Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Claude E. Guérin, Hospices Civils de Lyon, France

REVIEWED BY Luis Chiscano-Camón, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Spain Francesco Forfori, University of Pisa, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Mohammed A. Alrashed Image: All the second secon

RECEIVED 05 August 2024 ACCEPTED 02 September 2024 PUBLISHED 29 October 2024

CITATION

Alissa A, Alrashed MA, Alshaya Al, Al Sulaiman K and Alharbi S (2024) Reevaluating vitamin C in sepsis and septic shock: a potential benefit in severe cases? *Front. Med.* 11:1476242. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1476242

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Alissa, Alrashed, Alshaya, Al Sulaiman and Alharbi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Reevaluating vitamin C in sepsis and septic shock: a potential benefit in severe cases?

Abdulrahman Alissa¹, Mohammed A. Alrashed^{2,3,4}*, Abdulrahman I. Alshaya^{2,3,4}, Khalid Al Sulaiman^{2,3,4,5,6} and Shmeylan Alharbi^{2,3,4}

¹Pharmaceutical Care Services, King Abdullah Bin Abdulaziz University Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ²College of Pharmacy, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ³Pharmaceutical Care Services, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁴King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁵Saudi Critical Care Pharmacy Research (SCAPE) Platform, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁶Saudi Society for Multidisciplinary Research Development and Education (SCAPE Society), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Vitamin C (Ascorbic acid) has evolved as an emergent co-intervention for sepsis and septic shock patients. Multiple studies discussed the pathophysiological value of vitamin C to reserve endothelial functionality and improve microcirculatory flow in these patients. Nevertheless, most randomized clinical trials failed to show the clinical impact of adding vitamin C to sepsis and septic shock. Pneumonia is the most common infection to induce sepsis and septic shock, which could be an acute respiratory distress syndrome. Preliminary *in-vitro* data support the role of vitamin C in mitigating the risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) development. This review aims to compare and contrast these trials and explore differences in their patients' populations, methodologies, and outcomes, emphasizing pneumonia-induced sepsis and septic shock.

KEYWORDS

vitamin C, ascorbic acid, sepsis, septic shock, critically ill, pneumonia

Introduction

Sepsis and septic shock are significant public health burdens with 20–35% predicted mortality rate (1, 2). The pathophysiology of sepsis is a complex interaction of infection and a host that causes a misbalance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory markers (3–5). The concept of defining sepsis and septic shock has recently moved towards organ dysfunction as the landmark signal for this syndrome based on the Sepsis-3 definition (6). Pneumonia-induced sepsis represents up to 50% of these cases, possibly leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome [ARDS; (7, 8)]. Pneumonia is reported to cause ARDS through a direct insult mechanism in 40–60% of the ARDS patient population (9, 10). During weeks 1–2 of ARDS, an acute inflammatory and exudative phase may lead to endothelial injury and permeability loss. This could progress into endothelial cell death and a fibroproliferative phase, possibly resulting in pulmonary fibrosis (11–13). Substantial evidence points to oxidative stress and dysregulated inflammation as playing a significant role in the onset and development of multiorgan dysfunction and injury in sepsis in both experimental animals and human individuals. Vitamin C has been investigated extensively as a potential treatment for sepsis. *In-vitro* data showed ascorbic acid (or Vitamin C) ability to restore endothelial permeability and improve microcirculatory flow.

Additionally, ascorbic acid is essential for synthesizing catecholamine and enhances vasopressor sensitivity (14–17). Nathens et al. demonstrated ascorbic acid's ability when used with

alpha-tocopherol to reduce the incidence of ARDS and intensive care unit (ICU) lengths of stay in severely ill surgical patients in a randomized prospective trial which was further investigated in other studies (18-23). In the last few years. Multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examined vitamin C role either as a single intervention or as part of a metabolic resuscitation cocktail besides hydrocortisone and thiamin for sepsis and septic patients with inconsistent findings (18, 24-30, 32). Research has shown that administering vitamin C at a dose of 6g per day is safe and free of side effects, with even higher doses of 100-150 g being safely given to patients with burns or malignancies (31). Intravenous thiamine (vitamin B1) is often combined with vitamin C to prevent potential renal side effects from high doses of vitamin C, while hydrocortisone is used to boost the body's endogenous production of catecholamines. A recent retrospective before-and-after study reported a significant reduction in mortality among sepsis patients treated with a combination of high-dose vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine (20). Our primary aim in this narrative review was to focus on the most updated RCTs pertaining to the role of ascorbic acid in sepsis or septic shock, particularly in cases of pneumonia-induced sepsis and septic shock. While the focus on RCTs was a key aspect of our search strategy, we also aimed to provide a comprehensive overview comparing different RCTs based on their patients' population, methodologies, and outcomes as presented in Table 1.

Clinical studies

Multiple clinical studies investigated the clinical advantages of vitamin C in sepsis and septic shock. Using the keywords "Vitamin C" or "Ascorbic acid" AND "Sepsis" or "Septic Shock" in PubMed, the authors discovered a total of 9 recent RCTs assessing the role of vitamin C in sepsis and septic shock patients. Of the recently published RCTs, two looked at patients with sepsis and compared vitamin C with a placebo. The remaining studies were given either cocktail therapy, which includes hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, and thiamine (HAT), or standard care as a control group. In this opinion paper, we would like to discuss the rational use of vitamin C and evaluate the current evidence related to the time to intervention, its effect on appropriate dosing of vitamin C, organ dysfunction, and mortality rate.

Dosing of vitamin C

Most RCTs, including VITAMINS, HYVCTTSSS, ORANGES, ACTS, Wani et al., and VICTAS, adopted the fixed-dose strategy reported by Marik et al. study with 1.5g of IV ascorbic acid every 6h for 4 days (20, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32). While other trials, including the most recent one, LOVIT, used weight-based dosing of vitamin C with 50 mg/kg (18, 26, 28). Also, the frequency and duration of ascorbic acid administration varied between the trials that used weight-based dosing. For example, in CITRIS-ALI and LOVIT, vitamin C was given every 6 h for 96 h; in ATESS, the dosing interval was every 12 h for 48 h (18, 26, 28). These vitamin C dosage regimen doses may not be optimal for preventing the pathophysiological processes underlying sepsis. In a meta-analysis, patients with sepsis who received a high dose of vitamin C, defined as more than 50 mg/kg/day, significantly reduced overall mortality (33). However, this positive outcome is contrary to the finding of the most recent and significant RCT, the LOVIT trail, which used a high weight-based dosing of vitamin C and did not report any mortality benefit (28). Surprisingly, the composite outcomes of the LOVIT trial found an increased risk of mortality or persistent organ failure among patients who received vitamin C. Multiple meta-analyses suggest a similar lack of benefit, but several studies remain in progress. The PETAL (Prevention and Early Treatment of Acute Lung Injury) network, supported by funding from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) made the decision to halt the ASTER (Acetaminophen in Sepsis: Targeted Therapy to Enhance Recovery) study following a series of negative outcomes encountered in multiple studies investigating the role of vitamin C in ARDS. Table 2 summarizes the dosing of vitamin C in sepsis randomized clinical trials.

Effect of vitamin C on organ dysfunction

The severity of organ dysfunction can be quantified using a SOFA score based on six different systems (34). CITRIS-ALI and LOVIT trials compared vitamin C with a placebo in patients with sepsis and ARDS. Their patients had more advanced organ dysfunction as measured by SOFA score compared with other trials (28, 35). No significant difference was seen in organ dysfunction as a single outcome among patients who received vitamin C compared to the control group from baseline up to 96 h (28, 35). Studies using vitamin C in combination therapy with HAT were inconsistent with their findings. VITAMINS and HYVCTTSS trials reported more significant composite change in SOFA at 72 h (24, 32). In contrast, none of the other RCTs reported a positive impact of the vitamin C intervention on organ dysfunction from baseline up to 96 h (25-30). This suggests that the observed improvement in SOFA scores might be a result independent of the effect of vitamin C. Table 3 summarizes baseline SOFA and change in this score in sepsis RCTs.

Effect of vitamin C on mortality

Mortality is a commonly used endpoint in RCTs among critically ill patients (36). In all RCTs that investigated the role of vitamin C, mortality was reported either as primary or secondary outcomes with different duration (37). Out of the RCTs considered, the CITRIS-ALI

Abbreviations: ARDS, Acute respiratory distress syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; RCT, Randomized clinical trials; CITRIS-ALI, Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial; VITAMINS, Effect of Vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine vs. hydrocortisone alone on time alive and free of vasopressor support among patients with septic Shock: the VITAMINS randomized clinical trial; HYVCTTSSS, Combined treatment with hydrocortisone, vitamin c, and thiamine for sepsis and septic shock: a randomized controlled trial; ORANGES, Outcomes of metabolic resuscitation using ascorbic acid, thiamine, and glucocorticoids in the early treatment of sepsis: the ORANGES trial; ACTS, Effect of ascorbic acid, corticosteroids, and thiamine on organ injury in septic shock: the ACTS randomized clinical trial: VICTAS. The vitamin C, thiamine and steroids in sepsis (VICTAS) protocol: a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, adaptive sample size, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial; LOVIT, Lessening organ dysfunction with vitamin C (LOVIT): protocol for a randomized controlled trial

TABLE 1 Comparison between recent RCTs evaluating the role of vitamin C in sepsis and septic shock patients.

	CITRIS-ALI 2019 (35)	VITAMINS 2020 (32)	HYVCTTSSS 2020 (24)	ORANGES 2020 (27)	ACTS 2020 (29)	Wani 2020 (<mark>30</mark>)	ATESS 2020 (26)	VICTAS 2021 (25)	LOVIT 2022 (28)
Design	Multicenter, double- blind, placebo RCT	Multicenter, open- label RCT	Single-blind, RCT	Double-blinded, placebo-controlled RCT	Multicenter, blinded RCT	Prospective, open label, single center randomized	Multicenter, double- blind, RCT	Multicenter, double- blind, RCT	Multicenter, RCT
Number of patients Type of Included patients	167 patients (84 in vitamin C and 83 in control arm) Patients with sepsis and ARDS	216 patients (109 in vitamin C arm and 107 in control arm) Septic shock patients (based on Sepsis-3 definition)	80 patients (40 in each vitamin C arm and 40 in control arm) Patients with sepsis or septic shock	137 patients (68 in vitamin C arm vs.69 in control arm)Patients with sepsis or septic shock	200 patients (101 in vitamin C arm vs. 99 in control arm) Septic shock patients	100 patients (50 in vitamin C arm and 50 in control arm) Patients with sepsis or septic shock	111 patients (53 in vitamin C arm and 58 in control arm) Septic shock patients (based on Sepsis-3 definition)	501 patients (252 in vitamin C arm and 249 in control arm) Patients with acute respiratory and/or cardiovascular dysfunction caused by suspected	872 patients (435 in vitamin C arm and 437 in control arm) Patient with proven or suspected infection and receiving vasopressors
Inclusion criteria	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, ARDS diagnosed according to the Berlin criteria, intubated and mechanically ventilated within 24 h of ARDS diagnosis, randomization within 24 h of meeting ARDS	Adults (age \geq 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initiation of the trial intervention (vitamin C, thiamine, and hydrocortisone) within 24 h	Adults (age \geq 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU, procalcitonin (PCT) level \geq 2 ng/ml and expected to remain in the ICU for at least 48 h.	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initiation of vitamin C, thiamine, and steroids within 24 h	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initial serum lactate level > 2 mmol/L after adequate fluid resuscitation.	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initial serum lactate level > 2 mmol/L after adequate fluid resuscitation.	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support, initial serum lactate level > 2 mmol/L after adequate fluid resuscitation with evidence of suspected or confirmed infection	Adults (age \geq 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initial serum lactate level > 2 mmol/L after adequate fluid resuscitation.	Adults (age ≥ 18 years) diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock according to the Sepsis-3 criteria, hospitalized in the ICU and requiring vasopressor support with initiation of vitamin C within 24 h
Primary outcomes	Change in organ failure by a mSOFA	Duration of time alive and free of vasopressor administration up to day 7	Mortality from any cause within 28 days	Resolution of shock and change in SOFA score.	Change in the SOFA score	In-hospital mortality	Delta SOFA score	Number of consecutive ventilator- and vasopressor-free days in the first 30 days	Composite of death or persistent organ dysfunction on day 28

IV, Intravenous; q, every; h, hours; mSOFA, modified sequential organ failure assessment.

frontiersin.org

	CITRIS-ALI 2019 (35)	VITAMINS 2020 (<mark>32</mark>)	HYVCTTSSS 2020 (24)	ORANGES 2020 (27)	ACTS 2020 (29)	Wani 2020 (30)	ATESS 2020 (26)	VICTAS 2021 (25)	LOVIT 2022 (28)
Dose	50 mg/kg every 6h for 96 h	 1.5 g IV every 6h till shock resolution or up to 10 days 	1.5 g IV every 6h for 4 days or till ICU discharge	1.5 g IV every 6h for a maximum of 4 days	1.5g IV every 6 h for 4 days or till ICU discharge	 B. Sg IV every 6h for 4 days or till discharge from hospital 	50 mg/kg every 12 h for 48 h (Maximum single dose 3g, daily dose 6g)	 5g IV every 6h for up to 96h, death, or discharge from the ICU, whichever occurred first. 	IV vitamin C 50 mg/ kg every 6h for 96 h
Other interventions	None	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6 h) and thiamine (200 mg every 12 h)	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6h) and thiamine (200 mg every 12h)	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6h) and thiamine (200 mg every 12h)	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6h) and thiamine (100 mg every 6h)	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6h) and thiamine (200 mg every 6h)	Thiamine (200 mg every 12 h)	Hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6 h) and thiamine (100 mg every 6 h)	None
Time of intervention	within 6 h of randomization or at earliest available times	12.1h [5.7–19.0h]*	Not Applicable	9.9 (4.5 h)**	14.5h [8.1–19.1h]*	Within few hours (in all cases in <24 h) of admission	8.4h [5.7–14.9h]* from ED arrival and 3.3h [1.4–7.5h] * from randomization	15h [8-22]*	12.9 (8.2)
Median [IQR].									

trial was the only trial that showed a mortality benefit with vitamin C intervention (35). In this trial, 25/84 (29.8%) of vitamin C died by day 28 compared with 38/82 (46.3%) in the control group. However, this finding must be interpreted with caution since the analysis was exploratory and did not account for multiple comparisons. In other RCTs, including HYVCTTSSS, ORANGES, and Wanni et al., a numerically lower mortality percentage was observed among the intervention arm (24, 27, 30). Interestingly, in a subgroup analysis of the ORANGES trial, only patients who were diagnosed within the first 48h with sepsis showed a significant mortality benefit in the vitamin C group. On the other hand, a numerically more mortality percentage was seen in the vitamin C group in VITAMINS, ACTS, ATESS, VICTAS, and LOVIT trials (25, 26, 28-30, 32). A possible explanation of this could be attributed to the trials' inclusion criteria, which were mainly septic shock patients or patients with sepsis-induced cardiovascular dysfunction. Additionally, the negative finding of LOVIT trial may be affected by fact that they reported the primary outcome as composite, including death or persistent of orang dysfunction (28). The results of the LOVIT trial showed an implicit lack of benefit and an increase in mortality risks associated with the administration of large dosages of vitamin C. The effect of vitamin C on mortality among sepsis RCTs are summarized in Table 4.

Effect of vitamin C on mortality in sepsis and septic shock

The severity of sepsis and advanced organ dysfunction could affect mortality (6, 36). Among different sepsis RCTs, the percentage of septic shock patients varied between studies and ranging from 55 to 100% (18, 24–30, 32). Some trials, including HYVCTTSSS and CITRIS ALI, included patients with sepsis and septic shock, while other trials like ORANGES and LOVIT have targeted septic shock patients as inclusion criteria (18, 24, 27, 28). Regarding the reduction of vasopressors duration, the same conflicting findings were observed among the published RCT. In ORANGES and Wani et al. trial, the use of vitamin C has led to a significant reduction in vasopressor duration (27, 30). Also, the ACTS trial showed more shock-free days among patients assigned to vitamin C group (29). These data could point towards a potential benefit for vitamin C in patients with early signs of sepsis. Table 5 summarized the factors that may affect mortality.

Several studies suggested a potential benefit for vitamin C to prevent or treat pneumonia (19, 37, 38). These patients may express superimposed inflammatory responses, which ascorbic acid may be able to mitigate. In HYVCTTSSS, CITRIS-ALI, and Wani et al., pulmonary infections were the most predominant types of infections ranging at least 72-82% of patients included in these trials, followed by urinary infection gastrointestinal, respectively (18, 30, 32). Both of HYVCTTSSS, and CITRIS-ALI reported a lower mortality with the administration of vitamin C (18, 24). In VITAMINS, ACTS, and LOVIT trials, the primary infection site, in patients who received vitamin C, was almost equally shared between pulmonary and gastrointestinal infections, which could have diluted the potential effect of vitamin C (29, 32). Moreover, unlike the previous trials, the suspected source of sepsis was an intra-abdominal infection in 50.9% of cases in the ATESS trial followed by respiratory and urinary tract infections, which did not have beneficial clinical outcomes with the administration of vitamin C (26). It is hard to correlate this

TABLE 2 Comparison between vitamin C dosing reported by RCTs

**Mean (SD)

TABLE 3 Summary of SOFA and delta SOFA score among RCTs.

	CITRIS- ALI 2019 (35)	VITAMINS 2020 (32)	HYVCTTSSS 2020 (24)	ORANGES 2020 (27)	ACTS 2020 (29)	Wani 2020 (30)	ATESS 2020 (26)	VICTAS 2021 (25)	LOVIT 2022 (28)
Baseline	9.8 (3.2) in	8.6 (2.7) in	9.6 (4.5) in vitamin	8.3 (3) in vitamin	9.1 (3.5) in	9.22 (3.54)	8 [6-10] in	9 [7-12] in	10.2 (3.4) in
SOFA	vitamin C vs.	vitamin C vs. 8.4	C vs. 10.1 (4.0) in	C vs. 7.9 (3.5) in	vitamin C	in vitamin	vitamin C vs.	vitamin C vs.	vitamin C
	10.3 (3.1) in	(2.7) in control	control group	control group	vs. 9.2	C vs. 9.36	8 [6-10] in	9 [6-11] in	vs. 10.1
	control group	group			(3.2) in	(3.66) in	control group	control	(3.7) in
					control	control		group	control
					group	group			group
Δ -SOFA	At 96 h: 6.8	At 72 h: -2 [-4	At 72 h: 3.5 (3.3) in	At 72 h: 2.9 (3.3)	At 72 h:	At 96 h:	At 72 h: 3 [-1	At 96 h: 5	At 96 h: 8.7
	(4.2) in	to 0] in vitamin	vitamin C vs. 1.8	in vitamin C vs.	4.4 (4.1) in	5.64 (3.55)	to 5] in	[3–7] in	(6.5) in
	vitamin C vs.	C vs1 [-3 to	(3.0) in control	1.93 (3.5) in	vitamin C	in vitamin	vitamin C vs.	vitamin C vs.	vitamin C
	6.8 (3.5) in	0] in control	group; <i>p</i> = 0 0.02	control group;	vs. 5.1	C vs. 6.62	3 [0-4] in	5 [2–7] in	group vs.
	control group	group [95% CI,		<i>p</i> = 0.1	(4.3) in	(3.94) in	control group;	control	8.7 (6.6) in
		-1.9 to -0.1];			control	control	<i>p</i> = 0.96	group;	control
		<i>p</i> = 0.02			group;	group;		p = 0.10	group
					p = 0.12	<i>p</i> = 0.20			

Data reported as mean (SD) or median [IQR]. mSOFA, modified sequential organ failure assessment; IQR, interquartile range; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; CI, confidence intervals.

TABLE 4 Summary of vitamin C effect on mortality as reported by RCTs.

	CITRIS- ALI 2019 (35)	VITAMINS 2020 (32)	HYVCTTSSS 2020 (24)	ORANGES 2020 (27)	ACTS 2020 (29)	Wani 2020 (<mark>30</mark>)	ATESS 2020 (26)	VICTAS 2021 (25)	LOVIT 2022 (<mark>28</mark>)
28 or	29.8% in	22.6% in vitamin	27.5% in vitamin C	NA	34.7% in	40% in	20% in	NA	35.4% in
30 days	vitamin C vs.	C vs. 20.4% in	vs. 35% in control*		vitamin C	vitamin C	vitamin C vs.		vitamin C
mortality	46.3% in	control			vs. 29.3%	vs. 42% in	15.5% in		vs. 31.6% in
	control				in control	control	control		control
	<i>p</i> = 0.03								
ICU	NA	19.6% in vitamin	NA	9% in vitamin C	NA	NA	15.2% in	20.6% in	NA
mortality		C vs. 18.3% in		vs. 14% in control			vitamin C vs.	vitamin C vs.	
		control					13.5% in	19.7% in	
							control	control	
Hospital	NA	23.4% in vitamin	NA	16% in vitamin C	NA	24% in	24.5% in	NA	NA
mortality		C vs. 20.4% in		vs. 19.4% in		vitamin C	vitamin C vs.		
		control		control		vs. 28% in	19% in		
						control	control		
90 days	NA	28.6% in vitamin	NA	NA	NA	NA	32.1% in	40.5% in	NA
mortality		C vs. 24.5% in					vitamin C vs.	vitamin C vs.	
		control					27.6% in	37.8% in	
							control	control**	

*In subgroup analysis, patients who diagnosed with sepsis within 48 h showed a lower mortality (p = 0.02).

**180 days mortality.

mortality benefit to the subset of patients who developed pneumonia-induced sepsis or septic shock; however, this observation may necessitate further investigation. In the subgroup analysis of LOVIT trial, a trend towards benefits of vitamin C was observed among patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (COVID-19) although it was not clinically significant (28). In most of the aforementioned sepsis randomized clinical trials, the administration of vitamin C was not associated with mortality benefit (24–30, 32). Sepsis and septic shock mortality could be attributed to multiple interventions such as the timing of antibiotics from disease onset, appropriate selection of antibiotics, appropriate volume resuscitation and de-resuscitation, vasopressor administration, and corticosteroids administration (39–43). Mostly vitamin C administration was combined with hydrocortisone 50 mg

	CITRIS- ALI 2019 (35)	VITAMINS 2020 (32)	HYVCTTSSS 2020 (24)	ORANGES 2020 (27)	ACTS 2020 (29)	Wani 2020 (<mark>30</mark>)	ATESS 2020 (26)	VICTAS 2021 (25)	LOVIT 2022 (28)
APACHE II or	NA	Vitamin C: 77.4	Vitamin C: 22.1	Vitamin C: 24	NA	Vitamin	Vitamin	Vitamin C:	Vitamin C:
III Scores		(29.7)*	(8.4)	(7.6)		C: 18.5	C:22 [14-32]	27 [22-33]	24.2 (7.4)
		Control:	Control: 23.8 (7.6)	Control: 24.9		[15-	Control: 22	Control: 27	Control:24.1
		83.3(28.8)		(8.7)		24.75]	[17-32]	[19-33]	(7.9)
						Control:			
						20 [14-			
						24]			
Vasopressor	Vitamin C	Vitamin C:	Vitamin C: 55%	Vitamin C: 82%	Vitamin C:	Vitamin	Vitamin C:	Vitamin C:	Vitamin C:
use	64.3%	100%	Control: 60%	Control: 68%	100%	C: 92%	100%	36.9%	99.8%
	Control:	Control: 100%			Control:	Control:	Control:	Control:	Control: 100%
	71.1%				100%	76%	100%	39.1%	
Steroids	Vitamin C:	Vitamin C:	N/A	Steroid was part	Steroid was	N/A	Vitamin C:	Vitamin	Vitamin C:
administration	67%	42.1%		of intervention	part of		58.5%	C:33%	46.4%
	Control:	Control: 37.5%		group who	intervention		Control:	Control:	Control:45.4%
	65%			received vitamin	group who		50%	32%	
				С	received				
				Control: 41%	vitamin C				
					Control:				
					14.1%				

TABLE 5 Major factors that may affect overall mortality in sepsis and septic shock.

Data reported as mean (SD) or median [IQR].

every 6 h in the intervention arm as part of hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, thiamine, or (HAT) protocol (20, 25, 32). For instance, 67% received corticosteroids in the CITRIS-ALI trial, and 58.5% received corticosteroids in the ATESS trial (26, 32). Among all RCTs, the resolution of shock was observed in two trials only (27, 30). In the ORANGES trial, the time of shock reversal was 27 ± 22 h in vitamin C arm compared with 53 ± 38 h in the control arm (27). Additionally, in Wani et al. trial, the duration of vasopressors was 75.2 ± 30.2 h in the vitamin C group compared with 96.1 \pm 40.5 in the control group (30). Likewise, the use of vitamin C was associated with significant shock-free days compared with placebo in ACTS trial; however, this finding was not replicated in VITAMINS nor HYVCTTSSS trials (24, 27, 30). Moreover, recently a systematic review and metaanalysis included 18 RCTs that showed the use of IV vitamin C in septic shock patients was associated with better delta SOFA scores but did not show a decrease in short-term mortality (44).

Precision medicine

Sepsis is a complex syndrome with a broad spectrum of conditions, severities, and clinical presentations. Standardized treatments often fall short of addressing the diverse needs of all patients, underscoring the necessity for targeted, individualized therapies. The heterogeneity of sepsis—shaped by factors such as patient age, causative microorganisms, infection type, and pre-existing conditions—highlights the need for precision medicine approaches that tailor treatment to the unique clinical and biological characteristics of each patient.

Recent research has increasingly explored the potential benefits of high-dose vitamin C, often administered in combination with hydrocortisone and thiamine, commonly referred to as a "sepsis cocktail." This combination has been proposed to reduce mortality, decrease reliance on vasopressors, and mitigate organ damage in septic patients. However, RCTs have produced inconsistent results on the efficacy of vitamin C in improving clinical outcomes. For example, the CITRIS-ALI trial suggested a potential mortality benefit, while other studies reported no benefit and even showed potential harm, such as increased risk of mortality or persistent organ failure with high-dose vitamin C. Although some trials have shown improvements in SOFA scores with vitamin C, these benefits were not uniformly seen, suggesting that other factors may also contribute to organ function recovery. It is important to note that the SOFA score may not capture all dimensions of treatment outcomes and might overlook certain effects of interventions. The variability in dosing strategies and outcomes across different RCTs further emphasizes the need for personalized treatment approaches. While some studies have shown benefits with fixed or higher doses of vitamin C, others, like the LOVIT trial, found no mortality benefit and even suggested potential harm with high-dose vitamin C.

These mixed results from RCTs highlight the limitations of a one-size-fits-all approach in sepsis management. Precision medicine, which emphasizes individualized treatment strategies, offers a promising pathway to improving outcomes for critically ill patients. By focusing on early identification and targeted intervention, precision medicine aims to enhance the effectiveness of therapies like vitamin C, especially for patient subgroups most likely to benefit. Advancing our understanding of patient-specific factors and refining treatment protocols could play a pivotal role in improving care for patients with sepsis and septic shock.

Conclusion

The co-administration of vitamin C with sepsis and septic shock patients did not consistently demonstrate a mortality benefit. However, our review suggests a potential mortality advantage of vitamin C co-administration, especially in patients with advanced disease severity when initiated early in sepsis or septic shock therapy. A subgroup analysis highlights the possibility of a beneficial effect in critically ill patients developing sepsis or septic shock secondary to pneumonia, indicating a potential area for further research. It's noteworthy that the observed improvement in SOFA scores raises questions about whether this improvement is independent of the effect of vitamin C. Although several well-designed randomized clinical trials have investigated the role of vitamin C in sepsis, further data and research are warranted to confirm and elucidate these findings.

Author contributions

AAli: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Software. MA: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review &

References

1. Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Suzuki S, Pilcher D, Bellomo R. Mortality related to severe sepsis and septic shock among critically ill patients in Australia and New Zealand, 2000-2012. *JAMA*. (2014) 311:1308–16. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.2637

2. Stevenson EK, Rubenstein AR, Radin GT, Wiener RS, Walkey AJ. Two decades of mortality trends among patients with severe sepsis: a comparative meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med.* (2014) 42:625–31. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000000026

3. Angus DC, van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med. (2013) 369:840–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1208623

4. Boomer JS, To K, Chang KC, Takasu O, Osborne DF, Walton AH, et al. Immunosuppression in patients who die of sepsis and multiple organ failure. *JAMA*. (2011) 306:2594–605. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.1829

5. Van der Poll T, Opal SM. Host-pathogen interactions in sepsis. Lancet Infect Dis. (2008) 8:32–43. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70265-7

6. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). *JAMA*. (2016) 315:801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287

7. Bauer TT, Ewig S, Rodloff AC, Müller EE. Acute respiratory distress syndrome and pneumonia: a comprehensive review of clinical data. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2006) 43:748–56. doi: 10.1086/506430

8. De Freitas CN, Gaudet A, Portier L, Tsicopoulos A, Mathieu D, Lassalle P. Endocan, sepsis, pneumonia, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care.* (2018) 22:280. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2222-7

9. Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A, Girault C, Ragot S, Perbet S, et al. High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372:2185–96. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1503326

10. Petty TL, Ashbaugh DG. The adult respiratory distress syndrome. Clinical features, factors influencing prognosis and principles of management. *Chest.* (1971) 60:233–9. doi: 10.1378/chest.60.3.233

11. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *JAMA*. (2012) 307:2526–33. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.5669

12. Gattinoni L, Pelosi P, Suter PM, Pedoto A, Vercesi P, Lissoni A. Acute respiratory distress syndrome caused by pulmonary and extrapulmonary disease. Different syndromes? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (1998) 158:3–11. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.158.1.9708031

editing. AAls: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KA: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SA: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Griffiths MJD, McAuley DF, Perkins GD, Barrett N, Blackwood B, Boyle A, et al. Guidelines on the management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *BMJ Open Respir Res.* (2019) 6:e000420. doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2019-000420

14. Dillon PF, Root-Bernstein RS, Lieder CM. Antioxidant-independent ascorbate enhancement of catecholamine-induced contractions of vascular smooth muscle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2004) 286:H2353–60. doi: 10.1152/ ajpheart.00968.2003

15. Jackson TS, Xu A, Vita JA, Keaney JF Jr. Ascorbate prevents the interaction of superoxide and nitric oxide only at very high physiological concentrations. *Circ Res.* (1998) 83:916–22. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.83.9.916

16. Kuzkaya N, Weissmann N, Harrison DG, Dikalov S. Interactions of peroxynitrite, tetrahydrobiopterin, ascorbic acid, and thiols: implications for uncoupling endothelial nitric-oxide synthase. J Biol Chem. (2003) 278:22546–54. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M302227200

17. Tyml K. Vitamin C and microvascular dysfunction in systemic inflammation. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. (2017) 6:49. doi: 10.3390/antiox6030049

18. Fowler AA 3rd, Syed AA, Knowlson S, Sculthorpe R, Farthing D, DeWilde C, et al. Phase I safety trial of intravenous ascorbic acid in patients with severe sepsis. *J Transl Med.* (2014) 12:32. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-12-32

19. Hunt C, Chakravorty NK, Annan G, Habibzadeh N, Schorah CJ. The clinical effects of vitamin C supplementation in elderly hospitalised patients with acute respiratory infections. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res.* (1994) 64:212–9.

20. Marik PE, Khangoora V, Rivera R, Hooper MH, Catravas J. Hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine for the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective beforeafter study. *Chest.* (2017) 151:1229–38. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

21. Nathens AB, Neff MJ, Jurkovich GJ, Klotz P, Farver K, Ruzinski JT, et al. Randomized, prospective trial of antioxidant supplementation in critically ill surgical patients. *Ann Surg.* (2002) 236:814–22. doi: 10.1097/00000658-200212000-00014

22. Schorah CJ, Downing C, Piripitsi A, Gallivan L, Al-Hazaa AH, Sanderson MJ, et al. Total vitamin C, ascorbic acid, and dehydroascorbic acid concentrations in plasma of critically ill patients. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (1996) 63:760–3. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/63.5.760

23. Zabet MH, Mohammadi M, Ramezani M, Khalili H. Effect of high-dose ascorbic acid on vasopressor's requirement in septic shock. *J Res Pharm Pract*. (2016) 5:94–100. doi: 10.4103/2279-042X.179569

24. Chang P, Liao Y, Guan J, Guo Y, Zhao M, Hu J, et al. Combined treatment with hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine for sepsis and septic shock: a randomized controlled trial. *Chest.* (2020) 158:174–82. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2020.02.065

25. Hager DN, Hooper MH, Bernard GR, Busse LW, Ely EW, Fowler AA, et al. The vitamin C, thiamine and steroids in Sepsis (VICTAS) protocol: a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, adaptive sample size, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. *Trials.* (2019) 20:197. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3254-2

26. Hwang SY, Ryoo SM, Park JE, Jo YH, Jang DH, Suh GJ, et al. Combination therapy of vitamin C and thiamine for septic shock: a multi-Centre, double-blinded randomized, controlled study. *Intensive Care Med.* (2020) 46:2015–25. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06191-3

27. Iglesias J, Vassallo AV, Patel VV, Sullivan JB, Cavanaugh J, Elbaga Y. Outcomes of metabolic resuscitation using ascorbic acid, thiamine, and glucocorticoids in the early treatment of Sepsis: the ORANGES trial. *Chest.* (2020) 158:164–73. doi: 10.1016/j. chest.2020.02.049

28. Lamontagne F, Masse MH, Menard J, Sprague S, Pinto R, Heyland DK, et al. Intravenous vitamin C in adults with sepsis in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:2387–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2200644

29. Moskowitz A, Huang DT, Hou PC, Gong J, Doshi PB, Grossestreuer AV, et al. Effect of ascorbic acid, corticosteroids, and thiamine on organ injury in septic shock: the ACTS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2020) 324:642–50. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.11946

30. Wani SJ, Mufti SA, Jan RA, Shah SU, Qadri SM, Khan UH, et al. Combination of vitamin C, thiamine and hydrocortisone added to standard treatment in the management of sepsis: results from an open label randomised controlled clinical trial and a review of the literature. *Infect Dis (Lond)*. (2020) 52:271–8. doi: 10.1080/23744235.2020.1718200

31. Wacker C, Prkno A, Brunkhorst FM, Schlattmann P. Procalcitonin as a diagnostic marker for sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2013) 13:426–35. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70323-7

32. Fujii T, Luethi N, Young PJ, Frei DR, Eastwood GM, French CJ, et al. Effect of vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine vs hydrocortisone alone on time alive and free of vasopressor support among patients with septic shock: the VITAMINS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:423–31. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.22176

33. Jincan L, Hua L, Yan W, Minwei Z. Adjuvant administration of vitamin C improves mortality of patients with sepsis and septic shock: a systems review and meta-analysis. *Open J Int Med.* (2018) 8:146–59. doi: 10.4236/ojim.2018.82015 34. Lambden S, Laterre PF, Levy MM, Francois B. The SOFA score-development, utility and challenges of accurate assessment in clinical trials. *Crit Care*. (2019) 23:374. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2663-7

35. Fowler AA 3rd, Truwit JD, Hite RD, Morris PE, DeWilde C, Priday A, et al. Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2019) 322:1261–70. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.11825

36. Hiemstra B, Eck RJ, Wiersema R, Kaufmann T, Koster G, TWL S, et al. Clinical examination for the prediction of mortality in the critically ill: the simple intensive care studies-I. *Crit Care Med.* (2019) 47:1301–9. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000003897

37. Lee SI, Lim CM, Koh Y, Huh JW, Lee JS, Hong SB. The effectiveness of vitamin C for patients with severe viral pneumonia in respiratory failure. *J Thorac Dis.* (2021) 13:632–41. doi: 10.21037/jtd-20-1306

38. Hemilä H, Louhiala P. Vitamin C for preventing and treating pneumonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2013) 2013:CD000980. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD000980.pub4

39. Annane D, Renault A, Brun-Buisson C, Megarbane B, Quenot JP, Siami S, et al. CRICS–TRIGGERSEP network: hydrocortisone plus fludrocortisone for adults with septic shock. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:809–18. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1705716

40. Annane D, Sébille V, Charpentier C, Bollaert PE, François B, Korach JM, et al. Effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock. *JAMA*. (2002) 288:862–71. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.7.862

41. Buchman TG, Simpson SQ, Sciarretta KL, Finne KP, Sowers N, Collier M, et al. Sepsis among Medicare beneficiaries, 1: the burdens of sepsis, 2012-2018. *Crit Care Med.* (2020) 48:276–88. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000004224

42. Rhee C, Dantes R, Epstein L, Murphy DJ, Seymour CW, Iwashyna TJ, et al. Incidence and trends of sepsis in US hospitals using clinical vs claims data, 2009-2014. *JAMA*. (2017) 318:1241–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.13836

43. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving Sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. *Crit Care Med.* (2017) 45:486–552. doi: 10.1097/CCM. 000000000002255

44. Liang B, Su J, Shao H, Chen H, Xie B. The outcome of IV vitamin C therapy in patients with sepsis or septic shock: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Care.* (2023) 27:109–14. doi: 10.1186/s13054-023-04392-y