#### ARTICLE



# **BEACH domain proteins function as cargo-sorting adaptors in secretory and endocytic pathways**

Serhiy Pankiv<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Anette Kathinka Dahl<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Aleksander Aas<sup>1</sup>, Rosa Linn Andersen<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Andreas Brech<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Petter Holland<sup>1</sup>, Sakshi Singh<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Christian Bindesbøll<sup>1</sup>, and Anne Simonsen<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

We identify BEACH domain-containing proteins (BDCPs) as novel membrane coat proteins involved in the sorting of transmembrane proteins (TMPs) on the trans-Golgi network and tubular sorting endosomes. The seven typical mammalian BDCPs share a predicted alpha-solenoid-beta propeller structure, suggesting they have a protocoatomer origin and function. We map the subcellular localization of seven BDCPs based on their dynamic colocalization with RAB and ARF small GTPases and identify five typical BDCPs on subdomains of dynamic tubular-vesicular compartments on the intersection of endocytic recycling and post-Golgi secretory pathways. We demonstrate that BDCPs interact directly with the cytosolic tails of selected TMPs and identify a subset of TMPs, whose trafficking to the plasma membrane is affected in cells lacking BDCP. We propose that the competitive binding of BDCPs and clathrin coat adaptors to the cytosolic tails of TMPs, followed by their clustering to distinct subdomains of secretory/recycling tubules function as a mechanism for sorting of TMPs in pleomorphic tubular-vesicular compartments that lack a clathrin coat.

#### Introduction

Around one-fourth of all human proteins contain one or several transmembrane domain(s) (Dobson et al., 2015; Fagerberg et al., 2010) and undergo complex sorting events during their trafficking from the place of synthesis at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to their final destination (Pfeffer and Rothman, 1987). In general, sorting of transmembrane proteins (TMPs) involves the binding of adaptor proteins to short linear motifs in their cytosolic tail, followed by clustering of cargo adaptors and membrane bending into vesicular or tubular carriers facilitated by recruitment of helper proteins (Arora and Damme, 2021). Several cargo adaptors and helper proteins form distinct coat complexes, including COPI and COPII, which mediate vesicular transport between ER and Golgi compartments, Retromer and Retreaver complexes that mediate tubular-mediated recycling from endocytic compartments, and different types of clathrin coats, promoting the formation of coated vesicles from the plasma membrane (PM), endocytic, and late secretory compartments (Dell'Angelica and Bonifacino, 2019; Paczkowski et al., 2015). Although the proteins constituting these various coat complexes have little sequence homology, they are all enriched in alpha-solenoid and beta-propeller structural domains and are suggested to have a common evolutionary origin, referred to as the protocoatomer hypothesis (Devos et al., 2004; Rout and Field, 2017).

Both the Golgi apparatus and early/sorting endosomes generate transport carriers for protein delivery to the PM. Secretory cargo is transported to the PM either in direct Golgi-to-PM carriers, positive for RAB6A (Fourriere et al., 2019; Grigoriev et al., 2007; Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2010), or indirectly via a RAB11-dependent mechanism (Chen et al., 1998; Khvotchev et al., 2003; Lock and Stow, 2005; Urbé et al., 1993). Recycling from endocytic compartments to the PM involves either RAB4-dependent fast or RAB11-dependent slow recycling compartments (Green et al., 1997; Sönnichsen et al., 2000; Ullrich et al., 1996; van der Sluijs et al., 1992). The Golgi and early/sorting endosomes also exchange material, best exemplified by the secretion and recycling of Mannose-6-phosphate receptors (Brown et al., 1986; Ghosh et al., 2003). Yet, our mechanistic insight into the highly dynamic nature and complexity of such sorting events and the nature of the carriers that leave or enter these sorting hubs remains largely unknown. Tubular-vesicular structures that are adjacent to and morphologically and functionally linked to exit sites of the Golgi and early/ sorting endosomes have been described on the electron microscopy level as the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Griffiths and Simons, 1986) and tubular sorting endosomes (TSE) (Peden et al., 2004)/tubular endosomal network (TEN) (Raub et al., 1990; Stoorvogel et al., 1996), respectively. Both, TGN and TSE/TEN have

Correspondence to Anne Simonsen: a.g.simonsen@medisin.uio.no; Serhiy Pankiv: serhiyp@medisin.uio.no.

© 2024 Pankiv et al. This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; <sup>2</sup>Centre for Cancer Cell Reprogramming, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Oslo, Oslo, Norway; <sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Department of Core Facilities, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Department of Core Facilities, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Department of Core Facilities, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway.

# *S*JCB



Figure 1. Mapping the cellular localization of BDCPs, a family of large cytosolic alpha-solenoid/beta-propeller domain proteins. (A) Alpha-fold models and schematic structures of typical, atypical, and small BDCPs. (B) Western blot and anti-flag immunoprecipitation of cell lysates from HeLa cells stably



expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-tagged full-length human BDCPs. **(C)** Representative confocal images of HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-tagged human BDCPs. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. **(D)** Schematic of the live-cell imaging screen done to characterize the nature of BDCP-positive compartments. HeLa cells stably expressing a single BDCP and one of 42 RAB small GTPase fused to 3xFlag-EGFP and mScarlet, respectively, were imaged live using a spinning-disc confocal microscope to detect colocalization and co-migration of both proteins. See Table 1 for an overview of the screen results. **(E)** HeLa cells stably expressing 4xFlag-EGFP and mScarlet-RAB7A were imaged live (upper panel) or fixed and stained with an anti-LAMP1 antibody (lower panel). Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). **(F)** HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). **(G)** HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 and mScarlet-RAB14 (upper panel) or -RAB38 (lower panel) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). **(G)** HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 and mScarlet-RAB14 (upper panel) or -RAB38 (lower panel) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). Source data are available for this figure: SourceData F1.

large surface-to-volume ratios and transform into transport carriers directed to spatially different acceptor compartments upon entrance of secretory or recycling cargo (Guo et al., 2014; Solinger and Spang, 2022; Stalder and Gershlick, 2020). While Retromer, Retriever, and related complexes have been suggested to mediate the sorting of transmembrane cargo into tubular carriers in early/sorting endosomes (Bonifacino and Hurley, 2008; Chen et al., 2019; McNally et al., 2017), no sorting machinery for tubular carriers at the TGN has been described so far. Such Golgi-derived tubular carriers, often referred to as pleomorphic tubular-vesicular carriers (Hirschberg et al., 1998; Stalder and Gershlick, 2020), have been established as the main transport compartment for delivery of secretory cargo from the Golgi to the PM (Hirschberg et al., 1998; Stalder and Gershlick, 2020), while TGN-derived clathrin-coated vesicular carriers are believed to transport proteins between the Golgi and endosomes (Kim and Gadila, 2016).

The complexity of the eukaryotic endomembrane system makes it difficult to differentiate the multitude of intracellular compartments based only on their morphology or subcellular localization. Ras-associated binding (RAB) and ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF) proteins are two large families of small GTPases that by shuttling between GTP- and GDP-bound states function as molecular switches that dynamically associate with the cytosolic surface of specific intracellular compartments and are commonly used to define the properties of those compartments (Stenmark, 2009; Sztul et al., 2019).

Beige and Chediak-Higashi syndrome (BEACH) domaincontaining proteins (BDCPs) make up a family of large proteins characterized by the presence of a 300-amino acid (aa) large BEACH domain, identified in all branches of eukaryotes (Jogl et al., 2002; Nagle et al., 1996). The human protein family consists of seven typical members (LYST, ALFY/WDFY3, WDFY4, LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2), one small (NSMAF/FAN), and one atypical (WDR81) member (Cullinane et al., 2013). The typical BDCPs are among the top 1% of all human proteins size-wise, ranging between 2.7 and 3.8 thousand aa. They all share the domain architecture of PH-BEACH-WD40 domains on the C-terminus and have a predicted concanavalin A (ConA)-like domain in the N-terminal or middle part of the protein (Burgess et al., 2009; Cullinane et al., 2013). Loss of function mutations in six out of the seven typical BDCPs cause early onset monogenic diseases and are strongly removed from the human gene pool (Kahr et al., 2011; Karczewski et al., 2020; Le Duc et al., 2019; Lopez-Herrera et al., 2012; Mulhern et al., 2018; Nagle et al., 1996; Theisen et al., 2018), while SNPs in the seventh member, NBEAL1, are associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease (Bindesbøll et al., 2020). Although different BDCPs have been associated with regulation of vesicles fusion and fission (Cullinane

et al., 2013), autophagy (Simonsen et al., 2004), antigen crosspresentation (Theisen et al., 2018), regulation of PI3K activity (Liu et al., 2016) or signaling from transmembrane receptors (Adam-Klages et al., 1996), their subcellular localization and molecular functions are poorly characterized due to their large size. The similar structural organization of BDCPs may suggest that a common functional mechanism underlies the diverse phenotypic manifestation of loss of function for different BDCPs. The BDCP LRBA was recently identified as the regulator of CTLA4 immune checkpoint trafficking and was suggested to compete with AP1 clathrin coat adaptors for binding to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (Lo et al., 2015). Also, other BDCPs have been shown to directly bind to TMPs (Adam-Klages et al., 1996; Bindesbøll et al., 2020; del Pino et al., 2011) or regulate their trafficking (Delage et al., 2023; Gromova et al., 2018; Lo et al., 2018; Nair et al., 2013), indicating their involvement in intracellular membrane trafficking.

In the current study, we characterized typical BDCPs as novel TMPs cargo adaptors of pleomorphic tubular-vesicular carriers originating from the TGN and TSE/TEN.

#### Results

#### Localization of human BEACH domain-containing proteins

The localization and function of the nine human BEACH domaincontaining proteins (BDCPs) remain largely unknown as their large size makes cloning and expression of full-length proteins difficult and due to the lack of specific antibodies for staining of endogenous proteins. Using the AlphaFold protein structure prediction (Jumper et al., 2021), we found that the seven largest BDCPs (LYST, ALFY, WDFY4, LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1, NBEAL2, referred to as typical BDCPs) share a similar structural organization, despite having generally <15% sequence identity beyond the BEACH domain. Their N-terminus and middle part are dominated by a large extended alpha-solenoid and a ConA-like domain, followed by a PH-BEACH domain assembly and WD40 repeats at the C-terminus (Fig. 1 A). The smallest BDCP (NSMAF) has an N-terminal PH/GRAM-like domain, followed by PH-BEACH-WD40-domains but lacks alpha-solenoid and ConA-like domains (Fig. 1 A). The domain organization of the atypical BDCP WDR81 resembles that of VPS15/PIK3R4, with an N-terminal pseudokinase domain, followed by an alpha solenoid and WD40 repeats, but unlike VPS15/PIK3R4, WDR81 has a BEACH domain inserted in the activation loop of the pseudokinase (Fig. 1 A).

Proteins having a similar structure often have a common function. To identify the cellular localization of the individual BDCPs and their possible function, we cloned all nine BDCPs in frame with an N-terminal 3xFlag-EGFP tandem tag, except for LYST, which was tagged either to an N-terminal 3xFlag-EGFP or

|          |                         |                      |                            | 1 11 .1                 | 1 10 .0 /               |                        |
|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Table I. | Colocalization of BDCPs | with organelle marke | r proteins. nt: not tested | . –: no colocalization. | +: colocalization. +/-: | partial colocalization |

|        | LYST                      | ALFY  | LRBA                               | NBEA                            | NBEAL1    | NBEAL2                  | NSMAF                       |
|--------|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| RAB1A  | -                         | -   | -                                  | _                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB2A  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | _                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB3A  | -                         | -   | -                                  | -                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB4A  | -                         | -   | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules           | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules        | -         | -                       | +/– Periniclear<br>vesicles |
| RAB5A  | _                         | +/- Cytosolic vesicles                      | _                                  | _                               | -         | _                       | +                           |
| RAB6A  | _                         | +/- Vesicles enriched<br>in cell protrusion | +/– Golgi-<br>connected<br>tubules | +/– Golgi-<br>connected tubules | +         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB7A  | +/– Cytosolic<br>vesicles | -   | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB8A  | -                         | +/– Cytosolic tubules                       | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB9A  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | -         | -                       | _                           |
| RAB10  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | -         | -                       | _                           |
| RAB11A | -                         | -   | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules           | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules        | -         | +                       | -                           |
| RAB12  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | +/– Golgi | -                       | _                           |
| RAB13  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB14  | nt                        | nt  | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules           | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules        | -         | -                       | +                           |
| RAB15  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB17  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 | nt                              | -         | nt                      | nt                          |
| RAB18  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB19  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | -         | + Cytosolic<br>vesicles | -                           |
| RAB20  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | +/– Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB21  | nt                        | +/– Cytosolic vesicles                      | nt                                 | nt                              | -         | nt                      | nt                          |
| RAB22A | nt                        | +/- Cytosolic vesicles                      | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | +/– Perinuclear<br>vesicles |
| RAB23  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | _                           |
| RAB24  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB25  | nt                        | -   | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules           | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules        | -         | +                       | -                           |
| RAB26  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB27A | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | -         | + Cytosolic<br>vesicles | -                           |
| RAB28  | nt                        | _   | -                                  | _                               | -         | -                       | _                           |
| RAB29  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | +/- Golgi | _                       | -                           |
| RAB30  | nt                        | -   | -                                  | -                               | +/– Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB31  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | +/– Golgi | -                       | _                           |
| RAB32  | nt                        | -   | _                                  | _                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | _                           |
| RAB33B | nt                        | nt  | -                                  | -                               | +/- Golgi | -                       | -                           |
| RAB34  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 | -                               | _         | _                       | _                           |
| RAB35  | nt                        | +/- Cytosolic tubules                       | -                                  | -                               | -         | -                       | -                           |
| RAB36  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 |                                 | -         |                         | -                           |
| RAB37  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 | nt                              | +/- Golgi | nt                      | nt                          |
| RAB38  | nt                        | -   | nt                                 | _                               | +/- Golgi | +                       | _                           |



| Table 1.  | Colocalization | of BDCPs with | organelle marker | proteins. nt: not | : tested, –: no | colocalization, | +: colocalization, | +/-: partial | colocalization |
|-----------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| (Continue | ed)            |               | -                |                   |                 |                 |                    |              |                |

|                         | LYST | ALFY         | LRBA                     | NBEA                  | NBEAL1  | NBEAL2 | NSMAF |
|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|-------|
| RAB39A                  | nt   | -            | nt                       | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| RAB40A                  | nt   | nt           | nt                       | -                     | -   | nt     | _     |
| Rab41                   | nt   | -            | nt                       | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| RAB42                   | nt   | nt           | nt                       | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| RAB43                   | nt   | +/- Vesicles | _                        | -                     | +/- Golgi   | -      | _     |
| ARF1                    | nt   | nt           | +                        | +                     | +/- Golgi and Golgi-<br>connected tubules           | -      | nt    |
| ARF3                    | nt   | nt           | +/– Cytosolic<br>tubules | nt                    | +/- Golgi and Golgi-<br>connected tubules           | nt     | nt    |
| ARF4                    | nt   | nt           | -                        | nt                    | +/- Golgi   | nt     | nt    |
| ARF5                    | nt   | nt           | _                        | nt                    | +/- Golgi   | nt     | nt    |
| ARF6                    | nt   | nt           | -                        | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| AP1M1                   | nt   | nt           | + Beads on a string      | + Beads on a string   | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | -      | nt    |
| AP2M1                   | nt   | nt           | _                        | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| AP3M1                   | nt   | nt           | +/- Beads on a sting     | +/- Beads on a string | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | nt     | nt    |
| AP4M1                   | nt   | nt           | _                        | nt                    | -   | nt     | nt    |
| GGA1                    | nt   | nt           | +/- Beads on a sting     | +/- Beads on a string | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | nt     | nt    |
| GGA2                    | nt   | nt           | _                        | -                     | -   | nt     | nt    |
| GGA3                    | nt   | nt           | +/- Beads on a string    | +/- Beads on a string | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | nt     | nt    |
| EpsinR                  | nt   | nt           | +/- Beads on a string    | +/- Beads on a string | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | nt     | nt    |
| Clathrin<br>heavy chain | nt   | nt           | +/- Beads on a string    | +/- Beads on a string | +/- Beads on a string on<br>Golgi-connected tubules | nt     | nt    |

The specific compartment(s) where colocalization occurs is indicated.

to a tandem dimer of NeonGreen (tdNG), and WDR81, which was cloned with a C-terminal EGFP-3xFlag tag, and generated stably transfected HeLa cells (Fig. 1 B). While LYST, ALFY, LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1, NBEAL2, and NSMAF all displayed distinct localizations to tubular-vesicular cytoplasmic structures as analyzed by live cell imaging, WDFY4 and WDR81 showed diffuse cytosolic staining (Fig. 1 C).

To further assess the nature and functional properties of the tubular-vesicular structures marked by the individual BDCPs, we cloned 42 RAB small GTPases, well-known markers of different endocytic and secretory compartments (Stenmark, 2009) as mScarlet-I fusion proteins and generated a series of HeLa cells stably expressing combinations of a single mScarlet-I-RAB protein together with one of the seven 3xFlag-EGFP tagged BDCPs (Fig. 1 D). Using live-cell imaging of the double-transfected cells, we localized the different BDCPs to specific cellular compartments as summarized in Table 1.

tdNG-LYST localized to several small and large cytosolic structures that stained positive for mScarlet-RAB7A and endogenous LAMP1 (Fig. 1 E), as well as Lysotracker Deep Red (Fig. S1 A, upper panel), but not for EEA1 (Fig. S1 A, lower panel). The identification of LYST-positive structures as late endosomes and/or lysosomes is not surprising as cells lacking LYST are characterized by enlarged lysosomes (Holland et al., 2014; Serra-Vinardell et al., 2023; White, 1966).

In line with our previous identification of NBEALI as a Golgi localized protein (Bindesbøll et al., 2020), we found a strong colocalization between NBEALI and Golgi-specific RAB GTPases (Table 1). RAB6A was the only RAB that also colocalized with NBEAL1 on Golgi-connected tubules and Golgi-derived vesicles that could be tracked to and accumulated at cell protrusions (Fig. 1, C and F; and Video 1). Additionally, a fraction of NBEAL1- and RAB6A-positive vesicles were observed moving in a retrograde PM-to-Golgi direction (Video 1), suggesting that NBEAL1 positive structures are identical to RAB6-containing secretory Golgi-to-PM carriers (Fourriere et al., 2019; Grigoriev et al., 2007; Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2010) and recycling PM-to-Golgi retrograde vesicles (Carpier et al., 2018; Huber et al., 2020; Mallard et al., 2002).

NBEAL2 displayed strong colocalization with two members of the RAB11-family (RAB11A and RAB25) that are commonly

### **\$JCB**



Figure 2. **BDCPs localize to both secretory and endocytic/recycling compartments. (A)** HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NSMAF and mScarlet-RAB5A (left panel) or -RAB14 (right panel) were imaged live. Scale bars 10 µm (main figure) or 1 µm (inset magnifications). **(B)** HeLa cells stably expressing

3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY and mScarlet-RAB5A (upper panel) or -RAB6A (lower panel) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (C) Schematics showing localization of ALFY with RAB5 and RAB6. PM- plasma membrane, TGN- trans-Golgi network. (D) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-RAB4A, -RAB11A, or -RAB6A were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (E) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-LRBA were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (E) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-LRBA were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (F) Schematics showing localization of LRBA and NBEA with RAB4, RAB11 and RAB6. PM- plasma membrane, EE/SE- early/sorting endosomes, RE- recycling endosomes, TGN- trans-Golgi network.

described as markers of recycling endosomes (Kelly et al., 2012; Ullrich et al., 1996), as well as with RAB38, a marker of transport carriers to lysosome-related organelles (LRO) (Bultema et al., 2012; Bultema and Di Pietro, 2013; Wasmeier et al., 2006) (Fig. 1 G and Fig. S1 B; and Table 1). Interestingly, we observed no colocalization of NBEAL2 with the closely related RAB32 (Table 1).

NSMAF was originally identified as a protein associated with the cytosolic tail of the TNF receptor on the plasma membrane (Adam-Klages et al., 1996). We failed to see the typical plasma membrane staining of NSMAF, but did detect its strong colocalization with endosomal RAB5A and RAB14, as well as a partial colocalization with RAB4A and RAB22 on perinuclear vesicles (Fig. 2 A and Table 1), indicating that NSMAF is an early/sorting endosomal protein.

We recently reported a partial colocalization of ALFY with RAB5A (Søreng et al., 2022), which we confirmed in this study (Fig. 2 B upper panel and Video 2). Furthermore, we observed a partial colocalization of ALFY with RAB22, another marker of early endosomes, and with RAB6A on highly dynamic vesiculo-tubular structures in cell protrusions close to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2 B lower panel, Video 3 and Table 1), as well as with RAB8A-positive tubular structures (Fig. S1 C and Table 1). Unlike NBEAL1 (Fig. 1 F), ALFY did not colocalize with RAB6A-positive Golgi or Golgi-linked tubules but could occasionally be detected on the tip of growing RAB6A-positive tubules (Fig. S1 D). Colocalization of ALFY with markers of peripheral Golgi-to-plasma membrane secretory carriers and early endosomes suggests that it may function at the intersection of the late secretory and early endocytic pathways (Fig. 2 C).

LRBA and NBEA demonstrated a highly similar pattern of partial colocalization with three sets of RABs, including the tubular component of the sorting endosomal markers RAB4A and RAB14, a partial colocalization with the recycling endosomal markers RAB11 and RAB25, and with Golgi-linked tubules positive for RAB6A (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S1 E; and Table 1). Importantly, endogenous LRBA showed a similar colocalization pattern (Fig. S1 F). We could also observe a weak colocalization of LRBA or NBEA with RAB6A-positive Golgi cisterns (Fig. 2 D; and Fig. S1, F, H, and I). Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably expressing both EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-NBEA confirmed that these proteins localize to the same compartments (Fig. 2, E and F), indicating they may have redundant functions.

#### Clathrin-coated vesicles bud from BDCP-positive tubules

Since several of the typical BDCPs localize to Golgi and Golgilinked tubular-vesicular structures, we further characterized those BDCP-positive compartments for colocalization with ARF small GTPases and markers of COPI, COPII, and clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs) that are known to regulate protein and membrane trafficking to and from the Golgi (Paczkowski et al., 2015; Wong-Dilworth et al., 2023). The respective proteins were tagged with mScarlet and co-expressed in HeLa cells stably expressing single 3xFlag-EGFP-tagged BDCPs, and their colocalization was analyzed by live-cell imaging (Table 1).

Surprisingly, all LRBA-positive structures colocalized with ARF1 and partially with ARF3, but did not show any overlap with ARF4, ARF5, ARF6, or SAR1A (Fig. 3, A, E, and F and Table 1). Similarly, endogenous LRBA colocalized with ARF1-mScarlet-positive tubules attached to the Golgi or localized at the cell periphery (Fig. S1 F). We also observed complete colocalization of NBEA-positive structures with ARF1 (Fig. 3, B and E). In contrast, NBEAL1 colocalized with ARF1 only on Golgi and Golgi-linked tubules, but not on peripheral vesicles (Fig. 3, C and E) and did not colocalize with other ARFs or SAR1A (Table 1). NBEAL2 and ARF1 localized to distinct, sometimes closely adjacent structures, resembling different domains of the same tubule with little signal overlap (Fig. 3 D).

ARF1 is known to mediate the recruitment of both COPI and clathrin coats to membranes (Ren et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2012). Neither LRBA nor NBEAL1 colocalized with markers of COPI- and COPII-coated vesicles (COPE or SEC31A, respectively) (Fig. 3 G and Table 1). In contrast, over 80% of the clathrin coat adaptor AP1M1 positive speckles localized along or to the ends of tubules decorated with LRBA, both in stably transfected cells (Fig. 3 H and Fig. 4 B and Video 4) and when staining for endogenous proteins (Fig. S1 J). We also observed partial colocalization of LRBA with other clathrin coat adaptors, including AP3M1, GGA1, GGA3, and EpsinR, as well as clathrin heavy chain, in a beads-ona-string fashion, but not with AP2M1, AP4M1 or GGA2 (Fig. 3 H and Fig. S1 K; and Table 1). Intriguingly, similar to LRBA, NBEA also showed a strong beads-on-a-string colocalization pattern with AP1M1 both in the perinuclear region and the cell periphery (Fig. 4 A) with partial colocalization with AP3M1, GGA1, GGA3, EpsinR, and clathrin heavy chain (Fig. 4 A and Fig. S2 A), and no colocalization with AP2M1, AP4M1, or GGA2 (Table 1). Golgiconnected NBEAL1 positive tubules, but not peripherally localized vesicles, also displayed a partial colocalization with AP1M1, AP3M1, GGA1, GGA3, and EpsinR in a beads-on-a-string fashion (Fig. 4, C and D; and Table 1), in line with colocalization of NBEAL1-positive tubules, but not vesicles, with ARF1. We failed to detect any colocalization of NBEAL2 positive compartments with AP1M1 (Fig. 4 D and Table 1).

The strong colocalization of AP1M1 with LRBA/NBEA positive tubules in beads-on-a-string manner indicates that the majority of AP1M1-positive speckles represent clathrin-coated buds attached to the donor membrane and not separate CCV (Fig. 4 D). Using live cell imaging, we observed that most of the LRBA/ NBEA and AP1M1 positive tubules were highly dynamic and underwent multiple fission and what looked like fusion events (Fig. 4 E and Fig. S2 B and Video 4), although the latter is difficult



Figure 3. LRBA-positive tubules are highly dynamic sites of clathrin-coated vesicle formation. (A) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and ARF1-mScarlet were imaged live. Scale bars 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged). (B) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and ARF1-



mScarlet were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (C) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and ARF1-mScarlet were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (D) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 and ARF1-mScarlet were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (E) Quantification of colocalization between structures positive for either LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1 or NBEAL2, and ARF1. HeLa cells stably expressing ARF1-mScarlet and the indicated BDCP tagged with 3xFlag-EGFP were imaged with confocal microscopy. EGFP or mScarlet positive structures were segmented and each dot represent the percentage of BDCP positive objects in each cell partially or completely overlapping with ARF1 positive object.  $n \ge 15$  per condition. (F) Schematic summary of the colocalization of LRBA/NBEA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2-positive tubular compartments with the clathrin/COPI coat recruitment factor ARF1. PM- plasma membrane, EE/SE- early/sorting endosomes, RE- recycling endosomes, GtPM- Golgi to plasma membrane carriers, TGN- trans-Golgi network. (G) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-SEC31A (COPII marker, left panel) or mScarlet-COPE (COPI marker, right panel) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). (H) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA together with clathrin coat adaptors AP1M1-mScarlet (upper left), AP3M1-mScarlet (middle left), GGA1-mScarlet (lower left), GGA3-mScarlet (upper right), mScarlet-EpsinR (middle right), and mScarlet-clathrin heavy chain (lower right) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (right merged).

to confirm due to the high mobility and dynamic shape of the observed structures. Interestingly, the observed fission events occurred at or close to AP1M1 positive buds along the tubule, resulting in two smaller tubules, each containing AP1M1 signal on the newly formed ends (Fig. 4, E and G; and Fig. S2 B). We also observed the formation and growth of new LRBA and AP1M1 positive tubules from the perinuclear LRBA/AP1M1 positive mass (Fig. 4 F and Fig. S2 C). Limited by the resolution of light microscopy, we observed an initial diffuse distribution of AP1M1 and LRBA along the growing tubule, followed by sequestration of both proteins in distinct subdomains along the length of the tubule (Fig. 4, F and G; and Fig. S2 C). Taken together, our data indicate that LRBA, NBEA, and NBEAL1 positive tubules are major sites of CCV formation.

### LRBA and NBEA positive compartments receive input from both endocytic and secretory pathways

The observed enrichment of LRBA and NBEA in perinuclear Golgi adjacent tubules containing ARF1 and clathrin coat adaptors indicate that these structures represent the TGN. However, we were surprised to see that the majority of both perinuclear and peripheral LRBA/NBEA and AP1M1 double-positive structures become positive for endocytosed transferrin (Fig. 5 A and Video 4). Transferrin accumulated in LRBA or NBEA-positive tubules starting from 2 min after its addition to the media (Fig. 5, B–D and Fig. S1 G), and by 6 min, 90% of LRBA-positive tubules contained transferrin (Fig. 5 G). Intriguingly, LRBA and NBEA colocalized preferentially with the tubular component of transferrin-positive compartments with little to no LRBA/ NBEA staining of transferrin-positive vesicles (Fig. 5, A-F). Thus, at least some LRBA/NBEA and AP1M1 positive tubules are part of the early endocytic/recycling pathway, resembling structures previously described as TSE (Peden et al., 2004) or TEN (Stoorvogel et al., 1996).

NBEAL2-decorated compartments acquired transferrin with slower dynamics (Fig. 5 G), with transferrin being initially detected in tubules positive only for LRBA and in segments of the tubules positive for both LRBA and NBEAL2 (Fig. 6 A). Localization of transferrin to NBEAL2 positive LRBA negative tubules was only observed from 6 min and 30 s, after the addition of transferrin to the media (Fig. 6 A). We also noticed multiple fission and fusion-like events between LRBA- and NBEAL2-positive compartments (Fig. 6 A, upper panels), with some of the transferrin positive tubules decorated with LRBA and NBEAL2 on opposite

ends (Fig. 6 B). This indicates that transferrin initially passes through the tubules positive for LRBA, followed by its transition through the NBEAL2-decorated compartment (Fig. 6 C).

To further clarify the nature of the LRBA/NBEA positive compartments, transferrin was internalized in HeLa cells stably expressing NBEA and either RAB4A, RAB6A, or RAB11A, which all partially colocalize with LRBA and NBEA (Table 1; and Fig. 2 D and Fig. S1 E). Live cell imaging demonstrated that NBEApositive tubules that acquired transferrin early (3 min and 30 s) were positive for RAB4 (Fig. 7 A) and a few were positive for RAB11 (Fig. 7 B), indicating that they are sorting tubules of early/sorting endosomes. Some RAB11A positive and NBEA negative structures acquired transferrin from 9 min 30 s (Fig. 7 B), in line with previous reports of RAB11 being a marker of the slow recycling pathway and delayed dynamics of colocalization between transferrin and RAB11 (Sheff et al., 2002; Ullrich et al., 1996). Upon internalization of transferrin in cells expressing NBEA and RAB6A, we could detect perinuclear tubules that were either positive for RAB6A and NBEA or transferrin and NBEA (Fig. 7, C and D; and Fig. S2 D), and never positive for all three proteins simultaneously. A similar pattern was observed for LRBA-positive tubules, colocalizing with either endogenous TGN46 or transferrin, but not with transferrin and TGN46 simultaneously (Fig. 7 E). Markers of the media- (giantin) and cis-Golgi (GM130) partially overlapped with the perinuclear LRBA signal but showed no colocalization with Golgi-connected LRBA-positive tubules (Fig. S2 E). The lack of endocytosed transferrin in NBEA/RAB6A and LRBA/TGN46 compartments, together with our observation that NBEA/RAB6A and LRBA/TGN46 positive tubules are connected to the Golgi (Figs. 2 D, 3 B, 7 C, S1, E, F, and H; and Fig. S2 D), suggest a secretory nature of these structures and indicate that LRBA/NBEA positive tubules can receive input from both endocytic and secretory pathways.

To further investigate this hypothesis, we performed a Retention Using Selective Hook (RUSH) (Boncompain et al., 2012) assay with CTLA4 as a secretory cargo simultaneously with transferrin internalization in HeLa cells stably expressing LRBA or NBEA. We first assessed the temporary localization of CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP in cells expressing mScarlet-I-RAB1A, -RAB6A, -RAB5A, -RAB11A, -AP1M1 or -AP2M1, demonstrating that CTLA4-RUSH follows conventional secretory and endocytic/recycling pathways that can be subdivided into an early (ER-to-Golgi) and a late (Golgi-to-PM) secretory phase, followed by its endocytosis and recycling (Fig. S3, A–D; and Fig. S4, A and B). Upon addition of biotin to CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP cells, we

# **\$JCB**



Figure 4. **NBEA-positive tubules are highly dynamic sites of clathrin-coated vesicle formation. (A)** HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA together with clathrin coat adaptors APIM1-mScarlet (1), AP3M1-mScarlet (2), GGA1-mScarlet (3), GGA3-mScarlet (4), mScarlet-EpsinR (5), and mScarlet-



clathrin heavy chain (6) were imaged live. Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (**B**) Quantification of the percentage of AP1M1 dots colocalizing with BDCPs in HeLa cells expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA or 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA together with AP1M1-mScarlet (data shown in Fig. 3 H or Fig. 4 A, respectively). *n* = 15–20 cells and 150–300 AP1M1 dots/cell were quantified. (**C**) HeLa cells stably expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and mScarlet-tagged AP1M1 (1), GGA1 (2), or clathrin heavy chain (3) were imaged live. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (**D**) Schematic summary of the localization of LRBA/NBEA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2-positive tubular compartments with clathrin coat adaptors and clathrin. PM- plasma membrane, EE/SE- early/sorting endosomes, RE-recycling endosomes, GtPM- Golgi to plasma membrane carriers, TGN- trans-Golgi network. (**E**) LRBA- and AP1M1-positive tubules undergo rapid fission at or close to AP1M1-positive speckles (indicated by arrows). HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-AP1M1 were imaged live with representative snap-shot images shown. Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. (**F**) LRBA and AP1M1 partition in a mutually exclusive manner along the length of growing LRBA and AP1M1 positive tubule. HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-AP1M1 were imaged live with representative snap-shot images shown. Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. (**F**) LRBA and AP1M1 positive tubule. HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-AP1M1 were imaged live with representative snap-shot images shown. Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. (**F**) LRBA and AP1M1 positive tubule from Fig. 4, E and F.

observed the formation of CTLA4 positive vesicles and tubules that first exclusively colocalized with RAB1A with an increased CTLA4 positive Golgi signal and a decreased ER signal (Fig. S3 A). After 10 min, CTLA4-positive vesicles and Golgi-connected/derived tubules that were negative for RAB1A, but positive for RAB6A were observed (Fig. S3, A and B), suggesting the transport of CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP to late secretory compartments. Interestingly, CTLA4 was excluded from AP1M1 positive puncta that localized along otherwise CTLA4 positive tubules formed during the late secretory phase (Fig. S3 C), suggesting that CTLA4 is secreted from the Golgi in pleomorphic RAB6A-positive carriers and not in CCVs. After 15 min, CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP started to colocalize with AP2M1 positive clathrin-coated puncta and was detected along the cell edge (Fig. S3 D), indicating its arrival at the plasma membrane. After 20 min, we observed colocalization of CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP with RAB5A (Fig. S4 A) in early endosomes. Intriguingly, we observed a partial colocalization of some CTLA4-RUSH positive vesicles with RAB11A already during the secretory phase, suggesting that a fraction of newly synthesized CTLA4 may reach RAB11A positive compartments directly from the Golgi (Fig. S4 B).

Consistent with our RAB6A/TGN46 colocalization data, we observed that Golgi-connected LRBA-positive tubules could acquire CTLA4-RUSH during the late secretory phase (Fig. 8 A), indicating their secretory nature. These compartments co-existed with LRBA and transferrin-positive and CTLA4-negative tubules, but also with tubules positive for all three proteins, suggesting the mixing of endocytic and secretory cargo in LRBA-positive compartments (Fig. 8 A).

Surprisingly, the addition of biotin to cells co-expressing CTLA4-RUSH-EGFP and LRBA or NBEA caused a redistribution of not only CTLA4 to the Golgi but of LRBA and NBEA from cytosolic tubular structures to Golgi stacks (Fig. 8, A–C). This was not observed in cells expressing only LRBA or NBEA (Fig. 8, B and C), suggesting that their redistribution is caused by the excess influx of CTLA4-RUSH as a secretory cargo into the Golgi (Fig. 8 D).

When carrying out the CTLA4-RUSH assay in HeLa cells stably expressing NBEAL1, we observed an enrichment of NBEAL1 signal on the tip of growing secretory tubules containing CTLA4-RUSH 12 min after the addition of biotin. Detachment of NBEAL1-positive tubular tips generated CTLA4- and NBEAL1-positive vesicles that moved toward the plasma membrane (Fig. 8 E and Video 5). Intriguingly, imaging of HeLa cells co-expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1, mScarlet-LRBA, and CTLA4-RUSH-mTagBFP2 revealed that NBEAL1 were enriched on the tip, while LRBA distributed along the body of the same tubule (Fig. 8, F and G), and that transport carriers formed upon tubular fission preferentially contained either LRBA or NBEAL1 (Video 6).

In summary, our data show that LRBA and NBEA localize both to secretory tubules of the TGN and to sorting tubules of early/sorting endosomes and that they can redistribute between these compartments upon the influx of secretory cargo. Moreover, we showed that LRBA and NBEAL1 can segregate either to the tip (NBEAL1) or the body (LRBA) of the same secretory tubule and generate two distinct transport carriers upon fission of the tubule. Similarly, we demonstrated that LRBA and NBEAL2 can segregate to different ends of transferrin-positive recycling tubules, suggesting an analogous mechanism for the generation of endocytic recycling carriers.

### BDCPs function as protocoatomer proteins in the sorting of transmembrane secretory and endocytic cargo

The data presented above, combined with the presence of a large extended alpha solenoid and a beta-propeller domain in all typical BDCPs, suggests that these proteins may have a protocoatomer origin and function as membrane coat proteins (Rout and Field, 2017). This hypothesis is further supported by the reported mis-sorting of several TMPs upon the loss of function of LRBA or NBEA (Gromova et al., 2018; Lo et al., 2015; Nair et al., 2013). Moreover, LRBA was previously suggested to bind to and compete with AP1 for binding to a YVKM motif in the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (Lo et al., 2015).

To address whether BDCPs may bind to the cytosolic tails of TMPs and function as TMPs sorting adaptors, we performed pulldown assays with the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 fused to GST and cell lysates from Hela cells expressing different BDCPs as 3xFlag-EGFP fusion proteins (Fig. 9, A, B, and H) or purified full-length NBEAL2 and LRBA (Fig. 9 C). This revealed that several BDCPs (LRBA, LYST, NBEAL2, and ALFY) bind to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (Fig. 9, B, C, and H). We further mapped the binding specificity of 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (aa 187-223), showing that CTLA4 aa 201-215 was sufficient for binding (Fig. 9, B and H). To map the region of BDCPs important for binding, GST-CTLA4 (201-215) was incubated with purified N-terminal (a-solenoid and ConA-like domains) or C-terminal (PH-BEACH-WD40 domains) fragments of NBEAL2, demonstrating binding of the C-terminal region to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (Fig. 9 D). Intriguingly, the purified PH-BEACH domain assembly of NBEAL1, NBEAL2, LRBA, and ALFY all bound to GST-CTLA4 (201–215), indicating that the PH-BEACH domain of BDCPs interacted with cytosolic tails (Fig. 9 E). An alanine scan of CTLA4 (201–215) identified lysine-203 and -213 and proline-205, -206 and -209, to be essential for the interaction with ALFY,



Figure 5. LRBA- and NBEA- positive tubules accumulate transferrin starting from 2 min after its addition to the culture media. (A) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and AP1M1-mScarlet were imaged live 10 min after supplementation of growth media with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin.



Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (insert magnifications). (B) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA were fixed 2 min after supplementation of growth media with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin and imaged by confocal microscopy. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). (C) Examples of colocalization of stably transfected 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and AlexaFluor647-Transferrin, 2 min after addition of transferrin to the culture media. Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. (D) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA were fixed 3 min after supplementation of growth media with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin and imaged by confocal microscopy. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left panel) or 1  $\mu$ m (zoomed-in panels). (E) Quantification of the mean signal intensity ratio of LRBA or transferrin on LRBA/transferrin positive tubule vs. nearby LRBA/transferrin positive vesicle. The mean signal intensity of green (endogenous LRBA) or magenta (endocytosed transferrin, 3 min) channels within a 4 × 4 pixels rectangular box over the tubular or vesicular part of the transferrin-positive compartment was used for calculations. n = 20. (F) Schematic summary of data from Fig. 5, A–E. (G) Quantification of the dynamics of colocalization of LRBA or NBEAL2 positive structures and transferrin. HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA or -NBEAL2 were fixed and imaged at the indicated time points after the addition of 10  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin to the culture media. EGFP or AlexaFluor647 positive structures were segmented and each dot represent the percentage of EGFP-positive objects partially or completely overlapping with AlexaFluor647 positive objects per cell.  $n \ge 50$  per condition.

while tyrosine-201 and methionine-204 in the 201-YVKM-204 motif, previously reported to interact with LRBA and the AP1 clathrin coat (Lo et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 1999), were dispensable for binding to ALFY (Fig. 9, F and G). We further confirmed the importance of lysine-203 and -213 in GST pulldown assays with other BDCPs, including LRBA, LYST, and NBEAL2 (Fig. 9 H). The binding site of BDCPs in the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 overlaps with the reported AP1M1 and AP2M1 binding sites (Schneider et al., 1999), indicating a possible competition between the two. This, combined with the observed sequestration of several BDCPs and clathrin coat adaptors to different subdomains of secretory or recycling membrane tubules, followed by formation of transport carriers upon tubular fission indicate that BDCPs function as competitive adaptors for transmembrane cargo sorting.

To identify novel TMPs that rely on BDCPs for their normal cellular trafficking, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to knock out the reference isoforms of five BDCPs (5 KO) localizing in a partial or completely overlapping manner on secretory or recycling compartments (ALFY, LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2) in HeLa cells to minimize potential redundancy effect (Fig. S4, C and D). Wild type (wt) and 5KO cells were subjected to surface biotinylation and quantitative mass spectrometry of the plasma membrane proteome. We identified 48 proteins that were significantly downregulated and 24 proteins that were significantly upregulated on the plasma membrane of two clones of the 5KO cells compared to wt cells (Fig. 10 A). The downregulation of selected proteins (ROBO2, EFNB2, and FGFR4) at the plasma membrane was confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. 10 B), while the expression of other proteins (as EGFR) was unaffected (Fig. 10, A and B). Importantly, the effect of knocking out single BDCPs on the level of these identified transmembrane hits was not uniform (Fig. 10, C and D), indicating that the different BDCPs regulate trafficking of distinct TMPs. To check whether the identified down or upregulated proteins can indeed bind to BDCPs, we cloned the cytosolic tails of eight selected proteins (EPHA7, EFNB2, ROBO2, FGFR4, FLRT2, JAG1, TGFBR3, and SDK1) as GST fusion proteins and tested their binding to purified 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY (Fig. 10, E and F). Intriguingly, the cytosolic tails of all tested proteins bind to ALFY, suggesting that their plasma membrane trafficking defect originates from loss of binding to BDCPs.

#### Discussion

We here present the first systematic study of the human BDCP family. AlfaFold structure model predictions show that typical

BDCPs all share an alpha-solenoid/beta-propeller molecular structure, indicating they may have a protocoatomer origin and function as membrane coat proteins. We have cloned, expressed, and mapped the subcellular localization of seven human BDCPs based on their dynamic colocalization with co-expressed RAB proteins, demonstrating their localization to the Golgi and Golgi-to-PM anterograde and retrograde carriers (NBEAL1), peripheral secretory and early endosomal vesicles (ALFY), early/sorting endosomes (NSMAF), late endosomes/lysosomes (LYST), recycling endosomes (NBEAL2), and the TGN/TSE (LRBA and NBEA). Moreover, we showed that BDCPs and specific clathrin coat adaptors localize to distinct subdomains of post-Golgi secretory and endocytic recycling tubules in a beads-on-a-string-like manner. We demonstrate that BDCPs interact directly with the cytosolic tail of selected TMPs and that depletion of BDCPs results in the mis-sorting of several TMPs to the plasma membrane. Thus, we propose a novel function for BDCPs as membrane coat proteins that bind to the cytosolic tails of TMPs at distinct subdomains of post-Golgi secretory and endocytic recycling tubules to regulate their sorting into Golgi-to-PM carriers, CCVs, and RAB11A-positive secretory/recycling compartments.

Our study also highlights the similarity of protein composition and morphology of the TGN and the TSE as dynamic pools of tubular compartments positive for LRBA, NBEA, ARF1, clathrin coat adaptors, and clathrin heavy chain. Our data demonstrate that after fission from RAB6A-positive secretory or RAB4Apositive endocytic compartments, LRBA/NBEA/ARF1/clathrin coat positive tubules lose the original RAB GTPase and acquire RAB11A. In S. cerevisiae, such a transition is mediated by a Rab GAP cascade (Suda et al., 2013) and possibly Arf1 activity (Thomas et al., 2021). These compartments undergo luminal and membranous content mixing due to tubular fusion, followed by content partitioning into separate carriers due to the budding of CCVs and fission of tubules themselves. The crosstalk between these compartments of secretory or endocytic origin also manifests in the form of redistribution of LRBA and NBEA from recycling tubules to Golgi and Golgi-derived secretory tubules upon the influx of secretory cargo, which could indicate a regulatory feedback mechanism. Thus LRBA/NBEA-positive tubules resemble the plant TGN/EE structures, functioning as a sorting hub that receives, classifies, and reroutes both secretory and endocytic cargo to their final destination (Nakano, 2022).

We speculate that competitive binding to the cytosolic tails of TMPs of either BDCPs or clathrin coat adaptors, as was suggested for LRBA and AP1M1 binding to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4 (Lo et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 1999), followed by partition of



Figure 6. **NBEAL2 shows slower dynamics of colocalization with endocytosed transferrin. (A)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and mScarlet-NBEAL2 were imaged live with 1-min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10 μg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin.

Tubules positive for LRBA and NBEAL2 undergo fast fusion or fission events (right zoomed-in inserts). LRBA-positive tubules that accumulate transferrin between 2.5 and 6 min after its addition to the media are either negative (arrow) or only weakly positive for NBEAL2 (solid arrowhead). Tubular structures positive for NBEAL2 and transferrin, but negative for LRBA (open arrowheads), could be observed starting from 6.5 min after the addition of transferrin to the culture media (lower zoomed-in inserts) Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). **(B)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 and mScarlet-LRBA were treated for 30 min with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647-transferrin in complete media, fixed, and imaged by confocal microscopy. LRBA and NBEAL2 localize to opposite ends of the same transferrin-positive tubules. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figure) or 1  $\mu$ m (inset magnifications). **(C)** Schematic summary of data from Fig. 6, A and B.

transmembrane cargo into either clathrin-coated buds, interbudding tubular zones positive for LRBA/NBEA, or tubular tips, positive for NBEAL1, precede the generation of transport carriers (Fig. 10 G). Our study suggests that PM-destined secretory or recycling cargo that passes the filter of LRBA/NBEA/ARF1/ clathrin coat sorting tubules retains in NBEAL1/RAB6A- or NBEAL2/RAB11-positive tubular segments devoid of ARF1 and clathrin coat adaptors and become transported to the PM after fission of these tubular regions (Fig. 10 G).

The molecular marker composition of LRBA/NBEA positive tubules of secretory origin also resembles those of immature secretory granules, which are also decorated with ARF1 GTPase, AP1, and the GGA family of clathrin coat adaptors (Austin et al., 2000; Bonnemaison et al., 2013; Burgess et al., 2011; Dittié et al., 1996; Lui-Roberts et al., 2005; Tooze and Tooze, 1986). Common for both compartments is the transitioning from RAB6 to RAB11 as a dominant surface RAB GTPase and loss of clathrin coat upon their maturation (Ma and Brill, 2021; Tooze, 1991; Wells et al., 2023, Preprint). Clathrin-coated buds on immature secretory granules are believed to sort out unwanted proteins according to a "sorting-byretention" mechanism (Tooze, 1998), suggesting their similar function in LRBA/NBEA-positive tubules. The presence of luminal cargo of both endocytic and secretory origin is a common feature of lysosome-related organelles (LRO) - the other compartment of the regulated secretory pathway (Delevoye et al., 2019). Loss of function mutations or knockout of several BDCPs manifested with defects in the formation of secretory granules or LRO, including platelets alpha granules for NBEAL2 (Lo et al., 2018), CTLA4containing compartments for LRBA (Lo et al., 2015), large dense core vesicles and small synaptic vesicles for NBEA (Castermans et al., 2010; Medrihan et al., 2009), and platelet dense granules and melanosomes for LYST (Introne et al., 1999).

Both RAB6 and RAB11 are known molecular markers of transport carriers destined for PM of either secretory or endocytic origin (Chen et al., 1998; Fourriere et al., 2019; Green et al., 1997; Grigoriev et al., 2007; Lock and Stow, 2005). The dynamics of secretory NBEAL1/RAB6A-positive vesicles formation from the pinching of the tip of Golgi-connected secretory tubules, observed in the current study, conceptually resembles the previously described generation of direct Golgi-to-PM carriers (Fourriere et al., 2019; Hirschberg et al., 1998). These carriers preserve RAB6A but loose the ARF1 coat. Perinuclear compartments labeled with mammalian RAB11 are generally described as slow-recycling endosomes (Ullrich et al., 1996). RAB11 homologs in yeast (Ypt31/32), plants (RabA family), and protozoal alveolate Toxoplasma qondii however decorate secretory vesicles (Pang et al., 2022; Venugopal et al., 2020) and are essential for the constitutive secretory pathway. In the current study, we demonstrate that a minor fraction of CTLA4-RUSH cargo colocalizes with RAB11A soon after leaving the Golgi. This is in line

with previous studies showing that secretory cargo passes through RAB11 compartments on their way from the Golgi to the plasma membrane (Chen et al., 1998; Khvotchev et al., 2003; Lock and Stow, 2005), implying RAB11 as a marker of indirect secretory carriers. RAB11- and NBEAL2-decorated tubules and vesicles are devoid of ARF1 GTPase or AP1M1 clathrin coat adaptor. They acquire endocytosed transferrin later than tubules positive for LRBA/NBEA/ARF1 and clathrin, with NBEAL2 and LRBA occasionally decorating different ends of the same transferrin-positive tubules. We propose that NBEAL2/RAB11-positive compartments are functionally similar to NBEAL1/RAB6 Golgi-to-PM carriers, as transport vesicles that mature from LRBA/NBEA/ARF1/clathrin coat buds compartments and incorporate proteins sorted out from LRBA/NBEA tubules to the plasma membrane (Fig. 10 G).

Our study suggests that the majority of the structures labeled with the AP1M1 clathrin coat adaptor, resolvable by light microscopy, represent clathrin-coated buds and not detached vesicles. Several studies of the TGN and TGN-derived carriers by EM tomography (Ladinsky et al., 1994, 1999; Polishchuk et al., 2006) or light microscopy (Stockhammer et al., 2023, Preprint; Bottanelli et al., 2017) support our findings. A recent report describes ARF1-positive tubular compartments containing AP1 and AP3 clathrin coat adaptors and clathrin as beads-on-a-string that function as hubs for short-range cargo transfer to endosomes (Stockhammer et al., 2023, Preprint). The authors suggest that such ARF1/clathrin-positive tubules coordinate both Golgi export and endocytic recycling, in line with the LRBA/NBEA/ ARF1/clathrin-positive tubules described in our study. Moreover, the reports of a relatively slow assembly speed of AP2 and the clathrin coat on the plasma membrane, followed by fast uncoating dynamics of pinched CCVs (Ehrlich et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2006; Massol et al., 2006) imply the preferential localization of clathrin coat adaptors to donor membrane compartments and not to mature coated vesicles. Interestingly, we often observed that LRBA/NBEA tubular fissions occurred at or close to AP1M1-positive speckles. In line with this, disruption of AP1-positive CCV formation by treatment with Brefeldin A or siRNA-mediated downregulation of clathrin heavy chain results in long tubules, originating from Golgi or endosomes (Hooy et al., 2022; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1991; Wood et al., 1991). It is tempting to speculate that pinching of CCV also facilitates the fission of the donor tubular compartment, either by creating a mechanically weak spot along the length of the tubule or by recruiting dynamins not only to the neck of pinching CCV but also to the donor tubule itself. A more careful interpretation of knockdown/knockout phenotypes of CCV machinery components is needed to conclude whether they are also required for the formation of pleomorphic tubulovesicular carriers.

### **\$JCB**



Figure 7. Transferrin does not accumulate in NBEA-positive tubules decorated with TGN markers RAB6A or TGN46. (A) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-RAB4A were imaged live with 1 min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of

# *S*JCB

AlexaFluor647-Transferrin. Transferrin accumulates in NBEA-positive tubules representing the tubular segment of RAB4A-positive sorting endosomes (arrows). Scale bar 10 µm in the upper row, 1 µm in other panels. (**B**) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-RAB11A imaged live with 1 min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin. NBEA-positive tubules that accumulate transferrin between 2.5 and 6 min after its addition to the media are either negative (open arrowhead) or only weakly positive for RAB11A (arrow). Starting from 9 min 30 s after addition of transferrin, tubules that are positive for RAB11A and transferrin, but negative for NBEA could be detected (solid arrowhead). Scale bar 10 µm in the upper row, 1 µm in other panels. (**C**) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live with 1-min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin. RAB6A-positive NBEA-decorated tubules (arrow) do not accumulate transferrin (arrowhead). Scale bar: 10 µm in the upper row, 1 µm in other panels. (**C**) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live with 1-min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin. RAB6A-positive NBEA-decorated tubules (arrow) do not accumulate transferrin (arrowhead). Scale bar: 10 µm in the upper row, 1 µm in other panels. (**D**) Schematic summary of data from Fig. 7, A-C. (**E**) HeLa cells stably transfected for 14 min with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-transferrin in complete media, fixed, stained with an anti-TGN46 antibody, and imaged by confocal microscopy. LRBA-decorated tubules, positive for the TGN marker TGN46 (arrow) do not accumulate the endocytic recycling cargo transferrin (arrowhead). Scale bars 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged).

We show that LYST, the largest BDCP, localizes to late endosomes and lysosomes, reflecting its known loss of function phenotype of enlarged lysosomes and LRO (Introne et al., 1999; White, 1966). It was recently found that LYST-deficient human neurons exhibit hyperelongated tubules extruding from enlarged autolysosomes and suggested that defect autophagic lysosome reformation was causing this phenotype (Serra-Vinardell et al., 2023). Given our data on the function of other typical BDCPs, it is tempting to speculate that LYST functions as a cargo adaptor for TMPs together with lysosomal AP2 or AP3 on lysosomal reformation tubules.

In addition to its previously reported localization to RAB5Apositive early endosomes (Søreng et al., 2022), we identified ALFY on peripherally localized highly dynamic RAB6-positive secretory carriers and RAB8-positive tubules, reportedly of either secretory (Dennis et al., 2023, Preprint) or recycling (Rangaraj et al., 2023, Preprint) origin. ALFY and RAB6-positive vesicles accumulated close to the plasma membrane in filopodia-like protrusions at leading and lagging cellular edges or were observed as a fast circularly moving population of vesicles, migrating along the cellular edge between neighboring cell protrusions. Colocalization of ALFY with peripheral RAB5, RAB6, and RAB8 compartments suggests its possible involvement in cycles of peripheral secretion, endocytosis, and recycling to modulate the local protein landscape of the plasma membrane. Interestingly, ROBO2, EPHA7, FLRT2, and SDK1 identified in this study as plasma membrane proteins that were up- or downregulated in HeLa cells lacking five BDCPs and as direct binders of ALFY are known mediators of neuronal cell migration and axonal guidance, the process reportedly defective in ALFY KO mice and cell culture models (Dragich et al., 2016; Søreng et al., 2022).

Reported loss of function phenotypes of LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL2, ALFY, and WDFY4 are limited to specific cell or tissue types where the mutated proteins are highly expressed, preferentially affecting the CNS (ALFY and NBEA) (Le Duc et al., 2019; Mulhern et al., 2018), immune system (LRBA and WDFY4) (Lopez-Herrera et al., 2012; Theisen et al., 2018), or platelets/megacaryocytes (NBEAL2) (Kahr et al., 2011). We suggest that the relatively high mutational robustness of BDCPs is likely due to several levels of functional redundancy. While typical BDCPs are evolutionarily conserved and can be found in all branches of eukaryotes, the mammalian BDCP family underwent a recent gene duplication event during the evolutionary transition from cephalochordates to vertebrates, generating gene pairs LRBA/NBEA, NBEAL1/NBEAL2, and ALFY/WDFY4. Our study identified LRBA and NBEA in the same compartment, while NBEAL1 and NBEAL2 were found in distinct, but functionally similar

compartments, hinting at a possible functional overlap. Around twothird of the length of typical BDCPs constitute a predicted large alpha solenoid domain, consisting of tandem HEAT or Armadillo repeats, encoded by 25–35 exons. The modular nature of tandem repeat alpha-solenoid structures (Reichen et al., 2014; Scholze and Boch, 2011) and the presence of numerous splice isoforms of BDCPs lacking one or several tandem repeats (Bindesbøll et al., 2020) suggest a possible resilience of these proteins to nonsense or missense mutations within the alpha-solenoid due to functional compensation by alternatively spliced isoforms.

In summary, our study demonstrates a common function for typical BDCPS as novel TMP cargo adaptors of protocoatomer origin. We propose a novel mechanism of TMP sorting at the TGN and TSE involving the competitive binding of BDCPs and clathrin coat adaptors to the cytosolic tails of TMPs, followed by their partitioning in distinct subdomains along secretory or recycling tubules and subsequent tubular fission leading to the formation of specific transport vesicles.

#### **Materials and methods**

Antibodies are listed in Table 2. Bacterial and virus strains are listed in Table 3. Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins are listed in Table 4. Experimental models: Cell lines are listed in Table 5. Recombinant DNA is listed in Table 6.

#### Cell culture

HeLa T-Rex Flp-In and HEK-FT cells were grown and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 5 U/ml penicillin, and 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Media for original HeLa T-Rex Flp-In cells was also supplemented with 5  $\mu$ g/ml blasticidin and 100  $\mu$ g/ml zeocin to maintain the TET-repressor and the FRT site. Media for HeLa T-Rex Flp-In cells with a stably integrated gene of interest in the FRT site was supplemented with 5  $\mu$ g/ml blasticidin and 100  $\mu$ g/ ml hygromycin B. Gene expression was induced by 24–48 h treatment with 1  $\mu$ g/ml doxycycline.

#### Plasmids and cloning

Human BDCPs were amplified by PCR from human cDNA libraries from U2OS cells (for LYST, ALFY, LRBA, NBEAL1, NBEAL2, WDR81, and NSMAF) or primary B lymphocytes (kind gift from Karin M. Gilljam, for WDFY4) as 3–4 kb fragments and cloned into pENTR1A/2B/3C or pcDNA5 FRT/TO backbones by Gibson assembly. Flp-In compatible expression plasmids



Figure 8. The influx of secretory cargo redistributes LRBA and NBEA from recycling to secretory compartments and sequester NBEAL1 and LRBA to different subdomains of secretory tubules. (A) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and transiently transfected with CTLA4-mCherry-



RUSH were treated for 12 min with 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin and 50 µM of biotin to release transport of CTLA4-mCherry-RUSH from the ER, followed by live imaging before and after the treatment. Golgi-attached LRBA- and CTLA4-RUSH positive secretory tubules lack endocytosed transferrin (arrow) but can acquire transferrin after Golgi detachment (solid arrowhead). LRBA- and transferrin-positive tubules, negative for CTLA4-RUSH are labeled with open arrowheads. Golgi-attached LRBA- and CTLA4-RUSH positive secretory tubules lack endocytosed transferrin (arrow) but can acquire transferrin after Golgi detachment (solid arrowhead). LRBA- and transferrin-positive tubules, negative for CTLA4-RUSH are labeled with open arrowheads. Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m in the upper and middle panel and 1  $\mu$ m in the lower panel. (B) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH-mCherry were imaged before and 12 min after the addition of 50 µM of biotin to the culture media. Numbers represent cells not transfected (1) or transfected (2) with CTLA4-RUSH construct before (1 and 2) or 12 min after (1\* and 2\*) addition of biotin to the media. Scale bars 10 μm (upper two panels) or 1 µm (lower inserts). (C) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH construct imaged before and 12 min after the addition of 50 µM of biotin to culture media. Numbers represent cells not transfected (1) or transfected (2) with CTLA4-RUSH construct before (1 and 2) or 12 min after (1\* and 2\*) addition of biotin to the media. Scale bars 10 µm (upper two panels) or 1 µm (lower inserts). (D) Schematic summary of data from Fig. 8, A-C. (E) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH-mCherry were imaged 12 min after the addition of 50 µM of biotin. NBEAL1 decorates the tip of growing Golgi-connected secretory tubules positive for CTLA4-RUSH-mCherry (arrows) that form transport carriers upon tubular fission. Scale bars 1 µm. (F) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and mScarlet-LRBA and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH-mTagBFP2 were imaged live 12 min after the addition of 50 µM of biotin. NBEAL1 and LRBA segregate into different subdomains of Golgi-connected secretory tubules (arrows). mTagBFP2 signal was verified by visual observation, but not imaged, due to the toxicity of the blue-channel imaging setup. Scale bar 10 μm (left merged) or 1 μm (right merged). (G) Schematic summary of data from Fig. 8, E and F.

containing 3xFlag-EGFP fusions of BDCPs under the control of a tet-on CMV promoter were generated by Gateway recombination cloning. Sleeping Beauty transposition compatible vectors of LRBA and NBEA were generated by Gibson assembly of corresponding BDCP and mScarlet into pSBbi-pur backbone. Lentiviral transfer plasmids for RAB small GTPases were generated by PCR amplification from human cDNA libraries or gene synthesis (for RAB37, RAB39A, and RAB41) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by restriction enzyme cloning into pLVX-SV40-mScarlet backbone to generate mScarlet-Rabs fusions under control of SV40 promoter. Lentiviral transfer plasmids for Arf and Sar1A small GTPAses, COPE, AP1M1, AP2M1, AP3M1, AP4M1, GGA1, GGA2, GGA3, and CLINT1 were generated by PCR amplification of corresponding genes from human cDNA library from U2OS cells followed by Gibson assembly together with mScarlet into pLVX-SV40 backbone to generate N-terminal mScarlet fusion (for COPE) or C-terminal mScarlet fusions (for other proteins) under SV40 promoter. Sleeping Beauty transposition compatible vectors of SEC31A and clathrin heavy chain were generated by PCR amplification of SEC31A from human cDNA library or clathrin heavy chain from GFP-CHC17KDP vector (Addgene plasmid 59799, gift from Stephen Royle), followed by Gibson assembly together with mScarlet into pSBbi-pur backbone. RUSH-compatible plasmids of CTLA4 were generated by PCR amplification of CTLA4 from the human cDNA library followed by Gibson assembly instead of VSVG into Str-Ii\_VSVG-SBP-mCherry vector. Vectors for bacterial expression of the full-length cytosolic tail of CTLA4 or its deletion or point mutants were generated by PCR amplification of CTLA4(187-223) from human cDNA library or DNA synthesis for CTLA4(187-202), CTLA4(194-209), CTLA4(201-215), and CTLA4(208-223) followed by restriction enzyme cloning into pENTR1A/2B/3C vectors and Gateway recombination cloning into pDest15 vector. Vectors for bacterial expression of the cytosolic tails of EPHA7, EFNB2, ROBO2, FGFR4, FLRT2, JAG1, TGFBR3, and SDK1 were generated by PCR amplification from the human cDNA library, followed by restriction enzyme cloning into pENTR1A/2B/3C and Gateway recombination cloning into pDest15 vector. To generate vectors for CRISPR KO of BDCPs oligonucleotide guides to target LRBA (guide 1 5'-GTGAGA GTATTAACTGCATGG-3' or guide 2 5'-GCATCAGGACCATACTTC TG-3'), NBEA (guide1 5'-GCAGCTACAGCATCACTGTCA-3' or guide

2 5'-GGTTGGTGGAGAATTTGACT-3'), NBEAL1 (guide 1 5'-GGA AGCAGAGATATTCCTGG-3' or guide 2 5'-GAGATTACCTGAAGC TGTGGT-3') or NBEAL2 (guide 1 5'-GAGCACATGCAGCTCATCCAG-3' or guide 2 5'-GACCTGGGTTACCTGCAGCAG-3') were cloned into BbsI sites of pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) V2.0 plasmid.

### Production of lentiviral particles and transduction of HeLa cells

10<sup>6</sup> HEK-FT cells were plated in a 10-cm dish and transfected the next day with 1.6  $\mu$ g of each of pCMV-VSV-G, psPAX2, and transfer plasmids. The medium was changed after 24 h and lentivirus-containing medium was collected and filtered through Acrodisc 0.45  $\mu$ m Supor membrane syringe filter at 48 and 72 h after transfection. For cell infection, 10<sup>5</sup> HeLa cells were plated in wells of a six-well plate and the next day the cell medium was exchanged for 2 ml fresh media with 0.5 ml of lentivirus-containing medium and 8  $\mu$ g/ml of polybrene (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The medium was changed 24 h after infection for fresh medium containing 2  $\mu$ g/ml of puromycin. A pool of puromycin-selected cells was used for experiments.

#### Generation of stably transfected cell lines

The stably transfected cell lines used in this paper were generated using either Flp-FRT recombination, the Sleeping Beauty transposon system, or by infection with lentiviral particles. For the Flp-FRT recombination approach, 105 HeLa T-Rex Flp-In cells were plated in wells of a 6-well plate and 24 h later co-transfected with pOG44 Flp-recombinase expression vector and one of the pDestFlpIn or pcDNA5-FRT-TO vectors in a ratio 10:1 using X-tremeGENE 9 DNA transfection reagent. 24 h after transfection, the cells were trypsinized and plated into 10-cm dishes, followed by medium change for complete growth media containing 200 µg/ml Hygromycin B 24 h later. Individual clones resistant to Hygromycin B were picked 2 wk after initiation of selection and tested by western blotting and confocal imaging for expression of the protein of interest. To generate stably transfected cells using the Sleeping Beauty transposon system approach, 105 HeLa T-Rex Flp-In cells were plated in wells of a six-well plate and 24 h later co-transfected with SB100x Sleeping Beauty transposase expression vector and one of pSBbi-pur vectors in 1:1 ratio using X-tremeGENE 9 DNA





Figure 9. BDCPs bind to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. (A) Schematic structure of CTLA4 with the amino acid sequence of the cytosolic tail indicated. Bold residues indicate the YxxM AP1-binding motif with underlined Y201 previously reported as being essential for binding to LRBA. Amino acids shown in red were



identified as important for binding to ALFY in the current study. (B) ALFY interacts with aa 201-215 in the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. GST-tagged fragments of CTLA4 immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads were incubated with lysate of HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY. Bound 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY were detected by staining with an anti-flag antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with ponceau S. (C) Purified NBEAL2 and LRBA (right panels) can bind to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. Purified full-length untagged NBEAL2 or LRBA were incubated with GST-tagged CTLA4 (201–215) immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads. Bound NBEAL2 or LRBA were detected by staining with anti-NBEAL2 or anti-LRBA antibodies, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. (D) The C-terminal fragment of NBEAL2 interacts with the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. Purified N- (aa 1-1792) or C- (aa 1792-2794) terminal fragments of NBEAL2 fused to 3xFlagEGFP were incubated with GST-tagged CTLA4 (201-215) immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads. Bound 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 was detected with an anti-Flag antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. (E) The PH-BEACH domains of NBEAL1, NBEAL2, LRBA, and ALFY bind to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. Purified PH-BEACH domains of NBEAL1, NBEAL2, LRBA, and ALFY fused to MBP were incubated with GST-tagged CTLA4 (201–215) immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads. Bound MBPfusion proteins were detected with an anti-MBP antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. (F) Alanine scan mutagenesis of the ALFY-binding region of CTLA4 (aa 201-215). GST-CTLA4 (201-215) point mutants were immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads and incubated with HeLa 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY cell lysate. Bound 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY were detected by staining with an anti-flag antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. (G) Quantification of data in F from three independent experiments. Values shown are mean ± SD \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*P < 0.01, \*P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA. (H) Binding of several BDCPs to the cytosolic tail of CTLA4. Wild type or mutant GST-CTLA4(201–215) were immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads and incubated with lysate from HeLa cells overexpressing 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2, - LYST or -LRBA. Bound proteins were detected by staining with an anti-flag antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. Source data are available for this figure: SourceData F9.

transfection reagent. 24 h after transfection cells were trypsinized and plated into 10-cm dishes, followed by medium change for complete growth media containing 2  $\mu$ g/ml of puromycin. The pool of puromycin-selected cells was used for experiments. The generation of stably transfected cells with the lentiviral approach is described in the Virus production and transduction section.

#### Generation of BDCPs KO cell line by CRISPR/Cas9

HeLa T-Rex Flp-In cell lines lacking the reference isoforms of ALFY, LRBA, NBEA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2 were generated by sequential transfection of HeLa T-Rex Flp-In wt cells with pX459 plasmid encoding SpCas9 and guide RNAs targeting NBEAL1, NBEA, LRBA, ALFY, and NBEAL2, as described in the Plasmids and cloning section. Transfected cells were selected by culturing for 2 days in complete media with 2  $\mu$ g/ml of puromicin, followed by plating 10<sup>3</sup> cells in a 15-cm dish for clonal growth. Single clones were isolated 2 wk after plating and tested for indel mutations by genotyping (for NBEAL1) or western blotting (for ALFY, LRBA, NBEA, and NBEAL2). For genotyping, total genomic DNA was isolated from each clone using DirectPCR Lysis Reagent and genomic regions targeted by gRNAs were amplified using primer pairs 5'-ACATCTGTGTTGCAGACGAG-3' and 5'-AGCTCCATATCA ACTACAAACATC-3' for guide 5'-GGAAGCAGAGATATTCCTGG-3' or primer pairs 5'-CAGGGATATGGGAGGGCAAAT-3' and 5'-CAG AGTTTTCTAGAAGCCAGGTC-3' for guide 5'-GAGATTACCTGAAG CTGTGGT-3'. The obtained PCR products were sequenced using primers 5'-GTTGCAGACGAGAAGGCAC-3' and 5'-TGTATGCAGA ATGTGCAGG-3' for guide 5'-GGAAGCAGAGATATTCCTGG-3' or primers 5'-TTTGAGTGACATGAGAAGCC-3' and 5'-CATCAATTA GCTATGTCTCTATGAG-3' for guide 5'-GGAAGCAGAGATATTCCT GG-3'.

#### Western blotting

For western blot analysis, cells grown to confluency were harvested in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (05056489001; Roche) for 10 min on ice. The lysates were centrifuged at  $5,000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C to pellet cell debris. The supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE and

transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Protan Premium 0.45  $\mu$ m; Cytiva). The membrane was blocked with PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween and 5% skim milk powder for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with an antirabbit or anti-mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody in PBS + 0.1% Tween. The HRP signal was visualized by incubation of membranes with Supersignal West Dura Extended duration substrate (34075; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 min followed by imaging with the Chemidoc MP Imaging system (BioRad).

#### Recombinant protein production and GST-Pulldown assay

GST and GST-fusion proteins or MBP and MPB-fusion proteins were expressed in BL21(DE3) E.coli strain and purified by immobilization on Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GST) or Amylose resin. Briefly, plasmids were transformed into BL21(DE3) E. coli strain and grown as overnight cultures in ~5 ml of LB media at 37°C with 220 RPM shaking. Overnight cultures were used to inoculate ~100 ml LB cultures and grown at 37°C until OD600 reached 0.7, then induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and incubated for 4 h at 20°C with 220 RPM shaking. Bacterial cultures were then pelleted at 12,000  $\times$  *g* for 10 min, pellets suspended and sonicated in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100) and clarified by centrifugation at 12,000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4°C. The obtained supernatants were incubated for 2 h with 150 µl of packed glutathione sepharose 4B resin (for GSTfusion proteins) or amylose resin (for MBP-fusion proteins) followed by washing of beads with immobilized proteins with the lysis buffer. MBP-fusion proteins were eluted with 20 mM maltose in the lysis buffer. For GST-pulldown assays, glutathione sepharose beads with equal amounts of immobilized proteins were incubated overnight at 4°C with either 500 µl of HeLa cells lysates expressing 3xFlag-EGFP-fusion of BDCPs or 1 µg of MBPfusion proteins or 1 µg of NBEAL2 or 0.2 µg of LRBA. The beads were then washed six times with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100) and the bound proteins were eluted by boiling for 5 min in 2x SDS gel loading buffer (100 mM Tris pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% Glycerol, 0.2% Bromphenol blue, and 200 mM DTT).



Figure 10. Knockout of reference isoforms of BDCPs leads to missorting of plasma membrane proteins. (A) The plasma membrane proteome of wildtype HeLa cells and two clones of HeLa cells lacking the reference isoforms of ALFY, LRBA, NBEAL, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2 (KO1 and KO2) were isolated and



analyzed by mass spectrometry. The Venn diagram and heatmap depict proteins that were significantly up- or downregulated in knock-out clones compared with wild-type cells. (B) Western blot of the indicated proteins from the cell surface proteome and total cell lysates of wild type Hela cells and HeLa cells lacking reference isoforms of ALFY, LRBA, NBEAL1, and NBEAL2 (KO1). (C) Knockout of single BDCPs differentially affects the level of proteins identified as up or down-regulated on the plasma membrane of 5KO cells. Western blot of the indicated proteins from total cell lysates of wild-type HeLa and HeLa cells lacking reference isoforms of either ALFY, LRBA, NBEAL1, or NBEAL2. (D) Quantification of data in C from three independent experiments. Values shown are mean ± SD. (E) Binding of ALFY to cytosolic tails of selected transmembrane proteins found to be up- or down-regulated in BDCPs 5KO cells. GST-tagged cytosolic tails of CTLA4, EPHA7, EFNB2, ROBO2, FGFR4, FLRT2, JAG1, TGFBR3, or SDK1 were immobilized on glutathione-sepharose beads and incubated with HeLa 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY cell lysate. Bound proteins were detected by staining with an anti-flag antibody, while GST-tagged proteins were detected by staining with an anti-GST antibody. (F) Quantification of data in C from three independent experiments. Values shown are mean ± SD. (G) Schematic model of BDCPs function as transmembrane cargo sorting adaptors on trans-Golgi network and tubular sorting endosomes/tubular endosomal network. Source data are available for this figure: SourceData F10.

Full-length NBEAL2 or LRBA were affinity purified on GFP-Trap agarose beads from cell lysates of FreeStyle 293-F cells transiently transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-SUMOstar-NBEAL2 or 3xFlag-EGFP-SUMOstar-LRBA and eluted with SUMOstar protease, resulting in untagged NBEAL2 and LRBA proteins that were used for GST-pulldown assays.

#### Live cell imaging

Cells grown in eight-well Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass were imaged live in FluoreBrite DMEM medium (Gibco) on Andor Dragonfly 505 high-speed confocal platform equipped with Okolab cell incubator with temperature,  $CO_2$ , and humidity control (at 37°C and 5%  $CO_2$ ) using NIKON Apo TIRF  $60\times/1.49$ NA or NIKON Apo 100x/1.45 NA oil immersion objectives and Zyla4.2 sCMOS 2,048 × 2,048 camera. The spinning disc confocal mode was used for all figures. Between 30 and 60 frames at one to two frames per second were acquired for each field of view. Two proteins were considered colocalized when signals from both proteins co-migrated within the punctuated or tubular structure during the timeframe of the experiment.

For the transferrin internalization experiment, 200  $\mu$ l of FluoreBrite DMEM media containing 20  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647 conjugated human transferrin was added to the well of Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass with cells grown in 200  $\mu$ l of Fluore-Brite DMEM media to obtain a final transferrin concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ml. The imaging of the cells was started within 30 s after the addition of transferrin.

#### Immunofluorescent staining of fixed cells

Cells grown in 8-well  $\mu$ -Slide chambered coverglass (Ibidi) were fixed at 37°C for 30 min by adding an equal volume of solution of 8% PFA and prewarmed to 37°C directly to culture media. After fixation cells were washed, permeabilized with 0.05% saponin, and stained with antibodies against LRBA (HPA023597, 1:500), TGN46 (AHP500GT, 1:500), Giantin (PRB-114C, 1:1,000), GM130 (SC-16268, 1:200), or clathrin (ab21679, 1:200). Cells were imaged on Nikon CrestOptics X-Light V3spinning disc confocalsystem (Kinetix-M-C sCMOS 3,200 × 3,200 camera) or Nikon CSU-W1 SoRa superresolution systems (Prime BSI sCMOS 2,048 × 2,048 camera) using NIKON Apo 100x/1.45 NA oil immersion objective.

#### Image analyses

Quantification of colocalization between AP1M1 and LRBA or NBEA was performed using manual segmentation of fluorescent signal from corresponding proteins with LABKIT labeling and segmentation plugin (Arzt et al., 2022) in Fiji/ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012). AP1M1-positive objects located within the diameter of LRBA- or NBEA-positive objects were scored as colocalized. The ratio of AP1M1-positive objects colocalizing with LRBA or NBEA to the total number of AP1M1 objects per cell (150–300 objects per cell, 15–20 cells per condition) is presented as separate dots in a boxplot in Fig. 4 B. Quantification of colocalization between transferrin or ARF1 and BDCPs was performed by automatic segmentation of fluorescent signal from corresponding proteins with NIS-Elements AR analysis software v5.30 (Nikon Instruments). The ratio of segmented BDCP-positive objects overlapping with ARF1- or transferrin-positive objects to the total number of BDCPpositive objects per cell (15–20 cells for colocalization with ARF1 and 50–100 cells for colocalization with transferrin) is presented as separate dots in boxplots in Fig. 3 E and Fig. 5 G.

Densitometric quantification of Western blots was performed using ImageJ. A rectangular ROI sized to enclose the band with the largest area was created and the same ROI was used to measure the background-substracted integrated density of the rest of the bands. The densitometric values were normalized to the intensity of actin loading control for Fig. 10 C.

#### Retention using selective hook (RUSH) assay

HeLa cells were plated in eight-well Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass and transfected with Str-li-CTLA4-SBP-EGFP/-mScarlet or -mTagBFP plasmids. Transfected cells were imaged 24 h later. The release of CTLA4 from ER was initiated by adding 200  $\mu$ l of FluoreBrite DMEM media with 80  $\mu$ M biotin to the well containing transfected HeLa cells in 200  $\mu$ l of FluoreBrite DMEM. The imaging was started within 30 s after addition of biotin.

#### Cell surface protein biotinylation and isolation

Isolation of the cell surface proteome from wild type or BDCPs KO HeLa cells was performed using Pierce Cell Surface Protein Biotinylation and Isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly,  $10^7$  of either HeLa wt or BDCPs PKO cells were plated in 15-cm dishes. Next day, the cell media was removed and the cells were washed quickly one time with 20 ml of ambient PBS and incubated for 10 min at room temperature with 250 µg/ml solution of EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin in PBS. After incubation, the cells were washed twice with 20 ml ice-cold TBS, then scraped into 10 ml of ice-cold TBS, and pelleted at 500 × g for 3 min at 4°C. Cell pellets were lysed for 30 min on ice in 500 µl of lysis buffer and cell lysate was clarified



#### Table 2. Antibodies

|   | Source                                | Identifier           |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| LAMP1 monoclonal (clone<br>H4A3) antibody         | Santa Cruz Biotechnology              | Cat# sc-20011        |
| EEA1 monoclonal (clone 14/<br>EEA1) antibody      | BD Biosciences                        | Cat# 610457          |
| ROBO2 monoclona (clone<br>E4M6D) antibody         | Cell Signaling Technology             | Cat# 45568           |
| FGFR4 monoclonal (clone<br>D3B12) antibody        | Cell signaling Technology             | Cat# 8562S           |
| EFNB2 monoclonal (clone<br>JM53-21) antibody      | Thermo Fisher Scientific              | Cat# MA5-<br>32740   |
| EGFR antibody                                     | Fitzgerald Industries                 | Cat# 20-ES04         |
| MUC13 antibody                                    | Cell Signaling Technology             | Cat# 44454           |
| Beta-actin monoclonal (clone<br>8H10D10) antibody | Cell Signaling Technology             | Cat# 3700S           |
| Flag-tag monoclonal (clone<br>M2) antibody        | Sigma-Aldrich                         | Cat# F1804-<br>200UG |
| GST monoclonal (clone 3G10/<br>1B3) antibody      | Abcam                                 | ab92                 |
| ALFY monoclonal antibody                          | Ai Yamamoto Lab, Fox<br>et al. (2020) | NA                   |
| LRBA antibody                                     | Atlas Antibodies                      | Cat#<br>HPA019366    |
| LRBA antibody                                     | Atlas Antibodies                      | Cat#<br>HPA023597    |
| NBEA antibody                                     | Novus Biologicals                     | Cat# NBP1-<br>90004  |
| NBEAL2 monoclonal (clone<br>EPR14501[B]) antibody | Abcam                                 | Cat# ab187162        |
| TGN46 antibody                                    | AbD serotech/BioRad                   | Cat#<br>AHP500GT     |
| Giantin antibody                                  | Covance                               | Cat# PRB-114C        |
| GM130 antibody                                    | SantaCruz Biotech                     | Cat# SC-16268        |
| Clathrin antibody                                 | Abcam                                 | Cat# ab21679         |
| HRP-conjugated goat anti-<br>mouse antibody       | Jackson ImmunoResearch                | Cat# 115-035-<br>003 |
| HRP-conjugated goat anti-<br>rabbit antibody      | Jackson ImmunoResearch                | Cat# 111-035-<br>144 |

by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Clarified lysate was incubated with 250 µl of NeutrAvidin agarose slurry for 30 min at room temperature followed by washing of beads with bound proteins four times with 500 µl of wash buffer.

#### **On-beads protein digestion**

100 µl 50 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> was added to the bead samples followed by quick vortexing. Proteins were reduced (10 mM DTT) for 20 min at 56°C and alkylated (20 mM Iodoacetamide) in the dark for 30 min at RT before digestion with 1 µg trypsin (Porcine; Promega) overnight at 37°C. The samples were centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 10 min to pellet the beads. The supernatant

#### Pankiv et al.

BEACH domain proteins as new transmembrane cargo sorting adaptors

#### Table 3. Bacterial and virus strains

|                  | Source                   | Identifier     |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| E.coli DH5alpha  | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# 18265017  |
| E.coli Stbl3     | Invitrogen               | Cat# C737303   |
| E.coli DB3.1     | Invitrogen               | Cat# 11782-018 |
| E.coli BL21(DE3) | New England Biolabs      | Cat# C2527H    |
|                  |                          |                |

#### Table 4. Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins

|   | Source                      | Identifier             |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| X-tremeGENE 9 DNA Transfection<br>Reagent           | Merck                       | Cat#<br>6365809001     |
| ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity gel                           | Merck                       | Cat# A2220-5ML         |
| Polybrene   | Santa Cruz<br>Biotechnology | Cat# sc-134220         |
| LysoTracker Deep Red                                | Invitrogen                  | Cat# L12492            |
| Human Transferrin AlexaFluor647                     | Jackson<br>ImmunoResearch   | Cat# 009-600-<br>050   |
| Glutathione Sepharose 4B                            | Merck                       | Cat# GE17-0756-<br>01  |
| GFP-Trap agarose                                    | Proteintech/<br>Chromotek   | Cat# gta               |
| SUMOstar protease                                   | LifeSensors                 | Cat# 4110-<br>500UNITS |
| Amylose Resin                                       | New England Biolabs         | Cat# E8021L            |
| Pierce Cell surface Biotinylation and Isolation kit | Thermo Fisher<br>Scientific | Cat# A44390            |
| DirectPCR lysis reagent                             | Nordic BioSite              | Cat# 250-301-C         |
| cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease<br>inhibitor Cocktail  | Roche                       | Cat#<br>5056489001     |
| Supersignal west dura extended duration substrate   | Thermo Fisher<br>Scientific | Cat# 34075             |

#### Table 5. Experimental models: Cell lines

|                      | Source   | Identifier             |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|
| HeLa T-Rex<br>Flp-In | Gift from Anthony Thige and Stephen S.<br>Taylor | Tighe et al.<br>(2008) |
| HEK-FT               | Invitrogen                                       | R70007                 |

containing the digested proteins was captured, concentrated, and desalted using C18 stage tips (Affinisep).

#### LC-MS/MS analysis

Samples were analyzed by a nanoElute UHPLC coupled to a timsTOFfleX mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics) via a CaptiveSpray ion source. Peptides were separated on a 25-cm reversed-phase C18 column (1.6  $\mu$ m bead size, 120 Å pore size, 75  $\mu$ m inner diameter; Ion Optics) with a flow rate of 0.3  $\mu$ l/min and a solvent gradient from 0 to 35% B in 60 min. Solvent B was 100%

### **\$JCB**

#### Table 6. Recombinant DNA

| Plasmid                                  | Source                                       | Identifier                               |
|--|--|--|
| pENTR-LYST                               | This paper                                   | NM_000081                                |
| pENTR-ALFY                               | This paper                                   | NM_014991                                |
| pENTR-WDFY4                              | This paper                                   | NM_020945                                |
| pENTR-LRBA                               | This paper                                   | NM_006726                                |
| pENTR223-NBEA                            | DF/HCC DNA Resource Core PlasmID Respository | PlasmID ID HsCD00399415, IMAGE:100069291 |
| pENTR-NBEAL1                             | This paper                                   | NM_001114132                             |
| pENTR-NBEAL2                             | This paper                                   | NM_015175                                |
| pENTR-NSMAF                              | This paper                                   | NM_003580                                |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-LYST              | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-tdNGFlag-LYST                 | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY              | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-WDFY4             | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA              | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA              | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1            | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2            | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NSMAF             | This paper                                   |  |
| pcDNA5-FRT-TO-WDR81-EGFP-3xFlag          | This paper                                   | NM_001163809                             |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-SUMOStar-LRBA     | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-SUMOStar-NBEAL2   | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2(1-1792)    | This paper                                   |  |
| pDestFlpIn-3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2(1792-2754) | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB1A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB2A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB3A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB4A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB5A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB6A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB7A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB8A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB9A               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB10               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB11A              | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB12               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB13               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB14               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB15               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB17               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB18               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB19               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB20               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB21               | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB22A              | This paper                                   |  |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB23               | This paper                                   |  |



#### Table 6. Recombinant DNA (Continued)

| Plasmid                     | Source        | Identifier      |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB24  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB25  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB26  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB27A | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB28  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB29  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB30  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB31  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB32  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB33B | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB34  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB35  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB36  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB37  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB38  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB39A | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB40A | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB41  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB42  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-RAB43  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-ARF1-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-ARF3-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-ARF4-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-ARF5-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-ARF6-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-SAR1A-mScarlet-I  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-mScarlet-I-COPE   | This paper    |                 |
| pSBbi-pur-mScarlet-I-SEC31A | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-AP1M1-mScarlet-I  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-AP2M1-mScarlet-I  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-AP3M1-mScarlet-I  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-AP4M1-mScarlet-I  | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-GGA1-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-GGA2-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-GGA3-mScarlet-I   | This paper    |                 |
| pLVX-SV40-CLINT1-mScarlet-I | This paper    |                 |
| pSBbi-pur-mScarlet-I-CLTC   | This paper    |                 |
| pSBbi-pur-mScarlet-I-LRBA   | This paper    |                 |
| pSBbi-pur-mScarlet-I-NBEA   | This paper    |                 |
| Str-li-CTLA4-SBP-EGFP       | This paper    |                 |
| Str-li-CTLA4-SBP-mScarlet-I | This paper    |                 |
| Str-li-CTLA4-SBP-mTagBFP2   | This paper    |                 |
| pGEX-5X3                    | GE Healthcare | Cat# 27-4586-01 |
| pDest15-CTLA4(187–223)      | This paper    |                 |



#### Table 6. Recombinant DNA (Continued)

| Plasmid                          | Source               | Identifier  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| pDest15-CTLA4(187–202)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(194–209)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(208–223)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)Y201A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)V202A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)K203A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)M204A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)P205A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)P206A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)T207A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)E208A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)P209A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)E210A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)C211A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)E212A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)K213A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)Q214A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-CTLA4(201–215)F215A      | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-EPHA7(579–998)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-EFNB2(251–333)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-ROBO2(883–1378)          | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-FGFR4(388–802)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-FLRT2(563–660)           | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-JAG1(1093–1218)          | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-TGFBR3(810–851)          | This paper           |             |
| pDest15-SDK1(2031–2213)          | This paper           |             |
| pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) V2.0 | Addgene              | Cat#62988   |
| pX459-ALFYg1                     | Søreng et al. (2022) |             |
| pX459-ALFYg2                     | Søreng et al. (2022) |             |
| pX459-LRBAg1                     | This paper           |             |
| pX459-LRBAg2                     | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAg1                     | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAg2                     | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAL1g1                   | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAL1g2                   | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAL2g1                   | This paper           |             |
| pX459-NBEAL2g2                   | This paper           |             |
| pCMV-VSV-G                       | Addgene              | Cat#8454    |
| psPAX2                           | Addgene              | Cat#12260   |
| pOG44                            | Invitrogen           | Cat#V600520 |
| SB100X                           | Addgene              | Cat# 127909 |
| pSBbi-pur                        | Addgene              | Cat# 60523  |
| GFP-CHC17KDP                     | Addgene              | Cat# 59799  |



#### Table 6. Recombinant DNA (Continued)

| Plasmid                 | Source                 | Identifier    |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Str-li_VSVG-SBP-mCherry | Addgene                | Cat# 65301    |
| pDest15                 | Invitrogen             | Cat# 11802014 |
| pEGFP-N1-hFGFR4         | Haugsten et al. (2014) |               |

Plasmids and stable cell lines generated in this study are available from the lead contact.

acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid and solvent A 0.1% formic acid in water. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (PASEF) mode. Mass spectra for MS and MS/MS scans were recorded between m/z 100 and 1,700. Ion mobility resolution was set to 0.60–1.60 Vs/cm over a ramp time of 100 ms. Data-dependent acquisition was performed using 10 PASEF MS/MS scans per cycle with a near 100% duty cycle. A polygon filter was applied in the m/z and ion mobility space to exclude low m/z, singly charged ions from PASEF precursor selection. An active exclusion time of 0.4 min was applied to precursors that reached 20,000 intensity units. Collisional energy was ramped stepwise as a function of ion mobility.

#### Protein identification and label-free quantitation

MS raw files were submitted to MaxQuant software version 2.0.1.0 for protein identification and were searched against the human SwissProt database (version 2020). Parameters were set as follows: carbamidomethylation as fixed modification; protein N-acetylation and methionine oxidation as variable modifications. First, the search error window of 20 ppm and the mains search error of 6 ppm. Trypsin without proline restriction enzyme option was used with two allowed miscleavages. Minimal unique peptides were set to 1 and the FDR allowed was 0.01 (1%) for peptide and protein identification. MaxQuant output files were loaded into the Perseus software. Identifications from potential contaminants and reversed sequences were removed and intensities were transformed to log<sub>2</sub>. Next, proteins identified in two out of three replicates were considered for further analysis. All zero-intensity values were replaced using noise values of the normal distribution of each sample. Protein abundances were compared using LFQ intensity values and a two-sample Student's T test (permutation-based FDR correction [250 randomizations], FDR cut-off: 0.05, S0: 0.1).

#### AlphaFold modeling

Protein sequences of reference isoforms of human LYST (Q99698), ALFY/WDFY3 (Q8IZQ1), WDFY4 (Q6ZS81), LRBA (P50851), NBEA (Q8NFP9), NBEAL1 (Q6ZS30), NBEAL2 (Q6ZNJ1), NSMAF (Q92636), and WDR81 (Q562E7) were used to model the structure of corresponding BDCPs using AlphaFold3 web server interface (https:// alphafoldserver.com/). Best-ranked models had predicted template modeling (pTM) scores between 0.67 (LYST) and 0.8 (NBEAL1 and NBEAL2) and are presented in Fig. 1 A.

#### Quantification and statistical analysis

All relevant statistical tests were carried out using Graphpad Prism software using statistical tests as described in the figure legends.

#### **Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows colocalization of LYST, NBEAL2, ALFY, NBEA, and LRBA with additional molecular markers of intracellular compartments. Fig. S2 demonstrates the dynamics of LRBA- or NBEApositive tubules and shows their colocalization with additional molecular markers. Fig. S3 characterizes the trafficking of CTLA4-RUSH protein vs RAB1A, RAB6A, AP1M1, and AP2M1 molecular markers. Fig. S4 characterizes the trafficking of CTLA4-RUSH protein vs RAB5A and RAB11A and validates the CRISPR KO of reference isoforms of BDCPs. Video 1 shows the dynamics of NBEAL1- and RAB6A- positive compartments. Video 2 shows the dynamics of ALFY- and RAB5A-positive compartments. Video 3 shows the dynamics of ALFY- and RAB6A-positive compartments. Video 4 shows the dynamics of NBEA-positive tubules that contain AP1M1-mScarlet in "beads on a string" manner and endocytosed transferrin. Video 5 shows the dynamics of NBEAL1-positive tips of CTLA4-containing secretory tubules. Video 6 shows the formation of NBEAL1 or LRBA positive secretory carriers.

#### Data availability

All data are presented in the paper and Online supplemental material and are available upon request.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank The Norwegian Core Facility for Human Pluripotent Stem Cells at the Norwegian Center for Stem Cell Research for mycoplasma testing of our cells, and the Advanced Light Microscope Facility at the University of Oslo for access to their microscopes. We thank Ellen Haugsten and Jørgen Wesche for providing pEGFP-N1-hFGFR4 plasmid and anti-FGFR4 antibody. We thank Karin M. Gilljam for providing cDNA from primary B-lymphocytes.

This work was supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centre of Excellence funding scheme (Projects: 262652, 221831, and 249753), the Norwegian Cancer Society (Project: 190251), and the UiO Scientia Fellow program through the Marie Skłodowska-Curie scheme COFUND (Co-funding of Regional, National and International Programmes). Mass spectrometry-based proteomic analyses were performed by the Proteomics Core Facility, Department of Immunology, University of Oslo/Oslo University Hospital, which is supported by the Core Facilities program of the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority. This core facility is also a member of the National Network of Advanced Proteomics Infrastructure (NAPI), which is funded by the Research Council of Norway INFRASTRUKTUR-program (project number: 295910).



Author contributions: S. Pankiv: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, A.K. Dahl: Investigation, Writing - review & editing, A. Aas: Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing, R.L. Andersen: Investigation, Resources, A. Brech: Investigation, Methodology, Resources, P. Holland: Investigation, S. Singh: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Visualization, Writing - original draft, C. Bindesbøll: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing, A. Simonsen: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Disclosures: The authors declare no competing interests exist.

Submitted: 22 August 2024 Revised: 20 September 2024 Accepted: 25 September 2024

#### References

- Adam-Klages, S., D. Adam, K. Wiegmann, S. Struve, W. Kolanus, J. Schneider-Mergener, and M. Krönke. 1996. FAN, a novel WD-repeat protein, couples the p55 TNF-receptor to neutral sphingomyelinase. *Cell*. 86: 937–947. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80169-5
- Arora, D., and D.V. Damme. 2021. Motif-based endomembrane trafficking. Plant Physiol. 186:221-238. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab077
- Arzt, M., J. Deschamps, C. Schmied, T. Pietzsch, D. Schmidt, P. Tomancak, R. Haase, and F. Jug. 2022. LABKIT: Labeling and segmentation toolkit for big image data. Front. Comput. Sci. 4:777728. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcomp.2022.777728
- Austin, C., I. Hinners, and S.A. Tooze. 2000. Direct and GTP-dependent interaction of ADP-ribosylation factor 1 with clathrin adaptor protein AP-1 on immature secretory granules. J. Biol. Chem. 275:21862–21869. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M908875199
- Bindesbøll, C., A. Aas, M.H. Ogmundsdottir, S. Pankiv, T. Reine, R. Zoncu, and A. Simonsen. 2020. NBEAL1 controls SREBP2 processing and cholesterol metabolism and is a susceptibility locus for coronary artery disease. Sci. Rep. 10:4528. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61352-0
- Boncompain, G., S. Divoux, N. Gareil, H. de Forges, A. Lescure, L. Latreche, V. Mercanti, F. Jollivet, G. Raposo, and F. Perez. 2012. Synchronization of secretory protein traffic in populations of cells. *Nat. Methods*. 9:493–498. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1928
- Bonifacino, J.S., and J.H. Hurley. 2008. Retromer. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 20: 427-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2008.03.009
- Bonnemaison, M.L., B.A. Eipper, and R.E. Mains. 2013. Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis and maturation. *Front. Endocrinol.* 4:101. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2013.00101
- Bottanelli, F., N. Kilian, A.M. Ernst, F. Rivera-Molina, L.K. Schroeder, E.B. Kromann, M.D. Lessard, R.S. Erdmann, A. Schepartz, D. Baddeley, et al. 2017. A novel physiological role for ARF1 in the formation of bidirectional tubules from the Golgi. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 28:1676–1687. https://doi .org/10.1091/mbc.e16-12-0863
- Brown, W.J., J. Goodhouse, and M.G. Farquhar. 1986. Mannose-6-phosphate receptors for lysosomal enzymes cycle between the Golgi complex and endosomes. J. Cell Biol. 103:1235–1247. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.103.4.1235
- Bultema, J.J., and S.M. Di Pietro. 2013. Cell type-specific Rab32 and Rab38 cooperate with the ubiquitous lysosome biogenesis machinery to synthesize specialized lysosome-related organelles. *Small GTPases*. 4:16–21. https://doi.org/10.4161/sgtp.22349
- Bultema, J.J., A.L. Ambrosio, C.L. Burek, and S.M. Di Pietro. 2012. BLOC-2, AP-3, and AP-1 proteins function in concert with Rab38 and Rab32 proteins to mediate protein trafficking to lysosome-related organelles. J. Biol. Chem. 287:19550–19563. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.351908
- Burgess, A., J.-P. Mornon, G. de Saint-Basile, and I. Callebaut. 2009. A concanavalin A-like lectin domain in the CHS1/LYST protein, shared by members of the BEACH family. *Bioinformatics*. 25:1219–1222. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp151

- Burgess, J., M. Jauregui, J. Tan, J. Rollins, S. Lallet, P.A. Leventis, G.L. Boulianne, H.C. Chang, R. Le Borgne, H. Krämer, and J.A. Brill. 2011. AP-1 and clathrin are essential for secretory granule biogenesis in Drosophila. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 22:2094–2105. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.el1 -01-0054
- Carpier, J.-M., A.E. Zucchetti, L. Bataille, S. Dogniaux, M. Shafaq-Zadah, S. Bardin, M. Lucchino, M. Maurin, L.D. Joannas, J.G. Magalhaes, et al. 2018. Rab6-dependent retrograde traffic of LAT controls immune synapse formation and T cell activation. J. Exp. Med. 215:1245–1265. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20162042
- Castermans, D., K. Volders, A. Crepel, L. Backx, R. De Vos, K. Freson, S. Meulemans, J.R. Vermeesch, C.T. Schrander-Stumpel, P. De Rijk, et al. 2010. SCAMP5, NBEA and AMISYN: Three candidate genes for autism involved in secretion of large dense-core vesicles. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 19: 1368–1378. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddq013
- Chen, W., Y. Feng, D. Chen, and A. Wandinger-Ness. 1998. Rab11 is required for trans-golgi network-to-plasma membrane transport and a preferential target for GDP dissociation inhibitor. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 9:3241–3257. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.9.11.3241
- Chen, K.E., M.D. Healy, and B.M. Collins. 2019. Towards a molecular understanding of endosomal trafficking by Retromer and Retriever. *Traffic*. 20:465–478. https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12649
- Cullinane, A.R., A.A. Schäffer, and M. Huizing. 2013. The BEACH is hot: A LYST of emerging roles for BEACH-domain containing proteins in human disease. *Traffic*. 14:749–766. https://doi.org/10.1111/tra .12069
- del Pino, I., I. Paarmann, M. Karas, M.W. Kilimann, and H. Betz. 2011. The trafficking proteins Vacuolar Protein Sorting 35 and Neurobeachin interact with the glycine receptor β-subunit. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 412:435–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc .2011.07.110
- Delage, L., F. Carbone, Q. Riller, J.-L. Zachayus, E. Kerbellec, A. Buzy, M.-C. Stolzenberg, M. Luka, C. de Cevins, G. Kalouche, et al. 2023. NBEAL2 deficiency in humans leads to low CTLA-4 expression in activated conventional T cells. Nat. Commun. 14:3728. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-023-39295-7
- Delevoye, C., M.S. Marks, and G. Raposo. 2019. Lysosome-related organelles as functional adaptations of the endolysosomal system. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 59:147–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2019.05.003
- Dell'Angelica, E.C., and J.S. Bonifacino. 2019. Coatopathies: Genetic disorders of protein coats. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 35:131–168. https://doi.org/10 .1146/annurev-cellbio-100818-125234
- Dennis, C., P. Pouchin, G. Richard, and V. Mirouse. 2023. Basement membrane diversification relies on two competitive secretory routes defined by Rab10 and Rab8 and modulated by dystrophin and the exocyst complex. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.22.533752 (Preprint posted March 22, 2023).
- Devos, D., S. Dokudovskaya, F. Alber, R. Williams, B.T. Chait, A. Sali, and M.P. Rout. 2004. Components of coated vesicles and nuclear pore complexes share a common molecular architecture. *PLoS Biol.* 2:e380. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020380
- Dittié, A.S., N. Hajibagheri, and S.A. Tooze. 1996. The AP-1 adaptor complex binds to immature secretory granules from PC12 cells, and is regulated by ADP-ribosylation factor. J. Cell Biol. 132:523–536. https://doi.org/10 .1083/jcb.132.4.523
- Dobson, L., I. Reményi, and G.E. Tusnády. 2015. The human transmembrane proteome. *Biol. Direct.* 10:31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13062-015-0061-x
- Dragich, J.M., T. Kuwajima, M. Hirose-Ikeda, M.S. Yoon, E. Eenjes, J.R. Bosco, L.M. Fox, A.H. Lystad, T.F. Oo, O. Yarygina, et al. 2016. Autophagy linked FYVE (Alfy/WDFY3) is required for establishing neuronal connectivity in the mammalian brain. *Elife*. 5:e14810. https://doi.org/10 .7554/eLife.14810
- Ehrlich, M., W. Boll, A. Van Oijen, R. Hariharan, K. Chandran, M.L. Nibert, and T. Kirchhausen. 2004. Endocytosis by random initiation and stabilization of clathrin-coated pits. *Cell*. 118:591–605. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cell.2004.08.017
- Fagerberg, L., K. Jonasson, G. von Heijne, M. Uhlén, and L. Berglund. 2010. Prediction of the human membrane proteome. *Proteomics*. 10:1141–1149. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200900258
- Fourriere, L., A. Kasri, N. Gareil, S. Bardin, H. Bousquet, D. Pereira, F. Perez, B. Goud, G. Boncompain, and S. Miserey-Lenkei. 2019. RAB6 and microtubules restrict protein secretion to focal adhesions. J. Cell Biol. 218: 2215–2231. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201805002



- Fox, L.M., K. Kim, C.W. Johnson, S. Chen, K.R. Croce, M.B. Victor, E. Eenjes, J.R. Bosco, L.K. Randolph, I. Dragatsis, et al. 2020. Huntington's disease pathogenesis is modified in vivo by Alfy/Wdfy3 and selective macroautophagy. *Neuron*. 105:813–821.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019 .12.003
- Ghosh, P., N.M. Dahms, and S. Kornfeld. 2003. Mannose 6-phosphate receptors: New twists in the tale. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 4:202–212. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1050
- Green, E.G., E. Ramm, N.M. Riley, D.J. Spiro, J.R. Goldenring, and M. Wessling-Resnick. 1997. Rab11 is associated with transferrin-containing recycling compartments in K562 cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 239:612–616. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1997.7520
- Griffiths, G., and K. Simons. 1986. The trans Golgi network: Sorting at the exit site of the Golgi complex. Science. 234:438–443. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.2945253
- Grigoriev, I., D. Splinter, N. Keijzer, P.S. Wulf, J. Demmers, T. Ohtsuka, M. Modesti, I.V. Maly, F. Grosveld, C.C. Hoogenraad, and A. Akhmanova. 2007. Rab6 regulates transport and targeting of exocytotic carriers. *Dev. Cell*. 13:305–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2007.06.010
- Gromova, K.V., M. Muhia, N. Rothammer, C.E. Gee, E. Thies, I. Schaefer, S. Kress, M.W. Kilimann, O. Shevchuk, T.G. Oertner, and M. Kneussel. 2018. Neurobeachin and the kinesin KIF21B are critical for endocytic recycling of NMDA receptors and regulate social behavior. *Cell Rep.* 23: 2705–2717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04.112
- Guo, Y., D.W. Sirkis, and R. Schekman. 2014. Protein sorting at the trans-Golgi network. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 30:169–206. https://doi.org/10 .1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013012
- Haugsten, E.M., A. Brech, K. Liestøl, J.C. Norman, and J. Wesche. 2014. Photoactivation approaches reveal a role for Rab11 in FGFR4 recycling and signalling. Traffic. 15:665–683. https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12168
- Hirschberg, K., C.M. Miller, J. Ellenberg, J.F. Presley, E.D. Siggia, R.D. Phair, and J. Lippincott-Schwartz. 1998. Kinetic analysis of secretory protein traffic and characterization of golgi to plasma membrane transport intermediates in living cells. J. Cell Biol. 143:1485–1503. https://doi.org/ 10.1083/jcb.143.6.1485
- Holland, P., M.L. Torgersen, K. Sandvig, and A. Simonsen. 2014. LYST affects lysosome size and quantity, but not trafficking or degradation through autophagy or endocytosis. *Traffic*. 15:1390–1405. https://doi.org/10.1111/ tra.12227
- Hooy, R.M., Y. Iwamoto, D.A. Tudorica, X. Ren, and J.H. Hurley. 2022. Selfassembly and structure of a clathrin-independent AP-1:Arf1 tubular membrane coat. Sci. Adv. 8:eadd3914. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add3914
- Huber, M.E., R. Kurapova, C.M. Heisler, E. Karamooz, F.G. Tafesse, and M.J. Harriff. 2020. Rab6 regulates recycling and retrograde trafficking of MR1 molecules. *Sci. Rep.* 10:20778. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77563-4
- Introne, W., R.E. Boissy, and W.A. Gahl. 1999. Clinical, molecular, and cell biological aspects of Chediak-Higashi syndrome. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 68: 283–303. https://doi.org/10.1006/mgme.1999.2927
- Jogl, G., Y. Shen, D. Gebauer, J. Li, K. Wiegmann, H. Kashkar, M. Krönke, and L. Tong. 2002. Crystal structure of the BEACH domain reveals an unusual fold and extensive association with a novel PH domain. *EMBO J.* 21:4785–4795. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf502
- Jumper, J., R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Žídek, A. Potapenko, et al. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*. 596: 583–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2
- Kahr, W.H., J. Hinckley, L. Li, H. Schwertz, H. Christensen, J.W. Rowley, F.G. Pluthero, D. Urban, S. Fabbro, B. Nixon, et al. 2011. Mutations in NBEAL2, encoding a BEACH protein, cause gray platelet syndrome. Nat. Genet. 43:738–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.884
- Karczewski, K.J., L.C. Francioli, G. Tiao, B.B. Cummings, J. Alföldi, Q. Wang, R.L. Collins, K.M. Laricchia, A. Ganna, D.P. Birnbaum, et al. 2020. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 581:434–443. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7
- Kelly, E.E., C.P. Horgan, and M.W. McCaffrey. 2012. Rab11 proteins in health and disease. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 40:1360–1367. https://doi.org/10.1042/ BST20120157
- Khvotchev, M.V., M. Ren, S. Takamori, R. Jahn, and T.C. Südhof. 2003. Divergent functions of neuronal Rab11b in Ca2+-regulated versus constitutive exocytosis. J. Neurosci. 23:10531–10539. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.23-33-10531.2003
- Kim, K., and S.K.G. Gadila. 2016. Cargo trafficking from the trans-Golgi network towards the endosome. *Biol. Cell.* 108:205–218. https://doi .org/10.1111/boc.201600001

- Ladinsky, M.S., J.R. Kremer, P.S. Furcinitti, J.R. McIntosh, and K.E. Howell. 1994. HVEM tomography of the trans-Golgi network: Structural insights and identification of a lace-like vesicle coat. J. Cell Biol. 127:29–38. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.127.1.29
- Ladinsky, M.S., D.N. Mastronarde, J.R. McIntosh, K.E. Howell, and L.A. Staehelin. 1999. Golgi structure in three dimensions: Functional insights from the normal rat kidney cell. J. Cell Biol. 144:1135–1149. https://doi .org/10.1083/jcb.144.6.1135
- Le Duc, D., C. Giulivi, S.M. Hiatt, E. Napoli, A. Panoutsopoulos, A. Harlan De Crescenzo, U. Kotzaeridou, S. Syrbe, E. Anagnostou, M. Azage, et al. 2019. Pathogenic WDFY3 variants cause neurodevelopmental disorders and opposing effects on brain size. *Brain*. 142:2617–2630. https://doi .org/10.1093/brain/awz198
- Lee, D.W., X. Wu, E. Eisenberg, and L.E. Greene. 2006. Recruitment dynamics of GAK and auxilin to clathrin-coated pits during endocytosis. J. Cell Sci. 119:3502–3512. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.03092
- Lippincott-Schwartz, J., L. Yuan, C. Tipper, M. Amherdt, L. Orci, and R.D. Klausner. 1991. Brefeldin A's effects on endosomes, lysosomes, and the TGN suggest a general mechanism for regulating organelle structure and membrane traffic. *Cell*. 67:601-616. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092 -8674(91)90534-6
- Liu, K., Y. Jian, X. Sun, C. Yang, Z. Gao, Z. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Li, J. Xu, Y. Jing, et al. 2016. Negative regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate levels in early-to-late endosome conversion. J. Cell Biol. 212:181–198. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201506081
- Lo, B., K. Zhang, W. Lu, L. Zheng, Q. Zhang, C. Kanellopoulou, Y. Zhang, Z. Liu, J.M. Fritz, R. Marsh, et al. 2015. AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE. Patients with LRBA deficiency show CTLA4 loss and immune dysregulation responsive to abatacept therapy. *Science*. 349:436–440. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aaa1663
- Lo, R.W., L. Li, R. Leung, F.G. Pluthero, and W.H.A. Kahr. 2018. NBEAL2 (Neurobeachin-Like 2) is required for retention of cargo proteins by α-granules during their production by megakaryocytes. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 38:2435–2447. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118 .311270
- Lock, J.G., and J.L. Stow. 2005. Rab11 in recycling endosomes regulates the sorting and basolateral transport of E-cadherin. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 16: 1744-1755. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e04-10-0867
- Lopez-Herrera, G., G. Tampella, Q. Pan-Hammarström, P. Herholz, C.M. Trujillo-Vargas, K. Phadwal, A.K. Simon, M. Moutschen, A. Etzioni, A. Mory, et al. 2012. Deleterious mutations in LRBA are associated with a syndrome of immune deficiency and autoimmunity. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 90:986–1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2012.04.015
- Lui-Roberts, W.W., L.M. Collinson, L.J. Hewlett, G. Michaux, and D.F. Cutler. 2005. An AP-1/clathrin coat plays a novel and essential role in forming the Weibel-Palade bodies of endothelial cells. J. Cell Biol. 170:627–636. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200503054
- Ma, C.J., and J.A. Brill. 2021. Endosomal Rab GTPases regulate secretory granule maturation in Drosophila larval salivary glands. Commun. Integr. Biol. 14:15–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/19420889.2021.1874663
- Mallard, F., B.L. Tang, T. Galli, D. Tenza, A. Saint-Pol, X. Yue, C. Antony, W. Hong, B. Goud, and L. Johannes. 2002. Early/recycling endosomes-to-TGN transport involves two SNARE complexes and a Rab6 isoform. *J. Cell Biol.* 156:653–664. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200110081
- Massol, R.H., W. Boll, A.M. Griffin, and T. Kirchhausen. 2006. A burst of auxilin recruitment determines the onset of clathrin-coated vesicle uncoating. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 103:10265–10270. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0603369103
- McNally, K.E., R. Faulkner, F. Steinberg, M. Gallon, R. Ghai, D. Pim, P. Langton, N. Pearson, C.M. Danson, H. Nägele, et al. 2017. Retriever is a multiprotein complex for retromer-independent endosomal cargo recycling. Nat. Cell Biol. 19:1214–1225. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncb3610
- Medrihan, L., A. Rohlmann, R. Fairless, J. Andrae, M. Döring, M. Missler, W. Zhang, and M.W. Kilimann. 2009. Neurobeachin, a protein implicated in membrane protein traffic and autism, is required for the formation and functioning of central synapses. J. Physiol. 587:5095–5106. https:// doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2009.178236
- Miserey-Lenkei, S., G. Chalancon, S. Bardin, E. Formstecher, B. Goud, and A. Echard. 2010. Rab and actomyosin-dependent fission of transport vesicles at the Golgi complex. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 12:645–654. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2067
- Mulhern, M.S., C. Stumpel, N. Stong, H.G. Brunner, L. Bier, N. Lippa, J. Riviello, R.P.W. Rouhl, M. Kempers, R. Pfundt, et al. 2018. NBEA:



Developmental disease gene with early generalized epilepsy phenotypes. Ann. Neurol. 84:788-795. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25350

- Nagle, D.L., M.A. Karim, E.A. Woolf, L. Holmgren, P. Bork, D.J. Misumi, S.H. McGrail, B.J. Dussault Jr., C.M. Perou, R.E. Boissy, et al. 1996. Identification and mutation analysis of the complete gene for Chediak-Higashi syndrome. Nat. Genet. 14:307-311. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1196-307
- Nair, R., J. Lauks, S. Jung, N.E. Cooke, H. de Wit, N. Brose, M.W. Kilimann, M. Verhage, and J. Rhee. 2013. Neurobeachin regulates neurotransmitter receptor trafficking to synapses. J. Cell Biol. 200:61–80. https://doi.org/ 10.1083/jcb.201207113
- Nakano, A. 2022. The Golgi apparatus and its next-door neighbors. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:884360. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.884360
- Paczkowski, J.E., B.C. Richardson, and J.C. Fromme. 2015. Cargo adaptors: Structures illuminate mechanisms regulating vesicle biogenesis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 25:408–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.02.005
- Pang, L., Z. Ma, X. Zhang, Y. Huang, R. Li, Y. Miao, and R. Li. 2022. The small GTPase RABA2a recruits SNARE proteins to regulate the secretory pathway in parallel with the exocyst complex in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant*. 15:398–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.11.008
- Peden, A.A., V. Oorschot, B.A. Hesser, C.D. Austin, R.H. Scheller, and J. Klumperman. 2004. Localization of the AP-3 adaptor complex defines a novel endosomal exit site for lysosomal membrane proteins. J. Cell Biol. 164:1065–1076. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200311064
- Pfeffer, S.R., and J.E. Rothman. 1987. Biosynthetic protein transport and sorting by the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 56: 829–852. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.56.070187.004145
- Polishchuk, R.S., E. San Pietro, A. Di Pentima, S. Teté, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2006. Ultrastructure of long-range transport carriers moving from the trans Golgi network to peripheral endosomes. *Traffic.* 7:1092–1103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00453.x
- Rangaraj, N., V. Vaibhava, C. Sudhakar, S.C. Moharir, and G. Swarup. 2023. Transferrin-induced signaling through transferrin receptor and AKT kinase mediates formation of Rab8-and MICAL-L1-positive tubules involved in receptor recycling. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02 .06.527405 (Preprint posted February 06, 2023).
- Raub, T.J., M.J. Koroly, and R.M. Roberts. 1990. Endocytosis of wheat germ agglutinin binding sites from the cell surface into a tubular endosomal network. J. Cell. Physiol. 143:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1041430102
- Reichen, C., S. Hansen, and A. Plückthun. 2014. Modular peptide binding: From a comparison of natural binders to designed armadillo repeat proteins. J. Struct. Biol. 185:147-162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2013.07.012
- Ren, X., G.G. Farías, B.J. Canagarajah, J.S. Bonifacino, and J.H. Hurley. 2013. Structural basis for recruitment and activation of the AP-1 clathrin adaptor complex by Arfl. Cell. 152:755–767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell .2012.12.042
- Rout, M.P., and M.C. Field. 2017. The evolution of organellar coat complexes and organization of the eukaryotic cell. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 86:637–657. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044643
- Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, et al. 2012. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods.* 9: 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Schneider, H., M. Martin, F.A. Agarraberes, L. Yin, I. Rapoport, T. Kirchhausen, and C.E. Rudd. 1999. Cytolytic T lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 and the TCR ζ/CD3 complex, but not CD28, interact with clathrin adaptor complexes AP-1 and AP-2. J. Immunol. 163:1868–1879. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.163.4.1868
- Scholze, H., and J. Boch. 2011. TAL effectors are remote controls for gene activation. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 14:47–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib .2010.12.001
- Serra-Vinardell, J., M.B. Sandler, R. De Pace, J. Manzella-Lapeira, A. Cougnoux, K. Keyvanfar, W.J. Introne, J.A. Brzostowski, M.E. Ward, W.A. Gahl, et al. 2023. LYST deficiency impairs autophagic lysosome reformation in neurons and alters lysosome number and size. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 80:53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-023-04695-x
- Sheff, D., L. Pelletier, C.B. O'Connell, G. Warren, and I. Mellman. 2002. Transferrin receptor recycling in the absence of perinuclear recycling endosomes. J. Cell Biol. 156:797–804. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.20111048
- Simonsen, A., H.C. Birkeland, D.J. Gillooly, N. Mizushima, A. Kuma, T. Yoshimori, T. Slagsvold, A. Brech, and H. Stenmark. 2004. Alfy, a novel FYVE-domain-containing protein associated with protein granules and autophagic membranes. J. Cell Sci. 117:4239–4251. https://doi.org/10 .1242/jcs.01287
- Solinger, J.A., and A. Spang. 2022. Sorting of cargo in the tubular endosomal network. BioEssays. 44:e2200158. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202200158

Sönnichsen, B., S. De Renzis, E. Nielsen, J. Rietdorf, and M. Zerial. 2000. Distinct membrane domains on endosomes in the recycling pathway visualized by multicolor imaging of Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. J. Cell Biol. 149:901–914. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.149.4.901

Søreng, K., S. Pankiv, C. Bergsmark, E.M. Haugsten, A.K. Dahl, L.R. de la Ballina, A. Yamamoto, A.H. Lystad, and A. Simonsen. 2022. ALFY localizes to early endosomes and cellular protrusions to facilitate directional cell migration. J. Cell Sci. 135:jcs259138. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs .259138

Stalder, D., and D.C. Gershlick. 2020. Direct trafficking pathways from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 107. 112-125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.04.001

Stenmark, H. 2009. Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10:513–525. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2728

- Stockhammer, A., P. Adarska, V. Natalia, A. Heuhsen, A. Klemt, S. Harel, C. Rodilla-Ramirez, C. Spalt, E. Özsoy, P. Leupold, et al. 2023. Multifunctional ARFI compartments serve as a hub for short-range cargo transfer to endosomes. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.27 .564143 (Preprint posted October 27, 2023).
- Stoorvogel, W., V. Oorschot, and H.J. Geuze. 1996. A novel class of clathrincoated vesicles budding from endosomes. J. Cell Biol. 132:21–33. https:// doi.org/10.1083/jcb.132.1.21
- Suda, Y., K. Kurokawa, R. Hirata, and A. Nakano. 2013. Rab GAP cascade regulates dynamics of Ypt6 in the Golgi traffic. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 110:18976–18981. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308627110
- Sztul, E., P.-W. Chen, J.E. Casanova, J. Cherfils, J.B. Dacks, D.G. Lambright, F.S. Lee, P.A. Randazzo, L.C. Santy, A. Schürmann, et al. 2019. ARF GTPases and their GEFs and GAPs: Concepts and challenges. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 30: 1249–1271. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E18-12-0820
- Theisen, D.J., J.T. Davidson IV, C.G. Briseño, M. Gargaro, E.J. Lauron, Q. Wang, P. Desai, V. Durai, P. Bagadia, J.R. Brickner, et al. 2018. WDFY4 is required for cross-presentation in response to viral and tumor antigens. *Science*. 362:694–699. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5030
- Thomas, L.L., C.M. Highland, and J.C. Fromme. 2021. Arfl orchestrates Rab GTPase conversion at the trans-Golgi network. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 32: 1104–1120. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E20-10-0664
- Tighe, A., O. Staples, and S. Taylor. 2008. Mps1 kinase activity restrains anaphase during an unperturbed mitosis and targets Mad2 to kinetochores. J. Cell Biol. 181:893-901. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200712028
- Tooze, S.A. 1991. Biogenesis of secretory granules. Implications arising from the immature secretory granule in the regulated pathway of secretion. FEBS Lett. 285:220-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014 -5793(91)80805-D
- Tooze, S.A. 1998. Biogenesis of secretory granules in the trans-Golgi network of neuroendocrine and endocrine cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1404: 231–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4889(98)00059-7
- Tooze, J., and S.A. Tooze. 1986. Clathrin-coated vesicular transport of secretory proteins during the formation of ACTH-containing secretory granules in AtT20 cells. J. Cell Biol. 103:839-850. https://doi.org/10 .1083/jcb.103.3.839
- Ullrich, O., S. Reinsch, S. Urbé, M. Zerial, and R.G. Parton. 1996. Rab11 regulates recycling through the pericentriolar recycling endosome. J. Cell Biol. 135:913–924. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.135.4.913
- Urbé, S., L.A. Huber, M. Zerial, S.A. Tooze, and R.G. Parton. 1993. Rab11, a small GTPase associated with both constitutive and regulated secretory pathways in PC12 cells. FEBS Lett. 334:175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0014-5793(93)81707-7
- van der Sluijs, P., M. Hull, P. Webster, P. Mâle, B. Goud, and I. Mellman. 1992. The small GTP-binding protein rab4 controls an early sorting event on the endocytic pathway. *Cell*. 70:729–740. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092 -8674(92)90307-X
- Venugopal, K., S. Chehade, E. Werkmeister, N. Barois, J. Periz, F. Lafont, I. Tardieux, J. Khalife, G. Langsley, M. Meissner, and S. Marion. 2020. Rabl1A regulates dense granule transport and secretion during Toxoplasma gondii invasion of host cells and parasite replication. *PLoS Pathog.* 16:e1008106. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1008106
- Wasmeier, C., M. Romao, L. Plowright, D.C. Bennett, G. Raposo, and M.C. Seabra. 2006. Rab38 and Rab32 control post-Golgi trafficking of melanogenic enzymes. J. Cell Biol. 175:271–281. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200606050
- Wells, A., C.C. Mendes, F. Castellanos, P. Mountain, T. Wright, S.M. Wainwright, M.I. Stefana, A.L. Harris, D.C. Goberdhan, and C. Wilson. 2023. A Rab6 to Rab11 transition is required for dense-core granule and exosome biogenesis in Drosophila secondary cells. *bioRxiv*. https://doi .org/10.1101/2023.04.04.535541 (Preprint posted April 04, 2023).



- White, J.G. 1966. The chediak-higashi syndrome: A possible lysosomal disease. Blood. 28:143–156. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V28.2.143.143
- Wong-Dilworth, L., C. Rodilla-Ramirez, E. Fox, S.D. Restel, A. Stockhammer, P. Adarska, and F. Bottanelli. 2023. STED imaging of endogenously tagged ARF GTPases reveals their distinct nanoscale localizations. J. Cell Biol. 222:e202205107. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202205107
- Wood, S.A., J.E. Park, and W.J. Brown. 1991. Brefeldin A causes a microtubulemediated fusion of the trans-Golgi network and early endosomes. *Cell*. 67:591-600. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90533-5
- Yu, X., M. Breitman, and J. Goldberg. 2012. A structure-based mechanism for Arf1-dependent recruitment of coatomer to membranes. *Cell*. 148: 530–542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.015



Supplemental material



Figure S1. Mapping the cellular localization of BDCPs. (A) HeLa cells stably transfected with LYST tagged with a tandem dimer NeonGreen were treated for 30 min with 20 nM of Lysotracker Deep Red and imaged live (upper panel) or fixed and stained with an anti-EA1 antibody (lower panel). Scale bar 10 μm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged). (B) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL2 and mScarlet-I-RAB25 were imaged live. Scale bar 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged). (C) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY and mScarlet-I-RAB8A were imaged live. Scale bar 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged). (D) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live. ALFY speckle co-migrates with the tip of RAB6A-positive tubule (arrows). Scale bar 10 µm (main figure) or 1 µm (inset magnifications). (E) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet tagged RAB4A, RAB6A, RAB11A, or RAB14 were imaged live. NBEA partially colocalizes with the tubular segments of RAB4A-, RAB11A,- or RAB14- positive endosomes and RAB6A-positive Golgi-connected tubules (arrows). Scale bar 10 μm (left merged) or 1 μm (right merged). (F) HeLa cells stably transfected with the indicated small GTPases were fixed and stained with an anti-LRBA antibody. Endogenous LRBA colocalizes with mScarlet-RAB6A, mScarlet-RAB11A and ARF1-mScarlet on cytosolic tubules (arrows). Scale bar 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged). (G) HeLa cells fixed 3 min after the addition of 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin to the culture media and stained with an anti-LRBA antibody. Scale bar 10 µm (upper merged) or 1 µm (middle and lower merged. (H) Examples of mScarlet-RAB6A-positive Golgi and Golgi-connected tubules that colocalize with endogenous LRBA as in F upper panel. Scale bars 1 µm. (I) Quantification of the mean signal intensity ratio of LRBA or RAB6A signals on LRBA/RAB6A positive tubule vs. nearby LRBA/RAB6A positive Golgi stack. The mean signal intensity of green (endogenous LRBA) or magenta (mScarlet-RAB6A) channels within a 4 × 4 pixels rectangular box over the tubule or Golgi stack was used for calculations. n = 20. () HeLa cells stably transfected with AP1M1-mScarlet stained with an antibody against endogenous LRBA. Scale bar 10 µm (upper merged) or 1 µm (lower merged). (K) HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and AP4M1-mScarlet or GGA2-mScarlet imaged live. Scale bars 10 µm (left merged) or 1 µm (right merged).

#### Pankiv et al.

**\$JCB** 



Figure S2. **Characterization of LRBA- and NBEA- positive compartments. (A)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA were fixed and stained with an anti-clathrin antibody. Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(B)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and AP1M1-mScarlet were imaged live with 1 s intervals. NBEA- and AP1M1-positive tubules undergo rapid fission at or close to AP1M1-positive speckles (arrow). Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. **(C)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA and AP1M1-mScarlet. LRBA and AP1M1 into distinct subdomains along the length of the growing tubule (arrows). Scale bars 1  $\mu$ m. **(D)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live with 1 min intervals starting 30 s after supplementation of growth media with 10  $\mu$ g/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin. NBEA-decorated tubules, positive for RAB6A (arrow) do not accumulate transferrin (arrowhead). Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (main figures) or 1  $\mu$ m (zoomed in inserts). **(E)** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA were fixed and stained with anti-Giantin (upper panel) or anti-GF130 (lower panel) antibodies. Endogenous markers of media-Golgi (giantin) and cis-Golgi (GM130) localize in close proximity to perinuclear 3xFlag-EGFP-LRBA positive structures but do not colocalize with LRBA-positive tubules. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged).





Figure S3. **Characterization of intracellular trafficking of CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH protein. (A)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with mScarlet-RAB1A and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M biotin. Arrows and open arrowheads point to colocalization or lack of colocalization of CTLA4 with RAB1A, respectively. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(B)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with mScarlet-RAB6A and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M of biotin. Arrows and open arrowheads point to colocalization or lack of colocalization of CTLA4 with RAB6A, respectively. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(C)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with AP1M1-mScarlet and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M of biotin. CTLA4-RUSH is excluded from AP1M1-positive transport carriers forming along the secretory tubule (open arrowhead). Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged). **(C)** Live cells are bars 10  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(D)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected time points after the addition of CTLA4 and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of CTLA4 and AP2M1. The cell edge (solid arrowhead) is labeled with CTLA4-RUSH starting from 15 min after initiation of CTLA4 trafficking. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged).

#### Pankiv et al.





Figure S4. **Characterization of intracellular trafficking of CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH protein and confirmation of BDCPs knockouts. (A)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with mScarlet-RAB5A and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M biotin. Arrows and open arrowheads point to colocalization or lack of colocalization of CTLA4 with RAB5A, respectively. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(B)** Live cell imaging of HeLa cells stably transfected with mScarlet-RAB11A and transiently transfected with CTLA4-EGFP-RUSH at the indicated time points after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M biotin. Arrows and open arrowheads point to colocalization or lack of colocalization or lack of colocalization or lack of colocalization or lack of colocalization or CTLA4 with RAB11A, respectively. The box labeled with an asterisk (16 min, insert\*) is zoomed in in the lower subpanel to demonstrate colocalization of a fraction of CTLA4 with RAB11A at the 16 min time point. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m (left merged) or 1  $\mu$ m (right merged). **(C)** Western blot of clones of HeLa cells with knockout (5KO) of the reference isoforms of ALFY, LRBA, NBEA1, and NBEAL2. Clones 8 and 12 were renamed as 5KO1 and 5KO2 and used for surface biotinylation experiments. **(D)** Genotyping of HeLa cells with knockout of the reference isoform of NBEAL1. Solid line marks the insertion of a single nucleotide in allele 1, while the dotted line highlights remaining homologous sequence after the deletion of 28 nucleotides in NBEAL1 allele 2. Source data are available for this figure: SourceData FS4.

#### **Pankiv et al.** BEACH domain proteins as new transmembrane cargo sorting adaptors



Video 1. NBEAL1 (green) and RAB6A (magenta) colocalize on Golgi-to-PM anterograde (arrows left cell) and PM-to-Golgi retrograde (arrows right cell) carriers. HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live. Scale bar 10 μm. Playback speed 7x.

Video 2. **ALFY (green) and RAB5A (magenta) partially colocalize on cytosolic vesicles.** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY and mScarlet-RAB5A were imaged live. Scale bar 1 µm. Playback speed 21x.

Video 3. ALFY (green) and RAB6A (magenta) partially colocalize in cell protrusions on highly dynamic tubulo-vesicular structures. HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-ALFY and mScarlet-RAB6A were imaged live. Scale bar 1 µm. Playback speed 21x.

Video 4. **NBEA- and AP1M1-positive structures can accumulate endocytosed transferrin.** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEA and AP1M1-mScarlet were imaged live 20 min after addition of 10 µg/ml of AlexaFluor647-Transferrin to the media. Scale bar 10 µm. Playback speed 17x.

Video 5. **NBEAL1 decorates the tip of Golgi-connected secretory tubules positive for CTLA4-RUSH-mCherry (arrows).** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH-mCherry were imaged 12 min after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M of biotin. Scale bars 10  $\mu$ m. Playback speed 18x.

Video 6. **NBEAL1 and LRBA segregate into different subdomains of Golgi-connected secretory tubules (arrows).** HeLa cells stably transfected with 3xFlag-EGFP-NBEAL1 and mScarlet-LRBA and transiently transfected with CTLA4-RUSH-mTagBFP2 were imaged live 12 min after the addition of 50  $\mu$ M of biotin. mTagBFP2 signal was verified by visual observation, but not imaged, due to the toxicity of the blue-channel imaging setup. Scale bar 10  $\mu$ m. Playback speed 25x.