

RESEARCH

Received 11 July 2024 Accepted 19 September 2024 Available online 20 September 2024 Version of Record published 28 October 2024

Glucocorticoid excess alters metabolic rate and substrate utilisation via 11β-HSD1

Samuel R Heaselgrave^(1,2,3), Silke Heising³, Stuart A Morgan³, David M Carthwright³, Michael Sagmeister³, Rowan S Hardy³, Craig L Doig⁽¹⁾, Nicholas Morton^{2,4}, Kostas Tsintzas⁵ and Gareth G Lavery²

¹Center of Hypothalamic Research, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA
²Centre for Systems Health and Integrated Metabolic Research, Department of Biosciences, Nottingham Trent University, Birmingham, UK
³Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
⁴Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
⁵MRC Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to S R Heaselgrave: samuel.heaselgrave@utsouthwestern.edu

Abstract

Systemic glucocorticoid excess causes several adverse metabolic conditions, most notably Cushing's syndrome. These effects are amplified by the intracellular enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1). Here, we determined the less well-characterised effects of glucocorticoid excess, and the contribution of 11β-HSD1 amplification on metabolic rate in mice. Male and female C57BL/6J (wild type, WT) and 11β-HSD1 knockout (11β-HSD1 KO) mice were treated with high-dose corticosterone or a vehicle control for 3 weeks. Indirect calorimetry was conducted during the final week of treatment, with or without fasting, to determine the impact on metabolic rate. We found that corticosterone treatment elevated metabolic rate and promoted carbohydrate utilisation primarily in female WT mice, with effects more pronounced during the light phase. Corticosterone treatment also resulted in greater fat accumulation in female WT mice. Corticosterone induced hyperphagia was identified as a likely causal factor altering the respiratory exchange ratio (RER) but not energy expenditure (EE). Male and female 11β-HSD1 KO mice were protected against these effects. We identify novel metabolic consequences of sustained glucocorticoid excess, identify a key mechanism of hyperphagia, and demonstrate that 11β-HSD1 is required to manifest the full metabolic derangement.

Keywords: 11β-HSD1; glucocorticoid excess; metabolic rate; substrate utilisation

Introduction

Glucocorticoids (GCs) are a class of steroid hormones critical for whole-body energy homeostasis (Tomlinson & Stewart 2001). GCs serve as stress response hormones driving GC receptor-mediated transcription to alter metabolic processes in response to endogenous or exogenous stimuli (Nicolaides *et al.* 2015). Given their metabolic influence across a range of tissues (Magomedova & Cummins 2016) systemic glucocorticoid levels are tightly governed by the hypothalamuspituitary-adrenal axis (Ramamoorthy & Cidlowski 2016). Despite this regulatory mechanism, endogenous glucocorticoid excess can occur. However, more commonly, iatrogenic-induced hypercortisolaemia occurs (Barbot *et al.* 2020). Sustained excess results



in metabolic dysfunction, most notably Cushing's syndrome, which is characterised by a well-documented phenotype in humans and rodents (Lacroix et al. 2015, Lonser et al. 2017). This phenotype, which can be readily induced in mice for experimental purposes (Karatsoreos et al. 2010, Morgan et al. 2014, Uehara et al. 2020, Nishiyama et al. 2022), incorporates numerous metabolic features such as loss of glucose sensitivity, hypertension, hepatic steatosis, myopathy, obesity, and skeletal muscle atrophy (Schakman et al. 2008, Goodwin & Geller 2012, Di Dalmazi et al. 2012. Abraham et al. 2013. Woods et al. 2015, Morgan et al. 2016). Many of these metabolic features of Cushing's syndrome are underpinned at the pre-receptor level by the enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) (Tomlinson et al. 2002, Morgan et al. 2014). In humans, this involves the conversion of inactive cortisone to active cortisol (11 dehydro-corticosterone and corticosterone in rodents) (Tomlinson & Stewart 2001). Mice with 11β-HSD1 gene deletion (11β-HSD1 KO) and humans born lacking functional 11B-HSD1 are protected from the metabolic consequences of glucocorticoid excess (Tomlinson et al. 2002, Morgan et al. 2014).

Whilst there are many studies documenting the metabolic complications of glucocorticoid excess, there remain deficits in our understanding of impacts upon whole-body metabolic rate and substrate utilisation. These are defined, respectively, by energy expenditure (EE: indirectly calculated using oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production values) and the respiratory exchange ratio (RER: calculated by dividing carbon dioxide production by oxygen consumption, giving values that allow an estimation of carbohydrate versus fatty acid oxidation in the organism). Normal fluctuations in endogenous glucocorticoid production were reported to have no effect on metabolic rate in mice (Dlugosz et al. 2012) or humans (Jobin et al. 1996). However, elevated EE, oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide production, and altered RER were reported following acute or short-term low-dose exogenous glucocorticoid treatment in humans (Bessey et al. 1984, Chong et al. 1994, Brillon et al. 1995, Tataranni et al. 1996). Often, the effects of acute lowdose glucocorticoid treatment are not formally reported or are incomplete (Bessey et al. 1984, Brillon et al. 1995). Others have reported a decrease in metabolic rate or dispute the direction of altered substrate utilisation (Horber et al. 1991, Gravholt et al. 2002, Short et al. 2004, Radhakutty et al. 2016). Fewer studies report the effects of chronic glucocorticoid administration. Thus, chronic low-dose exogenous glucocorticoid treatment had no effect on EE in humans (Radhakutty et al. 2016), but decreased EE and RER in mice (Poggioli et al. 2013). Patients with Cushing's syndrome were reported to have normal basal EE for their body mass and composition (Burt et al. 2006). Further research is required to clarify these discrepancies.

Here, we establish the effect of sustained glucocorticoid excess on whole-body metabolic rate and substrate

utilisation in male and female mice, and explore what might cause any effects, using an established model of exogenous glucocorticoid excess that is known to replicate the metabolic impacts seen in humans and those with Cushing's syndrome (Morgan *et al.* 2014, Fenton *et al.* 2019). We also determine if any effects are mediated by the enzyme 11β -HSD1.

Materials and methods

Animals and glucocorticoid administration

Male and female C57BL/6J (wild type, WT) mice were purchased from Charles River, UK. All 11B-HSD1 KO mice were homozygous and bred in-house on a C57BL/6J background. Mice were group-housed in sex- and littermatched cages in groups of 2-4. Cages were kept at a standard temperature (22°C) in a humidity-controlled environment with a uniform 12-h light:darkness cycle. Mice were individually housed during the final 120 h of indirect calorimetry assessment. Throughout, mice were provided with nesting material, with food and water available ad libitum. Mice were given a standard chow diet (EURodent Diet 14%, Labdiet, St. Louis, MO, USA), though the water differed depending on the treatment group. Treatment lasted for 3 weeks and utilised an established protocol known to induce a phenotype typical of sustained glucocorticoid excess (Morgan et al. 2014, Fenton *et al.* 2019). Drinking water containing 100 mg/L corticosterone (Sigma-Aldrich) or a vehicle control (0.6% ethanol) was given ad libitum. All mice were 10 weeks of age at the start of treatment. Mice were culled immediately upon completion of indirect calorimetry assessment. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with UK Home Office regulations, the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and were approved locally by the University of Birmingham and Nottingham Trent University AWERB committees under the project licence number PP1816482.

Indirect calorimetry

Initial indirect calorimetry was performed during the final week of treatment using a TSE PhenoMaster 8 cage system (TSE Systems, Bad Homburg, Germany). Mice were group-housed for 48 h in the PhenoMaster to acclimatise. Mice were then individually housed for 120 h within the PhenoMaster. The first 24 h of which were to acclimate the mice to isolation, whilst the subsequent 96 h were for undisturbed data collection. Markers of metabolic rate and substrate utilisation (EE and RER) were measured. Food and water intake were also measured. All measurements were recorded in male and female WT (n = 12) and 11 β -HSD1 KO mice (n = 5-8). Subsequently, locomotor activity was assessed in female WT mice only (n = 8). Further indirect calorimetry assessment was performed on female WT mice only (n = 8) with food withdrawn during the final

12-h light phase to assess the impact of glucocorticoid excess-induced hyperphagia on the previously described markers of metabolic rate and substrate utilisation.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was tested using GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, LLC) as well as CalR (version 1.3) (Mina *et al.* 2018). Two-way analysis of variances, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, were used to analyse all indirect calorimetry measures, and physical characteristics, and compare WT and 11 β -HSD1 KO mice. Data are presented as mean ± s.E.M. throughout.

Results

Corticosterone treatment induces a Cushing's phenotype in WT but not 11β-HSD1 KO mice

To assess the impact of glucocorticoid excess on metabolic rate and substrate utilisation, we first confirmed the development of the known Cushing's phenotype in WT mice. As expected, corticosterone treatment caused male and female WT mice to develop these signs (Fig. 1). Increased lipid accumulation (Fig. 1C and D) (Peckett et al. 2011, Gasparini et al. 2016) and muscle atrophy/reduced lean body mass accrual (Fig. 1E and F) (Schakman et al. 2013, Sato et al. 2018, Gasparini et al. 2019) were demonstrated. This occurred despite a significant increase in control male WT body weight and a trend towards increased control female WT bodyweight (P = 0.07) over the duration of the study. Polydipsia (Tataranni et al. 1996) was observed throughout in corticosterone-treated WT mice, whilst hyperphagia (Tataranni et al. 1996) was continuous in females and evident during the dark phase in males (Fig. 2). The Cushingoid phenotype was more prominent in female WT mice, as they exhibited greater body weight and fat accumulation (Fig. 1B and D), as well as both hyperphagia and polydipsia (Fig. 2), compared to corticosterone-treated male mice. Successful knockout of 11β-HSD1, and therefore protection from intracellular glucocorticoid reactivation, was affirmed by the absence of this physical phenotype in male and female 11β-HSD1 KO mice (Morgan et al. 2014) (Fig. 1). Corticosterone-induced polydipsia was also prevented, whilst hyperphagia was attenuated in 11β-HSD1 KO mice (Fig. 2).

Metabolic rate is elevated in a sex-specific manner by glucocorticoid excess in WT but not 11β-HSD1 KO mice

The degree to which glucocorticoid excess impacts mammalian energy metabolism remains unclear (Jimeno & Verhulst 2023). We sought to provide wholebody indirect calorimetric analysis of glucocorticoid excess-exposed WT mice. Corticosterone treatment did not significantly alter EE (Fig. 3A) in male WT mice. However, it did significantly increase the RER during the light phase (Fig. 4A). In female WT mice, corticosterone significantly elevated EE during the light phase (Fig. 3B), and increased RER during the light and darkness phases (Fig. 4B). This suggests increased calorie usage in female mice, which was driven predominantly by increased carbohydrate utilisation, an effect experienced by both females and males. Notably, corticosterone caused average RER to approach or exceed a value of 1.0 during the dark phase in males and females (Fig. 4), effects indicative of an increased rate of *de novo* lipogenesis (Solinas et al. 2015). Assessment in 11β-HSD1 KO mice revealed further protection against glucocorticoid excess as corticosterone treatment did not elevate EE or change substrate utilisation (RER) in male or female 11β-HSD1 KO mice (Figs. 3 and 4).

Physical activity was decreased by glucocorticoid excess in female WT mice

Sexual dimorphism is a widely appreciated characteristic of glucocorticoid function, as both androgens and oestrogens can modulate GC transcriptional activity, which can also directly influence endogenous glucocorticoid synthesis (Takahashi et al. 2024). Given our observed increase in female EE, we set out to explore the effects of corticosterone on physical activity. as increased physical activity is known to increase EE (van Baak 1999). This was explored in female WT mice only due to their exaggerated corticosterone responses. Locomotor activity, a definitive measure of physical activity, was assessed. Locomotor activity (Fig. 5) was decreased by corticosterone treatment during the dark phase, resulting in sustained sedentary behaviour across both the light and darkness phases which ultimately revealed a corticosterone-induced disconnect between physical activity and EE.

Food withdrawal normalised the respiratory exchange ratio but not energy expenditure in female WT mice

Sustained excess corticosterone exposure is associated with hyperphagia (Sefton *et al.* 2016). Therefore, increased food intake and the subsequent thermic effect of food were considered possible explanations for both elevated EE and altered RER measured in the female mice (Solinas *et al.* 2015, Ho 2018). To evaluate this, female WT mice were fasted for a 12-h light phase. Fasting had no effect on EE, which remained elevated and did not differ from the previous fed 12-h light phase (Fig. 6A). This revealed that corticosteroneinduced elevations in EE were not an artefact of the hyperphagia it promotes. Fasting, however, reversed the elevation of RER values seen in corticosterone-treated



Corticosterone induced a phenotype typical of glucocorticoid excess in WT mice whilst 11 β -HSD1 KO prevented it. (A) Male body weight pre- and post-treatment. (B) Female body weight pre- and post-treatment. (C) Male gonadal fat weight normalised to body weight. (D) Female gonadal fat weight normalised to body weight. (E) Male quadriceps weight normalised to body weight. (F) Female quadriceps weight normalised to body weight. Bar graphs are presented as mean \pm s.E.M., *n* = 8–16. *Significant difference between treatments. # Significant pre and post treatment difference **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, *****P* < 0.001, ****/####*P* < 0.0001.

mice (Fig. 6B), suggesting that the corticosterone-treated mice maintained an ability to utilise lipids for fuel when necessary.

Discussion

Knowledge of the deleterious effects of sustained glucocorticoid excess is well understood but remains incomplete at the level of whole-body physiology. Herein, we demonstrate that glucocorticoid excess elevates metabolic rate (EE) and alters substrate utilisation (RER) in WT mice with greater significance in females than males. Notably, corticosterone suppresses physical activity in female WT mice, whilst light-phase fasting fails to prevent elevated EE. This suggests that neither increased energy of movement nor digestion is responsible. Hyperphagia and lipogenesis likely promote altered RER and are compatible with increased adipose accumulation. RERs exceeding 1.0 are also a signature of lipogenesis (Solinas *et al.* 2015). Critically, these effects are dependent upon the presence of 11 β -HSD1, revealing further protection against glucocorticoid excess from disruption of 11 β -HSD1 function.



Corticosterone treatment increased food and water intake in WT mice whilst 11 β -HSD1 KO prevented it. (A) Average male food intake. (B) Average female food intake. (C) Average male water intake. (D) Average female water intake. Scatter plots represent average day and night values and are resented as mean with individual values ± s.e.m., n = 5-12. *Significantly different. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ***P < 0.001.

A strong causal candidate for increased EE is canonical activation of brown adipose tissue non-shivering thermogenesis (Cannon & Nedergaard 2004), which occurs at a tonic level at room temperature in mice (Bastias-Perez *et al.* 2020). This is unlikely to be responsible, as there is strong evidence that rodents exposed to glucocorticoid excess exhibit profound suppression of the thermogenic protein UCP1 (Poggioli *et al.* 2013, Doig *et al.* 2017, Kaikaew *et al.* 2019). An alternative thermogenic mechanism, futile creatine cycling, is also unlikely to explain our findings, as this process is suppressed in inactive, hyperphagic mice (Hepler *et al.* 2022). Increased physical activity is another potential explanation (Van Baak 1999) that can be eliminated, as corticosterone-treated WT

mice were continuously inactive. Similar to activity, the thermic effect of food requires examination (Tataranni *et al.* 1996, Solinas *et al.* 2015, Ho 2018) but is unlikely to be responsible for these measurements, as fasting failed to prevent increased EE. Therefore, increased body weight, driven by increased adiposity, is a likely explanation for GC-induced shifts in female EE. This agrees with the biological interpretation of Newton's second law, which determines that an animal of greater mass is required to burn more calories to meet increased energy demands (Corrigan *et al.* 2020). The more significant EE elevation seen in female mice might also be explained by this demand, as their body weight increase was greater than that of male mice.



Figure 3

Glucocorticoid excess elevated energy expenditure in female WT mice, whilst 11 β -HSD1 KO prevented this. (A) Male energy expenditure. (B) Female energy expenditure. Line graphs represent hourly change and are presented as mean \pm s.E.M., n = 12. Scatter plots represent average day and night values and are presented as mean with individual values \pm s.E.M., n = 12. *Significantly different. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.



Glucocorticoid excess altered the respiratory exchange ratio in female WT mice, whilst 11 β -HSD1 KO prevented this. (A) Male respiratory exchange ratio. (B) Female respiratory exchange ratio. Line graphs represent hourly change and are presented as mean \pm s.E.M., n = 12. Scatter plots represent average day and night values and are presented as mean with individual values \pm s.E.M., n = 12. *Significantly different. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Elevated RER, close to or exceeding 1.0, indicates that sustained corticosterone exposure forces WT mice to primarily utilise carbohydrates as their fuel source, at the expense of lipid utilisation. It also indicates some mice undergo increased de novo lipogenesis, which can be attributed to glucocorticoid excess and hyperphagia of their 76% carbohydrate-based diet (Magomedova & Cummins 2016, Talal et al. 2021). Increased de novo lipogenesis in female mice, as indicated by a greater RER increase and reported in female mice given a high carbohydrate diet (Low et al. 2018), likely contributes to their greater adipose accrual. Given this, it was hypothesised that hyperphagia of a carbohydrate-based diet was elevating RER. Fasting of mice supported this, as RER decreased towards 0.7, indicating increased lipid utilisation. Therefore, glucocorticoid excess does not

directly restrict mice to carbohydrate utilisation, but the glucocorticoid-induced hyperphagia does. Whether different diets, such as those low in carbohydrates, might attenuate the impact of this hyperphagia merits investigation, as a low-carbohydrate diet can aid in the management of Cushing's syndrome (Dugandzic *et al.* 2022).

Sex differences in mice treated with corticosterone, in the context of indirect calorimetry, are novel. A greater increase in body weight driven by a greater accumulation of adipose tissue potentially explains the greater EE elevation in female mice. Whilst WT male control mice significantly grew over the course of treatment, and females did not, potentially limiting the relative increase in male body weight and adiposity



Figure 5

Glucocorticoid excess decreased physical activity (locomotor activity) levels in female WT mice. The line graph represents hourly changes and are presented as mean \pm s.E.M., n = 8. The scatter plot represents average day and night values and are presented as mean with individual values \pm s.E.M., n = 8. *significantly different. ***P < 0.001.



Fasting during the light phase prevented an altered respiratory exchange ratio but did not prevent elevated energy expenditure in female WT mice. (A) Energy expenditure. (B) Respiratory exchange ratio. Line graphs represent hourly change and are presented as mean \pm s.E.M., n = 8. Scatter plots represent average day and night values and are presented as mean with individual values \pm s.E.M., n = 8. *Significantly different. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

from corticosterone treatment, it is unlikely this confounded the observed sex differences as WT female control mice in fact trended towards increased body weight over the course of treatment (P = 0.07). Therefore, the cause for this greater body weight and increased adiposity in female mice is more likely due to increased hyperplastic as opposed to hypertrophic expansion of adipose tissue in female mice (Kaikaew *et al.* 2019). Likely elevated *de novo* lipogenesis in female mice, as indicated by RER, is another explanation for increased adiposity. Differences might also be caused by increased 5α -reductase expression in male mice, which has the potential to increase corticosterone deactivation and clearance, potentially mitigating the impact of their orally consumed dose (Melcangi *et al.* 1998, Nixon *et al.* 2012), but this remains to be established.



Figure 7

Proposed mechanism by which glucocorticoid excess elevates energy expenditure and the respiratory exchange ratio in C57BL/6J mice. Excess corticosterone treatment forces mice into a continuous state of hyperphagia, which, alongside corticosterone excess itself, causes a pronounced increase in adipose tissue. This increases body weight, which likely elevates energy expenditure. Alteration of the respiratory exchange ratio is driven directly by glucocorticoid excess-induced hyperphagia.

The majority of literature related to human metabolic responses to GC excess focusses on acute or low-dose treatment. Of these acute studies, agreement is found with some (Bessey et al. 1984, Chong et al. 1994, Brillon et al. 1995, Tataranni et al. 1996), but not all (Horber et al. 1991, Gravholt et al. 2002, Short et al. 2004, Radhakutty et al. 2016). However, due to the acute nature of these studies, meaningful comparisons are limited. Of the existing chronic studies, no agreement is found. The only existing mouse study, to the authors' knowledge, reported that dexamethasone treatment for 7 weeks decreased both EE and RER (Poggioli et al. 2013). Whereas, in chronic human investigation with rheumatoid arthritis patients, 6 months of 6 mg prednisolone a day had no effect on EE (Radhakutty et al. 2016). Finally, in patients with Cushing's syndrome, EE remained unaltered (Burt et al. 2006). Reasons for such variability are yet to be determined. However, the use of different synthetic glucocorticoids in the literature might be contributory (Burt et al. 2006, Poggioli et al. 2013, Radhakutty et al. 2016). Differences in indirect calorimetry methodology between the present study and existing mice (Poggioli et al. 2013) and human studies (Burt et al. 2006, Radhakutty et al. 2016) might also be responsible. Additionally, variation might indicate species-specific effects. Finally, as the present study rapidly induced a Cushingoid phenotype, but the other three studies utilised more prolonged glucocorticoid exposure, the length of treatment must also be considered.

This study revealed that 11B-HSD1 KO prevents elevation of EE and altered RER in mice exposed to glucocorticoid excess. Additionally, it attenuates hyperphagia and polydipsia in male and female mice. Interestingly, however, control-treated 11β-HSD1 KO mice did not display comparable growth rates to WT control mice, contrary to existing literature (Morgan et al. 2022). Whilst this is unlikely to have confounded the findings, as 11β-HSD1 KO responded as expected to corticosterone treatment with attenuated lipid accumulation and skeletal muscle atrophy (Morgan et al. 2014), it must be considered. Despite this, and despite being the first to investigate the impact on metabolic rate and substrate utilisation, the findings of the present study complement existing literature reporting 11β-HSD1 mediation of other consequences of glucocorticoid excess in mice and humans (Tomlinson et al. 2002, Morgan et al. 2014, Webster et al. 2021, Othonos et al. 2023). Therefore, altered metabolic rate and substrate utilisation might be consequences of, or causal factors in, other metabolic conditions that are caused by glucocorticoid excess and mediated by 11β-HSD1. As such, they should be assessed as part of the ongoing development of human 11β-HSD1 inhibitors (Othonos et al. 2023, Pofi et al. 2023).

In conclusion, this study identifies novel metabolic consequences of glucocorticoid excess, which include elevated EE and altered RER. Findings also identify greater effects in female mice, with alterations in male mice failing to reach the same scale or significance. Hyperphagia was identified as a causal factor altering RER, whilst increased body weight driven by increased adiposity is theorised to be responsible for elevating EE (Fig. 7). These effects are mediated by 11 β -HSD1, with male and female mice receiving protection from 11 β -HSD1 KO. Together, these findings provide further insights into the underlying mechanisms and consequences of exogenous glucocorticoid excess.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the study reported.

Funding

SRH was a recipient of a PhD scholarship funded by the MRC Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, University of Birmingham and University of Nottingham. GGL was supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellowship (104612/Z/14/Z). CLD is supported by Defence Medical Services Research Group funding (23/24.022). MS received funding from the Medical Research Council as part of a Clinical Research Training Fellowship (MR/T008172/1). RSH was supported by Versus Arthritis. Ref: 20843.

Author contributions

SRH, SM, DC, and GGL conceptualised the study. SRH and SH carried out the study and acquisition of data. SRH carried out data analysis. MS and RSH bred and provided 11 β -HSD1 KO mice. SRH, SM, CLD, NM, KT, and GGL interpreted the results and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. GGL and KT supervised the study.

References

Abraham SB, Rubino D, Sinaii N, Ramsey S & Nieman LK 2013 Cortisol, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study of obese subjects and review of the literature. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **21** E105–E117. (https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20083)

Barbot M, Zilio M & Scaroni C 2020 Cushing's syndrome: overview of clinical presentation, diagnostic tools and complications. *Best Practice and Research. Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **34** 101380. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2020.101380)

Bastias-Perez M, Zagmutt S, Soler-Vazquez MC, Serra D, Mera P & Herrero L 2020 Impact of adaptive thermogenesis in mice on the treatment of obesity. *Cells* **9**. (https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9020316)

Bessey PQ, Watters JM, Aoki TT & Wilmore DW 1984 Combined hormonal infusion simulates the metabolic response to injury. *Annals of Surgery* **200** 264–281. (https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-198409000-00004)

Brillon DJ, Zheng B, Campbell RG & Matthews DE 1995 Effect of cortisol on energy expenditure and amino acid metabolism in humans. *American Journal of Physiology* **268** E501–E513. (https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpendo.1995.268.3.E501)

Burt MG, Gibney J & Ho KKY 2006 Characterization of the metabolic phenotypes of Cushing's syndrome and growth hormone deficiency: a study of body composition and energy metabolism. *Clinical Endocrinology* **64** 436–443. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.2006.02488.x)

Cannon B & Nedergaard J 2004 Brown adipose tissue: function and physiological significance. *Physiological Reviews* **84** 277–359. (https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00015.2003)

Chong PK, Jung RT, Scrimgeour CM & Rennie MJ 1994 The effect of pharmacological dosages of glucocorticoids on free living total energy expenditure in man. *Clinical Endocrinology* **40** 577–581. (https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.1994.tb03007.x)

Corrigan JK, Ramachandran D, He Y, Palmer CJ, Jurczak MJ, Chen R, Li B, Friedline RH, Kim JK, Ramsey JJ, *et al.* 2020 A big-data approach to understanding metabolic rate and response to obesity in laboratory mice. *eLife* **9**. (https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53560)

Di Dalmazi G, Pagotto U, Pasquali R & Vicennati V 2012 Glucocorticoids and type 2 diabetes: from physiology to pathology. *Journal of Nutrition* and Metabolism **2012** 525093. (https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/525093)

Dlugosz EM, Harris BN, Saltzman W & Chappell MA 2012 Glucocorticoids, aerobic physiology, and locomotor behavior in California mice. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **85** 671–683. (https://doi.org/10.1086/667809)

Doig CL, Fletcher RS, Morgan SA, Mccabe EL, Larner DP, Tomlinson JW, Stewart PM, Philp A & Lavery GG 2017 11beta-HSD1 modulates the set point of brown adipose tissue response to glucocorticoids in male mice. *Endocrinology* **158** 1964–1976. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1722)

Dugandzic MK, Pierre-Michel EC & Kalayjian T 2022 Ketogenic diet initially masks symptoms of hypercortisolism in Cushing's disease. *Metabolites* **12**. (https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12111033)

Fenton CG, Webster JM, Martin CS, Fareed S, Wehmeyer C, Mackie H, Jones R, Seabright AP, Lewis JW, Lai YC, *et al.* 2019 Therapeutic glucocorticoids prevent bone loss but drive muscle wasting when administered in chronic polyarthritis. *Arthritis Research and Therapy* **21** 182. (https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-019-1962-3)

Gasparini SJ, Weber MC, Henneicke H, Kim S, Zhou H & Seibel MJ 2016 Continuous corticosterone delivery via the drinking water or pellet implantation: a comparative study in mice. *Steroids* **116** 76–82. (https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2016.10.008)

Gasparini SJ, Swarbrick MM, Kim S, Thai LJ, Henneicke H, Cavanagh LL, Tu J, Weber MC, Zhou H & Seibel MJ 2019 Androgens sensitise mice to glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance and fat accumulation. *Diabetologia* **62** 1463–1477. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-4887-0)

Goodwin JE & Geller DS 2012 Glucocorticoid-induced hypertension. *Pediatric Nephrology* **27** 1059–1066. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-011-1928-4)

Gravholt CH, Dall R, Christiansen JS, Moller N & Schmitz O 2002 Preferential stimulation of abdominal subcutaneous lipolysis after prednisolone exposure in humans. *Obesity Research* **10** 774–781. (https:// doi.org/10.1038/oby.2002.105)

Hepler C, Weidemann BJ, Waldeck NJ, Marcheva B, Cedernaes J, Thorne AK, Kobayashi Y, Nozawa R, Newman MV, Gao P, *et al.* 2022 Time-restricted feeding mitigates obesity through adipocyte thermogenesis. *Science* **378** 276–284. (https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abl8007)

Ho KKY 2018 Diet-induced thermogenesis: fake friend or foe? *Journal of Endocrinology* **238** R185–R191. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-18-0240)

Horber FF, Marsh HM & Haymond MW 1991 Differential effects of prednisone and growth hormone on fuel metabolism and insulin antagonism in humans. *Diabetes* **40** 141–149. (https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.40.1.141)

Jimeno B & Verhulst S 2023 Meta-analysis reveals glucocorticoid levels reflect variation in metabolic rate, not 'stress'. *eLife* **12**. (https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.88205)

Jobin N, De Jonge L & Garrel DR 1996 Effects of RU 486 on energy expenditure and meal tolerance in normal men. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* **15** 283–288. (https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.1996. 10718599)

Kaikaew K, Steenbergen J, Van Dijk TH, Grefhorst A & Visser JA 2019 Sex difference in corticosterone-induced insulin resistance in mice. *Endocrinology* **160** 2367–2387. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2019-00194)

Karatsoreos IN, Bhagat SM, Bowles NP, Weil ZM, Pfaff DW & Mcewen BS 2010 Endocrine and physiological changes in response to chronic corticosterone: a potential model of the metabolic syndrome in mouse. *Endocrinology* **151** 2117–2127. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-1436)

Lacroix A, Feelders RA, Stratakis CA & Nieman LK 2015 Cushing's syndrome. *Lancet* **386** 913–927. (https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61375-1)

Lonser RR, Nieman L & Oldfield EH 2017 Cushing's disease: pathobiology, diagnosis, and management. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **126** 404–417. (https://doi.org/10.3171/2016.1.JNS152119)

Low WS, Cornfield T, Charlton CA, Tomlinson JW & Hodson L 2018 Sex differences in hepatic de novo lipogenesis with acute fructose feeding. *Nutrients* **10**. (https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10091263)

Magomedova L & Cummins CL 2016 Glucocorticoids and metabolic control. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* **233** 73–93. (https://doi. org/10.1007/164_2015_1)

Melcangi RC, Poletti A, Cavarretta I, Celotti F, Colciago A, Magnaghi V, Motta M, Negri-Cesi P & Martini L 1998 The 5alpha-reductase in the central nervous system: expression and modes of control. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **65** 295–299. (https://doi. org/10.1016/s0960-0760(98)00030-2)

Mina AI, Leclair RA, Leclair KB, Cohen DE, Lantier L & Banks AS 2018 CalR: a web-based analysis tool for indirect calorimetry experiments. *Cell Metabolism* **28** 656–666.e1. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.06.019)

Morgan SA, Mccabe EL, Gathercole LL, Hassan-Smith ZK, Larner DP, Bujalska JJ, Stewart PM, Tomlinson JW & Lavery GG 2014 11beta-HSD1 is the major regulator of the tissue-specific effects of circulating glucocorticoid excess. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111** E2482–E2491. (https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1323681111)

Morgan SA, Hassan-Smith ZK, Doig CL, Sherlock M, Stewart PM & Lavery GG 2016 Glucocorticoids and 11beta-HSD1 are major regulators of intramyocellular protein metabolism. *Journal of Endocrinology* **229** 277–286. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-16-0011)

Morgan SA, Gathercole LL, Hassan-Smith ZK, Tomlinson J, Stewart PM & Lavery GG 2022 11beta-HSD1 contributes to age-related metabolic decline in male mice. *Journal of Endocrinology* **255** 117–129. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-22-0169)

Nicolaides NC, Kyratzi E, Lamprokostopoulou A, Chrousos GP & Charmandari E 2015 Stress, the stress system and the role of glucocorticoids. *Neuroimmunomodulation* **22** 6–19. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000362736)

Nishiyama M, Iwasaki Y & Makino S 2022 Animal models of Cushing's syndrome. *Endocrinology* **163**. (https://doi.org/10.1210/endocr/bqac173)

Nixon M, Upreti R & Andrew R 2012 5alpha-Reduced glucocorticoids: a story of natural selection. *Journal of Endocrinology* **212** 111–127. (https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-11-0318)

Othonos N, Pofi R, Arvaniti A, White S, Bonaventura I, Nikolaou N, Moolla A, Marjot T, Stimson RH, Van Beek AP, *et al.* 2023 11beta-HSD1 inhibition in men mitigates prednisolone-induced adverse effects in a proof-of-concept randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Nature Communications* **14** 1025. (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36541-w)

Peckett AJ, Wright DC & Riddell MC 2011 The effects of glucocorticoids on adipose tissue lipid metabolism. *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental* **60** 1500–1510. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2011.06.012)

Pofi R, Caratti G, Ray DW & Tomlinson JW 2023 Treating the side effects of exogenous glucocorticoids; can we separate the good from

the bad? *Endocrine Reviews* **44** 975–1011. (https://doi.org/10.1210/ endrev/bnad016)

Poggioli R, Ueta CB, Drigo RAE, Castillo M, Fonseca TL & Bianco AC 2013 Dexamethasone reduces energy expenditure and increases susceptibility to diet-induced obesity in mice. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **21** E415–E420. (https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20338)

Radhakutty A, Mangelsdorf BL, Drake SM, Samocha-Bonet D, Heilbronn LK, Smith MD, Thompson CH & Burt MG 2016 Effects of prednisolone on energy and fat metabolism in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: tissue-specific insulin resistance with commonly used prednisolone doses. *Clinical Endocrinology* **85** 741–747. (https://doi. org/10.1111/cen.13138)

Ramamoorthy S & Cidlowski JA 2016 Corticosteroids: mechanisms of action in health and disease. *Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America* **42** 15–31, vii. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdc.2015.08.002)

Sato AY, Peacock M & Bellido T 2018 Glucocorticoid excess in bone and muscle. *Clinical Reviews in Bone and Mineral Metabolism* **16** 33–47. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12018-018-9242-3)

Schakman O, Gilson H & Thissen JP 2008 Mechanisms of glucocorticoidinduced myopathy. *Journal of Endocrinology* **197** 1–10. (https://doi. org/10.1677/JOE-07-0606)

Schakman O, Kalista S, Barbe C, Loumaye A & Thissen JP 2013 Glucocorticoid-induced skeletal muscle atrophy. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* **45** 2163–2172. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocel.2013.05.036)

Sefton C, Harno E, Davies A, Small H, Allen TJ, Wray JR, Lawrence CB, Coll AP & White A 2016 Elevated hypothalamic glucocorticoid levels are associated with obesity and hyperphagia in male mice. *Endocrinology* **157** 4257–4265. (https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1571)

Short KR, Nygren J, Bigelow ML & Nair KS 2004 Effect of short-term prednisone use on blood flow, muscle protein metabolism, and function. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **89** 6198–6207. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-0908)

Solinas G, Boren J & Dulloo AG 2015 De novo lipogenesis in metabolic homeostasis: more friend than foe? *Molecular Metabolism* **4** 367–377. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2015.03.004)

Takahashi F, Baba T, Christianto A, Yanai S, Lee-Okada HC, Ishiwata K, Nakabayashi K, Hata K, Ishii T, Hasegawa T, *et al.* 2024 Development of sexual dimorphism of skeletal muscles through the adrenal cortex, caused by androgen-induced global gene suppression. *Cell Reports* **43** 113715. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.113715)

Talal S, Cease A, Farington R, Medina HE, Rojas J & Harrison J 2021 High carbohydrate diet ingestion increases post-meal lipid synthesis and drives respiratory exchange ratios above 1. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **224**. (https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.240010)

Tataranni PA, Larson DE, Snitker S, Young JB, Flatt JP & Ravussin E 1996 Effects of glucocorticoids on energy metabolism and food intake in humans. *American Journal of Physiology* **271** E317–E325. (https://doi. org/10.1152/ajpendo.1996.271.2.E317)

Tomlinson JW, Draper N, Mackie J, Johnson AP, Holder G, Wood P & Stewart PM 2002 Absence of cushingoid phenotype in a patient with Cushing's disease due to defective cortisone to cortisol conversion. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **87** 57–62. (https://doi. org/10.1210/jcem.87.1.8189)

Tomlinson JW & Stewart PM 2001 Cortisol metabolism and the role of 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* **15** 61–78. (https://doi.org/10.1053/beem.2000.0119)

Uehara M, Yamazaki H, Yoshikawa N, Kuribara-Souta A & Tanaka H 2020 Correlation among body composition and metabolic regulation in a male mouse model of Cushing's syndrome. *Endocrine Journal* **67** 21–30. (https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.EJ19-0205)

Van Baak MA 1999 Physical activity and energy balance. *Public Health Nutrition* **2** 335–339. (https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980099000452)

Webster JM, Sagmeister MS, Fenton CG, Seabright AP, Lai YC, Jones SW, Filer A, Cooper MS, Lavery GG, Raza K, *et al.* 2021 Global deletion of 11beta-HSD1 prevents muscle wasting associated with glucocorticoid therapy in polyarthritis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **22**. (https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22157828)

Woods CP, Hazlehurst JM & Tomlinson JW 2015 Glucocorticoids and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **154** 94–103. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.07.020)