scientific reports



OPEN Eco-friendly four spectrophotometric approaches for the simultaneous determination of the recently FDA-approved combination, bupivacaine and meloxicam in pharmaceutical dosage forms

Aya Saad Radwan¹, Mohamed M. Salim^{1,2}, Fathalla Belal² & Galal Magdy^{3,4}

In this study, bupivacaine (BUP) and meloxicam (MLX) were simultaneously assayed in their coformulated ampoules without interference using four affordable, sensitive, and eco-friendly spectrophotometric methods. The assay of MLX at 359.3 nm over the concentration range of 1.0–15.0 µg/mL was accomplished using a direct UV-spectrophotometric method (Method I) without interference from BUP. However, there was a significant overlap between the spectra of BUP and MLX, making it difficult to determine BUP directly from the UV spectrum. Therefore, various UVbased techniques, including second derivative spectrophotometry (Method II), ratio subtraction method (Method III), and absorption factor method (Method IV), were used to determine BUP over the concentration range of 5.0–80.0 µg/mL. The proposed methods could simultaneously determine the studied drugs with a challenging ratio of 33.3:1.0 (BUP: MLX), which increases the importance of the current study. The proposed methods were applied to estimate the studied drugs in commercial ampoules with high % recoveries and low %RSD values. The excellent eco-friendliness of the developed methods was demonstrated using GAPI and AGREE metrics. The developed methods were validated according to ICHO2(R2) guidelines. The proposed methods can be better suited for the routine analysis of BUP and MLX in their fixed-dose combination with high selectivity.

Keywords Bupivacaine, Meloxicam, Spectrophotometry, Greenness

Bupivacaine (BUP) is a long-acting amide anaesthetic. Chemically, it is (RS)-1-butyl-N-(2,6-dimethyl phenyl) piperidine-2-carboxamide (Fig. 1Å). In high doses, it works by blocking the nerve impulses that flow from sensory nerve terminals to motor nerve endings¹.

Meloxicam (MLX), is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication (NSAID) from the enolic acid family that has been found to inhibit COX-2. Chemically, it is described as 4-hydroxy-2-methyl-(5-methyl-2-thiazolyl)-2H-1,2-benzothiazine-3-carboxamide-1,1-dioxide (Fig. 1B). MLX is used to treat osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and other joint conditions². Bupivacaine/meloxicam is a fixed-dose mixture of the NSAID meloxicam and the local anaesthetic bupivacaine, which is frequently indicated to relieve postoperative pain in the EU and USA³. For the determination of BUP, a variety of analytical techniques were reported, including spectrophotometry⁴, chromatography^{5–9}, and electrochemistry¹⁰, while MLX has been assayed through the use

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Horus University-Egypt, New Damietta, Eqypt. ²Pharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. ³Pharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh 33511, Egypt. ⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura National University, Gamasa 7731168, Egypt. Memail: galal_magdy@pharm.kfs.edu.eg



Fig. 1. Chemical formulae of Bupivacaine (A) and Meloxicam (B).

of different spectroscopic methods¹¹⁻¹⁵. Furthermore, MLX analysis in various dosage forms and biological matrices was reported in various chromatographic methods¹⁶⁻¹⁹.

Meanwhile, for the concurrent assay of BUP and MLX in co-formulated dosage forms, only two chromatographic methods^{20,21} have been published. In addition, two spectrophotometric methods were also recently reported for their concurrent analysis^{22,23}. When compared to the reported chromatographic methods, the developed spectrophotometric methods are more affordable and straightforward, require less expensive instrumentation and small volumes of organic solvents, don't need prior separation steps, and are more eco-friendly²⁴. In addition, the proposed methods could simultaneously determine the studied drugs with a challenging ratio of 33.3:1.0 (BUP: MLX), magnifying the current study's importance. Compared to the recently reported spectrophotometric methods, the developed methods in this work applied different UV-based techniques (second derivative spectrophotometry (Method II), ratio subtraction method (Method III), and absorption factor method (Method IV)). They exhibited about 20-fold higher sensitivity than the spectrophotometric method reported by Bahgat et al.²³. Therefore, the established procedures can be better suited for the routine analysis of BUP and MLX with high sensitivity and selectivity in medicinal formulations that combine both substances. Moreover, the green profile and ecofriendliness of the developed approaches were successfully evaluated using the Green Analytical Procedure Index (GAPI) and Analytical GREENNESS metric approach (AGREE), proving the excellent greenness of the proposed methods^{25,26}.

Experimental Apparatus

Shimadzu UV–Visible double-beam spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan) was utilized to conduct the spectrophotometric analyses. It was equipped with matching quartz cuvettes with a 1 cm path length. The 200–600 nm wavelength region of the absorption spectra of both medications was recorded using auto-sampling intervals, 1 nm slit width, and a rapid scan speed.

Using $\Delta \lambda = 4$ nm and a scale factor of 100, the second derivative spectra for BUP were obtained.

Materials and reagents

HPLC-grade ethanol, acetonitrile, and methanol were obtained from Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK. NaOH and HCl were provided by El-Nasr Pharmaceutical Co., Cairo, Egypt.

Bupivacaine HCl (99.90% purity) was generously supplied by Hikma Pharmaceuticals, 6th of October City, Giza, Egypt.

Meloxicam (99.40% purity) was kindly supplied by ADWIA, Cairo, Egypt.

Stock standard solutions

 $1000.0 \,\mu$ g/mL BUP and MLX stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 25.0 mg of each compound in methanol in a 25.0 mL volumetric flask. When maintained in a refrigerator at 2 °C, the solutions were shown to be stable for at least two weeks.

Calibration curves

Zero-order absorption spectra were measured with respect to methanol, which served as a reference. Accurately measured volumes of the stock solutions were transferred into a set of measuring flasks (10.0 mL), diluted to the desired concentration with the same solvent, and thoroughly mixed to get the final concentration in the range of (5.0–80.0) μ g/mL of BUP and (1.0–15.0) μ g/mL of MLX. The final drug concentrations (μ g/mL) were plotted

versus the absorbance values to create the calibration graphs, and the relevant regression equations were then derived.

Method (I): direct UV

Without interference from BUP, MLX was determined at λ 359.3 nm, as described under Section "Calibration curves".

Method (II): second derivative (D^2)

The D^2 amplitude values for BUP were recorded at 245.1 nm. The calibration graph and accompanying regression equation were then obtained by plotting the derivative amplitudes against the corresponding concentration.

Method (III): ratio subtraction (RS)

The RS method was used to detach the MLX spectrum for BUP estimation. The two drugs, BUP (A) and MLX (B), have overlapping spectra, with MLX's spectrum being longer than that of BUP beyond 300 nm (Fig. 2). By dividing the overlapping spectra of the synthetic mixtures (A + B) by a carefully chosen MLX concentration $(4.0 \ \mu g/mL)$ of standard B' (divisor), an innovative ratio spectrum ([A + B] / B') is created. As BUP (A) has no absorbance in the plateau region (300–500 nm), the division obtained constant values of absorbance that is equal to (B / B') along this region. The ratio spectrum ([A + B] / B') is subtracted from the fixed absorbance values (B / B') to produce a new (A / B') spectrum. The original spectrum (A) was then produced by multiplying the acquired (A / B') spectrum by (B'). This obtained spectrum was then utilized to acquire the appropriate regression equation and determine BUP (A) at 224.7 nm.



Fig. 2. (A) Zero order absorption spectra of BUP (a; $33.3 \ \mu g/mL$), MLX (b; $1.0 \ \mu g/mL$), and mixture (c) in methanol, (B) Direct UV spectrophotometric spectra of MLX (b-h: 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0 \ \mu g/mL) and a fixed concentration of BUP (a) (60.0 \ \mu g/mL).

Method (IV): absorption factor (AF) method

The first step was to create a calibration curve for BUP by graphing different concentrations (ranging from 5.0 to 80.0 µg/mL) against zero order absorbance amplitudes (A) at 224.7 nm (λ_1). Second, the calibration curve for MLX was obtained by graphing different concentrations (ranging from 1.0 to 15.0 µg/mL) against zero-order absorbance amplitudes (A) at 224.7 nm (λ_1). Thirdly, the zero-order absorbance amplitudes (A) at 359.3 nm (λ_2) (λ_{max}) against various concentrations (ranging from 1.0 to 15.0 µg/mL) were graphed to create the calibration curve for the MLX.

Laboratory-prepared mixtures

Because ampoules containing BUP and MLX are not available in Egyptian retailers, the laboratory-prepared mixture was used to mimic the dosage form. In a 100.0 mL measuring flask, methanol was used to dissolve precisely weighed amounts of 29.25 mg BUP, and 0.88 mg MLX with triacetin, dimethyl sulfoxide, maleic acid, and triethylene glycol/triethylene glycol polyglycolide copolymer as excipients. Then the procedures outlined under Section "Calibration curves" were used.

Results and discussion

As shown in Fig. 2, the overlay of the UV-spectra of BUP and MLX (in the ratio 33.3:1.0, BUP: MLX) demonstrates that MLX may be identified at 359.3 nm without interference from BUP, the regression equation was constructed for MLX at λ 359.3 nm as summarized in Table 1.

However, there was a significant overlap between the spectra of BUP and MLX, making it difficult to identify BUP from a straight UV-spectrum. Such a challenge was handled by manipulation of UV-spectra utilizing multiple multi-component UV spectrophotometric approaches.

In order to simultaneously analyze BUP and MLX in a challenging ratio of 33.3: 1.0, four straightforward, quick, accurate, and green spectrophotometric methods are developed in this work. These methods included D², RS, AF, and direct UV procedures (for BUP).

Method optimization

The impact of various variables on the performance of the suggested methods was extensively examined.

Selection of solvent

For the study of BUP and MLX, several solvents with different polarities, including distilled water, ethanol, methanol, acetonitrile, and aqueous solvents with various pH values, such as 0.1 M NaOH, 0.1 M HCl, borate buffer of pH 8, and acetate buffer of pH 4 were investigated. In order to enable the quantification of MLX in its combination with BUP, it was critical to improve MLX absorbance. After several trials, methanol demonstrated improved solubility properties and absorption intensities for both drugs. Therefore, to make the established procedures more sensitive, methanol was chosen for the preparation of the BUP and MLX solutions.

Scaling factor and delta lambda

Different scaling factor (SF) and delta lambda ($\Delta\lambda$) values were investigated in order to improve 2D performance. The best values were found to be $\Delta\lambda = 4$ nm and SF = 100 after smoothing at $\Delta\lambda = 8$ nm and SF = 1, which offered the highest absorption intensity with the least amount of noise, particularly in the case of low concentrations.

Methods' characteristics

Method I: direct UV approach for MLX As shown in Fig. 2, MLX can be measured at 359.3 nm without BUP interference.

Parameter	BUP			MLX		
Methods	D^2	RS	λ _{max}	Direct	λ _{max}	
Wavelength (nm)	245.1	224.7	224.7	359.3	224.7	
Linearity range (µg/mL)	5.0-80.0	5.0-80.0	1.0-25.0	1.0-15.0	0.6-10.0	
Intercept (a)	-1.9×10^{-3}	6.5×10^{-2}	7.7×10^{-2}	-2.9×10^{-2}	-1.5×10^{-3}	
Slope (b)	4.1×10^{-3}	8.7×10^{-3}	9.1×10^{-3}	6.1×10^{-2}	2.4×10^{-2}	
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.9999	0.9998	0.9999	0.9999	0.9998	
S.D. of residuals (Sy/x)	1.5×10^{-2}	6.0×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-3}	4.8×10^{-3}	2.4×10^{-3}	
S.D. of intercept (Sa)	1.9×10^{-4}	7.7×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-4}	6.9×10^{-4}	3.4×10^{-4}	
S.D. of slope (Sb)	2.0×10^{-5}	9.0×10^{-5}	2.0×10^{-5}	4.0×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-4}	
% RSD	0.762	1.170	0.730	1.132	1.392	
% Error	0.288	0.444	0.275	0.430	0.530	
LOD (µg/mL)	0.16	0.29	0.05	0.04	0.05	
LOQ (µg/mL)	0.47	0.88	0.16	0.11	0.15	

Table 1. Analytical performance data for the estimation of BUP and MLX by the developed approaches.

.....

Method II: ²D spectrophotometric approach for determination of BUP

BUP was quantified by derivative spectrophotometry with zero-crossing points. As demonstrated in Fig. 3, using $\Delta \lambda = 4$ nm and SF = 100, the zero-order UV spectrum of the binary mixture was converted into 2D. The wavelength used for BUP measurements was 245.1 nm since it displayed the highest 2D amplitude results (without MLX interference). Table 1 provides an illustration of the statistical characteristics of calibration curves.

Method III: ratio subtraction (RS) approach for BUP

RS was used to remove the MLX spectrum. The method is based on the finding that the concentration of (A) can be calculated by dividing the zero-order absorption spectra of the laboratory-prepared mixtures (BUP and MLX) by (4.0 μ g/mL) of standard MLX (B' = divisor) for a mixture of two drugs, BUP (A) and MLX (B), whose spectra overlap and (B) spectrum is extended beyond than that of (A) (Fig. 2). The new ratio spectrum presented in Fig. 4 is produced by multiplying the obtained spectra by the divisor (B'). The produced spectra are then multiplied by (B'), the divisor, after subtracting the absorbance values of these constants (B/B') in the plateau region (307–500 nm). A direct determination of BUP at 224.7 nm was then made using the original spectrum of (A) (Fig. 5).

Method IV: AF approach for BUP

At 359.3 nm (λ_{max} of MLX), the concentration was calculated from its regression equation, and at 224.7 nm (λ_{max} of BUP), the concentration was calculated by subtracting the absorption caused by the interfering drug.

The following equations were used to quantitatively estimate the amounts of BUP and MLX in the mixture: 1) Concentration of MLX at 359.3 nm



Fig. 3. Second derivative spectra of BUP (a 33.3 μ g/mL), MLX (b 1.0 μ g/mL) and a mixture of BUP (33.3 μ g/mL) and MLX (1.0 μ g/mL) (c) in methanol.



Fig. 4. Ratio spectrophotometric spectra of different concentrations of BUP using 3.0 µg/mL MLX as a divisor, where: **(a-g)** BUP at concentrations of (1.0, 2.0, 6.0, 10.0, 16.0, 20.0, 25.0 µg/mL).

.....

 $C_{MLX}(\mu g/mL) = [A_{MLX} + intercept (0.0293)]/slope (0.0608) r = 0.9999$ (1)

2) Calculated absorbance of MLX at 224.7 nm

$$A_{MLX}$$
 (calculated) = 0.0236 C_{MLX} - 0.0015 r = 0.9998 (2)

3) So, the corrected absorbance of BUP at 224.7 nm

$$A_{BUP}$$
 (corrected) = $A_{mix} - A_{MLX}$ (calculated) = 0.0091 C_{BUP} + 0.0769 r = 0.9999 (3)

4) Calculation of BUP concentration at 224.7 nm

$$C_{BUP} (\mu g/mL) = [A_{BUP} (corrected) - intercept (0.0769)] / slope (0.0091) \quad r = 0.9999$$
(4)

Methods' validation

According to ICHQ2 (R2) guidelines²⁷, the precision, accuracy, linearity, range, limit of quantitation (LOQ), limit of detection (LOD), and selectivity of the proposed methods were all estimated.

Linearity and range

Seven concentrations of BUP and MLX in the ranges of (5.0-80.0) and $(1.0-15.0) \mu g/mL$, respectively, were used to create calibration plots. Both BUP and MLX revealed linear relationships between concentrations and the obtained response. High values of the correlation coefficients (r) and low values of the standard deviation of



Fig. 5. Ratio subtraction UV spectrophotometric spectra of BUP using MLX as a divisor (3.0 μ g/mL), where: (a-g) BUP at concentrations of (1.0, 2.0, 6.0, 10.0, 16.0, 20.0, 25.0 μ g/mL).

intercepts (S_a), the standard deviation of residuals (S_{y/x}), and the standard deviation of slopes (S_b) were used to validate good linearity of the developed methods, as presented in Table 1.

LOD and LOQ

The following equations were used to establish the LOD and LOQ for BUP and MLX according to ICHQ2 (R2) guidelines.

LOD = 3.3 Sa/bLOQ = 10 Sa/b

where b denotes the slope of the calibration plot. The obtained values for LOD and LOQ demonstrated the sensitivity of the proposed approaches (Table 1).

Accuracy and precision

Both BUP and MLX raw materials were analyzed using the suggested procedures over the specified concentration ranges. The excellent accuracy of the suggested procedures was confirmed by the high values of % recoveries and the low values of % Error (Table 2). Moreover, by measuring three distinct drug concentrations at three different time intervals throughout the same day and over the course of three different days, respectively, the intra-day and inter-day precisions were confirmed. Low percentages of RSD and error were evidence of the proposed methods' precision, as displayed in Table 3.

	Drug	BUP			MLX			
		D ²	RS	λ _{max}		λ _{max}	UV direct	
	Method	at (245 nm)	at (224.7 nm)	at (224.7 nm)		at (224.7 nm)	at (359.3 nm)	
Parameters	Taken Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)	Taken Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)	
	5.0	4.973	5.000	4.943	1.0	0.995	1.016	
	10.0	9.974	9.901	9.887	2.0	1.969	2.015	
	20.0	19.975	20.377	20.085	4.0	4.09	4.058	
	30.0	29.728	30.415	30.094	6.0	5.996	6.045	
	50.0	50.714	50.25	79.811	8.0	8.03	7.893	
	60.0	60.221	59.335	50.103	10.0	9.808	9.902	
	80.0	79.73	80.999	59.335	15.0	15.064	15.09	
Mean (X ⁻)		99.95	100.42	99.62		99.86	100.40	
±SD		0.76	1.18	0.73		1.39	1.14	
% RSD		0.762	1.170	0.730		1.392	1.132	
% Error		0.288	0.444	0.275		0.525	0.430	

Table 2. Results of the application of the developed approaches for the estimation of BUP and MLX raw materials. ^aEach result is the average of three separate determinations.

			Intra-day			Inter-day				
Drugs	Drug conc. μg/mL	Method	% Found ^a	± SD	% RSD	% Error	% Found ^a	± SD	% RSD	% Error
BUP	20.0	D ²	100.13	0.513	0.512	0.296	99.73	0.493	0.495	0.286
	30.0		100.15	0.673	0.672	0.388	99.8	0.492	0.493	0.285
	50.0		99.83	0.808	0.81	0.468	99.81	0.415	0.416	0.24
	20.0	RS	100.2	0.721	0.72	0.416	100.23	0.208	0.208	0.12
	30.0		99.9	0.625	0.625	0.361	99.87	0.503	0.504	0.291
	50.0		99.63	0.404	0.406	0.234	99.77	0.351	0.352	0.203
MLX	4.0	UV direct	99.87	0.681	0.682	0.394	100.2	0.656	0.654	0.378
	6.0		100.17	0.321	0.321	0.185	99.7	0.436	0.437	0.252
	8.0		99.33	0.777	0.782	0.451	99.54	0.627	0.63	0.364

Table 3. Results of precision study for the estimation of BUP and MLX by the developed approaches. ^aEach result is the mean of 3 separate determinations.

		D ²	RD	AF	UV-direct	
Mix. No	Taken conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a conc. (µg/mL)	Taken Conc. (µg/mL)	Found ^a Conc. (µg/mL)
					BUP	MLX
1	33.3	33.1	33.03	33.1	1.0	0.99
2	50.0	50.1	50.25	50.4	1.5	1.51
3	66.6	66.27	66.4	66.87	2.0	2.00
Mean		99.7	99.8	100.2		99.97
±S.D		0.436	0.656	0.721		0.666
% RSD		0.437	0.657	0.72		0.666
% Error		0.252	0.379	0.416		0.385

Table 4. Analysis outcomes for the estimation of BUP and MLX in prepared ampoules using the developed approaches. ^aEach result is the average of three separate determinations.

. . .

Selectivity

The determination of BUP and MLX in their prepared co-formulated ampoules was achieved using the suggested procedures. The developed spectrophotometric methods were successfully employed to determine BUP and MLX without any interference from the excipients. The outcomes demonstrate the selectivity of the suggested procedures as revealed by the high % recoveries and the low % RSD values shown in Table 4.



Fig. 6. Evaluation of the greenness profile of the proposed methods using (a) AGREE, (b) GAPI.

Methods applications

Assay of ampoules

The estimation of various ratios of BUP and MLX in their prepared ampoules was successfully accomplished using the suggested spectrophotometric techniques. The obtained high percent recoveries and low percent RSD values show the high selectivity of the developed methods (Table 4). Therefore, they can be better suited for the routine analysis of the cited drugs in quality control laboratories.

Evaluation of greenness of the proposed methods

Analysts have an essential role in both human and environment protection from solvents and organic hazardous produced from pharmaceutical and chemical preparations. Therefore, different greenness evaluation metrics were developed to assess the green profiles of the analytical methods²⁸⁻³⁸. From these methods, two tools were applied to evaluate the proposed methods' greenness, including AGREE and GAPI^{25,26}. By weighing 12 important criteria, AGREE is a tool for identifying the occupational and environmental hazards included in the analytical process. The range of the result is 0 to 1.0. Figure 6a illustrates how the approaches generated positive results and a high score (0.69), showcasing adequate green methodologies. Additionally, GAPI was used, and as presented in Fig. 6b, the developed methods are direct methods (no extraction procedure). Small quantities of non-toxic chemicals with minimal waste are required for all techniques. The developed methods also include qualification and quantification.

Conclusion

In order to simultaneously analyze BUP and MLX in a challenging ratio of 33.3:1.0, four straightforward, accurate, and green spectrophotometric methods were developed. These methods included D², RD, RS, and AF (for BUP), as well as direct UV approaches (for MLX). The developed procedures proved to be suitable for the routine analysis of BUP and MLX in their co-formulated ampoules with high % recoveries and low % RSD values. The proposed univariate approaches offer wider linearity ranges for both medications and call for less in the way of mathematical manipulation, which gives the suggested approaches various merits over the reported chromatographic ones. In addition, the developed spectrophotometric approaches are more affordable and straightforward, requiring less expensive instruments, don't need prior separation steps or high volumes of organic solvents, and are more environmentally friendly than the previously reported techniques used for concurrent assay of BUP and MLX.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 4 August 2024; Accepted: 23 October 2024 Published online: 11 November 2024

References

- 1. National Center for Biotechnology Information (2023). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 2474, Bupivacaine. Retrieved April 10, 2023 from https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Bupivacaine.
- 2. National Center for Biotechnology Information (2023). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 54677470, Meloxicam. Retrieved April 10, 2023 from https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Meloxicam.
- 3. Blair, H. A. Bupivacaine/meloxicam prolonged release: A review in postoperative pain. Drugs 81, 1203-1211 (2021).
- Corciova, A. J. E. C. B. Spectrophotometric method for determination of bupivacaine hydrochloride in pharmaceutical preparations. *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2, 554–557 (2013).
- Koehler, A., Oertel, R. & Kirch, W. J. J. O. C. A. Simultaneous determination of bupivacaine, mepivacain, prilocaine and ropivacain in human serum by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1088, 126–130 (2005).
- Lindberg, R. L. P., Kanto, J. H. & Pihlajamäki, K. K. Simultaneous determination of bupivacaine and its two metabolites, desbutyland 4'-hydroxybupivacaine, in human serum and urine. J. Chromatogr. B 383, 357–364 (1986).
- Qin, W.-W. et al. Simultaneous determination of procaine, lidocaine, ropivacaine, tetracaine and bupivacaine in human plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. B 878, 1185–1189 (2010).
- 8. Tanaka, E., Nakamura, T., Inomata, S. & Honda, K. Simultaneous determination of three local anesthetic drugs from the pipecoloxylidide group in human serum by high-performance liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. B 834, 213–216 (2006).
- Tonooka, K. et al. Sensitive liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous determination of nine local anesthetic drugs. Forensic Sci. Int. 265, 182–185 (2016).
- Demir, E., İnam, O., Silah, H. & Karimi-Maleh, H. Studies of mechanism, kinetic model and determination of bupivacaine and its application pharmaceutical forms. *Microchem. J.* 159, 105531 (2020).
- Bebawy, L. I. Stability-Indicating Method for the Determination of Meloxicam and Tetracaine Hydrochloride in the Presence of their Degradation Products. Spectrosc. Lett. 31, 797–820 (1998).
- 12. Mandrescu, M., Spac, A. F. & Dorneanu, V. J. R. C. Spectrophotometric determination of meloxicam. *Rev. Chim.* **60**, 160–163 (2009).
- Gurupadayya, B. M., Trinath, M. & Shilpa, K. Spectrophotometric determination of meloxicam by sodium nitroprusside and 1,10-phenanthroline reagents in bulk and its pharmaceutical formulation. *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 20, 111–115 (2013).
- 14. Dhandapani, B. et al. spectrophotometric estimation of meloxicam in bulk and its pharmaceutical formulations. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **1**, 217–221 (2010).
- Hassan, E. M. Spectrophotometric and fluorimetric methods for the determination of meloxicam in dosage forms. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 27, 771–777 (2002).
- Joseph-Charles, J. & Bertucat, M. Determination of meloxicam in tablet formulations by ultraviolet spectrophotometry and highperformance liquid chromatography. Anal. Lett. 32, 2051–2059 (1999).
- Velpandian, T., Jaiswal, J., Bhardwaj, R. K. & Gupta, S. K. Development and validation of a new high-performance liquid chromatographic estimation method of meloxicam in biological samples. J. Chromatogr. B 738, 431–436 (2000).
- Sane, R. T. & Surve, V. Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatographic determination of meloxicam from human plasma using an internal standard. *Indian Drugs* 37, 251–254 (2000).
- Joseph-Charles, J. & Bertucat, M. Simultaneous high performance liquid chromatographic analysis of non-steroidal antiinflammatory oxicams in pharmaceutical preparations. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Related. Technol. 22, 2009–2021 (1999).
- Seles, K. S. et al. Development and validation of stability indicating assay for simultaneous determination of bupivacaine and meloxicam in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations by using Rp-Hplc method. Int. J. Life Sci. Pharma Res. 12, 117–131 (2022).
- Siddareddy, K., Reddy, M. A. U., Suresh, B. & Sreeramulu, J. J. P. M. Development and validation of analytical method for simultaneous estimation of bupivacaine and meloxicam in human plasma using UPLC-MS/MS. *Methods* 9, 2–8 (2018).
- 22. El-Malla, S. F., Hamza, A. A. & Elagamy, S. H. Simultaneous determination of meloxicam and bupivacaine via a novel modified dual wavelength method and an advanced chemometric approach. *Sci. Rep.* 14, 1893 (2024).
- 23. Bahgat, E. A., Hashem, H., Saleh, H., Kamel, E. B. & Eissa, M. S. Manipulation and processing of spectral signals for the assay of the newly authorized mixture of bupivacaine/meloxicam using fully green solvents and a comparative green evaluation supporting the greenness and sustainability of the developed smart spectrophotometric methods. J. AOAC Int., qsad029 (2023).
- 24. Radwan, A. S., Salim, M. M., Hadad, G. M., Belal, F. & Elkhoudary, M. M. Simultaneous estimation of recently FDA approved co-formulated ophthalmic solution benoxinate and fluorescein: Application to aqueous humor. *Spectrochim. Acta A.* 267, 120599 (2022).
- Pena-Pereira, F., Wojnowski, W. & Tobiszewski, M. AGREE—Analytical GREEnness metric approach and software. Anal. Chem. 92, 10076–10082 (2020).
- 26. Płotka-Wasylka, J. A new tool for the evaluation of the analytical procedure: Green Analytical Procedure Index. *Talanta* 181, 204–209 (2018).
- 27. ICH Q2 (R2) Guidelines, Validation of analytical procedures. ICH: Geneva (2022).
- Alossaimi, M. A., Alqahtani, S. M., Elmansi, H., Belal, F. & Magdy, G. A novel synchronous fluorescence approach for the simultaneous determination of tafamidis and carvedilol in human plasma at nanogram levels: Compliance with greenness metrics. *Michrochem. J.* 205, 111301 (2024).
- Elhak, S., El-Shabrawy, Y., Belal, F. & Magdy, G. A novel green synthesis of silver nanoparticles from Anisosciadium seeds extract as sensitive fluorescent nanosensors for determination of esomeprazole and lansoprazole in dosage forms and human plasma. *Michrochem. J.* 206, 111641 (2024).
- 30. Radwan, A. S. et al. Carbon quantum dots as nanosensors for the spectrofluorimetric determination of meloxicam in biological fluids and dosage forms: Greenness assessment and application to content uniformity testing. *Sustain. Chem. Pharm.* **41**, 101691 (2024).
- Magdy, G., Belal, F. & El-Deen, A. K. Green synchronous spectrofluorimetric method for the simultaneous determination of agomelatine and venlafaxine in human plasma at part per billion levels. Sci. Rep. 12, 22559 (2022).
- 32. Magdy, G., Saad Radwan, A., Belal, F. & Kamal El-Deen, A. Simple and affordable synchronous spectrofluorimetric determination of the natural anticancer polyphenols; resveratrol and curcumin in human plasma. *Spectrochim. Acta A.* **302**, 123029 (2023).
- El-Deen, A. K., Radwan, A. S., Belal, F. & Magdy, G. Spider diagram with greenness evaluation metrics for assessing the new synchronous spectrofluorimetric determination of bicalutamide and resveratrol in human plasma. *Luminescence* 38, 1996–2006 (2023).
- 34. Alossaimi, M. A., Altamimi, A. S. A., Elmansi, H. & Magdy, G. Green synthesized nitrogen-doped carbon quantum dots for the sensitive determination of larotrectinib in biological fluids and dosage forms: Evaluation of method greenness and selectivity. *Spectrochim. Acta A.* 300, 122914 (2023).
- Magdy, G., Elmansi, H., Samaha, M. M., Said, E. & El-Enany, N. A Novel quality-by-design optimized spectrofluorimetric approach for estimation of the oral synthetic adiponectin receptor agonist AdipoRon in different biological matrices: Compliance with greenness metrics. *Sustain. Chem. Pharm.* 37, 101424 (2024).
- Magdy, G. et al. Ultrasensitive spectrofluorimetric approach for quantitation of the novel antiparkinsonian drug safinamide in different matrices at nanogram levels: Assessment of greenness and whiteness profiles. Sustain. Chem. Pharm. 38, 101448 (2024).
- Alossaimi, M. A., Altamimi, A. S. A., Elmansi, H. & Magdy, G. A green sustainable ultrasensitive micelle-sensitized spectrofluorimetric approach for the estimation of tafamidis in different matrices at picogram levels. *Sustain. Chem. Pharm.* 36, 101321 (2023).

38. Magdy, G., Elmansi, H., Shabana, R. A. & El-Enany, N. A sustainable sensitive spectrofluorimetric approach for the determination of the multipotent protein lactoferrin in different pharmaceuticals and infant milk formula: Compliance with greenness metrics. *Luminescence* **39**, e4772 (2024).

Author contributions

A.S.R.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Validation, Data curation, Writing-original draft. M.M.S.: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. F.B.: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Project administration, Writing-review & editing. G.M.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review & editing. All authors approved the manuscript for publication.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024