

# Identification of novel allosteric sites of SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease (PLpro) for the development of COVID-19 antivirals

Received for publication, June 6, 2024, and in revised form, September 12, 2024 Published, Papers in Press, September 27, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.107821>

Juliana C. Ferreira<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3171-8387) Adrian J. Villanueva<sup>1</sup>, Kenana Al Adem<sup>1</sup>, Samar Fadl<sup>1</sup>©, Lara Alzvoud<sup>[2,3](#page-0-0)</sup>, Mohammad A. Ghattas<sup>[2,3](#page-0-0)</sup><sup>0</sup>, and Wael M. Rabeh<sup>1</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span>From the <sup>1</sup>Science Division, New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; <sup>2</sup>College of Pharmacy, and <sup>3</sup>AAU Health and Biomedical Research Center, Al Ain University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

Reviewed by members of the JBC Editorial Board. Edited by Craig Cameron

Coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV-2 encode a conserved papain-like protease (PLpro) that is crucial for viral replication and immune evasion, making it a prime target for antiviral drug development. In this study, three surface pockets on SARS-CoV-2 PLpro that may function as sites for allosteric inhibition were computationally identified. To evaluate the effects of these pockets on proteolytic activity, 52 residues were separately mutated to alanine. In Pocket 1, located between the Ubl and thumb domains, the introduction of alanine at T10, D12, T54, Y72, or Y83 reduced PLpro activity to <12% of that of WT. In Pocket 2, situated at the interface of the thumb, fingers, and palm domains, Q237A, S239A, H275A, and S278A inactivated PLpro. Finally, introducing alanine at five residues in Pocket 3, between the fingers and palm domains, inactivated PLpro: S212, Y213, Y251, K254, and Y305. Pocket 1 has a higher druggability score than Pockets 2 and 3. MD simulations showed that interactions within and between domains play critical roles in PLpro activity and thermal stability. The essential residues in Pockets 1 and 2 participate in a combination of intra- and inter-domain interactions. By contrast, the essential residues in Pocket three predominantly participate in inter-domain interactions. The most promising targets for therapeutic development are Pockets one and 3, which have the highest druggability score and the largest number of essential residues, respectively. Non-competitive inhibitors targeting these pockets may be antiviral agents against COVID-19 and related coronaviruses.

From 2019 to 2022, successive severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants resulted in global waves of COVID-19 infection ([1](#page-15-0)). Although the global incidence rate of COVID-19 has since declined, the race to develop antivirals to combat the impending threat of future viral mutations and antiviral resistance continues. SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded RNA virus characterized by crown-like projections on its surface ([2,](#page-15-1) [3](#page-15-2)). The viral genome encodes four structural proteins: spike (S), envelope (E), membrane

*SASBMB* 

(M), and nucleocapsid (N)  $(4-10)$  $(4-10)$  $(4-10)$ . Entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell is initiated by binding of the S1 subunit of the S protein to the main host cell receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)  $(11, 12)$  $(11, 12)$  $(11, 12)$ . The virus then enters the cell *via* a membrane-fusion step involving the S2 subunit of the S protein [\(13\)](#page-15-6). Upon entry, the host cell machinery translates the viral  $(4, 5, 14-16)$  $(4, 5, 14-16)$  $(4, 5, 14-16)$  $(4, 5, 14-16)$  $(4, 5, 14-16)$  $(4, 5, 14-16)$ ic RNA into two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, which are encoded by the open reading frames (ORFs) ORF1a and ORF1b, respectively. Subsequent cleavage of the polyproteins by two conserved coronaviral proteases produces nonstructural polypeptides (nsps) ([16](#page-15-9), [17\)](#page-15-10). Papain-like protease (PLpro) is required for the proteolytic release of nsps 1 to 4, whereas 3C-like protease (3CLpro) is required for the proteolytic release of nsps 5 to 16 ([18,](#page-15-11) [19\)](#page-15-12).

In addition to playing critical roles in viral transcription and replication, PLpro functions in the evasion of the host innate immune system. PLpro inhibits the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of interferon regulatory factor-3 (IRF3), resulting in deactivation of the type I interferon (IFN) response ([20](#page-15-13)–22). Moreover, PLpro is homologous to human deubiquitinases (DUBs) and cleaves ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like modifiers such as interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15) ([23\)](#page-15-14). ISGylation and ubiquitination are key elements in the activation of the innate immune response; thus, PLpro inhibits inflammation and antiviral signaling by the immune system and the host's ability to recognize and degrade invading viral proteins [\(20,](#page-15-13) [24](#page-15-15)–26). Studying the catalytic function and structural stability of PLpro may provide a foundation for developing novel drugs that target viral infection and the immune evasion machinery of multiple coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV [\(4](#page-15-3), 27–[29\)](#page-15-16).

Structural and mutagenesis studies have provided insights into the domains and residues responsible for the catalytic function and stability of PLpro [\(30](#page-16-0)–32). The tertiary structure of the SARS-CoV-2 PLpro monomer adopts a conformation resembling an extended right-handed architecture and comprises two main domains: the ubiquitin-like (Ubl) domain and the catalytic domain  $(30-33)$  $(30-33)$ . The N-terminal Ubl domain of PLpro consists of Residues 1 to 60, which form a five-stranded

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>\*</sup> For correspondence: Wael M. Rabeh, [wael.rabeh@nyu.edu.](mailto:wael.rabeh@nyu.edu)

 $\beta$ -sheet, an  $\alpha$ -helix, and a 3<sub>10</sub> helix. The catalytic domain comprises residues 61 to 315, which are divided into the thumb, fingers, and palm domains. The thumb domain (61–176) forms six  $\alpha$ -helices and two small  $\beta$ -strands, while the fingers domain (177–238) contains the zinc-binding site and forms a five-stranded  $\beta$ -sheet and two  $\alpha$ -helices. The palm domain (239–315) consists of a six-stranded  $\beta$ -sheet. The catalytic site of PLpro is located between the thumb and palm domains and contains the "catalytic triad" (Cys111, His272, and Asp286), which is essential for the proteolytic mechanism of PLpro [\(24,](#page-15-15) [30,](#page-16-0) [32](#page-16-1)). The Ubl domain binds ubiquitin or ISG15 in an "open hand" structure, with ubiquitin resting on the palm domain. The zinc-binding site, which consists of four conserved cysteine residues (C189, C192, C224, and C226), holds the ubiquitin in place and is essential for the structural integrity and activity of PLpro [\(34](#page-16-2)). Alanine substitution of these cysteine residues results in a complete loss of PLpro function [\(30,](#page-16-0) [35](#page-16-3)–37).

Early efforts to develop COVID-19 treatments focused on repurposing approved or investigational drugs against SARS-CoV-2 druggable targets [\(38](#page-16-4)–41), including PLpro. Many putative candidates against SARS-CoV-2 PLpro identified by in silico studies have yet to be biochemically confirmed ([24,](#page-15-15) 42–[45](#page-16-5)). Several repurposed protease inhibitors with promising effects on PLpro *in vivo* or *in vitro*, such as simeprevir, tanshinones, famotidine, and ebselen, are either clinically ineffective or still in clinical trials (46–[52\)](#page-16-6). Another class of inhibitors of interest against PLpro is FDA-approved zinc-ejecting drugs [\(28](#page-15-17), [31](#page-16-7), [53,](#page-16-8) [54\)](#page-16-9). These drugs target the zinc-finger motif common to metalloenzymes such as PLpro and displace zinc from the zinc-cysteine tetrahedral complex. Although molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of PLpro indicate that the labile zinc-finger domain is highly mobile and thus may be a poor target for small-molecule drugs ([55\)](#page-16-10), studies have reported that zinc-ejecting drugs such as ebselen and disulfiram reduce the activity of PLpro by disrupting its stability. These findings suggest that zinc-ejecting drugs might be combined with other antiviral drugs to treat COVID-19 symptoms in a so-called "multi-target approach" ([46,](#page-16-6) [54](#page-16-9), [56,](#page-16-11) [57\)](#page-16-12).

An alternative to drug repurposing is to identify novel inhibitors of PLpro by computational screening of small-molecule libraries [\(58](#page-16-13)–61). Computational screening typically targets the active site, but this approach is likely to yield competitive inhibitors. Because competitive inhibitors can be outcompeted by peptide substrate, they are considered weaker binders than non-competitive inhibitors, which bind at allosteric sites and are less susceptible to displacement by the substrate. The objective of the present study is to characterize surface pockets on PLpro to identify potential allosteric sites for screening small-molecule inhibitors of PLpro.

In silico methods were used to identify three novel binding pockets on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. A total of 82 mutants at 52 amino acid residues have been targeted in the three computationally identified surface pockets of PLpro. Site-directed mutagenesis of the selected residues in each pocket was performed to determine whether their intra- and inter-domain interactions are important for PLpro activity. Biochemical and thermodynamic analyses of the mutant proteins were conducted, and the experimental data were used to evaluate the druggability of the surface binding pockets. These pockets can be further used in computational screens of smallmolecule libraries to identify strong binders that may function as allosteric inhibitors of PLpro and therapeutic agents against COVID-19.

#### Results

## Structural assessment of putative binding pockets on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro

The main objective of this study was to identify potential druggable pockets on the surface of PLpro that may allosterically regulate enzyme activity. Such pockets can be targeted for screening, identifying, and developing new drugs for combating COVID-19. The structure of the PLpro monomer comprises an independent N-terminal ubiquitin-like (Ubl) domain followed by a catalytic region that is composed of an extended righthanded scaffold of three domains: thumb, fingers, and palm ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). Twenty-two crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro were used to identify the surface pockets on PLpro SARS-CoV-2 [\(32,](#page-16-1) 62–[66\)](#page-16-14). The SiteMap module (Schrödinger LLC) [\(67,](#page-16-15) [68\)](#page-16-16) identified four potential druggable pockets on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro ([Table S1](#page-17-0)): the active site and, on the opposite face of the monomer, three potential allosteric binding sites. Pocket one is located at the Ubl–thumb interface, Pocket two at the thumb–palm–fingers interface, and Pocket three at the fingers–palm interface [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)).

The active site has the highest druggability score (Dscore), supporting the validity of the computational screen. This high Dscore is the product of a large  $(n = 75$  spheres) defined (e = 0.68) cavity with high hydrophilicity ( $p = 0.99$ ). The Dscores of the potential allosteric binding sites illustrates their distinctive physiochemical parameters ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0) and [Table S1\)](#page-17-0). Pocket 1 is large ( $n = 61$  spheres) and defined ( $e = 0.67$ ) but has high hydrophilicity ( $p = 1.20$ ), resulting in a Dscore of 0.75. Pockets 2 and 3 are smaller ( $n = 29$  and 31 spheres, respectively), less-defined cavities ( $e = 0.58$  and 0.62, respectively) with lower hydrophilicity ( $p = 0.89$  and 0.99, respectively) than Pocket 1 and have identical Dscores of 0.57.

The computationally identified pockets encompass a large number of amino acid residues ([Table S2\)](#page-17-0); thus, site-directed mutagenesis and biochemical analyses were limited to amino acids involved in networks of intra- and inter-domain interactions that may play critical roles in SARS-CoV-2 PLpro activity. To identify potential key residues, the interactions of polar side chains in Pockets 1 to 3 with other residues were evaluated ([Figs. 2](#page-3-0)–4). A total of 52 residues were mutated individually to alanine to determine their effects on PLpro activity and thermodynamic stability. The WT and mutant enzymes were expressed in  $E.$  coli and purified to  $>$ 90% based on SDS-PAGE analysis. The proteolytic rates of the mutant and WT enzymes were measured at varying enzyme concentrations from  $0.5$  to  $5.0$   $\mu$ M in the presence of a constant peptide substrate concentration of 200 µM. The relative



<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Surface representation of the computationally predicted surface pockets of PLpro. The three pockets are located on the other face of PLpro, opposite the active site. Pocket 1 is a cleft between the thumb and Ubl domains. Pocket 2 is a cavity between the palm, fingers, and thumb domains. Pocket 3 is a cleft between the palm and finger domains. The PLpro domains are colored as follows: purple, Ubl (residues 1–60); green, thumb (residues 61–176); blue, fingers (residues 177-238); and brown, palm (residues 239-315). The figure was produced using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 2.5.5 (Schrödinger LLC).

activity of the mutants was calculated by comparing the slope of the plot of proteolytic rate as a function of enzyme concentration with the slope for WT PLpro ([Figs. S1](#page-17-0)–S3). The alanine mutants were classified into three groups based on their effects on the proteolytic activity of PLpro: active (>60% WT activity), partially active (15%–60% WT activity), and inactive (<15% WT activity). Active mutants were not analyzed further because residues that do not influence activity are not good targets for developing SARS-CoV-2 antivirals.

#### Pocket 1

Of the 18 alanine mutations introduced in Pocket 1, five were inactivated, and three moderately inactivated PLpro ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). The 18 targeted residues participate in four clusters of interactions. In the first cluster ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)A), the side chain hydroxyl of Y71 of the thumb domain forms an intra-domain hydrogen bond with the side chain of D134 at 2.7 Å and an inter-domain hydrogen bond with the backbone of D12 of the Ubl domain at 4.2 Å. In addition, Y72 of the thumb domain forms an inter-domain hydrogen bond with the backbone carbonyl oxygen of V11 of the Ubl domain at 2.6 Å. D134A was fully active, but D12A (8%  $\pm$  1% activity) and Y72A were inactive, and Y71A (48%  $\pm$  3%) was partially active [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E). In the second cluster ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)B), the side chain of D12 of the Ubl domain forms polar intra-domain interactions with the side chains of T10 and N15 at 3.4 Å and 2.8 Å, respectively, and the backbone of N15 at 2.7 Å. Additionally, E67 of the thumb domain forms two polar interactions with the Ubl domain: the imidazole moiety of H17 at 3.4 Å and the carboxamide moiety of N15 at 4.4 Å. Like D12A, T10A was inactive; E67A (18% ± 1%) was partially active, and N15A and H17A  $(77\% \pm 1\%)$  were active [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E). In the third cluster (Fig. 2C), K91 of the thumb domain forms two polar interactions: an

intra-domain interaction with N88 at 2.9 Å and an interdomain interaction with D37 of the Ubl domain at 4.6 Å. However, K91A, N88A, and D37A were all active ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E). Finally, in the fourth cluster ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)D), the side chain of N13 of the Ubl domain forms two intra-domain interactions with the hydroxyl groups of T54 and Y56 at 4.7 Å and 4.2 Å, respectively. In addition, the side chain of Y56 of the Ubl domain may form a second hydrogen bond with the side chain of Y83 of the thumb domain at 4.5 Å. The guanidine group of R138 of the thumb domain forms two intra-domain bonding interactions: a salt bridge with E143 at 3.1 Å and a hydrogen bond with N146 at 3.4 Å, and N146 forms a tight hydrogen bond with Y83 at 2.6 Å. Interestingly, N13A was active, whereas T54A was inactive and Y56A was partially active  $(44\% \pm 1\%)$ ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E). Y83A was inactive (12% ± 1%), but R138A, E143A, and N146A were all fully active [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E).

To further investigate the roles of the residues whose mutation to alanine significantly reduced PLpro activity, additional mutants were constructed in which the original amino acid was replaced with an amino acid with similar physicochemical properties. In the first cluster of Pocket 1, the D12E mutation partially recovered activity compared to the D12A mutation, whereas  $D12N$  had full WT activity (Fig.  $2E$ ). Similarly, Y71T and Y71F partially and completely recovered WT activity, respectively. Interestingly, while Y72F had full WT activity, Y72T was inactive, despite potentially maintaining polar side chain interactions with the carbonyl oxygen of the backbone of V11. In the fourth cluster, Y56 of the Ubl domain and Y83 of the thumb domain facilitate inter-domain interactions. Y56T and Y56F partially recovered PLpro activity. Surprisingly, Y83T failed to restore proteolytic activity despite retaining a polar hydroxyl side chain, whereas Y83F fully restored PLpro activity. These data indicate that the hydrophobic interactions initiated by some residues of Pocket one

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 2. Pocket one of PLpro: structural analyses and relative activities. A-D, structural representations of key residues in Pocket 1 at the interface of the Ubl and thumb domains. Only residues participating in side chain–side chain or side chain–backbone interactions are considered. The labels of the residues are color-coded based on their effects on the relative rate of PLpro upon site-directed mutagenesis: red for mutants with <15% relative activity, blue for mutants with relative activity of 15%–60%, and black for mutants with >60% relative activity. The figure was produced using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 2.5.5 (Schrödinger LLC). E, bar plot of the relative activities of Pocket one mutant compared to WT. The relative activities were calculated from the slope of the linear line of the enzyme titration data in [Fig. S1](#page-17-0). The colors of the residues in A–D and the bars in E correspond to the domain colors established in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) (purple = Ubl and green = thumb). The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

are more crucial for activity than their polar interactions are ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)E).

#### Pocket 2

Pocket 2 is unique among the potential allosteric sites because it lies at the interface of the thumb, fingers, and palm domains of the catalytic region [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). Site-directed mutations in this pocket targeted four clusters of interactions. In the first cluster, which lies at the palm–thumb interface [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A), three interdomain hydrogen bonds are possible between the side chain of H275 of the palm domain and the side chains of T115, T119, and Q122 of the thumb domain at 3.0 Å, 3.6 Å, and 2.8 Å,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 3. Pocket two of PLpro: structural analyses and relative activities. A-D, structural representations of key residues in Pocket 2 at the interface between the thumb, fingers, and palm domains. The residue labels are color-coded as described in [Figure 2](#page-3-0). The figure was produced using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 2.5.5 (Schrödinger LLC). E, bar plot of the relative activities of Pocket two mutants compared to WT. The relative activities were calculated from the slope of the linear line of the enzyme titration data in [Fig. S2](#page-17-0). The colors of the residues in A-D and the bars in E correspond to the domain colors established in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) (green = thumb, blue = fingers, and brown = palm). The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

respectively. Of the four alanine mutants, only H275A was inactive ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E). Q122A was partially active (35%  $\pm$  1%), and Q122E partially restored activity  $(52% \pm 3%)$ . T115A was active (79%  $\pm$  1%), but surprisingly, T119A had higher relative activity than WT (141%  $\pm$  1%). Q122 of the thumb domain also forms an inter-domain hydrogen bond with the side chain hydroxyl of T277 of the palm domain at 2.7 Å [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A). In addition, T277 may form a long-range intra-domain hydrogen bond with the side chain of K279 at 5.1 Å. T277A was partially active (32%  $\pm$  3%), whereas K279A was fully active [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E). Introducing T277S rescued proteolytic activity  $(81\% \pm 1\%)$ . K279 also participates in a set of intra-domain interactions involving

H255, G256, and S278 of the palm domain ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A). The side chain of S278 may form three possible hydrogen bonds with the backbone amide groups of G256 and K279 at 2.9 Å and 3.7 Å, respectively, and with the side-chain imidazole group of H255 at 4.2 Å. H255A and S278A were partially active  $(43\% \pm 2\%$  and 16% ± 1%, respectively), whereas K279A was fully active ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E). Despite the structural similarity between serine and threonine, introducing S278T did not restore activity  $(7% \pm 4%)$ .

In the second cluster, polar interactions were observed at the thumb–finger interface [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)B). The side chain of Q133 of the thumb domain initiates multiple intradomain interactions, including two possible hydrogen bonds with the side chain of

K126 at 3.5 Å and the backbone of N128 at 3.3 Å. Q133A was partially active (56%  $\pm$  1%), whereas K126A and N128A were active (78%  $\pm$  1% and 84%  $\pm$  2%, respectively; [Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E). Introducing Q133N did not rescue the activity of PLpro, but Q133E restored activity (88%  $\pm$  2%). In the fingers domain, the side chains of N177 and D179 form an intra-domain hydrogen bond at 3.7 Å ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)B). The only inter-domain interaction is between the side chain of N128 of the thumb domain and the side chain of N177 of the fingers domain at 3.7 Å. N177A (68%  $\pm$  3%) and D179A (46%  $\pm$  1%) were partially active ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E).

The third cluster lies within the thumb domain and features short interactions initiated by the side chain of R140, which forms dual hydrogen bonds with the side chain hydroxyl of Y136 at 2.8 Å and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Q121 at 3.2 Å [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)C). R140A was partially active (44%  $\pm$  2%), whereas Y136A remained active  $(63\% \pm 2\%)$  ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)E). Introducing R140K recovered activity to  $74\% \pm 1\%$ .

The final set of interactions in Pocket 2 lies at the fingers– palm interface (Fig.  $3D$ ). The side chain of Q237 forms an interdomain interaction with the side chain hydroxyl of S239 at 3.0 Å, and both Q237A and S239A were inactive (Fig.  $3E$ ). The side chains of Q236 and E238 of the fingers domain form inter-domain interactions with the side chains of T311 and S309 of the palm domain at 2.6 Å and 3.3 Å, respectively ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)D). The only intradomain interaction in this cluster is a hydrogen bond between the side chains of Q236 and E238 at 2.4 Å. S309A and T311A remained active (Fig.  $3E$ ), whereas Q236A and E238A were partially active  $(58\% \pm 2\%$  and 52% ± 1%, respectively). E238D was also partially active (56% ± 1%), but introducing E238Q restored activity  $(71\% \pm 1\%).$ 

#### Pocket 3

Pocket 3 is located at the fingers–palm interface and features multiple interdomain interactions between S212 and Y213 of the finger domain and Y251, Y305, and E307 of the palm domain ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)B). Hydrogen bonds are formed between the side chains of S212 and Y251 at 4.4 Å, the side chains of Y213 and E307 at 2.5 Å, and the side chain hydroxyl group of Y305 and the backbone amide group of Y213 at 3.5 Å. The only intra-domain interactions in this group of residues are between the side chains of K217 and E214 of the fingers domain at 3.2 Å and between the side chains of Y251 and Y305 of the palm domain at 4.1 Å. All alanine mutations of tyrosine residues (Y213A, Y251A, and Y305A) completely inactivated PLpro, and also S212A was classified as inactive  $(9\% \pm 6\%)$ ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)A). E214A, K217A, and E307A were partially active  $(49\% \pm 2\%, 21\% \pm 3\%, \text{ and } 29\% \pm 4\%, \text{ respectively})$  ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)A). These data highlight the importance of the Pocket 3 network of interactions for PLpro activity. Introducing the alternative amino acid substitution Y213T failed to rescue PLpro activity, whereas Y213F partially rescued activity (28%  $\pm$  2%). On the other hand, introducing Y305F or Y305T did not recover the PLpro activity. By sharp contrast, both Y251F and Y251T were

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4. Pocket three of PLpro: structural analyses and relative activities. A, bar plot of the relative activities of Pocket 3 mutants compared to WT. The relative activities were calculated from the slope of the linear line of the enzyme titration data in [Fig. S3.](#page-17-0) B and C, Structural representations of key residues in Pocket 3, a cavity formed between the fingers and palm domains. The residue labels are color-coded as described in [Figure 2.](#page-3-0) The figure was produced using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 2.5.5 (Schrödinger LLC). The colors of the bars in A and the residues in B and C correspond to the domain colors established in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) (blue = fingers and brown = palm). The data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

active (91%  $\pm$  1% and 90%  $\pm$  1%, respectively). In addition, S212T was also inactive as was the case with S212A.

Another cluster of interactions in Pocket 3 is located in the palm domain, apart from a single inter-domain interaction with Q122 of the thumb domain [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)C). The side chain of K254 may form a salt bridge with the side chain of E252 or E295 at 3.6 Å or 3.4 Å, respectively. Moreover, the backbone of K254 forms an intra-domain hydrogen bond with the side chain hydroxyl of T257 at 2.6 Å. Among the alanine mutations of these residues, only K254A inactivated PLpro (9%  $\pm$  1%), and K254R rescued proteolytic activity  $(67\% \pm 1\%)$  [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)A). E252A, T257A, and E295A remained active  $(64\% \pm 2\%$ ,  $76\% \pm 3\%$ , and  $79\% \pm 1\%$ , respectively). Compared with E252A, E252Q did not recover activity (62%  $\pm$  1%) but E252D restored it slightly (75%  $\pm$  3%). Finally, the side chain of K306 forms an intra-domain interaction with the side chain of T259 at 4.3 Å and an inter-domain interaction with the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Q122 at 4.2 Å ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)C). Q122 is also involved in interactions in Pocket 2 with T277 and H275 of the palm domain. Q122A, T259A, and K306A were all partially active  $(35\% \pm 1\%, 44\% \pm 3\%, \text{ and } 53\% \pm 2\%, \text{ respectively})$  [\(Figs.s 3](#page-4-0)E) and [4](#page-5-0)E). Compared with K306A, introducing K306R did not improve PLpro activity  $(47\% \pm 2\%)$ .

To verify that the observed inactivation of PLpro was not due to denaturation of the mutant enzymes, far-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was utilized to assess the structural integrity of the mutants introduced at the three pockets of PLpro ([Fig. S4\)](#page-17-0). CD spectra were collected from 200 nm to 260 nm, with each spectrum representing the average of five scans. The CD spectra for all PLpro mutants displayed dual ellipticity minima at 208 nm and 222 nm, mirroring those of the WT enzyme [\(28](#page-15-17), [69](#page-17-1), [70\)](#page-17-2). These findings suggest that the secondary structures of the PLpro mutant enzymes are preserved, comprising a mixture of  $\alpha$ -helical and  $\beta$ -sheet content. The CD scans here confirm the structural integrity of the PLpro mutant enzymes. Therefore, the inactivation of PLpro is likely due to the mutants disrupting critical interactions necessary to maintain an active PLpro enzyme.

#### Initial velocity studies of PLpro mutants

Initial velocity studies were performed to determine the turnover number ( $k_{cat}$ ), Michaelis constant ( $K_{\rm m}$ ), and catalytic efficiency  $(k_{cat}/K_m)$  of WT PLpro and selected mutants ([Figs. 5,](#page-7-0) [S5,](#page-17-0) [S6,](#page-17-0) and [Tables S3](#page-17-0)–S5). All partially active PLpro mutants, several of the recovery mutants, and T119A, the only mutant with enhanced activity, were characterized. Some PLpro mutants with very low relative activity were characterized by using high enzyme concentrations in the assays for those mutants. However, mutants classified as inactive in the enzyme titration experiments, such as T10A and Y72A, were not investigated because their activity was below the experimental detection limits.

#### Pocket 1

Y71 and Y72 of the thumb domain interact with the backbones of V11 and D12 of the Ubl domain. Y71A and its rescue mutations Y71F and Y71T decreased  $k_{cat}$  by up to 2.5-fold compared to WT ([Fig. S5](#page-17-0)A and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). Compared with WT, Y71A decreased  $K<sub>m</sub>$  by 1.7-fold, whereas Y71F and Y71T increased  $K<sub>m</sub>$  by 3- and 2-fold, respectively ([Fig. S6](#page-17-0)A). Because the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  and  $k<sub>cat</sub>$  of Y71A were both reduced compared to those of WT, the catalytic efficiency,  $k_{cat}/K_{\rm m}$ , of Y71A was similar to that of WT. By contrast, Y71F and Y71T both reduced catalytic efficiency by 4.9-fold compared to WT ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)A and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). Y71 also interacts with D134; however, the kinetic parameters of D134A were similar to those of WT. Because Y72A had low relative activity (2% of WT), initial velocity studies of this mutant could not be performed, and the rescue mutants, Y72T and Y72F, were evaluated instead. Y72T and Y72F reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 22.0- and 2.1-fold, respectively, compared to WT (Fig. 5A and Table S3), and increased  $K_m$  by 1.8-fold [\(Fig. S6](#page-17-0)A). Overall, Y72T and Y72F reduced catalytic efficiency by 40.2- and 3.7-fold, respectively, compared to WT ([Fig. S5](#page-17-0)A and [Table S3](#page-17-0)). These data indicate that replacing Y72 with phenylalanine (but not threonine) preserved the interaction with the backbone of V11 and PLpro activity.

The initial velocity studies also provided insights into the interactions of D12 and H17 of the Ubl domain with T10, N15, and E67 of the thumb domain. D12A and its rescue mutants D12E and D12N decreased  $k_{cat}$  by 10.8-, 5.9-, and 1.7-fold, respectively, compared to WT ([Fig. S5](#page-17-0)A and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). The  $K<sub>m</sub>$ values of the D12 mutants were approximately 1.8-fold higher than that of WT ([Figs. S6](#page-17-0)A and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). Overall, D12A and D12E reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by  $\sim$ 10-fold compared to WT, whereas D12N decreased  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by only 3.1-fold, indicating that the introduction of asparagine preserved interactions with Y71 ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)A and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). H17A and E67A reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 1.7and 6.8-fold, respectively, compared to WT, but altered  $K<sub>m</sub>$ only slightly [\(Figs. S5](#page-17-0)A and [S6](#page-17-0)A). Thus, H17A and E67A reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 1.5- and 8.6-fold, respectively, compared to WT [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-0)A).

Finally, in Pocket 1, Y56 of the Ubl domain interacts with Y83 of the thumb domain. Compared to WT, Y56A and its rescue mutations Y56F and Y56T reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 1.7- to 2.8fold while increasing  $K_m$  by up to 2-fold [\(Figs. S5](#page-17-0)A, [S6](#page-17-0)A, and [Table S3](#page-17-0)). As a result, all Y56 mutations reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 2.9- to 4.6-fold compared to WT ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)A). Y83A and Y83T reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 8.6- and 19-fold, respectively, and altered  $K_{\rm m}$ slightly, resulting in reductions of  $k_{cat}/K_m$  of 7.7- and 37.3-fold, respectively, compared to WT ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)A, [S5](#page-17-0)A, and [S6](#page-17-0)A). Compared with Y83A, the rescue mutant Y83F exhibited improved kinetic parameters. Y83F reduced  $k_{\text{cat}}$  by 2.2-fold, increased  $K<sub>m</sub>$  by 2-fold, and decreased  $k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub>$  by 4.3-fold compared to WT. The kinetic parameters of K91A, R138A, E143A, and N146A were not greatly different from those of WT.

#### Pocket 2

Pocket 2 includes multiple residues at the interface of the thumb, fingers, and palm domains. Q122 of the thumb domain interacts with H275 and T277 of the palm domain [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A). Kinetic parameters were not obtained for H275A due to its low

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 5. Kinetic parameters of the enzymatically active PLpro mutants. Bar plots of the catalytic efficiency (k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub>) of WT PLpro (black bars). The PLpro proteolytic rate for the WT and mutant enzymes was measured by varying the peptide substrate concentration from 20 to 800 µM at a fixed PLpro concentration of 0.5 mM. (A) Pocket 1 mutants, (B) Pocket 2 mutants, and (C) Pocket 3 mutants. Initial velocity studies were conducted for all mutants with experimentally measurable enzymatic activity. Some mutants for which data are shown had relative activities of less than 8%. The bar plot colors corre-spond to the domain colors established in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) (purple = Ubl, green = thumb, blue = fingers, and brown = palm). The data points represent the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

relative activity. Q122A Q122E, and T277A decreased  $k_{cat}$  by 2.9- to 3.9-fold compared to WT. Q122A and T277A had minor effects on  $K<sub>m</sub>$ , whereas Q122E increased  $K<sub>m</sub>$  by 2-fold. Overall, Q122A, Q122E, and T277A reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 2.4-, 8-, and 1.9-fold compared to WT, respectively ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)B and [S5](#page-17-0)B, [S6](#page-17-0)B, and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0). These results indicate that the interaction between Q122 and T277 is important for maintaining active PLpro and that glutamate substitution at Q122 is not sufficient to preserve PLpro activity.

Intradomain interactions of the palm domain in Pocket 2 include interactions of H255 and S278 with the backbone of K279 ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A). Compared to WT, H255A, S278A, and S278T decreased  $k_{cat}$  by 4.3-, 5.3-, and 28.7-fold and  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 5.5-, 3.6-, and 62.7-fold, respectively. The decreases in  $k_{cat}/K_m$ reflect the fact that only S278T affected  $K<sub>m</sub>$  (2.2-fold increase) ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)B and [S5](#page-17-0)B, [S6](#page-17-0)B, and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0). The lower catalytic efficiency of S278T compared to S278A suggests that the bulkier size of threonine reduced the rate of catalysis.

Q133, R140, and N177 in Pocket two facilitate intra-domain interactions in the thumb domain [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0),  $B$  and  $C$ ). Alanine substitutions at these residues did not greatly alter the kinetic parameters of PLpro ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)B, [S5](#page-17-0)B, [S6](#page-17-0)B, and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0). However, Q133N reduced  $k_{\text{cat}}$  by 3.3-fold, increased  $K_{\text{m}}$  by 2fold, and reduced  $k_{cat}/K_{\rm m}$  by 6.6-fold compared to WT. Thus, the catalytic efficiency of Q133N was lower than that of Q133A. D179 also forms intra-domain interactions in the thumb domain, and D179A increased  $K<sub>m</sub>$  by 2-fold compared to WT but did not alter  $k_{\text{cat}}$ .

Interdomain interactions in Pocket two between Q236, Q237, and E238 of the fingers domain and S239, S309, and T311 of the palm domain are essential for PLpro activity ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)D). Q236A, E238A, and S239A reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 4.6-, 1.6-, and 32.6-fold, respectively [\(Figs. 5](#page-7-0)B, [S5](#page-17-0)B, and [S6](#page-17-0)B), increased  $K_{\rm m}$  slightly, and reduced  $k_{cat}/K_{\rm m}$  by 6.5-, 2.5-, and 46.9-fold compared to WT. The rescue mutations E238D and E238Q had similar effects on the kinetic parameters of PLpro, including a small decrease in  $k_{cat}$ , a slight increase in  $K_{\text{m}}$ , and  $\sim$ 4-fold reduction in  $k_{cat}/K_m$ . The kinetic parameters of Q237A were not acquired due to its low relative activity.

#### Pocket 3

Nearly all mutations in Pocket 3 reduced catalytic efficiency by <50% relative to WT. S212, Y213, E214, and K217 of the fingers domain participate in inter-domain interactions with Y251, Y305, and E307 of the palm domain ([Fig. 4](#page-5-0)B). Initial velocity studies were conducted with S212A, E214A, K217A, Y251A, and E307, which had sufficient catalytic rates for measurement experimentally. The phenylalanine and threonine mutants of Y213 and Y305 were also characterized. S212A, E214A, K217A, Y251A, and E307A reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 9.6-, 1.7-, 36.5-, 31.9-, and 4.1-fold, respectively, increased  $K_m$  by 1.3- to 1.9-fold, and decreased  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 12.7-, 3.2-, 69.7-, 2.8-, and 5.1-fold compared to WT, respectively ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)C and [S5](#page-17-0)C, [S6](#page-17-0)C and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0). The alternative substitutions Y213F, Y305F, and Y305T decreased  $k_{cat}$  by 5.5-, 19.6-, and 297.1-fold, increased  $K_m$  by 1.9-, 3.3-, and 2.6-fold, and reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 10.2-, 64.3-, and 755.6-fold, respectively, compared to WT. Compared with WT, S212T reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 126.3-fold and thus did not rescue S212A. By contrast, Y251F and Y251T reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by only 2.8-fold compared to WT, whereas Y251A reduced  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 42.4-fold [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-0)C and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0).

Intradomain interactions occur between E252, K254, T259, and K306 of the palm domain [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)C). The kinetic parameters of E252A were similar to those of WT. By contrast, K254A, T259A, and K306A reduced  $k_{cat}$  by 10.8-, 4.0- and 3.3fold and  $k_{cat}/K_m$  by 10.5-, 5.0- and 6.4-fold compared to WT ([Figs. 5](#page-7-0)C, [S5](#page-17-0)C, [S6](#page-17-0)C, and [Table S5](#page-17-0)). The rescue mutant K306R had lower catalytic efficiency than K306A, which may indicate that the size of the side chain at this position is important for PLpro activity ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)C).

#### Thermodynamic and kinetic stabilities of the PLpro mutants

To investigate the correlation between the activity and thermodynamic stability of PLpro, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of WT and mutant PLpro was performed. The PLpro thermograms displayed two-state transitions. The melting point  $(T_m)$  was determined from the apex of the peaks, and the calorimetric enthalpy  $(\varDelta H_{\mathrm{cal}})$  was calculated from the area under the thermographic peaks ([Fig. S7](#page-17-0)). WT PLpro displayed two melting points,  $T_{m1}$  and  $T_{m2}$ , at  $44 °C$  and  $52 °C$ , respectively, and  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  of 1094 kJ/mol. Similar to WT PLpro, all inactive mutants displayed two distinct melting points. Compared with WT,  $T_{\text{m1}}$  was higher for all inactive mutants except S278A [\(Fig. S7](#page-17-0), D and E and [Table S6\)](#page-17-0). Similarly, all inactive mutants except K254A exhibited an increase in  $T_{m2}$  of up to 6 °C compared with WT ([Fig. S7](#page-17-0)E). K254A in Pocket 3 decreased  $T_{m2}$  by 1.5 °C compared to WT. Moreover, most of the inactive mutants exhibited considerable reductions in  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  compared to WT [\(Fig. S7](#page-17-0)F and [Table S6](#page-17-0)), implying that these mutations altered the structural fold of PLpro. The exceptions were Y213A in Pocket 3, which increased  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$ compared to WT (1.7-fold), and S239A, which resulted in  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  similar to that of WT.

Thermal inactivation kinetics studies of WT PLpro and the partially active mutants were performed to elucidate the relationship between structural stability and activity [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-9-0). PLpro was incubated at 37  $^{\circ}$ C, and the residual enzymatic activity was determined at different time points for up to 2 hours. The kinetic half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  is the time at which 50%

relative activity remains and was used as a quantitative measure of the thermal stability of PLpro variants. Inactive mutants were not included in these experiments because of the difficulty of reliably calculating  $t_{1/2}$ . The  $t_{1/2}$  of WT PLpro was 91 min [\(Fig. 6,](#page-9-0) A and G). In Pocket 1, the partially active mutants—Y56A, E67A, and Y71A—had longer  $t_{1/2}$  values of 133 min, 125 min, and 185 min, respectively ([Fig. 6,](#page-9-0) A and G), indicating greater thermal stability. Most notably, the  $t_{1/2}$  of Y71A was  $\sim$ 2-fold higher than that of WT. By contrast, some mutations in Pocket two reduced thermal stability compared to WT [\(Fig. 6](#page-9-0), B–D and G). Q122A, E238A, T277A, and S278A had reduced  $t_{1/2}$  values of 12 min, 77 min, 56 min, and 11 min, respectively. Among all mutations in all pockets, Q122A and S278A resulted in the largest decreases in  $t_{1/2}$ , with reductions of 7- to 8-fold compared to WT. The other mutations in Pocket 2—Q133A, R140A, D179A, Q236A, and H255A increased  $t_{1/2}$  slightly compared to WT. Finally, the Pocket three mutations S212A, E214A, K217A, and T259A reduced  $t_{1/2}$  to 40 to 60 min. The other mutations in Pocket three either did not affect  $t_{1/2}$ , *i.e.*, E307A, or increased  $t_{1/2}$  to 136 min, *i.e.*, K306A [\(Fig. 6,](#page-9-0) E–G).

DSC was performed to further evaluate the thermodynamic stability of the mutants with lower  $t_{1/2}$  values than WT: Q122A, E214A, K217A, E238A, T259A, T277A, and S278A ([Fig. S8](#page-17-0) and [Table S6\)](#page-17-0). Similar to the DSC thermograms of the inactive mutants, the thermograms of the partially active mutants included a two-state transition with two melting points ( $T_{m1}$  and  $T_{m2}$ ). Only S278A decreased  $T_{m1}$  compared to WT, from  $44.4 \pm 0.4$  °C to  $43.7 \pm 0.2$ °C. The other mutations increased  $T_{\text{m1}}$  to values between 45.6  $\pm$  1.0 °C and 50.2  $\pm$  0.4 °C; T259A had the highest  $T_{m1}$  [\(Fig. S8](#page-17-0)C). Most of the mutations also increased  $T_{m2}$  from 51.9  $\pm$  0.1 °C for WT to values between  $53.2 \pm 0.1$  °C and  $56.7 \pm 0.5$  °C. Again, T259A had the highest  $T_{m2}$  [\(Fig. S8](#page-17-0)D). Only two mutants, E238A and T277A, had  $T_{m2}$  values similar to that of WT. Overall, T259A exhibited the largest increases in  $T_{m1}$  and  $T_{m2}$  (6 °C and 5 °C, respectively). Finally, nearly all mutations decreased  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$ compared to WT.  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  was 1094  $\pm$  73 kJ/mol for WT but ranged between 618  $\pm$  49 kJ/mol and 921  $\pm$  59 kJ/mol for the mutants [\(Fig. S8](#page-17-0)E). The exception was T277A, which increased  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  by 3-fold to 2749  $\pm$  146 kJ/mol [\(Table S6\)](#page-17-0). Collectively, the thermal analyses presented here confirm that the mutant enzymes are thermodynamically stable; thus, the loss of activity is not a result of structural instability. The mutations may have altered the overall structural fold such that the enzyme is less active than WT PLpro but has similar thermodynamic stability.

## Assessment of protein stability by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

MD simulations were performed to assess the effects of mutations of residues in the three pockets predicted to be essential for the catalytic function of PLpro. Among the mutations in Pockets 1 and 2, D12A, Y72A, T10A, T54A, Y83A, H275A, and S239A reduced the catalytic activity of PLpro but did not alter protein dynamics compared to WT PLpro (data

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 6. Thermal inactivation kinetic measurements and half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) calculation. The residual enzymatic activity of each PLpro variant was measured at 15-min intervals while incubating the enzyme at 37 °C for up to 2 hours: (A) Pocket one mutants, (B-D) Pocket two mutants, and (E and F) Pocket three mutants. G, Bar plot of the half-life values of WT and mutant PLpro. The bar plot colors correspond to the domain colors established in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) (purple = Ubl, green = thumb, blue = fingers, and brown = palm). The data points represent the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3.

not shown). Pocket three contains the most residues that contribute to the catalytic activity of PLpro. MD simulations were conducted on S212A, Y213A, Y251A, K254A, and Y305A, as these mutations in Pocket 3 reduced or eliminated the catalytic activity of PLpro. Except for Y251A and Y305A, minor changes in RMSD have been observed for these mutants ([Fig. S9\)](#page-17-0). This could be because 200 ns MD simulation time was not sufficient to observe conformational changes, or these mutants exert their effects at the cellular level rather than the molecular level.

On the other hand, Y251A and Y305A in the palm domain exhibited the largest changes in dynamics. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the palm domain was examined to elucidate the impact of the Y251A and Y305A mutations on structural and conformational dynamics. Both Y251A and Y305A significantly disturbed the stability of PLpro throughout the 200-ns MD simulation time and increased RMSD compared to WT ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)A). The RMSD values of WT fluctuated between 1.5 Å and 2.5 Å throughout the simulations. For Y251A, the RMSD value gradually increased from 1.5 Å and oscillated between 2.5 Å and 3.5 Å, with peaks reaching almost 4 Å at 55 ns and 95 ns. The RMSD of Y305A was also 1.5 Å at the beginning of the simulation and steadily increased until reaching  $\sim$ 4.5 Å at  $\sim$ 115 ns; it then briefly dropped to oscillate around  $\sim$ 2.5 Å before increasing again to fluctuate at  $\sim$ 3.5 Å. These deviations reflect sustained conformational changes in Y251A and Y305A at various stages of the MD simulation, indicating that these mutations reduce the activity of PLpro by increasing the structural dynamics of the protease.

To identify potential factors contributing to the observed instability of Y251A and Y305A in the palm domain during the MD simulations, the distances between Y251A and Y305A and their surrounding residues were comprehensively analyzed. Consistent with the high dynamics observed during the MD simulations, the distances between PLpro domains increased. The distance between the side chain of Y213 of the fingers domain and the side chain of E307 of the palm domain, an interaction close to the positions of Y251 and Y305, was selected to monitor the destabilization of the interaction distances between the fingers and palm domains [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)B). In WT PLpro, the distance between Y213 and E307 was maintained at  $\sim$ 4.3 Å throughout the 200-ns simulation time. In the Y251A mutant, the distance between Y213 and E307 remained at  $\sim$ 4.3 Å for the first 25 ns of the simulations and then increased to  $\sim$ 9.60 Å throughout the subsequent duration of the MD run ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)B). By contrast, in the simulations of the Y305A mutant, the distance between Y213 and E307 immediately increased, with an average distance of 10 Å over the first 90 ns. The distance then briefly decreased to  $\sim$ 7.5 Å for the subsequent 70 ns before stabilizing at 4.5 Å for the remaining 40 ns.

#### **Discussion**

The discovery and development of antiviral therapeutics are of paramount importance in the fight against COVID-19 and

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 7. Molecular dynamics simulations of Pocket three mutants. A, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the protein backbone was determined for WT PLpro and the Pocket three mutants Y251A and Y305A. MD simulations of Pocket one and two mutants did not reveal major structural variations between the mutants and WT PLpro. B, time-dependent analyses of the distance between the side chains of Y213 of the finger domain and E307 of the palm domain throughout the 200 ns of MD simulations. The distance between the side chains of Y213 and E307 is 2.5 Å in the crystal structure of WT PLpro.

the spread of SARS-CoV-2. The processing of viral polyproteins by proteases to produce new viral particles is a conserved step in coronavirus maturation ([38](#page-16-4)–41). The PLpro of SARS-CoV-2 plays a crucial role in recognizing and cleaving the tetrapeptide sequence, LXGG, which is found between nonstructural proteins nsp1/2, nsp2/3, and nsp3/4 ([71](#page-17-3), [72\)](#page-17-4). The cleavage occurs at the carboxyl side of glycine in the P1 position, a process essential for releasing the viral proteins nsp1, nsp2, and nsp3 required for the replication and survival of SARS-CoV-2. The S3 and S4 binding sites within the PLpro enzyme play distinct roles in this cleavage process ([32](#page-16-1), 62–[66,](#page-16-14) [71,](#page-17-3) [72](#page-17-4)). The S3 site is solvent-exposed, which allows it to accommodate a broader range of amino acids, contributing to the enzyme's flexibility in substrate recognition ([32,](#page-16-1) 62–[66\)](#page-16-14). In contrast, the S4 site is a highly conserved hydrophobic pocket critical for binding the leucine of the LXGG motif.

Many inhibitors developed to target PLpro focus on the S3 and S4 sites, leveraging their structural and functional characteristics to block the enzyme's activity. By binding to these pockets, particularly the hydrophobic S4 site, these inhibitors effectively prevent the enzyme from interacting with its natural substrates, halting PLpro's role in viral replication and immune modulation [\(63\)](#page-16-17). One such inhibitor, GRL0617, binds specifically to the ubiquitin-like (UBL) domain of PLpro, occupying a pocket in the palm domain of PLpro near the blocking loop 2 (BL2) within the catalytic domain close to the catalytical triad ([73\)](#page-17-5). The BL2 loop is important in gating access to the substrate-binding sites, which recognize and interact with key residues of ubiquitin and ISG15 substrates [\(31](#page-16-7), [33](#page-16-18), [73\)](#page-17-5). GRL0617 disrupts this interaction by stabilizing the BL2 loop in a closed conformation, blocking access to the substratebinding cleft. This prevents the enzyme from performing its deubiquitinating and deISGylating functions, which are essential for viral replication and immune suppression. PLpro is not just the main protease of SARS-CoV-2; it has DUB and deISGylating activities that inhibit host innate immunity responses ([74](#page-17-6)–76). Identifying additional binding sites on PLpro that can be targeted for drug discovery and design will aid in discovering effective antiviral therapeutics ([18](#page-15-11), [75,](#page-17-7) [77](#page-17-8)). Thus, PLpro is an attractive target for the development of antiviral therapeutics against COVID-19.

In this study, we present a novel computational and biochemical approach for discovering allosteric sites on the surface of PLpro. Similar analyses of 3CLpro, the other main protease of SARS-CoV-2, identified novel allosteric sites on the surface of 3CLpro with inhibitory potential ([78](#page-17-9)). Here, three potential surface pockets on the face of PLpro opposite from the active site were computationally identified [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Residues in these pockets that facilitate side chain–side chain or side chain–backbone interactions were mutated to biochemically assess their importance for proteolytic activity. Identifying key amino acid contacts in PLpro will aid the screening and identification of small-molecule inhibitors of PLpro that hold potential as antiviral drugs against COVID-19 and related coronavirus diseases.

#### Pocket 1: the highest druggability score

Pocket one is located at the interface between the thumb and Ubl domains. 18 key residues with intra- and interdomain polar interactions were identified and mutated to alanine. Alanine substitutions at five residues, T10, D12, T54, Y72, and Y83, eliminated or drastically reduced proteolytic activity to less than 12% of that of WT PLpro. The kinetic parameters were acquired for mutants with measurable catalytic activities, including D12, Y72, and Y83 [\(Figs. 5](#page-7-0)A and [S5](#page-17-0)A, [S6](#page-17-0)A, and [Table S3\)](#page-17-0). The  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values for the D12A and Y83A mutants were similar to or lower than the WT, respectively [\(Fig. S6](#page-17-0)A); however, these values were higher than the WT enzyme for all the D12E, D12N, Y72F, Y72T, Y83F, Y83T mutants, which indicate lower affinity to the peptide substrate. On the other hand, the  $k_{\text{cat}}$  values decreased for all these mutants, with D12N, Y72F, and Y83F having  $k_{cat}$  values 50% of the WT enzyme ([Fig. S5](#page-17-0)A). The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$  values decreased for the D12, Y72, and Y83 mutants by up to 40-fold compared to the WT enzyme [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-0)A and [Table S3](#page-17-0)). As a result, these data indicate the importance of these residues in the structural integrity of the PLpro enzyme to maintain its activity and ability to bind the peptide substrate at high affinity.

Another three mutations, Y56A, E67A, and Y71A, partially inactivated PLpro, as proteolytic activity was 18%–48% of that of WT. Alanine mutations of the other 10 residues of Pocket 1 did not significantly affect PLpro activity. The effects of these mutations on activity can be attributed to the loss of intra- and interdomain interactions. For example, T10, D12, and N15 of the Ubl domain participate in intra-domain interactions, but only T10A and D12A inactivated PLpro. Interestingly, D12E or D12N partially or fully recovered activity, respectively. The lower catalytic activity of D12E might be due to the disruption of bonding interactions with T10 and T15 by the longer side chain of glutamate. D12N may provide the correct side chain length and polar interactions, given the similar sizes of asparagine and aspartate. Another intra-domain interaction in Pocket 1 important for PLpro activity is the side chain interaction between T54 and N13 in the Ubl domain. T54A but not N13A completely inactivated PLpro. This may indicate that the side-chain interaction of T54 with N13 is not important for PLpro activity. T54 may also hydrogen bond the backbone carbonyl oxygen of F8 in the Ubl domain, and this interaction might be important for PLpro activity. Overall, these results indicate that intra-domain interactions are important for maintaining the structural fold of the Ubl domain and the activity of PLpro.

The other two residues in Pocket 1 that inactivated PLpro when mutated to alanine are Y72 and Y83, both in the thumb domain. Y72 is within a short hydrogen bond distance of 2.6 Å from the backbone carbonyl oxygen of V11, indicating the importance of inter-domain interactions between the Ubl and thumb domains. While Y72T retained only 6% of WT activity, Y72F fully recovered the proteolytic activity of PLpro. These results show that the large aromatic ring of tyrosine is important for maintaining local interactions that support the proper structural fold of PLpro. Y83 forms an intra-domain interaction with N146 of the thumb domain and an interdomain interaction with Y56 of the Ubl domain. Introducing N146A did not reduce PLpro activity, indicating that the intradomain interaction of Y83 with N146 is relatively unimportant for activity. By contrast, introducing Y56A reduced PLpro activity to 44% of that of WT, demonstrating that interdomain interactions between the Ubl and thumb domains are important for PLpro activity. Introducing Y83F recovered 96% of WT activity, whereas Y83T had only 8% of WT activity. Thus, the hydrophobic interactions of Y83 are more influential than its polar interactions for proper folding and catalysis. The Y56T and Y56F mutants both had >75% WT activity, indicating that both the creation of a local hydrophobic environment and polar interactions are important for activity.

Overall, four tyrosine residues in Pocket 1—one from the Ubl domain (Y56) and three from the thumb domain (Y71, Y72, and Y83)—play critical roles in maintaining active PLpro by providing structural support for the Ubl domain and its contact points with the thumb domain ([Fig. S10](#page-17-0)). The tyrosine cluster stabilizes the interactions at the Ubl–thumb interface. By contrast, intra-domain interactions in the thumb domain do not have a major impact on PLpro enzyme.

#### Pocket 2: least potential as an allosteric site

Pocket two is the only pocket located at the interface of three domains: thumb–fingers–palm. Only four of the 21 residues in Pocket 2 eliminated or reduced PLpro activity to <16% of WT when mutated to alanine: Q237, S239, H275, and S278. Of these four mutants, it was possible to acquire the kinetic parameters only for S278A/T and S239A mutants, while Q237A and H275A did not yield measurable catalytic activities [\(Figs. 5](#page-7-0)B, [S5](#page-17-0)B, [S6](#page-17-0)B, and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0). The  $k_{\text{cat}}$  values decreased by 5.3-, 28.7-, and 32.6-fold compared to the WT enzyme for the S278A, S278T, and S239A mutants, respec-tively [\(Fig. S5](#page-17-0)B and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0). The  $K<sub>m</sub>$  value for S278A was lower than the WT, while the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values for S278T and S239A increased compared to the WT PLpro ([Fig. S6](#page-17-0)B). This indicates a lower affinity to the peptide substrate for the S239A and S278T mutants. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$  values decreased for the S239 and S278 mutants by up to 62-fold compared to the WT enzyme, which indicates the critical roles these residues play in maintaining an active PLpro enzyme ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)B and [Table S4\)](#page-17-0).

Interdomain interactions dominated the effects of these residues on PLpro activity. Q237 and S239 interact with one another and are at the boundaries of the fingers and palm domains: Q237 is the last residue of the fingers domain, and S239 is the second residue of the palm domain. S239 is located on a loop that connects the last strand of the three-stranded antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet of the fingers domain with the first strand of the six-stranded antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet of the palm domain. The tight hydrogen bonding interactions between Q237 and S239 stabilize the loop between the two sheets of the

fingers and palm domains in a coiled tight  $180^{\circ}$  turn. These interactions are important for maintaining the two domains in conformations that stabilize active PLpro.

H275 of the palm domain forms multiple inter-domain interactions with residues in the thumb domain, most importantly Q122, which is a short hydrogen bond distance of 2.8 Å from H275. Introducing Q122A reduced the activity of PLpro. Another inter-domain interaction in the vicinity of H275 is between Q122 of the thumb domain and T277 of the palm domain, and introducing T277A reduced PLpro activity. Furthermore, compared to WT PLpro, Q122A, T277A, and S278A had lower thermal stabilities and  $t_{1/2}$  values. Collectively, these findings support the importance of this network of inter-domain interactions at the thumb–palm interface in maintaining the thermal stability and catalytic activity of PLpro.

Although multiple intradomain interactions in the thumb, fingers, and palm domains are observed in Pocket 2, only those of S278A of the palm domain were essential for PLpro activity. Introducing S278A reduced PLpro activity to 16% of that of WT. S278 participates in intra-domain interactions with the backbones of G256 and K279. H255 also participates in this interaction network and hydrogen bonds with the backbone of K279; introducing H255A reduced PLpro activity to 43% of that of WT. In the thumb domain, intra-domain interactions facilitated by Q133 and R140 are important for PLpro activity. Interestingly, most of the mutations in Pocket 2 reduced  $K_{\rm m}$ , indicating a higher affinity for the peptide substrate. However, these increases in  $K<sub>m</sub>$  were accompanied by decreases in  $k<sub>cat</sub>$ , i.e., catalytic efficiency.

## Pocket 3: A high number of amino acids inactivated the PLpro protease

Of the three pockets, Pocket 3, which is located at the finger–palm interface, contains the largest number of residues that inactivated PLpro when mutated to alanine. 13 key residues that facilitate intra- and inter-domain polar interactions were identified in Pocket 3. Alanine substitutions at five residues, S212A, Y213A, Y251A, K254A, and Y305A, inactivated PLpro. Another five mutations—E214A, K217A, T259A, K306A, and E307A— partially inactivated PLpro, with relative activities of 21% to 53% compared to WT. The remaining three residues of Pocket 3 do not significantly affect PLpro activity.

Most of the residues in Pocket 3 that are essential for PLpro activity participate in inter-domain interactions between the fingers and palm domains. The inter-domain interaction between the side chains of S212 and Y251 is crucial for PLpro activity, and both S212A and Y251 were classified as inactive. The  $k_{\text{cat}}$  values for S212A/T were reduced by  $\sim$ 10- and 126fold, respectively, compared to the WT ([Fig. S5](#page-17-0)C and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0). The  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values did not change for S212A and increased by  $\sim$ 3-fold for the S212T mutant ([Fig. S6](#page-17-0)C and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0). Interestingly, S212T failed to restore activity, possibly because the side chain of threonine is bulkier than that of serine. In addition, the  $t_{1/2}$  of S212A was  $\sim$ 3-fold shorter than that of WT, further highlighting the significance of this residue for the structural and functional stability of PLpro. A second set of inter-domain interactions occurs between Y213 of the fingers domain and Y305 and E307 of the palm domain. Y213A and Y305A were inactive, whereas E307A had a relative activity of 29%. The  $k_{cat}$  values for Y213F, Y305F, and E307A were reduced by 5.5-,19.6-, and 1.2-fold, respectively, compared to the WT ([Fig. S5C](#page-17-0) and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0). The  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values did not change significantly for these mutants ([Fig. S6](#page-17-0)C and [Table S5\)](#page-17-0). Although Y213T was inactive, introducing Y213F partially recovered proteolytic activity to 28% of that of WT, indicating that the hydrophobic interactions of the side chain of Y213 are important for activity. By contrast, neither Y305F nor Y305T were active, demonstrating that both the large hydrophobic aromatic ring and hydroxyl group of tyrosine are important. The third interdomain interaction is between the side chain of K306 of the palm domain and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Q122 of the thumb domain. Alanine mutations at these positions reduced but did not eliminate PLpro activity.

In Pocket 3, intradomain interactions were observed between E214 and K217 of the fingers domain and between E252, K254, T257, T259, E295, and K306 of the palm domain. Only the alanine mutation at K254 drastically reduced PLpro activity, and the K254R mutant had 67% relative activity. Alanine mutations of the other residues reduced the catalytic activity of PLpro, with relative activity values of 44% to 76%. These results suggest that inter-domain interactions in Pocket three contribute more than intra-domain interactions to the activity and stability of PLpro.

## Kinetic and dynamic stabilities are important for an active PLpro

The DSC analysis showed that the inactive mutants had higher melting points and lower enthalpies of unfolding than WT PLpro. These mutations likely altered the structural fold of PLpro, as the magnitude of  $\Delta H_\mathrm{cal}$  is correlated with the extent of bonding interactions in a protein's structure. Positive values of  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  indicate that hydrophilic interactions are dominant, whereas negative values indicate that hydrophobic interactions dominate the structure ([79](#page-17-10)). Thus, the lower  $\Delta H_{\text{cal}}$  values of the inactive mutants indicate that they were more hydrophobic than WT PLpro, despite greater thermodynamic stability. Moreover, the greater thermodynamic stability of the inactive mutants indicates that their inactivity was due to structural changes preventing catalysis and not to destabilization of the structural fold.

The MD simulations of the inactive mutants Y251A and Y305A of the palm domain in Pocket three demonstrated that inter-domain interactions of these residues are important for maintaining the distance between the palm and finger domains. This distance was monitored by measuring the distance between the side chains of Y213 and E307 during the MD simulations of Y251A and Y305A mutants and comparing it with the WT enzyme. The Y213–E307 distance increased in Y251A and Y305A compared with WT during

the MD simulations, which further highlights the importance of Y251 and Y305 in stabilizing the inter-domain interactions between the fingers and palm domains for active PLpro. The interactions facilitated by Y251 and Y305 are essential for preserving the functional fold and structural stability of PLpro. Hence, the loss of activity in the Y251A and Y305A mutants can be partially attributed to increased distances between the finger and palm domains of PLpro. These findings underscore the complexity and critical role of interdomain interactions in maintaining the structural and functional integrity of PLpro.

#### Conclusion

The structural studies of PLpro inhibitors have shown that the BL2 loop and the S3/S4 sites of the enzyme are critical regions for the design of effective antiviral therapeutics ([31,](#page-16-7) [33,](#page-16-18) [80,](#page-17-11) [81\)](#page-17-12). Compounds that stabilize the BL2 loop or block access to the catalytic site are particularly effective in inhibiting PLpro activity. Recent efforts in the development of have focused on several strategies. One of the promising compounds in the inhibition of PLpro is GRL0617, a non-covalent inhibitor that binds near the BL2 loop adjacent to the catalytic domain of PLpro [\(19,](#page-15-12) [31,](#page-16-7) [45,](#page-16-19) [73\)](#page-17-5). Stabilizing the BL2 loop in a closed conformation blocks the substrate-binding cleft, preventing PLpro from processing ubiquitin and ISG15, both critical for the virus's immune suppression functions. GRL0617 effectively inhibits the DUB and deISGylating activities of PLpro, impairing viral replication and enhancing the immune response [\(19](#page-15-12), [45](#page-16-19), [73\)](#page-17-5). Several other inhibitors targeting PLpro have been reported, focusing primarily on the S3/S4 sites of the enzyme [\(63,](#page-16-17) [74](#page-17-6)–77). These hydrophobic pockets are essential for PLpro's substrate recognition, and inhibitors that bind to these sites can effectively block the enzyme's interaction with its substrates.

The study presented here identifies novel potential binding pockets on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. These pockets can be targeted using computational screening to identify small molecules capable of binding and disrupting key amino acid interactions essential for maintaining the activity of PLpro. Each of the computationally identified pockets was assigned a Dscore based on the cavity size, enclosure, and hydrophobicity of each pocket. Pockets two and three have similar druggability scores, sizes, and enclosures, but Pocket 3 has higher hydrophobicity. Of the 52 residues mutated in this study, 14 reduced relative activity (compared to WT) to less than 15% when mutated to alanine, and another 16 reduced relative activity to less than 60% when mutated to alanine. Although Pocket 1 has the highest Dscore (i.e., greatest potential druggability), Pocket three is the most promising allosteric pocket because it contains the largest number of residues that are required for PLpro activity. The biochemical analyses in this study provide important information on the types of interactions that should be disrupted by inhibitors, which is important for guiding the computational screening of small-molecule libraries and the design of antiviral drugs targeting SARS-CoV-2 PLpro.

#### Experimental procedures

# Druggability assessment and identification of surface pockets on SARS-CoV-2 PLpro

Twenty-two crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 PLpro were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank ([http://www.rcsb.org\)](http://www.rcsb.org) and used to identify the surface pockets on PLpro. The analyzed structures include the following PDB codes: 6WZU and 7JIW from Jerzy Osipiuk, et.al. [\(32\)](#page-16-1), 7LLF, 7LOS, 7LLZ, 7LBR, and 7LBS from Zhengnan Shen, et al. [\(62\)](#page-16-14), 6WUU and 6WX4 from Wioletta Rut, et.al. [\(63\)](#page-16-17), 7OFT and 7OFS from Vasundara Srinivasan, et.al. [\(64\)](#page-16-20), 7JRN from Chunlong Ma, et.al. [\(65\)](#page-16-21), 7QCM, 7QCG, 7QCH, 7QCI, and 7QCK from Wiebke Ewert, et.al. [\(66\)](#page-16-22), and the following PDB codes 7SGW, 7SDR, 7RZC, 7JN2, and 7KOL. All structures were of proteins without mutations that were solved by X-ray crystallography. MOE (Molecular Operating Environment, 2021) was used to remove solvent atoms from each crystal structure and add any missing atoms, residues, chains, or loops using the protein preparation module. Next, Protonate3D was used to assign each atom a unique protonation state. The prepared crystal structures were then imported into Maestro (Schrödinger LLC), where the Protein Preparation Wizard (Schrödinger LLC) module was used to refine the structures and maintain structural integrity. Hydrogens were added using hydrogen bond optimization, and the structures were subsequently subjected to restrained minimization to achieve a reduced energy state.

Pockets were identified using the SiteMap (Schrödinger LLC) module with default settings. To prevent any bias from utilizing ligands or peptides to identify pockets, the "Identify top-ranked possible receptor binding sites" option was used. SiteMap computes numerous physiochemical parameters for each pocket, including size, exposure, hydrophobicity, hydrophilicity, and volume  $(67)$  $(67)$ . It then assigns a Dscore to each pocket using a weighted equation (Equation [1\)](#page-13-0):

$$
Dscore = 0.094\sqrt{n} + 0.60e - 0.324p
$$
 (1)

<span id="page-13-0"></span>where  $n$  is the number of site points,  $e$  is the enclosure factor, and  $p$  denotes hydrophilicity.

## Enzyme titration and initial velocity studies of wild-type (WT) and mutant PLpro

The gene encoding recombinant WT or mutant PLpro with an N-terminal Hisx6 tag was introduced into the bacterial expression vector pET-28b (+) by GenScript, Inc. The resulting plasmid was used to transform E. coli BL21-CodonPlus-RIL (Stratagene) for protein expression, which was induced as described previously [\(28\)](#page-15-17). The bacterial cell pellets were homogenized in lysis buffer [25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 3 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol ( $\beta$ ME), and 0.1% protein inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich: P8849)], and after centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto a nickel column pre-equilibrated with binding buffer (25 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 3 mM  $\beta$ ME). The column was washed with binding buffer, and the protein was eluted with binding buffer supplemented with 300 mM imidazole.

The fractions from the nickel column were loaded onto a HiLoad Superdex 200pg (16/600) size-exclusion chromatography column (GE Healthcare) on an ÄKTA pure 25 chromatography system (Cytiva, USA). The column was preequilibrated with 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). The PLprocontaining fractions were collected and concentrated to  $\sim$ 150 µM. The protein concentration and purity were deter-mined by the Bradford assay [\(82\)](#page-17-13) and SDS-PAGE, respectively.

PLpro enzyme titration and initial velocity analyses were performed using a Cytation five multi-mode microplate reader (BIOTEK Instruments, Winooski, VT) as described previously ([28\)](#page-15-17). The peptide substrate contained the PLpro cleavage site Leu-Arg-Gly-Gly based on its natural cleavage sequence. The PLpro's natural cleavage sequence is flanked by a fluorescent AMC (7-amino-4-methyl coumarin) tag and corresponding quencher CBZ (carbobenzoxy) (i.e., CBZ-LRGG-AMC). The proteolytic reaction was initiated by adding PLpro to the peptide substrate in buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, and 1 mM EDTA) containing 2% DMSO (v/v) to enhance the solubility and solubility of the peptide [\(28\)](#page-15-17). The PLpro reaction was monitored in a 96-well microplate at 25  $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min at excitation and emission wavelengths of 340 nm and 487 nm, respectively. Cleavage of the peptide substrate by PLpro increased the AMC fluorescent signal.

Enzyme titration analyses were performed to assess the effect of a single amino acid substitution on PLpro proteolysis. In brief, the enzymatic activity of WT or mutant PLpro was assayed at different enzyme concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 5.0  $\mu$ M at a fixed peptide substrate concentration of 200  $\mu$ M. Next, kinetic parameters, including  $K<sub>m</sub>$  and  $k<sub>cat</sub>$ , were determined by performing initial velocity studies of the WT enzyme and the catalytically active mutants. The concentration of the peptide substrate was varied from 20 to 800  $\mu$ M at a fixed concentration of  $0.5 \mu M$  PLpro. The cleavage rate data were fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation using the global fitting analysis function in the kinetics module of SigmaPlot (Systat Software, Inc). Error bars were calculated from triplicate measurements of each reaction, and the results are presented as the mean ± SD.

#### Far-UV circular dichroism spectroscopy

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of PLpro were collected in 10 mM Phosphate buffer pH 7.5. Scans were collected in a Chirascan CD spectrometer (Applied Photophysics) calibrated with aqueous camphor-10-sulfonic acid (CSA) from 200 nm to 260 nm at a scanning speed of 1 nm/sec and bandwidth of 1 nm using 30 µM PLpro in a 1-mm quartz cuvette. The temperature was maintained at 25 $\degree$ C to acquire spectra of the native states of PLpro WT and mutant enzyme. All CD scans were corrected for buffer and substrate background, and five CD scans were averaged to obtain each spectrum.

#### Thermodynamic and kinetic stabilities of PLpro variants

The thermodynamic stability of WT and mutant PLpro was measured using a Nano Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) instrument (TA Instruments) calibrated using chicken egg white lysozyme, an external standard in the TA Instruments test kit (602198.901). The DSC thermograms were acquired at a PLpro concentration of 0.3 mg/ ml in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP. The protein samples were heated from 15  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  to 75  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ at a scan rate of  $1 \degree C$ /min and 3 atm pressure. Background scans were obtained by loading degassed buffer in both the reference and sample cells and heating at the same rate. The DSC thermograms were corrected by subtracting the corresponding buffer baseline and converted to plots of excess heat capacity  $(C_p)$  as a function of temperature. The sample melting point  $(T_m)$  was determined at the maximum temperature of the thermal transition, and the calorimetric enthalpy  $(\Delta H_{cal})$  of the transition was estimated from the area under the thermal transition using Nano Analyzer software (TA Instruments).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>To determine the thermal inactivation kinetics of the PLpro mutants, proteolytic activity was assayed after incubating the enzyme at 37  $\mathrm{C}$  for different time intervals. The enzymatic activity of PLpro was determined as described above, and the decay in enzymatic activity was fit to a one-phase decay equation (Equation [2\)](#page-14-0) using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software):

$$
A = A_0 e^{-kt} \tag{2}
$$

where A is the relative activity of the enzyme at incubation time t,  $A_0$  is the activity of the enzyme at  $t = 0$ , and k is the rate constant for enzyme inactivation. The half-life  $(t_{1/2})$  was defined as the time at which enzymatic activity was reduced to half and calculated as  $t_{1/2} = ln(2)/k$ .

## Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of WT and mutant PLpro

The residue scan module in MOE (Chemical Computing Group) was used to introduce single amino acid mutations into the structure of PLpro, including the inactivating mutations Y251A and Y305A. MD simulations of the WT and mutant enzymes were performed using the AMBER18 package ([83\)](#page-17-14). The ff19SB force field was used to assign partial charges and other parameters to the protein. The protein system was constructed using the xleap module of AmberTools. Subsequently, neutralization was achieved by introducing Na<sup>+</sup> counter ions, and solvation was performed in a truncated octahedral box of TIP3P water. The energy of the system underwent a two-step minimization process using the PMEMD program in the AMBER18 package ([83\)](#page-17-14). First, all solute atoms were restrained with a force constant of 500 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> Å−<sup>2</sup> . This was followed by a minimization step without any constraints. Under the NVT ensemble, the system was gradually heated from 0 to 300 K. The SHAKE algorithm was employed for all hydrogen atoms that form bonds with a collision frequency of 1.0 ps−<sup>1</sup> . Following this, a 200-ns simulation of the protein was conducted in the NPT ensemble, where the system's temperature and pressure were maintained at 300 K and  $1.01 \times 10^5$  Pa, respectively. All

trajectories were analyzed using DBSCAN ([84](#page-17-15)) in the CCPTRAJ module in AmberTools [\(85\)](#page-17-16). Cluster analysis was conducted on every 10th frame (10 ns), excluding ions and solvent molecules from the system. The distance cutoff  $(\varepsilon)$  for cluster formation was maintained at the default value of 3.0. The CCPTRAJ tool was used to analyze the trajectory files by generating an RMSD plot.

#### Data availability

The authors declare that all data that support the findings of this study are available within the paper files.

Supporting information-This article contains supporting information.

Acknowledgments-This research was partially carried out using the Core Technology Platforms resources at New York University Abu Dhabi.

Author contributions—K. A., A. J. V., J. C. F., W. M. R., M. A. G., L. A., and S. F. writing–original draft; K. A., A. J. V., J. C. F., and W. M. R. formal analysis; A. J. V., J. C. F., and S. F. data curation; J. C. F. conceptualization; W. M. R. writing–review & editing; W. M. R. supervision; W. M. R. resources; W. M. R. project administration; M. A. G. and L. A. software; S. F. formal analysis.

Funding and additional information—This work was supported by New York University Abu Dhabi through research funds to the lab of Prof. Rabeh (grant number: AD055).

Conflict of interests—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: CD, circular dichroism; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry; ISG15, interferon-stimulated gene 15; MD, molecular dynamics; PLpro, papain-like protease; RMSD, root mean square deviation; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2; UbL, ubiquitin-like; WT, wild type.

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>References

- 1. [Ebrahim, S. H., Ahmed, Q. A., Gozzer, E., Schlagenhauf, P., and Memish,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref1) [Z. A. \(2020\) Covid-19 and community mitigation strategies in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref1) [pandemic.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref1) BMJ 368, m1066
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>2. [Wu, D., Wu, T., Liu, Q., and Yang, Z. \(2020\) The sars-cov-2 outbreak:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref2) what we know. [Int. J. Infect. Dis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref2) 94, 44–48
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>3. [Weiss, S. R., and Leibowitz, J. L. \(2011\) Coronavirus pathogenesis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref3) Adv. [Virus Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref3) 81, 85–164
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>4. [Chen, Y., Liu, Q., and Guo, D. \(2020\) Emerging coronaviruses: genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref4) [structure, replication, and pathogenesis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref4) J. Med. Virol. 92, 418-423
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>5. [Cui, J., Li, F., and Shi, Z. L. \(2019\) Origin and evolution of pathogenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref5) coronaviruses. [Nat. Rev. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref5) 17, 181–192
- 6. [Yoshimoto, F. K. \(2020\) The proteins of severe acute respiratory syn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref6)[drome coronavirus-2 \(sars cov-2 or n-cov19\), the cause of covid-19.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref6) [Protein J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref6) 39, 198–216
- 7. [Kaur, S. P., and Gupta, V. \(2020\) Covid-19 vaccine: a comprehensive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref7) [status report.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref7) Virus Res. 288, 198114
- 8. [Chan, J. F., Kok, K. H., Zhu, Z., Chu, H., To, K. K., Yuan, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref8) et al. (2020) [Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic corona](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref8)[virus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref8) wuhan. [Emerg. Microbes Infect.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref8) 9, 221–236
- 9. [Huang, J., Song, W., Huang, H., and Sun, Q. \(2020\) Pharmacological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref9) [therapeutics targeting rna-dependent rna polymerase, proteinase and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref9) [spike protein: from mechanistic studies to clinical trials for covid-19.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref9) J. [Clin. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref9) 9, 1131
- 10. Liu, C., Zhou, O., Li, Y., Garner, L. V., Watkins, S. P., Carter, L. J., et al. [\(2020\) Research and development on therapeutic agents and vaccines for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref10) [covid-19 and related human coronavirus diseases.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref10) ACS Cent. Sci. 6, 315–[331](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref10)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>11. [Zhang, Q., Xiang, R., Huo, S., Zhou, Y., Jiang, S., Wang, Q.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref11) et al. (2021) [Molecular mechanism of interaction between sars-cov-2 and host cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref11) and interventional therapy. [Signal. Transduct. Target. Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref11) 6, 233
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>12. [Jackson, C. B., Farzan, M., Chen, B., and Choe, H. \(2022\) Mechanisms of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref12) [sars-cov-2 entry into cells.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref12) Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 23, 3–20
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>13. [Li, F. \(2016\) Structure, function, and evolution of coronavirus spike](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref13) proteins. [Annu. Rev. Virol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref13) 3, 237–261
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>14. [Ciotti, M., Angeletti, S., Minieri, M., Giovannetti, M., Benvenuto, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref14) Pascarella, S., et al[. \(2019\) Covid-19 outbreak: an overview.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref14) Chemo[therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref14) 64, 215–223
- 15. [Khan, S., Siddique, R., Shereen, M. A., Ali, A., Liu, J., Bai, Q.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref15) et al. (2020) [Emergence of a novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref15) [coronavirus 2: biology and therapeutic options.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref15) J. Clin. Microbiol. 58, [e00187-20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref15)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>16. [Kim, D., Lee, J.-Y., Yang, J.-S., Kim, J. W., Kim, V. N., and Chang, H.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref16) [\(2020\) The architecture of sars-cov-2 transcriptome.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref16) Cell 181, 914–921. [e910](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref16)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>17. V'[Kovski, P., Kratzel, A., Steiner, S., Stalder, H., and Thiel, V. \(2021\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref17) [Coronavirus biology and replication: implications for sars-cov-2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref17) Nat. [Rev. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref17) 19, 155–170
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>18. [Krichel, B., Falke, S., Hilgenfeld, R., Redecke, L., and Uetrecht, C. \(2020\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref18) [Processing of the sars-cov pp1a/ab nsp7-10 region.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref18) Biochem. J. 477, 1009–[1019](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref18)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>19. [Ratia, K., Pegan, S., Takayama, J., Sleeman, K., Coughlin, M., Baliji, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref19) et al[. \(2008\) A noncovalent class of papain-like protease/deubiquitinase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref19) [inhibitors blocks sars virus replication.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref19) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 16119–[16124](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref19)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>20. [Chen, X., Yang, X., Zheng, Y., Yang, Y., Xing, Y., and Chen, Z. \(2014\) Sars](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref20) [coronavirus papain-like protease inhibits the type i interferon signaling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref20) [pathway through interaction with the sting-traf3-tbk1 complex.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref20) Protein Cell 5[, 369](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref20)–381
- 21. [Devaraj, S. G., Wang, N., Chen, Z., Chen, Z., Tseng, M., Barretto, N.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref21) et al. [\(2007\) Regulation of irf-3-dependent innate immunity by the papain-like](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref21) [protease domain of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref21) J. [Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref21) 282, 32208–32221
- 22. [Fitzgerald, K. A., McWhirter, S. M., Faia, K. L., Rowe, D. C., Latz, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref22) Golenbock, D. T., et al[. \(2003\) Ikkepsilon and tbk1 are essential com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref22)[ponents of the irf3 signaling pathway.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref22) Nat. Immunol. 4, 491–496
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>23. [Swatek, K. N., Aumayr, M., Pruneda, J. N., Visser, L. J., Berryman, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref23) Kueck, A. F., et al[. \(2018\) Irreversible inactivation of isg15 by a viral leader](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref23) [protease enables alternative infection detection strategies.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref23) Proc. Natl. [Acad. Sci. U. S. A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref23) 115, 2371–2376
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>24. [Klemm, T., Ebert, G., Calleja, D. J., Allison, C. C., Richardson, L. W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref24) Bernardini, J. P., et al[. \(2020\) Mechanism and inhibition of the papain-like](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref24) [protease, plpro, of sars-cov-2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref24) EMBO J. 39, e106275
- 25. [Békés, M., Rut, W., Kasperkiewicz, P., Mulder, M. P., Ovaa, H., Drag, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref25) et al[. \(2015\) Sars hcov papain-like protease is a unique lys48 linkage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref25)specifi[c di-distributive deubiquitinating enzyme.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref25) Biochem. J. 468, 215–[226](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref25)
- 26. [Békés, M., van der Heden van Noort, G. J., Ekkebus, R., Ovaa, H., Huang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref26) [T. T., and Lima, C. D. \(2016\) Recognition of lys48-linked di-ubiquitin and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref26) [deubiquitinating activities of the sars coronavirus papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref26) [Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref26) 62, 572–585
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>27. [Rohaim, M. A., El Naggar, R. F., Clayton, E., and Munir, M. \(2021\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref27) [Structural and functional insights into non-structural proteins of coro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref27)naviruses. [Microb. Pathog.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref27) 150, 104641
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>28. [Shetler, C. L., Ferreira, J. C., Cardoso, T. H. S., Silva, E. M. A., Saksena, N.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref28) [K., and Rabeh, W. M. \(2022\) Therapeutic potential of metal ions for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref28) [covid-19: insights from the papain-like protease of sars-cov-2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref28) Biochem. J. 479[, 2175](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref28)–2193



- 29. [Fehr, A. R., and Perlman, S. \(2015\) Coronaviruses: an overview of their](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref29) [replication and pathogenesis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref29) Methods Mol. Biol. 1282, 1–23
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>30. [Baez-Santos, Y. M., St John, S. E., and Mesecar, A. D. \(2015\) The sars](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref30)[coronavirus papain-like protease: structure, function and inhibition by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref30) [designed antiviral compounds.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref30) Antivir. Res. 115, 21–38
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>31. [Gao, X., Qin, B., Chen, P., Zhu, K., Hou, P., Wojdyla, J. A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref31) et al. (2020) [Crystal structure of sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref31) Acta Pharm. Sin. B 11[, 237](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref31)–245
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>32. [Osipiuk, J., Azizi, S. A., Dvorkin, S., Endres, M., Jedrzejczak, R., Jones, K.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref32) A., et al[. \(2021\) Structure of papain-like protease from sars-cov-2 and its](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref32) [complexes with non-covalent inhibitors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref32) Nat. Commun. 12, 743
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>33. Ferreira, G. M., Pillaiyar, T., Hirata, M. H., Poso, A., and Kronenberger, T. (2022) Inhibitor induced conformational changes in sars-cov-2 papainlike protease. Sci. Rep. 12, 11585. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15181-y) [15181-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15181-y)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>34. Lv, Z., Cano, K. E., Jia, L., Drag, M., Huang, T. T., and Olsen, S. K. (2021) Targeting sars-cov-2 proteases for covid-19 antiviral development. Front. Chem. 9, 819165. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2021.819165>
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>35. [Barretto, N., Jukneliene, D., Ratia, K., Chen, Z., Mesecar, A. D., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref35) [Baker, S. C. \(2005\) The papain-like protease of severe acute respiratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref35) [syndrome coronavirus has deubiquitinating activity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref35) J. Virol. 79, 15189–[15198](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref35)
- 36. [Ratia, K., Saikatendu, K. S., Santarsiero, B. D., Barretto, N., Baker, S. C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref36) Stevens, R. C., et al[. \(2006\) Severe acute respiratory syndrome corona](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref36)[virus papain-like protease: structure of a viral deubiquitinating enzyme.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref36) [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref36) 103, 5717–5722
- 37. Esposito, S., D'[Abrosca, G., Antolak, A., Pedone, P. V., Isernia, C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref37) [Malgieri, G. \(2022\) Host and viral zinc-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref37)finger proteins in covid-19. Int. J. [Mol. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref37) 23, 3711
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>38. [Pushpakom, S., Iorio, F., Eyers, P. A., Escott, K. J., Hopper, S., Wells, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref38) et al[. \(2019\) Drug repurposing: progress, challenges and recommenda-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref38)tions. [Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref38) 18, 41-58
- 39. [Cavasotto, C. N., and Di Filippo, J. I. \(2021\) In silico drug repurposing for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref39) [covid-19: targeting sars-cov-2 proteins through docking and consensus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref39) ranking. [Mol. Inform.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref39) 40, e2000115
- 40. [Kandeel, M., Abdelrahman, A. H. M., Oh-Hashi, K., Ibrahim, A., Ven-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref40)ugopala, K. N., Morsy, M. A., et al[. \(2021\) Repurposing of fda-approved](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref40) [antivirals, antibiotics, anthelmintics, antioxidants, and cell protectives](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref40) [against sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref40) J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 39, [5129](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref40)–5136
- 41. [Luo, L., Qiu, Q., Huang, F., Liu, K., Lan, Y., Li, X.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref41) et al. (2021) Drug [repurposing against coronavirus disease 2019 \(covid-19\): a review.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref41) J. [Pharm. Anal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref41) 11, 683–690
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>42. [Smith, E., Davis-Gardner, M. E., Garcia-Ordonez, R. D., Nguyen, T. T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref42) Hull, M., Chen, E., et al[. \(2020\) High-throughput screening for drugs](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref42) [that inhibit papain-like protease in sars-cov-2.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref42) SLAS Discov. 25, [1152](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref42)–1161
- 43. [Redhead, M. A., Owen, C. D., Brewitz, L., Collette, A. H., Lukacik, P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref43) Strain-Damerell, C., et al. (2021) Bispecifi[c repurposed medicines tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref43)[geting the viral and immunological arms of covid-19.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref43) Sci. Rep. 11, 13208
- 44. [Xu, Y., Chen, K., Pan, J., Lei, Y., Zhang, D., Fang, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref44) et al. (2021) [Repurposing clinically approved drugs for covid-19 treatment targeting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref44) [sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref44) Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 188, 137–146
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>45. [Zhao, Y., Du, X., Duan, Y., Pan, X., Sun, Y., You, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref45) et al. (2021) Highthroughput screening identifi[es established drugs as sars-cov-2 plpro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref45) inhibitors. [Protein Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref45) 12, 877–888
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>46. [Calleja, D. J., Lessene, G., and Komander, D. \(2022\) Inhibitors of sars-cov-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref46)2 plpro. [Front. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref46) 10, 876212
- 47. [Jin, Z., Du, X., Xu, Y., Deng, Y., Liu, M., Zhao, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref47) et al. (2020) Structure of [m\(pro\) from sars-cov-2 and discovery of its inhibitors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref47) Nature 582, 289–[293](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref47)
- 48. [Gammeltoft, K. A., Zhou, Y., Duarte Hernandez, C. R., Galli, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref48) Offersgaard, A., Costa, R., et al[. \(2021\) Hepatitis c virus protease in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref48)hibitors show differential effi[cacy and interactions with remdesivir for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref48) [treatment of sars-cov-2 in vitro.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref48) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 65, [e0268020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref48)
- 49. [Lim, C. T., Tan, K. W., Wu, M., Ulferts, R., Armstrong, L. A., Ozono, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref49) et al[. \(2021\) Identifying sars-cov-2 antiviral compounds by screening for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref49)

[small molecule inhibitors of nsp3 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref49) Biochem. J. 478, 2517–[2531](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref49)

- 50. Mura, C., Preissner, S., Preissner, R., and Bourne, P. E. (2021) A birds-eye (re)view of acid-suppression drugs, covid-19, and the highly variable literature. Front. Pharmacol. 12, 700703. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.700703) [2021.700703](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.700703)
- 51. [Ma, C., and Wang, J. \(2022\) Validation and invalidation of sars-cov-2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref51) [papain-like protease inhibitors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref51) ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci. 5, 102–109
- 52. [Loffredo, M., Lucero, H., Chen, D.-Y., O](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref52)'Connell, A., Bergqvist, S., Munawar, A., et al[. \(2021\) The in-vitro effect of famotidine on sars-cov-2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref52) [proteases and virus replication.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref52) Sci. Rep. 11, 5433
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>53. [Krishna, S. S., Majumdar, I., and Grishin, N. V. \(2003\) Structural classi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref53)fication of zinc fi[ngers: survey and summary.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref53) Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 532–[550](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref53)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>54. [Sargsyan, K., Lin, C.-C., Chen, T., Grauffel, C., Chen, Y.-P., Yang, W.-Z.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref54) et al[. \(2020\) Multi-targeting of functional cysteines in multiple conserved](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref54) [sars-cov-2 domains by clinically safe zn-ejectors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref54) Chem. Sci. 11, 9904–[9909](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref54)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>55. [Bosken, Y. K., Cholko, T., Lou, Y. C., Wu, K. P., and Chang, C. A. \(2020\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref55) [Insights into dynamics of inhibitor and ubiquitin-like protein binding in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref55) [sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref55) Front. Mol. Biosci. 7, 174
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>56. [Lin, M. H., Moses, D. C., Hsieh, C. H., Cheng, S. C., Chen, Y. H., Sun, C.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref56) Y., et al. (2018) Disulfi[ram can inhibit mers and sars coronavirus papain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref56)like proteases via [different modes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref56) Antiviral Res. 150, 155–163
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>57. [Ma, C., Hu, Y., Townsend, J. A., Lagarias, P. I., Marty, M. T., Kolocouris,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref57) A., et al. (2020) Ebselen, disulfi[ram, carmofur, px-12, tideglusib, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref57) shikonin are nonspecifi[c promiscuous sars-cov-2 main protease in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref57)hibitors. [ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref57) 3, 1265–1277
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>58. [Amin, S. A., Ghosh, K., Gayen, S., and Jha, T. \(2021\) Chemical-infor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref58)[matics approach to covid-19 drug discovery: Monte Carlo based qsar,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref58) [virtual screening and molecular docking study of some in-house mole](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref58)[cules as papain-like protease \(plpro\) inhibitors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref58) J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 39, 4764–[4773](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref58)
- 59. [Quimque, M. T. J., Notarte, K. I. R., Fernandez, R. A. T., Mendoza, M. A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref59) [O., Liman, R. A. D., Lim, J. A. K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref59) et al. (2021) Virtual screening-driven [drug discovery of sars-cov2 enzyme inhibitors targeting viral attach](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref59)[ment, replication, post-translational modi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref59)fication and host immunity [evasion infection mechanisms.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref59) J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 39, 4316–4333
- 60. [Gogoi, M., Borkotoky, M., Borchetia, S., Chowdhury, P., Mahanta, S., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref60) [Barooah, A. K. \(2022\) Black tea bioactives as inhibitors of multiple targets](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref60) [of sars-cov-2 \(3clpro, plpro and rdrp\): a virtual screening and molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref60) [dynamic simulation study.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref60) J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 40, 7143–7166
- 61. [Jiang, H., Yang, P., and Zhang, J. \(2022\) Potential inhibitors targeting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref61) [papain-like protease of sars-cov-2: two birds with one stone.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref61) Front. Chem. 10[, 822785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref61)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>62. [Shen, Z., Ratia, K., Cooper, L., Kong, D., Lee, H., Kwon, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref62) et al. (2022) [Design of sars-cov-2 plpro inhibitors for covid-19 antiviral therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref62) [leveraging binding cooperativity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref62) J. Med. Chem. 65, 2940–2955
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>63. [Rut, W., Lv, Z., Zmudzinski, M., Patchett, S., Nayak, D., Snipas, S. J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref63) et al. (2020) Activity profi[ling and crystal structures of inhibitor-bound sars](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref63)[cov-2 papain-like protease: a framework for anti-covid-19 drug design.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref63) Sci. Adv. **6**[, eabd4596](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref63)
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>64. [Srinivasan, V., Brognaro, H., Prabhu, P. R., de Souza, E. E., Günther, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref64) Reinke, P. Y. A., et al[. \(2022\) Antiviral activity of natural phenolic com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref64)[pounds in complex at an allosteric site of sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref64) [Commun. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref64) 5, 805
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>65. [Ma, C., Sacco, M. D., Xia, Z., Lambrinidis, G., Townsend, J. A., Hu, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref65) et al[. \(2021\) Discovery of sars-cov-2 papain-like protease inhibitors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref65) [through a combination of high-throughput screening and a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref65) flipgfp-based reporter assay. [ACS Cent. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref65) 7, 1245–1260
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>66. [Ewert, W., Günther, S., Miglioli, F., Falke, S., Reinke, P. Y. A., Niebling, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref66) et al[. \(2022\) Hydrazones and thiosemicarbazones targeting protein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref66)[protein-interactions of sars-cov-2 papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref66) Front. Chem. 10, [832431](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref66)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>67. [Halgren, T. A. \(2009\) Identifying and characterizing binding sites and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref67) [assessing druggability.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref67) J. Chem. Inf. Model. 49, 377–389
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>68. [Halgren, T. \(2007\) New method for fast and accurate binding-site iden-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref68)tification and analysis. [Chem. Biol. Drug Des.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref68) 69, 146-148

- <span id="page-17-1"></span>69. [Lin, M.-H., Chuang, S.-J., Chen, C.-C., Cheng, S.-C., Cheng, K.-W., Lin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref69) C.-H., et al[. \(2014\) Structural and functional characterization of mers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref69) [coronavirus papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref69) J. Biomed. Sci. 21, 54
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>70. [Malik, A., and Alsenaidy, M. A. \(2017\) Mers-cov papain-like protease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref70) (plpro): expression, purifi[cation, and spectroscopic/thermodynamic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref70) [characterization.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref70) 3 Biotech. 7, 100
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>71. [Han, Y.-S., Chang, G.-G., Juo, C.-G., Lee, H.-J., Yeh, S.-H., Hsu, J. T.-A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref71) et al[. \(2005\) Papain-like protease 2 \(plp2\) from severe acute respiratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref71) [syndrome coronavirus \(sars-cov\): expression, puri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref71)fication, characteriza[tion, and inhibition.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref71) Biochemistry 44, 10349–10359
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>72. [Harcourt, B. H., Jukneliene, D., Kanjanahaluethai, A., Bechill, J., Severson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref72) K. M., Smith, C. M., et al. (2004) Identifi[cation of severe acute respiratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref72) [syndrome coronavirus replicase products and characterization of papain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref72)[like protease activity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref72) J. Virol. 78, 13600–13612
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>73. [Fu, Z., Huang, B., Tang, J., Liu, S., Liu, M., Ye, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref73) et al. (2021) The [complex structure of grl0617 and sars-cov-2 plpro reveals a hot spot for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref73) [antiviral drug discovery.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref73) Nat. Commun. 12, 488
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>74. [Ratia, K., Kilianski, A., Baez-Santos, Y. M., Baker, S. C., and Mesecar, A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref74) [\(2014\) Structural basis for the ubiquitin-linkage speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref74)ficity and deisgy[lating activity of sars-cov papain-like protease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref74) PLoS Pathog. 10, e1004113
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>75. [McClain, C. B., and Vabret, N. \(2020\) Sars-cov-2: the many pros of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref75) targeting plpro. [Signal. Transduct. Target. Ther.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref75) 5, 223
- 76. [van Huizen, M., Bloeme-Ter Horst, J. R., de Gruyter, H. L. M., Geurink,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref76) [P. P., van der Heden van Noort, G. J., Knaap, R. C. M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref76) et al. (2024) [Deubiquitinating activity of sars-cov-2 papain-like protease does not in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref76)fl[uence virus replication or innate immune responses](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref76) in vivo. PLoS Pathog. 20[, e1012100](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref76)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>77. [Wu, Y. C., Chen, C. S., and Chan, Y. J. \(2020\) The outbreak of covid-19:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref77) an overview. [J. Chin. Med. Assoc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref77) 83, 217–220
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>78. Al Adem, K., Ferreira, J. C., Fadl, S., Mustafa, M., and Rabeh, W. M. (2023) Key allosteric and active site residues of sars-cov-2 3clpro are promising drug targets. Biochem. J. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bcj20230027>
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>79. [Privalov, P. L., and Khechinashvili, N. N. \(1974\) A thermodynamic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref79) [approach to the problem of stabilization of globular protein structure: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref79) [calorimetric study.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref79) J. Mol. Biol. 86, 665–684
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>80. [Aleem, A., Akbar Samad, A. B., and Vaqar, S. \(2023\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref80) Emerging Variants of [Sars-Cov-2 and Novel Therapeutics against Coronavirus \(Covid-19\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref80), [StatPearls, Statpearls](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref80)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>81. [Shin, D., Mukherjee, R., Grewe, D., Bojkova, D., Baek, K., Bhattacharya,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref81) A., et al[. \(2020\) Papain-like protease regulates sars-cov-2 viral spread and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref81) [innate immunity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref81) Nature 587, 657–662
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>82. [Bradford, M. M. \(1976\) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref82) [of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref82) binding. [Anal. Biochem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref82) 72, 248–254
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>83. [Wang, J., Wang, W., Kollman, P. A., and Case, D. A. \(2006\) Automatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref83) [atom type and bond type perception in molecular mechanical calcula-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref83)tions. [J. Mol. Graphics Model.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref83) 25, 247-260
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>84. [Shao, J., Tanner, S. W., Thompson, N., and Cheatham, T. E. \(2007\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref84) [Clustering molecular dynamics trajectories: 1. Characterizing the per](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref84)[formance of different clustering algorithms.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref84) J. Chem. Theor. Comput. 3, 2312–[2334](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref84)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>85. [Roe, D. R., and Cheatham, T. E., 3rd \(2013\) Ptraj and cpptraj: software for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref85) [processing and analysis of molecular dynamics trajectory data.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref85) J. Chem. [Theory Comput.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0021-9258(24)02323-8/sref85) 9, 3084–3095