

Article

CaY@C_{2n}: Exploring Molecular Qubits with Ca-Y Metal-Metal Bonds

Jiawei Qiu,[#] Laura Abella,[#] Xiya Du,[#] Zhengkai Cao, Zhiwen He, Qingyu Meng, Yingjing Yan, Josep M. Poblet, Lei Sun,* Antonio Rodríguez-Fortea,* and Ning Chen*

Cite This: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2024, 146, 24310–24319



ACCESS Metrics & More Article Recommendations Supporting Information ABSTRACT: Metal-metal bonding is crucial in chemistry for advancing our understanding of the fundamental aspects of chemical

advancing our understanding of the fundamental aspects of chemical bonds. Metal-metal bonds based on alkaline-earth (Ae) elements, especially the heavier Ae elements (Ca, Sr, and Ba), are rarely reported due to their high electropositivity. Herein, we report two heteronuclear di-EMFs $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$, which contain unprecedented single-electron Ca-Y metal-metal bonds. These compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and DFT calculations. The crystallographic study of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ shows that Ca and Y are successfully encapsulated into the carbon cage with a Ca-Y distance of 3.691 Å. The CW-EPR study of both $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and



 $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$ exhibits a doublet, suggesting the presence of an unpaired electron located between Ca and Y. The combined experimental and theoretical results confirm the presence of a Ca-Y single-electron metal-metal bond with substantial covalent interaction, attributed to significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and the 5s5p4d orbitals of Y. Furthermore, pulse EPR spectroscopy was used to investigate the quantum coherence of the electron spin within this bond. The unpaired electron, characterized by its s orbital nature, is effectively protected by the carbon cage, resulting in efficient suppression of both spin-lattice relaxation and decoherence. $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ behaves as an electron spin qubit, displaying a maximum decoherence time of 7.74 μ s at 40 K. This study reveals an unprecedented Ae-rare-earth metal-metal bond stabilized by the fullerene cages and elucidates the molecular qubit properties stemming from their unique bonding character, highlighting their potential in quantum information processing applications.

INTRODUCTION

Metal-metal bonds are of great significance in expanding our understanding of the nature of chemical bonding.¹⁻³ Over the past two decades, a number of remarkable discoveries like Zn-Zn,⁴ Cr-Cr,⁵ Mg-Mg,⁶ and Be-Be⁷ bonds, have been reported, attracting great attention to metal-metal bonding. To date, the studies of metal-metal bonding are mainly focusing on the main⁸ and transition group⁹ elements. Metalmetal bonds based on alkaline-earth (Ae) elements, especially the heavier Ae elements (Ca, Sr, and Ba), are rarely reported due to their high electropositivity. In this case, only a few examples of metal-metal bonds involving Ae metals have been reported so far. All of these bonds are formed between Ae and main-group^{1,10-14} or transition metals^{1,15-17} with the exception of Be-Be and Mg-Mg bonds. In particular, the formation of metal-metal bonds between Ae metals and rareearth (Re) metals is challenging with conventional synthetic methods due to the high electropositivity of both Ae and Re metals. To the best of our knowledge, this kind of metal-metal bond has not been reported to date.

Metal atoms can be encapsulated into the internal cavities of fullerenes to form endohedral metallofullerenes (EMFs), which are stabilized by transferring electrons from the metals to the carbon cages. Di-EMFs, i.e., carbon cages encapsulating two metal atoms, are considered as an ideal model for investigating metal—metal bonding. On the one hand, carbon cages can protect metal dimers from external influences. On the other hand, the Coulomb repulsion between the metal ions can be limited by the confinement effect of the carbon cages, which can shorten the distance between the metals and thus facilitate the formation of metal—metal bonds.¹⁸ Indeed, recent studies have indicated that direct metal—metal bonds can be formed between the metal ions encapsulated in di-EMFs, such as M₂@ C₈₂ (M₂ = Sc₂.¹⁹ Y₂.¹⁸ Er₂.²⁰ Lu₂.²¹ and ScY²²) and M₂@C₈₀ (M₂ = U₂.²³ and Th₂.²⁴).

In particular, single-electron metal-metal bonds can also be obtained in the form of dimetallofullerene derivatives²⁵⁻³⁰ or azafullerenes.³¹⁻³⁴ With the encapsulated [Ln-e-Ln] bonding motifs (Ln = Dy, Gd, and Tb), these di-EMFs exhibit excellent

Received:April 5, 2024Revised:August 8, 2024Accepted:August 9, 2024Published:August 21, 2024





a)

magnetic properties.²⁹ Such special properties of singleelectron metal-metal bonds have also been found in organometallic chemistry. Recently, Gould et al. reported the dilanthanide complexes (Cp^{iPr5})₂Ln₂I₃ with single-electron Ln-Ln bonds (Ln = Gd, Tb, or Dy), which displayed the highest 100 s blocking temperatures among all reported singlemolecule magnets up to date.³⁵

Recently, we reported a series of mixed-valence di-EMFs with single-electron actinide-lanthanide metal-metal bonds, namely, ThDy@C_{2n} (2n = 72, 76, 78, and 80) and ThY@C_{2n} (2n = 72 and 78).³⁶ Surprisingly, these di-EMFs are stable in their pristine form and do not require any derivatization. A similar case was reported by Yang et al., who synthesized the endofullerene LaTi@ C_{2n}^{37} Both fullerene families share a common feature: the two encapsulated metal atoms have an odd sum of valence electrons, which appears to facilitate the formation of the single-electron metal-metal bonds inside the pristine fullerene cages. This inspires us to explore the possibility of an Ae-Re metal-metal bond, in which alkaline-earth elements possess two valence electrons and rare-earth elements possess three valence electrons.

Herein, we report the successful synthesis and characterizations of Ca-based heteronuclear di-EMFs, i.e., CaY@C_s(6)- C_{82} and $CaY @ C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80}$. These novel compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and theoretical calculations. We identified the formation of an unprecedented Ca-Y single-electron metalmetal bond inside a carbon cage. Moreover, the electron in this bond exhibits significant spin coherence at relatively high temperatures, behaving as a qubit with potential utility for quantum information science.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

 $CaY@C_{2n}$ (2n = 80 and 82) were synthesized by a modified Krätschmer-Huffman DC arc discharge method.³⁸ In brief, 0.33 g of CaO, 0.67 g of Y₂O₃, and 2.13 g of graphite powder (molar ratio of Ca:Y:C = 1:1:30) were packed in each graphite rod (6.7 g, without filling). About 300 graphite rods were vaporized in the arcing chamber under a 200 Torr He atmosphere. The resulting carbon soot was extracted by CS₂ for 24 h. Then, a multiple-step HPLC procedure was employed to isolate and purify CaY@ C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82). The purity of $CaY (DC_{2n} (2n = 80 \text{ and } 82))$ was confirmed by the single peaks in the HPLC chromatogram and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). The MALDI-TOF-MS spectra of CaY@ C_{82} and $CaY@C_{80}$ show single peaks at m/z = 1112.898 and 1088.856, respectively, and their isotopic distributions agree well with the calculated ones (Figure S3). The estimated yields of CaY@C₈₀ and CaY@C₈₂ are ca. 0.20 and 0.22 mg, respectively.

Ca-based heteronuclear di-EMFs have rarely been reported before. The only study of the synthesis of CaHo@C₈₂ was reported in 2007.³⁹ However, lacking characterization and quantum-chemical studies, the molecular and electronic structure of this compound has never been identified. In this study, black block cocrystals of CaY@ C_{82} ·[Ni^{II}(OEP)]·2C₆H₆ (OEP = 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin anion) were obtained by slow diffusion from a benzene solution of $Ni^{II}(OEP)$ into a CS_2 solution of $CaY@C_{82}$. The molecular structure of CaY@C82 was unambiguously determined by

single-crystal X-ray diffraction and refined as $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ which was monoclinic with the C2/m space group (Figure 1).





Figure 1. (a) ORTEP drawing for $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ ·[Ni^{II}(OEP)] with 15% thermal ellipsoids. Only one cage orientation and the major Ca and Y sites are shown. For clarity, the solvent molecule and minor metal sites are omitted. (b) Detailed structure of the major Ca and Y sites interacting with the closest fragments of the $C_s(6)$ - C_{82} cage.

Due to the crystallographic mirror plane of the C2/m space group, the carbon cage of $CaY @C_s(6)-C_{82}$ has two equivalent orientations with the same occupancy of 0.5. The metal atoms inside the carbon cage exhibit some degree of disorder. Ca1 and Y1 were identified as the major sites for Ca and Y atoms, respectively, with an occupancy of 0.3157(17) for both. Ca1_m and Y1_m are generated from Ca1 and Y1 through the crystallographic mirror plane. The minor sites, namely, Ca2, Ca3, Y2, and Y3, are all located on the crystallographic mirror plane. Ca2 and Y2 have the same occupancy (0.172(3)) as well as for Ca3 and Y3 (0.196(3)). For clarity, only one orientation of the carbon cage and the major sites of internal metal atoms, i.e., Ca1 and Y1, are selected for further analysis. Similar to the position of Y3 in $Y_2@C_s(6)-C_{82}$,¹⁸ Y1 resides

over the [6,6] carbon bond with the shortest Y cage distances

pubs.acs.org/JACS



Figure 2. For CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ (top) and CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ (bottom); (a) DFT-optimized structure, where the Ca-Y distance (in Å) is indicated. (b) Spin density distribution with an isosurface of ±0.002 au, Mulliken spin populations (MSP), and amount of s spin density population (s Pop.) of the metals. (c) Molecular orbital (MO) isosurface (±0.03 au) for the delocalized σ orbital a_1 for the α -spin with the corresponding MO energy (in eV). (d) Molecular orbital contributions of the σ -type bonding orbital formed essentially by *ns*, *np*, and (*n* - 1)d metal orbitals.

ranging from 2.252 to 2.288 Å, which is in good agreement with the density functional theory (DFT) calculations (2.345-2.357 Å, Figure S6). This Y cage distance is slightly shorter than that in $Y_2 @C_s(6) - C_{82}$ (ranges from 2.323 to 2.331 Å in the X-ray structure and from 2.407 to 2.421 Å at the DFT level), which suggests that the Y cage interaction is enhanced by replacing the other Y atom with a Ca atom. Furthermore, when a Y atom is replaced with a Ca atom, the position of the respective metal atom within the carbon cage is altered. Different from Y5 in $Y_2 @C_s(6)-C_{82}$, which is located near the [5,6] bond, Ca1 in CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ is located at the junction of the three hexagons with the Ca cage shortest distance of 2.387 Å. A similar phenomenon has been observed when a Sc atom replaces a Y atom in $Y_2 @C_{3\nu}(8) - C_{82}$.²² The distance of Ca1–Y1 is determined to be 3.691 Å (vs 3.705 Å in calculations), which is comparable to the Y-Y distance in $Y_2 @C_s(6) - C_{82}$ (3.635 Å vs 3.613 Å in calc.)¹⁸ and the Sc-Y distance in ScY@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂ (3.674 Å).²² Considering the presence of metal-metal bonds in both $Y_2 @C_s(6) - C_{s2}$ and $ScY@C_{3v}(8)-C_{82v}$ this Ca-Y distance might indicate that there is a bonding interaction between Ca and Y.

The electronic features of $CaY@C_{2n}$ (2n = 80 and 82) were studied by UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy (Figure S5). The spectrum of $CaY@C_s(6)$ - C_{82} exhibits absorption peaks at 765, 828, and 1190 nm, which are similar to those of $M_2@$ $C_s(6)$ - C_{82} (M = Lu,²¹ Y,¹⁸ and Er^{20}). It is well-known that the UV-vis-NIR spectra of EMFs with the same isomer structure and formal charge state of the carbon cage are almost identical due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the cage.⁴⁰ Consequently, this UV-vis-NIR spectrum suggests that $CaY@C_s(6)$ - C_{82} (M = Lu, Y, and Er), namely, $(CaY)^{4+}@C_{82}^{4-}$. The spectrum of $CaY@C_{80}$ exhibits a major absorption peak at 672 nm, which is similar to the one observed in the spectrum of $Sc_2O@C_{2\nu}(5)$ - C_{80} .⁴¹ This suggests that the $C_{2\nu}(5)$ - C_{80} isomer is the same as the cage isomer of CaY@C_{80}, which can be formally described as $(CaY)^{4+} @C_{80}^{4-}.$

To analyze the electronic structure and the Ca-Y bonding interaction, DFT calculations at the PBE0/TZP/D3⁴²⁻⁴⁸ level were performed for CaY@ C_{2n} (2*n* = 80 and 82) cages, showing a spin-doublet ground electronic state for both of them (see computational details for more information). Different positions of Ca and Y atoms within the $C_{2\nu}(5)$ - C_{80} and $C_{s}(6)$ - C_{82} cages were computed to analyze the most likely location of the metals inside the cages (see Tables S3 and S4 and Figures S8 and S9). Our calculations show that the lowestenergy structure of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ corresponds to the one observed in the X-ray structure. Other positions of the metals show a relative energy of 3.5 kcal·mol⁻¹ (orientation B in Table S4 and Figure S9) and around 9 kcal mol^{-1} (C-E), which confirm the somewhat degree of disorder found in experiments. DFT optimizations of the crystallographic Ca2-Y2 and Ca3-Y3 positions evolve to orientation C (Figure S14). For CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀, the lowest-energy arrangement of the metals corresponds to their positioning analogous to the sites occupied by Sc atoms in $Sc_2O@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$.⁴¹ If the metal atoms exchange their positions, the relative energy increases to 4.2 kcal·mol⁻¹. Other positions of the metal atoms are found at higher energies (>12.2 kcal·mol⁻¹).

The following description corresponds to the lowest-energy geometries of $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$ and $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ (Figure 2). The optimized Ca–Y distances are 3.841 and 3.705 Å for $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$ and $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$, respectively, resulting in the latter to be very close to experiments (3.691 Å). For $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$, the Ca and Y are both located at [6,6] bonds of pyracylene motifs, showing that the Y metal–cage distances (2.357 Å) are closer than those of Ca (2.473 Å) (Figure S7). In $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$, the Ca is located at the center of a hexagon from an s-indacene motif with the closest metal–cage distance of 2.490 Å (vs 2.387 Å in experiments), while the Y is placed

pubs.acs.org/JACS

Article

Table 1. C	Computed EPR	and Electronic and	Structural Parameter	s for Differen	t XY@C _{2n} S	ystems ^g
------------	--------------	--------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------------	---------------------

	$CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$	$SrY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$	$CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$	$SrY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$	$ThY@D_{3h}(5)-C_{78}$	Y2@C80-CH2Ph	Y ₂ @C ₇₉ N
g-value	1.983 (1.983)	1.972	1.979 (1.982)	1.969	1.803 (1.825)	1.978	1.975 (1.974)
A^{a}	236 (252)	266	245 (260)	275	206 (200)	204 (224)	203 (224)
$d(Y-X)^{b}$	3.841	3.750	3.704 (3.147)	3.657	4.137 (4.144)	3.879	3.863
spin Y ^c	0.68	0.72	0.68	0.73	0.59	0.53	0.53
spin X ^c	0.39	0.34	0.38	0.34	0.43	0.52	0.52
s Pop. ^d	27.1	30.0	27.5	30.7	22.4	21.4	21.3
$\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{LUMOe}$	-3.50	-3.34	-3.59	-3.38	-3.68	-3.95	-4.01
$\rho_{\rm bcp}^{f}$	0.091	0.106	0.100	0.112	0.107	0.108	0.109
$\nabla^2 \rho_{\rm bcp}^{f}$	-0.063	-0.027	-0.026	-0.015	-0.194	-0.204	-0.201
-							

^{*a*}Hyperfine coupling (in MHz). ^{*b*}Metal-metal distance (in Å). ^{*c*}Atomic Mulliken spin densities for Y and X. ^{*d*}Amount of the s spin density population on Y (in %). ^{*e*}Energies of the sigma LUMO (beta) orbital (in eV). ^{*f*}Electron density and Laplacian of the electron density at the bond critical points are given in [e Å⁻³] and [e Å⁻⁵], respectively. ^{*s*}Experimental values are in parentheses.

on top of a [6,6] bond of a pyracylene motif with metal–cage distances of 2.345–2.357 Å (Figure S6), slightly larger than those from experiments (2.252–2.288 Å). The position of Y in a pyracylene motif in CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ and CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ is in accordance to the largest molecular orbital contribution of the LUMO+1 of neutral $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ and $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ cages, as well as to the most negative region of the potential electrostatic maps of $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀^{4–} and $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂^{4–} anions (see Figures S10 and S11, respectively).

Figure 2b shows the spin density distributions for CaY@C_{2n}, indicating that the unpaired electron is delocalized between the two metal atoms. Additionally, Figure 2c illustrates that the unpaired electron resides in an a_1 sigma-type orbital, which results from a significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and 5s5p4d of Y (Figure 2d). Despite the fairly symmetric appearance of the spin density distribution and the sigma a_1 orbital representation, the atomic Mulliken spin populations of approximately 0.4 e for Ca and 0.7 e for Y indicate that the metal—metal bond displays a certain degree of polarization. This polarization is primarily driven by the s-type orbitals, with associated s spin density populations of around 16% for Ca and 27% for Y.

These data indicate that the formal oxidation states would be close to 1.5+ for Ca and 2.5+ for Y, if the unpaired electrons were equally shared. Thus, there is an electron transfer of four electrons from the CaY cluster to the C_{2n} cage, resulting in an electronic structure of $(CaY)^{4+} @(C_{2n})^{4-}$ according to the ionic model. The molecular orbital (MO) diagrams for the ground spin-doublet state of $CaY(@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80} \text{ and } CaY(@C_s(6)-C_{82} \text{ are}$ provided in the Supporting Information (SI). As mentioned, a delocalized sigma-bonding orbital a_1 is observed in both CaY@ C_{2n} cages (Figure 2c) with the energy depending on the metal-metal bond length. Specifically, the shorter the Ca-Y distance (3.841 vs 3.705 Å), the lower the energy of the σ bonding orbital (-6.18 vs -6.27 eV, respectively). In addition, both oxidation and reduction⁴⁹ of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ are predicted to take place on the carbon cage, with the oneelectron Ca–Y σ -bond remaining essentially unaltered (Figure S15).

Two notable features distinguish this novel Ae–Ln bond from the recently detected one-electron σ bond in ThLn@C_{2n} (Ln = Y and Dy).³⁶ (i) It exhibits some degree of polarization due to the larger electronegativity difference between Y (Pauling, 1.22) and Ca (1.00). (ii) There is minimal involvement of the 3d orbitals from Ca (Figure 2d). Additionally, this direct Ca–Y interaction clearly demonstrates that under specific conditions, the Ca orbitals can indeed participate in covalent interactions.

To further characterize the Ae(Ca)-Ln(Y) bond interaction, we performed the Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules⁵⁰ analysis. Bader postulated the bond critical point (bcp) between two atoms as a necessary and sufficient condition for the atoms to be bonded. The corresponding values of the electron density (ρ) and the Laplacian of the electron density $(\nabla^2 \rho)$ at the bcp for CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ and $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ are provided in Table 1, which verify the presence of an accumulation of charge density in the center of the metal-metal bond. The electron density values of both $CaY@C_{2n}$ systems closely resemble those of other reported systems, such as ThY($D_{3h}(5)$ -C₇₈,³⁶ Y₂(C_{80} -CH₂Ph,²⁷ and $Y_2 @C_{79} N^{31}$ as well as the hypothetical $SrY @C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80}$ and $SrY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ cages (see Table 1). It is worth noting that CaY@C_{2n} systems present slightly lower electron density values compared to the corresponding $SrY@C_{2n}$ endohedral fullerenes, which show smaller metal-metal distances. All these systems present a negative sign in the Laplacian of the electron density; however, M-Y (M = Ca and Sr) systems show lower absolute values than for the other calculated EMFs. Larger absolute values of $\nabla^2 \rho$ are found for Ca–Y compared to Sr–Y systems, consistent with the fact that the Sr–Y σ bonds are somewhat more polarized. Interestingly, the CaY@ C_{2n} systems exhibit the largest ρ values among other experimental reported Ca-X (X = Al, Sn, Co, and Fe) complexes. 10,14,16,51 Therefore, for instance, a Ca-Fe bond with a bond length of 2.98 $Å^{51}$ displays a charge density of 0.022 e/Å³, considerably lower than that observed for Ca-Y, even though the latter has a significantly longer bond length (Table 1). Furthermore, the Ca–Y bond shows a negative sign on the $\nabla^2 \rho$, consistent with the Ca-Y covalent interaction deduced from the singly occupied delocalized σ orbital. The Ca-Y bonds characterized in this study can be considered as the bonds with the highest degree of covalent character involving a calcium atom reported to date.

Continuous-wave (CW) EPR spectroscopy experiments were also performed on CaY@C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82) to obtain further information on their open-shell electronic structure. As is shown in Figure 3, the EPR spectra of purified CaY@C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82) in a CS₂ solvent show, for each compound, a doublet at 290, 270, 250, 220, and 190 K, which indicates hyperfine coupling between an unpaired electron and an ⁸⁹Y nucleus (nuclear spin I = 1/2 of ⁸⁹Y, 100% natural abundance, while the nuclear spin of ⁴⁰Ca is 0 in 96.941% natural abundance). As the temperature decreases, the high magnetic



Figure 3. EPR X-band spectra of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ (a) and $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$ (b) measured in CS₂ solution at 290, 270, 250, 220, 190, and 150 K. (c) Representation of the correlation between the hyperfine coupling constant (in MHz) and the amount of the s spin density population located on the Y atom (in %) for all the XY@C_{2n} systems shown in Table 1. The correlation coefficient *r* is also given.

field signal becomes increasingly more dominant compared to the low magnetic field signal. Such a kind of paramagnetic anisotropy results from insufficient rotational averaging due to the restricted motion of the Y nucleus and the unpaired electron.⁵² At 150 K, the hyperfine structure disappears, and the spectrum exhibits a single broad peak since the nonglassy CS₂ solvent freezes at this temperature, causing molecular aggregation where spin-spin dipolar interaction dominates over the hyperfine interaction. Fitting of the EPR spectra collected at 290 K revealed that the hyperfine coupling constants (abbreviated as hfcc or A below) of CaY@C_s(6)-C₈₂ and CaY@C_{2ν}(5)-C₈₀ are 260 and 252 MHz, respectively (Figure S16). These hfcc constants are significantly larger than those found for Y@C₈₂ whose electron spin mainly resides on the carbon cage.⁵³ Thus, the strong hyperfine coupling in CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ indicates that their unpaired electrons are mainly metal-centered, as confirmed by the spin density distribution (Figure 2b).⁵⁴ The Lorentzian line shape of EPR spectra indicates negligible hyperfine coupling from ¹³C, which is consistent with the result obtained from pulse EPR characterization (*vide infra*). The isotropic *g*values of CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ are 1.9819 and 1.9827, respectively, which are comparable to those of Y₂@ C₇₉N (g = 1.9740)³¹ and Y₂@C₈₀(CH₂Ph) (g = 1.9733), as well as their spin densities.²⁷ Thus, EPR experiments also indicate the formation of a single-electron metal–metal bond between Ca and Y.

DFT calculations are in full agreement with experiments (Table 1). The calculated g-values for CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ and CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ are 1.983 and 1.979, respectively, nearly identical with the experimental values. The calculated hfcc are 236 MHz for CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ and 245 MHz for CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂, in good agreement with the experimental values (vs 252 and 260 MHz, respectively). The spin density located on the Y atom is the same for both CaY@ C_{2n} cages (0.68 e). The slightly larger hfcc in CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ than in CaY@ $C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀ is most likely related to the larger amount of the s spin density population located on yttrium (27.5 vs 27.1%). These data are consistent with the electronic structure, as they indicate that the unpaired electron is confined to yttrium and delocalized on calcium, suggesting the formation of a polarized single-electron bond between Y and Ca atoms.

To further explore the electronic structure and EPR parameters on CaY@C_{2n} systems, a computational study involving other XY@C_{2n} families was performed. Table 1 provides the most relevant data from this DFT study. We have worked with some previously reported systems ThY@ $D_{3h}(5)$ - C_{78}^{36} Y₂@C₈₀(CH₂Ph),²⁷ and Y₂@C₇₉N,³¹ as well as with the hypothetical SrY@ C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82) family, where the Ca is replaced with a Sr atom in the corresponding CaY@C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82) cages. Our calculations reproduce very well the experimental g-values and the hfcc of all of the systems analyzed herein, as seen in Table 1. ThY@D_{3h}(5)-C₇₈ shows the lowest g-value among these systems, and its hfcc is significantly lower than those of CaY@C2n cages but very similar to Y₂@C₈₀(CH₂Ph) and Y₂@C₇₉N. This fact is related to the spin density of Y as well as the amount of the s spin density population located on the Y atom. For ThY@ $D_{3h}(5)$ -C78, Y2@C80(CH2Ph), and Y2@C79N, all of these values are very similar. CaY@C_{2n} cages show larger values of Mulliken spin density on Y (0.68 vs \sim 0.59) and a larger amount of the s population on Y (27% vs ~22%). Therefore, larger values of hfcc are observed in the CaY@C_{2n} cages. For the SrY@C_{2n} (2n = 80 and 82) family, although similar g-values are found, this hypothetical family displays the largest hfcc (266 MHz for $SrY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$ and 275 MHz for $SrY@C_{s}(6)-C_{82}$, which is also in line with the larger values of Mulliken spin density of Y $(\sim 0.72 \text{ e})$ and the amount of the s spin density population on Y (\sim 30%). Interestingly, a good correlation exists between the hfcc and the amount of the s population on the Y atom (Figure 3c). A reasonably acceptable correlation is still found if hfcc values are plotted with respect to the total spin population on Y (see Figure S13). We note that all of these systems present a two-center single-electron σ bond.

The demonstration of single-electron bonds in $CaY @ C_{2n}$ inspired us to investigate their potential as electron spin qubits. A spin qubit should possess a long spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) and decoherence time (T_2) at relatively high temper-



Figure 4. X-band pulse EPR of CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ dissolved in toluene. (a) Echo-detected field sweep (EDFS) spectrum at 90 K under a microwave frequency of 9.656 GHz. (b) Temperature dependence of T_1 and T_2 . (c) Corresponding $1/T_1$ versus temperature. The red line is a fit to the data by the Raman relaxation process, $\frac{1}{T_1} = A_{\text{Raman}}T^m$, where A_{Raman} and T are the weighting coefficient and temperature, respectively. The fitted exponent *m* is 2.37. (d) HYSCORE spectrum collected at 30 K. (e) Rabi oscillations using various microwave attenuations. (f) Relationship between the Rabi frequency and $B_{\text{MW output}}/B_{\text{MW input}}$ where $B_{\text{MW output}}$ and $B_{\text{MW input}}$ represent the magnetic field of the output microwave and input microwave, respectively, the latter of which is equal to $10^{-A/20 \text{ dB}}$ (A represents the microwave attenuations in the unit of dB). The red line is a linear fit to the data.

atures (above 77 K) to facilitate its implementation in quantum information technologies.55 As revealed by the DFT calculations (Table 1), the partially distributed electrons on both Ca and Y exhibit significant s orbital character. Because the s electron is free of orbital angular momentum, its spin-orbit coupling is naturally quenched, giving rise to slow spin-lattice relaxation.^{56,57} Since T_1 serves as the upper limit to T_{2} , $T_{2,\text{max}} = 2T_{1}$, the electron spin with s orbital character likely maintains quantum coherence at relatively high temperatures. Such an effect has manifested itself in an Y2+-based coordination complex, $[K(2,2,2-cryptand)][Y(C_5H_4SiMe_3)_3]$, whose ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ -like ground state shows microsecond-scale T_{1} and T_2 at room temperature.⁵⁶ In addition, the carbon cage provides a rigid, isolated, and almost nuclear spin-free environment to the endohedral electron spin, which suppresses spin-lattice relaxation and decoherence.⁵⁸ As a result, fullerenes encompassing spin centers could exhibit an excellent qubit performance. For instance, $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}$ maintains coherence at room temperature in solution,⁵⁹ Gd₂@C₇₉N behaves as a qudit with a large ground spin state (S = 15/2),⁶⁰ and functionalized N@C₆₀ displays an exceptionally long T_2 (tens of microseconds at 77 K) and multiple addressable quantum states that enable quantum error correction and simultaneous operations of two quantum logic gates.⁶¹ It is also noteworthy that $Y@C_{82}$ displays coherence up to 130 K albeit its electron spin distributes dominantly on the carbon cage.⁶² Putting together, the electron residing on the Ca-Y bond should display slow spin-lattice relaxation and maintain coherence at elevated temperatures.

We chose $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ as an example and characterized its electron spin dynamics by X-band pulse EPR spectroscopy. To avoid the molecular aggregation observed in the CS₂ solution, we dissolved this compound in toluene, which is a glassy solvent. The echo-detected field sweep (EDFS) spectrum (Figure 4a) collected at 90 K shows features of ganisotropy. Fitting of this spectrum revealed $g_{\parallel} = 1.99948(6)$ and $g_{\perp} = 1.97259(1)$ as well as anisotropic hyperfine splitting constants with $A_{\parallel} = 296.1(5)$ MHz and $A_{\perp} = 249.6(1)$ MHz. These values translate to isotropic $g_{iso} = 1.98159$ and $A_{iso} =$ 266.0 MHz, which are consistent with the above-mentioned results of solution-phase CW-EPR and DFT calculations. The anisotropy and hyperfine coupling together give rise to four addressable EPR transitions, which likely exhibit comparable spin dynamics according to the previous study on $[K(2,2,2-cryptand)][Y(C_5H_4SiMe_3)_3]^{.56}$ Thus, we focused on the transition centered at approximately 354 mT in the following studies (the exact magnetic field varies with the microwave frequency used for the specific experiment).

We were able to acquire T_1 and T_2 of CaY@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ from 10 to 170 K (Figures S17–S19 and Table S5); toluene melting and fast relaxation prevented measurements above 170 K. As shown in Figure 4b, T_1 gradually decreases with an increasing temperature from 8.09 ms at 10 K to 9.5 μ s at 170 K. The persistence of T_1 up to 170 K is mainly attributed to the s orbital character of the Ca–Y single-electron bond as discussed above.⁵⁶ The relaxation rate, $1/T_1$, is proportional to $T^{2.37}$ (Trepresents temperature, Figure 4c), which may be attributed to the Raman relaxation mechanism in the high-temperature regime. When the experimental temperature is much higher than the Debye temperature (T_D) , i.e., $T \gg T_D$, all acoustic phonons are thermally accessible, giving rise to $1/T_1 \propto T^2$ behavior that is consistent with our experimental observation.^{63,64} This indicates that $T_D \ll 10$ K, which is significantly lower than those of conventional inorganic solid-state materials that are typically hundreds of Kelvin. The exceptionally small T_D is likely the result of weak intramolecular interaction between the Ca–Y moiety and the C₈₂ cage as well as weak intermolecular interaction between the C₈₂ cage and toluene.^{24,63,65} Thus, the temperature dependence of T_1 reinforces our hypothesis that the carbon cage protects the Ca–Y single-electron bond from the environment.

The coherence of the toluene solution of $CaY @C_s(6) - C_{82}$ is mainly limited by nuclear spin flip-flops and spin relaxation. The T_2 is dramatically shorter than the T_1 from 10 to 170 K, indicating that the dominant sources of decoherence are environmental nuclear spins. Under 40 K, the T_2 gradually increases from 6.52 to 7.74 μ s with an increasing temperature (Figure 4b). This phenomenon is likely associated with rotation of the methyl group in toluene, which facilitates the nuclear spin flip and reduces T_2 . Such enhancement of decoherence may be the most significant at a temperature lower than 10 K when the rotation resonates with the Larmor precession of nuclear spins, and it weakens at higher temperatures as a result of detuning, leading to a positive relationship between T_2 and temperatures above 10 K.^{62,64} As the temperature increases above 40 K, the T_2 first gradually decreases to 7.18 μ s at 70 K. It then drops sharply above 70 K and reaches 1.22 μ s at 120 K. These trends imply that the spin relaxation starts to limit coherence above 40 K.⁶² Finally, the T_2 tends to level off above the glass temperature of toluene $(T_{glass} = 113 \text{ K})$, and it becomes unmeasurable above the melting point of toluene (T_{melt} = 178.2 K). With the quantum coherence at 170 K, $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ joins $Sc@C_{82}$, $Y@C_{82}$, La $(@C_{82}, Sc_2(@C_{80}(CH_2Ph)))$, and $Sc_3C_2(@C_{80})$ to form a subclass of high-temperature EMF qubits that are compatible with a liquid nitrogen-cooled environment.^{59,62,66} Another subclass, e.g., $Eu@C_{2n}$ (2n = 74, 80, 82, and 84), $Gd@C_{82}$, animated Gd@C₈₂, and Gd₂@C₇₉N, displays coherence at much lower temperatures (below 20 K) likely due to the f orbital character of their unpaired electrons.^{60,67,68} Their operation requires liquid-helium cooling or other sophisticated cryogenic technologies.

To gain a deeper understanding of the spin decoherence mechanism, we studied the nuclear spin bath of $CaY@C_s(6)$ -C82 by hyperfine spectroscopy. Specifically, we conducted remotely detected combination-peak electron spin echo envelope modulation (CP-ESEEM) experiments at 30 K (Figure S20a).^{69,70} This sequence probes the modulation of electron spin precession by nearby nuclear spins; therefore, it could reveal Larmor frequencies of nuclear spins and their hyperfine interactions with the electron spin. The CP-ESEEM spectrum displays two peaks located at 7.56 and 30.0 MHz (Figure S20b). They correspond to twice the Larmor frequencies of ¹³C and ¹H nuclei, respectively. We further characterized details of hyperfine interactions by a remotely detected hyperfine sublevel correlation (HYSCORE) experiment conducted at 30 K. The HYSCORE spectrum displays two signals located at 3.75 and 15.0 MHz that are consistent with ¹³C and ¹H nuclear spins, respectively. These signals do not exhibit apparent correlation ridges, indicating a negligibly weak hyperfine coupling (Figure 4d). Neither CP-ESEEM nor HYSCORE spectra show signals corresponding to ⁸⁹Y, probably due to the strong hyperfine coupling of this nucleus, as revealed by the CW-EPR and EDFS measurements. As weakly coupled nuclear spins tend to behave as magnetic noises to undermine coherence, we speculate that ¹³C on the C_{82} cage and ¹³C and ¹H in toluene are major sources of decoherence to $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$. In contrast, ⁸⁹Y resides within the nuclear diffusion barrier, so it has little contribution to decoherence.⁷¹ This indicates that deuteration of toluene may help improve T_2 , as demonstrated for $Sc@C_{82}$, $Y@C_{82}$, and $La@C_{82}$.⁶² The T_2 may be further improved by dynamic decoupling methods, such as the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom– Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence, as shown in $Sc_2@C_{80}(CH_2Ph)$ and $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}$.^{59,66} These coherence enhancement strategies will be investigated in future studies.

To prove the ability of coherent manipulation of the electron spin in $C_{a}Y(\partial C_{s}(6)-C_{82})$, we conducted nutation experiments at 30 K under various microwave powers. The nutation pulse rotates the electron spin on the Bloch sphere, with the rotation angle determined by the pulse length and microwave power, giving rise to iconic Rabi oscillations shown in Figure 4e. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the Rabi oscillation at each power reveals the corresponding Rabi frequency (Figure S21), which exhibits a linear dependence on the relative microwave magnetic field strength $(B_{\rm MW output}/$ $B_{\rm MW input}$) (Figure 4f). This indicates that the electron spin of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ behaves as a qubit that satisfies the Rabi relationship: $\hbar \omega_{\text{Rabi}} = g \mu_{\text{B}} S B_1$, where \hbar is Planck's constant, ω_{Rabi} is the Rabi frequency, μ_{B} is the Bohr magneton, and B_1 is the microwave magnetic field strength. As the nutation pulse implements a single-qubit quantum logic gate, these experiments demonstrate the potential of $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ for quantum information science.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, unprecedented Ca-Y single-electron metalmetal bonds were formed inside heteronuclear di-EMFs, namely, $CaY@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)-C_{80}$. The singlecrystal X-ray crystallographic study unambiguously determined that the Ca ion and Y ion were encapsulated inside $C_s(6)$ - C_{82} with a Ca-Y distance of 3.691 Å. The UV-vis-NIR spectra as well as DFT computations suggest that four of the five valence electrons of the internal metals are formally transferred to the carbon cage. The CW-EPR study of both $CaY @C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and $CaY @ C_{2\nu}(5) - C_{80}$ exhibits a doublet and implies that an unpaired electron is located between Ca and Y. The theoretical studies further confirm the existence of a Ca-Y single-electron metal-metal bond with substantial covalent interaction, attributed to significant overlap between the 4s4p orbitals of Ca and 5s5p4d orbitals of Y. With the s orbital character unpaired electron well-protected by the carbon cage, CaY@ $C_s(6)$ - C_{82} behaves as an electron spin qubit, showcasing excellent coherence even at relatively high temperatures. Its potential applications in quantum information science warrant further exploration.

This study reveals the unexpected capacity of fullerenes to stabilize the Ae–Re bond, a target that remains challenging to achieve using conventional synthesis methods. Furthermore, it validates that by encapsulating two metal atoms with an odd sum of valence electrons, single-electron metal–metal bonds can be stabilized in the pristine fullerene cages. This paves the way for a novel method to achieve metal–metal bonding within fullerene structures, encouraging further investigation into the stabilization of metal-metal bonds with metals that typically resist bond formation. It is also reasonable to assume that compounds containing these novel single-electron metalmetal bonds could exhibit distinctive molecular magnetic properties, potentially leading to their applications in the field of molecular magnets as well as quantum information processing.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.4c04720.

Experimental details, HPLC separation of $CaY@C_s(6)$ - C_{82} and $CaY@C_{2\nu}(5)$ - C_{80} , crystallographic information, computational results, and pulse EPR results (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 2288342 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Lei Sun Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Research Center for Industries of the Future, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China; Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310024, China; Key Laboratory for Quantum Materials of Zhejiang Province, Department of Physics, School of Science, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China;
 orcid.org/0000-0001-8467-6750; Email: sunlei@ westlake.edu.cn
- Antonio Rodríguez-Fortea Departament de Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain; Occid.org/0000-0001-5884-5629; Email: antonio.rodriguezf@urv.cat
- Ning Chen College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China; orcid.org/0000-0002-9405-6229; Email: chenning@suda.edu.cn

Authors

- Jiawei Qiu College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China
- Laura Abella Departament de Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0003-2188-248X
- Xiya Du Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Research Center for Industries of the Future, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310030, China; Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310024, China
- Zhengkai Cao College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China

- Zhiwen He College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China
- Qingyu Meng College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China
- Yingjing Yan College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, and Materials Science and State Key Laboratory of Radiation Medicine and Protection, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, P.R. China
- Josep M. Poblet Departament de Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona 43007, Spain; © orcid.org/0000-0002-4533-0623

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.4c04720

Author Contributions

[#]J.Q., L.A., and X.D. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.C. thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC no. 52172051) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD). J.M.P. and A.R.-F. thank the Spanish Ministry of Science (grant PID2020-112762GB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033), the Generalitat de Catalunya (grant 2021 SGR 00110), and the URV for support. X.D. and L.S. acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC no. 22273078) and Hangzhou Municipal Funding, Team of Innovation (TD2022004) and thank the Instrumentation and Service Center for Molecular Sciences at Westlake University for the support with the pulse EPR measurements. Q.M. thanks the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX23_3230).

REFERENCES

(1) Liddle, S. T. Molecular metal-metal bonds: compounds, synthesis, properties; Wiley-VCH, 2015.

(2) Cao, C.-S.; Shi, Y.; Xu, H.; Zhao, B. Metal-metal bonded compounds with uncommon low oxidation state. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2018, 365, 122-144.

(3) Cotton, F. A. Strong homonuclear metal-metal bonds. Acc. Chem. Res. 1969, 2 (8), 240-247.

(4) Resa, I.; Carmona, E.; Gutierrez-Puebla, E.; Monge, A. Decamethyldizincocene, a Stable Compound of Zn(I) with a Zn-Zn Bond. *Science.* **2004**, *305* (5687), 1136–1138.

(5) Nguyen, T.; Sutton, A. D.; Brynda, M.; Fettinger, J. C.; Long, G. J.; Power, P. P. Synthesis of a Stable Compound with Fivefold Bonding Between Two Chromium(I) Centers. *Science.* **2005**, *310* (5749), 844–847.

(6) Green, S. P.; Jones, C.; Stasch, A. Stable Magnesium(I) Compounds with Mg-Mg Bonds. *Science*. **2007**, *318* (5857), 1754–1757.

(7) Boronski, J. T.; Crumpton, A. E.; Wales, L. L.; Aldridge, S. Diberyllocene, a stable compound of Be(I) with a Be-Be bond. *Science.* **2023**, 380 (6650), 1147-1149.

(8) González-Gallardo, S.; Bollermann, T.; Fischer, R. A.; Murugavel, R. Cyclopentadiene Based Low-Valent Group 13 Metal Compounds: Ligands in Coordination Chemistry and Link between Metal Rich Molecules and Intermetallic Materials. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112 (6), 3136–3170.

(9) Duncan Lyngdoh, R. H.; Schaefer, H. F., III; King, R. B. Metal-Metal (MM) Bond Distances and Bond Orders in Binuclear Metal Complexes of the First Row Transition Metals Titanium Through Zinc. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (24), 11626–11706.

(10) Schwamm, R. J.; Coles, M. P.; Hill, M. S.; Mahon, M. F.; McMullin, C. L.; Rajabi, N. A.; Wilson, A. S. S. A Stable Calcium Alumanyl. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (10), 3928–3932.

(11) Paparo, A.; Smith, C. D.; Jones, C. Diagonally Related s- and p-Block Metals Join Forces: Synthesis and Characterization of Complexes with Covalent Beryllium–Aluminum Bonds. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58* (33), 11459–11463.

(12) Boronski, J. T.; Thomas-Hargreaves, L. R.; Ellwanger, M. A.; Crumpton, A. E.; Hicks, J.; Bekiş, D. F.; Aldridge, S.; Buchner, M. R. Inducing Nucleophilic Reactivity at Beryllium with an Aluminyl Ligand. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2023**, 145 (8), 4408–4413.

(13) Bakewell, C.; Ward, B. J.; White, A. J. P.; Crimmin, M. R. A combined experimental and computational study on the reaction of fluoroarenes with Mg–Mg, Mg–Zn, Mg–Al and Al–Zn bonds. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9* (8), 2348–2356.

(14) Chapple, P. M.; Cartron, J.; Hamdoun, G.; Kahlal, S.; Cordier, M.; Oulyadi, H.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Saillard, J.-Y.; Sarazin, Y. Metalmetal bonded alkaline-earth distannyls. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12* (20), 7098–7114.

(15) Hicks, J.; Underhill, E. J.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Maron, L.; Jones, C. A Mixed-Valence Tri-Zinc Complex, [LZnZnZnL] (L = Bulky Amide), Bearing a Linear Chain of Two-Coordinate Zinc Atoms. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (34), 10000–10004.

(16) Blake, M. P.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Mountford, P. Probing the Limits of Alkaline Earth–Transition Metal Bonding: An Experimental and Computational Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (38), 12352–12368.

(17) Stegner, P.; Färber, C.; Oetzel, J.; Siemeling, U.; Wiesinger, M.; Langer, J.; Pan, S.; Holzmann, N.; Frenking, G.; Albold, U.; et al. d–d Dative Bonding Between Iron and the Alkaline-Earth Metals Calcium, Strontium, and Barium. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (34), 14615–14620.

(18) Pan, C.; Shen, W.; Yang, L.; Bao, L.; Wei, Z.; Jin, P.; Fang, H.; Xie, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. Crystallographic characterization of Y_2C_{2n} (2n = 82, 88–94): direct Y–Y bonding and cage-dependent cluster evolution. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10* (17), 4707–4713.

(19) Yao, Y.-R.; Shi, X.-M.; Zheng, S.-Y.; Chen, Z.-C.; Xie, S.-Y.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S. Atomically Precise Insights into Metal-Metal Bonds Using Comparable Endo-Units of Sc_2 and Sc_2C_2 . CCS Chem. **2021**, 3 (12), 294–302.

(20) Hu, S.; Shen, W.; Yang, L.; Duan, G.; Jin, P.; Xie, Y.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. Crystallographic and Theoretical Investigations of $\text{Er}_2 @ C_{2n}$ (2n = 82, 84, 86): Indication of Distance-Dependent Metal-Metal Bonding Nature. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2019**, 25 (49), 11538–11544.

(21) Shen, W.; Bao, L.; Wu, Y.; Pan, C.; Zhao, S.; Fang, H.; Xie, Y.; Jin, P.; Peng, P.; Li, F.-F.; et al. $Lu_2@C_{2n}$ (2n = 82, 84, 86): Crystallographic Evidence of Direct Lu-Lu Bonding between Two Divalent Lutetium Ions Inside Fullerene Cages. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139 (29), 9979–9984.

(22) Zheng, L.; Roselló, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yao, Y.-R.; Fan, X.; Poblet, J. M.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Chen, N. $ScY@C_{3\nu}(8)$ - C_{82} : Metal-Metal σ^2 Bond in Mixed Rare-Earth Di-metallofullerenes[†]. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2023**, 41 (15), 1809–1814.

(23) Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Morales-Martinez, R.; Zhong, J.; de Graaf, C.; Rodriguez-Fortea, A.; Poblet, J. M.; Echegoyen, L.; Feng, L.; Chen, N. $U_2@I_h(7)$ -C₈₀: Crystallographic Characterization of a Long-Sought Dimetallic Actinide Endohedral Fullerene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (11), 3907–3915.

(24) Zhuang, J.; Morales-Martínez, R.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Yao, Y. R.; Pei, C.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Wang, S.; Echegoyen, L.; De Graaf, C.; Poblet, J. M.; Chen, N. Characterization of a strong covalent $Th^{3+}-Th^{3+}$ bond inside an $I_h(7)$ - C_{80} fullerene cage. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12* (1), 2372.

(25) Yamada, M.; Kurihara, H.; Suzuki, M.; Saito, M.; Slanina, Z.; Uhlik, F.; Aizawa, T.; Kato, T.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L.; et al. Hiding and Recovering Electrons in a Dimetallic Endohedral Fullerene: Air-Stable Products from Radical Additions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (1), 232–238.

(26) Bao, L.; Chen, M.; Pan, C.; Yamaguchi, T.; Kato, T.; Olmstead, M. M.; Balch, A. L.; Akasaka, T.; Lu, X. Crystallographic Evidence for Direct Metal–Metal Bonding in a Stable Open-Shell $La_2@I_h-C_{80}$ Derivative. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (13), 4242–4246.

(27) Liu, F.; Krylov, D. S.; Spree, L.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Samoylova, N. A.; Rosenkranz, M.; Kostanyan, A.; Greber, T.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Büchner, B.; Popov, A. A. Single molecule magnet with an unpaired electron trapped between two lanthanide ions inside a fullerene. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 16098.

(28) Liu, F.; Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Spree, L.; Zalibera, M.; Ray, R.; Samoylova, N. A.; Chen, C. H.; Rosenkranz, M.; Schiemenz, S.; Ziegs, F.; Nenkov, K.; Kostanyan, A.; Greber, T.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Richter, M.; Büchner, B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Popov, A. A. Air-stable redox-active nanomagnets with lanthanide spins radical-bridged by a metal-metal bond. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 571.

(29) Liu, F.; Spree, L.; Krylov, D. S.; Velkos, G.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Popov, A. A. Single-Electron Lanthanide-Lanthanide Bonds Inside Fullerenes toward Robust Redox-Active Molecular Magnets. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52* (10), 2981–2993.

(30) Wang, Y.; Velkos, G.; Israel, N. J.; Rosenkranz, M.; Buchner, B.; Liu, F.; Popov, A. A. Electrophilic Trifluoromethylation of Dimetallofullerene Anions en Route to Air-Stable Single-Molecule Magnets with High Blocking Temperature of Magnetization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (43), 18139–18149.

(31) Zuo, T.; Xu, L.; Beavers, C. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Fu, W.; Crawford, T. D.; Balch, A. L.; Dorn, H. C. $M_2@C_{79}N$ (M = Y, Tb): Isolation and Characterization of Stable Endohedral Metallofullerenes Exhibiting M–M Bonding Interactions inside Aza[80]fullerene Cages. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130 (39), 12992–12997.

(32) Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Kirkpatrick, K.; Liu, X.; Spree, L.; Wolter, A. U. B.; Buchner, B.; Dorn, H. C.; Popov, A. A. Giant exchange coupling and field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization in $Gd_2(@C_{79}N)$ with a single-electron Gd-Gd bond. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, 54 (23), 2902–2905.

(33) Velkos, G.; Krylov, D. S.; Kirkpatrick, K.; Spree, L.; Dubrovin, V.; Büchner, B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Bezmelnitsyn, V.; Davis, S.; Faust, P.; et al. High Blocking Temperature of Magnetization and Giant Coercivity in the Azafullerene $Tb_2@C_{79}N$ with a Single-Electron Terbium–Terbium Bond. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58* (18), 5891–5896.

(34) Wang, Y.; Xiong, J.; Su, J.; Hu, Z.; Ma, F.; Sun, R.; Tan, X.; Sun, H.-L.; Wang, B.-W.; Shi, Z.; et al. $Dy_2@C_{79}N$: a new member of dimetalloazafullerenes with strong single molecular magnetism. *Nanoscale.* **2020**, *12* (20), 11130–11135.

(35) Gould, C. A.; McClain, K. R.; Reta, D.; Kragskow, J. G. C.; Marchiori, D. A.; Lachman, E.; Choi, E.-S.; Analytis, J. G.; Britt, R. D.; Chilton, N. F.; et al. Ultrahard magnetism from mixed-valence dilanthanide complexes with metal-metal bonding. *Science*. **2022**, 375 (6577), 198–202.

(36) Yan, Y.; Abella, L.; Sun, R.; Fang, Y. H.; Roselló, Y.; Shen, Y.; Jin, M.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; De Graaf, C.; Meng, Q.; Yao, Y. R.; Echegoyen, L.; Wang, B. W.; Gao, S.; Poblet, J. M.; Chen, N. Actinide-lanthanide single electron metal-metal bond formed in mixed-valence di-metallofullerenes. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14* (1), 6637.

(37) Xiang, W.; Hu, Z.; Xin, J.; Jin, H.; Jiang, Z.; Han, X.; Chen, M.; Yao, Y.-R.; Yang, S. Steering Single-Electron Metal–Metal Bonds and Hyperfine Coupling between a Transition Metal-Lanthanide Heteronuclear Bimetal Confined in Carbon Cages. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145 (41), 22599–22608.

(38) Krätschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, K.; Huffman, D. R. Solid C_{60} : a new form of carbon. *Nature*. **1990**, 347 (6291), 354–358.

(39) Sakaguchi, K.; Fujii, R.; Kodama, T.; Nishikawa, H.; Ikemoto, I.; Achiba, Y.; Kikuchi, K. Production and Characterization of Heteroatom-encapsulated Metallofullerene, CaHo@C $_{82}$. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36 (7), 832–833.

(40) Popov, A. A.; Yang, S.; Dunsch, L. Endohedral Fullerenes. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113 (8), 5989-6113.

(41) Tang, Q.; Abella, L.; Hao, Y.; Li, X.; Wan, Y.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Poblet, J. M.; Feng, L.; Chen, N. $Sc_2O@C_{2\nu}(5)$ -C₈₀: Dimetallic Oxide Cluster Inside a C₈₀ Fullerene Cage. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54* (20), 9845–9852.

(42) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77 (18), 3865– 3868.

(43) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple [Phys. *Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865 (1996)]. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, 78 (7), 1396–1396.

(44) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110* (13), 6158–6170.

(45) Perdew, J. P. Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B* **1986**, 33 (12), 8822–8824.

(46) Becke, A. D. Density functional calculations of molecular bond energies. J. Chem. Phys. **1986**, 84 (8), 4524–4529.

(47) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 132 (15), No. 154104.

(48) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, 32 (7), 1456–1465.

(49) Valencia, R.; Rodríguez-Fortea, A.; Clotet, A.; De Graaf, C.; Chaur, M. N.; Echegoyen, L.; Poblet, J. M. Electronic Structure and Redox Properties of Metal Nitride Endohedral Fullerenes $M_3N@C_{2n}$ (M = Sc, Y, La, and Gd; 2n = 80, 84, 88, 92, 96). *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, 15 (41), 10997–11009.

(50) Bader, R. F. W. Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press: 1990.

(51) Blake, M. P.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Mountford, P. Heterobimetallic Complexes Containing Ca–Fe or Yb–Fe Bonds: Synthesis and Molecular and Electronic Structures of $[M{CpFe(CO)_2}_2(THF)_3]_2$ (M = Ca or Yb). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133 (39), 15358–15361. (52) Ma, Y.; Wang, T.; Wu, J.; Feng, Y.; Jiang, L.; Shu, C.; Wang, C. Susceptible electron spin adhering to an yttrium cluster inside an

azafullerene C₇₉N. Chem. Commun. **2012**, 48 (94), 11570–11572. (53) Knorr, S.; Grupp, A.; Mehring, M.; Kirbach, U.; Bartl, A.; Dunsch, L. Pulsed ESR investigations of anisotropic interactions in $M@C_{82}$ (M = Sc,Y,La). Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. **1998**, 66 (3), 257–264.

(54) Zhao, C.; Wang, T.; Li, Y.; Meng, H.; Nie, M.; Tian, J.; Wang, C. Awaking N-hyperfine couplings in charged yttrium nitride endohedral fullerenes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19* (39), 26846–26850.

(55) Wasielewski, M. R.; Forbes, M. D. E.; Frank, N. L.; Kowalski, K.; Scholes, G. D.; Yuen-Zhou, J.; Baldo, M. A.; Freedman, D. E.; Goldsmith, R. H.; Goodson, T.; et al. Exploiting chemistry and molecular systems for quantum information science. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2020**, *4* (9), 490–504.

(56) Ariciu, A. M.; Woen, D. H.; Huh, D. N.; Nodaraki, L. E.; Kostopoulos, A. K.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Chilton, N. F.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Evans, W. J.; Tuna, F. Engineering electronic structure to prolong relaxation times in molecular qubits by minimising orbital angular momentum. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 3330.

(57) Amdur, M. J.; Mullin, K. R.; Waters, M. J.; Puggioni, D.; Wojnar, M. K.; Gu, M.; Sun, L.; Oyala, P. H.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Freedman, D. E. Chemical control of spin-lattice relaxation to discover a room temperature molecular qubit. *Chem. Sci.* **2022**, *13* (23), 7034–7045.

(58) Benjamin, S. C.; Ardavan, A.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Britz, D. A.; Gunlycke, D.; Jefferson, J.; Jones, M. A. G.; Leigh, D. F.; Lovett, B. W.; Khlobystov, A. N.; et al. Towards a fullerene-based quantum computer. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 2006, 18 (21), S867.

(59) Liu, Z.; Dong, B.-W.; Meng, H.-B.; Xu, M.-X.; Wang, T.-S.; Wang, B.-W.; Wang, C.-R.; Jiang, S.-D.; Gao, S. Qubit crossover in the endohedral fullerene $Sc_3C_2@C_{80}$. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, 9 (2), 457–462.

(60) Hu, Z.; Dong, B.-W.; Liu, Z.; Liu, J.-J.; Su, J.; Yu, C.; Xiong, J.; Shi, D.-E.; Wang, Y.; Wang, B.-W.; et al. Endohedral Metallofullerene as Molecular High Spin Qubit: Diverse Rabi Cycles in $Gd_2@C_{79}N$. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (3), 1123–1130.

(61) Fu, P. X.; Zhou, S.; Liu, Z.; Wu, C. H.; Fang, Y. H.; Wu, Z. R.; Tao, X. Q.; Yuan, J. Y.; Wang, Y. X.; Gao, S.; Jiang, S. D. Multiprocessing Quantum Computing through Hyperfine Couplings in Endohedral Fullerene Derivatives. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61* (52), No. e202212939.

(62) Brown, R. M.; Ito, Y.; Warner, J. H.; Ardavan, A.; Shinohara, H.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Morton, J. J. L. Electron spin coherence in metallofullerenes: Y, Sc, and La@ C_{82} . *Phys. Rev. B* **2010**, *82* (3), No. 033410.

(63) Chiesa, A.; Cugini, F.; Hussain, R.; Macaluso, E.; Allodi, G.; Garlatti, E.; Giansiracusa, M.; Goodwin, C. A. P.; Ortu, F.; Reta, D.; et al. Understanding magnetic relaxation in single-ion magnets with high blocking temperature. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *101* (17), No. 174402.

(64) Goldfarb, D.; Stoll, S. EPR spectroscopy: fundamentals and methods; John Wiley & Sons: 2018.

(65) Meng, Q.; Abella, L.; Yang, W.; Yao, Y.-R.; Liu, X.; Zhuang, J.; Li, X.; Echegoyen, L.; Autschbach, J.; Chen, N. UCN $(@C_s(6)-C_{82})$: An Encapsulated Triangular UCN Cluster with Ambiguous U Oxidation State [U(III) versus U(I)]. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (39), 16226–16234.

(66) Zaripov, R. B.; Kandrashkin, Y. E.; Salikhov, K. M.; Büchner, B.; Liu, F.; Rosenkranz, M.; Popov, A. A.; Kataev, V. Unusually large hyperfine structure of the electron spin levels in an endohedral dimetallofullerene and its spin coherent properties. *Nanoscale.* **2020**, *12* (39), 20513–20521.

(67) Hu, Z.; Ullah, A.; Prima-Garcia, H.; Chin, S. H.; Wang, Y.; Aragó, J.; Shi, Z.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E. Binding Sites, Vibrations and Spin-Lattice Relaxation Times in Europium(II)-Based Metallofullerene Spin Qubits. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2021**, 27 (52), 13242– 13248.

(68) Liu, Z.; Huang, H.; Wang, Y.-X.; Dong, B.-W.; Sun, B.-Y.; Jiang, S.-D.; Gao, S. Amination of the $Gd@C_{82}$ endohedral fullerene: tunable substitution effect on quantum coherence behaviors. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11* (39), 10737–10743.

(69) Cho, H.; Pfenninger, S.; Gemperle, C.; Schweiger, A.; Ernst, R. R. Zero deadtime pulsed ESR by remote echo detection. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *160* (4), 391–395.

(70) Tyryshkin, A. M.; Dikanov, S. A.; Goldfarb, D. Sum Harmonics Combination in Four-Pulse ESEEM Spectra. Study of the Ligand Geometry in Aqua-Vanadyl Complexes in Polycrystalline and Glass Matrices. J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A **1993**, 105 (3), 271–283.

(71) Graham, M. J.; Yu, C.-J.; Krzyaniak, M. D.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Freedman, D. E. Synthetic Approach To Determine the Effect of Nuclear Spin Distance on Electronic Spin Decoherence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (8), 3196–3201.