and challenges. *Ann Oncol*. 2021;32(4):466- 477. doi:[10.1016/j.annonc.2020.12.005](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.annonc.2020.12.005)
- 22. Théry C. Cancer: diagnosis by extracellular vesicles. *Nature*. 2015;523(7559):161-162. doi[:10.1038/nature14569](https://doi.org//10.1038/nature14569)
- 23. An T, Qin S, Xu Y, et al. Exosomes serve as tumour markers for personalized diagnostics owing to their important role in cancer metastasis. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2015;4:27522. doi:[10.3402/jev.v4.27522](https://doi.org//10.3402/jev.v4.27522)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>24. Badovinac D, Goričar K, Zavrtanik H, et al. Plasma extracellular vesicle characteristics correlate with tumor differentiation and predict overall survival in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma undergoing surgery with curative intent. *J Pers Med*. 2021;11(2):77. doi:[10.3390/jpm11010077](https://doi.org//10.3390/jpm11010077)
- 25. Chan BD, Wong WY, Lee MM, et al. Exosomes in inflammation and inflammatory disease. *Proteomics*. 2019;19(8):e1800149. doi:[10.1002/pmic.201800149](https://doi.org//10.1002/pmic.201800149)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>26. Kabe Y, Suematsu M, Sakamoto S, et al. Development of a highly sensitive device for counting the number of disease-specific exosomes in human sera. *Clin Chem*. 2018;64(10):1463-1473. doi[:10.1373/clinchem.2018.288084](https://doi.org//10.1373/clinchem.2018.288084)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>27. Ishida M, Fujii T, Kishiwada M, et al. Japanese classification of pancreatic carcinoma by the Japan pancreas society. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci*. 2024. doi:[10.1002/jhbp.12056](https://doi.org//10.1002/jhbp.12056)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>28. Ferrone CR, Finkelstein DM, Thayer SP, Muzikansky A, FernandezdelCastillo C, Warshaw AL. Perioperative CA19-9 levels can predict stage and survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(18):2897-2902. doi:[10.1200/](https://doi.org//10.1200/JCO.2005.05.4795) [JCO.2005.05.4795](https://doi.org//10.1200/JCO.2005.05.4795)
- 29. Kondo N, Murakami Y, Uemura K, et al. Prognostic impact of perioperative serum CA 19-9 levels in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2010;17(9):2321-2329. doi:[10.1245/](https://doi.org//10.1245/s10434-010-1031-6) [s10434-010-1031-6](https://doi.org//10.1245/s10434-010-1031-6)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>30. Motoi F, Rikiyama T, Katayose Y, Egawa S, Unno M. Retrospective evaluation of the influence of postoperative tumor marker status on survival and patterns of recurrence after surgery for pancreatic cancer based on RECIST guidelines. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2011;18(2):371- 379. doi[:10.1245/s10434-010-1323-x](https://doi.org//10.1245/s10434-010-1323-x)
- 31. Berger AC, Garcia M Jr, Hoffman JP, et al. Postresection CA 19-9 predicts overall survival in patients with pancreatic cancer treated with adjuvant chemoradiation: a prospective validation by RTOG 9704. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(36):5918-5922. doi:[10.1200/](https://doi.org//10.1200/JCO.2008.17.7188) [JCO.2008.17.7188](https://doi.org//10.1200/JCO.2008.17.7188)
- 32. Yoshimasu T, Maebeya S, Suzuma T, et al. Disappearance curves for tumor markers after resection of intrathoracic malignancies. *Int J Biol Markers*. 1999;14(2):99-105.
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>33. Garcea G, Ladwa N, Neal CP, Metcalfe MS, Dennison AR, Berry DP. Preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is associated with reduced disease-free survival following curative resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *World J Surg*. 2011;35(4):868-872. doi:[10.1007/s00268-010-0919-z](https://doi.org//10.1007/s00268-010-0919-z)
- 34. Tempero MA, Malafa MP, Chiorean EG, et al. Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma, Version 1.2019. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw*. 2019;17(3):202-210.
- 35. Proctor MJ, Morrison DS, Talwar D, et al. An inflammation-based prognostic score (mGPS) predicts cancer survival independent of tumour site: a Glasgow inflammation outcome study. *Br J Cancer*. 2011;104(4):726-734. doi:[10.1038/bjc.2011.18](https://doi.org//10.1038/bjc.2011.18)
- 36. Groot VP, Rezaee N, Wu W, et al. Patterns, timing, and predictors of recurrence following pancreatectomy for

# **3728 | WILEY- CANCAL SCIENCE | SCIENCE | 2009 | UEMURA ET AL.**

pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg*. 2018;267(5):936-945. doi:[10.1097/SLA.0000000000002253](https://doi.org//10.1097/SLA.0000000000002253)

- 37. Luo G, Liu C, Guo M, et al. Potential biomarkers in Lewis negative patients with pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg*. 2017;265(4):800-805. doi:[10.1097/SLA.0000000000001766](https://doi.org//10.1097/SLA.0000000000001766)
- 38. Kawa S, Oguchi H, Kobayashi T, et al. Elevated serum levels of Dupan-2 in pancreatic cancer patients negative for Lewis blood group phenotype. *Br J Cancer*. 1991;64(5):899-902. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org//10.1038/bjc.1991.379) [bjc.1991.379](https://doi.org//10.1038/bjc.1991.379)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>39. Azizian A, Rühlmann F, Krause T, et al. CA19-9 for detecting recurrence of pancreatic cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):1332. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org//10.1038/s41598-020-58337-y) [s41598-020-58337-y](https://doi.org//10.1038/s41598-020-58337-y)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>40. Ariake K, Okada T, Tsuchiya H, et al. Postoperative carbohydrate antigen 19-9 level as a good indicator of ineffective response to the currently recommended S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a single-center. *Retrospective Study Ann Surg Oncol*. 2024;31(1):525-534. doi[:10.1245/s10434-023-13693-1](https://doi.org//10.1245/s10434-023-13693-1)
- 41. Rieser CJ, Zenati M, Hamad A, et al. CA19-9 on postoperative surveillance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: predicting recurrence and changing prognosis over time. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2018;25(12):3483-3491. doi[:10.1245/s10434-018-6701-6](https://doi.org//10.1245/s10434-018-6701-6)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>42. Badovinac D, Goričar K, Lavrin T, et al. Plasma extracellular vesicle characteristics as biomarkers of resectability and radicality of surgical resection in pancreatic cancer-a prospective cohort study. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2023;15(3):605. doi[:10.3390/cancers15030605](https://doi.org//10.3390/cancers15030605)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>43. Hirota M, Shimada S, Yamamoto K, et al. Pancreatectomy using the no-touch isolation technique followed by extensive intraoperative peritoneal lavage to prevent cancer cell dissemination: a pilot study. *JOP*. 2005;6(2):143-151.
- 44. Hirota M, Kanemitsu K, Takamori H, et al. Pancreatoduodenectomy using a no-touch isolation technique. *Am J Surg*. 2010;199(5):e65 e68. doi:[10.1016/j.amjsurg.2009.08.021](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.amjsurg.2009.08.021)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>45. Honoré N, Galot R, van Marcke C, Limaye N, Machiels JP. Liquid biopsy to detect minimal residual disease: methodology and impact. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(21):5364.
- 46. Abbosh C, Birkbak NJ, Wilson GA, et al. Phylogenetic ctDNA analysis depicts early-stage lung cancer evolution. *Nature*. 2017;545(7655):446-451. doi:[10.1038/nature22364](https://doi.org//10.1038/nature22364)
- 47. Valcz G, Buzás EI, Gatenby RA, Újvári B, Molnár B. Small extracellular vesicles from surviving cancer cells as multiparametric monitoring tools of measurable residual disease and therapeutic efficiency. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. 2024;1879(2):189088. doi:[10.1016/j.bbcan.2023.189088](https://doi.org//10.1016/j.bbcan.2023.189088)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>48. Uesaka K, Boku N, Fukutomi A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy of S-1 versus gemcitabine for resected pancreatic cancer: a phase 3, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority trial (JASPAC 01). *Lancet*. 2016;388(10041):248-257. doi[:10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30583-9](https://doi.org//10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30583-9)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>49. Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(19):1817-1825. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1011923](https://doi.org//10.1056/NEJMoa1011923)
- 50. Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(18):1691-1703. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1304369](https://doi.org//10.1056/NEJMoa1304369)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>51. Pinho SS, Reis CA. Glycosylation in cancer: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2015;15(9):540-555. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org//10.1038/nrc3982) [nrc3982](https://doi.org//10.1038/nrc3982)
- 52. Liu C, Li Z, Xu L, et al. GALNT6 promotes breast cancer metastasis by increasing mucin-type O-glycosylation of α2M. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2020;12(12):11794-11811. doi[:10.18632/aging.103332](https://doi.org//10.18632/aging.103332)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>53. Park JH, Nishidate T, Kijima K, et al. Critical roles of mucin 1 glycosylation by transactivated polypeptide Nacetylgalactosaminyltransferase 6 in mammary carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res*. 2010;70(7):2759-2769. doi[:10.1158/0008-5472.](https://doi.org//10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3792) [CAN-09-3792](https://doi.org//10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3792)
- 54. Kimura R, Yoshimaru T, Matsushita Y, et al. The GALNT6- LGALS3BP axis promotes breast cancer cell growth. *Int J Oncol*. 2020;56(2):581-595. doi[:10.3892/ijo.2020.4974](https://doi.org//10.3892/ijo.2020.4974)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>55. Taniuchi K, Cerny RL, Tanouchi A, et al. Overexpression of GalNActransferase GalNAc-T3 promotes pancreatic cancer cell growth. *Oncogene*. 2011;30(49):4843-4854. doi[:10.1038/onc.2011.194](https://doi.org//10.1038/onc.2011.194)
- 56. Munkley J. The glycosylation landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2019;17(3):2569-2575. doi:[10.3892/ol.2019.9885](https://doi.org//10.3892/ol.2019.9885)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>57. Zhang T, van Die I, Tefsen B, et al. Differential O- and glycosphingolipid glycosylation in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells with opposite morphology and metastatic behavior. *Front Oncol*. 2020;10:732. doi:[10.3389/fonc.2020.00732](https://doi.org//10.3389/fonc.2020.00732)

**How to cite this article:** Uemura S, Kabe Y, Kitago M, et al. Prognosis prediction of PDAC via detection of *O*-glycan altered extracellular vesicles in perioperative sera. *Cancer Sci*. 2024;115:3718-3728. doi[:10.1111/cas.16341](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.16341)