

# **CaY@C2***n***: Exploring Molecular Qubits with Ca**−**Y Metal**−**Metal Bonds**

[Jiawei](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jiawei+Qiu"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Qiu,<sup>[#](#page-7-0)</sup> Laura [Abella,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Laura+Abella"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)<sup>#</sup> [Xiya](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Xiya+Du"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Du,<sup>#</sup> [Zhengkai](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Zhengkai+Cao"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Cao, [Zhiwen](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Zhiwen+He"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) He, [Qingyu](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Qingyu+Meng"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Meng, [Yingjing](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yingjing+Yan"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Yan, Josep M. [Poblet,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Josep+M.+Poblet"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Lei [Sun,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Lei+Sun"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-7-0) Antonio Rodrí[guez-Fortea,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Antonio+Rodri%CC%81guez-Fortea"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-7-0) and Ning [Chen](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ning+Chen"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-7-0)

**Cite This:** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2024, 146, [24310−24319](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/jacs.4c04720&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?ref=pdf)**



# ACCESS [Metrics](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?goto=articleMetrics&ref=pdf) & More Article [Recommendations](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?goto=recommendations&?ref=pdf) \***sı** Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?goto=supporting-info&ref=pdf) ABSTRACT: Metal−metal bonding is crucial in chemistry for advancing our understanding of the fundamental aspects of chemical

bonds. Metal−metal bonds based on alkaline-earth (Ae) elements, especially the heavier Ae elements (Ca, Sr, and Ba), are rarely reported due to their high electropositivity. Herein, we report two heteronuclear di-EMFs  $CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>$  and  $CaY@C<sub>2v</sub>(5)-C<sub>80</sub>$ , which contain unprecedented single-electron Ca−Y metal−metal bonds. These compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and DFT calculations. The crystallographic study of  $CaY_0 \mathcal{O}_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> shows that Ca and Y are successfully encapsulated into the carbon cage with a Ca− Y distance of 3.691 Å. The CW-EPR study of both  $\text{CaY}(\mathcal{O}C_{\text{s}}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> and



 $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> exhibits a doublet, suggesting the presence of an unpaired electron located between Ca and Y. The combined experimental and theoretical results confirm the presence of a Ca−Y single-electron metal−metal bond with substantial covalent interaction, attributed to significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and the 5s5p4d orbitals of Y. Furthermore, pulse EPR spectroscopy was used to investigate the quantum coherence of the electron spin within this bond. The unpaired electron, characterized by its s orbital nature, is effectively protected by the carbon cage, resulting in efficient suppression of both spin−lattice relaxation and decoherence. CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> behaves as an electron spin qubit, displaying a maximum decoherence time of 7.74 *μs* at 40 K. This study reveals an unprecedented Ae−rare-earth metal−metal bond stabilized by the fullerene cages and elucidates the molecular qubit properties stemming from their unique bonding character, highlighting their potential in quantum information processing applications.

# ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Metal−metal bonds are of great significance in expanding our understanding of the nature of chemical bonding.<sup>[1](#page-7-0)–[3](#page-7-0)</sup> Over the past two decades, a number of remarkable discoveries like Zn−  $Zn<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup>$  $Zn<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup>$  $Zn<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup>$  Cr−Cr,<sup>[5](#page-7-0)</sup> Mg−Mg<sub>0</sub><sup>[6](#page-7-0)</sup> and Be−Be<sup>[7](#page-7-0)</sup> bonds, have been reported, attracting great attention to metal−metal bonding. To date, the studies of metal−metal bonding are mainly focusing on the main<sup>[8](#page-7-0)</sup> and transition group<sup>9</sup> elements. Metal $−$ metal bonds based on alkaline-earth (Ae) elements, especially the heavier Ae elements (Ca, Sr, and Ba), are rarely reported due to their high electropositivity. In this case, only a few examples of metal−metal bonds involving Ae metals have been reported so far. All of these bonds are formed between Ae and main-group<sup>[1](#page-7-0),[10](#page-8-0)−[14](#page-8-0)</sup> or transition metals<sup>1,[15](#page-8-0)−[17](#page-8-0)</sup> with the exception of Be−Be and Mg−Mg bonds. In particular, the formation of metal−metal bonds between Ae metals and rareearth (Re) metals is challenging with conventional synthetic methods due to the high electropositivity of both Ae and Re metals. To the best of our knowledge, this kind of metal−metal bond has not been reported to date.

Metal atoms can be encapsulated into the internal cavities of fullerenes to form endohedral metallofullerenes (EMFs), which are stabilized by transferring electrons from the metals to the

carbon cages. Di-EMFs, i.e., carbon cages encapsulating two metal atoms, are considered as an ideal model for investigating metal−metal bonding. On the one hand, carbon cages can protect metal dimers from external influences. On the other hand, the Coulomb repulsion between the metal ions can be limited by the confinement effect of the carbon cages, which can shorten the distance between the metals and thus facilitate the formation of metal−metal bonds.[18](#page-8-0) Indeed, recent studies have indicated that direct metal−metal bonds can be formed between the metal ions encapsulated in di-EMFs, such as  $M_2(\vec{a})$  $C_{82}$  (M<sub>2</sub> = Sc<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[19](#page-8-0)</sup> Y<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[18](#page-8-0)</sup> Er<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[20](#page-8-0)</sup> Lu<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[21](#page-8-0)</sup> and ScY<sup>[22](#page-8-0)</sup>) and M<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub>  $(M_2 = U_2^{23} \text{ and } Th_2^{24}).$  $(M_2 = U_2^{23} \text{ and } Th_2^{24}).$ 

In particular, single-electron metal−metal bonds can also be obtained in the form of dimetallofullerene derivatives<sup>25−[30](#page-8-0)</sup> or azafullerenes.<sup>[31](#page-8-0)–[34](#page-8-0)</sup> With the encapsulated [Ln-e-Ln] bonding motifs (Ln = Dy, Gd, and Tb), these di-EMFs exhibit excellent

Received: April 5, 2024 Revised: August 8, 2024 Accepted: August 9, 2024 Published: August 21, 2024





magnetic properties.[29](#page-8-0) Such special properties of singleelectron metal−metal bonds have also been found in organometallic chemistry. Recently, Gould et al. reported the dilanthanide complexes  $(Cp^{iPr5})_2$ Ln<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub> with single-electron Ln-Ln bonds ( $Ln = Gd$ , Tb, or Dy), which displayed the highest 100 s blocking temperatures among all reported single-molecule magnets up to date.<sup>[35](#page-8-0)</sup>

Recently, we reported a series of mixed-valence di-EMFs with single-electron actinide-lanthanide metal−metal bonds, namely, ThDy@C2*<sup>n</sup>* (2*n* = 72, 76, 78, and 80) and ThY@C2*<sup>n</sup>*  $(2n = 72$  and 78).<sup>36</sup> Surprisingly, these di-EMFs are stable in their pristine form and do not require any derivatization. A similar case was reported by Yang et al., who synthesized the endofullerene LaTi@C<sub>2n</sub>.<sup>[37](#page-8-0)</sup> Both fullerene families share a common feature: the two encapsulated metal atoms have an odd sum of valence electrons, which appears to facilitate the formation of the single-electron metal−metal bonds inside the pristine fullerene cages. This inspires us to explore the possibility of an Ae−Re metal−metal bond, in which alkaline-earth elements possess two valence electrons and rare-earth elements possess three valence electrons.

Herein, we report the successful synthesis and characterizations of Ca-based heteronuclear di-EMFs, i.e.,  $CaY(\omega C_s(6))$ - $C_{82}$  and  $CaY(0C_{2v}(5)-C_{80}$ . These novel compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, UV−vis− NIR spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and theoretical calculations. We identified the formation of an unprecedented Ca−Y single-electron metal− metal bond inside a carbon cage. Moreover, the electron in this bond exhibits significant spin coherence at relatively high temperatures, behaving as a qubit with potential utility for quantum information science.

#### ■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

 $CaY@C_{2n}$  (2*n* = 80 and 82) were synthesized by a modified Krätschmer-Huffman DC arc discharge method.<sup>38</sup> In brief, 0.33 g of CaO, 0.67 g of  $Y_2O_3$ , and 2.13 g of graphite powder (molar ratio of  $Ca:Y:C = 1:1:30$ ) were packed in each graphite rod (6.7 g, without filling). About 300 graphite rods were vaporized in the arcing chamber under a 200 Torr He atmosphere. The resulting carbon soot was extracted by  $CS_2$ for 24 h. Then, a multiple-step HPLC procedure was employed to isolate and purify  $CaY(\partial C_{2n} (2n = 80 \text{ and } 82))$ . The purity of  $CaY(0) = 80$  and 82) was confirmed by the single peaks in the HPLC chromatogram and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). The MALDI-TOF-MS spectra of CaY@  $C_{82}$  and  $CaY@C_{80}$  show single peaks at  $m/z = 1112.898$  and 1088.856, respectively, and their isotopic distributions agree well with the calculated ones [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S3). The estimated yields of  $CaY@C_{80}$  and  $CaY@C_{82}$  are ca. 0.20 and 0.22 mg, respectively.

Ca-based heteronuclear di-EMFs have rarely been reported before. The only study of the synthesis of  $CaHo@C_{82}$  was reported in 2007.<sup>[39](#page-8-0)</sup> However, lacking characterization and quantum-chemical studies, the molecular and electronic structure of this compound has never been identified. In this study, black block cocrystals of  $CaY@C_{82}$ <sup>[Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP)] $\cdot$ 2C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub></sup> (OEP = 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin anion) were obtained by slow diffusion from a benzene solution of  $Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP)$  into a  $CS<sub>2</sub>$  solution of  $CaY@C_{82}$ . The molecular structure of  $CaY_0^{\circ}C_{82}$  was unambiguously determined by

single-crystal X-ray diffraction and refined as  $CaY(\omega C_s(6)-C_{82}$ , which was monoclinic with the *C*2/*m* space group (Figure 1).





**Figure 1.** (a) ORTEP drawing for  $CaY@C_s(6)$ - $C_{82}$ <sup>[</sup>Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP)] with 15% thermal ellipsoids. Only one cage orientation and the major Ca and Y sites are shown. For clarity, the solvent molecule and minor metal sites are omitted. (b) Detailed structure of the major Ca and Y sites interacting with the closest fragments of the  $C_s(6)$ - $C_{82}$  cage.

Due to the crystallographic mirror plane of the *C*2/*m* space group, the carbon cage of  $CaY_0 \mathcal{O}_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> has two equivalent orientations with the same occupancy of 0.5. The metal atoms inside the carbon cage exhibit some degree of disorder. Ca1 and Y1 were identified as the major sites for Ca and Y atoms, respectively, with an occupancy of  $0.3157(17)$  for both. Ca $1<sub>m</sub>$ and  $Y1_m$  are generated from Ca1 and Y1 through the crystallographic mirror plane. The minor sites, namely, Ca2, Ca3, Y2, and Y3, are all located on the crystallographic mirror plane. Ca2 and Y2 have the same occupancy  $(0.172(3))$  as well as for Ca3 and Y3  $(0.196(3))$ . For clarity, only one orientation of the carbon cage and the major sites of internal metal atoms, i.e., Ca1 and Y1, are selected for further analysis.

Similar to the position of Y3 in  $Y_2 \omega C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub>,<sup>[18](#page-8-0)</sup> Y1 resides over the  $[6,6]$  carbon bond with the shortest Y cage distances

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2. For CaY@C<sub>2</sub>v(S)-C<sub>80</sub> (top) and CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> (bottom); (a) DFT-optimized structure, where the Ca−Y distance (in Å) is indicated. (b) Spin density distribution with an isosurface of  $\pm 0.002$  au, Mulliken spin populations (MSP), and amount of s spin density population (s Pop.) of the metals. (c) Molecular orbital (MO) isosurface (±0.03 au) for the delocalized *σ* orbital *a*<sup>1</sup> for the *α*-spin with the corresponding MO energy (in eV). (d) Molecular orbital contributions of the *σ*-type bonding orbital formed essentially by *n*s, *n*p, and (*n* − 1)d metal orbitals.

ranging from 2.252 to 2.288 Å, which is in good agreement with the density functional theory (DFT) calculations (2.345− 2.357 Å, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S6). This Y cage distance is slightly shorter than that in  $Y_2@C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> (ranges from 2.323 to 2.331 Å in the X-ray structure and from 2.407 to 2.421 Å at the DFT level), which suggests that the Y cage interaction is enhanced by replacing the other Y atom with a Ca atom. Furthermore, when a Y atom is replaced with a Ca atom, the position of the respective metal atom within the carbon cage is altered. Different from Y5 in  $Y_2$   $\omega$ C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>, which is located near the [5,6] bond, Ca1 in CaY $\omega C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> is located at the junction of the three hexagons with the Ca cage shortest distance of 2.387 Å. A similar phenomenon has been observed when a Sc atom replaces a Y atom in  $Y_2 \omega C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C<sub>82</sub>.<sup>[22](#page-8-0)</sup> The distance of Ca1−Y1 is determined to be 3.691 Å (vs 3.705 Å in calculations), which is comparable to the Y−Y distance in  $Y_2@C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> (3.635 Å vs 3.613 Å in calc.)<sup>[18](#page-8-0)</sup> and the Sc−Y distance in  $ScY@C_{3v}(8)$ -C<sub>82</sub> (3.674 Å).<sup>[22](#page-8-0)</sup> Considering the presence of metal−metal bonds in both Y<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> and ScY@C<sub>3</sub><sup>*v*</sup>(8)-C<sub>82</sub>*,* this Ca−Y distance might indicate that there is a bonding interaction between Ca and Y.

The electronic features of  $CaY(\partial C_{2n} (2n = 80 \text{ and } 82))$  were studied by UV−vis−NIR spectroscopy [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S5). The spectrum of  $CaY(0)C_{s2}$  exhibits absorption peaks at 765, 828, and 1190 nm, which are similar to those of  $M_2$ @  $C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> (M = Lu,<sup>[21](#page-8-0)</sup> Y,<sup>[18](#page-8-0)</sup> and Er<sup>[20](#page-8-0)</sup>). It is well-known that the UV−vis−NIR spectra of EMFs with the same isomer structure and formal charge state of the carbon cage are almost identical due to  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transitions of the cage.<sup>[40](#page-9-0)</sup> Consequently, this UV–vis–NIR spectrum suggests that CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> has the same electronic configuration with  $M_2$   $\omega$ C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> (M = Lu, Y, and Er), namely,  $(CaY)^{4+}$ @ $C_{82}^{4-}$ . The spectrum of  $CaY$ @ $C_{80}$ exhibits a major absorption peak at 672 nm, which is similar to the one observed in the spectrum of  $Sc_2O@C_{2\nu}(5)$ - $C_{80}$ <sup>[41](#page-9-0)</sup> This suggests that the  $C_{2\nu}(5)$ - $C_{80}$  isomer is the same as the cage isomer of  $CaY(0C_{80}$ , which can be formally described as  $(CaY)^{4+}$ @ $C_{80}^{4-}$ .

To analyze the electronic structure and the Ca−Y bonding interaction, DFT calculations at the PBE0/TZP/D3<sup>[42](#page-9-0)-[48](#page-9-0)</sup> level were performed for  $CaY@C_{2n}$  ( $2n = 80$  and 82) cages, showing a spin-doublet ground electronic state for both of them (see computational details for more information). Different positions of Ca and Y atoms within the  $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> and  $C_s(6)$ - $C_{82}$  cages were computed to analyze the most likely location of the metals inside the cages (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S3 and S4 and [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S8 and S9). Our calculations show that the lowestenergy structure of  $CaY_0 \& O_5(6) \cdot C_{82}$  corresponds to the one observed in the X-ray structure. Other positions of the metals show a relative energy of 3.5 kcal·mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> (orientation B in Table S4 and [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S9) and around 9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (C−E), which confirm the somewhat degree of disorder found in experiments. DFT optimizations of the crystallographic Ca2− Y2 and Ca3−Y3 positions evolve to orientation C [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) [S14\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf). For  $CaY@C_{2v}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub>, the lowest-energy arrangement of the metals corresponds to their positioning analogous to the sites occupied by Sc atoms in  $Sc_2O_{{QO}C_{2\nu}}(5)$ - $C_{80}$ <sup>[41](#page-9-0)</sup> If the metal atoms exchange their positions, the relative energy increases to 4.2 kcal·mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . Other positions of the metal atoms are found at higher energies (>12.2 kcal·mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ).

The following description corresponds to the lowest-energy geometries of  $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> and  $CaY@C_{s}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> (Figure 2). The optimized Ca−Y distances are 3.841 and 3.705 Å for  $CaY@C_{2v}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> and CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>, respectively, resulting in the latter to be very close to experiments (3.691 Å). For  $CaY(AC_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80\nu})$  the Ca and Y are both located at [6,6] bonds of pyracylene motifs, showing that the Y metal−cage distances  $(2.357 \text{ Å})$  are closer than those of Ca  $(2.473 \text{ Å})$  [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S7). In  $CaY(AC<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>)$ , the Ca is located at the center of a hexagon from an s-indacene motif with the closest metal−cage distance of 2.490 Å (vs 2.387 Å in experiments), while the Y is placed

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



a<br>
<sup>a</sup>Hyperfine coupling (in MHz). <sup>b</sup>Metal−metal distance (in Å). <sup>c</sup>Atomic Mulliken spin densities for Y and X. <sup>d</sup>Amount of the s spin density population on <sup>Y</sup> (in %). *<sup>e</sup>* Energies of the sigma LUMO (beta) orbital (in eV). *<sup>f</sup>* Electron density and Laplacian of the electron density at the bond critical points are given in [e Å<sup>−</sup><sup>3</sup> ] and [e Å<sup>−</sup><sup>5</sup> ], respectively. *<sup>g</sup>* Experimental values are in parentheses.

on top of a [6,6] bond of a pyracylene motif with metal−cage distances of 2.345−2.357 Å [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S6), slightly larger than those from experiments (2.252−2.288 Å). The position of Y in a pyracylene motif in  $CaY(\partial C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80})$  and  $CaY(\partial C_{s}(6) - C_{82})$  is in accordance to the largest molecular orbital contribution of the LUMO+1 of neutral  $C_{2\nu}(5)$ - $C_{80}$  and  $C_{s}(6)$ - $C_{82}$  cages, as well as to the most negative region of the potential electrostatic maps of  $C_{2\nu}(5)$ - $C_{80}^{4-}$  and  $C_s(\vec{6})$ - $C_{82}^{4-}$  anions (see [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S10 and [S11](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf), respectively).

[Figure](#page-2-0) 2b shows the spin density distributions for  $CaY(\partial_{2n}C_{2n})$ indicating that the unpaired electron is delocalized between the two metal atoms. Additionally, [Figure](#page-2-0) 2c illustrates that the unpaired electron resides in an  $a_1$  sigma-type orbital, which results from a significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and 5s5p4d of Y [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2d). Despite the fairly symmetric appearance of the spin density distribution and the sigma *a*<sup>1</sup> orbital representation, the atomic Mulliken spin populations of approximately 0.4 e for Ca and 0.7 e for Y indicate that the metal−metal bond displays a certain degree of polarization. This polarization is primarily driven by the s-type orbitals, with associated s spin density populations of around 16% for Ca and 27% for Y.

These data indicate that the formal oxidation states would be close to 1.5+ for Ca and 2.5+ for Y, if the unpaired electrons were equally shared. Thus, there is an electron transfer of four electrons from the CaY cluster to the  $C_{2n}$  cage, resulting in an electronic structure of  $(CaY)^{4+}$  $(\mathcal{O}(C_{2n})^{4-}$  according to the ionic model. The molecular orbital (MO) diagrams for the ground spin-doublet state of  $\mathrm{CaY}(\varpi C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> and  $\mathrm{CaY}(\varpi C_{\mathcal{S}}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> are provided in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) (SI). As mentioned, a delocalized sigma-bonding orbital  $a_1$  is observed in both  $CaY(\phi)$  $C_{2n}$  cages ([Figure](#page-2-0) 2c) with the energy depending on the metal−metal bond length. Specifically, the shorter the Ca−Y distance (3.841 vs 3.705 Å), the lower the energy of the *σ*bonding orbital (−6.18 vs −6.27 eV, respectively). In addition, both oxidation and reduction<sup>[49](#page-9-0)</sup> of  $CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>$  are predicted to take place on the carbon cage, with the oneelectron Ca−Y *σ*-bond remaining essentially unaltered [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) [S15\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf).

Two notable features distinguish this novel Ae−Ln bond from the recently detected one-electron  $\sigma$  bond in ThLn $\omega_{2n}$  $(Ln = Y and Dy).$ <sup>36</sup> (i) It exhibits some degree of polarization due to the larger electronegativity difference between Y (Pauling, 1.22) and Ca (1.00). (ii) There is minimal involvement of the 3d orbitals from Ca ([Figure](#page-2-0) 2d). Additionally, this direct Ca−Y interaction clearly demonstrates

that under specific conditions, the Ca orbitals can indeed participate in covalent interactions.

To further characterize the Ae(Ca)−Ln(Y) bond interaction, we performed the Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules $50$  analysis. Bader postulated the bond critical point (bcp) between two atoms as a necessary and sufficient condition for the atoms to be bonded. The corresponding values of the electron density  $(\rho)$  and the Laplacian of the electron density  $(\nabla^2 \rho)$  at the bcp for  $CaY(\partial C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80})$  and  $CaY(0,6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> are provided in Table 1, which verify the presence of an accumulation of charge density in the center of the metal−metal bond. The electron density values of both  $CaY@C_{2n}$  systems closely resemble those of other reported systems, such as  $\text{ThY@}D_{3h}(5)$ - $\text{C}_{78}$ ,<sup>[36](#page-8-0)</sup>  $\text{Y}_{2}$ @ $\text{C}_{80}$ - $\text{CH}_{2}\text{Ph}$ ,<sup>[27](#page-8-0)</sup> and  $Y_2 @ C_{79}N^{31}$  $Y_2 @ C_{79}N^{31}$  $Y_2 @ C_{79}N^{31}$  as well as the hypothetical SrY@C<sub>2*v*</sub>(5)-C<sub>80</sub> and  $SrY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>$  cages (see Table 1). It is worth noting that CaY@C2*<sup>n</sup>* systems present slightly lower electron density values compared to the corresponding  $SrY@C_{2n}$  endohedral fullerenes, which show smaller metal−metal distances. All these systems present a negative sign in the Laplacian of the electron density; however, M-Y ( $M = Ca$  and Sr) systems show lower absolute values than for the other calculated EMFs. Larger absolute values of ∇<sup>2</sup> *ρ* are found for Ca−Y compared to Sr−Y systems, consistent with the fact that the Sr−Y *σ* bonds are somewhat more polarized. Interestingly, the  $CaY(\partial C_{2n})$ systems exhibit the largest  $\rho$  values among other experimental reported Ca–X (X = Al, Sn, Co, and Fe) complexes.<sup>[10](#page-8-0),[14](#page-8-0),[16](#page-8-0)[,51](#page-9-0)</sup> Therefore, for instance, a Ca−Fe bond with a bond length of 2.98  $\AA^{51}$  displays a charge density of 0.022 e/ $\AA^3$ , considerably lower than that observed for Ca−Y, even though the latter has a significantly longer bond length (Table 1). Furthermore, the Ca–Y bond shows a negative sign on the  $\nabla^2 \rho$ , consistent with the Ca−Y covalent interaction deduced from the singly occupied delocalized *σ* orbital. The Ca−Y bonds characterized in this study can be considered as the bonds with the highest degree of covalent character involving a calcium atom reported to date.

Continuous-wave (CW) EPR spectroscopy experiments were also performed on  $CaY}{\phi}C_{2n}$  ( $2n = 80$  and 82) to obtain further information on their open-shell electronic structure. As is shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3, the EPR spectra of purified  $CaY(\partial C_{2n} (2n))$  $= 80$  and 82) in a  $CS<sub>2</sub>$  solvent show, for each compound, a doublet at 290, 270, 250, 220, and 190 K, which indicates hyperfine coupling between an unpaired electron and an <sup>89</sup>Y nucleus (nuclear spin I =  $1/2$  of <sup>89</sup>Y, 100% natural abundance, while the nuclear spin of  $^{40}$ Ca is 0 in 96.941% natural abundance). As the temperature decreases, the high magnetic

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 3. EPR X-band spectra of  $CaY(\partial C_s(6)-C_{82}$  (a) and  $CaY(\partial C_s(6)-C_{82})$  $C_{2\nu}^{\mathcal{L}}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> (b) measured in CS<sub>2</sub> solution at 290, 270, 250, 220, 190, and 150 K. (c) Representation of the correlation between the hyperfine coupling constant (in MHz) and the amount of the s spin density population located on the Y atom (in %) for all the  $XY\omega C_{2n}$ systems shown in [Table](#page-3-0) 1. The correlation coefficient *r* is also given.

field signal becomes increasingly more dominant compared to the low magnetic field signal. Such a kind of paramagnetic anisotropy results from insufficient rotational averaging due to the restricted motion of the Y nucleus and the unpaired electron.<sup>52</sup> At 150 K, the hyperfine structure disappears, and the spectrum exhibits a single broad peak since the nonglassy  $CS<sub>2</sub>$  solvent freezes at this temperature, causing molecular aggregation where spin−spin dipolar interaction dominates over the hyperfine interaction. Fitting of the EPR spectra collected at 290 K revealed that the hyperfine coupling constants (abbreviated as hfcc or *A* below) of  $CaY(\partial C_s(6)-C_{82})$ and  $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> are 260 and 252 MHz, respectively ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S16). These hfcc constants are significantly larger than those found for  $Y@C_{82}$  whose electron spin mainly resides on the carbon cage.<sup>[53](#page-9-0)</sup> Thus, the strong hyperfine coupling in  $CaY@C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> and CaY@C<sub>2*v*</sub>(5)-C<sub>80</sub> indicates that their unpaired electrons are mainly metal-centered, as confirmed by the spin density distribution [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2b).<sup>[54](#page-9-0)</sup> The Lorentzian line shape of EPR spectra indicates negligible hyperfine coupling from  $^{13}$ C, which is consistent with the result obtained from pulse EPR characterization (*vide infra*). The isotropic *g*values of  $\text{CaY}(\varpi C_{\text{s}}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> and  $\text{CaY}(\varpi C_{\text{2v}}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> are 1.9819 and 1.9827, respectively, which are comparable to those of  $Y_2(\omega)$  $C_{79}N$  (*g* = 1.9740)<sup>[31](#page-8-0)</sup> and Y<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph) (*g* = 1.9733), as well as their spin densities.<sup>[27](#page-8-0)</sup> Thus, EPR experiments also indicate the formation of a single-electron metal−metal bond between Ca and Y.

DFT calculations are in full agreement with experiments ([Table](#page-3-0) 1). The calculated *g*-values for  $CaY(\partial C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80})$  and  $CaY(0)$ *-C*<sub>82</sub> are 1.983 and 1.979, respectively, nearly identical with the experimental values. The calculated hfcc are 236 MHz for CaY@C<sub>2</sub><sup>*v*</sup>(5)-C<sub>80</sub> and 245 MHz for CaY@C<sub>*s*</sub>(6)- $C_{82}$ , in good agreement with the experimental values (vs 252 and 260 MHz, respectively). The spin density located on the Y atom is the same for both  $CaY@C_{2n}$  cages (0.68 e). The slightly larger hfcc in CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> than in CaY@C<sub>2</sub><sub>*v*</sub>(5)-C<sub>80</sub> is most likely related to the larger amount of the s spin density population located on yttrium (27.5 vs 27.1%). These data are consistent with the electronic structure, as they indicate that the unpaired electron is confined to yttrium and delocalized on calcium, suggesting the formation of a polarized single-electron bond between Y and Ca atoms.

To further explore the electronic structure and EPR parameters on CaY@C2*<sup>n</sup>* systems, a computational study involving other  $XY@C_{2n}$  families was performed. [Table](#page-3-0) 1 provides the most relevant data from this DFT study. We have worked with some previously reported systems ThY@*D*3*h*(5)-  $C_{78}$ <sup>[36](#page-8-0)</sup> Y<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph),<sup>[27](#page-8-0)</sup> and Y<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>79</sub>N,<sup>[31](#page-8-0)</sup> as well as with the hypothetical SrY $\omega_{2n}$  ( $2n = 80$  and 82) family, where the Ca is replaced with a Sr atom in the corresponding  $CaY(\partial C_{2n})$  (2*n* = 80 and 82) cages. Our calculations reproduce very well the experimental *g*-values and the hfcc of all of the systems analyzed herein, as seen in [Table](#page-3-0) 1. ThY@D<sub>3h</sub>(5)-C<sub>78</sub> shows the lowest *g*-value among these systems, and its hfcc is significantly lower than those of  $CaY@C_{2n}$  cages but very similar to  $Y_2 \omega C_{80} (CH_2Ph)$  and  $Y_2 \omega C_{79}N$ . This fact is related to the spin density of Y as well as the amount of the s spin density population located on the Y atom. For ThY@*D*3*h*(5)-  $C_{78}$ ,  $Y_2$ @ $C_{80}$ (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), and  $Y_2$ @ $C_{79}$ N, all of these values are very similar. CaY@C<sub>2n</sub> cages show larger values of Mulliken spin density on Y (0.68 vs ∼0.59) and a larger amount of the s population on Y (27% vs ∼22%). Therefore, larger values of hfcc are observed in the CaY@C<sub>2n</sub> cages. For the SrY@C<sub>2n</sub> (2*n* = 80 and 82) family, although similar *g*-values are found, this hypothetical family displays the largest hfcc (266 MHz for  $SrY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub> and 275 MHz for  $SrY@C_{5}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub>), which is also in line with the larger values of Mulliken spin density of Y (∼0.72 e) and the amount of the s spin density population on Y (∼30%). Interestingly, a good correlation exists between the hfcc and the amount of the s population on the Y atom (Figure 3c). A reasonably acceptable correlation is still found if hfcc values are plotted with respect to the total spin population on Y (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S13). We note that all of these systems present a two-center single-electron *σ* bond.

The demonstration of single-electron bonds in  $CaY(\partial C_{2n})$ inspired us to investigate their potential as electron spin qubits. A spin qubit should possess a long spin−lattice relaxation time  $(T_1)$  and decoherence time  $(T_2)$  at relatively high temper-

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fi<mark>gure 4.</mark> X-band pulse EPR of CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub> dissolved in toluene. (a) Echo-detected field sweep (EDFS) spectrum at 90 K under a microwave frequency of 9.656 GHz. (b) Temperature dependence of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . (c) Corresponding  $1/T_1$  versus temperature. The red line is a fit to the data by the Raman relaxation process,  $\frac{1}{T_1} = A_{\text{Raman}} T''$ , where  $A_{\text{Raman}}$  and  $T$  are the weighting coefficient and temperature, respectively. The fitted exponent *m* is 2.37. (d) HYSCORE spectrum collected at 30 K. (e) Rabi oscillations using various microwave attenuations. (f) Relationship between the Rabi frequency and  $B_{\rm MW}$   $_{\rm output}/B_{\rm MW}$  where  $B_{\rm MW}$   $_{\rm output}$  and  $B_{\rm MW}$  input represent the magnetic field of the output microwave and input microwave, respectively, the latter of which is equal to 10<sup>-A/20 dB</sup> (A represents the microwave attenuations in the unit of dB). The red line is a linear fit to the data.

atures (above 77 K) to facilitate its implementation in quantum information technologies.<sup>[55](#page-9-0)</sup> As revealed by the DFT calculations ([Table](#page-3-0) 1), the partially distributed electrons on both Ca and Y exhibit significant s orbital character. Because the s electron is free of orbital angular momentum, its spin−orbit coupling is naturally quenched, giving rise to slow spin–lattice relaxation.<sup>[56,57](#page-9-0)</sup> Since  $T_1$  serves as the upper limit to  $T_2$ ,  $T_{2,\text{max}} = 2T_1$ , the electron spin with s orbital character likely maintains quantum coherence at relatively high temperatures. Such an effect has manifested itself in an  $Y^{2+}$ -based coordination complex,  $[K(2,2,2$ -cryptand)][Y(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], whose  ${}^2S_{1/2}$ -like ground state shows microsecond-scale  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  at room temperature.<sup>[56](#page-9-0)</sup> In addition, the carbon cage provides a rigid, isolated, and almost nuclear spin-free environment to the endohedral electron spin, which suppresses spin−lattice relaxation and decoherence.<sup>[58](#page-9-0)</sup> As a result, fullerenes encompassing spin centers could exhibit an excellent qubit performance. For instance,  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}$  maintains coherence at room temperature in solution,<sup>59</sup> Gd<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>79</sub>N behaves as a qudit with a large ground spin state  $(S = 15/2)$ ,<sup>60</sup> and functionalized N@C<sub>60</sub> displays an exceptionally long  $T_2$ (tens of microseconds at 77 K) and multiple addressable quantum states that enable quantum error correction and simultaneous operations of two quantum logic gates.<sup>61</sup> It is also noteworthy that Y@C<sub>82</sub> displays coherence up to 130 K albeit its electron spin distributes dominantly on the carbon cage[.62](#page-9-0) Putting together, the electron residing on the Ca−Y bond should display slow spin−lattice relaxation and maintain coherence at elevated temperatures.

We chose  $\text{CaY}(\varpi C_{\text{s}}(6)$ - $\text{C}_{82}$  as an example and characterized its electron spin dynamics by X-band pulse EPR spectroscopy. To avoid the molecular aggregation observed in the  $CS_2$ solution, we dissolved this compound in toluene, which is a glassy solvent. The echo-detected field sweep (EDFS) spectrum (Figure 4a) collected at 90 K shows features of *g*anisotropy. Fitting of this spectrum revealed  $g_{\parallel} = 1.99948(6)$ and *g*<sup>⊥</sup> = 1.97259(1) as well as anisotropic hyperfine splitting constants with  $A_{\parallel} = 296.1(5)$  MHz and  $A_{\perp} = 249.6(1)$  MHz. These values translate to isotropic  $g_{iso} = 1.98159$  and  $A_{iso} =$ 266.0 MHz, which are consistent with the above-mentioned results of solution-phase CW-EPR and DFT calculations. The anisotropy and hyperfine coupling together give rise to four addressable EPR transitions, which likely exhibit comparable spin dynamics according to the previous study on  $[K(2,2,2-1)]$ cryptand)][Y( $C_5H_4SiMe_3$ )<sub>3</sub>].<sup>[56](#page-9-0)</sup> Thus, we focused on the transition centered at approximately 354 mT in the following studies (the exact magnetic field varies with the microwave frequency used for the specific experiment).

We were able to acquire  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $CaY(0)C_0$ .  $C_{82}$  from 10 to 170 K ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S17−S19 and Table S5); toluene melting and fast relaxation prevented measurements above 170 K. As shown in Figure 4b,  $T_1$  gradually decreases with an increasing temperature from 8.09 ms at 10 K to 9.5 *μ*s at 170 K. The persistence of  $T_1$  up to 170 K is mainly attributed to the s orbital character of the Ca−Y single-electron bond as discussed above.<sup>[56](#page-9-0)</sup> The relaxation rate,  $1/T_1$ , is proportional to  $T^{2.37}$  (*T* represents temperature, Figure 4c), which may be attributed to the Raman relaxation mechanism in the high-temperature regime. When the experimental temperature is much higher than the Debye temperature  $(T_D)$ , i.e.,  $T \gg T_D$ , all acoustic phonons are thermally accessible, giving rise to  $1/T_1 \propto T^2$ behavior that is consistent with our experimental observa-tion.<sup>[63,64](#page-9-0)</sup> This indicates that  $T_D \ll 10$  K, which is significantly lower than those of conventional inorganic solid-state materials that are typically hundreds of Kelvin. The exceptionally small  $T<sub>D</sub>$  is likely the result of weak intramolecular interaction between the Ca–Y moiety and the  $C_{82}$  cage as well as weak intermolecular interaction between the  $C_{82}$  cage and toluene. $24,63,65$  $24,63,65$  Thus, the temperature dependence of  $T_1$ reinforces our hypothesis that the carbon cage protects the Ca−Y single-electron bond from the environment.

The coherence of the toluene solution of  $CaY(\partial C_s(6)-C_{82})$  is mainly limited by nuclear spin flip-flops and spin relaxation. The  $T_2$  is dramatically shorter than the  $T_1$  from 10 to 170 K, indicating that the dominant sources of decoherence are environmental nuclear spins. Under 40 K, the  $T_2$  gradually increases from 6.52 to 7.74 *μ*s with an increasing temperature ([Figure](#page-5-0) 4b). This phenomenon is likely associated with rotation of the methyl group in toluene, which facilitates the nuclear spin flip and reduces  $T_2$ . Such enhancement of decoherence may be the most significant at a temperature lower than 10 K when the rotation resonates with the Larmor precession of nuclear spins, and it weakens at higher temperatures as a result of detuning, leading to a positive relationship between  $T_2$  and temperatures above 10 K.<sup>[62](#page-9-0),[64](#page-9-0)</sup> As the temperature increases above 40 K, the  $T_2$  first gradually decreases to 7.18 *μ*s at 70 K. It then drops sharply above 70 K and reaches 1.22 *μ*s at 120 K. These trends imply that the spin relaxation starts to limit coherence above 40 K. $^{62}$  Finally, the *T*<sup>2</sup> tends to level off above the glass temperature of toluene  $(T_{glass} = 113 \text{ K})$ , and it becomes unmeasurable above the melting point of toluene ( $T_{\text{melt}}$  = 178.2 K). With the quantum coherence at 170 K,  $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$  joins  $Sc@C_{82}$ ,  $Y@C_{82}$ , La@C<sub>82</sub>, Sc<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), and Sc<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub> to form a subclass of high-temperature EMF qubits that are compatible with a liquid nitrogen-cooled environment.<sup>[59,62](#page-9-0),[66](#page-9-0)</sup> Another subclass, e.g., Eu@C<sub>2n</sub> (2n = 74, 80, 82, and 84), Gd@C<sub>82</sub>, animated  $Gd\omega C_{82}$ , and  $Gd_2\omega C_{79}N$ , displays coherence at much lower temperatures (below 20 K) likely due to the f orbital character of their unpaired electrons.<sup>[60,67,68](#page-9-0)</sup> Their operation requires liquid-helium cooling or other sophisticated cryogenic technologies.

To gain a deeper understanding of the spin decoherence mechanism, we studied the nuclear spin bath of  $CaY(\omega C_s(6))$ - $C_{82}$  by hyperfine spectroscopy. Specifically, we conducted remotely detected combination-peak electron spin echo envelope modulation (CP-ESEEM) experiments at 30 K ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S20a). $69,70$  This sequence probes the modulation of electron spin precession by nearby nuclear spins; therefore, it could reveal Larmor frequencies of nuclear spins and their hyperfine interactions with the electron spin. The CP-ESEEM spectrum displays two peaks located at 7.56 and 30.0 MHz ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S20b). They correspond to twice the Larmor frequencies of  $^{13}C$  and  $^{1}H$  nuclei, respectively. We further characterized details of hyperfine interactions by a remotely detected hyperfine sublevel correlation (HYSCORE) experiment conducted at 30 K. The HYSCORE spectrum displays two signals located at 3.75 and 15.0 MHz that are consistent with  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  and  $^{1}\mathrm{H}$  nuclear spins, respectively. These signals do not exhibit apparent correlation ridges, indicating a negligibly weak hyperfine coupling ([Figure](#page-5-0) 4d). Neither CP-ESEEM nor HYSCORE spectra show signals corresponding to  ${}^{89}Y$ , probably due to the strong hyperfine coupling of this nucleus, as revealed by the CW-EPR and EDFS measurements. As weakly coupled nuclear spins tend to behave as magnetic noises to undermine coherence, we speculate that  $^{13}$ C on the  $C_{82}$  cage and <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H in toluene are major sources of decoherence to  $\text{CaY}(\mathcal{O}C_{s}(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub>. In contrast, <sup>89</sup>Y resides within the nuclear diffusion barrier, so it has little contribution to decoherence. $71$  This indicates that deuteration of toluene may help improve  $T_2$ , as demonstrated for Sc@C<sub>82</sub>, Y@C<sub>82</sub>, and La $\omega C_{82}$ <sup>[62](#page-9-0)</sup> The  $T_2$  may be further improved by dynamic decoupling methods, such as the Carr−Purcell−Meiboom− Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence, as shown in  $Sc_2@C_{80}(CH_2Ph)$ and  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}^{59,66}$  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}^{59,66}$  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}^{59,66}$  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}^{59,66}$  $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}^{59,66}$  These coherence enhancement strategies will be investigated in future studies.

To prove the ability of coherent manipulation of the electron spin in  $CaY_0 \& C_5 \& O_2$ , we conducted nutation experiments at 30 K under various microwave powers. The nutation pulse rotates the electron spin on the Bloch sphere, with the rotation angle determined by the pulse length and microwave power, giving rise to iconic Rabi oscillations shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4e. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the Rabi oscillation at each power reveals the corresponding Rabi frequency ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf) S21), which exhibits a linear dependence on the relative microwave magnetic field strength ( $B_{\text{MW output}}/$ *B<sub>MW input</sub>*) ([Figure](#page-5-0) 4f). This indicates that the electron spin of  $CaY(AC<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>)$  behaves as a qubit that satisfies the Rabi relationship:  $\hbar \omega_{\text{Rabi}} = g\mu_B S B_1$ , where  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant,  $\omega_{\text{Rabi}}$  is the Rabi frequency,  $\mu_{\text{B}}$  is the Bohr magneton, and  $B_1$  is the microwave magnetic field strength. As the nutation pulse implements a single-qubit quantum logic gate, these experiments demonstrate the potential of  $CaY(\omega C_s(6)-C_{82})$  for quantum information science.

#### ■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, unprecedented Ca−Y single-electron metal− metal bonds were formed inside heteronuclear di-EMFs, namely,  $CaY@C_s(6)$ -C<sub>82</sub> and  $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C<sub>80</sub>. The singlecrystal X-ray crystallographic study unambiguously determined that the Ca ion and Y ion were encapsulated inside  $C_s(6)$ - $C_{82}$ with a Ca−Y distance of 3.691 Å. The UV−vis−NIR spectra as well as DFT computations suggest that four of the five valence electrons of the internal metals are formally transferred to the carbon cage. The CW-EPR study of both  $CaY@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>$  and  $CaY@C_{2v}(5)-C_{80}$  exhibits a doublet and implies that an unpaired electron is located between Ca and Y. The theoretical studies further confirm the existence of a Ca−Y single-electron metal−metal bond with substantial covalent interaction, attributed to significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and 5s5p4d orbitals of Y. With the s orbital character unpaired electron well-protected by the carbon cage,  $CaY$ @  $C_s(6)$ - $C_{82}$  behaves as an electron spin qubit, showcasing excellent coherence even at relatively high temperatures. Its potential applications in quantum information science warrant further exploration.

This study reveals the unexpected capacity of fullerenes to stabilize the Ae−Re bond, a target that remains challenging to achieve using conventional synthesis methods. Furthermore, it validates that by encapsulating two metal atoms with an odd sum of valence electrons, single-electron metal−metal bonds can be stabilized in the pristine fullerene cages. This paves the way for a novel method to achieve metal−metal bonding within fullerene structures, encouraging further investigation

<span id="page-7-0"></span>into the stabilization of metal−metal bonds with metals that typically resist bond formation. It is also reasonable to assume that compounds containing these novel single-electron metal− metal bonds could exhibit distinctive molecular magnetic properties, potentially leading to their applications in the field of molecular magnets as well as quantum information processing.

# ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

## $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?goto=supporting-info)

> Experimental details, HPLC separation of  $\text{CaY}(\text{\o}C\text{c})$  $C_{82}$  and  $CaY(0, C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80}$ , crystallographic information, computational results, and pulse EPR results [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.4c04720/suppl_file/ja4c04720_si_001.pdf))

#### **Accession Codes**

CCDC [2288342](https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary?pid=ccdc:2288342&id=doi:10.1021/jacs.4c04720) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif), or by emailing data request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

# ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

# **Corresponding Authors**

- Lei Sun − *Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Research Center for Industries of the Future, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China; Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310024, China; Key Laboratory for Quantum Materials of Zhejiang Province, Department of Physics, School of Science, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China;* [orcid.org/0000-0001-8467-6750;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8467-6750) Email: [sunlei@](mailto:sunlei@westlake.edu.cn) [westlake.edu.cn](mailto:sunlei@westlake.edu.cn)
- Antonio Rodríguez-Fortea − *Departament de Química Física i Inorganica,* ̀ *Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain*; [orcid.org/0000-0001-5884-5629;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5884-5629) Email: [antonio.rodriguezf@urv.cat](mailto:antonio.rodriguezf@urv.cat)
- Ning Chen − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-9405-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9405-6229) [6229](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9405-6229); Email: [chenning@suda.edu.cn](mailto:chenning@suda.edu.cn)

#### **Authors**

- Jiawei Qiu − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China*
- Laura Abella − *Departament de Química Física i Inorganica,* ̀ *Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-2188-248X](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2188-248X)
- Xiya Du − *Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Research Center for Industries of the Future, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China; Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310024, China*
- Zhengkai Cao − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China*
- Zhiwen He − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China*
- Qingyu Meng − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China*
- Yingjing Yan − *College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China*
- Josep M. Poblet − *Departament de Química Física i Inorganica,* ̀ *Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain*; [orcid.org/0000-0002-4533-0623](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4533-0623)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.4c04720](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720?ref=pdf)

### **Author Contributions**

 $H<sub>J</sub>Q<sub>u</sub>$ , L.A., and X.D. contributed equally to this work. **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**<br>N.C. thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC no. 52172051) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD). J.M.P. and A.R.-F. thank the Spanish Ministry of Science (grant PID2020-112762GB-I00 funded by MCIN/ AEI/10.13039/501100011033), the Generalitat de Catalunya (grant 2021 SGR 00110), and the URV for support. X.D. and L.S. acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC no. 22273078) and Hangzhou Municipal Funding, Team of Innovation (TD2022004) and thank the Instrumentation and Service Center for Molecular Sciences at Westlake University for the support with the pulse EPR measurements. Q.M. thanks the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX23\_3230).

# ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Liddle, S. T. *Molecular metal-metal bonds: compounds, synthesis, properties*; Wiley-VCH, 2015.

(2) Cao, C.-S.; Shi, Y.; Xu, H.; Zhao, B. Metal−metal [bonded](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.03.017) [compounds](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.03.017) with uncommon low oxidation state. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2018, *365*, 122−144.

(3) Cotton, F. A. Strong [homonuclear](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar50020a003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) metal-metal bonds. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1969, *2* (8), 240−247.

(4) Resa, I.; Carmona, E.; Gutierrez-Puebla, E.; Monge, A. [Decamethyldizincocene,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101356) a Stable Compound of Zn(I) with a Zn-Zn [Bond.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101356) *Science.* 2004, *305* (5687), 1136−1138.

(5) Nguyen, T.; Sutton, A. D.; Brynda, M.; Fettinger, J. C.; Long, G. J.; Power, P. P. Synthesis of a Stable [Compound](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116789) with Fivefold Bonding Between Two [Chromium\(I\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116789) Centers. *Science.* 2005, *310* (5749), 844−847.

(6) Green, S. P.; Jones, C.; Stasch, A. Stable [Magnesium\(I\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150856) [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150856) with Mg-Mg Bonds. *Science.* 2007, *318* (5857), 1754− 1757.

(7) Boronski, J. T.; Crumpton, A. E.; Wales, L. L.; Aldridge, S. [Diberyllocene,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh4419) a stable compound of Be(I) with a Be−Be bond. *Science.* 2023, *380* (6650), 1147−1149.

(8) González-Gallardo, S.; Bollermann, T.; Fischer, R. A.; Murugavel, R. [Cyclopentadiene](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001146?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Based Low-Valent Group 13 Metal Compounds: Ligands in [Coordination](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001146?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chemistry and Link between <span id="page-8-0"></span>Metal Rich Molecules and [Intermetallic](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001146?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Materials. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112* (6), 3136−3170.

(9) Duncan Lyngdoh, R. H.; Schaefer, H. F., III; King, R. B. [Metal](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)− Metal (MM) Bond [Distances](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Bond Orders in Binuclear Metal [Complexes](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the First Row Transition Metals Titanium Through [Zinc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00297?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118* (24), 11626−11706.

(10) Schwamm, R. J.; Coles, M. P.; Hill, M. S.; Mahon, M. F.; McMullin, C. L.; Rajabi, N. A.; Wilson, A. S. S. A Stable [Calcium](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914986) [Alumanyl.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914986) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2020, *59* (10), 3928−3932.

(11) Paparo, A.; Smith, C. D.; Jones, C. [Diagonally](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201906609) Related s- and p-Block Metals Join Forces: Synthesis and [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201906609) of [Complexes](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201906609) with Covalent Beryllium−Aluminum Bonds. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2019, *58* (33), 11459−11463.

(12) Boronski, J. T.; Thomas-Hargreaves, L. R.; Ellwanger, M. A.; Crumpton, A. E.; Hicks, J.; Bekis,̧ D. F.; Aldridge, S.; Buchner, M. R. Inducing [Nucleophilic](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c00480?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reactivity at Beryllium with an Aluminyl [Ligand.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c00480?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2023, *145* (8), 4408−4413.

(13) Bakewell, C.; Ward, B. J.; White, A. J. P.; Crimmin, M. R. [A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SC05059C) combined experimental and [computational](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SC05059C) study on the reaction of [fluoroarenes](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SC05059C) with Mg−Mg, Mg−Zn, Mg−Al and Al−Zn bonds. *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9* (8), 2348−2356.

(14) Chapple, P. M.; Cartron, J.; Hamdoun, G.; Kahlal, S.; Cordier, M.; Oulyadi, H.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Saillard, J.-Y.; Sarazin, Y. [Metal](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC00436K)− metal bonded [alkaline-earth](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC00436K) distannyls. *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12* (20), 7098−7114.

(15) Hicks, J.; Underhill, E. J.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Maron, L.; Jones, C. A [Mixed-Valence](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201504818) Tri-Zinc Complex, [LZnZnZnL] (L = Bulky Amide), Bearing a Linear Chain of [Two-Coordinate](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201504818) Zinc Atoms. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, *54* (34), 10000−10004.

(16) Blake, M. P.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Mountford, P. [Probing](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b07866?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) the Limits of Alkaline Earth−Transition Metal Bonding: An [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b07866?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b07866?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137* (38), 12352− 12368.

(17) Stegner, P.; Färber, C.; Oetzel, J.; Siemeling, U.; Wiesinger, M.; Langer, J.; Pan, S.; Holzmann, N.; Frenking, G.; Albold, U.; et al. d[−](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202005774)d Dative Bonding Between Iron and the [Alkaline-Earth](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202005774) Metals Calcium, [Strontium,](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202005774) and Barium. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2020, *59* (34), 14615− 14620.

(18) Pan, C.; Shen, W.; Yang, L.; Bao, L.; Wei, Z.; Jin, P.; Fang, H.; Xie, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. [Crystallographic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC00941H) characterization of  $Y_2C_{2n}$ (2n = 82, 88−94): direct Y−Y bonding and [cage-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC00941H) cluster [evolution.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC00941H) *Chem. Sci.* 2019, *10* (17), 4707−4713.

(19) Yao, Y.-R.; Shi, X.-M.; Zheng, S.-Y.; Chen, Z.-C.; Xie, S.-Y.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S. [Atomically](https://doi.org/10.31635/ccschem.020.202000681) Precise Insights into Metal− Metal Bonds Using [Comparable](https://doi.org/10.31635/ccschem.020.202000681) Endo-Units of Sc<sub>2</sub> and Sc<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. *CCS Chem.* 2021, *3* (12), 294−302.

(20) Hu, S.; Shen, W.; Yang, L.; Duan, G.; Jin, P.; Xie, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. [Crystallographic](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201902321) and Theoretical Investigations of  $\text{Er}_2\omega\text{C}_{2n}$ (2n = 82, 84, 86): Indication of [Distance-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201902321) Metal-Metal [Bonding](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201902321) Nature. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2019, *25* (49), 11538−11544.

(21) Shen, W.; Bao, L.; Wu, Y.; Pan, C.; Zhao, S.; Fang, H.; Xie, Y.; Jin, P.; Peng, P.; Li, F.-F.; et al.  $Lu_2(\partial C_{2n}$   $(2n = 82, 84, 86)$ : [Crystallographic](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b04421?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Evidence of Direct Lu-Lu Bonding between Two Divalent Lutetium Ions Inside [Fullerene](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b04421?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139* (29), 9979−9984.

(22) Zheng, L.; Roselló, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yao, Y.-R.; Fan, X.; Poblet, J. M.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Chen, N. ScY@C<sub>3*v*</sub>(8)-C<sub>82</sub>: [Metal-Metal](https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.202300045) *σ*<sup>2</sup> Bond in Mixed Rare-Earth [Di-metallofullerenes](https://doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.202300045)†. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2023, *41* (15), 1809−1814.

(23) Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Morales-Martinez, R.; Zhong, J.; de Graaf, C.; Rodriguez-Fortea, A.; Poblet, J. M.; Echegoyen, L.; Feng, L.; Chen, N. U<sub>2</sub>@I<sub>h</sub>(7)-C<sub>80</sub>: Crystallographic [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b10865?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of a Long-Sought Dimetallic Actinide [Endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b10865?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Fullerene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140* (11), 3907−3915.

(24) Zhuang, J.; Morales-Martínez, R.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Yao, Y. R.; Pei, C.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Wang, S.; Echegoyen, L.; De Graaf, C.; Poblet, J. M.; Chen, N. [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22659-2) of a strong covalent Th<sup>3+</sup>–Th<sup>3+</sup> bond inside an *I<sub>h</sub>*(7)-C<sub>80</sub> [fullerene](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22659-2) cage. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12* (1), 2372.

(25) Yamada, M.; Kurihara, H.; Suzuki, M.; Saito, M.; Slanina, Z.; Uhlik, F.; Aizawa, T.; Kato, T.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L.; et al. Hiding and Recovering Electrons in a Dimetallic [Endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja509956y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Fullerene: Air-Stable Products from Radical [Additions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja509956y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137* (1), 232−238.

(26) Bao, L.; Chen, M.; Pan, C.; Yamaguchi, T.; Kato, T.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. [Crystallographic](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511930) Evidence for Direct Metal-Metal Bonding in a Stable [Open-Shell](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511930) La<sub>2</sub>@I<sub>h</sub>-C<sub>80</sub> [Derivative.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511930) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, *55* (13), 4242−4246.

(27) Liu, F.; Krylov, D. S.; Spree, L.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Samoylova, N. A.; Rosenkranz, M.; Kostanyan, A.; Greber, T.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Büchner, B.; Popov, A. A. Single [molecule](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16098) magnet with an unpaired electron trapped between two [lanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16098) ions inside a fullerene. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8* (1), 16098.

(28) Liu, F.; Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Spree, L.; Zalibera, M.; Ray, R.; Samoylova, N. A.; Chen, C. H.; Rosenkranz, M.; Schiemenz, S.; Ziegs, F.; Nenkov, K.; Kostanyan, A.; Greber, T.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Richter, M.; Büchner, B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Popov, A. A. [Air-stable](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08513-6) redox-active nanomagnets with lanthanide spins [radical-bridged](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08513-6) by a [metal-metal](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08513-6) bond. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1), 571.

(29) Liu, F.; Spree, L.; Krylov, D. S.; Velkos, G.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Popov, A. A. Single-Electron [Lanthanide-Lanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00373?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bonds Inside Fullerenes toward Robust [Redox-Active](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00373?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Molecular Magnets. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2019, *52* (10), 2981−2993.

(30) Wang, Y.; Velkos, G.; Israel, N. J.; Rosenkranz, M.; Buchner, B.; Liu, F.; Popov, A. A. Electrophilic [Trifluoromethylation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Dimetallofullerene](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Anions en Route to Air-Stable Single-Molecule Magnets with High Blocking Temperature of [Magnetization.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, *143* (43), 18139−18149.

(31) Zuo, T.; Xu, L.; Beavers, C. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Fu, W.; Crawford, T. D.; Balch, A. L.; Dorn, H. C.  $M_2 \omega C_{79}N$  (M = Y, Tb): Isolation and Characterization of Stable Endohedral [Metallofullerenes](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja802417d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Exhibiting M−M Bonding Interactions inside [Aza\[80\]fullerene](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja802417d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130* (39), 12992−12997.

(32) Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Kirkpatrick, K.; Liu, X.; Spree, L.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Buchner, B.; Dorn, H. C.; Popov, A. A. [Giant](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC00112J) exchange coupling and field-induced slow relaxation of [magnetization](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC00112J) in Gd<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>79</sub>N with a [single-electron](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC00112J) Gd-Gd bond. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54* (23), 2902−2905.

(33) Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Kirkpatrick, K.; Spree, L.; Dubrovin, V.; Büchner, B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Bezmelnitsyn, V.; Davis, S.; Faust, P.; et al. High Blocking Temperature of [Magnetization](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201900943) and Giant Coercivity in the [Azafullerene](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201900943) Tb<sub>2</sub> $\omega$ C<sub>79</sub>N with a Single-Electron [Terbium](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201900943)−Terbium Bond. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2019, *58* (18), 5891−5896.

(34) Wang, Y.; Xiong, J.; Su, J.; Hu, Z.; Ma, F.; Sun, R.; Tan, X.; Sun, H.-L.; Wang, B.-W.; Shi, Z.; et al.  $Dy_2(\partial C_{79}N:$  a new member of [dimetalloazafullerenes](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR02519D) with strong single molecular magnetism. *Nanoscale.* 2020, *12* (20), 11130−11135.

(35) Gould, C. A.; McClain, K. R.; Reta, D.; Kragskow, J. G. C.; Marchiori, D. A.; Lachman, E.; Choi, E.-S.; Analytis, J. G.; Britt, R. D.; Chilton, N. F.; et al. Ultrahard magnetism from [mixed-valence](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl5470) [dilanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl5470) complexes with metal-metal bonding. *Science.* 2022, *375* (6577), 198−202.

(36) Yan, Y.; Abella, L.; Sun, R.; Fang, Y. H.; Roselló, Y.; Shen, Y.; Jin, M.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; De Graaf, C.; Meng, Q.; Yao, Y. R.; Echegoyen, L.; Wang, B. W.; Gao, S.; Poblet, J. M.; Chen, N. [Actinide-lanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42165-x) single electron metal-metal bond formed in mixed-valence [di-metallofullerenes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42165-x) *Nat. Commun.* 2023, *14* (1), 6637.

(37) Xiang, W.; Hu, Z.; Xin, J.; Jin, H.; Jiang, Z.; Han, X.; Chen, M.; Yao, Y.-R.; Yang, S. Steering [Single-Electron](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c07686?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Metal−Metal Bonds and Hyperfine Coupling between a Transition [Metal-Lanthanide](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c07686?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Heteronuclear Bimetal [Confined](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c07686?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Carbon Cages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2023, *145* (41), 22599−22608.

(38) Krätschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, K.; Huffman, D. R. Solid C<sub>60</sub>: a new form of [carbon.](https://doi.org/10.1038/347354a0) *Nature*. **1990**, 347 (6291), 354− 358.

(39) Sakaguchi, K.; Fujii, R.; Kodama, T.; Nishikawa, H.; Ikemoto, I.; Achiba, Y.; Kikuchi, K. Production and [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.2007.832) of <span id="page-9-0"></span>[Heteroatom-encapsulated](https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.2007.832) Metallofullerene, CaHo@C<sub>82</sub>. *Chem. Lett.* 2007, *36* (7), 832−833.

(40) Popov, A. A.; Yang, S.; Dunsch, L. [Endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300297r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Fullerenes. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113* (8), 5989−6113.

(41) Tang, Q.; Abella, L.; Hao, Y.; Li, X.; Wan, Y.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Poblet, J. M.; Feng, L.; Chen, N. Sc<sub>2</sub>O@C<sub>2</sub><sup>*v*</sup>(5)-C<sub>80</sub>: [Dimetallic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01613?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Oxide Cluster Inside a C<sub>80</sub> [Fullerene](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01613?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cage. *Inorg. Chem.* 2015, 54 (20), 9845−9852.

(42) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. [Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) Gradient [Approximation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, *77* (18), 3865− 3868.

(43) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. [Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1396) Gradient [Approximation](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1396) Made Simple [Phys. *Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)]. Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1997, *78* (7), 1396−1396.

(44) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward reliable density [functional](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478522) methods without adjustable [parameters:](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478522) The PBE0 model. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999, *110* (13), 6158−6170.

(45) Perdew, J. P. [Density-functional](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.8822) approximation for the correlation energy of the [inhomogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.8822) electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B* 1986, *33* (12), 8822−8824.

(46) Becke, A. D. Density functional [calculations](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.450025) of molecular bond [energies.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.450025) *J. Chem. Phys.* 1986, *84* (8), 4524−4529.

(47) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A [consistent](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) and accurate ab initio [parametrization](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) of density functional dispersion [correction](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344) (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *132* (15), No. 154104.

(48) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the [damping](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21759) function in [dispersion](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21759) corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2011, *32* (7), 1456−1465.

(49) Valencia, R.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Clotet, A.; De Graaf, C.; Chaur, M. N.; Echegoyen, L.; Poblet, J. M. [Electronic](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900728) Structure and Redox Properties of Metal Nitride [Endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900728) Fullerenes  $M_3N@C_{2n}$ (M = Sc, Y, La, and Gd; 2n = 80, 84, 88, 92, [96\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900728) *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2009, *15* (41), 10997−11009.

(50) Bader, R. F. W. *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory*; Oxford University Press: 1990.

(51) Blake, M. P.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Mountford, P. [Heterobimetallic](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja207487j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Complexes [Containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja207487j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ca−Fe or Yb−Fe Bonds: Synthesis and Molecular and Electronic Structures of  $[M{CpFe(CO)_2}_2$ <sub>(THF)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub></sub> (M = Ca or [Yb\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja207487j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133* (39), 15358−15361. (52) Ma, Y.; Wang, T.; Wu, J.; Feng, Y.; Jiang, L.; Shu, C.; Wang, C.

[Susceptible](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc36435b) electron spin adhering to an yttrium cluster inside an [azafullerene](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc36435b) C79N. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, *48* (94), 11570−11572.

(53) Knorr, S.; Grupp, A.; Mehring, M.; Kirbach, U.; Bartl, A.; Dunsch, L. Pulsed ESR [investigations](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050664) of anisotropic interactions in M@C82 (M = [Sc,Y,La\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050664) *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.* 1998, *66* (3), 257−264.

(54) Zhao, C.; Wang, T.; Li, Y.; Meng, H.; Nie, M.; Tian, J.; Wang, C. Awaking [N-hyperfine](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP05444K) couplings in charged yttrium nitride [endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP05444K) fullerenes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *19* (39), 26846−26850.

(55) Wasielewski, M. R.; Forbes, M. D. E.; Frank, N. L.; Kowalski, K.; Scholes, G. D.; Yuen-Zhou, J.; Baldo, M. A.; Freedman, D. E.; Goldsmith, R. H.; Goodson, T.; et al. [Exploiting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-020-0200-5) chemistry and molecular systems for quantum [information](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-020-0200-5) science. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2020, *4* (9), 490−504.

(56) Ariciu, A. M.; Woen, D. H.; Huh, D. N.; Nodaraki, L. E.; Kostopoulos, A. K.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Chilton, N. F.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Evans, W. J.; Tuna, F. [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11309-3) electronic structure to prolong relaxation times in [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11309-3) qubits by minimising orbital angular [momentum.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11309-3) *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1), 3330.

(57) Amdur, M. J.; Mullin, K. R.; Waters, M. J.; Puggioni, D.; Wojnar, M. K.; Gu, M.; Sun, L.; Oyala, P. H.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Freedman, D. E. Chemical control of spin−lattice [relaxation](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC06130E) to discover a room [temperature](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC06130E) molecular qubit. *Chem. Sci.* 2022, *13* (23), 7034−7045.

(58) Benjamin, S. C.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Britz, D. A.; Gunlycke, D.; Jefferson, J.; Jones, M. A. G.; Leigh, D. F.; Lovett, B.

W.; Khlobystov, A. N.; et al. Towards a [fullerene-based](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/18/21/S12) quantum [computer.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/18/21/S12) *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* 2006, *18* (21), S867.

(59) Liu, Z.; Dong, B.-W.; Meng, H.-B.; Xu, M.-X.; Wang, T.-S.; Wang, B.-W.; Wang, C.-R.; Jiang, S.-D.; Gao, S. Qubit [crossover](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SC03749J) in the endohedral fullerene Sc<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>80</sub>. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, 9 (2), 457–462.

(60) Hu, Z.; Dong, B.-W.; Liu, Z.; Liu, J.-J.; Su, J.; Yu, C.; Xiong, J.; Shi, D.-E.; Wang, Y.; Wang, B.-W.; et al. Endohedral [Metallofullerene](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b12170?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as Molecular High Spin Qubit: Diverse Rabi Cycles in Gd<sub>2</sub>@C<sub>79</sub>N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140* (3), 1123−1130.

(61) Fu, P. X.; Zhou, S.; Liu, Z.; Wu, C. H.; Fang, Y. H.; Wu, Z. R.; Tao, X. Q.; Yuan, J. Y.; Wang, Y. X.; Gao, S.; Jiang, S. D. [Multiprocessing](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202212939) Quantum Computing through Hyperfine Couplings in [Endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202212939) Fullerene Derivatives. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2022, *61* (52), No. e202212939.

(62) Brown, R. M.; Ito, Y.; Warner, J. H.; Ardavan, A.; Shinohara, H.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Morton, J. J. L. Electron spin [coherence](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.033410) in [metallofullerenes:](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.033410) Y, Sc, and La@C82. *Phys. Rev. B* 2010, *82* (3), No. 033410.

(63) Chiesa, A.; Cugini, F.; Hussain, R.; Macaluso, E.; Allodi, G.; Garlatti, E.; Giansiracusa, M.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; et al. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174402) magnetic relaxation in single-ion magnets with high blocking [temperature.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174402) *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *101* (17), No. 174402.

(64) Goldfarb, D.; Stoll, S. *EPR spectroscopy: fundamentals and methods*; John Wiley & Sons: 2018.

(65) Meng, Q.; Abella, L.; Yang, W.; Yao, Y.-R.; Liu, X.; Zhuang, J.; Li, X.; Echegoyen, L.; Autschbach, J.; Chen, N. UCN@C<sub>s</sub>(6)-C<sub>82</sub>: An [Encapsulated](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07519?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Triangular UCN Cluster with Ambiguous U Oxidation State [\[U\(III\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07519?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) versus U(I)]. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, *143* (39), 16226− 16234.

(66) Zaripov, R. B.; Kandrashkin, Y. E.; Salikhov, K. M.; Büchner, B.; Liu, F.; Rosenkranz, M.; Popov, A. A.; Kataev, V. [Unusually](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR06114J) large hyperfine structure of the electron spin levels in an [endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR06114J) [dimetallofullerene](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR06114J) and its spin coherent properties. *Nanoscale.* 2020, *12* (39), 20513−20521.

(67) Hu, Z.; Ullah, A.; Prima-Garcia, H.; Chin, S. H.; Wang, Y.; Aragó, J.; Shi, Z.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E. [Binding](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101922) Sites, Vibrations and Spin-Lattice Relaxation Times in [Europium\(II\)-Based](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101922) [Metallofullerene](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101922) Spin Qubits. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2021, *27* (52), 13242− 13248.

(68) Liu, Z.; Huang, H.; Wang, Y.-X.; Dong, B.-W.; Sun, B.-Y.; Jiang, S.-D.; Gao, S. Amination of the  $Gd\omega C_{82}$  [endohedral](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SC02182B) fullerene: tunable [substitution](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SC02182B) effect on quantum coherence behaviors. *Chem. Sci.* 2020, *11* (39), 10737−10743.

(69) Cho, H.; Pfenninger, S.; Gemperle, C.; Schweiger, A.; Ernst, R. R. Zero deadtime pulsed ESR by remote echo [detection.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)87616-X) *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1989, *160* (4), 391−395.

(70) Tyryshkin, A. M.; Dikanov, S. A.; Goldfarb, D. Sum [Harmonics](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1993.1281) [Combination](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1993.1281) in Four-Pulse ESEEM Spectra. Study of the Ligand Geometry in Aqua-Vanadyl Complexes in [Polycrystalline](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1993.1281) and Glass [Matrices.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1993.1281) *J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A* 1993, *105* (3), 271−283.

(71) Graham, M. J.; Yu, C.-J.; Krzyaniak, M. D.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Freedman, D. E. Synthetic Approach To [Determine](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b13030?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) the Effect of Nuclear Spin Distance on Electronic Spin [Decoherence.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b13030?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139* (8), 3196−3201.